

A parametrization of the CKM mixing matrix from a scheme of $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$ symmetry breaking

A. Mondragón* and E. Rodríguez-Jáuregui

Instituto de Física, UNAM, Apdo. Postal 20-364, 01000 México, D.F. México.

Abstract

Recent interest in flavour or horizontal symmetry building (mass textures) has been spurred mainly by the large top mass and, hence, the strong hierarchy in quark masses. Recently, various symmetry breaking schemes have been proposed based on the discrete, non-Abelian group $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$, which is broken according to $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R \supset S_{diag}(3) \supset S_{diag}(2)$. The group $S(3)$ treats three objects symmetrically, while the hierarchical nature of the Yukawa matrices is a consequence of the representation structure, $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{2}$, of $S(3)$, which treats the generations differently. Different ansätze for the breaking of the sub-nuclear democracy give different Hermitian mass matrices, M , of the same modified Fritzsch type which differ in the numerical value of the ratio M_{23}/M_{22} . A fit to the experimentally determined absolute values of the elements of the CKM matrix gives bounds on the possible values of the CP violating phase and gives a clear indication on the preferred symmetry breaking scheme. A parametrization of the CKM mixing matrix in terms of four quark mass ratios and one CP violating phase in very good agreement with the absolute value of the experimentally determined values of the CKM matrix elements is obtained.

PACS numbers: 12.15.Ft, 11.30.Er, 11.30.Hv, 12.15.Hh

1 Introduction

In this paper we try to express the entries in the V_{CKM} mixing matrix in terms of the ratios of the quark masses and one CP violating phase. Our approach is guided by the experimental information on V_{CKM} and a desire to have a simple pattern of flavour symmetry breaking.

2 Flavour permutational symmetry

In this section, we review some previous work on the breaking of the permutational flavour symmetry.

In the Standard Model, analogous fermions in different generations, say u, c and t or d, s and b, have completely identical couplings to all gauge bosons of the strong, weak and electromagnetic interactions. Prior to the introduction of the Higgs boson and mass terms, the Lagrangian is chiral and invariant with respect to any permutation of the left and right quark fields. The introduction of a Higgs boson and the Yukawa couplings give mass to the quarks and leptons

*Invited talk presented at the XXI Symposium on Nuclear Physics. Oaxtepec, México, January 1998.

when the gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken. The quark mass term in the Lagrangian, obtained by taking the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field in the quark Higgs coupling, gives rise to quark mass matrices $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{d})$ and $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{u})$,

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = \bar{\mathbf{d}}_L \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{d}) \mathbf{d}_R + \bar{\mathbf{u}}_L \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}_R + \text{h.c.} \quad (1)$$

In this expression, $\mathbf{d}_{L,R}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\mathbf{u}_{L,R}(\mathbf{X})$ denote the left and right quark d - and u -fields in the weak or coherent basis. A number of authors [1-8] have pointed out that realistic quark mass matrices result from the flavour permutational symmetry $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$ and its spontaneous or explicit breaking. The group $S(3)$ treats three objects symmetrically, while the hierarchical nature of the mass matrices is a consequence of the representation structure $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{2}$ of $S(3)$, which treats the generations differently. Under exact $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$ symmetry the mass spectrum for either up or down quark sectors consists of one massive particle in a singlet irreducible representation and a pair of massless particles in a doublet irreducible representation. To make explicit this assignment of particles to irreducible representations of $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$, it will be convenient to make a change of basis from the weak basis to a symmetry adapted or heavy basis through the unitary transformation

$$\mathbf{M}^q_H = \mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{M}^q_W \mathbf{U}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ -\sqrt{3} & 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & -2 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

In the weak basis, the mass matrix with the exact $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$ symmetry reads

$$\mathbf{M}^q_{3W} = \frac{m_{3q}}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_W, \quad (4)$$

where m_{3q} denotes the mass of the third family quark, t or b . In the symmetry adapted, or heavy basis, \mathbf{M}^q_3 takes the form

$$\mathbf{M}^q_{3H} = m_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_H, \quad (5)$$

\mathbf{M}^q_{3H} is a singlet tensorial irreducible representation of $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$.

To generate masses for the second family, one has to break the permutational symmetry $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$ down to $S(2)_L \otimes S(2)_R$. This may be done adding a term \mathbf{M}^q_{2W} to \mathbf{M}^q_{3W} such that

$$\mathbf{M}^q_{2W} = m_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix}_W. \quad (6)$$

Still in the weak basis, the corresponding symmetry breaking term in the Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{q}_L (\mathbf{M}^q_{2W}) q_R &= \left\{ 2\alpha \left(\frac{\bar{q}_{1L} + \bar{q}_{2L}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left(\frac{q_{1R} + q_{2R}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \beta \sqrt{2} \left[\left(\frac{\bar{q}_{1L} + \bar{q}_{2L}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) q_{3R} + \bar{q}_{3L} \left(\frac{q_{1R} + q_{2R}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] \right\} \\ &+ \gamma \bar{q}_{3L} q_{3R} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Notice that the symmetry breaking term depends only on the fields $(q_1(X) + q_2(X))/\sqrt{2}$ and $q_3(X)$. Thus, the symmetry breaking pattern is defined by requiring a well defined behaviour of $\bar{q}_L(\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_{2W}})q_R$ under the exchange of the fields $(q_1(X) + q_2(X))/\sqrt{2}$ and $q_3(X)$. There are only two possibilities, either $\bar{q}_L(\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_{2W}})q_R$ is symmetric or antisymmetric under the exchange of $(q_1(X) + q_2(X))/\sqrt{2}$ and $q_3(X)$.

In the antisymmetric breaking pattern [9], $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_{2W}}$ takes the form

$$\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_{2A,W}} = m_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha & 0 \\ \alpha & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\alpha \end{pmatrix}_W, \quad (8)$$

in the heavy basis $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_{2A}}$ takes the form

$$M^{\mathbf{q}_{2A,H}} = \frac{2m_{3q}}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha & 2\sqrt{2}\alpha \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{2}\alpha & \alpha \end{pmatrix}_H. \quad (9)$$

$\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_{2A}}$ has only one free parameter, α , which is a measure of the amount of mixing of the singlet and doublet irreducible representations of $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$.

In the symmetric breaking pattern [1], $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_2}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_{2S,W}} = m_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \beta & 2\alpha \end{pmatrix}_W, \quad (10)$$

and in the heavy basis $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_{2S}}$ becomes

$$M^{\mathbf{q}_{2S,H}} = \frac{2m_{3q}}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2(3\alpha - 2\beta) & -\sqrt{2}\beta \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2}\beta & 2(3\alpha + 2\beta) \end{pmatrix}_H. \quad (11)$$

In this case $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_{2S}}$ has two free parameters, α , which is a shift in the masses of the second and third families, and β , which produces a mixing of the singlet and doublet irreducible representations.

In the heavy basis the symmetry breaking pattern is usually characterized in terms of $\beta = -\frac{(3\sqrt{2})}{4m_{3q}}(\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_{2S,H}})_{23}$ and the ratio

$$(Z_q)^{1/2} = \frac{(M^{\mathbf{q}_{2S,H}})_{23}}{(M^{\mathbf{q}_{2S,H}})_{22}}. \quad (12)$$

In the antisymmetric breaking pattern there is no shift of the masses and Z_q takes the value 8. In the symmetric pattern, Z_q is a continuous parameter. Different values for Z_q have been proposed in the literature by various authors. If no shifting is allowed [1], $\alpha = 0$, and $Z_q = 1/8$; Fritzsch and Holtsmanpötter [7] put $\alpha = \beta$ which gives $Z_q = 1/2$; Z. Z. Xing [10] takes $Z_q = 2$ which is equivalent to setting $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\beta$. In the absence of a symmetry motivated argument to fix the value of Z_q , other choices are possible. In this paper, we will look for the best value of Z_q by comparison with the experimental data on the CKM mixing matrix and the Jarlskog invariant.

In order to give mass to the first family, we add another term $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_1}$ to the mass matrix. It will be assumed that $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{q}_1}$ transforms as the mixed symmetry term of the doublet complex

tensorial representation of the $S(3)_{diag}$ diagonal subgroup of $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$. Putting the first family in a complex representation allows us to have a CP violating phase. Then, in the weak basis, \mathbf{M}^q_1 is given by

$$\mathbf{M}^q_{1W} = \frac{m_3}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & iA_2 & -A_1 - iA_2 \\ -iA_2 & -A_1 & A_1 + iA_2 \\ -A_1 + iA_2 & A_1 - iA_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

In the symmetry adapted or heavy basis, \mathbf{M}^q_1 takes the form

$$\mathbf{M}^q_{1H} = m_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_q e^{-i\phi_q} & 0 \\ A_q e^{+i\phi_q} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Finally, adding the three mass terms, we get the mass matrix \mathbf{M}^q .

3 Modified Fritzsch texture

In the heavy basis, \mathbf{M}^q_H has a modified Fritzsch texture of the form

$$M^q_H = m_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_q e^{-i\phi_q} & 0 \\ A_q e^{+i\phi_q} & D_q & B_q \\ 0 & B_q & C_q \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

From the strong hierarchy in the quark masses, $m_{3q} \gg m_{2q} \gg m_{1q}$, we expect C_q to be very close to unity. Therefore, it will be convenient to introduce a small parameter δ_q through the expression

$$C_q \equiv 1 - \delta_q \quad (16)$$

The other entries in the mass matrix, namely A_q , B_q and D_q , may readily be expressed in terms of the mass ratios

$$\tilde{m}_{1q} = \frac{m_{1q}}{m_{3q}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{m}_{2q} = \frac{m_{2q}}{m_{3q}} \quad (17)$$

and the parameter δ_q . Computing the invariants of \mathbf{M}^q_H , $tr(\mathbf{M}^q_H)$, $tr(\mathbf{M}^q_H)^2$ and $det(\mathbf{M}^q_H)$ from (15) and comparing with the corresponding expressions in terms of the mass eigenvalues $(m_1, -m_2, m_3)$, we get

$$A_q^2 = \frac{\tilde{m}_{1q} \tilde{m}_{2q}}{1 - \delta_q}, \quad (18)$$

$$B_q^2 = \delta_q \left((1 - \tilde{m}_{1q} + \tilde{m}_{2q} - \delta_q) - \frac{\tilde{m}_{1q} \tilde{m}_{2q}}{1 - \delta_q} \right), \quad (19)$$

and

$$D_q = \tilde{m}_{1q} - \tilde{m}_{2q} + \delta_q. \quad (20)$$

If each possible symmetry breaking pattern is now characterized by the parameter Z_q ,

$$Z_q^{1/2} = \frac{B_q}{D_q}, \quad (21)$$

we obtain the following cubic equation for δ_q

$$\delta_q \{ (1 + \tilde{m}_{2q} - \tilde{m}_{1q} - \delta_q)(1 - \delta_q) - \tilde{m}_{1q}\tilde{m}_{2q} \} - Z_q(1 - \delta_q)(-\tilde{m}_{2q} + \tilde{m}_{1q} + \delta_q)^2 = 0. \quad (22)$$

The small parameter δ_q in eqs. (16) and (19) is the solution of (22) which vanishes when Z_q vanishes. Then, the vanishing of Z_q implies that $B_q = 0$ and $C_q = 1$, or equivalently, there is no mixing of singlet and doublet irreducible representations of $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$, and the heaviest quark in each sector is in a pure singlet representation.




Figure 1: The square root of the parameters δ_u , δ_d is shown as function of the ratio Z_q . The value $Z \approx 5/2$ which satisfies the constraining condition (34) may be read from the figure.

In fig. 1, $\sqrt{\delta_q}$ is shown as function of Z_q . It may be seen that, as Z_q increases, $\sqrt{\delta_q(Z_q)}$ increases with decreasing curvature. For very large values of Z_q , $\sqrt{\delta_q(Z_q)}$ goes to the asymptotic limit $\delta_q^{1/2}(\infty) = (\tilde{m}_{2q} - \tilde{m}_{1q})Z_q^{1/2}$. Hence, $\delta_q(Z_q)$ is a small parameter, $\delta_q(Z_q) \ll 1$, for all values of Z_q . For large values of Z_q , say $Z_q \geq 20$, $\delta_q(Z_q)$ is not sensitive to small changes in Z_q .

From eq. (22), we derive an approximate solution for $\delta_q(Z_q)$ valid for small values of Z_q ($Z_q \leq 10$). Computing in the leading order of magnitude

$$\delta_q(Z_q) \approx \frac{Z_q (\tilde{m}_{2q} - \tilde{m}_{1q})^2}{(1 - \tilde{m}_{1q})(1 + \tilde{m}_{2q}) + 2Z_q (\tilde{m}_{2q} - \tilde{m}_{1q})(1 + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{m}_{2q} - \tilde{m}_{1q}))}. \quad (23)$$

4 The CKM mixing matrix

The Hermitian mass matrix $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{q}}$ may be written in terms of a real symmetric matrix $\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{q}}$ and a diagonal matrix of phases P_q as follows

$$\mathbf{M}^{(\mathbf{q})} = P_q \bar{\mathbf{M}}^{(\mathbf{q})} P_q^\dagger. \quad (24)$$

The real symmetric matrix $\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{q}}$ may be brought to diagonal form by means of an orthogonal transformation

$$\bar{\mathbf{M}}^{(\mathbf{q})} = O_q \mathbf{M}_{\text{diag}}^{(\mathbf{q})} O_q^T, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\mathbf{M}^{(\mathbf{q})}_{\text{diag}} = m_{3q} \text{diag} [\tilde{m}_1, -\tilde{m}_2, 1] \quad (26)$$

with subscripts 1,2,3 referring to u, c, t in the u -type sector and d, s, b in the d -sector. After the diagonalization of the mass matrices $\mathbf{M}^{(\mathbf{q})}$, one obtains the CKM mixing matrix as

$$V_{CKM} = O_u^T P^{(u-d)} O_d, \quad (27)$$

where $P^{(u-d)}$ is the diagonal matrix of the relative phases.

In the heavy basis, where $\mathbf{M}^{(\mathbf{q})}$ is given by (15) -(22), $P^{(u-d)}$ is

$$P^{(u-d)} = \text{diag} [e^{-i\alpha}, 1, 1], \quad (28)$$

$\alpha = \phi_u - \phi_d$, and the orthogonal matrix O_q is given by [11], [12],

$$O^q = \begin{pmatrix} (\tilde{m}_2 f_1 / \Delta_1)^{1/2} & -(\tilde{m}_1 f_2 / \Delta_2)^{1/2} & (\tilde{m}_1 \tilde{m}_2 f_3 / \Delta_3)^{1/2} \\ (C^q \tilde{m}_1 f_1 / \Delta_1)^{1/2} & (C^q \tilde{m}_2 f_2 / \Delta_2)^{1/2} & (C^q f_3 / \Delta_3)^{1/2} \\ -(\tilde{m}_1 f_2 f_3 / \Delta_1)^{1/2} & -(\tilde{m}_2 f_1 f_3 / \Delta_2)^{1/2} & (f_1 f_2 / \Delta_3)^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

where

$$f_1 = 1 - \tilde{m}_{1q} - \delta_q \quad f_2 = 1 + \tilde{m}_{2q} - \delta_q \quad f_3 = \delta_q \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= (1 - \delta_q)(1 - \tilde{m}_{1q})(\tilde{m}_{2q} + \tilde{m}_{1q}) & \Delta_2 &= (1 - \delta_q)(1 + \tilde{m}_{2q})(\tilde{m}_{2q} + \tilde{m}_{1q}) \\ \Delta_3 &= (1 - \delta_q)(1 + \tilde{m}_{2q})(1 - \tilde{m}_{1q}) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

From eqs. (24) - (30), all entries in the V_{CKM} matrix may be written in terms of four mass ratios: $(\tilde{m}_u, \tilde{m}_c, \tilde{m}_d, \tilde{m}_s)$ and three free real parameters: δ_u, δ_d and $\alpha = \phi_u - \phi_d$. The phase α measures the mismatch in the $\tilde{S}_{diag}(2)$ symmetry breaking in the u - and d -sectors. It is this phase, and consequently, that mismatch, which is responsible for the weak violation of CP.

