The electroweak symmetry breaking Higgs boson in models with top-quark condensation

Jam es D .W ells

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94309^y

Abstract

The top quark may get its large mass not from a fundam ental scalar but a N am bu-Jona-Lasinio mechanism involving a strongly coupled gauge sector that triggers topquark condensation. Forbidding a large hierarchy in the gap equation im plies that top quark condensation is a spectator to electroweak symmetry breaking, which must be accomplished mainly by another sector. The properties of the electroweak symmetry breaking scalars are identified. Production mechanisms and decay modes are studied. Unlike the standard model, the scalar degree of freedom most relevant to electroweak symmetry breaking can only be produced by its gauge interactions. An e e

e signal is proposed to help unam biguously detect the presence of such a gaugecoupled Higgs if it is light. O ther usefulm odes of detection are also presented, and a sum m ary is m ade of the search capabilities at LEP II, Tevatron and LHC.

 $^{^{}y}\text{W}$ ork supported by the D epartm ent of E nergy under contract D E -A C 03-76SF 00515.

1 Finetuning and the top-quark gap equation

As it became clear that the top quark is very massive attention was naturally turned to top-quark condensate models [1, 2, 3] which rely on the gauged Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model [4]. Starting with a four ferm ion interaction

$$L = L_{kin} + G_{L} t_{R} t_{R} L \text{ where } L = (t_{L}; b_{L})$$
(1)

a gap equation can be form ulated for the dynam ical top quark mass

$$G^{-1} = \frac{N_c}{8^2} - m_t^2 \log \frac{2}{m_t^2} :$$
 (2)

Furtherm ore, in the ferm ion bubble approximation, with the above gap equation enforced, zeros of the inverse gauge boson propagators are found at non-zero momentum. This gives mass to the gauge bosons and corresponds [5, 3, 6] to a decay constant of

$$f_{t}^{2} \frac{N_{c}}{16^{-2}} m_{t}^{2} \log \frac{2}{m_{t}^{2}}$$
(3)

This relation is offen referred to as the Pagels-Stokar formula. In order for the top-quark condensate to account for all of electroweak symmetry breaking, f_t needs to be equal to v = 175 GeV. The currently measured top quark mass is $m_t = 175$ 6G eV [7], which means that would have to be about thirteen orders of magnitude above m_W to account for all of electroweak symmetry breaking, an uninspiring result for pure top-quark condensate models.

The Pagels-Stokar relation is logarithm ically sensitive to the condensate scale, and provides only a relationship between the decay constant and dynam ical quark mass, not the overall scale of these parameters. The gap equation, on the other hand, sets the overall scale of m_t and therefore f_t . The quadratic sensitivity to the condensate scale induces a large hierarchy problem for the weak scale (m_t and f_t) if is above a few TeV. For large the four-ferm ion coupling G must be tuned to one part in ${}^2 = m_t^2$. For a condensate scale in the multi-TeV region, this netuning is greater than one part in 10³. It will be assumed here that netunings much above this are unnatural, and are probably not maintained by nature [8]. Therefore, from the Pagels-Stokar relation the decay constant associated with top-quark condensation is $f_t \leq 60 \text{ GeV}$, in plying that top-quark condensation is a spectator to electroweak symmetry breaking (EW SB). That is, top-quark condensation mainly

provides the top quark its mass, but another sector mainly provides the W $\,$ and Z $\,$ bosons their masses.

The above argument have led to the conception of top-quark condensate assisted models such as those discussed in Refs. [9, 10, 11, 12]. M uch emphasis and study have been devoted to the boundstate scalars and gauge bosons associated with top-quark condensation in these models [9]-[14]. Attention is given here to the degrees of freedom which bear the most burden for electroweak symmetry breaking. In the following, a single electroweak symmetry breaking doublet is postulated to give mass to the W, Z and all light fermions, and perhaps a small contribution to the top and bottom quarks. The production and decay modes of this doublet are detailed. The results will be significantly different than the standard model doublet, since unlike the standard model the resulting scalar eld has a small coupling to the top quark. The complexity of the electroweak symmetry breaking sector will have little e ect on the detectability of the scalars associated with electroweak symmetry breaking.

