Sanatan Digal, Supratim Sengupta and A jit M . Srivastava Institute of Physics

Sachivalaya Marg, Bhubaneswar (751005, IND IA

We carry out num ericalsimulation of a rst order phase transition in 2+1 dimensions by random ly nucleating bubbles, and study the form ation of global U (1) vortices. Bubbles grow and coalesce and vortices are form ed at junctions of bubbles via standard K ibble mechanism as well as due to a new mechanism, recently proposed by us, where defect-antidefect pairs are produced due to eld oscillations. We make a comparative study of the contribution of both of these mechanism s for vortex production. We nd that, for high nucleation rate of bubbles, vortex-antivortex pairs produced via the new mechanism have overlapping con gurations, and annihilate quickly; so only those vortices survive till late which are produced via the K ibble mechanism. However, for low nucleation rates, bubble collisions are energetic enough to lead to many well separated vortex-antivortex pairs being produced via the new mechanism. For example, in a simulation involving nucleation of 20 bubbles, a total of 14 non-overlapping vortices and antivortices form ed via this new mechanism of pair creation (6 of them being very well separated), as compared to 6 vortices and antivortices produced via the K ibble mechanism. Our results show the possibility that in extrem ely energetic bubble collisions, such as those in the in ationary models of the early Universe, this new mechanism may drastically a ect the defect production scenario.

PACS numbers: 98.80 £ q, 11.27.+ d, 67.57 F g

# 1. Introduction

P roduction of topological defects has been a subject of great interest to condensed matter physicists, as well as to particle physicists in the context of the models of the early Universe [1]. Conventionally there have been two types of processes thought to be responsible for the production of defects. Pair production of defects antidefects via therm all uctuations [2] is one of them while the second process, usually known as the K ibble mechanism [3], arises from the form ation of a dom ain structure after the phase transition. In the context of the early Universe, it is the K ibble mechanism which plays the dom inant role as therm ally produced defects are generally Boltzm ann suppressed.

Recently, we have proposed a new mechanism for defect production which arises due to eld oscillations [4]. This mechanism was rst discussed by two of us in the context of system swith smallexplicit symmetry breaking terms [5], for the case when transition is of rst order. There are many examples of such systems, such as axionic strings and Skymmions for particle physics and liquid crystal defects in the presence of external elds for condensed matter systems. Subsequently, we showed that this mechanism is not limited to systems with explicit symmetry breaking. We analyzed the underlying physics of the mechanism and showed that this mechanism is completely general [4]. It applies to the production of all sorts of topological defects and even for second order transitions involving quench from high temperatures.

W ith the dem onstration of the general applicability of this mechanism, it becomes important to ask about its relative in portance in determ ining the defect distribution arising in a phase transition. The num erical sim ulations (for the st order transition case) carried out in [4,5] considered certain speci c eld con gurations of bubbles as the initial conditions and showed, in detail, how this new mechanism actually operates, and how much enhancement in vortex production may occur in certain favorable conditions. For example, for the explicit symmetry breaking case in [5] it was shown that in certain cases one may get up to ten vortices and antivortices produced from a single two bubble collision. Sim ilarly, in [4] (for the case when no explicit symmetry breaking is present), it was shown that with certain favorable distribution of phases and bubble separation, vortexantivortex pairm ay form via this mechanism which is as well separated as the ones which are typically produced via the Kibble mechanism.

However, as these initial conditions were specially chosen, it only shows the possibility that this mechanism may play an important role in phase transitions. W hat

