QCD Sum Rule for $\frac{1}{2}$ Baryons

D.Jido and M.Oka Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology Meguro, Tokyo 152 Japan

A bstract

The masses of the avor octet and singlet baryons with negative parity and spin $\frac{1}{2}$ are calculated using the QCD sum rule. We nd that the chiral sym m etry breaking vacuum condensates cause the mass splitting of the positive and negative baryons. The present sum rule reproduces the observed masses of these baryons within 10%, and predicts the mass of the excited baryon with $J^{\rm P}=\frac{1}{2}~$ at 1.63 GeV. We con rm that the negative parity state, $_{\rm S}$, is the ground state in the avor singlet baryon spectrum.

1 Introduction

The negative parity excited states of baryons have been understood fairly well in the (nonrelativistic) quark model as one-quark excited states belonging to the SU (6) $\underline{70}$ representation [1]. The observed spectrum tends to agree with the prediction, although some of the states, such as (1405), N (1535), have irregular masses and non-natural decay rates. M any remements were proposed to achieve a quantitative agreem ent.

Yet, our understanding of the hadron physics insists that the role of the chiral sym m etry must be important even in the baryon states. Indeed, the chiral sym m etry suggests that the positive and negative parity states are paired into a parity doublet and the pair would be degenerate when the chiral sym - m etry is restored. Because the nonrelativistic quark m odel does not observe the chiral sym m etry, a di erent approach is anticipated to understand the chiral structure of baryons, both the positive and negative parity states.

The technique of the QCD sum rule relates the hadron properties to the QCD parameters and is a powerful tool to extract the hadron properties from QCD, the rst principle of the strong interaction [2, 3]. The QCD sum rule for the baryon was rst proposed by Io e [4]. In the QCD sum rule a correlation function, such as $(p) = i \quad d^4xe^{ip} \quad \hbar 0 \text{ JT J }(x) \text{ J }(0) \text{ Di, is calculated,}$ where J (x) is an interpolating eld (IF) that couples to the state of the hadron in question. The nonperturbative e ects, such as the quark condensate hqui

e-m ail address: jdo@ th phys.titech.ac.p

and h^{-s} GGi, are included as the power corrections in the theoretical side. The quark condensate hqqi, which is the order parameter of the chiral symmetry breaking, gives elects of the chiral symmetry breaking to the hadron spectrum in the QCD sum rule.

In our previous paper [5], the technique to extract m assess of negative-parity baryon in the QCD sum rule was proposed and the masses of the nucleons with positive and negative parity are calculated. It is important to separate contribution of the negative-parity baryon (B) from that of the positiveparity baryon (B₊), since the IF for baryon couples to the states of the B [4, 6], although we deno the IF J_B has positive parity. In order to separate the B contribution we use the **\old-fashioned**" correlation function denod as

$$(p) = i \quad d^4 x e^{ip \ x} (x_0) h 0 j J_B (x) J_B (0) j 0 i;$$
(1)

and construct sum rules in the complex p_0 -space in the rest frame (p = 0). Our approach is suitable for investigating the mass splitting, because B_+ and B_- can be treated simultaneously in this sum rule. In the present paper, we extend the technique to the hyperons and the avor singlet baryons $_S$.

In Sec2 the form ulation of our approach is explained and we see that the chiral sym m etry breaking is responsible for the m ass splitting of B₊ and B . In Sec3 we present the m ethod to extract the m asses and to determ ine the parameters in the theoretical side. In Sec4 we give the num erical results for the m asses of the baryons and the parameters in the theoretical side. We also discuss the relation of the m ass splitting to the chiral sym m etry breaking vacuum condensate. We show that the negative parity baryon is the lowest state in the avor singlet spectrum and that the QCD sum rule predicts that the spin-parity of (1690) is $\frac{1}{2}$. A sum m ary is given in Sec5.