2 Top-quark condensate scalars

Top-quark condensation only requires a critical four-ferm ion coupling in a lagrangian such as that given in Eq. 1. Explaining the origin of such a strong interaction is a more di cult question. Topcolor is one such explanation [15, 9, 10, 12], which is used as an example here. Upon integrating out the heavy vector bosons from the topcolor gauge group and the \tilting" U (1) gauge group, one obtains the following NJL interaction lagrangian:

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}_{kin} + \mathbf{G}_{t} \mathbf{L}_{R} \mathbf{t}_{R} \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{b}_{R} \mathbf{b}_{R} \mathbf{L}$$
(4)

Tuning the couplings of the di erent gauge interactions which form ed this four ferm ion interaction lagrangian, one can obtain $G_t > 8$ ²=N_c ² > G_b , which induces a htti condensate but not a habi condensate.

It is convenient to introduce auxiliary elds $_{\rm t}$ and $_{\rm b}$ and rew rite the above lagrangian as

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}_{kin} \quad (\mathbf{L}_{t} \mathbf{t}_{R} + \mathbf{L}_{b} \mathbf{b}_{R} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{c};) \quad \mathbf{G}_{t}^{\perp} \mathbf{t}_{t} \mathbf{t} \quad \mathbf{G}_{b}^{\perp} \mathbf{b}_{b} \mathbf{b}; \tag{5}$$

Renorm alization group evolution [3, 12, 16] below makes the scalar elds t and b dynam ical, and it induces a vacuum expectation value for t (not b) in accord with the gap equation. The pion decay constant of t can be solved via the Pagels-Stokar formula of Eq.3 given particular values of m_t and . For the purposes of this paper we will assume the numerical value f t ' 50 GeV.

In the approximation that $f_t^2 = v^2$ (v is normalized to 175GeV) top condensation is merely a spectator to electroweak symmetry breaking (in analogy to chiral symmetry breaking among the light quarks) and so they do not mix into the longitudinal components of the W and Z. Therefore, all the component states of t and b are physical eigenstates to a good approximation,

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} f_{t} + \frac{p^{1}}{2}(h_{t}^{0} + ia_{t}^{0}) \\ h_{t} \end{pmatrix}^{t} ; \qquad b = \begin{pmatrix} h_{b} \\ \frac{p^{1}}{2}(h_{b}^{0} + ia_{b}^{0}) \end{pmatrix}^{t} ; \qquad (6)$$

In the ferm ion bubble approximation the scalar tt ! tt scattering amplitude has a pole at $p^2 = 4m_t^2$ which can be identified with the mass of the h_t^0 . O therm assess are dependent upon details of the underlying model and can vary from about 150 to 350 GeV [9, 11, 12]. The top quark gets almost all its mass by a large Yukawa coupling to t, and it can be assumed that the bottom quark gets almost all its mass from top color instanton elects [9, 12].

In addition to these boundstate topcolor scalars, there needs to be degrees of freedom that break SU (2) U (1). To do this we introduce another doublet, $_{ew}$, whose vacuum expectation value is such that

$$f_{t}^{2} + f_{ew}^{2} = v^{2} = (175 \,\text{GeV})^{2}$$
: (7)

We also assume that this doublet is a fundam ental scalar and gives a small mass to all the light ferm ions in the theory in addition to breaking electroweak symmetry. Even though the following assumes a fundam ental scalar breaking electroweak symmetry, it might be that $SU(2) = U(1)_{k}$ is broken by some other strongly interacting sector which produces a narrow resonance composite _{ew} which has very similar properties to the fundam ental H iggs state discussed below.

It will be convenient later to introduce a \top-quark condensate spectator angle" $_{\rm t}$, which is de ned as

$$f_{ew} = v \sin t$$
$$f_t = v \cos t$$

U sing the num erical value of $f_{+} = 50 \,\text{GeV}$, one obtains

$$\cos_{t} = \frac{50 \,\text{GeV}}{175 \,\text{GeV}} \,\, \prime \,\, 0.3 :$$
 (8)

The pseudoscalar and charged Higgs elds in the _{ew} doublet all get eaten by the W and Z bosons, and what remains physical is a single scalar eld h_{ew}^0 , whose mass cannot be determined a priori. Note also that since f_t v, and since h_{ew}^0 does not couple to tops (or couples very weakly), then h_{ew}^0 is a mass eigenstate.

