#### THERMODYNAM ICS OF NON -TOPOLOG ICAL SOLITONS

 ${\tt M}$  . Laine  ${\tt a}^{{\tt a};{\tt b}\,1}$  and  ${\tt M}$  . Shaposhnikov  ${\tt a}^{{\tt a}2}$ 

<sup>a</sup>Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

<sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, P.O. Box 9, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

#### A bstract

In theories with low energy supersymmetry breaking, the e ective potential for squarks and sleptons has generically nearly at directions, V ()  $M^4$  (log (=M))<sup>n</sup>. This quarantees the existence of stable non-topological solitons, Q -balls, that carry large baryon  $(M = m_p)^4$ , where  $m_p$  is the proton mass. We study the behaviour of num ber, B these objects in a high temperature plasma. We show that in an in nitely extended system with a nite density of the baryon charge, the equilibrium state is not hom ogeneous and contains Q balls at any temperature. In a system with a nite volume, Q-balls evaporate at a volum e dependent tem perature. In the cosm obgical context, we form ulate the conditions under which Q -balls, produced in the Early Universe, survive till the present time. Finally, we estimate the baryon to cold dark matter ratio in a cosm ological scenario in which Q-balls are responsible for both the net baryon num ber of the Universe and its dark matter. We nd out naturally the correct orders of  $10^{10}$  (M = TeV) <sup>2</sup> (B =  $10^{26}$ ) <sup>1=2</sup>. 1:::10 TeV: m agnitude for M

CERN-TH/98-116 April 1998

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>m ikko.laine@cem.ch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>m shaposh@ nxth04.cem.ch

### 1 Introduction

Take a theory containing scalar elds which carry some unbroken global U(1) charge Q. A ssum e that all charged particles are massive. Suppose that the e ective potential for the U(1) charged scalar elds has a st direction, V()  $M^4$  at large, up to possible logarithm ic term s. Then this theory contains absolutely stable non-topological solitons, Q -balls, that have a non-zero value of the global charge Q [1]. F latness of the e ective potential at large is essential for this statem ent. For Q 1, the mass of the soliton grows as M  $_{0}$  M  $Q^{3=4}$  and becomes smaller than the mass of a collection of free separated particles with the same charge,  $m Q^1$ , independent of the relationship between m and M. For theories without at directions, where V () grows at large as <sup>n</sup> with n 2, Q-ball solutions constructed from scalar elds can exist as well [2, 3], but their energy scales with Q as  $AQ^1$ , so that the question of their stability depends on the mass of the lightest particle that carries the charge and on the (com putable) coe cient A.

A phenom enologically interesting example of a system with these properties is provided by supersymmetric extensions of the Standard M odel. Here the role of the U (1) charge is played by the baryon number, and the role of scalar elds by squarks. The M SSM with unbroken supersymmetry has a bt of gauge invariant at directions with V () = 0 carrying baryon number [4]. If supersymmetry is broken at a low energy scale M, as in gauge-mediated scenarios [5], then the potential is lifted at > M in a way that V () stays constant [6, 7]. Thus, this theory contains stable non-topological solitons which carry baryon number [8]. The generic M SSM with other types of SU SY breaking also contains a bt of Q-ball solutions [9, 10], but with M<sub>0</sub> M Q<sup>1</sup>.

Stable Q -balls in supersymmetric theories have a number of interesting properties. Since they represent them ost economic way of packing the baryon number, they like to absorb the ordinary matter in the form of protons and neutrons and to convert baryon number from ferm ionic baryons to bosonic baryons (squarks) [11]. Large stable Q -balls can be naturally produced [8] in the Early Universe via the decay of an A eck-D ine (AD) condensate [12] and can contribute to the cold dark matter [8]. Experimental signatures of relic dark matter Q -balls are spectacular [13].

At zero tem perature, Q-balls are the states with minimum energy at a xed value of the charge Q. They do not decay if the mass to charge ratio  $M_Q = Q$  for them is smaller than that for a free particle. At non-zero tem peratures all energy levels of the system are populated with non-zero probabilities and, thus, the charge can leak out from a Q-ball to the outer space, or, equally, can be absorbed from the surrounding plasma. Absorption of the charge into a Q-ball decreases the energy of the system, while releasing the charge from it increases the entropy. So, the issue of Q-ball stability gets more complicated than at zero tem perature.

The aim of this paper is to consider Q-ball therm odynamics in theories with at directions in the scalar potential. We will see that in these models systems with a

nite density of the baryon number  $n_B$  do not have a standard therm odynam ical limit. For large enough volum es the initially hom ogeneous distribution of  $n_B \notin 0$  is unstable against Q -ball form ation at any tem perature. On the contrary, if the volum e of the system is nite and its charge is xed, Q -balls cease to exist (evaporate com pletely) at tem peratures  $T > T_0$ , where  $T_0$  is volum e dependent.

In the cosm ological context, a mere therm odynam ical consideration is not enough as the system has a nite time scale given by the expansion rate of the Universe, and the (non-equilibrium) rate of Q-ball evaporation is essential. We make an estimate of this rate and determ ine under which conditions Q-balls created in the Early Universe survive till present. If the only source of baryon asymmetry of the Universe is the AD mechanism, then the baryon asymmetry and cold dark matter may share the same origin [8]. In this scenario, both matter and dark matter are baryonic, though dark matter is constructed from squarks packed inside Q-balls. We show that in this case, the baryon to cold dark matter ratio appears to be related to very few parameters such as the charge of an individual Q-ball, the P lanck scale, the SUSY breaking scale M and the proton mass.

Initially, Q-balls were found and studied in di erent models in Refs. [1]{ $\beta$ ]. The generation, evolution, evaporation and implications of Q-balls at the high temperatures of the Early Universe were discussed in various models in [14]{25]. In particular, in [19, 20] it was found that at high temperatures (but still T M) Q-balls tend to evaporate whereas at low temperatures, they are stable and tend to grow. The in uence of SUSY Q-balls on phase transitions was discussed in [23]. In theories where supersym m etry breaking com es from the supergravity hidden sector, Q-balls are unstable at zero temperature. N evertheless, they can be produced in the Early Universe and are in portant for baryogenesis [24]. M oreover, they may provide a natural explanation for the ratio between neutralino dark matter and the baryonic matter in the Universe [25]. However, theories with at potentials, speci c for theories with low energy supersymmetry breaking have, to our knowledge, never been analyzed in detail for all temperatures up to T M.

This paper is organized as follows. Essentially, it follows two distinct lines: a qualitative discussion of the physically interesting case of the MSSM with order of magnitude estimates, and a more formal and quantitative analysis, but mostly applied to a specie toy model. In Sec. 2, we formulate in general terms the main problems considered. In Sec. 3, we discuss the solutions to these problems on a qualitative level. In Sec. 4 we perform a more quantitative analysis, but in a simple renormalizable supersymmetric toy model with at directions in the elective potential. A number of claims made in Sec. 3 are substantiated in Sec. 4. Finally, in Sec. 5 we consider the applications of the results to cosmology in the realistic case, again on an order of magnitude level. In particular, we consider here the stability of Q-balls at high temperatures, their evaporation rate, and their survival in the expanding U niverse. We also estim ate the baryon to cold dark matter ratio. Some details related to Sec. 4 are in the two Appendices.

# 2 The form ulation of the problem

Let us consider a system with some conserved global charge Q, such as B or L (baryon num ber or lepton num ber; the B + L anom aly is not im portant for this discussion). The symmetry which corresponds to this charge is assumed to be unbroken. On a form all level, the main questions we are going to address are as follows:

1. A sum ing that the therm odynam ical ground state of the system is hom ogeneous, is the conserved charge carried by individual particles in a plasma, or by a scalar condensate (Q - m atter), in analogy with Bose-Einstein condensation?

2. Is such a hom ogeneous therm odynam ical ground state stable against sm all inhom ogeneous perturbations? If it is, is it the true ground state or a only m etastable m inim um ?

3. In case a hom ogeneous ground state is unstable or m etastable, what is the global m inim um of the free energy? In particular, under which conditions can Q -balls constitute such a ground state?

4. If one starts from an unstable or metastable solution, at which rate does one approach the equilibrium ground state? In particular, at which rate do Q -balls form if they are stable, or evaporate if they are unstable?

In order to answer these questions, let us rst x the basic de nitions used. The therm odynam ics of a system with a conserved charge (the case of zero tem perature is incorporated as a special case) is determined by the grand canonical partition function

$$Z = e^{(T;V;)} = e^{\frac{v}{T}p(T;)} = Tre^{(\hat{T} \circ \hat{Q})}; \qquad (2.1)$$

where

$$\frac{\overset{\text{D}}{Q}^{\text{E}}}{V} \quad \frac{Q}{V} \quad q = \frac{@p(T;)}{@}: \qquad (2.2)$$

If Q is kept xed instead of , then the therm odynam ical potential to be m in im ized is obtained with a Legendre transform ation:

$$F(T;V;Q) = Vf(T;q) = + Q = V[p+q]:$$
 (2.3)

The relation inverse to eq. (2.2) is then

$$= \frac{\text{@f}(T;q)}{\text{@q}}:$$
(2.4)

G iven the Lagrangian of the system, one can by standard methods [26] derive a Euclidian path integral expression for Z. In a eld theory containing the elds, it is convenient to express the result in terms of an elective action in the usual way. It then follows that

$$p(T;) = (V)^{\perp} []j_{[]=0}:$$
 (2.5)

The relevant extrem um is the minimum, as follows from the general therm odynamical principle that, for xed T; , the other variables take such values that the corresponding free energy is minimized.

In the case of a hom ogeneous extrem um, the problem reduces to an e ective potential. Then

$$p(T;) = V()j_{dV=d=0}$$
: (2.6)

The Legendre transform is  $V_q$  () = V () + q where q = Q V (), and

$$f(T;q) = V_q()j_{dV_q=d=0}$$
 : (2.7)

W ith these tools, the rst three of the questions form ulated above can, in principle, be answered. The rst of the questions is particularly straightforward: one just computes the elective potential for a xed charge,  $V_q$ (), and inspects whether a non-zero value for serves to minimize it or not. If yes, then one can have a Q-m atter condensate.

