

# A Quantitative Analysis of Charmonium Suppression in Nuclear Collisions

D. Kharzeev<sup>1</sup>, C. Lourenco<sup>2</sup>, M. Nardi<sup>1,3</sup> and H. Satz<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Fakultät für Physik, Universität Bielefeld, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany

<sup>2</sup> PPE Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup> INFN Torino, Università di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy

<sup>4</sup> Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

## Abstract

Data from  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi'$  production in p-A collisions are used to determine the cross section for absorption of pre-resonance charmonium in nuclear matter. The  $J/\psi$  suppression in O-Cu, O-U and S-U collisions is fully reproduced by the corresponding nuclear absorption, while Pb-Pb collisions show an additional suppression increasing with centrality. We study the onset of this change in terms of hadronic comover interactions and conclude that so far no conventional hadronic description can consistently account for all data. Deconfinement, starting at a critical point determined by central S-U collisions, is in accord with the observed suppression pattern.

## 1. Introduction

The suppression of  $J/\psi$  production in nucleus-nucleus collisions was proposed ten years ago as a signal of colour deconfinement [1]. When a suppression was observed in  $O-U$  and later in  $S-U$  collisions [2], it was noted that already  $p-A$  interactions result in reduced  $J/\psi$  production [3]. Following recent studies of charmonium production in hadron-hadron interactions [4, 5],  $J/\psi$  suppression in  $p-A$  collisions can be understood as absorption of a pre-resonance colour state in nuclear matter [6]. The onset of colour deconfinement must then lead to an additional suppression of  $J/\psi$  production, beyond the mentioned nuclear absorption; in other words, it must result in a reduction beyond what is already observed in  $p-A$  interactions [7].

The "anomalous"  $J/\psi$  suppression recently reported by the NA50 collaboration [8]–[10] therefore triggered considerable excitement and already quite a number of tentative explanations [11]–[17]. While we fully share this excitement, we believe that present data are sufficient to carry out a quantitative analysis of charmonium suppression in nuclear collisions. There now exist quite extensive results on  $J/\psi$  production in  $p-A$  interactions for the determination of nuclear absorption, and the introduction of a zero degree calorimeter in the experimental set-up of NA50 specifies the underlying collision geometry much better than before. Combining the two in a systematic analysis leads to some clear-cut conclusions and also shows what further data is necessary to corroborate these.

We begin our analysis, in Section 2, with a study of pre-resonance charmonium absorption in  $p-A$  collisions, using the Glauber theory of nuclear interactions. Apart from the well-established nuclear profile, this formalism contains as only parameter the absorption cross section  $\sigma_{abs}$  in nuclear matter, which can thus be determined with a precision limited only by that of the  $p-A$  data. Turning to the collision geometry of nucleus-nucleus interactions, we establish in Section 3 the relation between the centrality of the collision, the associated transverse energy and the associated number of spectator nucleons.

Using these results, we show in Section 4 that pre-resonance absorption fully accounts for the  $J/\psi$  suppression observed in  $O-Cu$ ,  $O-U$  and  $S-U$  collisions. In contrast,  $Pb-Pb$  collisions show considerable  $J/\psi$  suppression beyond nuclear absorption. For the  $^0$ , there is increased absorption already in  $S-U$  collisions.

In Section 5, we then investigate if the observed  $J/\psi$  and  $^0$  suppression

can be understood in "conventional" terms, i.e., as dissociation in a system of hadronic comovers produced in the course of the collision. We find that the anomalous  $J/\psi$  suppression in  $Pb-Pb$  and only nuclear absorption in  $S-U$  collisions make this impossible. In Section 6, we consider charmonium suppression by local colour deconfinement, assumed to begin at a critical density just above that of central  $S-U$  collisions. The resulting suppression pattern agrees with the  $Pb-Pb$  data.

## 2. Nuclear Absorption in $p-A$ Collisions

Charmonium production in hadron-hadron collisions proceeds through parton fusion, at high energies predominantly gluon fusion, to form a  $c\bar{c}$  pair [18]. Because of the high mass  $m_c$  of the charm quark, this process occurs almost instantaneously, with a formation time  $\tau_c \sim (2m_c)^{-1} \sim 0.07$  fm in the  $c\bar{c}$  rest frame. The  $c\bar{c}$  pair is generally in a coloured state; to neutralize its colour and form a singlet  $c\bar{c}$  state  $J/\psi$  or  $\psi'$  requires a considerably longer time; virtuality estimates [6] give about 0.3 fm, again in the rest frame of the  $c\bar{c}$ . The analysis of recent experiments [19] has shown the role of higher Fock space components in charmonium hadroproduction [4, 5]. For production at low transverse momentum this suggests that the pre-resonance state of charmonia in the first 0.3 fm is a colour octet  $c\bar{c}$  coupled with a soft collinear gluon to neutralise the overall colour of this  $c\bar{c}g$  system [6]. In the presently accessible kinematic region of  $J/\psi$  production by  $p-A$  collisions ( $x_F \approx 0$ ), the target nucleus sees only the passage of the pre-resonance state; physical charmonium states are formed outside the nucleus.

The suppression of  $J/\psi$  production in  $p-A$  collisions should thus be understood as pre-resonance absorption in normal nuclear matter. The size of the pre-resonance state is determined by the charm quark mass and the confinement scale; hence it is the same for  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi'$ . This accounts naturally for the equal suppression observed for the two states, which would be impossible for physical resonances whose transverse areas differ by more than a factor three. Theoretical estimates suggest for the absorption cross section of the  $c\bar{c}g$  on nucleons  $\sigma_{abs} \sim 6-7$  mb [6]. We want to see to what extent it is possible to determine this cross section in a systematic study of presently available  $p-A$  data.

In Glauber theory, the survival probability for a  $J/\psi$  produced in a  $p-A$

collision is given by

$$S_{G1}^{pA} = (pA \rightarrow \text{charm})/A (pN \rightarrow \text{charm}) = \int_0^Z d^2b dz \rho_A(b; z) \exp \left( - \int_z^{Z-1} dz^0 \rho_A(b; z^0) \sigma_{\text{abs}} \right) \quad (1)$$

Here  $\rho_A$  is the nuclear density distribution, for which we take the standard three-parameter Woods-Saxon form with parameters as tabulated [20]; it is normalized to unity, with

$$\int_0^Z d^2b dz \rho_A(b; z) = 1 \quad (2)$$

In Eq. (1), the integration runs over the impact parameter  $b$  of the incident proton and the production point  $z$  of the pre-resonance state; the integral in the weight function covers the path of this state. The suppression is thus fully determined by the absorption cross section  $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$  in nuclear matter.

Experimentally, the  $J/\psi$  survival probability

$$S_{pA}^{\text{exp}} = S_{pA}^{\text{exp}} / A = S_{pN}^{\text{exp}} \quad (3)$$

is determined by comparing  $p \rightarrow A$  with  $p \rightarrow p$  or  $p \rightarrow D$  results. We start with the latter, since here there are data at 200 [NA 38], 450 [NA 38/51] and 800 GeV/c [E 772]; for a recent compilation, see [10]. In Table 1a, we list the corresponding survival probabilities. The errors of the 800 GeV/c data [21] are significantly smaller than those at the other energies because here  $p \rightarrow A$  and  $p \rightarrow D$  collisions were studied in the same experiment. Using the 450 GeV/c NA 51  $p \rightarrow D$  cross section [22] to normalize the 450 GeV/c NA 38  $p \rightarrow A$  data [23] results in larger errors. To normalize the 200 GeV/c  $p \rightarrow A$  data, the 450 GeV/c  $p \rightarrow D$  cross section moreover has to be rescaled [10], using the experimentally known energy dependence of  $J/\psi$  production. (We now use the  $A$ -dependence of these results to determine the nuclear absorption cross section for pre-resonance charmonium.)

