

The Rare Top Decays $t \rightarrow bW^+Z$ and $t \rightarrow dW^+W$ Elizabeth Jenkins^yDepartment of Physics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093
(November 1996)

Abstract

The large value of the top quark mass implies that the rare top decays $t \rightarrow bW^+Z$, sW^+Z and dW^+Z , and $t \rightarrow dW^+W$ and uW^+W , are kinematically allowed so long as $m_t \geq m_W + m_Z + m_{d_i} = 171.5 \text{ GeV} + m_{d_i}$ or $m_t \geq 2m_W + m_{u,c} = 160.6 \text{ GeV} + m_{u,c}$, respectively. The partial decay widths for these decay modes are calculated in the standard model. The partial widths depend sensitively on the precise value of the top quark mass. The branching ratio for $t \rightarrow bW^+Z$ is as much as $1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ for $m_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$, and could be observable at LHC. The rare decay modes $t \rightarrow dW^+W$ and uW^+W are highly GIM-suppressed, and thus provide a means for testing the GIM mechanism for three generations of quarks in the u, c, t sector.

Now that the top quark mass is known to be quite large, it is possible to examine the question of which rare decay modes of the top are kinematically allowed processes. The current CDF and D0 average value of the top quark mass $m_t = 175 \pm 8 \text{ GeV}$ [1,2] implies that the decays $t \rightarrow bW^+Z$, sW^+Z and dW^+Z , are allowed decay modes of the top so long as $m_t \geq m_W + m_Z + m_{d_i} = 171.5 \text{ GeV} + m_{d_i}$. The rare decays $t \rightarrow dW^+W$ and uW^+W also are allowed if $m_t \geq 2m_W + m_{u,c} = 160.6 \text{ GeV} + m_{u,c}$. For the present central value of the measured top quark mass, all of these processes are occurring at or near threshold, and are highly phase space suppressed. The decays $t \rightarrow dW^+W$ and uW^+W are also highly GIM-suppressed, and thus are not likely to be seen at standard model rates. The decays $t \rightarrow d_iW^+Z$, however, are not GIM-suppressed and are potentially observable at the LHC.

Alfred P. Sloan Fellow.

^yNational Science Foundation Young Investigator.

The partial decay widths for these rare decay modes rapidly increase for larger values of the top quark mass, and thus are very sensitive to the precise value of the top quark mass. Since the decay widths are proportional to $|V_{ti}|^2$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, the rare decay $t \rightarrow bW^+ Z$ (with $|V_{tb}|^2 \approx 1$) will dominate unless the value of the top quark is below or nearly at threshold for this process.

We begin with the calculation of the partial decay width ($t \rightarrow bW^+ Z$) in the standard model. The branching ratio for this decay process has been computed previously by Decker, Nowakowski and Pilafsis [3] and Mahlon and Parke [4]. The authors of Ref. [4] included the finite widths of the W and Z in their calculation, and found a significant enhancement in the decay width near threshold due to finite width effects. There is some disagreement in the numerical results of Ref. [3] and [4]. The numerical results presented here are basically consistent with the published results of Ref. [4] in the narrow width approximation. There is some numerical difference with Ref. [4] which probably stems from the inclusion of finite width effects in that calculation. In addition, explicit analytic formulae for the squared amplitude of $t \rightarrow bW^+ Z$ are presented in this work. These formulae do not appear elsewhere in the literature, and are useful for more detailed studies of the decay mode. Finally, the decay widths for the other rare decay modes $t \rightarrow cW^+ W$ and $uW^+ W$ also are computed. A search for these decay modes directly tests CKM unitarity in the u -quark sector.

I. $t \rightarrow bW^+ Z$

The rare decay $t \rightarrow bW^+ Z$ proceeds via the three tree-level graphs drawn in Fig. 1. The amplitudes for these Feynman diagrams are

$$A_1 = V_{tb} \frac{ig}{2} \frac{ig}{\cos \theta_W} \bar{u}(p_b) \gamma^\mu P_L \frac{i}{k_1 - m_t} f g_{t_L} (P_L + g_{t_R}) P_R g u(p_t); \quad (1)$$

The decay process $Q \rightarrow qW Z$ also was considered in Ref. [5] for very heavy fourth generation quarks and exotics with mass > 240 GeV.