To address the second question, one can study the standard therm odynam ical stability conditions: these follow from the requirement that the entropy of any subsystem must be at a maximum with respect to local uctuations of temperature, volume, and the number of charged particles. Applied to the present case, it follows that to be stable a medium must satisfy

$$c_{v} = T \frac{\theta^{2} f}{\theta T^{2}} |_{q} > 0; \quad T = \frac{1}{q} \frac{\theta p}{\theta q} |_{T} > 0; \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta q} |_{T} = \frac{\theta^{2} f}{\theta q^{2}} |_{T} > 0: \quad (2.8)$$

The last two conditions are, in fact, equivalent. The condition for  $a_{V}$  is trivially satisfied in a relativistic high temperature system, since the free energy density f is dominated by the term f. Hence essentially only the last condition remains to be inspected.

Let us note that there is an equivalent form ulation for the second question. Indeed, the uctuation matrix around the saddle point used in the evaluation of eq. (2.5) must be positive de nite, from which it follows that

$$Q^2 V () j_{min} > 0$$
: (2.9)

To address the third question, one has to study non-hom ogeneous con gurations in eq. (2.5). To do this in full generality is, obviously, very di cult. However, when one restricts to spherically symmetric con gurations (Q-balls), which is expected to be the relevant case, the problem becomes, to a good approximation, solvable.

F inally, the fourth question is a non-equilibrium consideration, and the tools above do not directly apply. However, the equilibrium limit turns out to give quite strong constraints. As the results depend on the initial non-equilibrium state, we will not attempt a general analysis here, but rather concentrate on the cosm ologically interesting case of Q-ball evaporation in the Early Universe. This will be done in Sec. 5.

## 3 Q-balls at zero and nite tem peratures

It turns out that the rst and second questions formulated in Sec. 2, concerning the existence and stability of Q-m atter, have a simple and transparent answer: a Q-m atter state (Bose-E instein condensate) could in principle exist, but it is unstable and will decay into an inhom ogeneous con guration. We will illustrate this phenom enon in some detail in Sec. 4. In this Section we consider the inhom ogeneous nal state, i.e., Q-balls, in general qualitative term s. Some more details in a speci c model will again be given in Sec. 4.

Let us start by reviewing the properties of Q-balls at zero temperature. Consider a generic eld theory containing scalar elds  $_i$  and fermions  $_i$  with global U (1) charges q<sub>i</sub>. The scalar potential is V (). Complications appearing with the inclusion of gauge elds were discussed in [27] and do not appear in the phenom enologically interesting case of SUSY theories, where at directions are associated with SU (3) SU (2) U (1) singlets. Then, a spherically symmetric Q-ball solution has the form [1]{[3]

$$_{i}(r;t) = \exp(iq_{i}!t)_{i}(r):$$
 (3.1)

The functions i (r) can be found by minimizing the functional

$$E_{!} = \overset{Z}{d^{3}x} \overset{h_{X}}{j} \hat{p}_{i j}(r) \hat{j} + \hat{V}_{!} (); \qquad (3.2)$$

where

$$\hat{V}_{!}() = V() \quad !^{2} \qquad q_{i}^{2} \qquad q_{i}^{2} \qquad (3.3)$$

The frequency ! is related to the total charge of the solution Q as

$$Q = 2! d^{3}x \int_{i}^{X} q_{i}^{2} q_{i}^{2} d^{3}x$$
(3.4)

Consider now the nite temperature case and a large but nite volume V. The therm odynamics of the system is determined by the grand canonical partition function de ned in eq. (2.1).

G iven the Lagrangian of the system, which contains all the elds of the theory, one can derive a Euclidian path integral expression for Z  $\,$ ,

$$Z = D [A ll elds] exp \qquad \begin{array}{c} z & z & ! \\ 0 & d^{3}xL_{E} \end{array}; \qquad (3.5)$$

where  $L_E$  is the ordinary Euclidean action with the replacem ent of the Euclidian time derivative [26]

Note that for scalar elds, the charges come with the opposite signs in  $(2^{y})$ . As usual, bosonic elds are periodic, while ferm ionic elds are anti-periodic on the nite time interval 0 < 1 = 1 = T.

To de ne if there are any non-trivial contributions to the partition function, potentially associated with Q-balls, one can look for the saddle points of the exponential in eq. (3.5). The con gurations that play the most important role at high temperatures are static, i.e., independent of Euclidian time. The static bosonic part of the action has the form Z by V i

$$S = d^{3}x j p_{i j}(r) j + V() \qquad {}^{2}X q_{i i i}^{2} j, \qquad (3.7)$$

precisely the one given by eqs. (3.2), (3.3) with the replacement ! ! . Thus, if the theory at zero temperature has Q-ball solutions with some values of !, these solutions are saddle points of the Euclidian nite temperature and nite density path integral at = !. The relationship between the charge and is now given by eq. (2.2), rather than eq. (3.4): besides Q-balls, at non-zero temperatures charge can be carried by particles outside it, as well.

As is very well known from the study of phase transitions at high tem peratures, the saddle points of the tree-level static bosonic action provide a good approximation only when T M, where M is the typical mass scale of the theory. At T > M, the quantum corrections are large, and must be resummed in some way. Thus one either has to use an approximation for the full elective action [] in eq. (2.5), or one has to use an elective theory where quantum corrections are small. The latter could be constructed for static but space dependent bosonic con gurations, integrating out all fermions, all heavy (mass T) bosons, and the non-zero M atsubara frequencies of light bosons. For static bosonic con gurations, depending on space coordinates only weakly (we will see that this is indeed the case for su ciently large Q-balls), the derivative expansion is most helpful:

$$S_{e} = d^{3}x \int_{j}^{h_{X}} Z_{j}(;T;) \mathcal{P}_{ij}(r) \mathcal{I} + V_{e}(;T;) + :::; \qquad (3.8)$$

where V<sub>e</sub> (;T;) is basically the elective potential of the system at non-zero T and (m ore precisely, V<sub>e</sub> is the contribution to the elective potential from the degrees of freedom that have been integrated out). The elective potential as a function of that been computed in a number of theories and discussed in connection with the question of the in uence of charge density on the symmetry behaviour in a number of papers [28]{ [30]. If the particle m asses in the background of the eld are smaller than the tem perature, then the high tem perature expansion can be used for the construction of the elective action precisely along the lines of R efs. [31]{ [34]. If not (as is in fact for m any of the considerations below), then an elective action incorporating both high and low tem perature behaviour m ust be used. Now, we have at hands enough for a qualitative discussion and order of m agnitude estimates of the properties of SU SY Q-balls at high tem peratures. For this aim we take the wave function normalizations in eq. (3.7) to be unity. As a number of studies [35, 31] show, higher order corrections in the wave function normalizations are not essential num erically.

Let us take some eld representing, in som ewhat bose terms, a gauge-invariant combination of squarks and sleptons in the MSSM, and carrying baryon (and, perhaps, lepton) number (for simplicity, we take the charge q = 1). Speci c examples can be found in [4]. Under the global baryon symmetry, the eld is transformed as

! exp(i ) . Assume that the elective potential is at along this direction as shown in Fig. 1,

$$V()! M^4$$
 (3.9)

at ! 1. Then, at zero tem perature the minimum of the energy at a xed baryonic charge Q 1 corresponds to a time dependent Q-ball solution which can in the limit of a large charge be written as

(r) 
$$\exp(i!t)$$
  
 $(i!t)$   
 $(i!t)$ 

Here

$$R_{Q} \qquad (1=2) M^{1}Q^{1=4}; \quad Q \qquad (1=2) M^{1=4}; \quad R_{Q} \qquad ; \qquad (3.11)$$

and the mass of the solution is

$$M_Q$$
 (4  $p = -3$ )  $M_Q^{3=4}$ : (3.12)

A Q-ball is stable (cannot decay into protons:  $M_Q < m_p Q$ ) if  $Q > 10^{15} (M = 1 \text{ TeV})^4$ .

Let us now see what happens at high tem peratures. The scalar eld couples to the other elds of the MSSM. In the background of this eld a number of particles (e.g. gluons and gluinos) acquire masses m () g, while others do not (e.g. if the Q-ball solution at T = 0 is constructed from squarks only, leptons and sleptons remain m assless since they do not have tree level interactions with squarks). Now, if q > T, massive particles do not contribute to the nite temperature e ective potential just because of the Boltzm ann suppression exp (  $\,$  m ( )=T ), whereas the contribution of the m assless particles is independent and produces just an overall shift of the e ective potential which we discard. At the same time, m() is small near = 0. Thus, the  $N T^4$ , where the coe cient N is related to the point = 0 m oves down as Vdierence between the number of light degrees of freedom at small and large . So, the nite temperature e ective potential has qualitatively the same form as the e ective potential at zero tem perature, with the change  $M^4 ! M (T)^4 M^4 + N T^4$ .

This consideration allows to write down immediately the Q-ball contribution to the therm odynamic potential. Replacing  $M_Q$  !  $F_Q$ , M ! M (T) in eq. (3.12) and using

that  $Q^{1=4}$   $R_Q M$  M = ! M = , we get

$$F_Q$$
 () M (T)  $\frac{M (T)^{1/3}}{3}$ ; (3.13)

with the charge in the Q-ball

$$Q_{Q}() = \frac{M(T)^{4}}{2}$$
: (3.14)

The size of the Q -ball is given by  $R_Q = .$ 

Now, the -dependent part of the therm odynam ic potential has the form

$$(T;V;) = F_Q() \quad C\frac{1}{2} \, {}^{2}T^{2}V;$$
 (3.15)

where the coe cient C is related to the number of light carriers of the baryon charge (such as quarks). The total charge of the system follows from eq. (22),

$$Q_{total} = Q_Q () + C T^2 V$$
: (3.16)

These two equations de ne equilibrium Q-ball properties at high tem peratures.