2 Form ulation

It is in portant to choose an appropriate interpolating eld (F) in the correlation function in the QCD sum rule. The IF should have the same quantum numbers as the baryon in question, so that it creates or annihilates a single particle state of the baryon from the vacuum. For the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ octet baryon two independent IFs can be constructed without a derivative [7]. The IF for the nucleon is, for instance, written as

$$J_{N}(x) = "_{abc}[(u_{a}(x)Cd_{b}(x)) _{5}u_{c}(x) + t(u_{a}(x)C _{5}d_{b}(x))u_{c}(x)]; \qquad (2)$$

where a, b and c are color indices, $C = i_{2 \ 0}$ (standard notation) is for the charge conjugation and t is a real parameter representing the mixing of two independent IFs. If we choose t = 1 and use the Fierz transform ation, the IF (2) is reduced to the Io e's IF [4]. In the previous paper [5], we found that J_N with t = 0.8 is appropriate for the nucleon resonance. For the baryon, we replace a d-quark by an s-quark in eq.(2) and obtain

$$J_{+}(x) = "_{abc}[(u_{a}(x)C s_{b}(x)) _{5}u_{c}(x) + t(u_{a}(x)C _{5}s_{b}(x))u_{c}(x)]: (3)$$

Similarly for the baryon, replacing u-quark by s-quark in eq.(2), we obtain

$$J (x) = "_{abc}[(s_a (x)C d_b (x)) _{5}s_c (x) + t(s_a (x)C _{5}d_b (x))s_c (x)]: (4)$$

The IF for is more complicated:

$$J (x) = "_{abc} [(d_a (x)C s_b (x)) _{5u_c} (x) + (s_a (x)C u_b (x)) _{5d_c} (x) 2 (u_a (x)C d_b (x)) _{5s_c} (x) (5) + tf (d_a (x)C _{5s_b} (x))u_c (x) + (s_a (x)C _{5u_b} (x))d_c (x) 2 (u_a (x)C _{5d_b} (x))s_c (x)g]$$

The ${\rm I\!F}$ for the avor singlet baryon ${}_{\rm S}$ is given by the avor antisymmetric combination of the quark operators:

$$J_{s}(x) = "_{abc}[(u_{a}(x)C_{5}d_{b}(x))s_{c}(x) (u_{a}(x)Cd_{b}(x))_{5}s_{c}(x) (u_{a}(x)C_{5}d_{b}(x)) s_{c}(x)]:$$
(6)

This \mathbb{F} is unique and has no parameter such as t in the octet \mathbb{F} .

We now explain the technique of the treating the B in the QCD sum rule according to the Ref. [5]. First, we observe that the IFs given in eqs (2){(6) annihilate not only the positive parity baryon state, but also a single particle state of the negative parity baryon [4, 6]. Since the parity of the ferm ion state can be reversed by multiplying i $_5$, the IF with negative parity may be obtained as

$$J(x) = i_5 J(x)$$
: (7)

Then we expect

$$h_{0}j_{J}(x)_{B}i = u(x);$$
 (8)

where β i denotes a single particle state of the negative parity baryon, is the coupling strength and u (x) is the (corresponding) D irac spinor. From eqs.(7) and (8), we obtain

$$h_{j}(x)_{j} = h_{j}_{5} J_{j} = i_{5} u(x)$$
: (9)

Thus, J(x) couples also to the negative parity baryon. This short exercise tells us that the conventional sum rule for the ground state baryon already contains the negative parity baryon state as a part of the continuum spectrum.

O ur task is to separate the negative parity contribution properly. A coording to the previous paper [5], we use the <code>\old-fashioned"</code> correlation function (1). In the phenom enological side, if the lowest energy states of B₊ and B are picked up and the rest is regarded as a continuum, the imaginary part of the <code>\old-fashioned"</code> correlation function is written as

$$Im (p_0) = (+)^2 \frac{0+1}{2} (p_0 m_+) + (-)^2 \frac{0-1}{2} (p_0 m_-) + (continuum)$$
(10)
$${}_0A (p_0) + B (p_0):$$
(11)

In this expression, the zero-width pole approximation is applied. Although the resonances have signicant widths (eg. about 150 M eV for N (1535)),

we expect that the approximation is valid because the IFs for baryons are composed of three quarks and may not couple strongly with q^4q states.

The di erence of the contributions of B_+ and B_- in eq (11) is the sign of the chiral odd part. Therefore A (p_0) + B (p_0) has only B_+ contribution and A (p_0) B (p_0) includes only B contribution. In this way we can separate the B contribution from the ground state baryon. Moreover we construct the sum rule in the p_0 com plex plane, because the function A (p_0) de ned in eq.(11) is not an analytic function of p^2 , while the functions A (p_0) and B (p_0) is analytic in the upper half p_0 -space.