E-m ail :

digal iopb emet.in supratin ( iopb emet.in

a jit@ iopb emet.in

one would like to know is the actual contribution of this mechanism for defect production in a phase transition where bubbles are random ly nucleated, because that is the only quantity which is of experim ental interest. We address this problem in this paper and focus on the case of m ost general interest, when there is no explicit sym metry breaking involved. The case with the explicit symmetry breaking is very specialized and the dynamics of vortex production also very di erent from the case with no explicit sym m etry breaking; even though the underlying mechanism is still the same, arising due to oscillations of the eld. Explicit symmetry breaking leads to extra features in the dynam ics which play a very crucial role in determining the defect abundance. For example, in presence of explicit symmetry breaking, the energetics of eld oscillations in the coalesced portion of the bubbles is governed not only by the energy acquired by the bubble walls due to conversion of false vacuum to true vacuum, but also due to the energy stored in the bubble walls due to the tilt in e ective potential. In order that such e ects do not obscure the main point we are trying to study, which is to know the relative in portance of this new mechanism in a general phase transition, we will only focus, in this paper, on system s with spontaneously broken global sym m etry without any explicit sym m etry breaking. In any case, it is these systems which form the m ost general class of system s where defect production is of interest, especially in the context of structure form ation in the early Universe. We will present the study of defect production in phase transitions for the case of explicit symmetry breaking in a follow up work [6].

The paper is organized in the following manner. The second section presents the essential physical picture of this mechanism by reviewing earlier results from [4]. Section 3 discusses the num erical technique used for im plementing the phase transition by random nucleation of bubbles. We discuss the algorithm for detecting vortices produced in the transition. Each vortex is later analyzed in detail to make sure of its structure as well as the speci c mechanism responsible for its production. We present this analysis and other num erical results in Section 4, where we identify vortices which are produced via the K ibble mechanism and those which are produced via the new mechanism. Section 5 provides discussion of the results where we compare vortex production via these two mechanisms and discuss various implications of the new mechanism. We argue that, due to this mechanism, rst order transitions with low nucleation rates (as in would be the case for in ationary scenarios of the early Universe) violent bubble collisions m ay dram atically alter the production of defects. Conclusions are presented in Section 5 where we summarize the main features of our results.

## 2. Physical Picture of the M echanism

As we mentioned above, the production of defect-

antidefect pairs via this mechanism happens entirely due to the oscillations of the magnitude of the order param eter eld. We brie y review the essential physical picture underlying thism echanism, see [4]. We will study the formation of U (1) global vortices in 2+1 dimensions, with the order parameter being the vacuum expectation value of a complex scalar eld . Consider a region of space in which the phase of the order parameter eld varies uniform ly from to as shown in Fig.1a. At this stage there is no vortex or anti-vortex present in this region. Now suppose that the magnitude of the order parameter

eld undergoes oscillations, resulting in the passage of through zero, in a sm all region in the center enclosed by the dotted bop, see Fig.lb. [As discussed in [4,5], and as we will see later in this paper, such oscillations can easily result during coalescence of bubbles in a rst order phase transition. It can also happen in second order transitions involving quenching from high temperatures.] From a plot of the e ective potential like that of a M exican hat, it is easy to see that oscillation of the order param eter through zero m agnitude am ounts to a change in the order param eter to the diam etrically opposite point on the vacuum m anifold S<sup>1</sup>. This process, which causes a discontinuous change in by , was term ed as the ipping of in [4].

For simplicity, we take to be uniform in the ipped region. Consider now the variation of along the closed path AOBCD (shown by the solid curve in Fig.1b) and assume that varies along the shortest path on the vacuum manifold  $S^1$  (as indicated by the dotted arrow s), as we cross the dotted curve i.e. the variation of from the un ipped to the ipped region follows the geodesic rule. Even if varies along the longer path on  $S^1$ , we still get a pair, with the locations of the vortex and the antivortex getting interchanged.] It is then easy to see that winds by 2 as we go around the closed path, showing that a vortex has form ed inside the region. As the net winding surrounding the ipped region is zero, it follows that an anti-vortex has form ed in the other half of the dotted region. One can also see it by explicitly checking for the (anti) winding of .

A nother way to see how ipping of results in the form ation of a vortex-antivortex pair is as follows. C onsider the variation of around the closed path AOBCD in Fig.lb before ipping of in the dotted region. Such a variation of corresponds to a shrinkable loop on the vacuum manifold  $S^1$ . A fler ipping of in the dotted region, a portion in the center of the arc P connecting = to = on  $S^1$  m oves to the opposite side of  $S^1$ . If the m idpoint of the arc originally corresponded to = ,

ipping of changes to + .W e assume that di erent points on the arc move to the opposite side of S<sup>1</sup> m aintaining symmetry about the m id point of the arc, (and say, also maintaining the orientation of the arc). Then one can see that the bop on the vacuum manifold S<sup>1</sup> becomes non-shrinkable, and has winding number one, see [4] for details. Thus a vortex has formed inside the region enclosed by the solid curve. O byiously, an antivortex will form in the left half of Fig.1b.