For the combined data in Table 1a we obtain the best fit with

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 7.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ mb} \quad (4)$$

it gives a  $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 1.4$ , with the error corresponding to a confidence level of 95% (see Fig. 1). Analyzing the 800, 450 and 200 GeV/c data separately

gives clear  $\chi^2$  minima at 7.3, 7.4 and 7.1 mb, respectively. The results thus are fully compatible; they indicate that the A-dependence does not vary with incident energy in the presently studied range. This will presumably change when the beam energies become much higher (RHIC and LHC), since then nuclear shadowing of the gluon distributions is expected to enhance the suppression [24]. The survival probabilities obtained with the cross section (4) are included in Table 1a. In Fig. 2, we compare the experimental and the nuclear absorption results; the agreement is very good.

We have also carried out the corresponding analysis of the 450 and 200 GeV/c p-A results with the NA51 p-p data as reference. The resulting  $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 6.4 \pm 0.6$  mb is somewhat lower, but still compatible with Eq. (4). However, here the large errors of the data make it difficult to determine limits, so that the quoted range in this case corresponds to twice the minimum  $\chi^2$ .

So far, the nuclear suppression cross section was obtained with p-p or p-p data as reference. There is an alternative method which does not require these p-N values. The nuclear target ratios  $S_{\text{pA}} = S_{\text{pB}}$  depend directly on  $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$ . We will here compare the results on different targets to those on carbon, since for this case there exist also 450 GeV/c data with relatively small errors [23]. The ratios at 800 and 450 GeV/c are listed in Table 1b; they provide the best fit for  $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 7.0 \pm 1.5$ , with  $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 0.9$  and the error determined by a 95% confidence level. The  $\chi^2$  distribution is included in Fig. 1; again the different experiments also have their individual  $\chi^2$  minima at 7 mb. We thus find that the value of  $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$  obtained through nuclear ratios is in good agreement with that obtained from Table 1a. Since the value (4) is the most precise, we will use it in the remainder of our analysis.

Next we turn to a comparison of  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi'$  production in p-A collisions. If the suppression observed in these reactions is indeed due to pre-resonance absorption, the ratio  $\psi'/(J/\psi)$  should remain for all A at its value in nucleon-nucleon interactions, where it was found to be  $(1.81 \pm 0.38) \cdot 10^{-2}$  and independent of the c.m.s. collision energy from 20 to almost 2000 GeV [18]. Fitting the p-A results compiled in [10] by the form

$$\frac{B(\psi' \rightarrow e^+e^-)_{\text{pA}} / B(\psi' \rightarrow e^+e^-)_{\text{pp}}}{B(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-)_{\text{pA}} / B(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-)_{\text{pp}}} = c A^{-(\sigma_{\psi'} - \sigma_{J/\psi})}; \quad (5)$$

we obtain  $(\sigma_{\psi'} - \sigma_{J/\psi}) = 0.00 \pm 0.02$  with a 95% confidence level; this rules out variations of more than 10% between p-p and p-U collisions. The value  $c = (1.74 \pm 0.07) \cdot 10^{-2}$  agrees very well with the mentioned nucleon-nucleon average.

	$P_{\text{beam}}$ [GeV/c]	$S_{\text{pA}}^{\text{exp}}$	$S_{\text{G1}}$ (7.3 0.6 mb)
p-C	800	0.851 0.013	0.867 0.010
p-Ca	800	0.806 0.009	0.784 0.014
p-Fe	800	0.756 0.010	0.753 0.015
p-W	800	0.619 0.013	0.650 0.021
p-C	450	0.85 0.10	0.87 0.01
p-Al	450	0.76 0.09	0.81 0.02
p-Cu	450	0.75 0.08	0.74 0.02
p-W	450	0.67 0.08	0.65 0.02
p-Cu	200	0.75 0.17	0.74 0.02
p-W	200	0.65 0.05	0.65 0.02
p-U	200	0.63 0.13	0.61 0.02

(a)  $J=$  suppression from pA  $\Rightarrow$  pD [2,10,22]

	$P_{\text{beam}}$ [GeV/c]	$S_{\text{pA}}^{\text{exp}}=S_{\text{pC}}^{\text{exp}}$	$S_{\text{G1}}^{\text{pA}}=S_{\text{G1}}^{\text{pC}}$ (7.3 0.6 mb)
Ca/C	800	0.947 0.024	0.904 0.007
Fe/C	800	0.888 0.025	0.869 0.009
W /C	800	0.727 0.026	0.750 0.015
Al/C	450	0.925 0.055	0.931 0.005
Cu/C	450	0.855 0.035	0.859 0.009
W /C	450	0.761 0.043	0.750 0.015

(b)  $J=$  suppression ratios pA  $\Rightarrow$  pC [22,23]

Table 1:  $J=$  suppression in p A collisions

We thus conclude that the attenuation of  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi(0)$  in  $p-A$  collisions is quantitatively well described as the absorption of a pre-resonance charmonium state in nuclear matter, with  $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 7.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ mb}$ . The  $A$ -independence of  $\sigma(0)(J/\psi)$  establishes that the absorption occurs before the formation of physical resonances.

### 3. The Centrality Dependence of Nuclear Collisions

In nucleus-nucleus collisions, charmonium production can be measured as function of the centrality of the collision, and hence we have to calculate the  $J/\psi$  survival probability at fixed impact parameter  $b$ . For an  $A-B$  collision, it is given by

$$\frac{dS_{G1}(b)}{d^2b} = \frac{1}{AB} \frac{1}{(N N!)^2} \left( \frac{d(A B!)}{d^2b} \right)^{\#} =$$

$$= \int_{-Z}^Z ds \int_{-z}^z dz \int_{-z^0}^0 dz^0 \rho_A(\mathbf{s}; z) \rho_B(\mathbf{s}; z^0) \exp \left[ -(A-1) \int_{-z}^z dz_A \rho_A(\mathbf{s}; z_A) \sigma_{\text{abs}} \right]$$

$$\exp \left[ -(B-1) \int_{-z^0}^0 dz_B \rho_B(\mathbf{s}; z_B) \sigma_{\text{abs}} \right] : \quad (6)$$

Here  $\mathbf{s}$  specifies the position of the production point in a plane orthogonal to the collision axis, while  $z$  and  $z^0$  give the position of this point within nucleus  $A$  and within nucleus  $B$ , respectively. The nuclear density distributions  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_B$  are defined as above. Note that  $dS_{G1}/d^2b$  is normalized such that when  $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 0$ ,

$$\int_{-Z}^Z \frac{1}{d^2b} \left( \frac{dS_{G1}(b; \sigma_{\text{abs}} = 0)}{d^2b} \right) = 1: \quad (7)$$

To obtain a normalized probability at fixed impact parameter  $b$ , we have to divide  $[dS_{G1}(b)/d^2b]$  by  $[dS_{G1}(b; \sigma_{\text{abs}} = 0)/d^2b]$ .

