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#### Abstract

$T$ he top quark $m$ ay get its large $m$ ass not from a fundam ental scalar but a $N$ am bu-Jona-Lasinio m echanism involving a strongly coupled gauge sector that triggers topquark condensation. Forbidding a large hierarchy in the gap equation im plies that top quark condensation is a spectator to electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking, which $m$ ust be accom plished $m$ ainly by another sector. T he properties of the electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking scalars are identi ed. P roduction $m$ echanism $s$ and decay $m$ odes are studied. Unlike the standard $m$ odel, the scalar degree of freedom $m$ ost relevant to electrow eak sym m etry breaking can only be produced by its gauge interactions. An e e + e signal is proposed to help unam biguously detect the presence of such a gaugecoupled $H$ iggs if it is light. O ther usefiulm odes of detection are also presented, and a sum $m$ ary is $m$ ade of the search capabilities at LEP II, Tevatron and LH C .


[^0]
## 1 F inetuning and the top－quark gap equation

As it becam e clear that the top quark is very $m$ assive attention was naturally tumed to
 m odel［i⿻丅⿵冂⿰⿱丶丶⿱丶丶⿱一⿱㇒⿵冂⿰丨丨一心 ．Starting $w$ th a four ferm ion interaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L_{k \text { in }}+G \quad L t_{R} t_{R} \quad L \quad \text { where } \quad L=\left(t_{\Psi} ; G_{L}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

a gap equation can be form ulated for the dynam ical top quark $m$ ass

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{1}=\frac{N_{c}}{8^{2}} \quad 2 \quad m_{t}^{2} \log \frac{2}{m_{t}^{2}}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furtherm ore，in the ferm ion bubble approxim ation，with the above gap equation enforoed， zeros of the inverse gauge boson propagators are found at non－zero $m$ om entum．This gives


$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t}^{2}, \frac{N_{c}}{16^{2}} m_{t}^{2} \log \frac{2}{m_{t}^{2}}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his relation is often referred to as the Pagels－Stokar form ula．In order for the top－quark condensate to account for all of electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking，$f_{t}$ needs to be equal to $v=175 \mathrm{GeV}$ ．The currently $m$ easured top quark $m$ ass is $m_{t}=1756 \mathrm{GeV}$［＇［］，which $m$ eans that would have to be about thirteen orders ofm agnitude above $m$ w to account for all of electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking，an uninspiring result for pure top－quark condensate $m$ odels．

The Pagels－Stokar relation is logarithm ically sensitive to the condensate scale，and pro－ vides only a relationship between the decay constant and dynam ical quark $m$ ass，not the overall scale of these param eters．The gap equation，on the other hand，sets the overall scale of $m_{t}$ and therefore $f_{t}$ ．The quadratic sensitivity to the condensate scale induces a large hierarchy problem for the weak scale（ $m_{t}$ and $f_{t}$ ）if is above a few TeV．For large the four－ferm ion coupling $G$ must be tuned to one part in ${ }^{2}=m_{t}^{2}$ ．For a condensate scale in the multi－TeV region，this netuning is greater than one part in $10^{3}$ ．It will be assum ed here that netunings much above this are unnatural，and are probably not $m$ aintained by nature $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { is } \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ ．Therefore，from the Pagels－Stokar relation the decay constant associated $w$ th top－quark condensation is $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}<60 \mathrm{GeV}$ ，implying that top－quark condensation is a spec－ tator to electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking（EW SB）．That is，top－quark condensation $m$ ainly
provides the top quark its $m$ ass, but another sector $m$ ainly provides the $W$ and $Z$ bosons their $m$ asses.

The above argum ent have led to the conception of top-quark condensate assisted $m$ odels
 to the boundstate scalars and gauge bosons associated w ith top-quark condensation in these
 burden for electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking. In the follow ing, a single electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking doublet is postulated to give $m$ ass to the $W, Z$ and all light ferm ions, and perhaps a $s m$ all contribution to the top and bottom quarks. The production and decay $m$ odes of this doublet are detailed. The results willbe signi cantly di erent than the standard $m$ odel doublet, since unlike the standard $m$ odel the resulting scalar eld has a sm all coupling to the top quark. The com plexity of the electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking sector $w$ ill have little e ect on the detectability of the scalars associated with electrow eak sym m etry breaking.

