#### PHYSICS OBSERVABLES FOR COLOR TRANSPARENCY<sup>1</sup>

Bernard PIRE

Centre de Physique Theorique<sup>2</sup>, Ecole Polytechnique

F91128 Palaiseau, France

A bstract: The physics observables dedicated to the study of color transparency are diverse. A fifer a brief pedagogical introduction, we emphasize the complem entarity of the nuclear litering and color transparency concepts. The importance of quantum interferences leads to suspect pictures based on the preparation of a squeezed state of sm all transverse size leading to a rapid transverse expansion. The di erent roles of heavy and light nuclei are emphasized. The possibility of color transparency tests in the vacuum is stressed. Such a test m ay be quickly addressed in the virtual C om pton scattering reactions at CEBAF or HERMES energies.

Color Transparency [1] studies are entering the age of maturity. A first the rst experimental data [2] have been analized in many details, one understands better that dimensional observables will shed light on this interesting new QCD concept.

# 1 M in i-hadron scattering in a gauge eld theory

Let us rst present a som ew hat academ ic but instructive calculation of the high energy lim it of a forward hadron-hadron amplitude in perturbative eld theory  $\beta$ . We shall use the optical theorem to relate the total cross section to the imaginary part of the forward amplitude.

Let us rst consider the two gluon exchange processes for quark-quark scattering in the region t << s u. From the 18 diagram s which may be drawn, 14 are vertex or self energy corrections to the one-gluon diagram s, and as such are real. Two of the four remaining diagram s dom inate at sm all (t).

Denoting as q the 4 vector of the rst gluon emitted by the bottom ferm ion line, one nds that the two diagrams have identical boson propagators and bottom ferm ion expression. From the upper ferm ion line, one gets adding the two diagrams, after some simple algebra:

$$A / \frac{1}{q + i} \quad \frac{1}{q \quad i} \tag{1}$$

leading to a (q) constraint. Moreover the bottom ferm ion line leads to a (q')

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  to be published in the Proceedings of the W orkshop: Cobr Transparency'97, held in G renoble, France, June 1997

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> unite propre 014 du CNRS

constraint, so that the  $d^4q$  integration boils dow n to a 2 dimensional transverse space integration. The resulting amplitude is dom inantly imaginary:

$$M = iC s \frac{d^2 q_{\rm T}}{(2)^2} \frac{1}{(q_{\rm T}^2 + 2)((q_{\rm T} - 1)^2 + 2)}$$
(2)

which may be rewritten as

$$M = iC s d^{2}be^{i - t} (\frac{g^{2}K_{0}(b)}{2})^{2}$$
(3)

where  $_{\rm T}$  is the (sm all) 2 dimensional transfer between the initial and nal ferm ion. The important features of this result is the 2 dimensional nature of the integral and the squared factor rem iniscent of the eikonal nature of forw ard amplitude in the high energy limit.

Let us now go to the alm ost physical case of m eson-m eson scattering, that is consider a color singlet form ed by a quark -antiquark pair scattering on another pair. A straightforward computation shows that the color factors are the same for all 2 gluon exchange graphs connecting the upper hadron to the low er one, but that an extra m inus sign is attached for an antiquark line. The result is then

$$M = iC s^{R} d^{2}be^{i_{T} b^{R}} d^{2}r_{1}d^{2}r_{2} (r_{1}) (r_{2})$$
(4)  
fV (x<sub>1</sub> x<sub>3</sub>) V (x<sub>2</sub> x<sub>3</sub>) V (x<sub>1</sub> x<sub>4</sub>) + V (x<sub>2</sub> x<sub>4</sub>)g<sup>2</sup> (r<sub>1</sub>) (r<sub>2</sub>)

with

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2)^2} \frac{Z}{ke^{ik \cdot \mathbf{x}}} \frac{d^2 k e^{ik \cdot \mathbf{x}}}{k^2 + 2}$$
(5)

