E ective A nalysis of the O (N) A ntiferrom agnet: Low Tem perature Expansion of the O rder P aram eter

Christoph P.Hofmann

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland (June 1997)

Abstract

We investigate the low energy properties of Lorentz-invariant theories with a spontaneously broken rotation symmetry O(N)! $O(N \{1\})$. The leading coeccients of the low temperature expansion for the partition function are calculated up to and including three loops. Emphasis is put into the special case N = 3: it describes the antiferrom agnet which has been extensively studied. Our results obtained within the framework of the elective Lagrangian technique are compared with the literature. In particular, we show that, at order T⁷ for the heat capacity and T⁶ for the order parameter, respectively, logarithm ic terms appear in the low temperature expansion, which have been overlooked so far.

Typeset using REVT_EX

In the present paper, we investigate the low temperature properties of spontaneously broken O(N)-symmetric theories. More precisely, we consider the specific case where the symmetry G = O(N) of the Lagrangian is spontaneously broken to $H = O(N \{1\})$ we then have $N \{1 G \text{ oldstone}$ bosons in the broken phase (N = 2). If the perturbations, which break the rotation symmetry explicitly, are small, these excitations remain light and dom inate the low energy behavior of the system. Moreover, the G oldstone particles interact weakly at low energies and a systematic perturbative expansion can be advised. In particular, the partition function can be evaluated in this manner, amounting to low temperature theorems for quantities of physical interest.

A very e cient tool to analyze the low energy structure of a system which exhibits spontaneous symmetry breaking is provided by the elective Lagrangian technique. The method applies to any system where the G oldstone bosons are the only excitations without energy gap. The essential point here is that the properties of these degrees of freedom and their mutual interaction are strongly constrained by the symmetry inherent in the underlying model { the specie c nature of the underlying model itself, how ever, is not im portant. For a pedagogic outline of the elective Lagrangian method with applications to nonrelativistic system s, the reader may consult reference¹, which is written in a condensed matter perspective.²

W ithin the fram ework of e ective Lagrangians, the low temperature behavior of chiral theories, where the respective groups are $G = SU(n)_L$ $SU(n)_R$ and $H = SU(n)_V$, has been analyzed in detail^{5;6}. In particular, the low temperature expansion for the quark condensate, which represents the most prominent order parameter in quantum chromodynamics (QCD), has been evaluated to three loops. In the present work, we repeat this analysis for Lorentz-invariant theories displaying a spontaneously broken rotation symmetry, O(N)! $O(N \{1) \{$ the system m ay then be referred to as O(N) antiferrom agnet.

A part from this general analysis, our interest is devoted to the special case N = 3: the results obtained then describe the O (3) antiferrom agnet, where the spin waves or m agnons represent the corresponding G oldstone degrees of freedom. This system has been widely studied in condensed m atter physics and it is instructive to compare our results with the ndings derived within them icroscopic H eisenberg m odel. The lattice structure of a solid singles out preferred directions, such that the elective Lagrangian is not invariant under space rotations. In the case of a cubic lattice, the anisotropy, however, only shows up at higher orders of the derivative expansion⁷ { the discrete sym m etry of the lattice thus in plies space rotation sym m etry. Hence, the leading order elective Lagrangian of an antiferrom agnet is Lorentz invariant⁸: antiferrom agnetic spin-wave excitations exhibit relativistic kinem atics, with the velocity of light being replaced by the spin-wave velocity.

In a Lorentz-invariant theory, the following invariance theorem holds: up to a W ess-Zum ino term, the elective Lagrangian may be brought to a form which is manifestly invariant with respect to the internal symmetry of the underlying theory⁹. The procedure of constructing the corresponding elective Lagrangian is thus straightforward: one writes down the most general expression consistent with Lorentz symmetry and the internal symmetry G of the underlying model in terms of Goldstone elds U^a(x); a = 1; :::, dim (G) - dim (H) { the elective Lagrangian then consists of a string of terms involving an increasing number of derivatives or, equivalently, amounts to an expansion in powers of the momentum . M oreover, the elective m ethod allows to system atically take into account interactions which explicitly break the symmetry G of the underlying model, provided that they can be treated as perturbations.¹⁰

In the particular case we are considering, the symmetry O(N) is broken by an external edd: it is convenient to collect the $(N \{1\})$ Goldstone edds U^a in a N-dimensional vector Uⁱ = $(U^0; U^a)$ of unit length,

$$U^{i}(x)U^{i}(x) = 1; (1.1)$$

and to take the constant external eld along the zeroth axis, $H^{i} = (H; 0; :::; 0)$. The Euclidean form of the elective Lagrangian up to and including order p^{4} then reads¹²:

$$L_{eff} = \frac{1}{2}F^{2}(0 U^{i}(0 U^{i}) + k_{1}\frac{s}{F^{2}}(H^{i}U^{i})) (0 U^{k}(0 U^{k}) + k_{2}\frac{s}{F^{4}}(H^{i}U^{i})^{2} + k_{1}\frac{s}{F^{2}}(H^{i}U^{i}) (0 U^{k}(0 U^{k}) + k_{2}\frac{s}{F^{4}}(H^{i}U^{i})^{2} + k_{3}\frac{s}{F^{4}}H^{i}H^{i} + (1.2)$$

In the power counting scheme, the eld U (x) counts as a quantity of order one. Derivatives correspond to one power of the momentum, $(p, whereas the external eld H counts as a term of order p². Hence, at leading order (/ p²) two coupling constants, F and _s, occur, at next-to-leading order (/ p⁴) we have ve such constants, e₁;e₂;k₁;k₂;k₃. Note that these couplings are not xed by symmetry { they parametrize the physics of the underlying theory.$

The elective Lagrangian method provides us with a simultaneous expansion in powers of the momenta and of the external eld. To a given order in the low energy expansion only a nite number of coupling constants and a nite number of graphs contribute. Consider e.g. scattering processes between Goldstone particles. The leading contributions to the scattering amplitudes stem from the tree graphs. Graphs involving 1 loops are suppressed by 1 powers of $p^2 = F^2$ and do therefore not a location the leading term s.