$$A_2 = V_{tb} \frac{ig}{2} \frac{ig}{\cos \theta_W} \bar{u}(p_b) \not{p}_L + g_{bL} \not{p}_L + g_{bR} \not{p}_R g \frac{i}{k_2 m_b} P_L u(p_t); \quad (2)$$

$$A_3 = V_{tb} \frac{ig}{2} (ig \cos \theta_W) \bar{u}(p_b) \not{k}_3 \frac{i}{m_W^2} g \frac{k_3 k_3}{m_W^2} u(p_t) P_L u(p_t) \quad (3)$$

$$[g \not{(k_3 + p_W)} + g \not{(p_Z + k_3)} + g \not{(p_Z + p_W)}];$$

where the four momenta k_1, k_2 and k_3 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= p_t - p_Z = p_b + p_W; \\ k_2 &= p_t - p_W = p_b + p_Z; \\ k_3 &= p_t - p_b = p_W + p_Z; \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and the couplings of the Z boson to the left- and right-handed top and bottom quarks are

$$\begin{aligned} g_{tL} &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W; \\ g_{tR} &= -\frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W; \\ g_{bL} &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W; \\ g_{bR} &= \frac{1}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In the above amplitudes, $P_{L,R}$ stand for the left- and right-handed projectors $P_{L,R} = (1 \pm \gamma_5)/2$, and θ_W is the weak mixing angle. The amplitude A_3 depends on the triple gauge vertex $W^+ W^- Z$. This amplitude has been written in unitary gauge, where there is a contribution to the W gauge boson propagator proportional to $k_3 k_3 / m_W^2$. The amplitude also can be written in 'tHooft-Feynman gauge ($\xi = 1$), where this contribution is replaced by the exchange of the would-be Goldstone boson of the W .

The total amplitude is given by $A = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$, and the amplitude squared is

$$A^2 = A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2 + 2A_1 A_2 + 2A_1 A_3 + 2A_2 A_3; \quad (6)$$

where the identities

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 A_2 &= A_2 A_1; \\ A_1 A_3 &= A_3 A_1; \\ A_2 A_3 &= A_3 A_2; \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

have been used.