Suppose now that  $r_1 = x_1$   $x_2$  is sm all (a m inihadron); then one m ay approximate V ( $x_1$   $x_3$ ) V ( $x_2$   $x_3$ )  $r_1$  r ( $x_1$   $x_3$ ) and similarly for V ( $x_1$   $x_4$ ) V ( $x_2$   $x_4$ ), and get:

$$M / r_1^2$$
: (6)

leading to a total cross section for the m inihadron scattering on a hadron:

$$\frac{\text{Im M}}{\text{s}} / r_1^2:$$
 (7)

This is color transparency. Note that if the qq was in a color octet state, the resulting am plitude would contain an additionnal term

$$M / :::+ f(V(x_1 x_3)) V(x_1 x_4)g:fV(x_2 x_3)) V(x_2 x_4)g (8)$$

which does not vanish in the lim it  $x_1 \mid x_2$ . The color singlet nature of the quark-antiquark pair is thus essential for Eq.7 to be valid.

### 2 How can a hadron behave like a m ini-hadron?

The understanding of color transparency experiments would be easy if we had access to hadrons of tunable transverse size. This is almost the case for quarkonia, whose size is governed by the inverse of the heavy quark mass. But life is complicated in this case by the problem of the production process which is still much debated. Hard exclusive scattering of ers a promising way since it has been recognized that these processes asymptotically select a compact valence component of the hadron light-cone wave-function, thus forcing a hadron to behave like a mini-hadron. The study of the (reduced) nal state interactions of these mini hadrons with spectator hadrons enable us to study the soft scattering of these objects, opening the domain of the strong interactions of small color-singlet objects. This should be of crucial importance for the detailed understanding of Pomeron physics.

The selection of the compact (som etim es optim istically called point-like) component of the hadronic wave function should not be misidenti ed as the preparation (in the sense of quantum mechanics) of a squeezed wave-packet which would have a dram atic tendency to transversally expand at a rate governed by Heisenberg uncertainty relations such as  $p_T \ b_T > h$ . On the contrary, one should realize that the selection process is due to a subtle destructive interference pattern which annihilates all the contributions from the large states. The perturbative treatment of the sim plest occurrence of this phenom enon, namely the meson form factor, is much instructive. The pattern of gluon radiation [4] indeed leads to a severe Sudakov suppression [5] of the amplitude when the transverse separation of quarks excedes some value of order (1=Q).

As offen in quantum eld theories, one should not be suprised that a reasoning based on the trajectories of the hadronic components, or equivalently to the expanding transverse hadronic size, leads one to erroneous statements. The observable to be calculated, although hopefully factorizable in short and long distance quantities, is not adequately described by a sem i-classical picture where the process is chronologically cut into pieces: rstly, preparation of a state through some hard scattering process, secondly, evolution of this state, and nally soft scattering and absorption phenomena.

## 3 Nuclear Filtering in heavy nuclei

The rst piece of evidence for som ething like color transparency cam e from the Brookhaven experiment on pp elastic scattering at 90 CM in a nuclear medium [6]. These data lead to a lively debate. The special feature of hadron hadron elastic scattering at xed angle is that in addition to a clear cut short distance amplitude, there is an infrared sensitive process (the so-called independent scattering mechanism) which allows not so sm all con gurations to scatter elastically. The phenom enon of colour transparency is thus replaced by a nuclear

hering process: elastic scattering in a nucleus liters away the big component of the nucleon wave function and thus its contribution to the cross-section. Since the presence of these two competing processes had been analysed [7] as responsible for the oscillating pattern seen in the scaled cross-section  $s^{10}d = dt$ , an oscillating color transparency ratio emerges [8]. One way ("attenuation method") to understand data is to de ne a survival probability related in a standard way to an elective attenuation cross section  $_{eff}(Q^2)$  and to plot this attenuation cross section as a function of the transfer of the reaction [9]. One indeed obtains values of  $_{eff}(Q^2)$  decreasing with  $Q^2$  and quite smaller than the free space inelastic proton cross section. The survival probability is even found [10] to obey a simple scaling law in  $Q^2 = A^{1=3}$ .