W e should mention here that every successive passage through zero will create a new vortex-antivortex of pair. Density waves generated during eld oscillations lead to further separation of a vortex-antivortex pair created earlier. The attractive force between the vortex and anti-vortex lead to their eventual annihilation. Though, in a rapidly expanding early Universe, it is possible that the defect and antidefect may keep moving apart due to expansion. We emphasize that, as argued in [4], this mechanism is valid even for second order phase transitions, brought about by a quench from very high tem peratures, and is also applicable for the form ation of other topological defects. For example, it was shown in [4] that this mechanism also applies to the production of m onopoles as well as textures. For string production in 3+1 dimensions, above arguments can easily be seen to lead to the production of string loops enclosing the oscillating region [4].

W e m ention here that there are vacuum m an ifolds for which the opposite orientations of the order parameter eld are identi ed; for example liquid crystals with the vacuum manifold being RP<sup>2</sup>. In such cases, ipping of the order parameter eld does not change its con guration, im plying that thism echanism may not be applicable there under general situations. Though, it is possible to argue that in the presence of explicit sym m etry breaking thism echanism should still be applicable, especially if the system is dissipative. This is because, for an order param eter con guration varying sm oothly around the value which is energetically most unfavourable (due to explicit sym m etry breaking), the only way to decrease the energy of the con guration is by creating a defect-antidefect pair as the eld oscillates and passes through zero. W e will discuss this in more detail in [6].]

#### 3. Num erical Techniques

The numerical techniques we use for bubble nucleation and time evolution are the same as used in [7]. In the following we provide essential aspects of the numerical method. We study the system described by the following Lagrangian in 2+1 dimensions.

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (0 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{4} (1)^{2} + 3 \quad (1)$$

This Lagrangian is expressed in terms of a dimensionless eld and appropriately scaled coordinates, with and being the magnitude and phase of the complex scalar eld. The theory described by this Lagrangian is that of a spontaneously broken global U (1) symmetry.

The e ective potential in Eq.(1) has a local m inimum at = 0. The true m inim a occur at a non-zero value of and correspond to the spontaneously broken sym m etry phase. At zero tem perature, the phase transition takes place by nucleation of bubbles of true vacuum in the background of false vacuum (which is at = 0) via quantum tunneling [B]. Bubbles nucleate with critical size and expand, ultim ately lling up the space. The bubble pro-

le is obtained by solving the Euclidean eld equation  $[\![8]\!]$ 

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr} = V^0( ) = 0$$
 (2)

subject to the boundary conditions (1) = 0 and d =dr = 0 at r = 0; where V () is the elective potential in Eq.(1) and r is the radial coordinate in the Euclidean space. In the M inkowski space, initial prole for the bubble is obtained by putting t = 0 in the solution of the above equation. takes a constant value inside a given bubble. Bubble nucleation is achieved by replacing a region of false vacuum by the bubble prole (which is suitably truncated while taking care of appropriatesm oothness of the conguration). Subsequent evolution of the initial eld conguration is governed by the following classical eld equations in M inkowski space

2 
$$_{i} = \frac{@V()}{@_{i}}; i = 1;2$$
 (3)

where  $= _1 + i_2$ . Time derivatives of elds are set equal to zero at t = 0.

To simulate a full rst order transition we need to nucleate several such bubbles. This is done by random ly choosing the location of the center of each bubble with some speci ed probability per unit volum e per unit time. Before nucleating a given bubble, it is checked if the relevant region is in false vacuum (i.e. it does not overlap with some other bubble already nucleated). In case there is an overlap then nucleation of the new bubble is skipped. Value of is random ly chosen for the interior of each bubble.