The square amplitude $\mathcal{A}_1^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_1^2 = & \mathcal{V}_{tb}^2 \frac{g^4}{2 \cos^2 \theta_w} \frac{1}{k_1^2 m_t^2} \\
& 4g_{t_L}^2 (k_1 - p)(k_1 - p) \frac{1}{2} k_1^2 (p_t - p) \\
& + \frac{2}{m_Z^2} (p_t - p)(k_1 - p)(k_1 - p) \frac{1}{2} k_1^2 (p_b - p) \\
& + \frac{2}{m_W^2} (p_b - p)(k_1 - p)(k_1 - p) \frac{1}{2} k_1^2 (p_t - p) \\
& + \frac{4}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (p_t - p)(p_b - p)(k_1 - p)(k_1 - p) \frac{1}{2} k_1^2 (p_W - p) \\
& (12m_t^2 g_{t_L} g_{t_R} (k_1 - p) + \frac{2}{m_W^2} (k_1 - p)(p_b - p) \\
& + 2m_t^2 g_{t_R}^2 (p_t - p) + \frac{2}{m_Z^2} (p_t - p)(p_b - p) + \frac{2}{m_W^2} (p_t - p)(p_b - p) \\
& + \frac{4}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (p_t - p)(p_b - p)(p_W - p))
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The square amplitude $\mathcal{A}_2^2$ is related to $\mathcal{A}_1^2$ by the interchanges $g_{t_L, R} \leftrightarrow g_{b_L, R}$, $m_t \leftrightarrow m_b$, $p_t \leftrightarrow p_b$ and $k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_2^2 = & \mathcal{V}_{tb}^2 \frac{g^4}{2 \cos^2 \theta_w} \frac{1}{k_2^2 m_b^2} \\
& 4g_{b_L}^2 (k_2 - p)(k_2 - p) \frac{1}{2} k_2^2 (p_t - p) \\
& + \frac{2}{m_Z^2} (p_b - p)(k_2 - p)(k_2 - p) \frac{1}{2} k_2^2 (p_t - p) \\
& + \frac{2}{m_W^2} (p_t - p)(k_2 - p)(k_2 - p) \frac{1}{2} k_2^2 (p_b - p) \\
& + \frac{4}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (p_b - p)(p_t - p)(k_2 - p)(k_2 - p) \frac{1}{2} k_2^2 (p_W - p) \\
& (12m_b^2 g_{b_L} g_{b_R} (k_2 - p) + \frac{2}{m_W^2} (k_2 - p)(p_t - p) \\
& + 2m_b^2 g_{b_R}^2 (p_t - p) + \frac{2}{m_Z^2} (p_b - p)(p_t - p) + \frac{2}{m_W^2} (p_b - p)(p_t - p) \\
& + \frac{4}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (p_b - p)(p_t - p)(p_W - p)) :
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The square amplitude $\mathcal{A}_3^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_3 f = & \mathcal{V}_{tb} f \frac{g^4 \cos^2 \theta_W}{2} \frac{1}{k_3^2 m_W^2} \\
& + 4 (p_t - p) \left(3 m_W^2 + m_Z^2 + 2 m_W^2 m_Z^2 \right) m_W^2 + m_Z^2 + 2 (1 - \cos^2 \theta_W) (p_W - p) \\
& + \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{m_W^2} + \frac{1}{m_Z^2} + \frac{1}{m_Z^2} \frac{1}{m_W^2} \frac{1}{2} m_W^2 \frac{1}{m_W^2} + \frac{1}{m_Z^2} (p_W - p)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (1 - \cos^2 \theta_W) (p_W - p)^3 \\
& + 4 (p_t - p) (p_b - p) \left(2 m_W^2 + 2 m_Z^2 + \frac{4}{m_W^2} (1 + \cos^2 \theta_W) (p_W - p) + \frac{1}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (1 + 2 \cos^2 \theta_W + \cos^4 \theta_W) (p_W - p)^2 \right) \\
& + 4 (p_t - p) (p_b - p) \left(2 m_Z^2 + 4 m_W^2 + 2 m_Z^2 + \frac{4}{m_Z^2} (1 - \cos^2 \theta_W) (p_W - p) + \frac{1}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (1 - 2 \cos^2 \theta_W + \cos^4 \theta_W) (p_W - p)^2 \right) \\
& + 4 [(p_t - p) (p_b - p) + (p_b - p) (p_t - p)] \left(6 m_W^2 + 2 m_Z^2 \right) \\
& + 2 \left(\frac{1}{m_Z^2} + \frac{1}{m_W^2} \right) m_W^2 m_Z^2 \frac{1}{m_Z^2} \frac{1}{m_W^2} (p_W - p) \frac{1}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (1 - \cos^2 \theta_W) (p_W - p)^2 ;
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\cos^2 \theta_W = (1 - m_Z^2/m_W^2)$ in unitary gauge. In the off-Feynman gauge, one obtains the same expression with $\sin^2 \theta_W$ and $\cos^2 \theta_W$ replaced by $(m_Z/m_W)^2$. The terms in $\mathcal{A}_3 f$ proportional to $\cos^2 \theta_W$ are antisymmetric under $p_t \leftrightarrow p_b$, $p_W \leftrightarrow p_Z$ and $m_W \leftrightarrow m_Z$ while the terms which are independent of $\cos^2 \theta_W$ or proportional to $\cos^4 \theta_W$ are invariant under this interchange.

