# Search for N ew P hysics in $\mathrm{CP}-\mathrm{v}$ iolating B D ecays 

A.I. Sanda [ and Zhi-zhong $X$ ing $]^{7}$<br>D epartm ent of Physics, $N$ agoya U niversity, Chikusa-ku, N agoya 464-01, Japan

## A bstract

W e consider three possible scenarios of new physics in $B{ }_{d}^{0}-{ }_{d}^{0} m$ ixing and propose a sim ple fram ew onk for analyzing their e ects. This fram ew ork allow s us to study the C P asymm etry in sem ileptonic $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ decays ( $\mathrm{A}_{\text {sL }}$ ) and those in nonleptonic transitions such as $B_{d}!J=K_{s}$ and $B_{d}!+\quad . N u m$ erically we nd that new physics $m$ ay enhance them agnitude of $A_{S L}$ up to the percent levelw ith in the appropriate param eter space. So m easurem ents of A si and its correlation with other C P asymm etries will serve as a sensitive probe for new physics in $B_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing.
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## 1 Introduction

The $B-m$ eson factories under construction at $K E K$ and SLAC $w i l l$ provide a unique oppor－ tunity to study CP violation in weak B decays．O ne type of CP－violating signals，arising from $B_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing，is expected to $m$ anifest itself in the decay rate asym $m$ etry between two sem ileptonic channels $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}^{0}$ ！ $\mathrm{I}^{+}{ }_{1} \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}^{0}$ ！ $\mathrm{I}{ }_{1} \mathrm{X}^{+}$．This CP asymmetry，denoted as $A_{\text {SL }}$ below，hasbeen estim ated to be of the order $10^{3} \mathrm{w}$ ithin the standard $m$ odel（SM ）［1，2］． O bviously the magnitude of $A_{S L}^{S M}$ is too $s m$ all to be $m$ easured in the rst－round experim ents of any B factory．The current experim ental constraint on $A_{S L}$ is very rough：解 $j<0: 18$ at the $90 \%$ con dence level 3 ，每］．N evertheless，one expects that this lim it will be greatly im proved once $B+m$ eson factories start collecting data．

Im portance ofthe C P asym m etry $A_{\text {SL }}$ hasbeen repeatedly em phasized for the purpose of searching for new physics（ $\mathbb{N} P$ ）in the $B$ m eson system（see，e．g．，$R$ efs．目，［6］）．The presence of NP in $B_{d}^{0}-B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing $m$ ight enhance the $m$ agnitude of $A_{S L}$ to an observable level．For instance， $7_{A}{ }_{\text {SL }} j 10^{2}$ can be achieved from a speci c superw eak model proposed in Ref． ［6］，where the K obayashiM askaw（ $K$ M ）m atrix is assum ed to be real and the C P－violating phase com es solely from the NP．It is therefore worthwhile to search for CP violation in sem ileptonic $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ decays at B m eson factories，in order to determ ine or constrain both the $m$ agnitude and the phase inform ation of possible $N P$ in $B_{d}^{0}-{ }_{d}^{0} m$ ixing．The CP－violating phase of NP $m$ ay also be isolated from $m$ easuring $C P$ asym $m$ etries in som $e B_{d}$ decays into hadronic CP eigenstates，such as $B_{d}!J=K_{s}$ and $B_{d}!\quad+\quad m$ odes［7，旦］．

In this paper we investigate the $C P$ asymmetry $A_{\text {sl }}$ and its correlation with the CP asymmetries in $B_{d}!J=K_{S}$ and $B_{d}!\quad+$ ，based on three $N P$ scenarios for $B_{d}{ }^{0} B_{d}^{0}$ $m$ ixing．Scenario（ $A$ ）allows $B_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing to contain a real $S M$－like term and a com plex superweak contribution［9］；scenario（B）requires $B_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing to include the norm al SM e ect w ith an additional real superw eak contribution 10）；and scenario（C）is a general case in which both the $S M$ and NP contributions to $B_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing are com plex（ $C P$－violating）．

For each scenario，we rst propose a sim ple param etrization of the NP e ect，and then calculate C P－violating asymmetries in the above $m$ entioned B decays．Som e num erical estim ates for these C P asymmetries in scenarios（A）and（B）are also made．$W$ e nd that for all three scenarios the $m$ agnitude of $A_{\text {SL }}$ can reach the peroent level within the suitable param eter space．Thus an experim ental study of the correlation between C P asym m etries in the sem ileptonic and nonleptonic $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ decays should im pose useful constraints on possible $N P$ in $B_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing．

The rem ainder of this paper is organized as follow s ．In section 2 ，som e necessary prelm－ inaries for $B_{d}^{0}-B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing，$C P$ violation and the $K M$ unitarity triangle are brie y reviewed． The SM prediction for CP violation in sem ileptonic $B_{d}$ decays，ie．，$A_{S L}^{S M}$ ，is updated in section 3．Section 4 is devoted to param etrizing $N P$ e ects in $B{ }_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing and calculating $A_{\text {SL }}$ as well as its correlation w the C $P$ asymmetries in $B_{d}!J=K_{s}$ and $B_{d}!\quad{ }^{+}$，
on the basis of three di erent NP scenarios. W e num erically illustrate the allow ed param eter space and C P asym m etries for soenarios (A) and (B) in section 5. Finally som e conchuding rem arks are given in section 6 .