The simulation of the phase transition is carried out by nucleating bubbles on a square lattice with periodic boundary condition, is on a torus. The eld con guration is evolved by using a discretized version of Eq.(3). Simulation is implemented by using a stabilized leapfrog algorithm of second order accuracy in both space and time. Physical size of the lattice taken is  $320.0 \times 320.0$ with 4 x = 0.16i units. We choose 4 t = 4 x =  $\frac{1}{2}$  which satis es the Courant stability criteria.

Simulations were carried out on a Silicon Graphics Indigo 2 workstation at the Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar.

Bubbles are nucleated initially only, thus all the bubbles have same size as they expand. During the course of the phase transition, and in the absence of dam ping, the entire energy produced as a result of the conversion of false vacuum to true vacuum goes to increase the kinetic energy of the bubble walls. As a result, the bubble walls acquire a lot of energy which gets dissipated when bubbles collide. In bubble collisions ( rst studied in the context of the early Universe in [9]) there are two di erentm odes, oscillation mode, and oscillation mode, in which the energy stored in the bubble walls can be dissipated. The oscillations of (m agnitude of ) produced, when two bubbles collide, depend on the di erence as well as on the separation between the two bubbles. If the phase di erence between the two bubbles is large, then m ost of the energy stored in the bubble walls is dissipated in sm oothening out the phase gradient in the coalesced portion of the bubble walls and only a small amount of energy is converted to the oscillation mode. In the case of small phase di erence between the two bubbles, a major portion of the energy of the bubble walls is converted to the oscillatory mode of . If the oscillations are su ciently energetic then may be able to climb the potential hill and overshoot the value = 0. W henever this happens, a vortex-antivortex pair will be created, as we have discussed above. For a vortex-antivortex pair to be well form ed and well separated, the value of should not be too close to zero in between the pair. This im plies that while passing through the value zero (which is the localm in im um of V ()) must be able to climb the potential hill in the same direction and roll down to the other side of V ( ). In the section that follows, we will be giving results of simulation to support this picture.

The location of the vortices was determ ined by using an algorithm to locate the winding number. A sthe phase transition nears completion via the coalescence of bubbles, magnitude of becomes non-zero in most of the region with well de ned phase . We divide each plaquette in term s of two (right angle) triangles and check, for each such triangle, whether a non-zero winding is enclosed. A non-zero winding is enclosed by the triangle if either of the following two conditions are satis ed. (1)  $_{3}$  >  $_{1}$  + and  $_{3}$  <  $_{2}$  + ; for  $_{2}$  >  $_{1}$ , or (2)  $_{3}$  <  $_{1}$  + and  $_3 > _2 + ;$  for  $_2 < _1$ . Here,  $_1, _2$ , and  $_3$  are the phases at the vertices of the triangle. W indings are detected only in regions where the magnitude of is not too small in a small neighborhood of the triangle under consideration. If is too close to zero in a region then that region is still mostly in the false vacuum and there is no stability for any windings present there. A fter getting probable locations of vortices using the above algorithm, we check each of these regions using detailed phase plots and surface plots of to check the winding of the vortex and to make sure that the vortex has well de ned structure. By checking sim ilar plots at earlier as well as later time steps we determ ine whether the vortex was produced due to oscillation, and subsequent ipping, of

, or via the K  $\operatorname{ibble} \mathfrak{m}$  echanism .

In a recent work, C opeland and Sa ne have studied two bubble collisions for the A belian H iggsm odel [10]. It is shown in [10] that the geodesic rule in between the two bubbles is violated due to oscillations of , and vortexantivortex pair is produced in that region. Here the gauge

elds provide a driving force for leading to gradient in the coalesced region. More recently, they have also studied the form ation of nontopological strings in bubble collisions [11]. In this context, we would like to emphasize that the only key ingredients for vortex-antivortex pair creation via the new mechanism is a region of varying with large oscillation in the interior. [I hus, note that the variation of along a curve passing through the

ipped region, e.g. along AOB in Fig.1b, also does not follow the geodesic rule.] P resence of other factors, such as gauge elds etc., can only a ect the dynam ical details of oscillations. For example, the dynam ics of oscillations for the case with explicit sym m etry breaking [5] is quite di erent from the case without explicit sym m etry breaking [4], even though the underlying m echanism of vortex production is the same, i.e. via eld oscillations.