Let us assume instituate the average charge density  $n_Q$  is nonzero and is investigated, while the volume of the system grows. Then eq. (3.16) admits two solutions. The institute is with a \large" chemical potential  $n_Q = (C T^2)$ . It corresponds to a state where all the charge is carried by particles in the plasm a and Q-balls are not present. Another solution has a \small" chemical potential,

M (T) (
$$n_0 V$$
) <sup>1=4</sup>: (3.17)

It corresponds to a system containing one Q -ball that carries almost all of the charge Q  $_{\rm total}$  =  $n_{\rm Q}\,V$  .

Constructing the Legendre transform of eq. (3.15) according to eq. (2.3), it can now be seen that the latter solution has a smaller free energy at large volum es. Indeed, the Q-ball free energy scales with volum e only as  $F_{\rm Q}$   $V^{3=4}$ , whereas the free energy of the plasm a phase grows as  $F_{\rm plasm\,a}$  V. Thus, we arrive at the conclusion that in theories with at potentials and a xed non-zero density of the charge Q, the ground state of the system always contains just one Q-ball at any tem perature when V  $!\,$  1 .

Let us then take another limit, where the total charge of the system is xed, and we increase its volume. In this case, the average density of the charge decreases as  $n_Q = Q_{total} = V$ . Then, a state with a Q-ball is more favourable than a hom ogeneous distribution of the charge at

$$V < \frac{Q_{\text{total}}^{5=4}}{M(T)T^2}$$
: (3.18)

This inequality allows, for example, an estimate of the temperature  $T_{evap}$  at which an initially cold Q-ball, placed in a volume V, evaporates. It follows from eq. (3.18) that  $T_{evap} = V^{-1=3}Q^{-5=12}$ , provided  $T_{evap} = M$ , and  $T_{evap} = (VM)^{-1=2}Q^{-5=8}$  in the opposite case.

All these results have a simple physical meaning. In agine that we place a Q-ball at zero temperature into a box with the volum eV. Now, let us heat the system gradually without adding any charge to it. Some charge from the Q-ball (Q) will evaporate, and it will create a chemical potential in the surrounding plasma,  $p_{lasma} = Q = (VT^2)$ . The process of Q-ball evaporation will stop when the chemical potential associated with the Q-ball,  $Q = (VT^2)^{1-4}$ , will be equal to  $p_{lasma}$ . If the inequality in eq. (3.18) is satisfied, then one indicates the solution for Q is

$$\frac{Q}{Q} = \frac{M (T)VT^2}{Q^{5=4}} = 1;$$
(3.19)

so that the Q-ball cannot evaporate completely, whereas if eq. (3.18) is not true, then the equation  $p_{\text{lasm a}} = q$  does not have physical solutions with Q Q 1, and therefore, the Q-ball disappears.

As we see, the structure of the ground state is obviously volum e dependent. Thus, the system we consider does not have a standard therm odynam ic limit. The atness of the potential is essential for these conclusions. If  $F_Q = Q^1$  rather than  $F_Q = Q^{3=4}$ , then the standard therm odynam ical limit is well de ned, and Q-balls cease to exist above som e tem perature which is entirely determ ined by the density of the charge and the param eters of the model.

# 4 A model computation

In order to illustrate in more specic terms the issues discussed above, we will in this Section consider in some detail the renorm alizable model introduced in [6] for studying supersymmetric in ation. We inst discuss the stability of Q-matter, and then that of Q-balls, adding numerical coecients to the estimates in Sec. 3.

The model is dened by a superpotential with two complex elds ;:

$$W = \frac{1}{2}f^{2} m^{2}$$
: (4.1)

The parameters  $f;m^2$  can be assumed to be real. We denote  $m^2 = fm^2$ . In the direction of the charged eld, the potential of the model grows only logarithm ically. Let us assume that there are no local symmetries and thus no gauge elds.

We start by writing down the Minkowskian Lagrangian. Let us split to real components, =  $(_1 + i_2) = 2$ , and denote the Weyl spinors corresponding to ; by , . Then

$$L_{M} = 0 \quad 0 \quad + 0 \quad 0 \quad + i \quad 0 \quad + i \quad 0 \quad V(_{1}; _{2}; ) \quad L; (4.2)$$

where

$$V(_{1};_{2};) = \frac{1}{2}m^{2}(_{2}^{2} - _{1}^{2}) + \frac{1}{16}f^{2}(_{1}^{2} + _{2}^{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2}f^{2}(_{1}^{2} + _{2}^{2})jj^{2} + \frac{m^{4}}{f^{2}}; (4.3)$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2}f + f + Hc: \qquad (4.4)$$

This action has a global U (1)-sym m etry,

!; ! 
$$e^{i}$$
; !  $e^{i}$ ;  
!  $e^{i=2}$ ; !  $e^{i=2}$ : (4.5)

The superpotential is not symmetric and therefore the scalar elds and the corresponding fermions transform di erently. The charge corresponding to the symmetry is

$$Q = {}^{2} d^{3}x i( 0^{0} 0^{0} ) + \frac{1}{2} - 0^{0} \frac{1}{2} - 0^{0} ; \qquad (4.6)$$

The theory has also a discrete symmetry, !; !, which how ever does not play any role in the following.

At tree-level at zero tem perature, the ground state of the system is at a broken m inim um : = 0;  $_2 = 0$ ;  $_1^2 = 4m^2 = f^2$ ; V (; ) = 0. There supersymmetry is conserved, and the spectrum consists of four massive scalar degrees of freedom and one D irac ferm ion, all with the same mass, 2m. On the other hand, for large values of j j the m inim um of the potential is at  $_1 = _2 = 0$  and the tree-level potential does not depend on : this is a at direction. A long the at direction, two scalar degrees of freedom and one M a jorana ferm ion remain m assless. The value of the potential along the at direction is  $m^4 = f^2 = m^4$ .

Consider then Z in eq. (2.1). A coording to the standard procedure [26], the Euclidian path integral expression for Z with the charge Q as given in eq. (4.6), is

$$Z = D D D D exp d d3xLE; (4.7)$$

where, denoting now also =  $(_1 + i_2) = \frac{p_-}{2}$ , the Euclidian Lagrangian is

$$L_{E} = \frac{1}{2} ((0_{1})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} ((0_{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (r_{1})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (r_{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} ((0_{1} + 1 - 2)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} ((0_{2} + 1 - 1)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (r_{1})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (r_{2})^{2} + - - 0 - 0 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (r_{2} + 1 - 1)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (r_{2} +$$



Figure 1: The projection of the elective potential on the 1-axis, at different tem peratures and = 0. The tem perature is given in units of the mass scale M  $m_0 = m(m_0)$ . The thick lines indicate the regime where  $h_{11} \in 0$ : this symmetry is restored at  $T = m_0 > 4.0$ . For  $_1 = T < 3.5$ , the elective potential is the one of the 3d elective theory in eqs. (A.16), (A.17), and for  $_1 = T > 2.5$ , the one of the 4d theory in eqs. (A.19), (A.21). The scale of  $_1$  is in both cases what appears in the 3d theory:  $_1 = \frac{4d}{10}(T_T = 4)$ .

Here V (; ), L are from eqs. (4.3), (4.4).

We now wish to consider the functional integral for Z in the background of  $\hat{}_1; \hat{}_1$ , i.e., to compute the elective potentials V  $(\hat{}_1; \hat{}_1), V_q(\hat{}_1; \hat{}_1), de$  ned in eqs. (2.6), (2.7). For , choosing to consider  $_1$  is no restriction, due to the U (1) symmetry. On the other hand, we have  $_2 = 0$  already at the tree-level at zero temperature, so  $_2$  remains at origin for T > 0. In the following, we denote  $\hat{}_1 = _1; \hat{}_1 = _1$ .

The details of the computation of the 1-loop elective potential are discussed in Appendix A.W electronic here the num erical results and their main features. To have a weakly coupled theory, we take f = 0.5.

### 4.1 The stability of Q -m atter

In Fig. 1, the 1-bop e ective potential is shown at = 0. It is seen that for  $T = m_0 > 4$ , the sym m etry is restored ( $_1 = 0$ ) for any  $_1$ . However, irrespective of the tem perature, the elective potential always displays the characteristic form allowing Q -balls: at sm all

 $_1$ , there is a therm alor vacuum mass term, but at large  $_1$ , the potential attens o and grows eventually only logarithm ically.

Consider then the case of a nite charge density. In Figs. 2, 3, the potentials V ( $_1$ ) and its Legendre transform V<sub>q</sub>( $_1$ ) are shown at T=m<sub>0</sub> = 5.0;2.0, respectively. The



Figure 2: Left: The e ective potential V ( $_1$ ) at T=m $_0$  = 5.0, for di erent values of =T.Right: The e ective potential V<sub>q</sub>( $_1$ ) at T=m $_0$  = 5.0, for di erent values of q=T<sup>3</sup>. Each extrem um of V ( $_1$ ) corresponds to an extrem um of V<sub>q</sub>( $_1$ ), as well, as is shown by the symbols. For q=T<sup>3</sup> > (q=T<sup>3</sup>)<sub>c</sub> 0.065, there is a charged condensate:  $h_1i > 0$ .

structure is simpler at  $T = m_0 = 5.0$ , where the  $_1$ -symmetry is restored everywhere and the mass scale  $m_0$  does not have much e ect. Nevertheless, both cases show the same general behaviour. There is a critical charge density  $q_c > 0$ . For  $q < q_c$ , the minimum of  $V_q(_1)$  is at  $_1 = 0$  and the charge is hence carried by particle excitations in a plasm a phase. However, for  $q > q_c$ , a phenom enon analogous with Bose-E instein condensation takes place, and  $_1 > 0$ .