5 The best value of Z_q

At this stage in our argument, a question comes naturally to mind. Does a comparison of the theoretical mixing matrix V_{CKM}^{th} with the experimentally determined V_{CKM}^{exp} give any clue about the actual pattern of $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R$ symmetry breaking realized in nature? or phrased

differently: What are the best values for Z_u and Z_d ? What is the best value for α ? Do these values correspond to some well defined symmetry breaking pattern?

As a preliminary step in the direction of finding an answer to these questions, we made a χ^2 fit of the exact expressions for the absolute value of the entries in the mixing matrix, that is $|V_{CKM}^{th}|$ and the Jarlskog invariant J^{th} to the experimentally determined values of $|V_{CKM}^{exp}|$ and J^{exp} . We left the mass ratios fixed at the values [13]

$$\tilde{m}_u = 0.00002 \quad \tilde{m}_c = 0.00517 \quad (32)$$

$$\tilde{m}_d = 0.0019 \quad \tilde{m}_s = 0.035, \quad (33)$$

and we looked for the best values of the three parameters δ_u, δ_d and α . We found the following results:

I.- Excellent fits of similar quality, $\chi^2 \leq 0.3$, were obtained for a continuous family of values of the parameters (δ_u, δ_d) .

II.- In each good quality fit, the best value of α was fixed without ambiguity.

III.- The best value of α was nearly stable against large changes in the values of (δ_u, δ_d) which produced fits of the same good quality.

IV.- In all good quality fits, the difference $\sqrt{\delta_d} - \sqrt{\delta_u}$ takes the same value

$$\sqrt{\delta_d} - \sqrt{\delta_u} \simeq 0.040 \quad (34)$$

These results may be understood if we notice that not all entries in V_{CKM}^{th} are equally sensitive to variations of the different parameters. Some entries, like V_{us} are very sensitive to changes in α but are almost insensitive to changes in (δ_u, δ_d) while some others, like V_{cb} are almost insensitive to changes in α but depend critically on the parameters δ_u and δ_d .

From eqs. (27), (29) and (30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_{us} &= - \left(\frac{\tilde{m}_c \tilde{m}_d}{(1 - \tilde{m}_u)(\tilde{m}_c + \tilde{m}_u)(1 + \tilde{m}_s)(\tilde{m}_s + \tilde{m}_d)} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{(1 - \tilde{m}_u - \delta_u)(1 + \tilde{m}_s - \delta_d)}{(1 - \delta_u)(1 - \tilde{\delta}_d)} \right)^{1/2} e^{i\alpha} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\tilde{m}_u \tilde{m}_s}{(1 - \tilde{m}_u)(\tilde{m}_c + \tilde{m}_u)(\tilde{m}_d + \tilde{m}_s)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{(1 - \tilde{m}_u - \delta_u)^{1/2} (1 + \tilde{m}_s - \delta_d)^{1/2}}{(1 + \tilde{m}_d)^{1/2} (1 - \delta_d)^{1/2}} + \left(\frac{(1 + \tilde{m}_c - \delta_u)\delta_u}{1 - \delta_u} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{(1 - \tilde{m}_d - \delta_d)\delta_d}{1 - \delta_d} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \quad (35) \end{aligned}$$

In the leading order of magnitude,

$$|V_{us}| \approx \left| \sqrt{\tilde{m}_d/\tilde{m}_s} e^{i\alpha} - \sqrt{\tilde{m}_u/\tilde{m}_c} \right| (1 - \tilde{m}_u/\tilde{m}_c - \tilde{m}_d/\tilde{m}_s)^{1/2} \quad (36)$$

Hence,

$$\cos \alpha \approx \frac{\tilde{m}_d/\tilde{m}_s + \tilde{m}_u/\tilde{m}_c - |V_{us}|^2 (1 - \tilde{m}_u/\tilde{m}_c - \tilde{m}_d/\tilde{m}_s)}{2\sqrt{(\tilde{m}_d/\tilde{m}_s)(\tilde{m}_u/\tilde{m}_c)}} \quad (37)$$

Substitution of $|V_{us}^{exp}|^2$ for $|V_{us}|^2$ and the numerical value of the mass ratios, obtained from (32) and (34), in (37) gives

$$72^\circ \leq \alpha \leq 82^\circ \quad (38)$$

with a mean value

$$\bar{\alpha} = 77^\circ , \quad (39)$$

in good agreement with the best values extracted from the preliminary χ^2 fit.