Experimentally, the centrality of the collision is determined by a calorimetric measurement of the associated transverse energy  $E_T$ , i.e., the total energy emitted in the form of hadrons in a plane orthogonal to the beam axis. The more central a collision is, the more nucleons from target and

projectile will participate in the interaction, and hence the more secondary hadrons will be produced. Starting with the Pb-beam experiments at CERN, the NA 50 collaboration uses in addition a zero degree calorimeter; it counts the number of projectile nucleons which have not participated in the collision and therefore provides a further check on the centrality of the reaction. To compare our calculations with the measured survival probability  $S_{AB}^{\text{exp}}(E_T)$ , we thus have to establish and test a correspondence between the impact parameter  $b$ , the transverse energy  $E_T$ , and the energy  $E_Z$  reaching the zero degree calorimeter.

This correlation is given in terms of the number of "wounded" nucleons [25]. Any given nucleon in a p-A or A-B collision is designated as wounded when it has interacted inelastically one or more times with one or more other nucleons, and fragmentation of such wounded nucleons eventually produces the observed secondary hadrons. At high energies, a projectile nucleon in general passes the entire target nucleus in a time much shorter than needed to transfer information across its own spatial extension, and vice versa for the target nucleons. For this reason, the number of times a nucleon is wounded does not affect the subsequent production of hadronic secondaries in its fragmentation. A given wounded nucleon produces on the average  $N_h$  hadrons, and if each of these hadrons has an average transverse energy  $q_h$ , then each wounded nucleon will contribute  $q_h N_h$  to the overall transverse energy produced in the collision. We thus have the relation

$$E_T(b) = q N_w(b) \quad (8)$$

between the average number  $N_w$  of nucleons wounded in a collision at fixed impact parameter  $b$  and the associated average transverse energy  $E_T$  produced in that collision. In general,  $q$  will depend on the incident energy in the same way as the average multiplicity. Besides this, in the analysis of specific experimental results,  $q$  depends on the details of the detector, in particular on the rapidity and transverse momentum range in which the produced secondaries are measured. Note that relation (8) is no longer valid when  $E_T > E_T(b=0)$ , i.e., when  $E_T$  is increased by multiplicity fluctuations at  $b=0$ .

The average number of wounded nucleons in an A-B collision at impact parameter  $b$  is given by

$$N_w^{AB}(b) = A^R \int_0^1 ds T_A(s) [1 - \int_0^{1-s} T_B(s-b) ds] +$$



$$+ \int_B^R d^2s \int_{-1}^1 T_B(s, b) \left[ 1 - \int_{-1}^1 T_A(s) \right]^A : \quad (9)$$

Here  $\sigma_{NN}^{\text{inel}} = 30 \text{ mb}$  denotes the inelastic nucleon-nucleon cross section without the diffractive production component, and  $T_A(s) = \int_{-1}^1 dz \int_A(z; s)$  the nuclear profile function; the  $s$ -integration runs again over a plane orthogonal to the collision axis. The two terms in Eq. (9) thus correspond to the wounding of nucleons from nucleus A in its passage of nucleus B and vice versa.

Integrating Eq. (9) over impact parameter yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1}^1 d^2b N_w^{AB}(b) = \\ & \int_A^Z d^2s \int_{-1}^1 T_B(s) \left[ 1 - \int_{-1}^1 T_A(s) \right]^A + \int_B^Z d^2s \int_{-1}^1 T_A(s) \left[ 1 - \int_{-1}^1 T_B(s) \right]^B ; \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\int_{-1}^1 d^2b T(s, b) = 1$  for any  $s$ , see Eq. (2). Since the inelastic cross section for nucleon-nucleus collisions is given by

$$\sigma_A^{\text{inel}} = \int_A^Z d^2s \int_{-1}^1 \left[ 1 - \int_{-1}^1 T_A(s) \right]^A ; \quad (11)$$

Eq. (10) can be re-written in the familiar form [25]

$$\int_{-1}^1 d^2b N_w^{AB}(b) = (\sigma_A^{\text{inel}} + \sigma_B^{\text{inel}}) : \quad (12)$$

Because of fluctuations in the number of wounded nucleons and in the transverse energy of the secondaries that each wounded nucleon produces, there will be corresponding fluctuations in the relation between  $E_T$  and  $b$ . We assume the dispersion  $D$  in the number of wounded nucleons to be proportional to  $N_w$ ,

$$D_{AB}^2 = a N_w^{AB}(b); \quad (13)$$

with a dimensionless universal physical parameter  $a$  found to be about one in A-B collisions [25]. The  $E_T$ - $b$  correlation function (see Eq. (8)) can then be written as

$$P_{AB}(E_T; b) = \frac{1}{2 \sigma_A^{\text{inel}} N_w^{AB}(b)} \exp \left( - \frac{[E_T - \sigma_A^{\text{inel}} N_w^{AB}(b)]^2}{2 \sigma_A^{\text{inel}} N_w^{AB}(b)} \right); \quad (14)$$

with

$$\int_0^Z dE_T P_{AB}(E_T; b) = 1; \quad (15)$$

so that it is normalized at fixed  $b$ .

We now have to check whether the resulting form indeed accounts for the observed  $E_T$ -distributions and the fluctuation dispersion  $a$ . These general questions are best answered using the minimum bias data of the NA 35/49 collaboration [26]; that will also allow us to later address charm onium production data with as well-determined a form as possible. The minimum bias cross section as function of  $E_T$  is given by

$$\frac{d_{AB}}{dE_T} = \int_0^Z d^2b [1 - P_0(b)] P_{AB}(E_T; b); \quad (16)$$

where  $P_0(b) = [1 - N_{T_{AB}}(b)]^{AB}$  is the probability for no interaction. Hence  $[1 - P_0(b)]$  cuts off the integral at large  $b$ , when interactions become impossible (for a hard sphere model, this would be at  $b = R_A + R_B$ ). In Fig. 3, we compare Eq. (16) to the measured minimum bias  $E_T$  distributions from S Au and Pb Pb collisions [26]. Note that the S Au data were measured in a larger rapidity window than the Pb Pb data; they were then reanalysed to obtain the S Au  $E_T$ -distribution in the Pb Pb rapidity window [27]. Setting  $a = 1$  [25] and with  $q = 1.5$  for S Au and  $q = 1.4$  for Pb Pb interactions, Eq. (16) is seen to provide an excellent description of the measured  $E_T$  distributions. Since the acceptance is the same in both cases, the difference in the observed  $q$ -values is expected to reflect the difference in collision energies; it thus provides us with some estimate of the energy dependence.