This pattern can be understood already from the tree-level potential of the e ective 3d theory in eq. (A.16). Consider 1st the tem peratures  $T > T_c$  2m = f 4m. Then  $m_1^2 > 0$  according to eq. (A.15), and  $_1 = 0$  for all  $_1$ . The e ective potential V ( $_1$ ) is

V ( 1) 
$$f_{1} T_{1}$$
 const:  $\frac{5}{24} T_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} T_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{$ 

It will be useful to consider a more general expression for the latter term in the coefficient of  $^2_1$ , so let us replace  $f^2T^2=8$ !  $M^2$ . Then the situation boks precisely like relativistic Bose-E instein condensation in a free theory.

To proceed, let us make a Legendre transform ation into  $V_q(_1) = V(_1) + q$ , where  $q = QV(_1)$ :

$$V_{q} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{q^{2}}{(5=12)T^{2} + \frac{2}{1}} + \frac{1}{2} M^{2} \frac{2}{1}:$$
(4.10)



Figure 3: As Fig. 2, but for  $T = m_0 = 2.0$ . The thick lines indicate the regime where the symmetry is broken, h<sub>1</sub>i  $\in$  0. Due to the symmetry breaking, the potentials have more structure than in Fig.2. The critical charge density is here  $(q=T^3)_c = 0.25$ . Note that the (unique) extremum of V (<sub>1</sub>) at <sub>1</sub> > 0 is always a maximum.

W hat then remains is to minimize  $V_q(_1)$  with respect to \_1, for di erent q;T. The main results are as follows. The global minimum is at \_1 = 0 if  $M^{\sim} > (12=5) (q=T^2)$ . Hence, the critical charge density is

$$q_{\rm c} = \frac{5}{12} M^{\circ} T^2$$
: (4.11)

If  $q < q_c$ , then

$$_{1} = 0; \qquad = \frac{12}{5} \frac{q}{T^{2}}; \quad f(T;q) = f(T;0) + \frac{6}{5} \frac{q^{2}}{T^{2}}; \quad @_{1}^{2}V(_{1}) > 0: \qquad (4.12)$$

If  $q > q_c$ , on the other hand, then

$$_{1} = \frac{5 - \frac{q}{q}}{M^{2}}; = M^{2}; \quad f(T;q) = f(T;0) + \frac{6}{5} \frac{q}{T^{2}} (2q - q); \quad (^{2}_{1}V + (1)) = 0; \quad (4.13)$$

Replacing now  $M^2$ !  $f^2T^2=8$ , we see that for any given  $T > T_c$  2m = f, there is a critical charge density

$$\frac{q}{T^{3}} = \frac{5f}{24 2};$$
(4.14)



Figure 4: The free energy density as a function of the charge density for  $T = m_0 = 2.0;5.0$ . For  $T = m_0 > 4$  the symmetry is always restored  $(_1 = 0)$  and the results scale with T; the critical charge density below which  $_1 = 0$ , is  $(q=T^3)_c = 0.065$ . For temperatures  $T = m_0 < 4$ , on the other hand, the symmetry is broken  $(_1 > 0)$  at small  $_1$ , and there is more structure.

below which there is no condensate,  $_1 = 0$ . Note that for  $T > T_c$ , the leading order results in eqs. (4.12), (4.13) do not depend on m at all, and all the dimensionful quantities scale with T. Numerically,  $(q=T^3)_c = 0.07$ , in accordance with Fig.2.

For  $T \leq T_c$ , on the other hand,  $m_1^2 < 0$  and  $\frac{2}{1} > 0$  for sm all 1. The potential in eq. (A.16) must thus rst be minimized with respect to  $\frac{2}{1}$ . After that has been done, the potential V (1) is again of the form in eq. (4.9) for sm all 1, but now with  $M^2 = 2m^2$   $3f^2T^2=8$ . Thus the mass scale m appears in the results. For example,

$$\frac{q}{T^{3}}_{c} = \frac{5}{12} \frac{2m^{2}}{T^{2}} \frac{3f^{2}}{8}; \qquad (4.15)$$

which gives  $(q=T^3)_c = 0.27$  for T=m = 2.0, in agreement with Fig. 3.

To sum m arize, based on free energy considerations alone, one would say that for any tem perature, there is a charge density  $q_c$  such that for  $q > q_c$ , one has a Bose-E instein condensate, or a \Q -m atter" state. For T ! 0,  $q_c$  ! 0 according to eq. (4.15), and the plasm a phase does not exist at all.

Let us then consider whether such a Q-m atter condensate would be stable. Applying the stability conditions in eqs. (2.8), (2.9), one sees that in the tree level case, the plasm a phase [eq. (4.12)] is stable while the situation in the condensate phase [eq. (4.13)] is marginal:  $Q_q^2 f(T;q) = Q_1^2 V(1) = 0$ . Hence the system is stabilized or destabilized through interactions. Adding an interaction of the type ()<sup>2</sup> [29, 30], in fact stabilizes the condensate. On the other hand, it is easy to see that in the present case, the interactions destabilize the condensate!

The fact that the condensate in the present system is unstable, can be observed from Figs. 2, 3. The extremum corresponding to the condensate is always a maximum of V ( $_1$ ), and thus the inequality in eq. (2.9) is violated. Equivalently, one can observe from Fig. 4 that the free energy density f (T;q) has a negative curvature at q > q, which violates the stability condition in eq. (2.8). The negative curvature can be understood also analytically: at large charges, corresponding to large  $_1$ 's,

$$V(_{1}) \stackrel{f_{1}}{=} V(0) + \frac{m^{4}}{f^{2}} 1 \frac{T^{2}}{T_{c}^{2}} (T_{c} T) + \frac{^{2}T^{4}}{24} + \frac{^{2}T^{2}}{48} \frac{1}{2} ^{2} \frac{^{2}T^{2}}{1} + \frac{1}{32^{2}} m^{4} \ln \frac{m^{2}}{-\frac{2}{T}^{2}}; \quad (4.16)$$

from which it follows that

$$f(T;q) \stackrel{q! 1}{=} f(T;0) + \frac{m^4}{f^2} 1 \frac{T^2}{T_c^2} (T_c T) + \frac{2T^4}{24} + \frac{1}{16^2} m^4 \ln \frac{fe^{+1=2}}{P \overline{2}} \frac{q}{m^2 T}$$
(4.17)

Hence  $Q_q^2 f(T;q) < 0$ . We conclude that a (m eta)stable Q-m atter state does not exist in the present system.

Consider then the plasma phase. That the plasma phase at q < q, satis es the stability conditions in eqs. (2.8), (2.9), only proves that such a state is metastable against sm all perturbations. The globalm inim um may still be elsewhere. Consider, in particular, an arrangement where all the charge is moved into a subvolum  $e V^0 = rV$ , with r < 1 (this of course means that there is no chemical equilibrium, but this is not essential for the argument). Let f(T;q) = f(T;q) f(T;0). Then the original free energy density excess with respect to an empty space is f(T;q), while the nalis f(T;q=r)r. Now, for any initial q, f(T;q=r)r! = 0 as r! = 0, since f(T;q=r) grows so only logarithm ically at sm all r, see eq. (4.17). Hence, even if f(q=r)r grows at r < 1 and the original state is thus metastable, f(q=r)r nally starts to decrease for sm all enough r and it is in principle favourable to clum p all the charge together in one place. O fcourse, surface e ects start to be in portant at very sm all r, so to be more precise, one has to inspect the possible nalion guartions in more detail. We thus turn to 0-balls.

### 4.2 The stability of Q -balls

We start by considering the lim it of large tem peratures, T m, and sm all chem ical potentials, T. M oreover, let us subtract the contribution of the hom ogeneous

symmetric plasm a phase, where  $_1 = 0$ . Then it follows from eq. (A 21) that

V (1) V (1) V (0) 
$$\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{2}{}_{1} \stackrel{2}{}_{1} + \frac{T^{4}}{2^{2}} 4J_{0} (M = T) \frac{1}{4} J_{0} (2M = T) ; (4.18)$$

where  $M = f_1 = 2$  and  $J_0$  is from eq. (A 22). Neglecting the change in the derivative term, the extra free energy of any conguration  $_1$  (r) is then given by

$$F = {}^{Z} d^{3}r \frac{1}{2} (@_{i 1})^{2} + V (_{1}) :$$
 (4.19)

The properties of the saddle points of eq. (4.19) are discussed in Appendix B. It follows from eqs. (B.7), (B.8) that in the present model ( $a_V = (2=24)T^4$ ), the Q-ball free energy, charge and radius at T m are given by

$$F_Q = 4:74TQ^{3=4}; Q = 160 \frac{1}{T}; R = \frac{1}{T}; (4.20)$$

We now consider the stability of such Q balls. The other metastable alternative is the hom ogeneous plasm a phase, so we have to compare with f (T;q) in eq. (4.12). Let us write  $F_0 = aTQ^{3=4}$ ,  $Q = b(=T)^{-4}$ .

Consider rst a situation of therm albut not chem ical equilibrium, in which all the charge is in the Q-ball. Then, the Q-ball does not decay into a hom ogeneous plasm a phase, if  $F_{plasm a} = V f_{plasm a}(T;q) > F_Q$ , or

$$\frac{6}{5}a \, {}^{1}Q^{5=4} > V T^{3}:$$
(4.21)

This is the analogue of eq. (3.18), and tells that in a xed volum e, Q -balls will evaporate at large enough tem peratures.

On the other hand, note that what appears on the right-hand side of eq. (4.21) is a comoving volume in units of temperature, which is a constant in the cosm ological context. Thus, in this case, if Q-balls are therm ally stable at some high temperature, they remain stable at least as long as T m. The therm all stability of a distribution of Q-balls can be seen by replacing V by their inverse number density  $n_0^{-1}$ .