We take the lowest mass pole and approximate others as a continuum whose behavior above a threshold s_0 is same as the theoretical side. Then we obtain sum rules for the positive- and negative-parity baryons:

$$\sum_{s_{+}}^{Z} A^{OPE}(p_{0}) + B^{OPE}(p_{0})] \exp \left(\frac{p_{0}^{2}}{M^{2}}dp_{0}\right) = (+)^{2} \exp \left(\frac{m_{+}^{2}}{M^{2}}dp_{0}\right)$$

$$\sum_{s}^{W} A^{OPE}(p_{0}) B^{OPE}(p_{0})] \exp \left(\frac{p_{0}^{2}}{M^{2}}dp_{0}\right) = (+)^{2} \exp \left(\frac{m_{+}^{2}}{M^{2}}dp_{0}\right)$$

$$(13)$$

where M is the Borel mass and A^{OPE} and B^{OPE} are calculated based on QCD using the OPE at the region where p_0 is large. It should be noted that the OPE is valid for large p_0 even if p = 0 because the singularity of the correlation function resides at the light cone [8]. The explicit forms of A^{OPE} and B^{OPE} are given in appendix for the avor octet and the singlet baryons up to dimension six, 0 (m_s) and 0 ($_{s}^{0}$). The odd higher dimensional terms in the OPE do not contribute to the sum rule after the Borel transform, since their in aginary parts are proportional to the odd rank derivatives of the delta-function with respect to p_0 . It is easy to show that the integral vanishes after partial integration.

Note that the di erence of the sum rules for B_+ and B_- is the chiral odd term $B(p_0)$, which is proportional either to the quark condensate hppi or to the mixed condensate hpp G qi. If the chiral symmetry is restored at high temperature, for instance, the $B(p_0)$ term goes to zero in the chiral limit. Then the sum rules (12) and (13) are identical, and will predict the same masses for the positive and negative parity baryons. This situation is similar to that in the linear sigm a model for parity-doublet baryons proposed by D eT ar and K unihiro [9]. There the positive and negative parity nucleons are assumed to form a parity doublet and the Lagrangian has a chiral invariant mass term . Under the restoration of the chiral symmetry, the nucleons have the same mass, while in the spontaneous symmetry broken phase the mass splitting is proportional to the nonvanishing vacuum expectation value of sigma. In another article [10], we have shown that this similarity to the linear sigma model is con rm ed also in the NN coupling in the QCD sum rule.

We note several other approaches of the QCD sum rule for B . In the case that B is the lowest energy state in the considering spectrum, the mass of B is extracted in the usual sum rule. In Ref. [4], to epointed out that the negative-parity resonance is the ground state in the spectrum of the baryon with spin $J = \frac{3}{2}$ and isospin $T = \frac{1}{2}$ and calculated its mass. Liu also applied the QCD sum rule to (1405) and concluded that for the (1405) the

IF consisting of three quarks and a avor octet quark-antiquark pair is im – portant [11]. In his analysis, how ever, the continuum term is not considered. We obtain the realistic mass of (1405) in the three-quark sum rule with a continuum term. Some other approaches treat B with an IF which does not couple to the positive-parity ground state baryon. In Ref. [6] an optimized IF for N was proposed by requiring that the chiral odd correlation function, in which the B_+ contribution and the B contribution have di erent sign, become s negative. Lee and K im also investigated the mass of N (1535) [12] and

(1405) [13] in the QCD sum rule. They proposed a new IF with a covariant derivative, expecting that it has a large overlap with the nonrelativistic quark wave function of N (1535). They chose the IF so that it does not couple to the ground state nucleon [12]. We, however, employ the nonderivative IF in the present study because ourm ain interest is to study the mechanism of B_+ (B m ass splitting.