If the scattering am plitudes are thus needed to accuracy p^4 , the e ective Lagrangian must be known up to and including L^4_{eff} . There are two types of contributions at this order of the low energy expansion: one-loop graphs of L^2_{eff} and tree graphs containing one vertex from L^4_{eff} . Sim ilarly, at order p^6 , two-loop graphs of L^2_{eff} as well as one-loop graphs involving one vertex from L^4_{eff} and tree graphs with two vertices from L^4_{eff} or one vertex from L^6_{eff} contribute etc.

It is convenient to use dimensional regularization of the loop integrals. In this scheme, the ultraviolet divergences of the one-loop graphs of $L^2_{\rm eff}$ are absorbed in a renorm alization of the coupling constants which occur in $L^4_{\rm eff}$ { the constants F and $_{\rm s}$ in $L^2_{\rm eff}$ are not renormalized. M ore generally, dimensional regularization ensures that the ultraviolet divergences which occur in the sum of all graphs of order p^{2n} are removed by a suitable renormalization of the coupling constants in $L^{2n}_{\rm eff}$ { the lower order Lagrangians $L^2_{\rm eff}$;:::; $L^{2n\ 2}_{\rm eff}$ rem ain untouched.

II.FIN ITE TEM PERATURE

The elective Lagrangian method can readily be extended to nite temperature. In the partition function, contributions of massive particles are suppressed exponentially, such that the Goldstone bosons dom inate the properties of the system at low temperatures. Hence,

the low energy theorems for scattering am plitudes, e.g., are converted into temperature theorems for the partition function. In the power counting rules, the role of the external m om enta is taken over by the temperature, which is treated as a small quantity of order p. The interaction among the G oldstone degrees of freedom now generates perturbations of order $p^2=F^2$ / $T^2=F^2$.

In the elective Lagrangian framework, the partition function is represented as a Euclidean functional integral, 13

$$Tr[exp(H=T)] = [dU]exp(d^{4}xL_{eff}):$$
 (2.1)

The integration is performed over all eld con gurations which are periodic in the Euclidean time direction, $U(x;x_4 +) = U(x;x_4)$, with 1=T. The low temperature expansion of the partition function is obtained by considering the uctuations of the eld U_p around the ground state V = (1;0;:::;0), i.e. by expanding U^0 in powers of U^a , $U^0 = \frac{p}{1 - U^a U^a}$. The leading contribution (order p^2) contains a term quadratic in U^a which describes free G oldstone bosons of mass $M^2 = {}_{s}H = F^2$. The remainder of the eld Lagrangian is treated as a perturbation. Evaluating the G aussian integrals in the standard manner, one arrives at a set of Feynman rules which di er from the conventional rules of the eld use of th

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (x; x_{4} + n); \\ n = 1 \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

where (x) is the Euclidean propagator at zero tem perature. We restrict ourselves to the in nite volume limit and evaluate the free energy density z_1 , dened by

$$z = T \lim_{L \le 1} L^{3} \ln [Trexp(H=T)]:$$
 (2.3)

Tem perature thus produces remarkably little change : to obtain the partition function, one simply restricts the manifold on which the elds are living to a torus in Euclidean space. The elds are coupling constants F; $_{s};e_{1};:::$ are temperature independent.

To evaluate the graphs of the elective theory, it is convenient to use dimensional regularization, where the zero temperature propagator reads

$$(x) = (2)^{d} d^{d}p e^{ipx} (M^{2} + p^{2})^{1} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d(4)^{d=2} e^{M^{2} x^{2}=4}; \qquad (2.4)$$

W eperform the calculation up to and including term s of order p^8 in the free energy density of the system. To this order in the momenta, contributions to the elective Lagrangian involving at most eight derivatives enter and the perturbative expansion requires the evaluation of graphs containing at most three loops.

The renorm alization procedure is identical with the one used in connection with chiral e ective theories: the same graphs have to be evaluated and, up to C lebsch-G ordan coefcients speci c to the group O (N), the same ultraviolet divergent expressions occur in the loop-integrals { for the explicit form of these quantities and the construction of the respective counterterms, the reader is thus referred to reference⁶. We just mention the fact that the contributions to the elective Lagrangian of order p^6 and of order p^8 merely renormalize the mass of the G oldstone bosons and the vacuum energy. At the order in the low temperature expansion we are considering here, the values of the coupling constants occurring in these pieces of the elective Lagrangian are therefore irrelevant and the renormalized mass takes the form

$$M^{2} = \frac{{}_{s}H}{F^{2}} + \frac{N}{32} \frac{3}{2} \frac{({}_{s}H)^{2}}{F^{6}} \ln \frac{H}{H_{M}} + O(H^{3}):$$
(2.5)

The logarithm ic scale H_M is determined by k_1 and k_2 , i.e. by two next-to-leading order coupling constants (order p^4) { a brief discussion can be found in the appendix.