The distribution of the transverse energy associated to charm onium production will differ from that just discussed, since the  $A-B$  dependence of hard processes is determined by the number of collisions, rather than by the number of wounded nucleons. When a nucleon, in passing a nucleus, gets wounded several times, at present energies this does not change the multiplicity of secondaries in its subsequent hadronisation; but each of the collisions can in principle produce a hard dilepton pair or a heavy quark state. The number of collisions in an  $A-B$  interaction at impact parameter  $b$  is given by

$$N_C^{AB}(b) = AB T_{AB}(b) N; \quad (17)$$

where  $T_{AB}(\mathbf{b}) = \int_R d^2s T_A(\mathbf{s}) T_B(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{s})$  is the nuclear overlap function, normalized to unity:  $\int d^2b T_{AB}(\mathbf{b}) = 1$ . Using it, we can define the "conditional"  $E_T$ -distribution, associated with a hard process such as Drell-Yan or charmonium production,

$$\frac{d^h_{AB}}{dE_T} = \frac{N^h_Z}{N} d^2b N_c^{AB}(\mathbf{b}) P_{AB}(E_T; \mathbf{b}) \quad (18)$$

It is seen to be correctly normalized, giving

$$\frac{N^h_{AB}}{N} = \frac{N^h_Z}{N} d^2b N_c(\mathbf{b}) = AB \frac{N^h}{N}; \quad (19)$$

where  $\frac{N^h}{N}$  is the cross section for the corresponding hard process in an elementary  $NN$  collision.

Before we can compare our charmonium survival probabilities at fixed  $E_T$  with data, we thus have to check that also the conditional  $E_T$ -distributions are correctly reproduced with our  $E_T$  assignment. Since the acceptance in the NA 38/50 set-up differs from the minimum bias experiments studied above, we have to determine once again the mean energy  $q$  measured per wounded nucleon; the dispersion in  $N_w$  is retained as determined above. We see in Fig. 4 that with  $q = 0.75$  for S+U and  $q = 0.40$  for Pb+Pb collisions the conditional  $E_T$  distributions are reproduced very well. We have included in our distribution the measured  $J/\psi$  suppression relative to the Drell-Yan continuum, since the presently available distributions are dominated by  $J/\psi$  rather than Drell-Yan events. At low  $E_T$ , the data fall below the calculated distribution; this is in both cases due to the  $E_T$  acceptance profile of the experiment [28]. The larger difference between the two  $q$ -values here, as compared to minimum bias, is due to different rapidity coverages of the NA 38 and NA 50 calorimeters.

As already mentioned, the Pb+Pb experiment provides full collision geometry determination by means of a zero degree calorimeter (ZDC), which measures at each  $E_T$  the associated number of projectile spectators { those projectile nucleons which reach the ZDC with their full initial energy  $E_{in} = 158$  GeV}. This additional information uniquely identifies the peripherality of the collisions leading to the measured charmonium production; qualitatively, the NA 50 results are quite similar to the minimum bias results of WA 80 [29]. The measured  $E_T - E_Z$  correlation [30] in Fig. 5 indicates, e.g., that for transverse energy  $E_T \sim 90$  GeV approximately half the projectile nucleons remain spectators; therefore the impact parameter in this case must be

around  $b = R_{pb}$ . In general, the number of projectile spectators is  $A - N_w^A$ , so that  $E_Z = (A - N_w^A)E_{in}$ . Using  $E_T = q N_w = 2q N_w^A$ , this leads to the  $E_T - E_Z$  correlation shown in Fig. 5 (labelled 'Glauber'); it is seen to agree very well with the measured correlation.

#### 4. Nuclear Absorption and Anomalous Suppression

With the relation between the measured transverse energy  $E_T$  and the impact parameter  $b$  of the collision determined, we can now calculate the  $E_T$  dependence of the charmonium survival probability in nuclear matter. It is given by

$$S_{G1}(E_T) = \int d^2b P_{AB}(E_T; b) \frac{\int dS_{G1}(b)}{d^2b} \quad (20)$$

$$\left( \int d^2b P_{AB}(E_T; b) \frac{\int dS_{G1}(b;_{abs=0})}{d^2b} \right)^{-1} :$$

Here the survival probability at fixed impact parameter is given by Eq. (6) and the  $E_T - b$  distribution by Eq. (14). Through Eq. (20),  $S_{G1}(E_T)$  is normalized at fixed  $E_T$  such that  $S_{G1}(E_T) = 1$  for  $_{abs=0}$ . With  $_{abs} = 7.3 \pm 0.6$  mb, from Eq. (4), and with  $P_{AB}(E_T; b)$  as determined in the last section, we have absolute predictions for the nuclear absorption suffered by charmonia in nucleus-nucleus collisions.

We begin with  $J/\psi$  production and first consider the  $E_T$ -integrated survival probabilities in O-Cu, O-U and S-U collisions. In this case, the experimental survival probabilities are given by  $S_{AB}^{exp} = ( \frac{exp}{AB} ) = ( \frac{exp}{pD} )$  and thus fully determined in terms of measured cross sections. As seen in Table 2, the Glauber predictions fall well within the error range of the data.

Turning now to the  $E_T$ -dependence, we list in Table 3a the experimental survival probabilities [10, 31], obtained with reference to the rescaled p-D data of NA 51. They are shown together with the corresponding Glauber results, which again agree well with the data.

We thus find that the  $J/\psi$  suppression observed in the collision of O and S beam with nuclear targets can be accounted for by pre-resonance absorption in nuclear matter. For  $\psi^0$  production, this is no longer the case. The data for the survival probabilities are shown in Table 3b. They are well below the nuclear absorption predictions, and the additional suppression increases

	$S^{\text{exp}}$		$S_{G1}$ (7.3 0.6 mb)		$S_{J=}^{\text{corr}}$ (7.3 0.6 mb)	
O-Cu	0.57	0.06	0.63	0.02		
O-U	0.53	0.05	0.52	0.03		
S-U	0.46	0.05	0.49	0.03	0.47	0.03
Pb-Pb	0.27	0.02	0.39	0.03	0.37	0.03

Table 2:  $J=$  suppression in A-B collisions [2,10].

with increasing  $E_T$ . We shall return in Section 5 to the interpretation and description of this enhanced  $\phi$  suppression; here we only want to note its effect on  $J=$  production. It is known that 8–2 % of the observed  $J=$ ’s are due to  $\phi$  decay [18]. Since the latter is suppressed in S-U collisions, the corresponding fraction of the observed  $J=$ ’s must be suppressed as well. We thus obtain as corrected  $J=$  suppression

$$S_{J=}^{\text{corr}} = 0.92 S_{G1} + 0.08 S_{\phi}; \quad (21)$$

the corresponding values are included in the Tables and are seen to generally bring data and nuclear absorption results even closer together.

As a further check with data of higher precision, we consider the directly measured ratio of  $J=$  to Drell-Yan production. The  $E_T$ -dependence of this ratio is predicted as

$$[R^{AB} = \frac{N^{AB}}{N^{DY}}](E_T) = G S_{J=}^{\text{corr}}(E_T); \quad (22)$$

with  $G = \frac{N^{NN}}{N^{DY}} = \frac{N^{NN}}{N^{DY}}$ . Since the nucleon-nucleon ratio at 200 GeV/c beam momentum is experimentally not determined with the same precision as the nuclear ratios, we fit the form (22) to the data [10, 31] and check whether the resulting  $E_T$  dependence is correct. The result, with  $G = 46.0$ , is shown in Table 4a and seen to give excellent agreement with the data.