3 Determination of the B masses

We have three phenom enological parameters, the mass m_B, the threshold s and the coupling strength to be determined from the sum rules (12) and (13). Unlike the standard sum rule we have only one sum rule for each baryon. Therefore we are forced to solve the system of three equations, eq. (12), the rst and the second derivatives of (12) with respect to the Borel mass. In general, when we de emtiate the sum rule with respect to the Borel mass, the reliability of the QCD sum rule is lost, since the derivative picks up the a factor p^2 , which may enhance the contribution of the continuum. But we should judge the reliability from the stability of the results against the Borel mass. One sees that the baryon masses show enough stability in our analysis.

The theoretical side depends on the QCD parameters, such as the quark mass and the gauge coupling constant, and also on the other parameters that describe the properties of the nonperturbative vacuum of QCD, such as the quark and gluon condensates. We take the chiral lim it for the up and down quarks, i.e. $m_q = 0$, where we use the symbol q for the up and down quarks. We introduce the strange quark mass m_{s} , hssi=hqqi and Gqi for the avor SU (3) sym metry breaking. The gluon 5 hsq G si=hqq condensate is xed to $h^{-s}GGi = (0.36 \text{ GeV})^4$ since the coe cient is small in com parison with the other terms due to a suppression factor of $1=(2)^2$ [14]. The vacuum saturation is assumed for evaluating the matrix element of the four-quark operators, i.e. $h(qq)^2 i = hqqi^2$. These parameters have some uncertainty, which depends on the truncation in the OPE. In order to rem ove this uncertainty, we use our sum rules in the following way. The value of hogi and m_0^2 G qi=hqqi are determ ined so that the sum rules (12) and hqq (13) for the nucleon reproduce the observed m asses of N $_{\rm +}\,$ and N $\,$. In doing so we require that the prediction of the sum rule at M ' m $_{\rm B}$ coincides with the observed m ass within 5% and also that for the Borel stability variation of the predicted m ass against M in the region m_B m_B + 0.5 G eV is less than 10%. In the same way, the values of m_s , and $_5$ are determined so that the

sum rules (12) for the hyperons give the observed m asses of the $_+$, $_+$ and $_+$.

4 Results and Discussion

The determ ined parameters in the theoretical side are given in Table 1. The m assess of the positive parity hyperons, +, + and + are sensitive to the SU_{f} (3) breaking parameters m_{s} , and 5. Therefore these parameters are determ ined well. A swe shall see later, the value of hopi is determ ined from the m ass splitting of B $_+$ and B $_-$. The up and down quark condensate agrees well to the standard value" hqqi = (0.225 0.025 GeV)³, which was estimated in the chiral perturbation [15], and the value huui = $(0.230 \quad 0.015 \text{ GeV})^3$ that was estimated in the QCD sum rule for the octet and the decuplet baryons [16]. The value m₀ is also consistent with m₀² = 0.5 1.0 GeV^2 estimated in the sum rules for baryon [17, 16], and $m_0^2 = 1:1$ 0:1 GeV² in the lattice calculation [18]. The instanton contribution leads to the mixed condensate som ew hat larger, m $_0^2 = 1.4 \text{ GeV}^2$ [19, 20]. The reason for this large value is that the higher dimensional operators induced by the instanton reduce m_0 e ectively [20]. A lthough the strange quark mass m $_{\rm s}$ is somewhat smaller than the update analysis [21], our results of the B masses are insensitive to m_s. The sum rules for the baryons gives 0:8 [16, 22]. The value of the 5 is expected to be close to , because both and $_5$ are related to the avor SU (3) breaking in QCD. In Ref. [23], however, the sum rule for baryon suggests $_5 = 1:4$.

The m asses of the avor octet and singlet baryons calculated in the QCD sum rule are shown in Table 2. The observed m asses are reproduced fairly well. Them asses of the , , , $_{\rm S}$ and $_{\rm S}$ + are the prediction without adjustable parameters. These m asses are taken at the Borelm ass M ' m $_{\rm B}$. The M dependence of the m asses are shown in Fig. 1 for the octet B₊, in Fig.2 for the octet B , in Fig.3 for $_{\rm S}$ and in Fig.4 for $_{\rm S}$ + . Allm asses are stable against the Borelm ass M. The excited baryon with J $^{\rm P} = \frac{1}{2}$ has not been identied by experiment, but resonances with unknown spin and parity are found at 1690 M eV and 1950 M eV. The prediction of our sum rule prefers

(1690). Our result suggests that the m asses of the B tend to be degenerate. This is the result of two di erent origins of the m ass di erence. The strange quark m ass raises the hyperon m asses, while the quark condensate w idens the m ass splitting of B₊ and B . Because the strange quark condensate is sm aller than the up and down quark condensate, the e ect of the strange quark m ass is partly canceled in the negative parity baryons.