## 2 Top-quark condensate scalars

Top-quark condensation only requires a critical four-ferm ion coupling in a lagrangian such as that given in Eq. ${ }_{-1}^{1} .1$. Explaining the origin of such a strong interaction is a m ore di cult
 here. U pon integrating out the heavy vector bosons from the topcolor gauge group and the \tilting" $U$ (1) gauge group, one obtains the follow ing $N \mathrm{~J}$ interaction lagrangian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L_{k i n}+G_{t} L t_{R} t_{R}+G_{b} L_{R} b_{R} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{L}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tuning the couplings of the di erent gauge interactions which form ed this four ferm ion interaction lagrangian, one can obtain $G_{t}>8^{2}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}>\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}$, which induces a htti condensate but not a hbbi condensate.

It is convenient to introduce auxiliary elds $t$ and $b$ and rew rite the above lagrangian as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{kin}} \quad\left(\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{t} \mathrm{t}_{R}+\mathrm{L} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}:\right) \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}}^{1}{ }^{1} \underset{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{1} \underset{\mathrm{~b}}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

 nam ical, and it induces a vacuum expectation value for $t$ (not b) in acoord with the gap
equation. The pion decay constant of $t$ can be solved via the Pagels-Stokar form ula of Eq.'İ-1 given particular values ofm ${ }_{t}$ and. For the purposes of this paper we $w$ ill assum $e$ the num erical value $f_{t}{ }^{\prime} 50 \mathrm{GeV}$.

In the approxim ation that $f_{t}^{2} \quad V^{2}(v$ is norm alized to 175 GeV$)$ top condensation is $m$ erely a spectator to electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking (in analogy to chiral sym $m$ etry breaking am ong the light quarks) and so they do not $m$ ix into the longitudinal com ponents of the W and Z . Therefore, all the com ponent states of t and b are physical eigenstates to a good approxim ation,

In the ferm ion bubble approxim ation the scalar tt! tt scattering am plitude has a pole at $p^{2}=4 m_{t}^{2} w$ hich can be identi ed $w$ ith the $m$ ass of the $h_{t}^{0}$. O therm asses are dependent upon
 top quark gets alm ost all its $m$ ass by a large Y ukaw a coupling to t , and it can be assum ed


In addition to these boundstate topcolor scalars, there needs to be degrees of freedom that break $S U(2) \quad U(1)$. To do this we introduce another doublet, ew, whose vacuum expectation value is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t}^{2}+f_{e w}^{2}=v^{2}=(175 \mathrm{GeV})^{2}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e also assum $e$ that this doublet is a fundam ental scalar and gives a sm all m ass to all the light ferm ions in the theory in addition to breaking electrow eak sym $m$ etry. Even though the follow ing assum es a fundam ental scalar breaking electrow eak sym $m$ etry, it $m$ ight be that $S U(2) \quad U(1)_{l}$ is broken by som e other strongly interacting sector which produces a narrow resonance com posite ew which has very sim ilar properties to the fundam ental H iggs state discussed below .

It will be convenient later to introduce a \top-quark condensate spectator angle" t , which is de ned as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ev}} & =\mathrm{v} \sin \mathrm{t} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}} & =\mathrm{v} \cos { }_{\mathrm{t}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

U sing the num erical value of $f_{t}=50 \mathrm{GeV}$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos _{t}=\frac{50 \mathrm{GeV}}{175 \mathrm{GeV}}, 0: 3: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pseudoscalar and charged H iggs elds in the ew doublet all get eaten by the W and $Z$ bosons, and what rem ains physical is a single scalar eld $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$, whose mass cannot be determ ined a priori. N ote also that since $f_{t} \quad v$, and since $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ does not couple to tops (or couples very weakly), then $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}$ is a m ass eigenstate.

The assum ption of just one scalar providing electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking is not im portant for the qualitative results below. Them ost im portant assum ption is that a tt condensate gives the top quark its large $m$ ass. If we added m ore condensing scalar elds to the spectrum, it is possible to have large Yukaw a couplings of these scalars to the light quark elds. H ow ever, in this case these additional elds would also have to be spectators to electrow eak sym metry breaking, just as $t$ is, and there would rem ain the necessity of a scalar(s) w ith large vacuum expectation values which have sm all Yukaw a interactions w ith the ferm ions.

If the electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking sector were $m$ ore com plicated, $m$ ore angles would need to be introduced. For exam ple, if a tw o H iggs doublet m odel w ere involved then a new angle, , would need to be introduced which speci es the ratios of the vevs of these two elds. De ning tan $\quad h_{\text {ew } ; 2} i=h$ ew; 1 i, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}} & =\mathrm{v} \sin { }_{\mathrm{t}} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ew} ; 1} & =\mathrm{v} \cos { }_{\mathrm{t}} \cos \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ew} ; 2} & =\mathrm{v} \cos { }_{\mathrm{t}} \sin :
\end{aligned}
$$

This angle does not enhance the coupling of the neutral scalars to the top-quark but does reduce the coupling to gauge bosons by a factor of $\sin ^{2}(\quad)$ or $\cos ^{2}(\quad)$ where is the $m$ ass eigenstate $m$ ixing angle between $h_{\text {ew ;1 }}^{0}$ and $h_{\text {ew ;2 }}^{0}$. The argum ent generalizes to an arbitrarily com plex electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking sector $w$ ith doublets to show that Yukaw a production processes are negligibly a ected by additional com plexity in the EW SB sector, and gauge production processes can only be reduced. Thus, production $m$ odes for the scalars w ill decrease w ith additional com plexity. H igher dim ensional SU (2) representations such as triplets are not considered since they explicitly break custodial SU (2) symm etry. B arring netuned cancellations, triplets are incapable of providing a substantial fraction of EW SB due to the current param eter constraints '[1]-1]. Since our conclusion w illbe that the
gauge-coupled EW SB scalars are extrem ely di cult to reconstruct at the LH C, it is most conservative to $m$ axim ize the gauge boson couplings of the EW SB scalar(s) by postulating one single ew which breaks electroweak sym m etry, and analyzing the consequences of this assum ption.

## 3 D ecays of the $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$

The decay of the $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ (the neutral scalar com ponent of ew) has a few inherent uncertainties. The most important uncertainty is how $m u c h$ of the $b$ quark $m$ ass is derived from $f_{\text {ew }}$. This sets the coupling of the ew to the b quark, which in tum sets the partial width of
 quark w th all of its $m$ ass, leaving a very sm all (if any) residualb quark $m$ ass contribution from ew. Furtherm ore, the hierarchy ofm asses generated by ew need not be in descending order of generations. That is, the b quark $m$ ass induced by ew could be much sm aller than the strange quark. The working assum ption $w$ ill be that the $b$ quark coupling to ew is negligible. H ow ever, it cannot be ruled out that all of the b quark m ass com es from ew and this possibility w ill be com m ented on later.

In the standard $m$ odelall the couplings to the gauge bosons and ferm ions are set $w$ thout
 decay branching fractions of the standard $m$ odelare displayed for $50 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}}<200 \mathrm{GeV}$. Above 200 GeV the W W and Z Z branching fractions dom inate in a ratio of 2 to 1 fora H iggs $m$ ass well above the $Z Z$ threshold. Above the tt threshold the branching ratio to tt reaches its m axim $u m$ of $20 \%$ at $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$ and slow ly reduces to $10 \%$ at $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}}=1 \mathrm{TeV}$. ( $m_{t}=175 \mathrm{GeV}$ is assum ed throughout this discussion.) $N$ ote the dom inance of the bb nal state up to $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}}<135 \mathrm{GeV}$. Above this, the branching fraction into W W becom es dom inate.

The branching fractions of $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ can likew ise be calculated once the angle cos $t$ is know $n$, and the $b$ quark coupling to $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ is speci ed. In $F$ ig. 'in the decay branching fractions are shown for cos $t_{t}=0: 3$ and negligible $b$ quark coupling to $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$. $T h e{ }^{+}$and $\propto c$ nal states are $m$ ore im portant for $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ than for $h_{s m}^{0}$. Furtherm ore the $W \mathrm{~W}$ branching fraction is $m$ uch enhanced despite the $\sin ^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{t}}$ suppression of the partialw idth to $\mathrm{W} W$. The branching fractions of $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ are $m u c h$ di erent than the standard $m$ odel $H$ iggs for $m_{h_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}}<140 \mathrm{GeV}$.

$F$ igure 1: B ranching fractions of $h_{s m}^{0}$ versus its $m$ ass.

Above 140 GeV the $h_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}$ branching fractions are sim ilar to the standard $m$ odel except that $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ ! tt alw ays has a negligible rate. A further im portant distinction from the standard $m$ odel is the substantially low er production rate of $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ com pared to $h_{s m}^{0}$ at a hadron collider discussed in the next section.