In the lim it of a zero external eld, the low temperature expansion of the free energy density is a power series of the type

$$z = \sum_{\substack{m \ n = \ 0; 1; \dots \\ m \ n = \ 0; 1; \dots}}^{X} c_{m \ n} (T^{2})^{m} (T^{2} \log T)^{n}; \qquad (2.6)$$

and the evaluation of the graphs of the e ective theory corresponds to a calculation of the coe cients in this series, which are pure numbers.

If the external eld H is di erent from zero, the low tem perature expansion is not a simple power series in T and log T. The free energy density then involves nontrivial functions of the ratio M =T. To analyze the behavior of the system at tem peratures of the order of M , we treat both T and M as small quantities compared to the scale of the underlying theory,¹⁶ allow ing the ratio M =T to have any value (simultaneous expansion in powers of T and of M at xed ratio M =T). The infrared singularities involving negative powers of T are thus rem oved by reordering, i.e. writing the series in term s of the two variables T and M =T and ordering powers of T. In this generalized sense, the low temperature expansion of the free energy density is a power series of the form (2.6) even for nonzero external eld; the sym m etry breaking m erely a ects the coe cients c m n which now becom e nontrivial functions of the ratio M =T.

III. R E SU LT S

In this section, we are going to discuss the low temperature properties of the O(N) antiferrom agnet, described by the elective Lagrangian (1.2).

Since the system is hom ogeneous, the pressure is given by the tem perature dependent part of the free energy density,

$$P = "_0 z:$$
 (3.1)

To begin with, let us consider the therm odynam ic quantities in the lim it of a zero external eld, H ! 0. For those quantities we are interested in, we need all contributions to the pressure which are at most linear in the external eld.

The energy density of the vacuum then reads

$$"_0 = {}_{s}H + O(H^2):$$
 (3.2)

The term quadratic in H involves a logarithm, which depends on a scale determ ined by next-to-leading order coupling constants.

The form ula for the pressure takes the form

$$P = \frac{1}{2} (N - 1)g_{j} + 4 a (g_{1})^{2} + g^{h} b - \frac{j^{-1}}{3F^{4}} + 0 (p^{10}): \qquad (3.3)$$

The dependence of the quantity P on temperature is contained in the functions $g_r(M;T)$ and j(M;T), which are dened in the appendix.

In the lim it H $\, ! \,$ O (, T $\,$ M $\,)$ we are interested in, the functions $g_0\, ; g_1$ and g are given by 6

$$g_{0} = \frac{1}{45} {}^{2}T^{4} 1 \qquad \frac{15}{4} {}^{\frac{M}{2}} \frac{7}{T^{2}} + O \qquad \frac{M}{T} {}^{3} ;$$

$$g_{1} = \frac{1}{12}T^{2} 1 \qquad \frac{3}{T} \frac{M}{T} + O \qquad \frac{M^{2}}{T^{2}} \ln \frac{M}{T} ;$$

$$g = \frac{1}{675} {}^{4}T^{8} 1 \qquad \frac{15}{4} {}^{\frac{M}{2}} \frac{7}{T^{2}} + O \qquad \frac{M}{T} {}^{3} ;$$
(3.4)

while j diverges logarithm ically,

$$j = \ln \frac{T}{M} + j_1 + j_2 \frac{M^2}{T^2} + O \frac{M}{T}^3; \qquad \frac{5(N-1)(N-2)}{48}: \qquad (3.5)$$

The quantities j_1 and j_2 are real numbers, determ ined by the group O (N).

The constant a is linear in the external $\,$ eld, whereas b depends logarithm ically on H and involves a scale H $_{\rm b},$

$$a = \frac{(N - 1)(N - 3)}{32} \frac{H}{F^4};$$

$$b = \frac{5(N - 1)(N - 2)}{96^{-3}F^4} \ln \frac{H}{H_b};$$
(3.6)

The scale H_b is related to the coupling constants e_1 and e_2 of order p^4 (see appendix).

Equipped with the above formulae, the low temperature expansion of the pressure amounts to

$$P = \frac{1}{90} {}^{2} (N - 1) T^{4} 1 + \frac{N}{72} \frac{2}{F} \frac{T^{4}}{4} \ln \frac{T_{p}}{T} + O (T^{6})^{\#} :$$
(3.7)

The rst contribution represents the free Bose gas term which originates from a one-bop graph, whereas the elective interaction among the Goldstone bosons, remarkably, only manifests itself through a term of order T⁸. This contribution contains a logarithm, characteristic of the elective Lagrangian method, which involves a scale, T_p , related to H_b (see appendix).

It is instructive to compare this formula for the pressure with the analogous relation occurring in theories with a spontaneously broken chiral symmetry, i.e. for $G = SU(n)_R$ SU(n)_L! $H = SU(n)_V$ ¹⁷:

$$P = \frac{1}{90} {}^{2} (n^{2} \ 1) T^{4} 1 + \frac{n^{2}}{144} \frac{T^{4}}{F^{4}} \ln \frac{T_{p}}{T} + O (T^{6})^{\#}:$$

An immediate consistency check of these two results is provided by the particular case N = 4, n=2: since the two groups 0 (4) and 0 (3) are locally isomorphic to SU (2) SU (2) and SU (2), respectively, the above three-loop representations for the pressure have to coincide { the form ula referring to the 0 (4) antiferrom agnet in zero external eld has to be identical with the one for QCD with two avors (n=2) in the chiral limit (zero quark mass). Indeed, this is the case.