The hqqi dependence of the m asses of B is shown in Fig 5, where for each value of hqqi the other parameters in the theoretical side, m₀, m_s, and ₅ are adjusted so that the m asses of N₊, ₊, ₊ and ₊ are reproduced, while the m ixed condensate hqg G qi = m_0^2 hqqi and the strange quark condensate hssi = hqqi are varied along with the quark condensate hqqi. We see that the quark condensate pushes up the m asses of the N and as long as the m asses of N₊ and ₊ are xed. Therefore the m agnitude of the quark condensate determ ines the scale of the m ass splitting of B₊ and B. The

m asses of $\$ and $\$ behave sim ilarly against the quark condensate as in N and $\$.

It is extremely interesting to observe that the QCD sum rule predicts the avor-singlet $_{\rm S}$ spectrum in the reversed order. Namely, the baryon $_{\rm S}$ is lighter than the positive parity $_{\rm S+}$. This is consistent with the quark model prediction that the Pauli principle forbids all quarks occupying the ground s-wave state. In the correlation function B for the $_{\rm S}$, there is no dimension ve term, hog Gqi. If we put the dimension ve term in the B correlation function by hand and calculate the masses of the $_{\rm S}$ + and $_{\rm S}$, then we nd that the increase of the dimension ve term raises the mass of $_{\rm S}$ and low ers that of $_{\rm S}$ +. Thus we conclude that the absence of them ixed condensate term in the $_{\rm S}$ sum rule causes the reversed order of $_{\rm S+}$ and $_{\rm S}$. We also con m that the hog Gqi term s, is essential in raising the B masses as was stressed in Ref. [13].

5 Summary

We have proposed a technique to estimate the masses of negative parity baryon resonances B in the QCD sum rule. It is important to separate contribution from the positive parity state B_+ . We nd that when the B the chiral sym m etry is restored, the m asses of B_+ and B_- become degenerate. This is quite natural from the chiral symmetry point of view and seems to suggest that N (1535) (or in general B) is the chiral partner of N (940) (or B_+). We have calculated the masses of the avor singlet and octet B_+ and B, and have con med that the sum rule reproduces the observed masses. The mass of -baryon is predicted 1.63 GeV and it may be assigned to the observed (1690) for which the spin-parity is not yet known. We nd that the avor singlet baryon with negative parity is the ground state and that the predicted mass is close to (1405). We con m that the magnitude of the chiral symmetry breaking vacuum condensate, such as hopi and hop Gqi, determ ines the scale of the mass splitting of B_+ and B_- .

In the present analysis, we have not calculated the next-to-leading order of $_{\rm s}$ or higher dimensional terms in the theoretical side. A lthough they may modify our numbers slightly, we believe that the qualitative features of our calculation will not change. They are left for future analysis.

Appendix

The \old fashioned" correlation function is de ned by

$$(p) = i d^{4}x e^{ix p} (x_{0})h0 jJ (x) J (0) j0i:$$
(14)

Im
$$(p_0; p = 0)$$
 $_0A(p_0) + B(p_0)$: (15)

The functions A (p_0) and B (p_0) de ned in eq.(15) up to dimension six and 0 (m_s) neglecting s are given for each baryon in the following.

$$A (p_0) = \frac{5 + 2t + 5t^2}{2^{10} 4} p_0^5 (p_0)$$
(16)
+ $\frac{5 + 2t + 5t^2}{2^{9-2}} p_0 (p_0)h^{-s}GGi$
= $\frac{5 + 2t - 7t^2}{12} (p_0)hqqqqi;$
$$B (p_0) = \frac{5 + 2t - 7t^2}{32^{-2}} p_0^2 (p_0)hqqi$$
(17)
= $\frac{3(1 - t^2)}{32^{-2}} (p_0)hqq Gqi;$