## 2 P relim inaries

The $m$ ass eigenstates of $B_{d}^{0}$ and $B_{d}^{0} m$ esons can be written, in the assum ption of CPT invariance, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{L}} i=\mathrm{p} \mathcal{B}_{d}^{0} i+q B_{d}^{0} i ; \\
& \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{H}} i=\mathrm{p} \mathcal{B}_{d}^{0} i \quad q B_{d}^{0} i ; \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $p$ and $q$ are com plex $m$ ixing param eters. In term $s$ of the o -diagonalelem ents of the $22 B_{d}^{0}-B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing $H$ am iltonian $M \quad i=2$, we express the ratio $q=p$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q}{p}=\stackrel{\stackrel{v}{u}}{\overline{\mathrm{p}}} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{12}}{} \mathrm{i}_{12}=2, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To a good approxim ation (i.e., $\mathbb{M}_{12} j \quad j{ }_{12} \boldsymbol{j}$, the $m$ ass di erence of $B_{H}$ and $B_{L}$ (denoted by $M$ ) is related to $M{ }_{12} j$ through $M=2 \neq M{ }_{12} j$, and $q=p=\overline{M_{12}=M_{12}}$ holds.

The C P -violating asym m etry $A_{S L}$, for either incoherent or coherent decays of $B_{d}^{0}$ and $B_{d}^{0}$ m esons, is given by 11]

At a B $m$ eson factory, this signal can be extracted from the sam e-sign dilepton asym $m$ etry on the (4S ) resonance.

A nother observable, which is of particular interest for testing the $K M m$ echanism of $C P$ violation, is the C P asymm etry in $B_{d}^{0}$ vs $B_{d}^{0}!J=K_{s} m$ odes 12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}=\quad \operatorname{lm} \frac{q}{p} \frac{V_{c b} V_{\mathrm{cs}}}{V_{\mathrm{cb}} V_{\mathrm{cs}}} \frac{q_{k}}{p_{\mathrm{k}}} ; \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the $m$ inus sign com es from the C $P$-odd eigenstate $J=K_{S}$, and $q_{k}=p_{K}$ describes the $K^{0} K^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ixing phase in the nal state. Neglecting the tiny $C P-v i o l a t i n g ~ e ~ e c t ~ m ~ e a s u r e d ~$ from $K^{0} K^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ixing, one can nd that $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is essentially unity in an appropriate phase convention, no $m$ atter whether NP exists or not. Thus the above asym m etry tums out approxim ately to be $A k=\quad \operatorname{lm}(q=p)$, if one adopts the $W$ olfenstein phase convention [ $1 \beta$ ] for the KM $m$ atrix.

In the neglect of penguin e ects, $m$ easuring the $C P$ asymmetry in $B{ }_{d}^{0}$ vs $B_{d}^{0}$ ! $m$ odes is also prom ising to probe the C P -violating weak phase [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}=\operatorname{Im} \frac{q}{\mathrm{p}} \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ub}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ud}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ub}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ud}}}: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Figure 1: Unitarity triangle $\left(u^{+} c^{+}+t=0\right)$ in the com plex plane.

The correlation between $A$ and $A$ is sensitive to a variety of $N P$ soenarios, such as superw eak m odels (see, e.g., Refs. [19, 14]).
$W$ ithin the $S M, A_{S L}, A$ and A are related to the inner angles of the $K M$ unitarity triangle form ed by three vectors i $\quad V_{i b} V_{i d}(i=u ; c ; t)$ in the complex plane (see Fig. 1 for illustration). The sides $j_{u} j$ and $j c j$ have been $m$ odel-independently $m$ easured, while the existence of $N P m$ ay in general a ect determ ination of the side $j{ }_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{j}$ from the rate of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}^{0} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{d}}^{0}$ m ixing. In term s of the W olfenstein param eters, one has

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
u & A^{3}(+i) ; \\
c & A^{3} ; \\
t & A^{3}(1 \tag{2.6}
\end{array} \quad \text { i }\right):=\$
$$

For convenience in subsequent discussions, we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{u}}{\mathrm{c}} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{{ }^{2}+{ }^{2}} \text {; } \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a NP-independent quantity.
Note that in this work we only assume the kind of NP which does not involve extra quark (s). This requirem ent im plies that quark m ixing rem ains to be described by the 3 3 $K M m$ atrix even though $N P$ is present in $B_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing. For some interesting extensions of the $S M$, e.g., the supersym $m$ etric $m$ odels, the above assum ption is of course satis ed. W e further assum e that the penguin and tree-level contributions to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ! ${ }^{+} \mathrm{m}$ ay be separated from each other using som e well-known techniques [15, 16], thus A is useful for probing the C P -violating weak phase(s).