The corresponding expressions for the energy density u, for the entropy density s and for the heat capacity c_{i} are readily worked out from the therm odynamic relations

$$s = \frac{\partial P}{\partial T}$$
; $u = Ts P$; $G = \frac{\partial u}{\partial T} = T \frac{\partial s}{\partial T}$; (3.8)

with the result

$$u = \frac{1}{30} {}^{2} (\mathbb{N} - 1) T^{4} 1 + \frac{\mathbb{N} - 2}{216} \frac{T^{4}}{F^{4}} 7 \ln \frac{T_{p}}{T} - 1 + O (T^{6})^{"};$$

$$s = \frac{2}{45} {}^{2} (\mathbb{N} - 1) T^{3} 1 + \frac{\mathbb{N} - 2}{288} \frac{T^{4}}{F^{4}} 8 \ln \frac{T_{p}}{T} - 1 + O (T^{6})^{"};$$

$$g_{V} = \frac{2}{15} {}^{2} (\mathbb{N} - 1) T^{3} 1 + \frac{\mathbb{N} - 2}{864} \frac{T^{4}}{F^{4}} 56 \ln \frac{T_{p}}{T} - 15 + O (T^{6})^{"};$$
(3.9)

The order parameter is given by the logarithm ic derivative of the partition function with respect to the external eld,

$$_{s}(T) = \frac{@"_{0}}{@H} + \frac{@P}{@H}$$
: (3.10)

щ

#

This leads to

$${}_{s}(T) = {}_{s} 1 \frac{N}{24} \frac{1T^{2}}{F^{2}} \frac{(N 1)(N 3)T^{4}}{1152} \frac{(N 1)(N 3)T^{4}}{F^{4}} \frac{(N 1)(N 2)T^{6}}{1728} \frac{(N 1)(N 2)T^{6}}{F^{6}} \ln \frac{T}{T} + O(T^{8}) : (3.11)$$

The term s of order T^0 ; T^2 ; T^4 and T^6 arise from tree, one-loop, two-loop and three-loop graphs, respectively. Up to and including T⁴, the coe cients are determ ined by the constant F which thus sets the scale of the expansion. The logarithm only shows up at order T⁶: the scale T involves next-to-leading order coupling constants (see appendix).

A sexpected, the order parameter gradually melts as the tem perature rises. The e ective method, however, has its limitations: the low temperature expansion can only be trusted at low temperatures { the curly bracket in (3.11) represents a correction. In particular, the critical tem perature cannot be accurately determ ined by setting equation (3.11) equal to zero.

For nonzero external eld, as we have seen before, the low tem perature representations of the therm odynam ic quantities and the order parameter retain their form, except that the coe cients now become functions of M =T. In the region T M one recovers the results of the theory for zero external eld, whereas in the opposite limit, T M, even the G oldstone bosons freeze. The properties of the system are therefore very sensitive to the value of the ratio M =T. Take e.g. the pressure: in the limit H ! O, a contribution of order p^6 , as we have seen, does not occur. This is no longer the case for an approximate symmetry (H \leq O): remarkably, the corresponding term of order p^6 (/ H T⁴) turns out to be negative (N \leq 2), signaling an attractive interaction between the G oldstone degrees of freedom. Note that, with respect to the limit H ! O, the sign of the elditor interaction has changed: there, the rst nonleading term (order p^8 ; / T⁸ ln [T_p=T]) is positive and the interaction thus repulsive.

IV.O (3) ANTIFERROMAGNET

Lorentz invariance is a crucial ingredient of our analysis: in Lorentz-non invariant theories, the e ective Lagrangian picks up additional term s. It is therefore not legitim at a priori to transfer the above results to nonrelativistic systems displaying a spontaneously broken rotation symmetry.

In particular, for N = 3, the above low tem perature theorem s do not in general hold for system s exhibiting collective m agnetic behavior, where the spin waves or m agnons are the relevant G oldstone excitations: in the leading order e ective Lagrangian, a term oftopological nature appears, which is 0 (3)-invariant only up to a total derivative and violates Lorentz sym m etry.^{8,18}. However, this contribution is proportional to the spontaneous m agnetization, such that, for the 0 (3) antiferrom agnet, it does not occur { the leading term of the e ective Lagrangian for this system thus coincides with the leading contribution in the relativistic expression (1.2). Note that, in this analogy, the velocity of light has been replaced by the spin-wave velocity.

At nonleading order, however, additional terms occur in the elective Lagrangian, which spoil the form al relativistic invariance. As we have seen in the preceding section, elective coupling constants of order p^4 only show up in logarithm is scales { the coelectents in front of the logarithm s exclusively involve leading order coupling constants. Hence, in what follows, we neglect the complication arising from noninvariant terms of order p^4 , and discuss the O (3) antiferrom agnet in the fram ework of the Lagrangian (1.2).

Let us rst consider the low temperature expansion of the order parameter { for the O (3) antiferrom agnet, this quantity is referred to as staggered m agnetization. Remarkably, for N = 3, the T⁴-term in formula (3.11) drops out, and we end up with

$${}_{s}(T) = {}_{s}\left(1 - \frac{1}{12}\frac{(k_{B}T)^{2}}{hvF^{2}} - \frac{1}{864}\frac{(k_{B}T)^{6}}{h^{3}v^{3}F^{6}}\ln\frac{T}{T} + O(T^{8})\right) : (4.1)$$

Note that, for later convenience, we have restored the dimensions: k_B is Boltzm ann's constant and v is the spin-wave velocity. The low energy constant F already appears in the leading T-coe cient. By comparing the above result for the staggered m agnetization with the expression derived in condensed m atterphysics, we are thus able to identify the elective coupling constant F in terms of m icroscopic quantities.

