

# A Comment on Totally Inclusive $B^0$ Decays

L. Stodolsky

Max-Planck-Institute für Physik, Föhringer Ring 6, 80802 Munich

ABSTRACT: We recall that the totally inclusive rate for  $B^0$  decays can yield information on CP violation.

| | | {

One of the simplest measurements in the  $B^0$  system, which will be under extensive study for evidence of CP violation in the coming years, is just the total, completely inclusive decay rate as a function of time.

We would like to recall that such a measurement yields information concerning CP violation. Although the effect to be expected from standard estimates is small, it may be worth experimental consideration.

The point we would like to recall is the following: if the totally inclusive decay rate as a function of time of a  $B^0$  (or a  $K^0$  for that matter) deviates from the sum of two exponentials, then CP is violated. This follows from the fact that such a deviation is only possible if the two eigenstates of the mass matrix are not orthogonal, which is not possible if CP is conserved.

That is, let

$$= a_H(t) |H\rangle + a_L(t) |L\rangle$$

be the wavefunction representing the state of the  $B$  system in terms of states of definite mass and lifetime "heavy" and "light", so that  $a_H, a_L$  are pure exponentials in time. The square of  $|$  represents the number of undecayed  $B$ 's and thus gives the total number of decays up to a certain time. Now with  $|H\rangle$  and  $|L\rangle$  normalized to one,

$$|f|^2 = |a_H|^2 + |a_L|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} a_L^* a_H \langle L | H \rangle$$

We thus see that deviations from the sum of two exponentials are only possible if the two states are not orthogonal. On the other hand if they are indeed non-orthogonal, then an oscillating factor at the frequency of the mass difference is to be expected. Note that these conclusions follow regardless of the initial values  $a_H(0), a_L(0)$ . This means that in a set-up where we create the  $B^0$  state via a

"tag", these statements are generally true with any tag, although the exact nature of the effect may vary from case to case.

A particularly simple case experimentally is the two- $B^0$  state resulting from (4S)  $\rightarrow B\bar{B}$  with no tag at all; that is we consider the total rate, including both  $B^0$ 's. At first it might be thought that there can be no effect, no oscillatory terms at all, since we produce equal amounts of both flavors and in the two dimensional  $B^0$  space we begin with a density matrix  $I$ . However, to follow the time development we must write this density matrix in terms of the possibly non-orthogonal states of definite lifetime:

$$I = \frac{1}{1 - |\langle L | H \rangle|^2} \begin{pmatrix} |H\rangle\langle H| + |L\rangle\langle L| \\ \langle H | L \rangle |H\rangle\langle L| + \langle L | H \rangle |L\rangle\langle H| \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus the total number of undecayed particles, given by the trace of  $\rho(t)$ , contains in general an oscillatory term  $\frac{1}{1 - |\langle L | H \rangle|^2} 2 \operatorname{Re} e^{i(m_H - m_L)t} \langle L | H \rangle^2$ . However, since  $\langle L | H \rangle$  is small, at least in standard estimates, the effect here is the square of a small quantity and so much less than that with a single or tagged beams of  $B^\pm$ 's. Note that since  $B^\pm$ ,  $B^0$  decays are exponential they may even be left in the sample, if this is simpler experimentally.

Non-orthogonality is not possible if CP is conserved, for then all states may be grouped into non-communicating CP even and odd combinations, and the  $B^0$  states of definite CP remain orthogonal. Hence an effect immediately implies CP violation.

The converse, however is not true; CP violation may occur while leaving the two states practically orthogonal. Indeed, this is the situation anticipated by standard calculations. There the parameter  $\frac{q}{p}$  giving the non-orthogonality is estimated<sup>1</sup> to be  $10^{-3}$  for  $B_d$  and  $10^{-4}$  for  $B_s$ . Hence in the standard framework the effect is predicted to be observable with difficulty.

On the other hand, the observation of a substantial effect would be evidence not only of CP violation but also of physics beyond the KM framework conventionally used to incorporate CP violation in the standard model.

I would like to thank V. Luth, A. Buras and H. Fritzsche for a helpful conversation and V. Zakharov for his assistance in understanding these matters.

## REFERENCES

1. I. Bigi, V. Khoze, N. Uraltsev, and A. Sanda, In *CP Violation*, Ed. C. Jarlskog, World Scientific, Singapore.