The assumption of just one scalar providing electroweak symmetry breaking is not important for the qualitative results below. The most important assumption is that att condensate gives the top quark its large mass. If we added more condensing scalar elds to the spectrum, it is possible to have large Yukawa couplings of these scalars to the light quark elds. However, in this case these additional elds would also have to be spectators to electroweak symmetry breaking, just as $_t$ is, and there would remain the necessity of a scalar(s) with large vacuum expectation values which have small Yukawa interactions with the fermions.

If the electroweak sym m etry breaking sector were m ore complicated, m ore angles would need to be introduced. For example, if a two H iggs doublet m odel were involved then a new angle, , would need to be introduced which speci es the ratios of the vevs of these two elds. De ning tan $h_{ew;2}i=h_{ew;1}i$, then

$$f_{t} = v \sin t$$
$$f_{ew,1} = v \cos t \cos$$
$$f_{ew,2} = v \cos t \sin t$$

This angle does not enhance the coupling of the neutral scalars to the top-quark but does reduce the coupling to gauge bosons by a factor of $\sin^2($) or $\cos^2($) where

is the mass eigenstate mixing angle between $h_{ew\,il}^0$ and $h_{ew\,il}^0$. The argument generalizes to an arbitrarily complex electroweak symmetry breaking sector with doublets to show that Yukawa production processes are negligibly a ected by additional complexity in the EW SB sector, and gauge production processes can only be reduced. Thus, production modes for the scalars will decrease with additional complexity. Higher dimensional SU (2) representations such as triplets are not considered since they explicitly break custodial SU (2) symmetry. Barring netuned cancellations, triplets are incapable of providing a substantial fraction of EW SB due to the current parameter constraints [17]. Since our conclusion will be that the gauge-coupled EW SB scalars are extremely dicult to reconstruct at the LHC, it is most conservative to maximize the gauge boson couplings of the EW SB scalar(s) by postulating one single $_{ew}$ which breaks electroweak symmetry, and analyzing the consequences of this assumption.

3 Decays of the h_{ew}^0

The decay of the h_{ew}^{0} (the neutral scalar component of $_{ew}$) has a few inherent uncertainties. The most important uncertainty is how much of the b quark mass is derived from f $_{ew}$. This sets the coupling of the $_{ew}$ to the b quark, which in turn sets the partial width of h_{ew}^{0} ! bb. The instanton induced b quark mass [9, 12] can be substantial and provide the b quark with all of its mass, leaving a very small (if any) residual b quark mass contribution from $_{ew}$. Furtherm ore, the hierarchy of masses generated by $_{ew}$ need not be in descending order of generations. That is, the b quark mass induced by $_{ew}$ could be much smaller than the strange quark. The working assumption will be that the b quark coupling to $_{ew}$ is negligible. However, it cannot be ruled out that all of the b quark mass comes from $_{ew}$ and this possibility will be commented on later.

In the standard m odel all the couplings to the gauge bosons and ferm ions are set w ithout am biguity, and the decay branching fractions can be calculated [18, 19, 20]. In Fig. 1 the decay branching fractions of the standard m odel are displayed for $50 \text{ GeV} < m_{h_{sm}^0} < 200 \text{ GeV}$. Above 200 GeV the W W and Z Z branching fractions dom inate in a ratio of 2 to 1 for a H iggs m ass well above the Z Z threshold. Above the tt threshold the branching ratio to tt reaches its maximum of 20% at $m_{h_{sm}^0} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ and slow ly reduces to 10% at $m_{h_{sm}^0} = 1 \text{ TeV}$. (m_t = 175 GeV is assumed throughout this discussion.) Note the dom inance of the bb nal state up to $m_{h_{sm}^0} < 135 \text{ GeV}$. Above this, the branching fraction into W W becomes dom inate.

The branching fractions of h_{ew}^0 can likewise be calculated once the angle cos_t is known, and the b quark coupling to h_{ew}^0 is specified. In Fig. 2 the decay branching fractions are shown for cos_t = 0.3 and negligible b quark coupling to h_{ew}^0 . The ⁺ and cc nal states are more important for h_{ew}^0 than for h_{sm}^0 . Furthermore the W W branching fraction is much enhanced despite the sin²_t suppression of the partial width to W W. The branching fractions of h_{ew}^0 are much different than the standard model Higgs for m $h_{ew}^0 \leq 140 \, \text{GeV}$.



Figure 1: Branching fractions of h_{sm}^0 versus its mass.