So let us brie y recall how the O (3) antiferrom agnet is described within the m icroscopic theory. In the H eisenberg m odel, the exchange H am iltonian H $_0$,

$$H_{0} = 2J S_{m} S_{n}; \quad J = \text{const:}; \quad (4.2)$$

form ulates the dynam ics in term s of spin operators S_m , attached to lattice sites m. Note that the sum m ation only extends over nearest neighbor pairs and, m oreover, the isotropic interaction is assumed to be the same for any two adjacent lattice sites. If the sign of the exchange integral J is negative, antiparallel spin alignment is favored, such that we end up with antiferrom agnetic behavior. C learly, the H am iltonian is invariant under rotations of the spin directions, generated by

$$Q = \sum_{n}^{X} S_{n} :$$
 (4.3)

The ground state of the antiferrom agnet, however, does not exhibit this 0 (3) symmetry, and its m icroscopic description is highly nontrivial: in our analysis, we take it for granted that it spontaneously breaks the symmetry down to the group 0 (2).

M oreover, the antiferrom agnet is commonly discussed within the following idealized picture: the system is considered as composed of two sublattices a and b, where a-and b-spins are of equal magnitude and the arrangement is such that all nearest neighbors of an a-spin are b-spins and vice versa. Furthermore, let us assume that the structure of the lattice is simple cubic. A lthough the ground state of the antiferrom agnet does not exhibit spontaneous magnetization, the sublattice magnetization itself is not zero. And it is this latter quantity which is extensively discussed in the literature: indeed, at leading order in the temperature expansion, a T²-decrease of the sublattice magnetization has been predicted by many authors^{19{23},

$$\frac{M_{a}(0) M_{a}(T)}{g_{B}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V}{a^{3}} \frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{k_{B}T}{2z} \frac{k_{B}T}{2jj\beta}$$
(2): (4.4)

The expression involves the following quantities: the exchange integral (J), the highest eigenvalue of the spin operator S_n^3 (S), the number of nearest neighbors of a given lattice site (z), the entire volume of the system (V), the length of the unit cell (a), the Lande factor (g), the Bohrm agneton ($_B$), and the R iem ann zeta function.

The sublattice magnetization at zero tem perature,

$$M_{a}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V}{a^{3}} g_{B}(S) ; \qquad (4.5)$$

involves the quantity , a sm all num ber which depends on the structure of the lattice: in the case of a simple cubic lattice it takes the value $= 0.078^{24}$. This relation rejects the well-known fact that the ground state of the antiferrom agnet is highly nontrivial: in particular, the naive picture where the spin vectors of the two sublattices point in mutually opposite directions ("Neel state"), i.e. = 0, only represents an approximation.

In order to compare the expression (4.4) referring to the sublattice magnetization with our result (4.1) for the staggered magnetization, we observe that the two quantities are related via

$${}_{s}(T) = \frac{2M_{a}(T)}{V} = \frac{g_{B}}{a^{3}}(S) \qquad \frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{k_{B}T}{2z} \frac{k_{B}T}{2jj5}(2) + \dots$$
(4.6)

The above m icroscopic expression agrees with the e ective expansion (4.1) up to order T², provided that the two coupling constants F and $_{s}$ are identi ed as

$$F^{2} = \frac{S}{P \frac{1}{2z}} \frac{hv}{a^{2}} = 2S(S) \frac{jJj}{a}; \qquad S = \frac{g_{B}(S)}{a^{3}}; \qquad (4.7)$$

Note that the spin-wave velocity v is given by the following combination of microscopic quantities,

$$v = 2jj^{p} \frac{1}{2z}a=h:$$
 (4.8)

The scale of the low temperature expansion is set by F^{p} hv { let us brie y estimate its value. W ritten in terms of the exchange integral J, we obtain

$$F^{p} = 2jJ_{5}^{q} (S) 2z :$$
(4.9)

Now, for a simple cubic lattice (z = 6; = 0.078) and for S = 1=2, the double square root on the right hand side is approximately equal to one, such that we end up with F hv jjj. Typically, the exchange integral for antiferrom agnets is around jjj $10^3 \text{ eV}^{25,26}$, and the scale F hv thus of the same order of magnitude. This is to be contrasted with the situation in QCD, where the relevant quantity, F hc, takes the value 92M eV { the respective scales in the two theories thus di er in about eleven orders of magnitude.

As far as subleading terms in the expansion of the staggered m agnetization are concerned, it is well-known that a T⁴-contribution is $absent^{20;21;23}$?⁷ the spin-wave interaction only manifests itself at higher orders. A lthough these authors predict a term proportional to six powers of the temperature, in agreement with our result (4.1), they do not not the logarithm ic dependence on the temperature. We conclude that it is extremely di cult to calculate the corrections of order T⁶ in the fram ework of a microscopic theory.

As a second comparison, let us now discuss the heat capacity. Setting N = 3 in the electric expansion (3.9), we end up with

$$c_{V} = \frac{4}{15} \left[\frac{2}{h^{3}v^{3}} \frac{k_{B}^{4}T^{3}}{h^{3}v^{3}} \right] + \frac{1}{864} \frac{(k_{B}T)^{4}}{h^{2}v^{2}F^{4}} 56 \ln \frac{T_{p}}{T} - 15 + O(T^{6})^{\#}$$
(4.10)

Replacing v according to (4.8), the leading contribution amounts to

$$c_{V} = \frac{4^{2} k_{B}}{15a^{3}} \frac{k_{B} T}{2jJ \beta^{2} z}^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
(4.11)

This expression perfectly agrees with the leading term obtained from a microscopic or phenom enological analysis of the antiferrom $agnet^{19;20;28}$ ³⁰.