## 3 CP asym m etries in the SM

W ithin the $S M$, both $M_{12}$ and 12 can be reliably calculated in the box-diagram approxim ation. D ue to the dom inance of the top-quark contribution, $M_{12}^{S M}$ reads [17, 18]

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{12}^{S M}=\frac{G_{F}^{2} B_{B} f_{B}^{2} M_{B} m_{t}^{2}}{12^{2}}{ }_{B} F(z)(t)^{2} ; \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{B}$ is the \bag" param eter describing the uncertainty in evaluation of the hadronic $m$ atrix elem ent $h B_{d}^{0}$ 万o (1) $\left.\quad{ }_{5}\right) d \mathcal{B}_{d}^{0} i_{,} M_{B}$ and $f_{B}$ are the $B_{d}-m$ eson $m$ ass and decay constant respectively, $m_{t}$ is the top-quark $m$ ass, ${ }_{B}$ denotes the $Q C D$ correction factor, $F(z)$ stands for a slow ly decreasing $m$ onotonic function of $z \quad m_{t}^{2}=M_{W}^{2} \quad$ 19]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{9}{4} \frac{1}{1} \quad \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\left(1 z^{\beta}\right.} \quad \frac{3}{2} \frac{z^{2} \ln z}{\left(1 z^{\beta}\right.}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $F(0)=1, F(1)=3=4$, and $F(1)=1=4$.
Next, ${ }_{12}^{\text {SM }}$ is given as follow s 11, 18]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{12}^{S M}=\frac{G_{F}^{2} B_{B} f_{B}^{2} M_{B} m_{b}^{2}}{8}{ }^{h}\left({ }_{u}\right)^{2} T_{u}(z)+\left({ }_{c}\right)^{2} T_{C}(z)+\left({ }_{t}\right)^{2} T_{t}(z){ }^{i} ; \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m_{b}$ is the bottom -quark $m$ ass, and $T_{i}(z)$ is a function of $z \quad m_{c}^{2}=m_{b}^{2}$. Explicitly, $T_{u}(z)$, $T_{c}(z)$ and $T_{t}(z)$ read

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{u}(z)={ }_{4} z^{2}(3 \quad 2 z)+\frac{4}{3} 5^{z}\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & z
\end{array}\right)^{2} ; \\
& T_{c}(z)= \\
& { }_{4}(1+2 z) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

in which ${ }_{4}$ and ${ }_{5}$ are tw OQCD correction factors. A num ericalcalculation show sthat $T_{t}(z)$ is dom inant over $T_{u}(z)$ and $T_{c}(z)$ in $m$ agnitude (see below).

The C P asymmetry in sem ileptonic $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ decays ( $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{SL}}^{S M}$ ) tums out to be
where $C_{m}=3 m_{b}^{2}=\left[2 m_{t}^{2} \quad F(z)\right]$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\operatorname{Im} \underset{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{c}_{2}} \frac{2(1)}{[(1} 9+{ }^{2}\right]^{2} \quad: \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The $T_{t}(z)$ term, which dom inates ${ }_{12}^{S M}$, has no contribution to the C $P$ asymmetry $A_{S L}^{S M}$.
Indeed the C P -violating phases 1 and 2 can be determ ined from the CP asym m etries in $B_{d}!J=K_{S}$ and $B_{d}!\quad+$, respectively. $W$ ithin the $S M, q=p={ }_{t}={ }_{t}$ results from the box-diagram calculation. From Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5), it is easy to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{K}^{S M}=\sin \left(2_{1}\right) \quad \frac{2(1)}{(19+2} ; \\
& A^{S M}=\sin \left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 2
\end{array} \quad \frac{2 \quad\left[{ }^{2}\right.}{\left({ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \left.f+{ }^{2}\right]
\end{array}\right.}:\right. \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

A test of the correlation betw een $A S_{S L}^{S M}$ and $A{ }_{K}^{S M}$ or that betw een $A{ }_{K}^{S M}$ and $A{ }^{S M}$ at $B$ factories is necessary, in order to nd possible NP which m ay a ect these observables in di erent ways.