The interference term $A_1 A_2$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 A_2 = & \mathcal{V}_{tb} f \frac{g^4}{2 \cos^2 \theta_W} \frac{1}{k_1^2 m_t^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 m_b^2} \\
& + g_{t_L} g_{b_L} \left(6 (k_1 - k) (p_t - p) - 2 (k_1 - p) (k_2 - p) - 2 (k_1 - p) (k_2 - p) \right) \\
& + \frac{4}{m_Z^2} \left((k_1 - k) (p_b - p) (p_t - p) + (k_1 - p) (k_2 - p) (p_t - p) + (k_2 - p) (k_1 - p) (p_b - p) \right) \\
& + (k_1 - p) (p_t - p) (k_2 - p) - 2 (p_t - p) (k_1 - p) (k_2 - p) - 2 (k_1 - p) (p_b - p) (k_2 - p) \\
& + \frac{4}{m_W^2} \left((k_1 - k) (p_b - p) (p_t - p) + (k_1 - p) (k_2 - p) (p_t - p) + (k_2 - p) (k_1 - p) (p_t - p) \right) \\
& + (k_1 - p) (p_b - p) (k_2 - p) - 2 (p_b - p) (k_1 - p) (k_2 - p) - 2 (k_1 - p) (p_t - p) (k_2 - p) \\
& + \frac{4}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} \left((k_1 - k) (p_W - p) f (p_t - p) (p_W - p) + (p_b - p) (p_t - p) + (p_b - p) (p_t - p) g \right. \\
& \left. + (p_W - p)^2 f (k_1 - p) (k_2 - p) + (k_1 - p) (k_2 - p) g \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (p_t - p)(p_W - p) f(k_1 - p)(k_2 - p) + (k_1 - p)(k_2 - p) g \\
& + 2(k_1 - p)(k_2 - p)(p_b - p)(p_t - p) + 2(k_1 - p)(k_2 - p)(p_b - p)(p_t - p) \\
& \quad (k_1 - p)(k_2 - p)(p_t - p)(p_W - p) - (k_2 - p)(k_1 - p)(p_b - p)(p_W - p) \\
& \quad (k_1 - p)(k_2 - p)(p_b - p)(p_W - p) - (k_2 - p)(k_1 - p)(p_t - p)(p_W - p) \\
& \quad (k_1 - p)(k_2 - p)(p_b - p)(p_W - p) - (k_2 - p)(k_1 - p)(p_t - p)(p_W - p)_{\#} \\
& \quad (k_1 - p)(k_2 - p)(p_W - p)(p_t - p) - (k_2 - p)(k_1 - p)(p_b - p)(p_W - p) \\
& + 2m_b^2 g_{tL} g_{bR} - 3(k_1 - p) + \frac{2}{m_Z^2} (k_1 - p)(p_t - p) - \frac{4}{m_W^2} (p_t - p)(k_1 - p) \\
& + \frac{2}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (p_W - p) [(k_1 - p)(p_t - p) + (p_t - p)(k_1 - p) - (p_W - p)(k_1 - p)] \\
& + 2m_t^2 g_{tR} g_{bL} - 3(k_2 - p) + \frac{2}{m_Z^2} (k_2 - p)(p_b - p) - \frac{4}{m_W^2} (p_b - p)(k_2 - p) \\
& + \frac{2}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (p_W - p)^h (k_2 - p)(p_b - p) + (p_b - p)(k_2 - p)(p_W - p)(k_2 - p)^i \\
& \quad (\quad) ! \\
& + 2m_t^2 m_b^2 g_{tR} g_{bR} - 5 + \frac{2}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (p_W - p)^2 \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

$A_1 A_2$ is invariant under the simultaneous interchanges $g_{tL,R} \leftrightarrow g_{bL,R}$, $m_t \leftrightarrow m_b$, $p_t \leftrightarrow p_b$ and $k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2$.