As far as corrections to the free Bose gas term are concerned, it is also known that the spin-wave interaction does not manifest itself through a T⁵-term in the expansion for the heat capacity^{20;31}. However, there is again a disagreement with respect to the structure of this correction: in reference²⁰, a simple T⁷-contribution is predicted { a logarithm ic dependence on the temperature is not found.

N evertheless, it is instructive to compare the two expansions for the heat capacity at the T 7 -level. Inserting the microscopic expression (4.7) for F into the elective expansion, we obtain

$$a_{V} = \frac{4}{15} \frac{2}{h^{3}v^{3}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{864} \frac{2z a^{4}}{(S - t^{2})^{2}} \frac{(k_{B} T)^{4}}{h^{4}v^{4}} \right] 56 \ln \frac{T_{p}}{T} = 15 + 0 (T^{6})^{\#}$$

Now, in order for the nonleading term to be consistent with the corresponding microscopic result of order T $_{\prime}^{20}$

$$\frac{28^{4}}{225^{5}}\frac{1}{3} k_{B} \frac{a^{4}}{(hv)^{7}} (k_{B} T)^{7}; \qquad (4.12)$$

the logarithm must have the following numerical value (S = 1=2, simple cubic lattice): $\ln(T_p=T)$ 1:5. Hence, for that speci c value of the temperature, $T_0 = 0.23 T_p$, the two results coincide.

Let us consider the analogous situation in QCD: there, the value of T_p can be extracted from experiment (-scattering, for details see e.g.⁶), yielding T_p 275M eV. Accordingly, with the above value of the logarithm, we get T_0 60M eV. The critical temperature is estimated to be around 170M eV { so we see that the values for T_0 ; T_c and T_p are of the same order of magnitude. Note that the respective scales for the antiferrom agnet di er in about ten orders of magnitude: with a critical temperature (Neel temperature) of T_N 0 (0.01eV), we end up with T_p 0 (0.01eV).

V.SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

The presence of states with small excitation energies a ects the behavior of the system in a very speci c manner, controlled by the symmetries of the underlying theory. These symmetries unambiguously x the values of the coe cients in the low temperature expansion of the order parameter and the therm odynamic quantities up to two leading order coupling constants, F and $_{\rm s}$. Symmetry, however, does not determ ine the logarithm ic scales $T_{\rm p}$ and T , which occur in the temperature expansion and involve next-to-leading order coupling constants.

The low temperature theorem s for the order parameter and the therm odynamic quantities are exact up to and including three loops: independently of the speci c underlying model, they are valid for any Lorentz-invariant theory where an O(N) symmetry is spontaneously broken to $O(N \{1\})$. For N = 4, the expansion (3.11) for the order parameter not only describes the quark condensate of QCD with two avors in the chiral limit, but also, e.g., describes the Higgs condensate in the Standard M odel of elementary particle physics. The only dimension between the two representations concerns the numerical value of the coupling constants occurring therein { the low temperature description turns out to be universal.

A nother interesting case, which we have discussed in detail, is given by N=3: the O (3) antiferrom agnet. The low temperature theorems for the staggered magnetization and the heat capacity both agree with the results given in the literature up to and including two loops. At the three-loop level, however, the results no longer coincide: to my know ledge, the logarithm ic temperature dependence at order T⁷ in the expansion for the heat capacity and order T⁶ for the staggered magnetization, has been overlooked so far. The e ective Lagrangian m ethod not only proves to be more e cient than the complicated m icroscopic analysis, but also addresses the problem from a uni ed point of view based on symmetry

{ at large wavelengths, the microscopic structure of the system only manifests itself in the numerical values of a few coupling constants.

A lthough Lorentz invariance is a crucial ingredient of the present analysis, the e ective Lagrangian m ethod is not restricted to this dom ain: ferrom agnets, e.g., where the spin waves follow a quadratic dispersion law, m ay be analyzed within the fram ework of nonrelativistic e ective Lagrangians^{1,8,11}. In particular, the low temperature expansion for the order param eter of a ferrom agnet, its spontaneous m agnetization, has been calculated to three loops { the results, which go beyond D yson's pioneering m icroscopic analysis³², w ill be presented in a forthcom ing paper³³.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

I would like to thank H. Leutwyler for his patient assistance throughout this work and for his critical reading of the manuscript. Thanks also to G. Colangelo, S. M allik and D. Toublan for their help. I am greatly indebted to the Janggen-Pohn-Stiffung for supporting my doctoral thesis. Likewise, support by Schweizerischer Nationalfonds is gratefully adknow ledged.

APPENDIX

In this appendix, we discuss the various quantities showing up in the formula for the pressure,

$$P = \frac{1}{2} (N - 1)g + 4 a (g_1)^2 + bg - \frac{1}{F^4}I + O (p^{10}):$$
 (A.1)

U nlike in section 2, we do not restrict ourselves to contributions at most linear in the external eld. In the second part of the appendix, we brie y comment on the logarithm ic scales $T_{\rm p}$ and $T_{\rm o}$.