Let us ilhustrate the $m$ agnitudes of $A S_{S L}^{S M}, A_{K}^{S M}$ and $A^{S M}$ explicitly. The current quark $m$ asses are typically taken as $m_{c}=1: 4 \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}=4: 8 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}=167 \mathrm{GeV}$; and the QCD correction factors are chosen to be ${ }_{B}=0: 55,_{4}=1: 15$ and ${ }_{5}=0: 88$. Then one gets F (z) $0: 55, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{z}) \quad 0: 11, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{z}) \quad 0: 11, \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{z}) \quad 1: 04$, and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad 1: 3 \quad 10^{2}$. An analysis of current data on quark $m$ ixing and CP violation yields 0:05 and 0:36 as favored values 20]. W ith these inputs we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{K}^{S M} \quad 0: 66 ; \quad A^{S M} \quad 0: 43 ; \quad A_{S L}^{S M} \quad 9: 8 \quad 10: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the large errors of relevant inputs are taken into account, we nd that the magnitude of A SL $m$ ay change a little bit around $10^{3}$, but its sign rem ains negative. C learly it is very di cult to $m$ easure such a sm all C P asym m etry.

## 4 E ects of NP on CP asym m etries

In most extensions of the $\mathrm{SM}, \mathrm{NP}$ can signi cantly contribute to $\mathrm{M}_{12}$. H ow ever, NP is not expected to signi cantly a ect the direct $B \rightarrow m$ eson decays via the tree-level $W$ m ediated channels. Thus $12={ }_{12}^{S M}$ holds as a good approxim ation. In the presence of $N P, M_{12}$ can be w rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{12}=\mathrm{M}_{12}^{\mathrm{SM}}+\mathrm{M}_{12}^{\mathrm{NP}}: \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative m agnitude and the phase di erence betw een $M_{12}^{N P}$ and $M_{12}^{S M}$ are unknown, while $\mathbb{M}_{12} \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{M}=2$ holds by de nition.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{M}_{12}^{S M} j=R_{S M} \frac{M}{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in subsequent discussions. T he allowed range of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{SM}}$ can be estim ated by use of Eq. (3.1) and current data; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{S M}=\frac{G_{F}^{2} B_{B} f_{B}^{2} M_{B} m_{t}^{2}}{6^{2} M} \quad{ }_{B} F(z) j_{t}{ }^{2}: \tag{4,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U \operatorname{sing} f_{B}^{P} \overline{B_{B}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}\text { (200 } & 40) M e V, m_{t}=(167 & 6\end{array}\right) \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{M}=(0: 464 \quad 0: 018) \mathrm{ps}^{1}$, and ${ }_{\mathrm{B}}=0: 55 \quad 0: 01$ (see Ref. [21]), we get $R_{S M} \quad(1: 34 \quad 0: 71) \quad 1^{4} 0 j_{t} \jmath^{3} . T$ he large error com es prim arily from the input value of $f_{B}{ }^{P} \overline{B_{B}}$, which $w$ ill be im proved in $m$ ore delicate lattigeQCD calculations. Since $j_{u} j$ and $j c j$ have been m easured, the $m$ ost generous constraint on $j_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{j}$ (in the presence ofNP) should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{c j} \quad j_{u} j \quad j_{t} j \quad j_{c} j+j_{u} j ; \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: Triangular relation of $M{ }_{12}^{S M}, M{ }_{12}^{N P}$ and $M_{12}$ (rescaled by $M=2$ ) in scenario (A).
as one can from Fig. 1. A m easurem ent of the rare decay $K^{+}$! ${ }^{+} w i l l$ provide an independent determ ination of (or constraint on) $j_{t} j$. By use of $j_{u} j=0: 003 \quad 0: 001$ and $j_{c} j=0: 0087 \quad 0: 0007$ [ [4, [2Q], we get 0:1 $\quad$ SM $\quad 3: 7$ as a conservative result. If only the central values of input param eters are taken into account, then a narrow er range can be obtained: 0:43 $\quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{SM}} \quad 1: 8$.

To illustrate the e ect of NP on CP asymmetries A sL, A $k$ and A , we subsequently consider three possible NP scenarios for M 12 .

### 4.1 Scenario (A): $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{M}_{12}^{S M}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{M}_{12}^{N P}\right) \not 0$

In this scenario, the K M m atrix is assum ed to be real and C P violation arises solely from NP. Then $M_{12}^{S M}, M_{12}^{N P}$ and $M_{12}$ in Eq. (4.1) can be instructively param etrized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{n} M_{12}^{S M} ; M_{12}^{N P} ; M_{12}^{\circ}={ }^{n} R_{S M} ; R_{N P} e^{i 2} ; e^{i 2} \frac{M}{2} ; \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e R_{N P}$ is a real (positive or vanishing) param eter, stands for the phase of $N P$, and is an e ective phase of $B_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing. In the com plex plane, $M_{12}^{S M}, ~ M{ }_{12}^{N P}$ and $M_{12}$ (or equivalently, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{SM}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{NP}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2}$ and $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2}$ ) form a triangle 22], as illustrated by Fig . 2. By use of the triangular relation, $R_{N P}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{N P}=R_{S M} \cos (2) \quad q \overline{1} \frac{R_{S M}^{2} \sin ^{2}(2)}{:} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{W} e$ nd that two solutions exist for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{NP}}$, corresponding to ( ) signs on the right-hand side ofEq. (4.6). Since the m agnitude of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{SM}}$ has been constrained to som e extent, we are able to obtain the allowed ( $; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{NP}}$ ) param eter space num erically.

The CP-violating phase can be measured from the CP asymmetry in $B_{d}!J=K_{S}$. W th the help ofEq. (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{K}}=\sin (2)=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{NP}} \sin (2): \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

O foourse, $\overbrace{\text { A }} \mathrm{j} \quad 1$ holds for $R_{\mathrm{NP}}$ and to take values allowed by Eq. (4.6).
The CP asymm etry in $B_{d}!\quad+\quad$ is simply related to $A{ }_{k}$. By use of Eq. (2.5), we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}=\sin (2)=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{K}}: \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3: Triangular relation of $M_{12}^{S M}, M_{12}^{N P}$ and $M_{12}$ (rescaled by $M=2$ ) in scenario (B).

Such a linear correlation betw een $A{ }_{k}$ and $A$ is a straightforward consequence of the superweak scenario of NP considered here.

The CP asym m etry in sem ileptonic $B_{d}$ decays, de ned in Eq. (2.3), is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{S L}=C_{m} R_{S M}^{2} R_{N P} \sin (2)^{4} \underset{\underset{t}{\sim}}{\tilde{\sim}^{u}} T_{u}(z)+\underset{\underset{t}{c}}{{\underset{\sim}{c}}^{!}} T_{C}(z)+T_{t}(z)^{5} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{u}^{\sim} \sim_{c}{ }_{c}$ and $\tilde{t}_{t}$ denote the realKM factors in scenario (A). Di erent from the SM result in Eq. (3.5), whose m agnitude is associated only w ith $T_{u}(z) \quad O(0: 1)$ and $T_{C}(z) \quad O(0: 1)$, here the $m$ agnitude of $A_{S L}$ is dom inated by $T_{t}(z) \quad O(1) . H$ ence $7^{2} A_{S L}=A{ }_{S L}^{S M} \quad 10$ is possible w ithin an appropriate ( $; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{NP}}$ ) param eter space. W e shall present num erical estim ates for $A_{S L}$ and $A_{k}$ in the next section.

### 4.2 Scenario (B): $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{M}_{12}^{N P}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{M}_{12}^{S M}\right) \in 0$

In this scenario the NP contribution is of the so-called \real superweak" type 10, 24]. T he phase ofM ${ }_{12}^{\text {SM }}$ com es from the $K M m$ atrix and am ounts to $2_{1}$, as one can see from Eq. (3.1) and $F$ ig. 1. For sim plicity, we param etrize $M_{12}^{S M}, M_{12}^{N P}$ and $M_{12}$ as follow $S$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{M}_{12}^{S M} ; \mathrm{M}_{12}^{N P} ; \mathrm{M}_{12}^{\circ}={ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{SM}} e^{\mathrm{i} 2} 1 ; R_{N P} ; e^{\mathrm{i} 2} \frac{\mathrm{M}}{2} \text {; } \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $R_{N P}$ is a real param eter, and denotes the e ective phase of $B{ }_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing. C learly $M_{12}^{S M}, M_{12}^{N P}$ and $M_{12}$ (or equivalently, $R_{S M} e^{i 2}{ }_{1}, R_{N P}$ and $e^{i 2}$ ) form a triangle in the com plex plane, as illustrated by $F$ ig. 3. In tem $S$ of $R_{S M}$ and ${ }_{1}, R_{N P}$ can be wrilten as 1Q]

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{N P}=R_{S M} \cos \left(2_{1}\right) \quad \quad \frac{q}{1} \quad R_{S M}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(2_{1}\right): \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e see that there are two solutions for $R_{N P}$, corresponding to ( ) signs on the right-hand side of Eq. (4.11).

The C P -violating phase can be determ ined from the C P asymmetry in $B_{d}!J=K_{S}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{K}=\sin (2)=R_{S M} \sin \left(2_{1}\right): \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

O foourse, $\neq A$ j 1 holds if $R_{S M}$ and 1 vary in their allowed regions.


Figure 4: Triangular relation of $\mathrm{M}_{12}^{\mathrm{SM}}, \mathrm{M} \underset{12}{\mathrm{NP}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ (rescaled by $\mathrm{M}=2$ ) in scenario (C).

The C $P$ asym metry in $B_{d}$ ! + reads:

$$
A=\sin 2(+3)=R_{S M} \sin \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 2 \tag{4.13}
\end{array}\right) \quad R_{N P} \sin \left(23_{3}\right) ;
$$

where 2 and 3 are the second and third angles of the unitarity triangle (see Fig. 1). The correlation between $A$ к and A does exist, because of ${ }_{1}+{ }_{2}+{ }_{3}=$. In the absence of NP (ie., $R_{N P}=0$ and $R_{S M}=1$ ), Eqs. (4.12) and (4.13) will.be smpli ed to the $S M$ results as given by Eq. (3.7).

The C P asymm etry $A_{\text {SL }}$ in scenario (B) tums out to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{S L}=C_{m} R_{S M} R_{N P} \quad " \quad \operatorname{Im} \frac{u}{j t j}{ }^{2} T_{u}(z)+\operatorname{Im} \frac{c}{j_{t} j}{ }^{2}{ }_{\#}^{2}(z)+\operatorname{Im} \frac{t}{j_{t} j}{ }^{2} T_{t}(z) \\
& +C_{m} R_{S M}^{2} \quad \operatorname{Im} \frac{u}{t}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{Im} \underset{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{z}) \quad: \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne can see that $A_{\text {sL }}$ consists of two term s: the rst com es from the interference betw een $M_{12}^{S M}$ and $M_{12}^{N P}$ in $M_{12}$, while the second is purely a SM-like contribution from $M_{12}^{S M}$ itself (see Eq. (3.5) for com parison). W ithin a suitable param eter space, the m agnitude of $A_{S L}$
 this NP scenario.

### 4.3 Scenario (C): $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{M}_{12}^{N P}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{M}_{12}^{S M}\right) 0$

$T$ his is a quite generalNP scenario which can accom $m$ odate both scenarios (A) and (B). A s done before, we param etrize $M_{12}^{S M}, M_{12}^{N P}$ and $M_{12}$ in the follow ing way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{n} M_{12}^{S M} ; M_{12}^{N P} ; M_{12}^{\circ}={ }^{n} R_{S M} e^{i 21} ; R_{N P} e^{i 2} ; e^{i 2} \frac{M^{\circ}}{2} ; \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{N P}$ is a real (positive or vanishing) param eter, represents the NP phase, and denotes the e ective phase of $B_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing. In this case, $M_{12}^{S M}, M_{12}^{N P}$ and $M_{12}$ (or equivalently, $R_{S M} e^{i 2}{ }^{1}, R_{N P} e^{i 2}$ and $e^{i 2}$ ) form a triangle in the com plex plane, as illustrated by Fig. 4. In term $s$ of $R_{S M}, 1$ and,$R_{N P}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{N P}=R_{S M} \cos 2(\quad 1) \quad q \overline{1} \quad R_{S M}^{2} \sin ^{2} 2(\quad 1): \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e observe that $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N} P}$ depends on the phase di erence between and ${ }_{1}$. A lso there exist two solutions for $R_{N P}$, corresponding to ( ) signs on the right-hand side of Eq. (4.16). In the special case $={ }_{1}$, one arrives at $R_{N P}=R_{S M} 1$.

It is straightforw ard to derive the CP asymmetry $A{ }_{K}$ in $B_{d}^{0}$ vs $B_{d}^{0}!J=K_{s} m$ odes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{K}=\sin (2)=R_{S M} \sin \left(2_{1}\right)+R_{N P} \sin (2): \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R_{N P}, R_{S M}$ and ${ }_{1}$, are dependent on one another through Eq. (4.16), $7 A{ }_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{j} \quad 1$ is alw ays guaranteed w thin the allow ed param eter space.

In scenario (C), the C P asymmetry in $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}!\quad+\quad$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sin 2(+3)=R_{S M} \sin \left(2_{2}\right) \quad R_{N P} \sin 2(+3) ; \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 2 and 3 are two inner angles of the unitarity triangle in $F i g$. 1 . In com parison $w$ ith scenarios (A) and (B), here the correlation betw een $A$ к and $A$ becom esm ore com plicated. W e see that the results in Eqs. (4.12) and (4.13) can be respectively reproduced from Eqs. (4.17) and (4.18) if $=0$ is taken.

The CP asymmetry $A_{\text {SL }}$ in scenario (C) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{S L}=C_{m} R_{S M} R_{N P} \quad \operatorname{Im} \frac{u}{j t j}{ }^{2} T_{u}(z)+\operatorname{Im} \frac{c}{j_{t} j}{ }^{2} \underset{\#}{2} T_{C}(z)+\operatorname{Im} \frac{t}{j_{t} j}{ }^{2} T_{t}(z) \cos (2) \\
& +C_{m} R_{\text {SM }}^{2} \quad \operatorname{Im} \frac{u}{t}{ }^{2} T_{u}(z)+I m \underset{t}{c}{ }^{2} T_{C}(z)+  \tag{4.19}\\
& C_{m} R_{S M} R_{N P} \operatorname{Re} \frac{u}{j t j}^{2} T_{u}(z)+\operatorname{Re} \frac{c}{j t j}^{2} T_{C}(z)+\operatorname{Re} \frac{t}{j t j}^{2} T_{t}(z) \sin (2):
\end{align*}
$$

$C$ learly the second term of $A_{\text {SL }}$ com es purely from $M{ }_{12}^{S M}$ itself and itsm agnitude is expected to be ofO $\left(10^{3}\right)$. The rst and third term sof $A_{\text {SL }}$ arise from the interference betw een $M_{12}^{S M}$ and $M{ }_{12}^{N P}$; but they depend on nonvanishing $\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{12}^{S M}\right)$ and $I m\left(M{ }_{12}^{N P}\right)$, respectively. Thus $A_{\text {SL }}(=0)$ is just the result given by Eq. (4.14) for scenario (B). For appropriate values of
and ${ }_{1}, m$ agnitudes of both the rst and third term $s$ of $A_{\text {SL }} m$ ay be at the percent level. To obtain $7_{\text {SL }} j O\left(10^{2}\right)$, however, there should not be large cancellation between two dom inant term s in Eq. (4.19).

## 5 N um erical estim ates of CP asym $m$ etries

For the punpose of illustration, let us estim ate the $m$ agnitudes of $C$ asym $m$ etries obtained from the above NP scenarios. Since soenario (C) involves several unknown param eters (though they are related to one another through Eq. (4.16)), a num erical analysis of its allow ed param eter space w ould be com plicated and less instructive 25]. H ence we shall only concentrate on scenarios (A) and (B) in the follow ing.


Figure 5: Thustrative plot for the (; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N} P}$ ) param eter space in scenario (A).

### 5.1 R esults for scenario (A )

Follow ing the spirit of the $W$ olfenstein param etrization [13], the realK M m atrix $V$ in scenario (A) can be param etrized in term s of three independent param eters $\sim$, $\mathbb{A}$ and $\sim$. Taking $\nabla_{\mathrm{us}}={ }^{\sim}, \nabla_{\mathrm{cb}}=\mathbb{A}^{\sim 2}, \nabla_{\mathrm{ub}}=\mathbb{A}^{\sim 3} \sim$ and using the orthogonality conditions of $V$, one can derive the other six $m$ atrix elem ents. In particular, we get $\nabla_{\text {td }} \quad \mathbb{A}^{\sim 3}(1 \sim \sim)$. In view of current
 typically. The sign am biguity of $\sim m$ ay a ect the allowed param eter space of P as well as the C P asymm etries of m m eson decays, as one can see later on.

The size of $R_{S M}$ depends on the realKM factor $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathrm{t}}$. W ith the help of Eq. (4.3), we get $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{SM}} \quad 1: 8$ for $\sim \quad 0: 36$ and $B M \quad 0: 43$ for $\sim \quad+0: 36$. The corresponding results of $R_{N P}$, changing w th , can be obtained from Eq. (4.6). We nd that for $\sim+0: 36$ the ( ) solution of $R_{N P}$ is not allowed. The allowed ( $; R_{N P}$ ) param eter space is shown in $F$ ig. 5.

The CP asymmetries $A_{\text {SL }}$ and $A_{k}$ (or $A$ ) in this scenario can then be calculated by use of the above obtained param eter space. For sim plicity, we express the KM factors $\tilde{u}_{\mathrm{u}}$, $\tilde{c}_{c}$ and $\tilde{t}^{\text {in }}$ Eq. (4.9) in term s of $\sim, \mathcal{A}$ and $\sim$. Then the correlation between $A_{\text {SL }}$ and $A k$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{S L} \quad C_{m} R_{S M} \frac{\sim^{2}}{(1 \sim q} T_{u}(z)+\frac{1}{(1 \sim q} T_{C}(z)+T_{t}(z) A_{k}^{\#} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with ~ $0: 36$. The results of $A_{L}$ and $A_{k}$, changing with the C P -violating phase, are depicted in Fig. 6. Two rem arks are in order.
a) $W$ th the typical inputs $m$ entioned above, we have found $A_{S L}=A{ }_{k} 0: 023$ for ~ $0: 36$ and $A_{S L}=A$ к $0: 0042$ for $\sim \quad+0: 36$. Because of $_{3} A_{K} j \quad 1$, only the form er case is


Figure 6: Illustrative plot for the C P asym metries $A_{S L}$ and $A K$ in scenario (A).
likely to lead the $m$ agnitude of $A_{\text {SL }}$ to the peroent level.
b) In both cases, however, the CP asymmetry A $\quad m$ ay take prom ising values (e.g., خA $\mathrm{K} j$ 0:5). The correlation between $A_{k}$ and $A$, ie., $A=A_{k}$, is particularly interesting in this superweak scenario of NP. If this correlation and the one between $A_{\text {SL }}$ and $A$ к can be $m$ easured at a $B$ m eson factory, they will provide a strong constraint on the underlying $N P$ in $B{ }_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing.