$$A (p_{0}) = \frac{5 + 2t + 5t^{2}}{2^{10} 4} p_{0}^{5} (p_{0})$$
(18)
+ $\frac{5 + 2t + 5t^{2}}{2^{9} 2} p_{0} (p_{0})h^{-5}GGi$
+ $\frac{1 + 4t 5t^{2}}{48^{2}} m_{s}hqqi + \frac{5 + 2t + 5t^{2}}{64^{2}} m_{s}hssi p_{0} (p_{0})$
+ $\frac{13 2t 11t^{2}}{36} hqqqqi \frac{1 + 4t 5t^{2}}{18} hssqqi (p_{0})$
+ $\frac{5 + 2t 7t^{2}}{96^{2}} (p_{0}) + \frac{1}{32^{2}} \frac{t^{2}}{p_{0}} \frac{t^{2}}{p_{0}} m_{s}hqq Gqi$
= $\frac{1 + t + t^{2}}{48^{2}} m_{s}hsq Gsi (p)$
B $(p_{0}) = \frac{13 2t 11t^{2}}{3 2^{4}} m_{s}p_{0}^{4} (p_{0})$ (19)
+ $\frac{1 + 4t 5t^{2}}{48^{2}} hqqi + \frac{13 2t 11t^{2}}{96^{2}} hssi p_{0}^{2} (p_{0})$
 $\frac{1}{32^{2}} \frac{t^{2}}{2} (hqq Gqi + 2hsq Gsi)_{0} (p)$

$$A (p_0) = \frac{5 + 2t + 5t^2}{2^{10} 4} p_0^5 (p_0)$$
(20)
+ $\frac{5 + 2t + 5t^2}{2^9 2} p_0 (p_0)h^{-s}GGi$
+ $\frac{3(1 t^2)}{16^2} m_shqqi + \frac{5 + 2t + 5t^2}{64^2} m_shssi p_0 (p_0)$
+ $\frac{1 2t + t^2}{12} hqqqi \frac{1 t^2}{2} hssqqi (p_0)$
+ $\frac{1 t^2}{32^2} 8 (p_0) + \frac{3}{p_0} (t_0^2 m_s^2) m_shqg Gqi$

Ν

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & + \frac{1 + t + t^{2}}{48^{2}} m_{s} hsg & G \sin (p) \\ B (p_{0}) & = & \frac{1 & 2t + t^{2}}{2^{8} & 4} m_{s} p_{0}^{4} & (p_{0}) \\ & & + & \frac{3 (1 & t^{2})}{16^{2}} hqqi & \frac{1 & 2t + t^{2}}{32^{2}} hssi & p_{0}^{2} & (p_{0}) \\ & & \frac{3 (1 & t^{2})}{32^{2}} & (p_{0}) hqg & G qi: \end{array}$$

$$A (p_0) = \frac{5 + 2t + 5t^2}{2^{10} 4} p_0^5 (p_0)$$
(22)
+ $\frac{5 + 2t + 5t^2}{2^9 2} p_0 (p_0)h^{-s}GGi$
+ $\frac{3(1 - t^2)}{16^2} m_shqri + \frac{3(1 + 2t + t^2)}{32^2} m_shssi p_0 (p_0)$
+ $\frac{1 - 2t + t^2}{12} hsssi \frac{1 - t^2}{2} hssqri (p_0)$
+ $\frac{1 - t^2}{32^2} 4 (p_0) + \frac{3}{p_0} (t_0^2 - 4m_s^2) m_shqg Gqi$
 $\frac{1 + 10t + t^2}{192^2} m_shsg Gsi (t_0)$
B $(p_0) = \frac{3(1 - t^2)}{2^7 4} m_s p_0^4 (p_0)$ (23)
+ $\frac{3(1 - t^2)}{16^2} hssi \frac{1 - 2t + t^2}{32^2} hqqi p_0^2 (p_0)$
 $\frac{3(1 - t^2)}{32^2} (p_0)hsg Gsi:$