The two interference terms $A_1 A_3$ and $A_2 A_3$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 A_3 &= \mathcal{V}_{tb}^2 \frac{g^4}{2} \frac{1}{k_1^2 m_t^2} \frac{1}{k_3^2 m_W^2} \\
& \quad g_{tL} - 4[(2 -) (k_1 - p)(p_t - p) + (k_1 - p)(p_t - p) - 3(k_1 - p)(p_b - p)] \\
& \quad + 4[(2 +) (k_1 - p)(p_t - p) + (k_1 - p)(p_b - p) - 3(k_1 - p)(p_t - p)] \\
& \quad \frac{4}{m_W^2} (1 +) (k_1 - p) [2(p_t - p)(p_b - p) + (p_t - p)(p_b - p) \\
& \quad (p_W - p)(p_t - p) + (p_b - p)(p_t - p)] \\
& + \frac{4}{m_Z^2} (1 -) (k_1 - p) [2(p_t - p)(p_b - p) + (p_t - p)(p_b - p) \\
& \quad (p_W - p)(p_t - p) + (p_b - p)(p_t - p)] \\
& \quad \frac{2}{m_W^2} (3 +) (p_W - p) [(k_1 - p)(p_t - p) - (p_t - p)(k_1 - p) + (p_b - p)(k_1 - p)] \\
& + \frac{2}{m_Z^2} (3 -) (p_W - p) [(k_1 - p)(p_t - p) - (p_b - p)(k_1 - p) + (p_t - p)(k_1 - p)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{4}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (1 + \epsilon) (p_W - p) (p_b - p) [(k_1 - p) (p_t - p) - (p_W - p) (k_1 - p) + (p_t - p) (k_1 - p)] \\
& - \frac{4}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (1 - \epsilon) (p_W - p) (p_t - p) [(k_1 - p) (p_b - p) - (p_W - p) (k_1 - p) + (p_b - p) (k_1 - p)] \\
& + 4m_t^2 g_{tR} \left((4 + \epsilon) (p_b - p) + (2 + \epsilon) (p_b - p) + \frac{1}{m_W^2} \left[\frac{7}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (p_W - p) (p_b - p) \right] \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{m_Z^2} \left[\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (p_W - p) (p_b - p) + \frac{1}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (1 + \epsilon) (p_W - p)^2 (p_b - p) \right] \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 A_3 = & \mathcal{J}_{tb}^2 \frac{g^4}{2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 m_b^2} \frac{1}{k_3^2 m_W^2} \\
& g_{bL} \left[4 [(2 - \epsilon) (k_2 - p) (p_t - p) + (k_2 - p) (p_b - p) - 3 (k_2 - p) (p_t - p)] \right. \\
& \left. + 4 [(2 + \epsilon) (k_2 - p) (p_t - p) + (k_2 - p) (p_t - p) - 3 (k_2 - p) (p_b - p)] \right] \\
& \frac{4}{m_W^2} (1 + \epsilon) (k_2 - p) [2 (p_b - p) (p_t - p) + (p_b - p) (p_t - p) \\
& \quad (p_W - p) (p_t - p) + (p_t - p) (p_b - p)] \\
& + \frac{4}{m_Z^2} (1 - \epsilon) (k_2 - p) [2 (p_b - p) (p_t - p) + (p_b - p) (p_t - p) \\
& \quad (p_W - p) (p_t - p) + (p_t - p) (p_b - p)] \\
& \frac{2}{m_W^2} (3 + \epsilon) (p_W - p) [(k_2 - p) (p_t - p) - (p_b - p) (k_2 - p) + (p_t - p) (k_2 - p)] \\
& + \frac{2}{m_Z^2} (3 - \epsilon) (p_W - p) [(k_2 - p) (p_t - p) - (p_t - p) (k_2 - p) + (p_b - p) (k_2 - p)] \\
& + \frac{4}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (1 + \epsilon) (p_W - p) (p_t - p) [(k_2 - p) (p_b - p) - (p_W - p) (k_2 - p) + (p_b - p) (k_2 - p)] \\
& - \frac{4}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (1 - \epsilon) (p_W - p) (p_b - p) [(k_2 - p) (p_t - p) - (p_W - p) (k_2 - p) + (p_t - p) (k_2 - p)] \\
& + 4m_b^2 g_{bR} \left((4 + \epsilon) (p_t - p) + (2 + \epsilon) (p_t - p) + \frac{1}{m_W^2} \left[\frac{7}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (p_W - p) (p_t - p) \right] \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{m_Z^2} \left[\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (p_W - p) (p_t - p) + \frac{1}{m_W^2 m_Z^2} (1 + \epsilon) (p_W - p)^2 (p_t - p) \right] ; \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon = 1 - m_Z^2/m_W^2$ or $\sin^2 \theta_W$. The interference terms $A_2 A_3$ and $A_1 A_3$ are related by the interchanges $g_{tL,R} \leftrightarrow g_{bL,R}$, $m_t \leftrightarrow m_b$, $p_t \leftrightarrow p_b$, and $k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2$.

The above square amplitudes have been written in terms of k_1 and k_2 , and p_t and p_b , in order to exhibit the symmetries of the square amplitudes explicitly. The total square

amplitude can be rewritten in terms of the three dot products $(p_b \cdot p)$, $(p_b \cdot p)$, and $(p_w \cdot p)$, by eliminating p_t , k_1 and k_2 in the above formulae.

The partial width for the decay mode $t \rightarrow bW^+Z$ is given by the three-body phase space integral

$$\Gamma(t \rightarrow bW^+Z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{32m_t^3} \int dm_{23}^2 dm_{12}^2 \overline{\mathcal{A}}^2 \quad (14)$$

where the invariant square masses $m_{ij}^2 = (p_i + p_j)^2$ are defined in terms of the momenta of the final particles, and the spin-averaged square amplitude

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^2; \quad (15)$$

since one averages rather than sums over the top quark spin.

The partial decay width $\Gamma(t \rightarrow bW^+Z)$ is plotted in Fig. 2 as a function of the top quark mass. The phase space integral was performed numerically for the parameter values $m_W = 80.3 \text{ GeV}$, $m_Z = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$, $m_b = 4.5 \text{ GeV}$, $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23$ and $|V_{tb}| = 1$. The partial width is plotted over the range from $m_t = 176 \text{ GeV}$, where the partial width vanishes, to $m_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$, where the partial decay width is $2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}$. The branching ratio $\text{BR}(t \rightarrow bW^+Z)$ also is plotted as a function of the top quark mass in Fig. 3, assuming that the total width of the top quark is dominated by $t \rightarrow bW^+$,

$$\Gamma(t \rightarrow bW^+) = |V_{tb}|^2 \frac{g^2}{64} \frac{1}{m_W^2 m_t^3} \left[\frac{1}{2} m_t^2 m_W^2 + m_b^2 m_W^2 + m_t^2 m_b^2 - 2m_t^2 m_W^2 + 2m_t^2 m_b^2 \right]; \quad (16)$$

where

$$(x;y;z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2xy - 2yz - 2xz; \quad (17)$$