Next we turn to the new data for  $J=$  production in Pb-Pb collisions. The integrated  $J=$  survival probability as predicted by Glauber theory, with the pre-resonance nuclear absorption cross section (4), is included in Table 2, together with the corresponding data; data and prediction for the  $E_T$ -dependence are listed in Tables 4b and 5a. We note that the data decrease

$\langle E_T \rangle$ GeV	$S^{\text{exp}}(E_T)$	$S_{G1}(E_T)$ (7.3 0.6 mb)	$S_{J=}^{\text{corr}}(E_T)$ (7.3 0.6 mb)
25	0.54 0.05	0.58 0.02	0.56 0.03
42	0.49 0.05	0.52 0.03	0.49 0.03
57	0.45 0.05	0.48 0.03	0.46 0.03
71	0.43 0.05	0.45 0.03	0.43 0.03
82	0.42 0.04	0.43 0.03	0.41 0.03

(a)  $J=$  suppression in S-U collisions

$\langle E_T \rangle$ GeV	$S_0^{\text{exp}}(E_T)$	$S_{G1}(E_T)$ (7.3 0.6 mb)
25	0.35 0.07	0.58 0.02
42	0.25 0.05	0.52 0.03
57	0.19 0.04	0.48 0.03
71	0.13 0.03	0.45 0.03
82	0.09 0.02	0.43 0.03

(b)  $^0$  suppression in S-U collisions

Table 3: Charm onium suppression in S-U collisions [NA 38].

$\langle E_T \rangle$ GeV	$[(J=)D Y]_{\text{exp}}(E_T)$	$46.0 S_{J=}^{\text{corr}}(E_T)$ (7.3 0.6 mb)
25	25.2 0.7	25.7 1.2
42	22.8 0.6	22.8 1.2
57	21.0 0.5	21.0 1.2
71	20.2 0.4	19.6 1.2
82	19.2 0.4	18.7 1.2

(a) S U collisions [NA 38]

$\langle E_T \rangle$ GeV	$[(J=)D Y]_{\text{exp}}(E_T)$	$46.0 S_{J=}^{\text{corr}}(E_T)$ (7.3 0.6 mb)
35	17.8 2.2	20.2 1.2
59	13.2 1.0	17.9 1.2
88	12.7 0.8	16.6 1.2
120	11.4 0.8	15.6 1.2
149	8.6 0.8	15.2 1.2

(b) P b P b collisions [NA 50]

Table 4:  $(J=)/D$  ratios in nuclear collisions.

$\langle E_T \rangle$ GeV	$S^{\text{exp}}(E_T)$		$S_{G1}(E_T)$ (7.3 0.6 mb)		$S_{J=0}^{\text{corr}}(E_T)$ (7.3 0.6 mb)	
35	0.40	0.06	0.47	0.03	0.44	0.03
59	0.30	0.03	0.41	0.03	0.39	0.03
88	0.29	0.03	0.38	0.03	0.36	0.03
120	0.26	0.03	0.36	0.03	0.34	0.03
149	0.20	0.02	0.35	0.03	0.33	0.03

(a)  $J=0$  suppression in Pb-Pb collisions

$\langle E_T \rangle$ GeV	$S_0^{\text{exp}}(E_T)$ fm		$S_{G1}(E_T)$ (7.3 0.6 mb)	
51	0.12	0.02	0.43	0.03
84	0.11	0.02	0.38	0.03
115	0.08	0.02	0.36	0.03
144	0.06	0.01	0.35	0.03

(b)  $J=0$  suppression in Pb-Pb collisions

Table 5: Charmonium suppression in Pb-Pb collisions [NA 50].



well below the predictions: while the survival probability measured at the lowest  $E_T$  value is close to pre-resonance absorption, that at the highest  $E_T$  is about 40% smaller. We thus have a clear and  $E_T$ -dependent onset of additional  $J=$  suppression.

The essential result of this section is thus summarized in Fig. 6: for S-U collisions, nuclear absorption fully accounts for the observed attenuation, for Pb-Pb collisions there is an additional and in this sense "anomalous" suppression.

For the  $\phi$ , an enhanced suppression had already been seen in S-U collisions. In Pb-Pb interactions, the suppression beyond nuclear absorption increases even more, as shown in Table 5b. It also continues to become stronger with increasing  $E_T$ .

## 5. Suppression by Hadronic Comovers

Before we can use charmonium suppression as probe for deconfinement, we have to determine to what extent suppression beyond nuclear absorption can be accounted for by dissociation in a "normal" confined medium. Consider a charmonium state formed at the center of the two colliding nuclei at the moment of their complete overlap, at rest in the center of mass, i.e., at  $x_F = 0$ . For the SPS cm.s. energy of 17 { 20 GeV, the nucleon distribution within each nucleus is Lorentz-contracted along the beam axis to a disc of some 1 { 2 fm thickness. This implies that after about 0.5 { 1.0 fm, most of the nucleons have swept over the nascent charmonium state, resulting in the nuclear absorption described above. After this time, it finds itself within the medium produced by the collision, and it is this medium we want to probe.

The initial density of hadronic comovers is in general determined by the density of wounded nucleons [29]. Since the integrand  $[dN_w(b;s)=d^2s]$  of Eq. (9) is the local density of wounded nucleons at fixed impact parameter, the average density associated to hard collisions is given by

$$n_w(b) = \frac{\int d^2s \frac{dN_c}{d^2s}(b;s)}{\int d^2s \frac{dN_w}{d^2s}(b;s)} \cdot \frac{\int d^2s \frac{dN_c}{d^2s}(b;s)}{\int d^2s \frac{dN_w}{d^2s}(b;s)} : \quad (23)$$

Convolution with  $P_{AB}(E_T;b)$  will convert expression (23) into the corresponding density at fixed  $E_T$ ; the resulting behaviour is shown in Fig. 7.

Because of the very low binding energy ( $\sim 60$  MeV), the  $\phi$  could readily be dissociated by hadronic comovers. To study this, we first express the

initial com over density in terms of the wounded nucleon density,

$$n_{\text{co}}(b; s) = N_h \frac{dN_w(b; s)}{d^2s} ; \quad (24)$$

where  $N_h$  is the number of comoving hadrons produced by each wounded nucleon. We then have to take into account that comover absorption occurs after the absorption of the pre-resonance state; in other words, only a  $\phi^0$  which has survived the pre-resonance passage through the nuclear medium can be absorbed by comovers. The survival probability of a  $\phi^0$  in a comover medium undergoing isentropic longitudinal expansion therefore becomes

$$S_{\phi^0}^{\text{co}}(b) = \int_{-Z}^Z d^2s \exp \left[ -v_{\text{h}} \sigma_{\text{h}} N_h \frac{dN_w(b; s)}{d^2s} \right] \log \left[ N_h \frac{dN_w(b; s)}{d^2s} \right] = n_f \int_{-Z}^Z d^2b d^2s \left( \frac{d^2S_{G1}}{d^2b d^2s}(b; s) \right)^{c_1} \left( \int_{-Z}^Z d^2s \frac{d^2S_{G1}}{d^2s d^2b}(b; s; \text{abs} = 0) \right)^{c_2} ; \quad (25)$$