Above 140 G eV the h_{ew}^0 branching fractions are similar to the standard model except that h_{ew}^0 ! tt always has a negligible rate. A further important distinction from the standard model is the substantially lower production rate of h_{ew}^0 compared to h_{sm}^0 at a hadron collider discussed in the next section.

4 Production of h_{ew}^0

The production modes of a standard model Higgs boson can be broken up into three categories:

```
Gauge processes: qq \ ! \ Z \stackrel{0}{B}_{sm}, qq^{0} \ ! \ W \ h^{0}_{sm}, W \ W \ (Z \ Z \ ) \ ! \ h^{0}_{sm}, e^{+}e \ ! \ Z \ h^{0}_{sm},
e^{+}e \ ! \ e^{+}e \ h^{0}_{sm}.
Yukawa processes: qq \ ! \ th^{0}_{sm}, gg \ ! \ h^{0}_{sm}, e^{+}e \ ! \ tth^{0}_{sm},
Gauge or Yukawa processes: \ ! \ e^{+}_{sm}.
```



Figure 2: Branching fractions of $h^0_{ew}\,$ versus its mass for $\cos\,_t$ = 0:3 and negligible b quark coupling to h^0_{ew} .

The gg ! h_{sm}^0 production mechanism is called a \Yukawa process" because it has a nonnegligible rate due to the large top Yukawa coupling of the h_{sm}^0 to the top quark in the loop. The ! h_{sm}^0 is called a \Gauge or Yukawa process" since it has non-negligible contributions from both W and top loops.

The scalars in top-quark condensate models [9, 11, 12, 14] generally either get produced by gauge processes or by Yukawa process. For example, the top Higgs, h_t^0 , has a small gauge production process suppressed relative to the standard model by \cos^2_t ' 0:08. On the other hand, its production via Yukawa processes is enormous due to the large Yukawa coupling of the top quarks to the top the bottom Higgs, h_b^0 , has approximately zero production cross section via gauge processes, how ever, it has a reasonable Yukawa induced cross section from gg ! h_b^0 and even qq(e⁺ e) ! bh_b^0 .

On the other hand, the electroweak symmetry breaking scalar, h_{ew}^0 , has negligible production cross section due to Yukawa processes. It must be produced via gauge interactions, which are slightly suppressed compared to the gauge interactions of the standard model Higgs boson. The cross sections are related to the standard model cross sections by

$$gauge (h_{ew}^{0}) = \sin^{2} t_{gauge} (h_{sm}^{0})$$
(9)

where $_{gauge}(h)$ is either (W h), (Z h) or (W W (Z Z) ! h), etc. For $f_t = 50 \text{ GeV}$ the suppression factor is merely $\sin^2 t^2 > 0.92$.

The lack of Yukawa production processes for h_{ew}^0 is a severe handicap for a search at the LHC. The dom inant production cross section for the standard model Higgs is gg ! h_{sm}^0 . In Fig. 3 the cross sections for jjh_{ew}^0 , W h_{ew}^0 , and Z h_{ew}^0 at the LHC ($\stackrel{p}{s}$ = 14 TeV) are shown. The jjh_{ew}^0 cross section is from W W (Z Z) ! h_{ew}^0 where the two jets are the ones which radiate the W 's and are generally at high rapidity. W e see that the total production rate for h_{ew}^0 is much smaller than for h_{sm}^0 due to lack of the gg ! h_{ew}^0 Yukawa mode. The h_{ew}^0 ! signal for 100 G eV < $m_{h_{ew}^0}$ < 140 G eV is no longer viable since the total rate of H iggs production does not overcome the small (albeit enhanced) branching fraction to two photons. D etecting h_{ew}^0 , if it is possible, must rely on the smaller gauge production cross sections.



Figure 3: Cross sections for jjh_{ew}^0 (dotted), W h_{ew}^0 (dashed), and Z h_{ew}^0 (dot-dashed) at the LHC (14 TeV) for $\cos t = 0.3$. A lso, the standard m odel Yukawa production cross section gg ! h_{sm}^0 (solid) is shown to demonstrate the relatively low instrinsic rate of the gauge production cross sections.