The CKM matrix element $|V_{tb}|^2$ cancels out of the branching ratio. The branching ratio increases from zero for $m_t = 176 \text{ GeV}$ to 1.0×10^{-5} for $m_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$.^y Although this

^yThe value of the branching ratio for this value of m_t is consistent with the result of Mahlon

branching ratio is too small to be observed at the Tevatron, it is large enough to be interesting for the LHC which is expected to yield about a million fully-reconstructed top quark events per year [6]. The observability of this decay mode depends on the precise value of the top quark mass. The branching ratio is greater than 10^{-6} for $m_t > 187 \text{ GeV}$. The extreme sensitivity of the branching ratio to the top quark mass implies that the decay mode could be used to extract or bound the top quark mass. The decay mode also is sensitive to the presence of the triple gauge vertex W^+W^-Z with the standard model coupling.

The rare decay $t \rightarrow bW^+Z$ is at threshold for the present central value of the top quark mass, so the decays $t \rightarrow sW^+Z$ and $t \rightarrow dW^+Z$ could be more important if $t \rightarrow bW^+Z$ is kinematically forbidden or just allowed. Alternatively, it might be possible to look at these modes by applying a tight cut on the invariant mass of the W^+ and Z momenta to exclude $t \rightarrow bW^+Z$ but not $t \rightarrow sW^+Z$ and dW^+Z . The partial decay widths for the s and d final states can be obtained from the partial decay width for the b mode by replacing m_b by m_s or m_d , and $|V_{tb}|^2$ by $|V_{ts}|^2$ or $|V_{td}|^2$. The partial decay width $(t \rightarrow d_iW^+Z) = |V_{ti}|^2$ is plotted as a function of the top quark mass for vanishing m_{d_i} in Fig. 4. The partial width divided by the CKM matrix element squared is zero at threshold where $m_t = m_W + m_Z$ and increases to $2.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}$ at $m_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$. For canonical values of $|V_{ts}|^2$ and $|V_{td}|^2$, these partial widths will be too small to be observed at LHC.

II. $T \rightarrow CW^+W$

The rare decay $t \rightarrow dW^+W$ proceeds through tree-level graphs with intermediate d , s , and b quarks, as depicted in Fig. 5. The amplitude for the decay is

and Parke [4] in the narrow width approximation. There is some numerical difference with their narrow width results for smaller values of m_t , which probably stems from the inclusion of finite width effects proportional to $\Gamma_W = m_W$ and $\Gamma_Z = m_Z$ in their calculation.

$$A = \sum_{j=d,s,b} V_{tj} V_{cj} \frac{ig}{2} \frac{1}{k^2 - m_j^2} P_L \frac{1}{k^2 - m_j^2} P_L u(p_t); \quad (18)$$

where $k = p_c + p_W = p_t - p_W$. The spin-averaged amplitude squared is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{|A|^2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} V_{tj} V_{cj} V_{tk} V_{ck} \frac{g^4}{2} \frac{1}{k^2 - m_j^2} \frac{1}{k^2 - m_k^2} \\ \times \left[4 (k \cdot p) (k \cdot p) - \frac{1}{2} k^2 (p_c \cdot p) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{m_W^2} (p_t \cdot p +) (k \cdot p) (k \cdot p +) - \frac{1}{2} k^2 (p_c \cdot p +) \right. \\ \left. + (p_c \cdot p) (k \cdot p) (k \cdot p) - \frac{1}{2} k^2 (p_t \cdot p) \right] \\ \left. + \frac{4}{m_W^4} (p_t \cdot p +) (p_c \cdot p) (k \cdot p +) (k \cdot p) - \frac{1}{2} k^2 (p_W + \cdot p) \right]; \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where the factor of 1/2 comes from averaging over the top quark spin. Note that this square amplitude can be derived from Eq. (8) or (9). The amplitude squared can be rewritten in terms of the three dot products $(p_c \cdot p +)$, $(p_c \cdot p)$ and $(p_W + \cdot p)$ of the final particle momenta by eliminating p_t and k in the above formula.