### 4. Results of the Sim ulation

In this section we describe the results of a full simulation of the phase transition involving random nucleation of bubbles with low nucleation rate. We have also carried out simulations with large nucleation rates, these largely reproduce earlier results, as given in [7], where the extra vortex-antivortex pairs produced were highly overlapping and annihilated quickly. This happened because for large nucleation rates, average separation between bubble nucleation sites is small. Thus, bubble collisions were not energetic enough, due to low kinetic energies of the walls, to lead to su ciently energetic eld oscillations. In contrast, a low nucleation rate ensures that bubble collisions are very energetic. This leads to an increased possibility of ipping of , thereby resulting in the creation ofm any well separated vortex-antivortex pairs, as we show below.

In the simulation, twenty bubbles are random ly nucleated with arbitrary phases chosen for bubble interiors. The bubbles expand and collide with each other, and vortices are form ed at the junctions of three orm ore bubbles due to K ibble mechanism [3] as well as due to ipping of

in regions where eld is oscillating. In our simulations we nd a total (time integrated) of seven well separated pairs, i.e. 14 vortices and antivortices, forming due to the new mechanism of ipping of . In comparison, we nd that 6 vortices and antivortices are produced via the Kibble mechanism. Thus, for low nucleation rates, this new mechanism becomes very prominent, even for zero explicit symmetry breaking case. We count only those vortices which are separated by a distance which is greater than the core size of the string; the core size being of the order of the inverse of the Higgs mass (' 2:8 for our case). M oreover, apart from these well separated pairs, there were many clusters of vortex-antivortex pairs which were highly overlapping. We have not counted these pairs as they annihilate quickly. The time for which a pair lasts depends upon m any factors such as the presence of other vortices in the neighborhood, presence of eld oscillations in the neighboring region which lead to density waves etc.

As we mentioned, we nd a total of 6 vortices and antivortices which form via the K ibble mechanism. Formation of these vortices happens in a similar manner as was found in the simulations in [7]. However, the form ation of vortex-antivortex pairs is now qualitatively di er-

ent. With the understanding of the precise mechanism underlying the form ation of such pairs, we are now able to focus on simulations where formation of such pairs is the dom inant process of defect creation. As we mentioned above, we achieve this by using a low nucleation rate for bubbles. A verage separation between the bubble centers in the present case is about 65 units, which is about twice of the average separation used in [7]. [In the present simulation, bubble nucleation sites were restricted to be one radius away from the boundaries of the lattice, so that full bubbles are nucleated, bubble radius being about 13.8. In contrast, in [7], nucleation sites were restricted to be 5 bubble radii away from the lattice boundaries to avoid spurious re ections, due to use of free boundary conditions there.] A swewill see below, the separation of vortices and antivortices in these pairs is now much larger, and these defects last form uch longer tim es.

We now give some speci c examples of vortexantivortex pairs form ed due to ipping of . Fig.2 shows the plot of for the entire lattice som ew hat after the onset of phase transition. The bubbles have grown in size and some of them have collided. Fig.3a shows the plot att = 49.8 of a Kibble mechanism vortex located at x = 62.8, y = 151.6. The vortex is som ewhat distorted and was form ed by the collapse of a region of false vacuum, surrounded by true vacuum , with a net winding trapped in it. Such situations were also observed in [7] where the trapped false vacuum region was seen to assume spherical shape as it gradually collapsed. Due to the nature of form ation of the vortex, the eld near its core oscillates resulting in the ipping of and subsequent form ation of a vortex-antivortex pair due to ipping; as shown in Fig.3b at t = 52.0. The K ibble vortex is the right most one, at x = 65.8, y = 151.0, while the vortex and the antivortex created due to ipping are tow ards left of it, at x = 58.6, y = 153.4 and x = 62.2, y = 149.8, respectively. Note the ipped orientation of in between this vortex and antivortex, com pared to the orientation of in the same region in Fig.3a. The vortex and the antivortex in this pair are well separated, by a distance equal to about 5, which is roughly twice the string core radius. Fig.3c shows the plot of of the same region at t = 54.3. The anti-vortex belonging to the pair has moved closer to the K ibble mechanism vortex; (eventually annihilating it and leaving behind the vortex belonging to the pair).