## 4 P roduction of $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$

The production $m$ odes of a standard $m$ odel $H$ iggs boson can be broken up into three categories:
 $e^{+} e!e^{+} e^{0} \mathrm{sm}^{0}$.

Yukaw a processes: $q q!$ th $_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}$, $g g!h_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}$, $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ ! tth $\mathrm{sm}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}$,
G auge or Y ukaw a processes: ! st


Figure 2: B ranching fractions of $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ versus its $m$ ass for cos $t=0: 3$ and negligible b quark coupling to $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$.

The gg ! $h_{s m}^{0}$ production $m$ echanism is called a \Yukaw a process" because it has a nonnegligible rate due to the large top Yukawa coupling of the $h_{s m}^{0}$ to the top quark in the loop. The ! $h_{s m}^{0}$ is called a \G auge or Yukaw a process" since it has non-negligible contributions from both $W$ and top loops.
 by gauge processes or by Y ukaw a process. For exam ple, the top $H$ iggs, $h_{t}^{0}$, has a sm all gauge production process suppressed relative to the standard $m$ odel.by $\cos ^{2} t^{\prime} \quad 0: 08.0 n$ the other hand, its production via Yukaw a processes is enorm ous due to the large Yukaw a coupling of the top quarks to $t$. The bottom H iggs, $h_{b}^{0}$, has approxim ately zero production cross section via gauge processes, how ever, it has a reasonable Yukaw a induced cross section from $g g!h_{b}^{0}$ and even $q q\left(e^{+} e\right)!\operatorname{boh}_{b}^{0}$.

O $n$ the other hand, the electrow eak sym $m$ etry breaking scalar, $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$, has negligible production cross section due to Yukaw a processes. It m ust be produced via gauge interactions, which are slightly suppressed com pared to the gauge interactions of the standard model Higgs boson. The cross sections are related to the standard $m$ odel cross sections by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { gauge }\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}\right)=\sin ^{2} \quad \mathrm{t} \text { gauge }\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where gauge ( h ) is either ( W h ), ( Zh ) or ( $\mathrm{W} W\left(\mathrm{ZZ}\right.$ )! h), etc. For $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}=50 \mathrm{GeV}$ the suppression factor is $m$ erely $\sin ^{2}{ }_{t}>0: 92$.

The lack of Y ukaw a production processes for $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ is a severe handicap for a search at the LHC. The dom inant production cross section for the standard model Higgs is $g g!h_{s m}^{0}$.

 which radiate the W 's and are generally at high rapidity. W e see that the total production rate for $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ is $m u c h ~ s m$ aller than for $h_{s m}^{0}$ due to lack of the $g g!h_{e w}^{0}$ Yukawa mode. The $h_{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{w}}^{0}}^{0}$ ! signal for $100 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}}<140 \mathrm{GeV}$ is no longer viable since the total rate of H iggs production does not overoom $e$ the sm all (albeit enhanced) branching fraction to two photons. Detecting $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$, if it is possible, must rely on the sm aller gauge production cross sections.


Figure 3: C ross sections for $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{w}}^{0}$ (dotted), $\mathrm{W} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}$ (dashed), and $\mathrm{Zh}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}$ (dot-dashed) at the LHC ( 14 TeV ) for cos $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{t}}=0: 3$. A lso, the standard m odel Yukaw a production cross section $\mathrm{gg}!\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}$ (solid) is shown to dem onstrate the relatively low instrinsic rate of the gauge production cross sections.

## 5 D etecting the $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$

The search for the standard $m$ odel $H$ iggs boson has been well studied and the strategy is well m apped [1] $\overline{\mathrm{O}}]$. LEP II running at ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{s}}=192 \mathrm{GeV}$ w ill be able to see the H iggs boson up to about 95 GeV w th $150 \mathrm{pb}{ }^{1}$ per experim ent. Above this, the LHC experim ents should be able to see the standard $m$ odel $H$ iggs through $g g!h_{s m}^{0}$ ! up to about 140 GeV , and through $g g!h_{s m}^{0}!\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{Z}^{()}$if $140 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}}<700 \mathrm{GeV}$. For scalar resonances above about 700 GeV the concept of a perturbatively coupled H iggs boson becom es m ore tenuous, and longitudinalW scattering analyses becom em ore im portant.