S (Flavor Singlet)

$$A (p_{0}) = \frac{3}{2^{8} 4} p_{0}^{5} (p_{0})$$

$$+ \frac{3}{2^{7} 2} p_{0} (p_{0})h^{-s}GGi$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16^{2}}m_{s}(4hqqi + 3hssi)p_{0} (p_{0})$$

$$\frac{1}{3}(hqqqqi + 2hssqqi) (p_{0})$$

$$\frac{1}{16^{2}}m_{s}(hqg Gqi + 3hsg Gsi)_{0}(p)$$

$$B (p_{0}) = \frac{1}{64^{4}}m_{s}p_{0}^{4} (p_{0})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8^{2}}(2hqqi + hssi)p_{0}^{2} (p_{0})$$
(24)

References

- [1] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Rev. D 18 (1978) 4187.
- M.A.Shifman, A.J.Vainshtein and V.J.Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B147 (1979) 385, 448.
- [3] L.J.Reinders, H.Rubinstein and S.Yazaki, Phys.Rep. 127 (1985) 1.
- [4] B.L. Io e, Nucl. Phys. B188 (1981) 317, (E) B191 (1981) 591.
- [5] D. Jido, N. Kodam a and M. Oka, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 4532.
- [6] Y.Chung, H.G.Dosch, M.K rem er and D.Schall, Nucl. Phys. B197 (1982) 55.
- [7] D. Espriu, P. Pascual and R. Tarrach, Nucl. Phys. B214 (1983) 285.
- [8] T.Hatsuda, Y.Koike and S.H.Lee, Nucl. Phys. B 394 (1993) 221.
- [9] C.DeTar and T.Kunihiro, Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 2805.
- [10] D. Jido, M. O ka and A. Hosaka, hep-ph/9610520.
- [11] J.P.Liu, Z.Phys.C 22 (1984) 171.
- [12] S.H.Lee and H.K im, Nucl. Phys. A 612 (1997) 418.
- [13] H.Kim and S.H.Lee, nucl-th/9610013.
- [14] D.B.Leinweber, nucl-th/9510051.
- [15] J.Gasser and M. Leutwyler, Phys. Rep. C 87 (1982) 77.
- [16] L.J.Reinders, H.R.Rubinstein and S.Yazaki, Phys. Lett. 120B (1983) 209.
- [17] V.M. Belyaev and B.L. Io e, Sov. Phys. JETP 56 (1982) 493 (Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 83 (1982) 876).
- [18] M.Kremer and G.Schierholz, Phys.Lett.B 194 (1987) 283.
- [19] M.V.Polyakov and C.Weiss, Phys.Lett.B 387 (1996) 841.
- [20] N.Kodam a and M.Oka, Phys. Lett. B 340 (1994) 221.
- [21] K.G.Chetyrkin, D.Pirpland K.Schilcher, hep-ph/9612394.
- [22] V.M.Belyaev and B.L. Io e, Sov. Phys. JETP 57 (1983) 716 (Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 84 (1983) 1236).
- [23] H.G.Dosch, M.Jam in and S.Narison, Phys. Lett. B 220 (1989) 251.

Table 1: The determ ined QCD parameters

hqqi	m _o	m _s		5
(-0,244 GeV) ³	0 . 9 G eV	0.1 G eV	0.75	8.0

Tal	hЪ	2	•
та		2	•

						Un ≒: GeV				
Baryon	N +	+	+	+	Ν				S	S +
Sum rule	0.94	1.12	1.21	1.32	1.54	1.55	1.63	1.63	1.31	2.94
Exp.	0.94	1.12	1.19	1.32	1.535	1.67	1.62	{	1.405	{



Figure 1: The Borel mass M dependence of the octet B $_{+}\,$ masses. The solid line denotes M $\,=\,$ m $_{B}$.



Figure 2: The Borel mass M dependence of the octet B masses. The solid line denotes $M = m_B$. The lines for masses of and are almost overlapped.

Figure 3: The Borelm ass M dependence of the singlet $_{\rm S}\,$ m asses. The solid line denotes M $\,=\,$ m $_{\rm B}$.

Figure 4: The Borelm ass M dependence of the singlet $_{\rm S}$ + m asses. The solid line denotes M = m $_{\rm B}$.

Figure 5: The hqqi dependence of the masses of N and . The other QCD parameters are xed so that the masses of the ground state baryons N₊, ₊, ₊ and ₊ are reproduced for each value of hqqi. The value of the mixed condensate hqg $G qi = m_0^2$ hqqi and the strange quark condensate hssi = hqqi are varied along with the quark condensate hqqi.