The SLAC NE18 experiment[11] measured the color transparency ratio up to  $Q^2 = 7G \text{ eV}^2$ , without any observable increase. This conclusion follows only if the hard scattering part of the process is assumed to be the same as in free space, canceling out in forming the transparency ratio. While this assumption is not needed in the attenuation method, the precision of the data were not su cient to conclude much using the less model-dependent test. While the majority view is that these data cast doubt on the most optimistic onset of color transparency, emphasizing the importance of a su cient boost to get the small state quickly out of the nucleus, this conclusion remains tentative and som ething to be tested.

The di ractive electroproduction of vector mesons at Ferm ilab [12] and C em [13] exhibit an interesting increase of the transparency ratio for data at  $Q^2$  ' 7G eV<sup>2</sup>. In this case the boost is high since the lepton energy is around E ' 200G eV but the problem is to disentangle di ractive from inelastic events.

### 4 Color Transparency signals with Sm all Nuclei

A lthough color transparency was rst mostly considered as an e ect to be studied on rather large nuclei, it became recently clear that sm all nuclei had much to teach us about this physics item. Deuteron electrodesintegration reactions  $d(e;e^{0}p)n$  for instance [14], both polarized and unpolarized, is much interesting. The idea is simple; let us exam ine the case where the virtual photon mostly hit the proton in the deuteron. The neutron momentum distribution is then due to the combination of both Ferm im omentum e ects in the initial state and nal state interactions. These two components are well known at sm all Q<sup>2</sup>. At large Q<sup>2</sup>, the hard process selects sm all-sized proton, and the interaction m iniproton-neutron is much weaker. This is where Pom eron physics enters, at least if energy is high enough. High Q<sup>2</sup> electroproduction data appear then as an unexpected testing bench of soft physics, with the important bonus of a controlable variable sized hadron scattering on a norm al one. W hether the sm all size justi es completely a perturbative treatment of this sm all transfer

am plitude is still an open question.

The cross section for d (e; e<sup>0</sup>p)n m ay be written as

$$\frac{d}{dE_{e^0}d_{e^0}d^3p_p} = e_p D_d(q;p_p;p_n) \quad (q_0 M_d E_p E_n)$$
(9)

where  $D_d(q;p_p;p_n)$  is the joint probability of the initial proton having a Ferm i momentum  $p_p$  q and the nalproton (neutron) a momentum  $p_p$  ( $p_n$ ). A poor energy resolution would restrict the physics to a qualitative observation of color transparency, whereas a very good one would allow a quantitative determ ination of the miniproton-neutron scattering cross-section as a function of the miniproton scattering cross-section as a function of the miniproton scattering in omentum deconvoluates Ferm i momentum e ects in the deuteron.

Frankfurt et al [14] estim ate sizable e ects at CEBAF energies, which am ount to Q<sup>2</sup> values in the range  $4 \text{GeV}^2$  Q<sup>2</sup> 10 (GeV=c)<sup>2</sup>. Prospects are brighter within ELFE conditions.

# 5 Helicity (non-)conservation and Color Transparency signals

The hadronic helicity conservation rule in hard exclusive reactions [15] follows from two assumptions:

quark m asses can be neglected;

valence states (with only ferm ions) dom inate.

These assumptions which asymptotically are quite solid in reactions such as  $(e;e^{0};p)$  are less justified in the hadronic case [16]. They are exactly what leads to the result of color transparency. It thus follows that the helicity non-conserving contributions must be litered away in a nuclear medium. It is thus most interesting, at a given value of  $Q^{2}$  to compare the nuclear absorbtion of amplitudes violating the helicity conservation rule. For this measurement to be possible, we must consider cases where such amplitudes are quite large at reasonable  $Q^{2}$  values. This is not the case for the proton form factor  $F_{2}$ , but maybe for the proton form factor [17], measured at  $Q^{2} = 32 \text{GeV}^{2}$ .