There is another instance in which oscillations of the core of an antivortex form ed via the K ibble m echanism give rise to a vortex-antivortex pair by the ipping m echanism . Here also, the K ibble antivortex form s due to the collapse of a region of false vacuum having net antiw inding. In this case, we nd that the K ibble m echanism antivortex subsequently annihilates with the vortex belonging to the pair thereby leaving behind the anti-vortex of the pair. W e consider the vortex/anti-vortex which survives to be e ective K ibble m echanism vortex/antivortex and count it as such. These examples clearly show that the vortex and antivortex form ed by this m echanism can be separated far enough to m ix with the K ibble m echanism vortices and hence a ect the defect distribution form ed via the K ibble m echanism.

In Figs.4a-4b we give another example of vortexantivortex pairs forming due to ipping. Fig.4a gives the plot in a region in which there is no net windingi, though there are strong phase gradients. The plot of of the same region at t = 72.4 shows a vortex-antivortex pair, Fig.4b. Comparison of the two plots clearly indicate that the vortex-antivortex pair is due to ipping. This is also con im ed by the surface plots of . The vortex-antivortex pair is well form ed and reasonably well separated.

Figs.5a-5f show a series of plots depicting the form ation (by the ipping mechanism) and evolution of two well separated vortex-antivortex pairs as well as a cluster of overlapping vortex-antivortex pairs. The vortexantivortex pairs belonging to the cluster annihilate soon after form ation. Fig.5a show sthe plot of the region, at t = 67.9, in which there is no net winding or antiw inding present but there are huge eld oscillations (as con med by the surface plots). A triangular region of false vacuum is seen near the top left region together with two other oscillating regions. Fig.5b shows the plot of the same region at t = 74.6. Com parison with Fig.5a clearly shows that the thin strip of region seen in Fig.5a has

ipped resulting in the form ation of a vortex-antivortex pair. The vortex is at x = 188.8, y = 156.7, and has a well form ed core while the antivortex is below it, towards left, and has a very large core where eld is oscillating. The triangular region of false vacuum seen in Fig.5a has alm ost disappeared by now. D scillations brought about by collision of bubble walls leads to the form ation of yet another vortex-antivortex pair due to ipping att = 70.1. However, this pair is highly overlapping and annihilates soon. We therefore do not count it as a pair, since we are only interested in formation of those defects which can survive at least for a while, in order that they can a ect the defect distribution. A swem entioned earlier, our criterion for counting a given vortex-antivortex pair is that the separation should be larger than the core thickness of the string.]

Fig.5c shows the same region at t = 792. A part from the original pair, another pair is seen just below the vortex of the original pair, with the vortex and the antivortex of this second pair being separated along the x axis, with y' 153.8. The core of anti-vortex, belonging to the original pair, has shrunk, though the anti-vortex is still distorted. A lso, the form ation of the new pair has led to further separation of the vortex and the antivortex belonging to the original pair, the separation being about 23 units at this stage. This is a very large separation, being alm ost an order of magnitude larger than the string core radius. Fig.5d shows the plot of at t = 815. Both the pairs are now well-form ed and well separated.

Subsequent plot at t = 86.0 (F ig.5e) shows the vortex belonging to the newly formed pair moving to the anti-vortex belonging to the original pair (and ultimately

annihilating). The eld oscillations generated by the annihilation of the pair leads to two new vortex-antivortex pairs (due to ipping) in the region. This is observed in the plot of this region at t = 90.5. However, we do not count these pairs as they are not well separated and annihilate very soon. Fig.5f shows the plot of of this region at t = 90.5, showing an isolated vortex and a region with net antiwinding. The vortex belonging to the original pair as well as the anti-vortex belonging to the pair form ed at t = 79.2 do not annihilate till the end of the simulation, i.e. till t = 101.8. O fall the pairs form ed due to ipping, this is the one which survives the longest.