where  $\sigma_{\text{h}}$  denotes the cross section for  $\phi^0$  break-up by collisions with hadronic comovers,  $v$  the average relative velocity between the  $\phi^0$  and the colliding hadrons, and  $n_f$  the (universal) freeze-out density for comovers. In Eq. (25) we have assumed the cross section for  $\phi^0$  dissociation by hadrons to be energy-independent; since  $2M_D - M_{\phi^0} \approx 60 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $\sigma_{\text{h}}$  is expected to attain its geometric value of about  $10 \text{ mb}$  very near threshold. Rather than estimate the various quantities involved, we prefer to use the  $\phi^0$  suppression in S+U collisions to determine the two constants  $c_1 = N_h/n_f$  and  $c_2 = v_{\text{h}} \sigma_{\text{h}} N_h$  empirically and then verify that the resulting values are of the right magnitude. In Fig. 8a we see that with  $c_1 = 1.3 \text{ fm}^2$  and  $c_2 = 0.8$ , such a comover description indeed agrees quite well with the  $\phi^0$  suppression observed in S+U collisions. The density of wounded nucleons in a nucleon-nucleon collision is about  $1 \text{ fm}^{-2}$ ; taking this as  $n_f$ , and using  $N_h \approx 1.5$ , we would get  $c_1 \approx 1.5$ . For a hadronic cross section of  $10 \text{ mb}$ ,  $c_2 = 0.8 \text{ fm}^2$  implies a hadron velocity of about 0.5. All constants thus lead to reasonable values of the involved quantities.

To compare this comover absorption to the (lower energy) Pb+Pb data, we rescale  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  by the factor  $1.4/1.5$  found above in minimum bias collisions. In Fig. 8b we see that the result agrees also with the Pb+Pb data. Finally, in Fig. 8c, we show the combined S+U and Pb+Pb data as function of the average comover density  $n_{\text{co}}(E_T) = N_h n_w(E_T)$  in charmonium production; the observed behaviour scales quite well in  $n_{\text{co}}$ .

The extension of such a com over approach to anomalous  $J/\psi$  suppression is confronted with two problems. Heavy quark QCD calculations [32] exclude  $J/\psi$  break-up by interaction with hadrons in the present energy range, since the dissociation cross section is strongly damped near threshold; this remains true also when target mass effects are included [33]. However, it is not a priori clear that charm quarks are sufficiently heavy to assure the applicability of heavy quark theory. Although this theory correctly reproduces  $J/\psi$ -photoproduction data, it can and certainly should be checked directly [34]. Until such a direct experimental confirmation is given, it remains of interest to assume less or no threshold damping; the  $J/\psi$  will then interact with a hadronic com over medium through much or all of its geometric cross section.

The second problem is that the suppression by hadronic com overs increases continuously; there cannot be a sudden onset. Hence it is necessary to check if the presence of com over absorption in  $S+U$  collisions is compatible with the constraints provided by all presently available data. The  $J/\psi$  absorption by hadronic com overs is readily obtained from Eq. (25); we just replace the  $^0$ -hadron break-up cross section by that for  $J/\psi$  dissociation. If we assume this cross section to be around 1.5 mb (i.e., approximately half its geometric value) essentially from threshold on, we obtain as much suppression as is observed in  $Pb+Pb$  collisions, as seen in Fig. 9a. However, with the break-up cross section thus fixed, we now have a parameter-free prediction for the  $S+U$  suppression, and it is evident from Fig. 9a that this does not agree with the data. Fig. 9a also illustrates quite clearly that a precise study of normal vs. anomalous behaviour is needed to confirm this conclusion.

We therefore turn to the ratio of ( $J/\psi$ )/(Drell-Yan) production in  $S+U$  and  $Pb+Pb$  collisions (Table 4). In Fig. 9b we see that with the absorption cross section fixed at 1.5 mb, the  $J/\psi$  suppression remains in good agreement with the  $Pb+Pb$  data; however, the corresponding com over absorption clearly misses the  $S+U$  data. In other words: if we tune the  $J/\psi$ -com over dissociation cross section such as to obtain the amount of suppression observed in  $Pb+Pb$  collisions, then the only way the data have of showing dissent is to disagree with the corresponding  $S+U$  prediction; and this is what they do.

Finally we show in Fig. 10 the ratio of  $J/\psi$  to Drell-Yan production in  $S+U$  and  $Pb+Pb$  collisions as function of  $n_w$ . One way to relate the  $Pb+Pb$  data with  $n_w$  (filled triangles) is obtained by applying our  $b-E_T$  assignment to the measured  $\langle E_T \rangle$  points, using the Woods-Saxon nuclear distribution.

For a second way (open triangles), we use the  $b - E_T$  assignment obtained by NA 50 [9] from the  $E_T$ -distribution including the low  $E_T$  detector de ciency and using a hard core nuclear distribution. We note that the precise position of the peripheral data points as function of  $n_w$  is rather dependent on the details of the collision geometry and the nuclear distribution. Hence here conclusive results may have to wait for higher statistics and more complete low  $E_T$  Pb-Pb data.

Let us now comment briefly on some proposed comover descriptions of anomalous  $J =$  suppression. The first such study [12] including the Pb-Pb data postulates ad hoc a comover density different from that in Glauber theory, setting

$$n_{\infty}(E_T) = c E_T ; \quad (26)$$

in terms of the hadronic transverse energy. This relation, although correct in the fluctuation regime, is not in accord with the peripherality of the actually measured collisions. The comover density as function of  $E_z$  is given directly by Glauber theory, relating the number of unwounded to that of wounded nucleons. Combining this with Eq. (26) gives the  $E_T - E_z$  correlation provided by ref. [12]. It is included in Fig. 5 and seen to disagree strongly with the measured form. The model thus does not reproduce the actual collision conditions of the experiment. It also uses  $\sigma_{abs} = 4.8$  mb, which is not compatible with Eq. (4).

A subsequent, more detailed comover investigation [15] uses Glauber theory consistently throughout. To account for comover interactions, it requires the corresponding cross sections for  $J =$  -hadron and  $^0$ -hadron interactions; in addition, it takes into account  $J = \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow ^0$  and  $^0 \rightarrow J = \frac{1}{2}$  transitions. Together with the pre-resonance absorption cross section, one thus has a total of five adjustable parameters, to be tuned such as to account for the anomalous  $J =$  suppression in Pb-Pb collisions as well as for the S-U and p-A data. Let us illustrate what this leads to. Our ( $^0$ -corrected) nuclear absorption results in Table 4a fit the data listed there with a  $\chi^2$ =d.f.: of about 1. The  $J =$  behaviour predicted in ref. [15] leads to a  $\chi^2$ =d.f.: of about 4 and is thus excluded with better than 99% confidence level. In our opinion, ref. [15] thus establishes nicely that even after the introduction of four further parameters, a comover picture can reproduce the  $J =$  -suppression observed in Pb-Pb collisions only at the expense of giving up an acceptable account of the S-U data.

We therefore conclude that it is not possible to describe consistently in terms of hadronic comovers both the "normal" (pre-resonance)  $J/\psi$  suppression observed in S+U collisions and the anomalous  $J/\psi$  suppression in Pb+Pb interactions. In contrast, the measured  $\psi(0)$  suppression is well described by hadronic comover absorption.