5 D etecting the h_{ew}^0

The search for the standard m odel H iggs boson has been well studied and the strategy is wellm apped [18]. LEP II running at ${}^{p}\bar{s} = 192 \,\text{GeV}$ will be able to see the H iggs boson up to about 95 G eV with 150 pb 1 per experiment. Above this, the LHC experiments should be able to see the standard m odel H iggs through gg ! h_{sm}^{0} ! up to about 140 G eV, and through gg ! h_{sm}^{0} ! Z Z () if 140 G eV < m h_{sm}^{0} < 700 G eV. For scalar resonances above about 700 G eV the concept of a perturbatively coupled H iggs boson becom es m ore tenuous, and longitudinalW scattering analyses becom e m ore important.

The common thread among all the searches described above is the gg ! h_{sm}^0 Yukawa production mode. In the standard model this process dominates the production rate for Higgs bosons. Some studies have been performed on the ability to detect the Higgs boson via W h_{sm}^0 [21, 22, 23, 24], which is a gauge-coupled production mode. The hope is that with two tagged b quarks from h_{sm}^0 and a high p_T lepton tag from the W, the small rate of W h_{sm}^0 could still be pulled out from the background (W Z, W bb, tt, etc.). At the LHC it was concluded by [23] that this mode could be useful if 80 G eV < m h_{sm}^0 < 100 G eV, whereas [24] nds that m h_{sm}^0 < 130 G eV are detectable in this mode with excellent bottom jet identication.

For the h_{ew}^0 state, the LEP II capability should not be altered. The branching fraction of the Higgs will be large and the backgrounds from ZZ where one Z decays to + will be relatively sm all since that branching fraction is only about 3%. Searches at the LHC with $m_{h_{ew}^0} > 100 \,\text{GeV}$ are more di cult. In the range from $100 \,\text{GeV} < m_{h_{sm}^0} < 135 \,\text{GeV}$ the branching ratio to + is greater than 8%. The signal pp ! W h_{sm}^0 where W ! jj and h_{sm}^0 ! + has been studied in Ref. [22]. It was concluded that a signi cant signal at the LHC could not be found in this mode for a standard model Higgs boson. However, such a signal might be possible in this + decay mode at the Tevatron running at $P \,\overline{s} = 2 \,\text{TeV}$ with 30 fb 1 of integrated lum inosity. Turning back to the h_{ew}^0 , the + decay branching fraction is much increased. The signi cance of the standard model + signal now should be multiplied by a factor of Br (h_{ew}^0 ! +)=Br (h_{sm}^0 ! +)' 6. It therefore might be possible to detect the light h_{ew}^0 at the Tevatron with compelling signi cance ifm $h_{ew}^0 < 130 \,\text{GeV}$. Furtherm ore, this signal might also be detectable at the LHC with su cient lum inosity.

Earlier we postulated that h_{ew}^0 does not couple to the b quarks. If we now relax that assumption and assume the opposite extreme, that all the b quark mass comes from $_{ew}$, then the branching fraction of h_{ew}^0 into fermions form $_{h_{ew}^0} \leq 130 \,\text{GeV}$ will be almost identical to the standard model. Then the analyses of the W h_{ew}^0 signal will become most important, and from studies in the standard model (especially [24]) it can be concluded that a b quark coupled h_{ew}^0 is detectable at the LHC with as low as 10 fb⁻¹ of data. The Tevatron probably could not detect this b-coupled H iggs state above the LEP II limit of 95G eV [21, 23].

If $m_{h_{ew}^0} > 130 \,\text{GeV}$ then it appears that the Tevatron and LHC will have di culty seeing a signal and reconstructing the h_{ew}^0 mass. In this range h_{ew}^0 will decay to W W most of the time. Since the production rate for h_{ew}^0 is small the ZZ⁽⁾ decay cannot be separated from backgrounds to construct a signal. W ithout this mode at our disposal it appears near impossible to measure the Higgs mass since the W W⁽⁾ decay mode does not allow the Higgs mass to be reconstructed.

However, it might be possible to detect a Higgs signal without being able to reconstruct the mass. If the Higgs decays to W⁺W (either on-shell or o -shell) then the pp ! W h_{ew}^0 produces a three lepton plus E/_T signal if all the W 's decay leptonically. This signal is relatively clean in a hadron collider environment and has been proposed as a search tool for supersymmetric gaugino production of $\frac{1}{2}$? with subsequent leptonic decays into three leptons plus missing energy carried away by the stable lightest supersymmetric particle [29].