### 5. D iscussion of the Results

W e have m entioned earlier that in order to have pairproduction due to ipping of , the bubble collisions must be su ciently energetic. This is only possible for low nucleation rates for which bubble walls can acquire su cient kinetic energy, before collision, by the conversion of false vacuum to true vacuum. Results obtained in [4] (the zero explicit symmetry breaking case), for a speci c initial con guration, seem ed to indicate that a pair production, where vortex and antivortex are well separated, may not occur too often. However, our results in this paper clearly indicate that even in a realistic phase transition where bubbles nucleate random ly, this new mechanism may become very prominent and may be the deciding factor in determ ining the defect distribution. In this context we mention that we nd that the dynam ics of collisions of several bubbles often conspires to enhance the magnitude of eld oscillations thereby making

ipping of easier.

A nother intriguing feature observed in our simulations is the presence of vortices/antivortices with an oscillating core. This feature is especially prominent in vortices/antivortices formed by the collapse of a large region of false vacuum in which a winding/anti-winding is trapped.

It is in portant to note that the results in [5] had show n that for systems with explicit symmetry breaking, this mechanism can produce very large number of defects. However, such system s are very special and in most cases (in condensed m atter system s, or in particle physics, especially for models of structure formation in the early Universe) one is interested in defect production in system swithout any explicit symmetry breaking. From this point of view, our present results are important as they dem onstrate that for very low nucleation rates this mechanism may be most dom inant for defect production. For example, this mechanism may completely dom inate if one is interested in studying defect production at last stages of extended in ation in the early Universe [12] where bubble expansion is not impeded by damping. Cosm ic string form ation by K ibble mechanism has been studied in extended in ationary models in [13]. There it was argued that the correlation length, taken to be the m ean bubble

size at the end of in ation, is larger than that corresponding to the K ibble mechanism for a therm all second order transition, and that this would result in the form ation of a more dilute network of strings. In view of our results in this paper, one expects to see a fairly large number of sm all string loops in addition to the K ibble mechanism cosm ic strings. As we have mentioned, in three dimensions, the ipping of will result in the form ation of string loops [4].] This can drastically alter the number density of defects and may lead to a much denser network of strings.

For high nucleation rates and in the presence of dam ping, this mechanism will be considerably suppressed. This follow sbecause the bubble walls will acquire less energy before collision thereby reducing the magnitude of oscillations and the probability of ipping of . In sim – ulations with high nucleation rates, we nd some highly overlapping pairs (as were found in [7]). P resence of these indicates that the magnitude of eld oscillations is large enough to induce to pass through = 0 thereby resulting in ipping, but not large enough to take all the way across the barrier to the other side of the e ective potential which would result in the form ation of a well separated pair.

The vortex-antivortex pairs eventually annihilate because of the attractive force between them. Even then, as we have shown above, in certain cases, density waves generated by eld oscillations from neighboring regions, as well as the presence of other vortices lead to the separation of the pair, thereby delaying their eventual annihilation for a signi cant period of time. For three dim ensions, this will imply form ation of expanding string bops [4]. A dense network of such string bops can lead to form ation of very long strings via intercom muting of entangled bops. In any case, a dense network of string loops will certainly modify the network of strings and hence can a ect its subsequent evolution.

## 6. C onclusions

We have carried out num erical sim ulations of a general rst order phase transition for the case of spontaneous breaking of a global U (1) sym metry, and have studied the production of vortices and antivortices. We estimate the net num ber of vortices produced, which includes vortices formed due to the K ibblem echanism as well as those produced via the pair production mechanism. Nucleation rate a ects density of defects produced via the ipping mechanism due to the fact that a larger nucleation rate im plies smaller average bubble separation, which in turn leads to less kinetic energy for the bubble walls before bubbles collide. O scillations of are less prominent for less energetic walls leading to smaller num ber of defectantidefect pairs for larger nucleation rates.