Consider then a therm odynam ical equilibrium situation where there is chem ical equilibrium, as well. Some of the charge will now be outside the Q-ball, since there is a non-vanishing chem ical potential. The stability condition becomes

$$\frac{6}{5}\frac{Q^2}{VT^3} > \frac{6}{5}\frac{(Q-Q^0)^2}{VT^3} + a(Q^0)^{3=4};$$
(4.22)

where the Q-ball charge  $Q^0$  is to be solved from an equality following from chemical equilibrium:

$$\frac{1}{T} = \frac{12}{5} \frac{Q}{VT^3} = \frac{Q^0}{b} = \frac{Q^0}{b$$

However, it can easily be seen that when eq. (4.21) is strongly enough satis ed, then the fact that there is chem ical equilibrium does not change the result. Indeed, it can be seen from eq. (4.23) that most of the charge resides in Q-balls in this lim it,

$$\frac{Q^{0}}{Q} = 1 \frac{b^{1=4}}{2a} \frac{VT^{3}}{(6=5)a^{-1}Q^{5=4}} = 1; \qquad (4.24)$$

and Q -balls carry m ost of the free energy associated with the non-zero net charge,

$$\frac{F_{p \, \text{lasm a}}}{F_{Q}} = \frac{b^{1-2}}{4a^{2}} = \frac{V T^{3}}{(6=5)a^{-1}Q^{5=4}} = 1:$$
(4.25)

M oreover, provided that the Q -ball charge is large, Q -balls are sm all in the sense that m ost of the space is in the plasm a phase:

$$\frac{V_{Q}}{V_{p \,\text{lasm a}}} = \frac{(4=3)}{V \,\text{T}^{3}} \left( \frac{R_{Q} \,\text{T}}{9} \right)^{3}}{\frac{9b^{3=4}}{9b^{3=4}}} \frac{10^{-4} \,\text{a}}{V \,\text{T}^{3}} \left( \frac{6=5) \,\text{a}}{V \,\text{T}^{3}} \frac{1}{Q^{1=2}} \right)^{\#} (4.26)$$

if

Q 130 
$$\frac{"(6=5)a^{-1}Q^{5=4}}{VT^{3}}^{\#_2}$$
: (4.27)

Even though chem ical equilibrium does not essentially change the constraint in eq. (4.21), there is an important implication following from eq. (4.23). Noting that the entropy density is  $s = dp=dT = {}^{2}T^{3}=3$ , one gets a simple relation between the charge density in the plasma, and the charge residing in a Q-ball:

$$\frac{q}{s}_{plasma} = \frac{5}{4^2} \frac{1}{T} = \frac{5b^{1-4}}{4^2} (Q^0)^{-1-4} = 0.45 (Q^0)^{-1-4}$$
(4.28)

In the cosm obgical context, this corresponds to a relation between the baryon asym – metry in the plasm a, and the baryon number residing in Q -balls.

Finally, consider what happens at lower tem peratures. The Q-ball free energy will decrease for a while as T, until at T < m = f, the symmetry breaking starts to play a role: eventually T gets replaced by  $m = f^{1=2}$  in eq. (4.20). At the same time, the Q-part of the free energy of the plasm a phase also decreases, initially as  $F_{plasm a}$  (6=5) (Q<sup>2</sup>=VT<sup>3</sup>)T T. This behaviour is valid up to T m =; at sm aller tem peratures one gets the standard non-relativistic ideal gas behaviour  $F_{plasm a}$  QT [lnQ=(VT<sup>3</sup>) + (3=2) ln(T=m)] T. Hence the plasm a phase free energy decreases faster than  $F_Q$  and a therm alized Q-ball in a comoving volume becomes more unstable at sm aller tem peratures.

The considerations above concern an equilibrium situation. There are also other relevant questions, such as, assuming that one is trapped in a metastable hom ogeneous plasm a phase and Q-balls would be stable, what is the transition rate? Some progress in addressing this question has been reported in [36], but we will not consider it here. In the next Section we consider the opposite limit: taking a Q-ball which is unstable, at which rate does it decay into the plasm a phase?

## 5 Baryon to cold dark matter ratio

Consider Q-balls in the cosm obgical context. In [8] it was shown that Q-balls with large charges can be produced in the Early Universe. The general idea is that in the AD scenario for baryogenesis, an uniform condensate of the scalar eld, carrying baryon number, is formed as a result of in ation, CP-violation and baryon number non-conservation [12]. This AD condensate is nothing but Q-m atter, described by an uniform scalar eld with a time dependent phase. As we have seen, Q-m atter is unstable against Q-ball formation; an analysis of the typical instability scales shows that charges of the order of magnitude Q >  $10^{20}$  can be easily formed (and do not violate experimental constraints [37]). In [8] it was suggested that these stable Q-balls can play the role of the cold dark matter. The ordinary, baryonic matter in the Universe come from the same source. Moreover, dark matter is in fact baryonic in this case, but baryons exist in the form of SUSY Q-balls which do not participate in nucleosynthesis. In this scenario the ratio of baryonic to cold dark matter can be computed and the aim of this Section is to make such an estimate.

We assume that after the instability has taken place, the Universe is lled with baryonic Q-balls with charge<sup>3</sup> Q and number density  $n_Q$ , surrounded by a hot plasm a at temperature T. We will also assume that only a negligible part of the baryonic charge initially exists in the plasm a. Some arguments if favour of this assumption were put forward in [25], but its complete check would require the solution of the non-linear problem of Q-balls formation. In the following, we completely neglect the process of merging of two Q-balls, since their concentration is so small that the probability of their collision is absolutely negligible, as can be checked a posteriori.

As a starting point, let us consider what the therm odynam ical equilibrium state corresponding to these initial conditions would be. Then we will argue that in fact only therm al equilibrium can be established in practice, while chem ical equilibrium with respect to baryon charge is not reached with these initial conditions.

If there is full therm odynam ical equilibrium, then it follows from eq. (4.23) that already at high temperatures, the baryon to photon ratio in the plasm a phase would be

$$=\frac{n_{\rm B}}{n}$$
 Q<sup>1=4</sup>: (5.1)

In full them al equilibrium Q -balls become more unstable at lower temperatures, see Sec. 4.2, so that with the experimental number  $10^{10}$ , eq. (5.1) would imply the lower bound Q >  $10^{40}$ . On the other hand, if Q -balls account for a fraction  $_{\rm DM}$  of the

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ As is shown in [8], the instability of an AD condensate occurs in a narrow range of scales, and thus the Q-ball charge distribution is likely to be narrow, as well.

dark matter, then their present number density is  $n_{\rm Q}^{(0)}= \ _{\rm Q}^{(0)}=M_{\rm Q}$  , where

$$^{(0)}_{Q}$$
 10  $^{5}_{DM}$  m  $_{p}$  cm  $^{3}$ ; (5.2)

and m  $_{\rm p}$  is the proton m ass. It follows that

$$n_Q^{1}T^{3} = 3 \qquad 1 \log \frac{1}{m_P} \frac{Q^{3=4}}{DM};$$
 (5.3)

where g is the num ber of massless degrees of freedom. If, for instance, g 200;  $_{DM}$  0:4;Q  $10^{40}$  and M (1:::10) TeV, then  $n_{Q}^{-1}T^{-3}$   $10^{9}$  :::10<sup>40</sup>. Comparing now with the LHS of eq. (4.21), it is seen that such a density of Q-balls would indeed be therm odynam ically stable against evaporation into the plasm a phase. How ever, it is not clear how Q-balls with charges as large as  $10^{40}$  could be produced, and whether they would indeed reach therm odynam ical equilibrium in the cosm ological environment.

Now we are going to take into account the expansion of the Universe. First of all, the intrinsic temperature of Q-balls may be dimension of the temperature of the surrounding plasma, if the system is not fully thermalized. Then Q-balls are heated up because of collisions with particles from the plasma. The energy transfer to the scalar particles in the condensate from which the Q-ball is built, has been estimated in [8] (we assume that all coupling constants are of order unity),

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = R_Q^2 T^3 n R E = \hat{T} (\frac{T}{M})^3 Q^{1=4}:$$
 (5.4)

Here  $R_Q^2 T^3$  counts the initial ux of particles in the plasma on the Q-ball, E ! gives the energy transfer to a scalar particle in the condensate, R  $T=M^2$  gives the thickness of the Q-ball layer in which plasma particles can penetrate (due to m < T), n  $^2$ !  $T^2M Q$   $^{1=4}$  is the charged scalar particle number density in this layer [eq. (3.4)], 1=(!T) is the typical cross-section<sup>5</sup> of the interaction of an energetic particle from the plasma with a condensate scalar with a small energy !, and we assumed T M. The estimate in eq. (5.4) contains only the e ect of particles interacting strongly (at tree-level) with the condensate, so that it should represent a lower bound of the therm alization rate.

The energy transfer is most e cient at high tem peratures. Requiring that the change of Q-ball free energy is of the order of its equilibrium value  $F = TQ^{3=4}$ , we not that a zero-tem perature Q-ball can be therm alized if the initial tem perature of the plasm a satisfies the requirement

$$\Gamma_{in} > M = \frac{M}{M_0} Q^{1=4};$$
 (5.5)

 $<sup>^4\</sup>text{To}$  illustrate this number density in cosm ological units:  $n_Q^{1=3}$   $~10~^2\text{R}_H^{\text{ew}}$ , where  $\text{R}_H^{\text{ew}}$  is the horizon radius at the electroweak scale T ~100~GeV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In [8] this cross-section was incorrectly taken to be  $1=T^2$ . A lso, the estimate of the transmitted energy E contains a misprint: the power 2 must be omitted from the corresponding expression.

where  $M_0 = (0.30 = \frac{p}{g})m_{P1}$  3  $10^7$  GeV. For typical values of M 1 10 TeV and Q  $10^{20}$ ,  $T_{in} > 1$ ::: $10^4$  GeV, which is true form ost in ationary models.