The partial width for the decay mode $t \rightarrow cW^+W^-$ is given by the three-body phase space integral

$$\Gamma(t \rightarrow cW^+W^-) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{32m_t^3} \int dm_{23}^2 dm_{12}^2 \overline{|A|^2}; \quad (20)$$

where the invariant square masses $m_{ij}^2 = (p_i + p_j)^2$ are defined in terms of the momenta of the final particles. It is possible to do the $m_{W^+W^-}^2$ integral explicitly, so that the partial width is given by an integral over $x = m_{cW}^2 = (p_c + p_W)^2 = (p_t - p_W)^2 = k^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(t \rightarrow cW^+W^-) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{32m_t^3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{g^4}{2} \sum_{j,k} V_{tj} V_{cj} V_{tk} V_{ck} \\ \int_{(m_t - m_W)^2}^{(m_t + m_W)^2} dx \frac{1}{x - m_j^2} \frac{1}{x - m_k^2} \frac{1}{2x} \frac{1}{x + m_c^2} \frac{1}{x + m_t^2} \frac{1}{x + m_W^2} \\ \times \left[4 (k \cdot p) (k \cdot p) - \frac{1}{2} k^2 (p_c \cdot p) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{m_W^2} (p_t \cdot p +) (k \cdot p) (k \cdot p +) - \frac{1}{2} k^2 (p_c \cdot p +) \right. \\ \left. + (p_c \cdot p) (k \cdot p) (k \cdot p) - \frac{1}{2} k^2 (p_t \cdot p) \right] \\ \left. + \frac{4}{m_W^4} (p_t \cdot p +) (p_c \cdot p) (k \cdot p +) (k \cdot p) - \frac{1}{2} k^2 (p_W + \cdot p) \right]; \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m_W^4} h \times m_t^2 + m_W^2 \times m_t^2 \times m_W^2 \times m_c^2 + m_W^2 \times m_c^2 \times m_W^2 :^i)$$

The integrand of Eq. (21) is symmetric under the interchange $m_t^2 \leftrightarrow m_c^2$, but this symmetry is broken by the limits of integration of the remaining phase space integral. An important observation about the decay width is that the width vanishes for $m_j^2 = 0$ or $m_k^2 = 0$ by CKM unitarity,

$$\sum_{j=d,s,p} V_{tj} V_{cj} = 0 : \quad (22)$$

This GIM suppression can be made manifest by replacing the two d-quark propagators by

$$\frac{1}{x \ m_j^2} \cdot \frac{1}{x \ m_j^2} \cdot \frac{1}{x} = \frac{m_j^2}{x \ x \ m_j^2}; \quad (23)$$

which implies that the integrand is multiplied by

$$\frac{m_j^2 m_k^2}{x^2} : \quad (24)$$

Thus, the final formula for the partial width is

$$t! \frac{dW}{dW} = \frac{1}{(2)^3} \frac{1}{32m_t^3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{g}{2} \sum_{j,k} V_{tj} V_{cj} V_{tk} V_{ck} I_{m_j^2, m_k^2, m_c^2, m_t^2, m_W^2}; \quad (25)$$

where the integral equals

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{m_j^2, m_k^2, m_c^2, m_t^2, m_w^2} &= m_j^2 m_k^2 \int_{(m_c+m_w)^2}^{(m_t+m_w)^2} dx \frac{1}{x-m_j^2} \frac{1}{x-m_k^2} \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2x^3} \frac{1}{2} x; m_c^2, m_w^2 \frac{1}{2} x; m_t^2, m_w^2 x+m_t^2 m_w^2 x+m_c^2 m_w^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m_w^2}^h x m_t^2 m_w^2 x+m_c^2 m_w^2 x m_t^2+m_w^2 \\
&\quad + x m_c^2 m_w^2 x+m_t^2 m_w^2 x m_c^2+m_w^2^i \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m_w^4}^h x m_t^2+m_w^2 x m_t^2 m_w^2 x m_c^2+m_w^2 x m_c^2 m_w^2^i) :
\end{aligned}
\tag{26}$$

Numerical integration of Eq. (26) (which assumes that GIM suppression is operative) shows that the decay width is completely dominated by the contribution with $m_j^2 = m_k^2 = m_b^2$. The partial width is plotted as a function of the top quark mass for $m_W = 80.3 \text{ GeV}$,

$m_c = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$, $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23$ and $V_{cb} = 0.036 - 0.046$. The two curves correspond to the lower and upper values of the CKM matrix element V_{cb} . The partial width vanishes at threshold where $m_t = m_c + 2m_W$, and is at most 10^{-12} GeV for $m_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$. This extremely small partial width is a direct consequence of three-family unitarity of the CKM matrix in the u-quark sector. If the GIM suppression condition Eq. (22) is relaxed, the integral appearing in Eq. (21) is a factor of $2 - 10^5$ larger than $I(m_b^2; m_b^2; m_c^2; m_t^2; m_W^2)$ for each value of m_j^2 and m_k^2 . Thus, it is quite possible that the rare decay $t \rightarrow dW^+W^-$ occurs at an observable level in non-standard model theories. A search for this rare decay mode would directly test CKM unitarity of the tc rows of the CKM matrix.