Let us rst consider the renorm alized G oldstone-boson m ass. The calculation yields

$$M^{2} = \frac{_{s}H}{_{F}^{2}} + [2(k_{2} \quad k_{1}) + (N \quad 3)] \frac{(_{s}H)^{2}}{_{F}^{6}} + c\frac{(_{s}H)^{3}}{_{F}^{10}} + O(H^{4}); \quad (A 2)$$

where the constant c involves the relevant coupling constants of order p^6 . The quantity contains a pole at d=4,

$$= \frac{1}{2} (4) \overset{d=2}{=} (1 \quad \frac{1}{2} d) M^{d 4}$$

$$= \frac{M^{d 4}}{16^{2}} \frac{1}{d 4} = \frac{1}{2} f \ln 4 + {}^{0}(1) + 1g + 0 (d 4) : \quad (A 3)$$

This singularity is absorbed in a renorm alization of the combination $k_2 = k_1$ of coupling constants of order p^4 . One ends up with a logarithm depending on H and a term independent thereof. The latter can be absorbed in a new scale H_M , such that the expression for the renorm alized m ass takes the form displayed in (2.5),

$$M^{2} = \frac{_{s}H}{_{F}^{2}} + \frac{N}{_{32}^{2}} \frac{(_{s}H)^{2}}{_{F}^{6}} \ln \frac{H}{_{H_{M}}} + O(H^{3}):$$
 (A.4)

Now, the functions $g_0; g_1; g$ and I occurring in the form ula (A.1) for the pressure, depend in a nontrivial manner on M and T. The quantities g_r are associated with the d-dimensional noninteracting Bose gas,

$$g_r(M;T) = 2 \int_{0}^{Z_1} \frac{d}{(4)^{d=2}} r^r \exp(M^2) \int_{n=1}^{X^2} \exp(r^2 = 4T^2);$$
 (A.5)

The function g is the following combination thereof,

$$g = 3g_0 (g_0 + M^2 g_1)$$
: (A.6)

The expression for the three-loop integral I is more complicated:

$$I = \frac{1}{48} (N = 1) (N = 3) M^4 J_1 = \frac{1}{4} (N = 1) (N = 2 J_2 = \frac{1}{16} (N = 1) (N = 3^2) M^4 (g_1)^2 g_2 + \frac{1}{48} (N = 1) (N = 3) (3N = 7) M^2 (g_1)^3 :$$
(A.7)

The quantities J_1 and J_2 ,

$$J_{1} = J_{1} \quad q \quad gg_{1} + 6(d \ 2) \ (g_{1})^{2};$$

$$J_{2} = J_{2} \quad g \quad qg_{1} + \frac{1}{3}(d+6)(d \ 2) \ G \quad ^{2} + \frac{2}{3}(d \ 2) \ M^{4} \ (g_{1})^{2};$$

$$G \quad = \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad g_{0} + \quad ^{4} \quad ^{4} \ (\frac{1}{2}dg_{0} + M^{2}g_{1});$$
(A.8)

remove the singularities of the loop integrals J_1 and J_2 , respectively: $\sizes\si$

$$J_{1} = \int_{Z^{T}}^{Z} d^{d}x \ [G (x)]^{4};$$

$$J_{2} = \int_{T}^{d^{d}x} [Q \ G (x)]^{2} : \qquad (A.9)$$

For the explicit structure of the tem perature independent counterterm s $c_1 ::: c_4$, the reader is referred to reference⁶.

The connection between the formula (3.3) for the pressure given in section 2,

$$P = \frac{1}{2} (N - 1)g_{j} + 4 a (g_{1})^{2} + g^{h} b - \frac{j^{i}}{3F^{4}} + O (p^{10});$$

and (A.1) is established by splitting o a factor g from the expression I,

$$I = \frac{1}{2}gj$$
: (A.10)

This relation de nes the function j.

The constants a and b in (A .1) contain the various coupling constants which occur in the e ective Lagrangian, 34

$$a = \frac{(N - 1)(N - 3) \cdot H}{32 - F^4} + \frac{N - 1}{4} \frac{(\cdot H)^2}{F^8} (N + 1)(e_1 + e_2) + k_2 \cdot k_1^i}{\frac{(N - 1)^2}{2} - \frac{3N^2 + 32N - 67}{768^2}};$$

$$b = \frac{N - 1}{F^4} (h_2 + N \cdot e_2^i - \frac{5(N - 2)}{3} - \frac{N - 2}{16^2});$$
(A.11)

Repeating the steps which led from (A 2) to (A 4), the constants a and bm ay be conveniently written as

$$a = \frac{(N - 1)(N - 3) \cdot {}_{s}H}{32 + F^{4}} = \frac{(N - 1)^{3}}{256 \cdot {}_{3}} \frac{(\cdot {}_{s}H)^{2}}{F^{8}} \ln \frac{H}{H_{a}};$$

$$b = \frac{5(N - 1)(N - 2)}{96 \cdot {}_{3}F^{4}} \ln \frac{H}{H_{b}};$$
(A.12)

F inally, let us consider the logarithm ic scales $T_{\rm p}$ and T, showing up in the low tem perature expansion of the therm odynam ic quantities and the order parameter. They are both related to the scale $_{\rm b}$,

$$T_p = b \exp(j =); T = b \exp(j = +\frac{4^2}{15}j_2;$$
 (A.13)

where

$$_{\rm b} = \frac{p_{\rm sH_{b}}}{F};$$
 $\frac{5(N-1)(N-2)}{48};$ (A.14)

Note that T_p and T_- depend on the constants j_1 and j_2 occurring in the expansion of the function j (in the lim it T_- M_-),

$$j = \ln \frac{T}{M} + j_1 + j_2 \frac{M^2}{T^2} + O \frac{M}{T}^3$$
: (A.15)

The formulae given in this appendix can readily be checked by setting N = 4: using $M^2 = {}_{s}H = F^2$ and identifying the respective coupling constants as $e_1 = l_1; e_2 = l_2$ and $k_2 = k_1 = l_2$, the results for two-avor QCD are reproduced^{6;12}.