Next, we would like to determ ine the amount of baryons which evaporated from them alized Q-balls. From the discussion in Sec. 3, we know that we can associate with Q-balls a chemical potential M (T)Q  $^{1=4}$ . In the case that the surrounding plasm a has the same chemical potential, Q-balls are in chemical equilibrium and their charge does not change. In other words, the rate of their evaporation coincides with the rate of charge accretion on the Q-ball. The upper limit on the accretion can be easily computed: the rate of accretion cannot be larger than the total baryonic ux through the Q-ball surface. So,

$$\frac{\mathrm{dQ}}{\mathrm{dt}} = D \left( \begin{smallmatrix} Q \\ Q \end{smallmatrix} \right) T^{2} 4 R_{Q}^{2} \stackrel{\text{plasm a}}{\longrightarrow} O \frac{T^{2} Q^{1=4}}{M (T)}; \qquad (5.6)$$

where the coe cient D < 1 shows the deviation of the real evaporation rate from the upper bound. At T > m (m is the mass of squarks, suppressed by some coupling constants with respect to M), the evaporation rate must be parametrically the same as the upper bound, since the scalar particles building up the Q-ball appear with a large number density  $T^3$  also in the plasm a phase<sup>6</sup>. Hence, the rate of Q-ball evaporation in a plasm a with a vanishing chem ical potential ( $_{plasm a} = 0$ ) at T > m is given by eq. (5.6) with D 1. At T < m, the charge in the plasm a sits mainly in light ferm ions; the probability of squark emission from a Q-ball is suppressed by the Boltzm ann exponent exp (m = T). Therefore, the emission of heavy squarks from the Q-ball surface can be neglected. The main process which is allowed is squark-squark ! qq. It may occur through gluino exchange with a transition into two quarks,  $=m^2$  (see also a similar discussion in [38]), where cross-section of the order of is some combination of the coupling constants. Thus, we expect D  $T^2 = m^2$  at

By integrating eq. (5.6) (we assume a radiation dom insted Universe) we do that Q-ballevaporation is negligible at T < m (D is essentially replaced by in eq. (5.7)). The charge  $Q_T$  of a Q-ball at T m is related to its initial charge  $Q_0$  as

$$Q_{T} = Q_{0} = 1 = D \frac{M_{0}}{M_{0} Q_{0}^{3=4}} \ln(\frac{M}{m})^{\frac{1}{4}=3}$$
: (5.7)

Most of the charge is evaporated at low temperatures near T m.

From eq. (5.7) we conclude that Q -balls survive evaporation if their initial charge is

$$Q_0 > D \frac{M_0}{M} \ln (\frac{M}{m})^{\frac{1}{4}=3}$$
: (5.8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In case som e of the Lagrangian squark m ass param eters are sm allor negative, this regime extends down to the critical tem perature of the electrow eak phase transition.

If, for example, M 1 TeV, then all Q-balls with  $Q_0 > 10^{20}$  stay till the present time. It is interesting to note that these values of Q are naturally produced from the decay of an AD condensate.

Now, if eq. (5.8) is satisfied, then the amount of charge evaporated from an individual Q-ball is of the order of

Q 
$$D \frac{M_0}{M} \ln (\frac{M}{m}) Q_0^{1=4}$$
: (5.9)

T hus, the num ber density of baryons  $n_B\,$  in the plasm a is related to the num ber density of Q-balls  $n_0\,$  as

$$n_{\rm B} \quad n_{\rm Q} \quad Q:$$
 (5.10)

U sing then  $n_Q$  from eq. (5.3) together with the entropy density s (2=45)g  ${}^2T^3$ , and assuming that Q-balls give all the cold dark matter in the Universe with  $_{DM}$  0:4, the baryon to photon ratio is computable:

$$= \frac{n_{\rm B}}{n} \qquad 10 \ \frac{{}^8 \frac{M_0 m_{\rm p}}{M_2 Q_0^{1=2}} \ln\left(\frac{M}{m}\right): \tag{5.11}$$

The correct ratio  $10^{10}$  appears quite naturally with the choice of, e.g., M  $1 \ 10$ TeV and a corresponding initial charge  $Q_0 \qquad 10^{28} \qquad 10^{22}$ . These values are quite plausible from the mechanism of Q-ball form ation.

Since Q-balls interact very rarely, they should not take part in light element nucleosynthesis. From the point of view of structure formation, they are completely equivalent to weakly interacting cold dark matter. They thus behave in a somewhat sim ilar way as quark nuggets [39].

### 6 Conclusions

Supersymmetric non-topological solitons in theories with at directions of the elective potential have a number of interesting properties at high temperatures. Provided that their charge is large enough, Q-balls can exist at any temperature. Unlike for \classic" Q-balls with M<sub>Q</sub>  $Q^1$ , the ground state of a system with a nite density of the charge is not translationally invariant and contains Q-balls. The state of Q-matter (Bose Einstein condensate) appears to be always unstable and it decays into Q-balls.

These properties have interesting implications for cosmology. Depending on the mechanism of Q-ball form ation, therm all and chem ical equilibrium may or may not be reached. If it is reached, an equilibrium distribution of Q-balls with charges Q >  $10^{40}$  could account for both the net baryon asymmetry needed for nucleosynthesis, and for the cold dark matter. In this picture, the dark matter is in fact baryonic, with the baryon charge distributed between ordinary baryons with  $n_B = n$  10<sup>-10</sup>, and squarks packed inside dark matter Q-balls.

On the other hand, for charges Q  $16^{2}$  ::: $10^{28}$  which are more likely from the point of view of Q-ball form ation, only therm alequilibrium is reached. But even then, it turns out that the right orders of magnitude for the baryon asymmetry in ordinary baryons and for the dark matter in Q-balls, can be reached provided that supersymmetry is broken at a low energy scale M 1:::10 TeV. Thus Q-balls represent an appealing candidate for the cold dark matter, o ering a new picture in which the origin of ordinary baryons and the dark matter is the same.

### A cknow ledgem ents

W e are grateful to A . K usenko for useful discussions.

# Appendix A: A modele ective potential

In this appendix, we derive the 1-bop e ective potential of the theory considered in Sec. 4 for given ; T, both at sm all and large values of the elds. These two cases have to be treated separately, as the theory requires a resummation for sm all values of the elds.

At 1-bop level, one has to consider renorm alization. To be speci c, the running of the elds and parameters is at 1-bop level determ ined by

$$-\frac{d}{dr}f^{2} = \frac{5}{16^{2}}f^{4}; \quad -\frac{d}{dr}m^{2} = \frac{3}{16^{2}}f^{2}m^{2}; \quad (A.1)$$

$$-\frac{d}{d} = \frac{2}{16^2}f^2 ; -\frac{d}{d} = \frac{1}{16^2}f^2 : (A 2)$$

Here , denote the renorm alized elds squared appearing in the Lagrangian, and not any composite operators. As a result, the parameters and elds at scale – are

() (
$$_{0}$$
)  $\frac{-!}{-}_{0}^{!}\frac{2f^{2}}{16^{2}}$ ; (() ( $_{0}$ )  $\frac{-!}{-}_{0}^{!}\frac{f^{2}}{16^{2}}$ ; (A.3)

$$mr^{2}(\neg) = mr^{2}(\neg_{0}) = \frac{-\frac{1}{3} \frac{3f^{2}}{16^{2}}}{-\frac{1}{6}}; f^{2}(\neg) = \frac{16^{2}}{5\ln(-\neg)};$$
 (A.4)

where  $= -_{0} \exp [16^{2} = 5f^{2}(-_{0})]$ .

The theory can then be de ned in terms of two parameters, the values of  $\mathfrak{m}^2(_0)$ ,  $f(_0)$ , at some value  $_0$  of the  $\overline{MS}$  scale parameter. We choose  $_0$   $\mathfrak{m}_0$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ . Then all the dimensionful quantities, such as the temperature T, are measured in units of  $\mathfrak{m}_0$ , and the results only depend on  $f(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ . To have a weakly coupled theory up to very high scales, we arbitrarily choose  $f(\mathfrak{m}_0) = 0.5$ .

The most convenient way to implement the resummation needed at small values of the elds, is to construct an elective 3d theory describing the thermodynamics [31]{ [34]. On the other hand, for large values of , no resummation is needed and the elective 3d theory is not valid any more. Then one can use the standard 4d nite temperature 1-bop potential. Let us discuss the 3d theory rst.

### A.1 The 3d e ective theory and V (;) at sm all;

Let us denote

$$M = \frac{1}{2} f_{1}; M = \frac{1}{2} f_{1}:$$
 (A.5)

The elective theory constructed is valid for M ; M T, and implements the resummation needed in the regime M ; < fT.

The derivation of the elective theory proceeds by computing the n-point functions in the original theory and in the elective theory, and by matching the parameters of the elective theory such that the original results are reproduced. In the present context, one has to consider the 2-point functions of the bosonic elds at non-zero momenta to get the eld normalizations, and the 2- and 4-point functions at zero momenta. The

eld norm alizations arise from ferm ionic loops alone. The zero-m om entum correlators arise both from bosonic and ferm ionic loops, and the most convenient way of deriving them is the elective potential, expanded in terms of masses to the required order. We will also expand in , assuming T, although this would not be necessary. We then assume that parametrically f; f; fT and work at 1-loop level to order f<sup>4</sup>. Thus we need the rst ve terms (up to B<sup>4</sup>) from the expansion

$$\frac{1}{2}\ln\det(A + B) = \frac{1}{2}\ln\det A + \frac{x^{2}}{n=1} \frac{(1)^{n+1}}{2n} \operatorname{Tr}(A^{1}B)^{n}: \quad (A.6)$$

In the bosonic case, the matrices are

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{p^2} \operatorname{diag}(1;1;1;1);$$

$$B^{-1} = \frac{1}{p^$$

where  $p^2 = p_0^2 + p^2$  and  $p_0$  is a bosonic M atsubara frequency. In the ferm ionic case,

$$A^{1} = \frac{1}{p^{2}} \qquad \stackrel{ip}{0} \qquad \stackrel{i}{ip} \qquad B = \qquad \frac{1}{2} \qquad \stackrel{o}{0} \qquad M \qquad \frac{1}{2} \qquad 0 \qquad S$$

The ferm ionic matrices have been written in the basis of the Majorana ferm ions

where we used the standard notation of Ref. [40]. There is an overall m inus sign in eq. (A.6) for ferm ions, and only even powers of B contribute due to the momentum integration.