## 6. Suppression by Colour Deconfinement

For the fate of a  $J/\psi$  in dense matter, the basic difference between comover absorption and colour deconfinement is that the former is always present, changing smoothly with kinematic conditions, whereas the latter has no effect below some critical threshold. For colour deconfinement, the interaction region thus consists of a "hot" (inner) part, where a  $J/\psi$  can be dissociated, and "cool" (outer) part leaving it intact. In the case of small nuclei or very peripheral collisions, no region is hot enough, and so there will be only pre-resonance nuclear absorption. Hence the relative fraction of hot interaction region becomes the determining variable for deconfinement suppression [24].

As already noted, the  $J/\psi$  plays a crucial role in probing colour deconfinement by charmonium suppression: while the weakly bound  $\psi(0)$  is easily broken up in condensed as well as in deconfined media, only hard and hence deconfined gluons can resolve the structure of the small  $J/\psi$  and overcome its binding energy  $2M_D - M_\psi \approx 0.64$  GeV [32]. We therefore concentrate here on  $J/\psi$  suppression. Since it is not clear from Fig. 9 if the density of wounded nucleons (and hence also the initial energy density) is a suitable variable to describe the observed  $J/\psi$  suppression pattern, we do not want to assume an equilibrated system.

The precursor of a quark-gluon plasma is a system in which the partonic constituents are no longer distributed in the way they are in hadrons. A change in the gluon distribution can arise by interactions between wounded nucleons. The amount of intermetting between wounded nucleons is thus crucial: how many collisions does a wounded nucleon undergo? This is measured by the interaction density [35]

$$\frac{N_c}{N_w} \approx 0.5; \quad (27)$$

where  $N_c$  and  $N_w$  denote the number of nucleon-nucleon collisions and that of wounded nucleons, respectively. Since in p+A collisions  $N_w = N_c + 1$ ,

here lies in the range  $0.5 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , with  $\alpha = 0.5$  for  $p-p$  interactions. It can become larger than unity only in  $A-B$  collisions, since there interactions between wounded nucleons are possible, and it increases with the centrality of the collisions. Since Glauber theory provides both  $N_w$  and  $N_c$ ,  $\alpha$  is readily calculable.

The interaction measure  $\epsilon$  is of particular interest because it can be determined in a model-independent way from experimental data. The number  $N_{DY}$  of Drell-Yan pairs produced in an  $A-B$  interaction is directly proportional to the total number of nucleon-nucleon collisions, while the number  $N_h$  of produced hadronic secondaries (or the transverse hadronic energy  $E_T$ ) is determined by the number of wounded nucleons. We thus have  $N_{DY} = N_h$  in terms of measurable quantities and can cross-correlate charmonium suppression at a given  $E_T$  (and hence at a given degree of interpenetration) with other observables which might show a rescattering dependence, such as ratios of hadronic secondaries.

For the onset of deconfinement, we assume that once  $\epsilon$  reaches some critical value  $\epsilon_c$ , there are enough interactions between wounded nucleons to provide the hard gluons necessary for  $J/\psi$  dissociation. Consider  $\epsilon(b; s)$  at fixed impact parameter  $b$ , as function of the profile of the interaction region. The condition  $\epsilon(b; s_c) = \epsilon_c$  fixes the extension  $s_c$  of the hot volume at the given  $b$ . We now evaluate the  $J/\psi$  survival probability (6) with the constraint that the integrand vanishes for  $s > s_c$ . The resulting  $S_{J/\psi}^{\text{dec}}(b)$  we convolute with  $P(b; E_T)$  to obtain the predicted survival probability at fixed  $E_T$ .

Before we can compare this result to the data, we have to take into account that approximately 40% of the produced  $J/\psi$ 's come from  $\psi_c$  decay (see ref. [18] for details), and the  $\psi_c$ , with its larger radius ( $r \approx 0.4 \text{ fm} > r \approx 0.2 \text{ fm}$ ) and smaller binding energy ( $E \approx 0.3 \text{ GeV} < E \approx 0.6 \text{ GeV}$ ), is more easily dissociated than the  $J/\psi$ . It is not clear if  $\psi$ -hadron interactions can be treated by heavy quark QCD in the same way as those for  $J/\psi$ 's; however, the formalism does give reasonable results for the  $\psi$  as well [34]. We thus assume that there are two distinct  $\epsilon_c$  values:  $\epsilon_c$  and  $\epsilon_c' > \epsilon_c$ . Since the S-U data show no anomalous suppression,  $\epsilon_c$  has to be at least as large as the maximum value of  $\epsilon(b; s)$  in S-U collisions. From

$$\epsilon_c = [\epsilon(b=0; s=0)]_{SU} \approx 2.3 \quad (28)$$

we thus get a possible starting point for anomalous suppression. The value of  $\epsilon_c$  is considered as open parameter and determined such as to get best

agreement with the data. The overall  $J/\psi$  survival probability  $S_{J/\psi}^{\text{dec}}(E_T)$  is now obtained by combining 40%  $\psi$ -suppression with 60%  $\psi$ -suppression, the latter reduced by the suppressed  $\psi^0$  component. In Fig. 11 we see that with  $s_c = 2.9$ , we do in fact get quite good agreement with the results of both Table 4 and 5.

The natural variable determining the amount of suppression in this picture is the fraction  $f_{J/\psi}$  of  $J/\psi$ 's inside the hot  $\psi$ -region, compared to the overall interaction volume. It is given by

$$f_{J/\psi}(b) = \frac{\int_0^{s_c} d^2s N_c(b;s) S_{G1}(b;s)}{\int_0^{s_1} d^2s N_c(b;s) S_{G1}(b;s)} \quad (29)$$

The  $J/\psi$  survival probability then becomes

$$S_{J/\psi}^{\text{dec}}(b) = S_{G1}(b) = [1 - f_{J/\psi}(b)]; \quad (30)$$

again the convolution with  $P(E_T; b)$  provides the corresponding expressions as function of  $E_T$ . In Fig. 12 we compare the data as function of  $f_{J/\psi}$  with the prediction (30); the agreement is quite good.

In [11], a similar approach is presented with the initial energy density instead of  $s$  as relevant variable. Here also it is the relative amount of hot interaction region which determines the suppression pattern. An interesting alternative starts deconfinement at the percolation point of transverse string areas [13].

In summary, the observed anomalous  $J/\psi$  suppression pattern in  $Pb-Pb$  collisions agrees quite well with a deconfinement interpretation.

## 7. Conclusions

Here we want to summarize our conclusions and list the further experiments which we believe are needed to corroborate them.

Present  $pA$  data determine the cross section for pre-resonance charmonium absorption in nuclear matter as  $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 7.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ mb}$ .

The  $J/\psi$  suppression in  $O-Cu$ ,  $O-U$  and  $S-U$  collisions is fully accounted for by the corresponding nuclear absorption; in contrast,  $Pb-Pb$  collisions show additional ("anomalous") suppression increasing with centrality (see Fig. 6).

$\phi$  production is suppressed beyond nuclear absorption already in S + U collisions; this increases further in P + b - P + b collisions.

Anomalous  $J/\psi$  suppression present only in P + b - P + b collisions rules out any explanation in terms of hadronic comovers; all proposed models are inconsistent with some of the available data.

Deconfinement, with an onset at the interaction density of central S + U collisions, is in accord with all data.

In two steps of the analysis, further data are clearly necessary for definitive conclusions.