Figure 4: The total event rate of e + e expected from pp ! W h_{ew}^0 ! W W () production with 100 fb⁻¹ of data collected at the LHC with center of m ass energy 14 TeV. A 5 signal above background requires at least 100 signal events.

Because of this potentially large supersymmetric rate, it may be discult to tell the discrete discret

Som e permutations of these trilepton decays yield a like-sign dilepton signal with missing energy and little background [21]. Potential backgrounds to like-sign dileptons include W Z production where one lepton com es from the W and one lepton com es from the Z. Cutting out m_{1+1} ' m_z reduces this background. Another background com es from tt production where one lepton com es from a W decay in the t decay and the like-sign lepton com es from a b decay in the t decay. This background can be greatly reduced by an e ective jet veto of the hadronic activity of the b quark, requiring no tagged b quark in the event, and also requiring that all the leptons be isolated. Here, we propose a more discerning signal of like-sign dileptons with a di erent avor third lepton. Using just the rst two generations of leptons, the signal for the presence of a Higgs boson is e e and e, with all leptons isolated. This is a useful signal to distinguish W h_{ew}^0 production as opposed to the supersymmetric ₩ 2° production since like-sign dileptons from the latter require all three leptons to be of the same avor. The branching fraction into W W $^{()}$ is given in Fig. 2. U sing the production cross section from Fig.3 the total number of signal events in 100 fb 1 of data is displayed in Fig. 4.

The main background from tt can be estimating using the geometric acceptance of lep-

tons (j j < 2:5) and jets (j j < 5), the combinatorics of the decays which yield e e and e signal events, and the total inclusive tt cross section at LHC, which will be conservatively bounded at 2 nb. The number of background events estim ated from tt is approximately 100 for 100 fb⁻¹ of data. (Note that there are no background events from W Z.) A fler applying the same lepton acceptance cuts to the signal, a 5 e ect would require at least 100 signal events (using S = B for signi cance). Thus, the electroweak sym m etry breaking Higgs, h_{ew}^0 , could be detected in the range 110 G eV < m h_{ew}^0 < 175 G eV by this process. This estimate is based solely on parton level calculations and is only meant to demonstrate that this signal could be useful at the LHC. Estimates of non-physics backgrounds such as m is-identi cation, and full jet-level M onte C arb simulation could dim inish the signi cance found here. How ever, it is likely that additional cuts not studied here could further distinguish the W h_{ew}^0 ! W W W topology over tt ! W W bb, thereby increasing the signi cance of the signal. A lso, using the nall states could help som ewhat since b decays to 's are kinem atically suppressed.

From Fig. 3 we can see that the W W (Z Z) ! h_{ew}^0 vector boson fusion provides the highest production rate for h_{ew}^0 . A long with the Higgs boson comes two high rapidity jets corresponding to the quark lines which radiated the initial vector bosons. For low mass Higgses, where h_{ew}^0 ! ZZ⁽⁾, the signal would be four leptons with high invariant m asses, m_{T^1} from each decay. The standard model background is dominated by continuum Z production with enhancement at small invariant m_{T^1} . Identifying the Z⁽⁾ as the lower mass m_{T^1} and placing a cut on how low this invariant mass very electively removes the background while retaining almost all of the signal [18]. It might be possible to detect the light h_{ew}^0 from vector boson fusion with as low as 40 signal events (before cuts) in this mode [18]. The signal rate for VV ! h_{ew}^0 ! ZZ⁽⁾! $l^+ 1 l^0 + l^0$ ($l; l^0 = e \text{ or }$) is shown in Fig.5. In the ZZ region, the detectability requirement of 40 signal events corresponds to a Higgs mass range of 120G eV < m h_{ew}^0 < 155G eV.

The region between the W W and Z Z threshold is much more di cult. The h_{ew}^{0} ! Z Z branching fraction is greatly reduced in this region because h_{ew}^{0} ! W W is above threshold and rapidly increasing. Therefore, VV ! h_{ew}^{0} ! Z Z is not viable. From above it appears that it might be possible to see pp ! W h_{ew}^{0} ! W W up to about 175G eV. How ever, above 175G eV the trilepton signal nunsout. Thus, the small region between 175G eV < $m_{h_{ew}^{0}} < 180$ m ust rely on the di cult task of seeing a resonant enhancement in VV ! h_{ew}^{0} ! W W . In