REFERENCES

Current address: Department of Physics, University of California at San Diego, 9500 G ilm an Drive, La Jolla, California 92093.

- ¹C.P.Hofmann, Spin-wave Scattering in the E ective Lagrangian Perspective, preprint Univ. of California at San Diego UCSD/PTH 98-15, cond-m at/9805277, submitted to Phys.Rev.B.
- ² R eference³ also addresses the issues of applying techniques developed for particle physics to condensed matter systems with G oldstone bosons. A speci c application concerning SO (5) invariance and high-T_c superconductivity can be found in⁴.
- ³ C.P.Burgess, Goldstone and P seudogoldstone Bosons in Nuclear, Particle and Condensed Matter Physics, hep-th/9808176.
- ⁴C.P.Burgess and C.A.Lutken, Phys.Rev.B 57, 8642 (1998).
- ⁵ J.G asser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Lett. B 184, 83 (1987).
- ⁶ P.Gerber and H.Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 321, 387 (1989).
- ⁷ P.Hasenfratz and F.Niederm ayer, Z.Phys.B 92, 91 (1993).
- ⁸ H.Leutwyler, Phys. Rev. D 49, 3033 (1994).
- ⁹ H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. 235, 165 (1994).
- ¹⁰ An example related to condensed matter physics concerns system s exhibiting collective magnetic behavior. Ferro- and antiferrom agnets are described within the O (3)-invariant H eisenberg model. Interactions which explicitly break the rotation symmetry, such as externalmagnetic and anisotropy elds (see¹) or magnetic dipole and spin-orbit interactions (see¹¹), can easily be incorporated into the elective Lagrangian machinery.
- ¹¹ J.M. Rom an and J.Soto, E ective Field Theory Approach to Ferrom agnets and Antiferrom agnets in Crystalline Solids, preprint Univ. of Barcelona UB-ECM-PF 97/23, condm at/9709298.
- ¹² P.Hasenfratz and H.Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 343, 241 (1990).
- ¹³ For a review of the e ective Lagrangian m ethod at nonzero tem perature, see refs.¹⁴. For a general review of eld theory at nite tem perature, see refs.¹⁵.
- ¹⁴ H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 4, 248 (1988);

H.Leutwyler, in W arsaw International Sym posium on E lem entary Particle Physics, K azim ierz, 1988 { New Theories in Physics, edited by Z.A jduk, S.Pokorski and A.Trautm an (W orld Scienti c, 1989) p.116; also in Sum m er Institute in Theoretical Physics, K ingston, 1988 { Sym m etry V iolations in Subatom ic Physics, edited by B.Casteland P.J.O D onnell (W orld Scienti c, 1989), p. 57.

- ¹⁵N.P.Landsm an and C.G. van W eert, Phys. Rept. 145, 141 (1987).
- J.I.K apusta, Finite-Tem perature Field Theory (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989).
- ¹⁶ In quantum chrom odynamics this scale is given by QCD, the renorm alization group invariant scale of QCD; in connection with antiferrom agnets, the relevant scale may be identied with the exchange integral J in the Heisenberg model.
- ¹⁷ H.Leutwyler, Low Tem perature Expansion in QCD, International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Uppsala, 1987, edited by O lga Botner (European Phys. Soc., 1987) p.320.
- ¹⁸ E. Fradkin, Field Theories of Condensed Matter Systems, Frontiers in Physics Vol. 82 (Addison-Wesley, 1991).
- ¹⁹ R.Kubo, Phys. Rev. 87, 568 (1952).

- ²⁰ T.Oguchi, Phys. Rev. 117, 117 (1960).
- ²¹ F.Ke er and R.Loudon, J.Appl. Phys. (Suppl.) 32, 2 (1961).
- ²² W. Ludwig, Festkorperphysik II (A kad. Verlagsgesellschaft, Frankfurt am Main, 1970).
- ²³ T.Oguchiand A.Honma, J.Appl. Phys. 34, 1153 (1963).
- ²⁴ P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 86, 694 (1952).
- ²⁵ F.Ke er, Spin W aves, in Encyclopedia of Physics { Ferrom agnetism, edited by S.Flugge and H.P.J.W ijn (Springer, Berlin, 1966), Vol. 18-2, p.1.
- ²⁶ J.S.Smart, Evaluation of Exchange Interactions from ExperimentalData, in Magnetism { Volume III, edited by G.T.Rado and H.Suhl, (A cad. Press, New York and London, 1963), p. 63.
- ²⁷ If the discreteness of the lattice is taken into account, one ends up with corrections proportional to $T^4; T^6; :::^{20}$.
- ²⁸ J. van Kranendonk and J.H. van Vleck, Rev. Mod. Phys. 30, 1 (1958).
- ²⁹ A. I. Akhiezer, V. G. Baryakhtar and M. I. Kaganov, Soviet Phys. Uspekhi 3, 567 (1961).
- ³⁰ L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics, edited by E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitajewski, Course of Theoretical Physics Vol. 9 (Pergamon, London, 1981), Part 2.
- 31 W e are not considering e ects due to the discreteness of the lattice, which yield additional term s proportional to T⁵; T⁷; :::²⁰.
- ³² F.J.D yson, Phys. Rev. 102, 1217, 1230 (1956).
- ³³ C.P.Hofmann, in preparation.
- ³⁴ N ote that, with respect to the last term s in the brace (/ 2), our de nition di ers from the convention used in reference⁶: the authors split these term s between (A .11) and the function I (A .7), such that, for N = 4 (strong interaction) the constants a and b m ay be identi ed with sum s of scattering lengths and e ective ranges (pion-pion scattering).