The partial width for the rare decay $t \rightarrow uW^+W^-$ can be obtained from the above with the replacement $c \rightarrow u$. The partial width for the up mode is even smaller than for the charm mode due to smaller CKM matrix elements. This decay mode can be used to test CKM unitarity of the tu rows of the CKM matrix.

III. CONCLUSIONS

The partial widths for the rare top decay modes $t \rightarrow bW^+Z$, sW^+Z , dW^+Z , cW^+Z and uW^+W^- have been calculated in the standard model. The decay mode $t \rightarrow bW^+Z$ is potentially observable at LHC rates for top quark masses above 187 GeV , and could be used to accurately determine the top quark mass. The decay amplitude also depends on the triple decay vertex W^+W^-Z , and therefore tests for the presence of this coupling and its value. The decays $t \rightarrow dW^+W^-$ and uW^+W^- are extremely GIM-suppressed in the standard model, but may be much larger in non-standard scenarios. A search for these rare decay modes tests CKM unitarity of the tc and tu rows of the CKM matrix,

$$\sum_{j=d,s,b} V_{tj} V_{uj} = 0 : \quad (27)$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy under grant DOE-FG 03-90ER 40546. E. J. also was supported in part by NYI award PHY-9457911 from the National Science Foundation and by a research fellowship from the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Abe et al, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 2966; F. Abe et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 225; S. Abachi et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 2632.
- [2] G. F. Tartarelli, Proceedings of the XXXIst Rencontres de Moriond (1996); M. Narain, Proceedings of the 1996 Les Rencontres de Physique de la Vallée d'Aoste (1996); for a review, see C. Campagnari and M. Franklin, hep-ex/9608003, submitted Reviews of Modern Physics.
- [3] R. Decker, M. Nowakowski and A. Pilaftsis, Z. Phys. C 57 (1993) 339.
- [4] G. Mahlon and S. Parke, Phys. Lett. B 347 (1995) 394.
- [5] V. Barger, W.-Y. Keung and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 2274.
- [6] ATLAS Technical Proposal, CERN/LHCC/94-43, LHCC/P2 (1994).

FIGURES

FIG .1. $t \rightarrow bW^+Z$. Feynman diagrams correspond to the amplitudes A_1, A_2 , and A_3 .

FIG .2. $(t \rightarrow bW^+Z)$ as a function of the top quark mass for $m_W = 80.3 \text{ GeV}$, $m_Z = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$, $m_b = 4.5 \text{ GeV}$, $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23$, and $|V_{tb}|^2 = 1$. The partial decay width vanishes at threshold where $m_t = m_b + m_W + m_Z$.




FIG .3. $BR(t \rightarrow bW^+Z)$ as a function of the top quark mass for $m_W = 80.3 \text{ GeV}$, $m_Z = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$, $m_b = 4.5 \text{ GeV}$, and $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23$. The branching ratio vanishes at threshold where $m_t = m_b + m_W + m_Z$.


FIG .4. $(t \rightarrow d_i W^+Z) = |V_{ti}|^2$ as a function of the top quark mass for $m_W = 80.3 \text{ GeV}$, $m_Z = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$, $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23$ and $m_{d_i} = 0$. The partial decay width vanishes at threshold where $m_t = m_W + m_Z$. This graph is relevant for the decays $t \rightarrow dW^+Z$ and $t \rightarrow sW^+Z$.

FIG .5. $t \rightarrow cW^+W^-$.


FIG .6. $(t \rightarrow cW^+W^-)$ as a function of the top quark mass for $m_W = 80.3 \text{ GeV}$, $m_c = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$, $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23$ and $V_{cb} = 0.036 - 0.046$. The partial decay width vanishes at threshold where $m_t = m_c + 2m_W$.

Figure 1





$\text{BR}(t \rightarrow b W^+ Z)$



$$\Gamma(t \rightarrow d_i W^+ Z) / |V_{ti}|^2 \text{ (GeV)}$$




Figure 5