We therefore simulate the transition with a low nucleation rate. Here the bubble collisions are energetic enough to lead to large oscillations of and subsequent

ipping of . This leads to the production of many, well separated, vortex-antivortex pairs via the new mechanism. For example, we nd a total of 14, reasonably well separated, vortices and antivortices form ed via thism echanism, as compared to 6 vortices and antivortices form ed due to the K ibble m echanism . These results dem onstrate that for very low nucleation rates, when bubble collisions are extrem ely energetic, this mechanism may drastically alter the defect production scenario. A dense network of defects produced via this mechanism can completely modify the network of strings produced via the Kibble mechanism and hence may alter the evolution of string network. This may be the situation for in ationary theories of the early Universe, for example, in extended in ation, where bubble collisions are very energetic. In fact in view of our results, one can expect a large population of other defects, especially monopoles, arising via this mechanism at the end of extended in ation.

Interestingly, a rst order transition with low nucleation rate would imply large bubble separations and hence a smaller number of Kibble defects (say monopoles). However, defects (per bubble) produced via

eld oscillations are more abundant for low nucleation rate due to collisions being more energetic. Therefore, the naldefect density may well be an increasing function of the bubble separation (say in extended in ation). In that case there seems a possibility of overproducing monopoles. This interesting possibility needs further exploration.

A direct experim entalevidence for this mechanism can only come from condensed matter systems, as was the case for the K ibble mechanism [14]. The phase transition in super uid<sup>3</sup>He from A to B phase is of rst order, and occurs via nucleation of bubbles of true vacuum, the grow th of which is unim peded by dam ping. Hence, this mechanism should lead to form ation of small string bops in this transition. In view of our results in this paper, we expect that number density of such string bops may be signi cant. It will be very exciting to detect these bops. A swe had emphasized in [4], observation of bops smaller than the average size of coalescing bubbles, at the string form ation stage, will give direct evidence for this mechanism.

- For a review see, A.V ilenkin and E P.S. Shellard, \Cosmic strings and other topological defects", (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994).
- [2] F A. Bais and S. Rudaz, Nucl. Phys. B 170, 507 (1980).
- [3] T W B.Kibble, J.Phys. A 9, 1387 (1976).
- [4] S. D igal, S. Sengupta and A M. Srivastava, Phys. Rev. D 55, 3824 (1997).
- [5] S.D igal and A M. Srivastava, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 583 (1996).

- [6] S.D igal, S. Sengupta and A M. Srivastava, (in preparation).
- [7] A M. Srivastava, Phys. Rev. D 46, 1353 (1992); see also,
  S. Chakravarty and A M. Srivastava, Nucl. Phys. B 406, 795 (1993).
- [8] M B.Voloshin, I.Yu.Kobzarev and LB.Okun, Yad.Fiz.
  20, 1229 (1974) [Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.20, 644 (1975)]; S.
  Colem an, Phys.Rev.D 15, 2929 (1977); C.G. Callan and
  S.Colem an, ibid. 16, 1762 (1977).
- [9] S.W. Hawking, I.G. Moss and J.M. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D 26, 2681 (1982).
- [10] E.J. Copeland and P.M. Sa n Phys. Rev. D 15, (1996).
- [11] E.J.Copeland and P.M.Sa n, hep-th/9702034.
- [12] D. La and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 376 (1989).
- [13] E J. Copeland, E W .Kolb and A R.Liddle, Phys.Rev. D 42, 2911 (1990).
- [14] For a review, see, W H. Zurek, preprint, Los A lam os N ationalLaboratory, LA -U R -95-2269 (July, 1996), condm at/9607135.



Fig.1a



Fig.1b

FIG.1. (a) A region of space with varying uniform ly from at the bottom to some value at the top. (b) F lipping of in the center (enclosed by the dotted loop) has changed = to = + resulting in a pair production.

Field Plot At t = 67.9

Field Plot At t = 81.5



Fig.5a

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-4.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-5.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-6.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-7.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-8.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-9.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-10.png" is available in "png" format from: