

Double parton scatterings in high energy hadronic collisions

G. Calucci and D. Trelean^a

^aDipartimento di Fisica Teorica dell'Università and INFN, Sezione di Trieste
Trieste, I 34014 Italy

CDF has recently measured a large number of double parton scatterings. The observed value of σ_{eff} , the non perturbative parameter which characterizes the process, is considerably smaller as compared with the naive expectation. The small value of σ_{eff} is likely to be an indication of the importance of the two-body parton correlations in the many-body parton distributions of the proton.

1. INTRODUCTION

The inclusive cross section for a double parton scattering, namely of an event where, in the same inelastic interaction, two different pairs of partons scatter independently with large momentum transfer, is written as [1]:

$$D_2 = \int_{p_t^c}^Z D_2(x_A; x_A^0; b) \hat{\sigma}(x_A; x_B) \hat{\sigma}(x_A^0; x_B^0) D_2(x_B; x_B^0; b) db dx_A dx_B dx_A^0 dx_B^0 \quad (1)$$

$\hat{\sigma}(x_A; x_B)$ is the parton-parton cross section integrated with the cut p_t^c , which is the lower threshold to observe final state partons as minijets, x is the momentum fraction, A and B are labels to identify the two interacting hadrons. D_2 is a function of the product $\hat{\sigma}(x_A; x_B) \hat{\sigma}(x_A^0; x_B^0)$. Actually the two different partonic interactions are localized in two regions in transverse space with a size of order $(1/p_t^c)^2$ and at a relative distance of the order of the hadronic radius r , in such a way that the two partonic interactions add incoherently in the double scattering cross section. The non perturbative input in Eq.(1) is the two-body parton distribution $D_2(x; x^0; b)$, which depends on the fractional momenta of the two partons taking part to the interaction and on their

relative transverse distance b . The transverse distance b has to be the same for the two partons of hadron A and the two partons of hadron B , in order to have the alignment which is needed for a double collision to occur. D_2 is a dimensional quantity and therefore the process introduces a non perturbative scale factor which is related to the hadronic transverse size.

The simplest possibility to consider is the one where the dependence of D_2 on the different variables is factorized:

$$D_2(x; x^0; b) = f_{eff}(x) f_{eff}(x^0) F(b) \quad (2)$$

f_{eff} is the effective parton distribution, namely the gluon plus 4=9 of the quark and anti-quark distributions and $F(b)$ is normalized to one. Multiparton distribution are then uncorrelated and D_2 does not contain further informations with respect to the one-body parton distribution (actually f_{eff}) apart from the dependence on b , whose origin is the dimensionality of D_2 and which gives rise to the scale factor σ_{eff} . In fact in this case one may write

$$D_2 = \frac{2}{\sigma_{eff}} \quad (3)$$

with

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{eff}} = \int_{p_t^c}^Z F^2(b) d^2b \quad (4)$$

and

$$\sigma = \int_{p_t^c}^Z f_{eff}(x_A) f_{eff}(x_B) \hat{\sigma}(x_A; x_B) dx_A dx_B; \quad (5)$$

This work was partially supported by the Italian Ministry of University and of Scientific and Technological Research by means of the Fondi per la Ricerca Scientifica - Università di Trieste.

the single scattering expression of the perturbative QCD parton model.

Eq.(2) is the basic hypothesis underlying the signature of a double parton collision which one has been looking for experimentally [2,3]. The expected characteristic feature of a double collision is in fact that it should produce a final state analogous to the one obtained by superposing two single scattering processes. By looking at the dependence of σ_{eff} on x CDF has been able to verify the correctness of the factorization hypothesis in Eq.(2). The range of values of x available is limited to $x \leq 2$, for the interaction producing a pair of minijets, and to $x \leq 4$ for the interaction giving rise to a minijet and a photon. In the limited range of values of x available, the factorization hypothesis has shown to be consistent with the experimental evidence.

Since the uncorrelation hypothesis does not contradict the experiment, one can work out the case where all multiparton distributions are uncorrelated and one may look for the sum of all multiparton interactions to the hadronic inelastic cross section. The subset where all multiple parton collisions are disconnected can be easily summed up in the uncorrelated case [4]. The result is the semi-hard hadronic cross section σ_H , which represents the contribution to the hadronic inelastic cross section from events with at least one semi-hard partonic interaction. The actual expression is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_H &= \int d^2 \mathbf{1} \int e^{-sF(\mathbf{1})} \int \dots \int \\ &= \int_{n=1}^{\infty} d^2 \frac{sF(\mathbf{1})^n}{n!} e^{-sF(\mathbf{1})} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The integration on the impact parameter of the hadronic collision, $\mathbf{1}$, gives the dimensionality to the cross section. The argument of the integral has the meaning of a Poissonian distribution of multiple semi-hard partonic interactions with average number depending on the impact parameter.

The actual value of σ_{eff} can be obtained by taking twice the opposite of the second term of the expansion of σ_H in powers of multiple collisions,

so the actual value of σ_H is related to the value of σ_{eff} through Eq.(6). The single and the double parton scattering cross sections are however related to the average number of parton scatterings and to the second moment. Indeed if one writes the average number of parton scatterings one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n \rangle \sigma_H &= \int d^2 \mathbf{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n sF(\mathbf{1})^n}{n!} e^{-sF(\mathbf{1})} \\ &= \int d^2 \mathbf{1} sF(\mathbf{1}) = \sigma_S \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and for the second moment:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n(n-1) \rangle \sigma_H &= \int d^2 \mathbf{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-1) sF(\mathbf{1})^n}{n!} e^{-sF(\mathbf{1})} \\ &= \int d^2 \mathbf{1} s^2 F(\mathbf{1})^2 = \sigma_D \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The relation between σ_S and $\langle n \rangle \sigma_H$ and the relation between σ_D and $\langle n(n-1) \rangle \sigma_H$ just obtained do not hold only in the simplest case of the Poissonian distribution of multiple parton collisions. They are indeed much more general validity. One can in fact obtain the same relations in the most general case of multiparton distributions and keeping moreover into account all semi-hard parton rescatterings [5]. One may therefore write

$$\langle n \rangle \sigma_H = \sigma_S \quad \text{and} \quad \langle n(n-1) \rangle \sigma_H = \sigma_D \quad (9)$$

The effective cross section is defined by the relation

$$\sigma_D = \frac{\sigma_S^2}{\sigma_{eff}} \quad (10)$$

one may therefore write

$$\langle n(n-1) \rangle \sigma_H = \langle n \rangle^2 \frac{\sigma_H}{\sigma_{eff}} \quad (11)$$

which implies that in case of an overall Poissonian distribution of multiple parton collisions one would have $\sigma_{eff} = \sigma_H$. When the number of parton collisions is very large, in the simplest uncorrelated case, the distribution is Poissonian at a

fixed value of the impact parameter. The expectation is therefore that the overall distribution in the number of parton collisions has a larger dispersion as compared with the Poissonian case. In that regime σ_{eff} is therefore smaller with respect to σ_H . The comparison between the actual value of σ_{eff} and of σ_H depends on the functional form of $F(x)$. In the simplest case where $F(x) = \exp(-x^2/R^2) = R^2$ one obtains a closed analytic expression for σ_H :

$$\sigma_H = 2R^2 \left(\gamma + \ln 2 + E_1(2) \right) \quad (12)$$

where $\gamma = 0.5772 \dots$ is Euler's constant, $\sigma_s = (2R^2)$ and $E_1(x)$ is the exponential integral. In this example the relation with the hadronic radius r is $R = r/\sqrt{2}$. For small x one obtains $\sigma_H \approx 2R^2 = \sigma_s$, for large x , namely $\sigma_s \gg 1$, one obtains $\sigma_H \approx 2R^2 + \ln 2$. Here $\sigma_{eff} = 2R^2$. The value of σ_H is therefore proportional to the measured value of σ_{eff} , the proportionality factor is slightly dependent on energy and on the cut-off. Sensible values of the hadron-hadron c.m. energy and of the cut-off give values for σ_H which are some 30-40% larger with respect to the value of σ_{eff} . Different analytic forms for $F(x)$ give qualitatively similar results.

The effective cross section quoted by CDF is indeed different with respect to the effective cross section discussed here and in most of the papers on double parton scatterings. σ_{eff} has a simple link with the overlap of matter distribution in the hadronic collision when σ_D is obtained from the second moment of the distribution in the number of partonic collisions, as discussed above. In the sample of events with double parton collisions CDF on the contrary has removed all events where triple parton collisions are present. The correction is not a minor one since the fraction of events with triple collisions is 17%. In the simplest uncorrelated case just discussed the double parton scattering cross section measured by CDF would correspond to the expression

$$\sigma_{D, CDF} = \int_0^Z d^2 s \frac{F(s)^2}{2} e^{-sF(s)} \quad (13)$$

The relation above shows which is the complication introduced by the requirement of an exclu-

sive cross section. In order to make the exclusive selection of the events with double parton collisions only, one has to introduce the exponential factor which represents the probability of not having any further parton interaction. This factor, in principle, has a rather complicated dependence on the overlap of the matter distribution of the two hadrons since, by unitarity, it is related to the whole series of multiple parton collisions. The effective cross section quoted by CDF, $(\sigma_{eff})_{CDF} = 14.5 \pm 1.7^{+1.7}_{-2.3}$ mb, refers to the exclusive measurement and therefore it has to be regarded as an upper bound on the value of the effective cross section related to an inclusive measurement, as it has been presently discussed.

The experimental indication is therefore that the effective cross section is rather small as compared with the naive expectation. The simplest assumptions underlying the derivation of Eq.(6) have therefore to be revised.

The main hypothesis which has been done to obtain the expression for σ_H in Eq.(6) is the Poissonian multiparton distribution. On the other hand one has to expect correlations between partons as a consequence of the binding force. While most probably correlations will affect the x dependence of the multiparton distribution only for finite values of x , and therefore at large rapidities, correlations in the transverse parton coordinates are present in every kinematical regime. Indeed the main reason of interest in multiple parton collisions, besides the identification of the process itself, is precisely the measure of the many-body parton correlations, which is an information on the hadron structure independent on the one-body parton distributions usually considered in hard processes.

In the next paragraph we discuss the most general expression for the semi-hard cross section σ_H , which one obtains by

- 1) assuming that only two-body parton correlations are present in the many-body parton distributions and by
- 2) summing all disconnected multiple parton interactions.

2. SEMI-HARD CROSS SECTION AND CORRELATIONS

At a given resolution, provided by the cut on p_{\perp}^m that defines the lower threshold for the production of m jets, one can find the hadron in various partonic configurations. The probability of an exclusive n -parton distribution, namely the probability to find the hadron in a configuration with n -partons, is denoted by $W_n(u_1 :: u_n)$. $u_i = (b_i; x_i)$ represents the transverse partonic coordinate b_i and longitudinal fractional momentum x_i while color and flavor variables are not considered explicitly. The distributions are symmetric in the variables u_i . One defines the generating functional of the multiparton distributions as:

$$Z[J] = \int \prod_n \frac{1}{n!} J(u_1) :: J(u_n) W_n(u_1 :: u_n) du_1 :: du_n; \quad (14)$$

where the dependence on the infrared cut-off p_{\perp}^m is implicitly understood, and one may introduce also the logarithm of the generating functional: $F[J] = \ln Z[J]$. The conservation of the probability yields the overall normalization condition

$$Z[1] = 1; \quad (15)$$

One may use the generating functional to derive the many body densities, i.e. the inclusive distributions $D_n(u_1 :: u_n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(u) &= \frac{Z}{J(u)} \Big|_{J=1}; \\ D_2(u_1; u_2) &= \frac{Z^2}{J(u_1) J(u_2)} \Big|_{J=1}; \\ &::: \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The many body parton correlations are defined by expanding $F[J]$ in the vicinity of $J = 1$:

$$F[J] = \int D(u) [J(u) - 1] du$$

$$+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} C_n(u_1 :: u_n) J(u_1) - 1 :: J(u_n) - 1 du_1 :: du_n \quad (17)$$

Here $D = D_1$ and the correlations C_n describe how much the distribution deviates from a Poisson distribution, which corresponds in fact to $C_n = 0; n \geq 2$.

In the case of hadron-nucleus and nucleus-nucleus collisions a systematic use of the AGK cutting rules[6] allows one to express the total inelastic cross section as a probabilistic superposition of nucleon-nucleon interaction probabilities[7]. The same feature holds for the self-shadowing cross sections[8]. When considering hadron-hadron collisions as interactions between objects composed with partons, one can make the assumption that similar relations hold with nucleons in the place of nuclei and partons replacing nucleons. Of course, contrary to the nucleon number in the nucleus the parton number is not fixed. In this respect semi-hard parton-parton interactions have to be regarded as a particular case of self-shadowing interactions[9]. The semi-hard nucleon-nucleon cross section is then expressed as the sum of all the probabilities of multiple parton collisions:

$$\sigma_H = \int d^2 u_H \sigma_H(u) \quad (18)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_H(u) &= \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \frac{Z}{J(u_1) :: J(u_n)} Z_A[J] \\ &\times \sum_m \frac{1}{m!} \frac{Z^0(u_1^0)}{J^0(u_1^0)} :: \frac{Z^0(u_m^0)}{J^0(u_m^0)} Z_B[J^0] \\ &\int \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \hat{\gamma}_{ij}(u; u^0) \circ_Y du du^0 \Big|_{J=J^0=0} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where γ is the impact parameter between the two interacting hadrons A and B and $\hat{\gamma}_{ij}$ is the elementary probability for parton i (of A) to have a hard interaction with parton j (of B). The semi-hard cross section is constructed summing over all

possible partonic configurations of the two interacting hadrons (the sums over n and m) and, for each configuration with n partons from A and m partons from B , summing over all possible multiple partonic interactions. This last sum is constructed asking for the probability of no interaction between the two configurations (actually $\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m [1 - \hat{g}_{ij}]$). One minus the probability of no interaction is equal to the sum over all semi-hard interaction probabilities.

The presence of multiple parton interactions is induced by the large flux of partons which is effective at large energies. The most important contribution to the semi-hard cross section, as a consequence, is the contribution of the disconnected partonic collisions, namely the interactions where each parton undergoes at most one semi-hard collision. These are, in fact, those multiple partonic interactions that, at a given number of partonic collisions, maximize the parton flux. Indeed the search and the observation of the first evidence of multiple semi-hard parton interactions has been focused to the case of double disconnected parton interactions [2,3]. We simplify therefore the problem by expanding the interaction probability (the factor in curly brackets) as sums and by removing all the addenda containing repeated indices:

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m (1 - \hat{g}_{ij}) \quad (20)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \hat{g}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{i,j,k \neq i,l \neq j} \hat{g}_{ij} \hat{g}_{kl} + \dots \quad (21)$$

as a result the semi-hard cross section is constructed with multiple disconnected parton collisions only, where disconnected refers to the perturbative component of the interaction. Because of the symmetry of the derivative operators in Eq.(19) one can replace the expression in Eq.(21) with:

$$\sum_{n,m} \frac{1}{2!} n(n-1)m(m-1) \hat{g}_{11} \hat{g}_{22} + \dots \quad (22)$$

in such a way that the sums over m and n can be performed explicitly. As a consequence the cross

section at fixed impact parameter, $\sigma_H(b)$, can be expressed by the operatorial form:

$$\sigma_H(b) = \int \mathcal{D}[J+1] \mathcal{D}[J^0+1] \exp \left[\int \mathcal{D}u \mathcal{D}u^0 \left(\dots \right) \right] \quad (23)$$

We have avoided writing explicitly the variables u and u^0 and the functional derivative $\delta/\delta J(u)$ has been simply indicated as \mathcal{D}_i .

The form of $\sigma_H(b)$ given by Eq.(23) is still too complicated to be worked out in its general form, since all possible multiple-parton correlations are present in Z . Therefore we further simplify the problem by taking into account two-body parton correlations only. Our explicit expression for F is therefore:

$$F[J+1] = \int \mathcal{D}u \mathcal{D}u^0 \left(D(u) J(u) + \frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{D}v \mathcal{D}v^0 C(u;v) J(u) J(v) \right) \quad (24)$$

where $D(u)$ is the average number of partons and $C(u;v)$ is the two-body parton correlation.

Either by using techniques of functional integration or by means of a suitable diagrammatic expansion [10] one is able to obtain in this case a closed expression for $\sigma_H(b)$:

$$\sigma_H(b) = \int \mathcal{D}u \mathcal{D}u^0 \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{D}u \mathcal{D}u^0 a_n \left(\frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{D}u \mathcal{D}u^0 b_n \right)^n \right] \quad (25)$$

where a_n and b_n are functions of the impact parameter and are given by

$$a_n = \int \mathcal{D}u \mathcal{D}u^0 D_A(u_1) \hat{g}(u_1; u_1^0) C_B(u_1^0; u_2^0) \hat{g}(u_2^0; u_2) C_A(u_2; u_3) \dots \int \mathcal{D}u \mathcal{D}u^0 D_B(u_n^0) \hat{g}(u_n^0; u_n) \quad (26)$$

$$b_n = \int \mathcal{D}u \mathcal{D}u^0 C_A(u_n; u_1) \hat{g}(u_1; u_1^0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_B (u_1^0; u_2^0) \wedge (u_2^0; u_2) \dots \\
& \dots C_B (u_{n-1}^0; u_n^0) \wedge (u_n^0; u_n) \\
& \int \dots du_i du_i^0: \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

The actual expression for a_n holds for n odd. When n is odd one may also have the symmetric case, where the expression begins with D_B and ends with D_A . When n is even the initial and final distribution are either both D_A or both D_B . In the definition of b_n n is always even, so that one of the ends is A and the other is B . One may notice that, at a given order in the number of partonic interactions, one can obtain a term of kind a from a term of kind b by replacing one C with a pair of D 's. The operation can be done in n ways. The combinatorial meaning of the $1=n$ factor multiplying each term of kind b in Eq.(25) is then understood. The factor $1=2$ in Eq.(25) is the consequence of the symmetry between A and B .

The cross section is given by an integral on the impact parameter of the interaction probability, $\sigma_H(\dots)$, that is expressed as one minus the probability of no interaction. The probability of no interaction is given by the negative exponential of the sum over all possible different connected structures, namely all structures of kind a_n and of kind b_n . With our approximations, Eq.(21) and Eq.(24), these are in fact all possible connected structures which can be built with the average numbers $D_{A,B}$, the two-body correlations $C_{A,B}$ and the interaction \wedge . Expanding the exponential, the cross section can then be expressed as the sum over all possible structures, both connected and disconnected.

One will notice that, when no correlations are present, all terms of kind b disappear and only the first of the terms of kind a , namely $D_A \wedge D_B$ is left. In that limit the cross section is given simply by:

$$\sigma_H = \int d^2 \perp \int e^{h_n(\dots)} \quad (28)$$

where

$$h_n(\dots) = \int D_A(u) D_B(u^0) \wedge (u^0; u) du du^0 \quad (29)$$

which corresponds to the Poissonian distribution discussed in the introduction.

3. TWO DIFFERENT QUALITATIVE FEATURES OF THE CORRELATION TERM

The small value of σ_{eff} , the dimensional parameter characterizing double parton scatterings, which has been measured recently by CDF, is an indication that two-body parton correlations, in the many-body parton distribution of the proton, are likely to be sizable. In the case of an uncorrelated many-body parton distribution, the value of σ_{eff} puts a constraint on the range of possible values of σ_H , the semi-hard contribution to the hadronic inelastic cross section. The actual measured value of σ_{eff} would give rise to values of σ_H of the order of $\sigma_{inel}=2$ also at very large c.m. energies, where one would rather expect $\sigma_H \ll \sigma_{inel}$. The experimental evidence is also that, in the x region accessible experimentally namely at small x values, the correlation in fractional momenta is not a large effect.

σ_H can be worked out rather explicitly when only two-body parton correlations are included in the many-body parton distributions and when each parton can have at most one semi-hard interaction. Two qualitatively different features can be present in the two-body parton correlation, and both change the relation between σ_H and σ_{eff} with respect to the uncorrelated case:

1- The distribution in the number of partons is not any more a Poissonian, although the dependence on the kinematical variables of the different partons is factorized.

2- The overall distribution in the number of partons, obtained after integrating on the partonic kinematical variables, is a Poissonian but the dependence on the partonic kinematical variables is not factorized, in this case the two-body parton correlation integrates to zero.

The general case is obviously a combination of the two possibilities. We point out however that both cases separately can give rise to a small value of σ_{eff} while keeping the value of σ_H close to σ_{inel} .

One can work out explicitly the expression for the semi-hard cross section in Eq.(25) considering

explicit examples [11]. The general result is however that the critical value of the impact parameter b_c , which gives the size to the cross section σ_H , is the value which makes small the argument of the exponential in the expression of $\sigma_H(b)$. The detailed dependence of the argument of the exponential at $b < b_c$ is not of great importance for the determination of σ_H when, for $b < b_c$, the argument of the exponential is already large: σ_H is obtained by integrating the probability of having at least one semi-hard interaction. When the probability to have at least one semi-hard interaction is close to one, the contribution to the integral is very similar for events with the same impact parameter and with different but large average number of partonic collisions.

The critical value of the impact parameter which gives the size to σ_H is therefore determined by the argument of the exponential at the edge of the interaction region. The dominant contribution at the edge is due to the single scattering term, since higher order collision terms are important when the density of overlapping matter of the two hadrons is large. This is precisely the argument of the exponential in the uncorrelated case and the consequence is that the resulting value of σ_H is not very different with respect to the uncorrelated case. Actually $2R^2$ as discussed in the introduction.

The correlation term is on the contrary able to change sizably the effective cross section. One may modify the number distribution, without introducing non-factorized two-body correlations in b , by using for example the factorized expression

$$C(u;u^0) = D(u)D(u^0) \quad (30)$$

One obtains in this case the relation [11]

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{eff}} = \frac{2R^2}{(1 + \dots)^2} \quad (31)$$

If one introduces a correlation term which does not modify parton number distribution and which therefore integrates to zero, the double scattering cross section is increased, with respect to the uncorrelated case, by an additive term corresponding to the convolution of two correlations [11]:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{eff}} = \frac{1}{2R^2} + \int d^2b d^2b^0 C(b;b^0) C(b;b^0) \quad (32)$$

A qualitative feature is that in both cases one obtains a value of σ_{eff} which may be sizably smaller with respect to $2R^2$, σ_{inel} . While, on the other hand, nothing prevents the value of σ_H from being close to the value of σ_{inel} . The smaller value of σ_{eff} , with respect to the expectation of the uncorrelated case, is rather generally associated with the increased dispersion of the distribution in the number of partonic collisions: In the case of no correlations the distribution is strictly Poissonian when the impact parameter is fixed. When correlations are introduced the distribution in the number of parton collisions, at fixed b , is not Poissonian any more and the natural consequence is that the dispersion in the number of collisions is increased.

The indication from the measure of the rate of double parton scatterings is therefore that two-body parton correlations are likely to be important while, unfortunately, one cannot say much about dynamical quantities, like the correlation length. Useful observables to be measured, in order to get some more insight into the problem, would be the semi-hard cross section σ_H and the triple parton scattering cross section. The measure of σ_H , in association with σ_{eff} , would help considerably in clarifying the size of the effect induced by the presence of the two-body parton correlations: All present considerations are based on the prejudice that σ_H should have a value rather close to the value of σ_{inel} .

The measure of triple and of higher order parton scatterings would give important constraints on models of the many body parton distributions. For example if only lower order correlations were important one would be able to fix all the correlation parameters.

While a lot of effort has been put in the study of the proton structure as a function of the momentum fraction x , one should keep in mind that

the distribution of partons depends on three degrees of freedom, the momentum fraction x and the transverse parton coordinate b . The measure of multiple parton collisions is the essential tool which allows us to learn on the parton structure of the proton in transverse plane.

REFERENCES

1. C. Goebel, F. Halzen and D. M. Scott, *Phys. Rev. D* 22, 2789 (1980); N. Paver and D. Treleani, *Nuovo Cimento A* 70, 215 (1982); B. Humbert, *Phys. Lett. B* 131, 461 (1983); B. Humbert and R. Odorico, *ibid* 154B, 211 (1985); T. Sjöstrand and M. Van Zijl, *Phys. Rev. D* 36, 2019 (1987).
2. F. Abe et al. (CDF Collaboration), submitted to *Phys. Rev. D* April 14, 1997; FERMILAB-PUB-97/094-E.
3. T. Akesson et al. (AFS Collaboration), *Z. Phys. C* 34 163 (1987); J. Alitti et al. (UA2 Collaboration), *Phys. Lett B* 268 145 (1991); F. Abe et al. (CDF Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* 47 4857 (1993).
4. L.L. Ametller and D. Treleani, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 3, 521 (1988).
5. G. Calucci and D. Treleani, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* 18C, 187 (1990) and *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 6, 4375 (1991).
6. V. Abramovskii, V.N. Gribov and O.V. Kancheli, *Yad. Fiz.* 18, 595 (1973) [*Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 18, 308 (1974)]; I.G. Halliday and C.T. Sachrajda, *Phys. Rev. D* 8, 3598 (1973); J. Koplek and A.H. Mueller, *Phys. Lett.* 58B, 166 (1975); L.D. McLerran and J.H. Weiss, *Nucl. Phys. B* 100, 329 (1975); L. Bertocchi and D. Treleani, *J. Phys. G* 3 147 (1977); V.M. Braun and Yu.M. Shabel'ski, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 3, 2417 (1988); G. Calucci and D. Treleani *Phys. Rev. D* 49, 138 (1994); *D* 50, 4703 (1994); J. Bartels and M. Wustho, *Z. Phys. C* 66, 157 (1995).
7. A. Capella and A. Krzywicki, *Phys. Lett.* 67B, 84 (1977); *Phys. Rev. D* 18, 3357 (1978).
8. R. Blankenbecler, A. Capella, C. Pajares, J. Tran Thanh Van and A.V. Ramallo, *Phys. Lett.* 107B, 106 (1981).
9. D. Treleani, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 11 613 (1996).
10. G. Calucci and D. Treleani, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* 18C, 187 (1990) and *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 6, 4375 (1991).
11. G. Calucci and D. Treleani, hep-ph/9708233 to be published on *Phys. Rev. D*.