In the case of hadronic reactions, it has been predicted [16] that the am ount of helicity non conservation seen for instance in the helicity matrix elements of the meson produced in p ! p at 90 would be liered out in a nucleus. Experimental data in free space [18] yield  $_{1\ 1} = 0.32$  0:10, at s = 20.8G eV<sup>2</sup>,  $_{CM} = 90$ , for the non-diagonal helicity violating matrix element. If the persistence of helicity non-conservation is correctly understood as due to independent scattering processes which do not select m in inhadrons and thus are not subject to color transparency, helicity conservation should be restored at the same Q<sup>2</sup>

in proceeses litered by nuclei. One should thus observe a monotonic decrease of  $_{1\ 1}$  with A .

# 6 Color Transparency in the vacuum, the virtues of virtual Compton Scattering

Since the vacuum is highly non-trivial in QCD, one may dream of observable color transparency e ects without any nuclear reinteraction. Let us illustrate this statement via the study of synchrotron radiation due to the bending of quarks moving in the vacuum.

Synchrotron radiation of soft photons has been proposed [19] as a powerful way to test the vacuum structure of QCD. The physical picture [20] is the following: consider the QCD vacuum as described by domains of size a (phenom enologically determined around 0.35 fm) where colour elds are highly correlated. A fast quark propagating in this domain will be subject to a Lorentz chrom om agnetic force which will bend its trajectory and thus force it to emit synchrotron radiation. Thus, for a hadron of norm al transverse size of order 1 fm, one is allowed to add the contributions to synchrotron radiation from the partons in a hadron incoherently. The story will be completely di erent for a m inihadron of tunable size as expected in hard exclusive reactions. A sym ptotically, the part of the wave function which is able to contribute to the scattering am plitude is of zero transverse size and the color singlet nature forbids it to interact with the chrom o-m agnetic background eld. A vanishing Lorentz force will then lead to a vanishingly low synchrotron radiation rate.

Consider now the exclusive electroproduction of a photon on a proton:

$$e(k) + p(p)! = (k^{0}) + p(p^{0}) + (q^{0})$$
 (10)

where the Bethe Heitler process and the virtual Compton scattering am plitudes interfere. This reaction has been much discussed recently in a particular kinem atical range as a tool to extract o -diagonal partonic distributions[21]. The other kinem atical range we may focus is the very soft photon case. The transverse size of the incom ing and out-going hadrons is a crucial parameter in the description of a QCD -synchrotron radiation process since the gauge nature of QCD leads to a destructive interference pattern when one coherently adds contributions of the di erent partons constituting a colorless object, leading eventually to a vanishing radiation am plitude at zero transverse separation.

To quantify the expected e ect, we need a model which interpolates between incoherent synchrotron radiation for a low  $Q^2$  process to a coherent sum of the three valence quark radiation amplitude at interm ediate  $Q^2$  and a vanishing rate at large  $Q^2$ . Work is in progress along these lines [22].

Let us nally note that the neutron case e + n ! e + n + m ay be particularly intersting since it clearly suppresses much contam ination from usual

brem sstrahlung processes.

## 7 Conclusion

The 15 30G eV continuous electron beam ELFE project is presently discussed at the European level [23]. Besides the determ ination of hadronic valence wave functions through the careful study of many exclusive hard reactions in free space, the use of nuclear targets to test and use color transparency is one of its major goals. The (e;e<sup>0</sup>;p) reaction should in particular be studied in a wide range of Q<sup>2</sup> up to 21G eV<sup>2</sup>, thus allowing to connect to SLAC data (and better resolution but similar low Q<sup>2</sup> data from CEBAF) and hopefully clearly establish this phenom enon in the simplest occurence. The measurem ent of the transparency ratio for photo- and electroproduction of heavy vector mesons, in particular of and <sup>0</sup> will open a new regime where the mass of the quark enters as an other scale controlling the form ation length of the produced meson.