## 5.2 $R$ esults for scenario (B )

To calculate $R_{N P}$ w th the help of Eq. (4.11), we should rst estim ate $R_{S M}$ by use of Eq. (4.3). The magnitude of $R_{S M}$ depends on $j{ }_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{j}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{t j} A^{3} \overline{(1} \quad \beta+{ }^{2} \quad A^{3} \overline{1} \overline{1}+{ }^{2} \text {; } \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where has been de ned in Eq. (2.7). From current data on $J_{u s} j \not V_{c b} j$ and $J_{\mathrm{ub}}=V_{c b} j$ we get $0: 22$, A $0: 8$ and $0: 36$. The $m$ ost generous range of , due to the presence of $N P$, should be $\quad+. W e$ nd that the resultant region of $\underset{t}{ } \boldsymbol{j}$ is just the one given in Eq. (4.4). Note that 1 is also a function of and ,ie.,

thus it cannot take arbitrary values from 0 to. For this reason, it is m ore convenient to calculate the ( $; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{NP}}$ ) param eter space of scenario (B) by taking 2 [ $\left.0: 36 ;+0: 36\right]$. W e get $0 \quad 1 \quad 20: 1$ or 158:9 $\quad 1 \quad$ 180, corresponding to the $(+)$ or ( ) sign of tan ${ }_{1}$. The allowed region of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N} P}$ changing with is shownin F ig. 7.

N ow we calculate the CP asymmetries A k , A and $\mathrm{A}_{\text {SL }}$ by use of Eqs. (4.12), (4.13) and (4.14), respectively. In term s of the param eters and , the correlation between A and $A{ }_{k}$ can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { A } \left.\quad \frac{2}{{ }^{2}(1}\right)+\frac{\left(1 \quad 2+{ }^{2}\right)}{{ }^{2}(1 \quad)} \frac{R_{N P}}{R_{S M}} A_{K}^{\#}: \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly we obtain the correlation between $A_{\text {sL }}$ and $A_{k}$ as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{SL}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{f}(;) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{K}} \text {; } \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{N P} \quad T_{u}(z) \quad T_{t}(z) \quad: \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the term associated $w$ ith the $K M$ factor $\operatorname{Im}(c=j t)^{2}$ in Eq. (4.14) does not appear in $f(;)$, because this factor approxim ately vanishes in the $W$ olfenstein param etrization.


Figure 7: Illustrative plot for the ( $; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{NP}}$ ) param eter space in scenario $(B)$.


Figure 8: Illustrative plot for the correlation between $A$ к and $A$ in scenario (B).


Figure 9: Illustrative plot for the C P asym metries $A_{S L}$ and $A K$ in scenario (B).

The num erical results for three C $P$ asym $m$ etries are shown in $F$ igs. 8 and 9, where relevant inputs have been used to get the ( ; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{NP}}$ ) param eter space in F ig. 7. Three rem arks are in order.
a) The two solutions of $R_{N P}$ lead to identical results for the CP asymmetry $A$. The reason is simply that A ${ }_{\mathrm{k}}$ depends only on $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{SM}}$ and ${ }_{1}$, as given in Eq. (4.12).
b) The correlation betwen $A$ and $A$ is complicated here, com pared w ith that in scenario (A) where the superw eak relation $A=A_{K}$ holds. $W$ e observe that both C $P$ asym $m$ etries can take the sam e sign and prom ising $m$ agnitudes in soenario (B) 23].
c) D ue to their correlation, behaviors of $A_{S L}$ and $A_{k}$ changing with are sim ilar. The $m$ agnitude of $A$ sL can reach the percent level for appropriate values of, if $R_{N P}$ takes its ( ) solution.

## 6 C oncluding rem arks

Im portance of $m$ easuring the $C P$ asym $m$ etry ( $A_{\text {SL }}$ ) in sem ileptonic $B_{d}$ decays, which can serve as a sensitive probe of NP in $B_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0} m$ ixing, has been highly stressed. W e have taken three NP scenarios into account, and proposed a sim ple fram ew ork for analyzing their $e^{e}$ ects on $A_{\text {SL }}$ and other C P asym m etries. Som e num ericalestim ates have also been $m$ ade to illustrate possible enhancem ent of CP asym m etries in the presence ofNP.We nd that the $m$ agnitude of $A_{S L}$ at the percent level cannot be exchuded within the appropriate param eter space. The correlation of $A_{S L} w$ th the $C P$ asymmetries in $B_{d}!J=K_{S}$ and $B_{d}$ ! (i.e., A к and A ) have been discussed. M easuring the correlation between $A$ к and $A$ $m$ ay partly testify or abandon the superweak model of CP violation which was proposed long tim e ago [26], at least within the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}^{0} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{d}}^{0}$ system 27].

If the CP asymmetry $A_{\text {SL }}$ is really of the order $10^{2}$, it should be detected at the forthoom ing $B$ $m$ eson factories, where as $m$ any as $10^{8} B_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0}$ events $w$ ill be produced at the
(4S ) resonance in about one year. Indeed the experim entalsensitivities to A sL are expected to be well w thin few percent for either single lepton or dilepton asym $m$ etry $m$ easurem ents [28], if the num ber of $B{ }_{d}^{0} B_{d}^{0}$ events is larger than $10^{7}$ or so.
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