The basic integrals appearing in the evaluation of the traces are

$$\frac{Z}{P} \frac{1}{p_{b}} \frac{1}{p^{2}} = \frac{T^{2}}{12}; \quad \frac{Z}{P} \frac{1}{(p^{2})^{2}} = \frac{1}{16^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{b}} + L_{b}(-); \quad \frac{Z}{P} \frac{1}{(p^{2})^{3}} = \frac{(3)}{128^{4}T^{2}}; \quad (A.10)$$

$$\frac{Z}{P} \frac{1}{1} = \frac{T^{2}}{T^{2}} \frac{Z}{P} \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} = \frac{Z}{P} \frac{1}{T^{2}} \frac{1}{T^{2}}$$

$$\frac{1}{p_{\rm f}} \frac{1}{p^2} = \frac{T^2}{24}; \quad \frac{P}{p_{\rm f}} \frac{1}{(p^2)^2} = \frac{1}{16^2} \frac{1}{p_{\rm f}} + L_{\rm f}(-); \quad \frac{P}{p_{\rm f}} \frac{1}{(p^2)^3} = \frac{7}{128} \frac{(3)}{4T^2}; \quad (A.11)$$

where  $p_b; p_f$  are the bosonic and ferm ionic M atsubara m om enta, a prim e m eans that the zero M atsubara m ode is om itted, and

$$L_{b}(\bar{}) = 2 \ln \frac{-}{T}; \quad L_{f}(\bar{}) = 2 \ln \frac{4}{T}; \quad T_{T} = 4 \text{ e } T:$$
 (A.12)

The other integrals appearing, of the form  ${}^{R}(p_{0}^{2})^{n} = (p^{2})^{m}$ , can be derived from those in eq. (A .11) by taking derivatives with respect to T.

As a result, the 3d nite tem perature e ective theory describing the therm odynam ics of the original 4d theory is de ned by the action

$$S_{3d} = \frac{V}{T} \frac{2}{12} T^{4} \frac{5}{24} 2T^{2} + \frac{7}{192^{2}} 4 + \frac{m^{4} (T_{T})}{f^{2} (T_{T})}^{\#} + \frac{d^{3}x}{2} \frac{1}{2} (r_{1})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (r_{2})^{2} + (r_{1}) (r_{1}) + \frac{1}{2} m_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} m_{2}^{2} \frac{2}{2} + m^{2} + \frac{1}{4} (\frac{2}{1} + \frac{2}{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} m (\frac{2}{1} + \frac{2}{2}) + (r_{1})^{2} :$$
 (A.13)

Here the elds are related to the 4d elds by

$$()_{3d} = \frac{1}{T} ()_{4d} \frac{1}{4}_{T}$$
;  $()_{3d} = \frac{1}{T} ()_{4d} \frac{1}{4}_{T}$ ; (A.14)

The param eters are given by

$$m_1^2 = m^2 2_{T}^{4=3-} \frac{f^2 2}{16^2} + \frac{f^2 T^2}{4};$$

$$m_{2}^{2} = m^{2} 2^{4=3} \frac{f^{2}}{r} \frac{f^{2}}{16^{2}} + \frac{f^{2}T^{2}}{4};$$

$$m^{2} = 2^{1} \frac{f^{2}}{16^{2}} + \frac{f^{2}T^{2}}{8};$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}Tf^{2} 2^{8=5} \frac{r}{r}; \quad m = Tf^{2} 2^{2=5} \frac{r}{r}; \quad m = T\frac{f^{4}}{8} \ln 2; \quad (A.15)$$

Note, in particular, that around the two \symmetry restoring" temperatures  $[T_c = 2m = f where m_1^2 = 0, and T_c = 2\frac{p}{2} = f where m^2 = 0]$ , one has T fm; g for a small coupling f, so that the construction of the elective theory is well convergent.

To go further with resummed perturbation theory, one can compute the e ective potential in the theory de ned by the action in eq. (A .13). The general pattern can be seen already from the tree-level potential,

$$V_{\text{tree}} = \text{const:} + \frac{1}{2}m_{1}^{2} \frac{2}{1} + \frac{1}{2}m_{1}^{2} \frac{2}{1} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{4}{1} + \frac{1}{4}m_{1}^{2} \frac{2}{1} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{4}{1} + \frac{1}{4}m_{1}^{2} \frac{2}{1} + \frac{1}{4}m_{1}^{4} + \frac{1}{4}m_{1}$$

This tree-level potential of the 3d theory corresponds to the dom inant therm alscreening contributions in the 4d 1-loop potential. The 3d 1-loop correction is

$$V_{1 \text{ loop}} = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=2;2;}^{X} m_{i}^{3};$$
 (A.17)

where

$$m_{2}^{2} = m_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} m_{1}^{2}; m_{2}^{2} = m^{2} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} m_{1}^{2};$$

$$m_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} m_{1}^{2} + m_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2} + m_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}; m_{1}^{2} + 4m^{2};$$

$$m_{1}^{2} = m_{1}^{2} + 3 m_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} m_{1}^{2}; m_{1}^{2} = m^{2} + 3 m_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} m_{1}^{2};$$

$$m_{1}^{2} = m_{1}^{2} + 3 m_{1}^{2}; m_{1}^{2} = m^{2} + 3 m_{1}^{2};$$
(A.18)

The 2-loop corrections can also be readily computed, but they do not a ect our conclusions.

#### A 2 The e ective potential V (; ) at large

At large eld values,  $M \ge T$ , the 3d e ective theory breaks down. Then one needs the full nite tem perature 1-loop e ective potential, but on the other hand resum m ation is not needed. M oreover, the \_1-sym m etry is restored, M = 0. We assume also that the chem ical potential is small, M, which is well justi ed in the region considered.

The tree-level potential for M = 0 is

$$V_{\text{tree}} = \frac{\text{mr}^{4}(\Bar{)}}{f^{2}(\Bar{)}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{1} \frac{2}{1}$$
 (A.19)

The mass spectrum entering the 1-bop potential consists of two massless scalar degrees of freedom  $_1$ ;  $_2$  and one massless Majorana spinor  $\sim$ , together with the massive scalar particles  $_1$ ;  $_2$  and the Majorana ferm ion  $\sim$ . The masses are

$$m = M$$
;  $m_{1}^{2} = m^{2} + m^{2}$ ;  $m_{2}^{2} = m^{2} + m^{2}$ : (A 20)

To order  $(=M)^2$ , the renorm alized 1-loop contribution is then

$$V_{1 \text{ loop}} = 2 1 + \frac{7}{8} \frac{{}^{2}\text{T}^{4}}{90} \frac{9}{48} {}^{2}\text{T}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{x}{{}^{i}_{i=1;2}} \frac{m^{4}_{i}}{64^{-2}} \ln \frac{-2}{m^{2}_{i}} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{T^{4}}{2^{-2}} J_{0} (\text{m}_{i}=\text{T})$$

$$+ (2)^{4} \frac{m^{4}}{64^{-2}} \ln \frac{-2}{m^{2}} + \frac{3}{2}^{\text{A}} + \frac{T^{4}}{2^{-2}} \frac{1}{8} J_{0} (2\text{m} =\text{T}) J_{0} (\text{m} =\text{T})^{-5}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{2}^{4} \frac{m^{2}}{16^{-2}} \ln \frac{-2}{m^{2}} + \frac{T^{2}}{2^{-2}} \frac{1}{2} I_{0} (2\text{m} =\text{T}) J_{0} (\text{m} =\text{T})^{-5} : (A 21)$$

#

The integrals appearing here are

$$J_{0}(y) = \int_{-0}^{Z_{1}} dx x^{2} \ln 1 e^{p \frac{x^{2} + y^{2}}{x^{2} + y^{2}}}; \qquad (A.22)$$

$$I_{0}(y) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \frac{x^{2}}{x^{2} + y^{2}} \frac{p}{e} \frac{1}{x^{2} + y^{2}}$$
(A 23)

In the regime M < T, the convergence of the 1-loop potential can be improved by a resummation:

$$V_{1 \ loop}^{0} = V_{1 \ loop} + \frac{X}{\sum_{i=1,2}^{T} \frac{T}{12}} m_{i}^{3} \overline{m}_{i}^{3}$$
; (A.24)

where  $\overline{m}_{i}^{2} = m_{i}^{2} + f^{2}T^{2} = 4$ .

# Appendix B: Q-ball properties

In this appendix, we brie y review the properties of Q-balls in theories with at directions. The high tem perature lim it of Q-balls in the theory considered in Sec. 4 follows as a special case.