Figure 5: The total event rate of e⁺ e ⁺ expected from VV ! h_{ew}^0 ! ZZ⁽⁾ production with 100 fb⁻¹ of data collected at the LHC with center of mass energy 14 TeV. A 5 signal above background from this mode is estimated to be possible for the mass ranges 120 G eV [<] m h_{ew}^0 [<] 155 G eV and 200 G eV [<] m h_{ew}^0 [<] 400 G eV.

the standard model, the large gg ! h_{sm}^0 rate allows for the possibility of seeing the Higgs in the h_{sm}^0 ! W W channel for the range 155 G eV < m h_{sm}^0 < 180 G eV [25, 26]. It has been estimated that with 5 fb 1 a signal with greater than 5 signicance could be observed in this m ass range for the standard m odel H iggs using the h_{sm}^0 ! W W ! 1 1° decay m ode [26]. The gauge-coupled Higgs $h^0_{ew}\,$ does not get produced by gg fusion but rather W W (ZZ) fusion. In this mass range the total cross section is more than a factor of six lower than the gg cross section. Taking this into account and scaling the signi cance result of [26] up to 100 fb 1 there is an 8 signal available in the range 155 G eV $< m_{h_{m}^0} < 180$ G eV. It should be pointed out, however, that some of the cuts employed to reduce background may not be as e ective if the underlying signal event is produced mainly by W W (ZZ) fusion rather than gg fusion. For example, the jcos $_{l+1}$ j< 0.8 cut to reduce continuum qq ! W $^+$ W background may not be as useful. However, it might be possible to gain signi cance by tagging the high p_t, high rapidity jets which radiate the initial vector bosons [27]. A central m ini-jet veto [28] which takes advantage of the dierent color ow of the signal compared to background m ay also be helpful. A gain, confusion with a supersymmetric signal is possible, especially for slepton and chargino pair production which both can yield $11^{\circ} + E_{T}$ signatures at high rates. The spectator jet tags would be especially useful to eradicate this potential confusion.

Above the h_{ew}^0 ! ZZ threshold the Higgs signal would be a Higgs bump in the ZZ invariant m ass spectrum in the total ZZ production cross section. A gain, the Z's are required to decay into kptons. Fig.5 give the total rate above the ZZ threshold and can be compared with the total ZZ production cross section for sensitivity of this invariant m ass peak [30]. The resonant Higgs signal is smeared according to the detector's electrom agnetic resolution, which is taken to be $15\% = \frac{p}{E_T}$. Taking into consideration lepton acceptance, the signi cance is calculated for signal events in a bin centered on $m_{ZZ} = m_{h_{ew}^0}$ with a width determined by the two standard deviation smearing of the Higgs resonance corresponding to the resolution factor given above. The signi cance reaches a peak of about 7 at $m_{h_{ew}^0} = 220 \text{ GeV}$ and falls below 5 for $m_{h_{ew}^0} > 400 \text{ GeV}$. This estimate is based only on the h_{ew}^0 ! ZZ ! e⁺ e⁻⁺ m ode. U sing all lepton permutations at the expense of potential lepton pairing am biguity should extend the search range well above 400 G eV. A gain, a realistic detector simulation is required to obtain a precise range. Nevertheless, it appears possible to discern a Higgs peak up to high m ass scales with 100 fb⁻¹.

In short, top-quark condensate m odels m ay imply at least one scalar degree of freedom, h_{ew}^0 , which breaks electroweak symmetry and has negligible Yukawa production m odes. The LEP II search range should not be di erent than the standard m odel. The Tevatron h_{ew}^0 search is even enhanced over the standard m odel H iggs search range. On the other hand, it will be m ore challenging at the LHC to demonstrate that such a gauge-coupled H iggs like h_{ew}^0 can be detected, and m ost especially that its m ass can be reconstructed. However, over m ost of the perturbative H iggs m ass range detection should be possible with 100 fb⁻¹ of data.

A cknow ledgem ents. The author has bene ted from discussions with G.Buchalla, S.M artin and M.Peskin.

References

 Y.Nambu, in New Theories in Physics, proceedings of the XI International Symposium on Elementary Particle Physics, Kazimerz, Poland, 1988, edited by Z.Ajduk, S.Pokorski, and A.Trautman (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1989).