The com $m$ on thread am ong all the searches described above is the $g g!h_{s m}^{0}$ Yukaw a production $m$ ode. In the standard $m$ odel this process dom inates the production rate for H iggs bosons. Som e studies have been perform ed on the ability to detect the H iggs boson via
 tagged b quarks from $h_{s m}^{0}$ and a high $p_{T}$ lepton tag from the $W$, the sm all rate ofW $h_{s m}^{0}$ could still be pulled out from the badkground ( $\mathrm{W} \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{W}$ bb, tt, etc.). At the LHC it was concluded
 $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}}<130 \mathrm{GeV}$ are detectable in this m ode w ith excellent bottom jet identi cation.

For the $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ state, the LEP II capability should not be altered. The branching fraction of the Higgs will be large and the backgrounds from Z Z where one Z decays to ${ }^{+}$will be relatively sm all since that branching fraction is only about 3\%. Searches at the LHC w th $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}}>100 \mathrm{GeV}$ are m ore di cult. In the range from $100 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}}<135 \mathrm{GeV}$ the branching ratio to ${ }^{+}$is greater than $8 \%$. The signal pp! $W h_{s m}^{0}$ where $W$ ! jj and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}$ ! + has been studied in Ref. $\left[\underline{2} \overline{2}_{-}\right]$. It was conchuded that a signi cant signal at the LHC could not be found in this $m$ ode for a standard $m$ odel $H$ iggs boson. H ow ever, such a signalm ight be possible in this ${ }^{+}$decay m ode at the Tevatron running at ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{s}}=2 \mathrm{TeV}$ $w$ th $30 \mathrm{ff}^{1}$ of integrated lum inosity. Tuming badk to the $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}$, the ${ }^{+}$decay branching fraction ism uch increased. The signi cance ofthe standard m odel ${ }^{+}$signalnow should be m ultiplied by a factor of $\mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}!+\quad\right)=\mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{0}!+\right)^{\prime} 6$. It therefore $m$ ight be pos sible to detect the light $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ at the Tevatron w ith com pelling signi cance ifm $h_{h_{\text {ew }}^{0}}<130 \mathrm{GeV}$. Furtherm ore, this signalm ight also be detectable at the LHC w ith su cient lum inosity.

Earlier we postulated that $h_{e w}^{0}$ does not couple to the $b$ quarks. If we now relax that assum ption and assum e the opposite extrem e, that all the b quark $m$ ass com es from ew, then the branching fraction of ${ }_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ into ferm ions form $h_{h_{w}^{0}}<130 \mathrm{GeV}$ willbe alm ost identical to the standard $m$ odel. Then the analyses of the $W h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ signalwillbecom em ost im portant,
 coupled $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ is detectable at the LHC w ith as low as $10 \mathrm{fb}^{1}$ ofdata. The Tevatron probably


Ifm $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}>130 \mathrm{GeV}$ then it appears that the Tevatron and LHC will have di culty seeing a signal and reconstructing the $h_{\text {ew }}^{0} m$ ass. In this range $h_{\text {ew }}^{0} w i l l$ decay to $W \mathrm{~W}$ ost of the time. Since the production rate for $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ is sm all the $\left.Z Z^{( }\right)$decay cannot be separated from backgrounds to construct a signal. $W$ thout this $m$ ode at our disposal it appears near im possible to $m$ easure the $H$ iggs m ass since the W W () decay m ode does not allow the H iggs $m$ ass to be reconstructed.

H ow ever, it $m$ ight be possible to detect a H iggs signal w ithout being able to reconstruct the $m$ ass. If the $H$ iggs decays to $W^{+} W \quad$ (either on-shell or o-shell) then the pp! $W \quad h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ produces a three lepton plus $E / T$ signal if all the W 's decay leptonically. This signal is relatively clean in a hadron collider environm ent and has been proposed as a search tool for supersym $m$ etric gaugino production of $\begin{aligned} & \text { 它 } \mathrm{w} \text { ith subsequent leptonic decays into three }\end{aligned}$ leptons plusm issing energy carried aw ay by the stable lightest supersym m etric particle [1] $\left.{ }_{2}{ }_{9}\right]$.


Figure 4: The totalevent rate ofe e $\quad+\quad \mathrm{e}$ expected from pp! $\mathrm{W} \mathrm{h}_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ ! W W W ( ) production w ith $100 \mathrm{fb}{ }^{1}$ of data collected at the LHC w ith center of m ass energy 14 TeV . A 5 signal above background requires at least 100 signal events.