Consider the action for the length  $_1$  of the complex U (1) scalar eld (the extrem um solutions considered do not depend on the phase of ),

$$F = {}^{Z} d^{3}r \frac{1}{2} (@_{i 1})^{2} \frac{1}{2} {}^{2} {}^{2} {}^{1}_{1} + V (_{1}) : \qquad (B.1)$$

Here it has been assumed that the derivative part of the elective action remains the same as at tree-level and only the potential changes. The potential is assumed to be normalized such that V (0) = 0. Let us scale eq. (B.1) into a dimensionless form by V ( $_1$ ) =  $a_V g(_{\gamma})$ ,  $_1$  =  $a \sim$ ;  $p = a \sim$ ;  $r = a_R r$ ;  $F = a_F F$ ;  $Q = a_Q Q$ , with  $a = P \frac{1}{a_V} = a$ ;  $a_R = a = P \frac{1}{a_V}$ ;  $a_F = a^3 = P \frac{1}{a_V}$ ;  $a_Q = a^4 = a_V$ . The scaling of V ( $_1$ ) is chosen so that g (0) = 0; g(1) = 1. It then follows that the spherically symmetric extrem a of the action in eq. (B.1), required by eq. (2.5), satisfy

$$\frac{d^2 \sim}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} = -\frac{2}{r} \sim + g^0(\sim); \quad \sim^0(0) = 0; \quad \sim(1) = 0:$$
(B.2)

The main properties of the solution of eq. (B 2) for small ~ are familiar from the zero temperature context, and do not depend at all on the functional form of  $g(\sim)$  at small ~. To see this, assume that the solution scales so that  $F^{\sim} = C \sim \dots$  Then

$$Q' = \sim {}^{Z} d^{3} \mathfrak{r} \sim^{2} = \frac{\mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{F}}{\mathfrak{g} \sim} = C \sim {}^{1}; \qquad (B.3)$$

and

$$F_Q = F + \sim Q = (1 + 1) (C)^{\frac{1}{1+}} Q^{\frac{1}{1+}} :$$
 (B.4)

The parameters ; C can now be easily derived. At large  $\sim$  (sm all r), the potential  $g(\sim)$  equals unity, and the equations of motion can be solved analytically:

$$\sim (\mathbf{r}) = \sim_0 \frac{\sin \sim \mathbf{r}}{\sim \mathbf{r}} : \tag{B.5}$$

The scale of  $\sim_0$  is determined by when  $(1=2)^{\sim^2} \sim^2$  compensates for the unity in g(~). It follows that  $\sim_0 \sim 1^{\circ}$ . The radius of the Q-ball is determined by  $\sim (\mathbb{R}^{\circ}) = 1$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{R}^{\circ} \sim 1^{\circ}$ . Thus Z

$$Q = \sim d^3 r c^2 - R^3 c_0^2 - c_1^4;$$
 (B.6)

and it follows from eq. (B.3) that = 3. To determ ine the constant C, note that  $g(=\sim)$ ! () with (0) = 0, when  $\sim$ ! 0. Eq. (B.2) can then be integrated from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and one nds  $\gamma_0 = \frac{100}{2} = \sim$ . One then gets from eqs. (B.3), (B.5) that  $C = 4^{-4}=3$ .

We can now go back to the original dimension ful units, to nd that

$$R = (1 = \frac{p}{2})a_{V}^{1=4}Q^{1=4}; \quad max = a_{V}^{1=4}Q^{1=4}; \quad R = ; \quad (B.7)$$

$$F_Q = (4 \quad 2=3)a_V^{1=4}Q^{3=4}; \quad Q = 4 \quad a_V \quad 4:$$
 (B.8)

These are in accordance with eqs. (3.11), (3.12), where  $a_V = M^4$ . In the model of Sec. 4 at very high tem peratures,  $a_V = (2 = 24)T^4$ .



Figure 5: The free energy F' and the charge Q' as a function of the scaled chemical potential ~ (denoted by  $F^{0}; Q^{0}; {}^{0}$  in this gure) for the Q-ball solution, in the lim it of high temperatures and a small chemical potential. The extrapolated constant at ~ ! 0 is C 129:87 (1), in accordance with the analytic result C = 4 <sup>4</sup>=3. The slopes are such that  $F' = C \sim {}^{3}(1 - 2:1 \sim), Q' = 3C \sim {}^{4}(1 - 1:4 \sim)$ .

Finally, note that in contrast to the leading term s, the rst corrections to the asym ptotic behaviour in eqs. (B.7), (B.8) depend on the detailed form of the potential  $g(\sim)$ . As an example, consider the model in Sec. 4. W ith  $a_V = (2^{2}=24)T^{4}$  and choosing  $\sim = M = T = f_{1} = (2T)$  a  $1_{1}$ , we get

$$g(\sim) = 1 + \frac{48}{4} J_0(\sim) - \frac{3}{4} J_0(2\sim)$$
: (B.9)

Here  $J_0$  is from eq. (A 22). The num erical values follow ing from the solution of eq. (B 2) with this g(~) are shown in Fig.5. Since ~ = (a =  $pa_V$ ) = (4 3=f) (=T), it is seen from Fig.5 that the asymptotic estimates in eqs. (B.7), (B.8) are reliable within 10% at least up to ~ < 0.05, or =T < 0.02f. This corresponds to charges Q >  $10^9 f^4$ .

#### References

- [1] R.Friedberg, T.D. Lee and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. D 13 (1976) 2739.
- [2] G.Rosen, J.M ath. Phys. 9 (1968) 996; ibid. 9 (1968) 999.
- [3] S.Coleman, Nucl. Phys. B 262 (1985) 263.

- [4] F.Buccella, J.P.D erendinger, S.Ferrara and C.A.Savoy, Phys.Lett.B 115 (1982) 375; I.A. eck, M.D ine and N.Seiberg, Nucl. Phys.B 241 (1984) 493; Nucl. Phys. B 256 (1985) 557; M.A.Luty and W. Taylor, Phys.Rev.D 53 (1996) 3399; M.D ine, L.Randalland S.Thom as, Nucl. Phys.B 458 (1996) 291; T.G herghetta, C.K olda and S.P.M artin, Nucl. Phys.B 468 (1996) 37.
- [5] S. D in opoulos, M. D ine, S. Raby, S. Thom as and J.D. W ells, Nucl. Phys. A (Proc. Suppl.) 52 (1997) 38; G.F. G iudice and R. Rattazzi, CERN-TH-97-380 [hep-ph/9801271]; and references therein.
- [6] G.Dvali, Q.Sha and R.Schaefer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 1886; G.Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 387 (1996) 471.
- [7] A.deGouvêa, T.Moroiand H.Murayama, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 1281.
- [8] A.Kusenko and M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B 418 (1998) 46 [hep-ph/9709492].
- [9] A.Kusenko, Phys. Lett. B 405 (1997) 108 [hep-ph/9704273].
- [10] A.Kusenko, Phys. Lett. B 404 (1997) 285 [hep-th/9704073].
- [11] G. Dvali, A. Kusenko and M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B 417 (1998) 99 [hepph/9707423].
- [12] I.A eck and M.Dine, Nucl. Phys. B 249 (1985) 361.
- [13] A.Kusenko, V.Kuzmin, M.Shaposhnikov and P.G.Tinyakov, CERN-TH-97-346 [hep-ph/9712212].
- [14] A.Cohen, S.Coleman, H.Georgiand A.Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B 272 (1986) 301.
- [15] A M. Sa an, S. Colem an and M. Axenides, Nucl. Phys. B 297 (1988) 498.
- [16] T.D.Lee and Y.Pang, Phys. Rept. 221 (1992) 251, and references therein.
- [17] JA.Frieman, GB.Gelmini, M.Gleiserand EW.Kolb, Phys.Rev.Lett. 60 (1988) 2101; K.Griest, EW.Kolb and A.Massarotti, Phys.Rev.D 40 (1989) 3529.
- [18] J. Ellis, K. Enqvist, D.V. Nanopoulos and K A. Olive, Phys. Lett. B 225 (1989) 313.
- [19] K.Griest and EW.Kolb, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 3231.
- [20] J.A. Friem an, A.V. Olinto, M.Gleiser and C.Alcock, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 3241.
- [21] K M . Benson and L M . W idrow, Nucl. Phys. B 353 (1991) 187.

- [22] M. Axenides, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 7 (1992) 7169.
- [23] A.Kusenko, Phys. Lett. B 406 (1997) 26 [hep-ph/9705361].
- [24] K. Enqvist and J. M cD onald, hep-ph/9711514.
- [25] K. Enquist and J.M dD onald, hep-ph/9803380.
- [26] J.I.K apusta, Finite-tem perature Field Theory (Cambridge University Press, 1989).
- [27] A. Kusenko, M. Shaposhnikov and P.G. Tinyakov, JETP Lett. 67 (1998) 229 [hep-th/9801041].
- [28] A.D.Linde, Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 3345.
- [29] J.I.Kapusta, Phys. Rev. D 24 (1981) 426; H E. Haber and H A.Weldon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46 (1981) 1497; Phys. Rev. D 25 (1982) 502.
- [30] J. Bernstein and S. Dodelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 683; K M. Benson, J. Bernstein and S. Dodelson, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 2480.
- [31] K.Farakos, K.Kajantie, K.Rummukainen and M.Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 425 (1994) 67 [hep-ph/9404201]; K.Kajantie, M.Laine, K.Rummukainen and M. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 458 (1996) 90 [hep-ph/9508379].
- [32] A. Jakovac, K. Kajantie and A. Patkos, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 6810; A. Jakovac and A. Patkos, Nucl. Phys. B 494 (1997) 54.
- [33] E.Braaten and A.Nieto, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 6990; Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 3421.
- [34] M E. Shaposhnikov, in Proceedings of the Summer School on E ective Theories and Fundamental Interactions, Erice, 1996, p. 360 [hep-ph/9610247].
- [35] D.Bodeker, W.Buchmuller, Z.Fodor and T.Helbig, Nucl. Phys. B 423 (1994) 171; J.Kripfganz, A.Laser and M.G.Schmidt, Z.Phys. C 73 (1997) 353.
- [36] K.Lee, Phys.Rev.D 50 (1994) 5333.
- [37] IA. Belolaptikov et al, astro-ph/9802223.
- [38] A.Kusenko, M.Shaposhnikov, P.G.Tinyakov and I.I.Tkachev, Phys.Lett.B 423 (1998) 104 [hep-ph/9801212].
- [39] R. Schae er, Astron. Astrophys. 157 (1985) 13; R. Schae er, P. Delbourgo-Salvador and J. Audouze, Nature 317 (1985) 407.
- [40] H E. Haber and G L. Kane, Phys. Rep. 117 (1985) 75.