So far, S + U collisions provide normal, P + b - P + b collisions anomalous suppression. Data from an intermediate A + A experiment straddling the critical divide is needed to check the onset and help in specifying the relevant scaling variable. A P + b - P + b experiment at lower energy (around 100 GeV/c beam momentum) would provide an excellent cross check, if sufficient statistics can be obtained.

The confirmation of a well-defined onset would establish critical behaviour. It is then necessary to confirm that this is colour deconfinement.

According to heavy quark QCD calculations, quarkonium dissociation is effectively not possible in a confined medium of accessible temperatures. To check this result for charmonium, a direct study of  $J/\psi$  - nucleon interactions is needed ("inverse kinematics" experiment [34]).

Besides these two really fundamental questions, there are several other points where further data could help considerably in underpinning the conclusions obtained here. Higher precision p + A data at 450 or 200 GeV/c would reduce the error margin in the nuclear absorption cross section and thus result in more precise Glauber theory predictions. If these data provide both  $J/\psi$  and  $\phi$  cross sections, also the A-independence of the  $\phi/(J/\psi)$  ratio can be confirmed with still greater precision, thus corroborating pre-resonance absorption as the underlying mechanism. Finally, a P + b - U experiment could extend the range of (or of the relevant energy density) to higher values and thus might provide a first check of a two-stage suppression pattern.



## Acknowledgements

We thank J.-P. Blaizot, B. Chaurand, L. Kluberg, J. Schukraft and E. Scomparin for helpful discussions.

## References

- [1] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. 178B (1986) 416.
- [2] C. Baglin et al., Phys. Lett. B 220 (1989) 471; B 251 (1990) 465, 472; B 255 (1991) 459.
- [3] A. Capella et al., Phys. Lett. 206B (1988) 354.
- [4] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten and P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 1125.
- [5] E. Braaten and S. Fleming, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 3327.
- [6] D. Kharzeev and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B 366 (1996) 316.
- [7] C. Gerschel and J. Hufner, Z. Phys. C 56 (1992) 171.
- [8] P. Bordalo et al. (NA 50), Report at Rencontres de Moriond 1996, Les Arcs/France.
- [9] M. Gonin et al. (NA 50), Report at Quark Matter 1996, Heidelberg, Germany.
- [10] C. Lourenco, Report at Quark Matter 1996, Heidelberg, Germany.
- [11] J.-P. Blaizot, Report at Quark Matter 1996, Heidelberg, Germany; J.-P. Blaizot and J.-Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 1703.
- [12] S. Gavin, Report at Quark Matter 1996, Heidelberg, Germany; S. Gavin and R. Vogt, hep-ph/9606460, June 1996.
- [13] N. Armesto et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 3736.
- [14] J. Ftacnik, J. Pisut and N. Pisutova, hep-ph/9604304, April and Oct. 1996.
- [15] A. Capella et al., hep-ph/9607265, July 1996.

- [16] C.-Y. Wong, hep-ph/9607285, July 1996.
- [17] W. Cassing and C.-M. Ko, nucl-th/9609025, Sept. 1996.
- [18] See e.g., R. V. Gavai et al. (Hard Probe Collab.), Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 10 (1995) 3043.
- [19] A. Sansoni et al. (CDF), Report at Quark Matter 1996, Heidelberg, Germany.
- [20] C. W. deJager, H. deVries and C. deVries, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 14 (1974) 485.
- [21] D. M. Aidala et al. (E772), Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 133 and 2285; P. L. McGaughey et al. (E772), Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 3038.
- [22] A. Baldit et al. (NA51), Phys. Lett. B 332 (1994) 244; quoted values from [10].
- [23] L. Fredj (NA38), Doctorate Thesis, Université de Clermont-Ferrand, France, September 1991.
- [24] S. Gupta and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B 283 (1992) 439.
- [25] A. Bialas, M. Bleszynski and W. Czyz, Nucl. Phys. B 111 (1976) 461.
- [26] T. Alber et al. (NA49), Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 3814.
- [27] S. Margetis (NA49), private communication.
- [28] C. Lourenco, Doctorate Thesis, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, Portugal, January 1995.
- [29] R. Albrecht et al. (WA80), Phys. Lett. 199 B (1987) 297.
- [30] E. Scomparin et al. (NA50), Report at Quark Matter 1996, Heidelberg, Germany; <http://www.cern.ch/NA50/es.qm.96.html>
- [31] A. Borhani, Doctorate Thesis, Ecole Polytechnique, Paris, April 1996.
- [32] D. Khazeev and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B 334 (1994) 155.
- [33] D. Khazeev, H. Satz, A. Syamtomov, and G. Zinovjev, hep-ph/9605448, May 1996.

- [34] D .Kharzeev and H .Satz, Phys.Lett.B 356 (1995) 365.
- [35] D . Kharzeev, Report at Quark Matter 1996, Heidelberg, Germany;  
hep-ph/9609260.




Figure 1:  $\chi^2$ -distributions for nuclear cross sections: a) relative to pD, b) relative to pC.




Figure 2: Survival probabilities in pA collisions, compared to Glauber theory results with  $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 7.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ mb}$ .




Figure 3: Minimum bias  $E_T$  distributions for S-Au and Pb-Pb interactions [26], compared to Glauber theory results with  $q = 1.5$  (S-Au) and  $1.4$  (Pb-Pb).




Figure 4: Hard collision  $E_T$  distributions for S-U [28] and Pb-Pb [9] interactions, compared to Glauber theory results with  $q = 0.75$  (S-U) and  $0.40$  (Pb-Pb).




Figure 5:  $E_T$  -  $E_Z$  correlation in Pb - Pb collisions [30], compared to the Glauber theory correlation. The curve labelled 'G-V' is the correlation of model [12]; see section 5.




Figure 6:  $J/\psi$  suppression in S-U and Pb-Pb collisions for (a) the survival probability and (b) the ratio of  $J/\psi$  to Drell-Yan production. The curves show the Glauber theory results with  $\sigma_{abs} = 7.3 - 0.6 \text{ mb}$ .




Figure 7: The average density of wounded nucleons in hard interactions for S-U and Pb-Pb collisions.






Figure 8: The experimental  $\psi'$  survival probability compared to Glauber model prediction over absorption in S-U (a) and Pb-Pb (b) collisions; (c) shows both data sets as function of  $n_{co}$ .




Figure 9: The experimental  $J/\psi$  survival probability (a) and the ratio of  $J/\psi$  to Drell-Yan production (b) compared to comover absorption in Pb-Pb and S-U collisions.




Figure 10: The ratio of  $J=$  to Drell-Yan production in S-U (circles) and Pb-Pb (triangles) collisions compared to  $(^0\text{-corrected})$  Glauber theory results, as function of  $n_w$ ; (a) filled triangles use our Glauber in part parameter assignment, (b) open triangles that obtained from the experimental  $E_T$ -distribution [9].




Figure 11: (a) The experimental  $J/\psi$  survival probability compared to gluon decays in Pb-Pb collisions, with  $\kappa_c(\chi) = 2.3$  and  $\kappa_c(J/\psi) = 2.9$ ; (b) same for the ratio of  $J/\psi$  to Drell-Yan production.




Figure 12: Experimental  $J=$  suppression, compared to (0-corrected) Glauber theory results, as function of the relative fraction  $f_{J=}$  of hot interaction volume.