Because of this potentially large supersym $m$ etric rate, it $m$ ay be di cult to tell the di erence


Som e perm utations of these trilepton decays yield a like-sign dilepton signalw ith m issing energy and little background [1] 1 production where one lepton com es from the $W$ and one lepton com es from the $Z$. Cutting out $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{I}_{1}}$ ' $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}$ reduces this badkground. A nother background com es from tt production where one lepton com es from a $W$ decay in the $t$ decay and the like-sign lepton com es from $a \mathrm{~b}$ decay in the $t$ decay. This background can be greatly reduced by an e ective jet veto of the hadronic activity of the b quark, requiring no tagged b quark in the event, and also requiring that all the leptons be isolated. H ere, we propose a m ore disceming signal of like-sign dileptons with a di erent avor third lepton. U sing just the rst two generations of leptons, the signal for the presence of a $H$ iggs boson is e and e , w ith all leptons isolated. This is a useful signal to distinguish $W h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ production as opposed to the supersymm etric 存 8 production since like-sign dileptons from the latter require all three leptons to be of the same avor. The branching fraction into $W \mathrm{~W}()$ is given in Fig. $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. U sing the production cross section from F ig. 'ī- the total num ber of signal events in $100 \mathrm{fb}^{1}$ of data is displayed in F ig. ${ }^{\prime}$

The $m$ ain background from tt can be estim ating using the geom etric acceptance of lep-
tons（ $\mathrm{j} j<2: 5$ ）and jets（ $\mathrm{j} j<5$ ），the combinatorics of the decays which yield ee and e signal events，and the total inclusive tt cross section at LH C，which will be conservatively bounded at 2 nb ．The num ber of background events estim ated from tt is approxim ately 100 for $100 \mathrm{fb}^{1}$ of data．（N ote that there are no background events from W Z ．）A fter applying the sam e lepton acceptance cuts to the signal，a 5 e ect would require at least 100 signal events（using $S={ }^{p} \bar{B}$ for signi cance）．T hus，the electrow eak sym－ $m$ etry breaking $H$ iggs，$h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ ，could be detected in the range $110 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}}<175 \mathrm{GeV}$ by this process．This estim ate is based solely on parton level calculations and is only $m$ eant to dem onstrate that this signal could be useful at the LH C ．Estim ates of non－physics back－ grounds such as $m$ is－identi cation，and full jet－levelM onte C arlo sim ulation could dim inish the signi cance found here．H ow ever，it is likely that additional cuts not studied here could further distinguish the $W h_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}$ ！W W W topology over tt ！W W bb，thereby increasing the signi cance of the signal．A lso，using the nal states could help som ew hat since b decays to＇s are kinem atically suppressed．

From Fig．${ }_{-1}^{2}$ ，we can see that the W W（Z Z ）！$h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ vector boson fusion provides the highest production rate for $h_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}$ ．A long w ith the H iggs boson com es tw o high rapidity jets corresponding to the quark lines which radiated the initial vector bosons．For low mass H iggses，where $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ ！$Z^{(1)}$ ，the signal would be four leptons $w$ th high invariant $m$ asses， $\mathrm{m}_{1+1}$ from each decay．The standard $m$ odel background is dom inated by continuum $Z$ production $w$ th enhancem ent at $s m$ all invariant $m_{1_{1} 1}$ ．Identifying the $Z^{()}$as the lower $m$ ass $m_{1_{1}}$ and placing a cut on how low this invariant $m$ ass very e ectively rem oves the background while retaining alm ost all of the signal［i］$\overline{1}]$ ．It $m$ ight be possible to detect the light $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ from vector boson fusion $w$ ith as low as 40 signal events（before cuts）in this
 Fig．＇．⿹⿺㇉一灬．In the Z Z region，the detectability requirem ent of 40 signal events corresponds to a H iggs m ass range of $120 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}}<155 \mathrm{GeV}$ ．

The region between the $W W$ and ZZ threshold is much more di cult．The $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}!\mathrm{Zz}$ branching fraction is greatly reduced in this region because $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ ！$W W$ is above threshold and rapidly increasing．Therefore， $\mathrm{VV}!\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}!\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{Z}$ is not viable．From above it appears that itm ight be possible to see pp！W hew ！W W W up to about 175 GeV ．H ow ever，above 175 GeV the trilepton signalrunsout．T hus，the sm all region betw een $175 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{e}}^{0}}<180$ m ust rely on the di cult task of seeing a resonant enhancem ent in VV ！ $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{ew}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}$ ！ W W ．In