- [2] V. Miransky, M. Tanabashi, K. Yamawaki, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 4 (1989) 1043; Phys. Lett. B 221 (1989) 177.
- [3] W .Bardeen, C.T.Hill, M .Lindner, Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 1647.
- [4] Y.Nambu, G.Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. D 122 (1961) 345.
- [5] H. Pagels, S. Stokar, Phys. Rev. D 20 (1979) 2947; A. Carter, H. Pagels, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43 (1979) 1845; R. Jackiw, K. Johnson, Phys. Rev. D 8 (1973) 2386.
- [6] T.Gherghetta, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 5985.
- [7] P. Tipton, Talk given at the 28th International Conference on High-energy Physics (ICHEP 96), Warsaw, Poland, 25–31 Jul 1996.
- [8] If present, supersymmetry could stabilize the hierarchy and provide a lower top quark mass xed point to be compatible with a high scale htti condensate: T E. C lark, S.T. Love, W . ter Veldhuis, M od. Phys. Lett. A 6 (1991) 3225; M . C arena, T E. C lark, C E M . W agner, W A . Bardeen, K . Sasaki, Nucl. Phys. B 369 (1992) 33.
- [9] C.T.Hill, Phys. Lett. B 345 (1995) 483.
- [10] K.Lane, E.Eichten, Phys.Lett. B 352 (1995) 382.
- [11] D.Kom inis, Phys. Lett. B 358 (1995) 312.
- [12] G.Buchalla, G.Burdman, C.T.Hill, D.Kominis, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 5185.
- [13] E.Eichten, K.Lane, Phys. Lett. B 388 (1996) 803; hep-ph/9609298; hep-ph/9609298.
 R S.Chivukula, J.Teming, Phys. Lett. B 385 (1996) 209. B.Balaji, hep-ph/9610446.
- [14] G.Burdman, hep-ph/9611265.
- [15] C.T. Hill, Phys. Lett. B 266 (1991) 419; S.P. Martin, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 2197;
 Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 4283; Nucl. Phys. B 398 (1993) 359; M. Lindner, D. Ross,
 Nucl. Phys. B 370 (1992) 30; R. Bonisch, Phys. Lett. B 268 (1991) 394; C.T. Hill,
 D. Kennedy, T. Onogi, H.L. Yu, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 2940.
- [16] A.Blum hofer, R.Dawid, M.Lindner, Phys. Lett. B 360 (1995) 123.

- [17] T.G.Rizzo, Mod PhysLett.A6 (1991) 1961; P.Bamert, Z.Kunszt, Phys.Lett.B 306 (1993) 335; T.G.Rizzo, private communication.
- [18] J. Gunion, H. Haber, G. Kane, S. Dawson, \The Higgs Hunter's Guide," Addison-Wesley, 1990; J. Gunion, A. Stange, S.W illenbrock, hep-ph/9602238.
- [19] M. Spira, A. D. jouadi, D. Graudenz, P.M. Zerwas, Nucl. Phys. B 453 (1995) 17.
- [20] Z.Kunszt, S.Moretti, W.J.Stirling, hep-ph/9611397.
- [21] A. Stange, W. Marciano, S. Willenbrock, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 4491.
- [22] S.M renna, G.K ane, hep-ph/9406337.
- [23] D. Froidevaux, E. Richter-W as, Zeit. fur Physik C 67 (1995) 213.
- [24] P.Agrawal, D.Bowser-Chao, K.Cheung, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 6114.
- [25] E W N.G lover, J.Ohnemus, S.W illenbrock, Phys.Rev.D 37 (1988) 3193.V.Barger, G.Bhattacharya, T.Han, B A.Kniehl, Phys.Rev.D 43 (1991) 779; V.Barger, K.Cheung, T.Han, D.Zeppenfeld, Phys.Rev.D 48 (1993) 5433.
- [26] M.D ittm ar, H.D reiner, hep-ph/9608317.
- [27] R N. Cahn, SD. Ellis, R. Kleiss, W J. Stirling, Phys. Rev. D 35 (1987) 1626.
- [28] V.Barger, R.J.N. Phillips, D. Zeppenfeld, Phys. Lett. B 346 (1995) 106.
- [29] For latest discussion of the supersymmetric trilepton signal at the Tevatron and LHC see, H. Baer, C. Chen, C. Kao, X. Tata, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 1565; S. M renna, G.L. Kane, G. Kribs, J.D. Wells, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 1168; H. Baer, C. Chen, F. Paige, X. Tata, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 6241.
- [30] J.Ohnemus, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 1931.