### A cknow ledgm ents

I thank John P. Ralston, Jean-M arc Laget, Jacques M arroncle, O tto Nachtm ann, Eric Voutier, M arkus D iehl and T hierry G ousset for m any recent discussions on Color Transparency. This work has been partially funded through the European TM R Contract No FM RX-CT 96-0008: H adronic Physics with H igh E nergy E lectrom agnetic P robes.

### References

### References

- [1] A. Mueller, Proceedings of the Seventeenth Recontre de Moriond on Elementary Particle Physics, (Les Arcs, France 1982) edited by J. Tran Thanh Van (Editions Frontieres, Gif-sur-Yvette, France 1982), p. 13; S. J. Brodsky, in Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, edited by W. Kittel, W. Metzger, and A. Stergiou (World Scientic, Singapore, 1982) p. 963; P. Jain, B. Pire and J.P. Ralston, Physics Reports 271, 67 (1996); a quite dierent physical picture is proposed by L. Frankfurt, G.A. Miller, and M. Strikman, Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. 21, 1 (1992).
- [2] A.S. Carrollet al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 1698 (1988); T.A. Arm strong et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1212 (1993); M.R. Adam set al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1525 (1995).

- [3] T.P. Cheng and T.T. Wu, Expanding protons, MIT press, Cambridge (1987); J.F. Gunion and D.E. Soper , Phys Rev D 15, 2617 (1977); J. Dolejsi and J.Hufner, Z.Phys C 54, 489 (1992).
- [4] H.-N. Liand G. Sterm an, Nucl. Phys. B 381, 129 (1992).
- [5] V.V. Sudakov, Sov. Phys. JETP 3, 65 (1956).
- [6] A S.Carrollet al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 61 (1988) 1698.
- [7] B.Pire and J.P.Ralston, Phys. Lett. B 117 (1982) 233.
- [8] J.P.Ralston and B.Pire, Phys.Rev.Lett. 65 (1990) 2343.
- [9] P. Jain and J.P. Ralston, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 1104.
- [10] B.Pire and J.P.Ralston, Phys.Lett. B 256 (1991) 523.
- [11] T.A.Am strong et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 70 (1993) 1212.
- [12] M R.Adam set al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 74 (1995) 1525.
- [13] M . A meodo et al, Nucl. Phys. B 429 (1994) 503.
- [14] L L.Frankfurt et al, Phys.Lett.B 369 (1996) 201; Z.Phys.A 532 (1995) 97.
- [15] G P. Lepage et S.J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D 24 (1981) 2848.
- [16] T.Gousset, B.Pire and J.P.Ralston, Phys Rev. D 53 (1996) 1202.
- [17] V.D.Burkert, unpublished (1996).
- [18] S.Heppelm ann et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.55 (1985) 1824.
- [19] G W .Botz, P.Habert and O.Nachtmann, Z.Phys.C 67 (1995) 143.
- [20] O.Nachtmann and A.Reiter, Z.Phys.C 24 (1984) 283.
- [21] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 610 (1997); Phys. Rev. D 557114 (1997);
  A.V. Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B 380 417 (1996); C. Hyde-W right in: Proceedings of the second ELFE workshop, Saint M alo, France, 1996, eds.N.d'Hose et al., Nucl. Phys. A 622 (1997) 268c; M. Diehl, T.G ousset, B.Pire and J.P.Ralston, Phys. Lett. B 411 (1997) 193.
- [22] M. Diehl, T. Gousset and B. Pire, work in progress.
- [23] the ELFE Project Conference Proceedings, Vol.44, Italian Physical Society, Bologna, Italy (1993) edited by J. Arvieux and E. DeSanctis; J. A rvieux and B. Pire, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics, 30 (1995) 299.