Figure 5: The total event rate of $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{+}$expected from VV ! $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}$ ! $\mathrm{ZZ}^{()}$production with $100 \mathrm{fb}^{1}$ of data collected at the LHC w ith center of mass energy 14 TeV . A 5 signal above badkground from this $m$ ode is estim ated to be possible for the $m$ ass ranges $120 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}}<155 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $200 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}}<400 \mathrm{GeV}$.
the standard $m$ odel, the large $g g!h_{s m}^{0}$ rate allows for the possibility of seeing the $H$ iggs
 estim ated that w ith $5 \mathrm{ff}^{1}$ a signalw ith greater than 5 signi cance could be observed in this
 The gauge-coupled Higgs $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ does not get produced by gg fiusion but rather W W (Z Z ) fusion. In this $m$ ass range the total cross section is $m$ ore than a factor of six lower than the gg cross section. Taking this into account and scaling the signi cance result of $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \bar{\sigma} \overline{1}\right]$ up to $100 \mathrm{fb}^{1}$ there is an 8 signal available in the range $155 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sm}}}<180 \mathrm{GeV}$. It should be pointed out, however, that som e of the cuts em ployed to reduce background $m$ ay not be as e ective if the underlying signal event is produced mainly by $W$ W (Z Z ) fusion rather than $g$ fiusion. For example, the joos $1^{+1} j<0: 8$ cut to reduce continuum qq! $\mathrm{W}^{+} \mathrm{W}$ background $m$ ay not be as usefiul. However, it $m$ ight be possible to gain signi cance by
 m ini-jet veto $[\underline{[2]} \overline{-1}]$ which takes advantage of the di erent color ow of the signal com pared to background $m$ ay also be helpfiul. A gain, confusion w ith a supersym $m$ etric signal is possible, especially for slepton and chargino pair production which both can yield $11^{0}+\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{T}$ signatures at high rates. The spectator jet tags would be especially useful to eradicate this potential
confusion.
A bove the $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ ! $Z \mathrm{Z}$ threshold the H iggs signal would be a H iggs bum p in the Z Z invariant $m$ ass spectrum in the totalZ $Z$ production cross section. A gain, the $Z$ 's are required to decay into leptons. Fig. ${ }^{\prime 5}$ ', give the total rate above the $\mathrm{Z} Z$ threshold and can be com pared $w$ ith the total $Z Z$ production cross section for sensitivity of this invariant $m$ ass peak [ The resonant $H$ iggs signal is sm eared according to the detector's electrom agnetic resolution, which is taken to be $15 \%=\stackrel{p}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}}$. Taking into consideration lepton acceptance, the signi cance is calculated for signalevents in a bin centered on $m_{z z}=m_{h_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}}$ w ith a width determ ined by the tw o standard deviation sm earing of the $H$ iggs resonance corresponding to the resolution factor given above. The signi cance reaches a peak ofabout 7 at $m_{h_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}}=220 \mathrm{GeV}$ and falls below 5 form $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}>400 \mathrm{GeV}$. This estim ate is based only on the $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}!\mathrm{ZZ}!\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{+}$ m ode. U sing all lepton perm utations at the expense of potential lepton pairing am biguity should extend the search range well above 400 GeV . A gain, a realistic detector sim ulation is required to obtain a precise range. N evertheless, it appears possible to discem a H iggs peak up to high $m$ ass scales with $100 \mathrm{fb}{ }^{1}$.

In short, top-quark condensate $m$ odels $m$ ay im ply at least one scalar degree of freedom, $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$, which breaks electrow eak sym $m$ etry and has negligible Yukaw a production $m$ odes. The LEP II search range should not be di erent than the standard model. The Tevatron $h_{\mathrm{ew}}^{0}$ search is even enhanced over the standard $m$ odel $H$ iggs search range. On the other hand,进 $w$ ill be $m$ ore challenging at the LHC to dem onstrate that such a gauge-coupled H iggs like $h_{\text {ew }}^{0}$ can be detected, and $m$ ost especially that its $m$ ass can be reconstructed. H ow ever, over $m$ ost of the perturbative $H$ iggs $m$ ass range detection should be possible w ith $100 \mathrm{fb}^{1}$ of data.
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