Similarly, V_{cb}^{th} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{cb}^{th} = & - \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}_u (1 + \tilde{m}_c - \delta_u)}{(1 - \delta_u) (1 + \tilde{m}_c) (\tilde{m}_u + \tilde{m}_c)} \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{(\tilde{m}_d \tilde{m}_s \delta_d)}{(1 - \delta_d) (1 + \tilde{m}_s) (1 - \tilde{m}_d)} \right\}^{1/2} e^{i\alpha} \\ & + \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}_c (1 + \tilde{m}_c - \delta_u)}{(1 - \delta_u) (1 + \tilde{m}_c) (\tilde{m}_u + \tilde{m}_c)} \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{\delta_d}{(1 + \tilde{m}_s) (1 - \tilde{m}_u)} \right\}^{1/2} \\ & - \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}_c \delta_u (1 - \tilde{m}_u - \delta_u)}{(1 - \delta_u) (1 + \tilde{m}_c) (\tilde{m}_u + \tilde{m}_c)} \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \tilde{m}_d - \delta_d) (1 + \tilde{m}_s - \delta_d)}{(1 - \delta_d) (1 + \tilde{m}_s) (1 - \tilde{m}_d)} \right\}^{1/2} . \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Therefore, in the leading order of magnitude, $|V_{cb}|$ is independent of α and given by

$$|V_{cb}| \approx \sqrt{\delta_d} - \sqrt{\delta_u} . \quad (41)$$

Hence, good agreement with $|V_{cb}^{exp}| \approx 0.039$ [13] requires that

$$\sqrt{\delta_d} - \sqrt{\delta_u} \approx 0.039 \quad (42)$$

at least for one pair of values (δ_u, δ_d) . As explained above, in the preliminary χ^2 fit to the data, it was found that (42) is satisfied almost exactly, not just for one pair of values of δ_u and δ_d , but for a continuous range of values of these parameters in which δ_u and δ_d change by more than one order of magnitude.

Therefore, eq. (34) may be used as a constraining condition on the possible values of (δ_u, δ_d) . In this way, we eliminate one free parameter in V_{CKM}^{th} without spoiling the good quality of the fit.

If instead of taking (δ_u, δ_d) as free parameters, we use the parameters (Z_u, Z_d) to characterize the pattern of symmetry breaking, we should write δ_q as function of Z_q in (34) to restrict the possible values of (Z_u, Z_d) . A simple approximate expression, valid for $0 \leq Z_q \leq 10$, is obtained by writing $\delta_q(Z_q)$ in the leading order of magnitude

$$\frac{Z_d^{1/2} (\tilde{m}_s - \tilde{m}_d)}{\sqrt{(1 + \tilde{m}_s) (1 - \tilde{m}_d) + 2Z_d (\tilde{m}_s - \tilde{m}_d)}} - \frac{Z_u^{1/2} (\tilde{m}_c - \tilde{m}_u)}{\sqrt{(1 + \tilde{m}_c) (1 - \tilde{m}_u) + 2Z_u (\tilde{m}_c - \tilde{m}_u)}} \simeq 0.040 . \quad (43)$$

In this way, to each value of Z_u corresponds one value of Z_d . Since Z_u is still a free parameter, to avoid ambiguities, we may further assume that the up and down mass matrices are generated following the same symmetry breaking pattern, that is,

$$Z_u = Z_d \equiv Z \quad (44)$$

Conditions (34) and (44) fix the value of Z .

The numerical computation of Z was made using the exact numerical solutions of eq. (22). We found,

$$Z = \frac{5}{2} . \quad (45)$$

The corresponding values of (δ_u, δ_d) are

$$\delta_u = 0.000064 \quad \delta_d = 0.002300 \quad . \quad (46)$$

A discussion of the meaning of $Z_q \approx \frac{5}{2}$ in terms of the symmetry breaking pattern $S(3)_L \otimes S(3)_R \supset S(2)_L \otimes S(2)_R$ and explicit expressions for the corresponding mass matrices (textures) will be published elsewhere [15].

6 Computation of V_{CKM}

Once the value of Z is fixed at $5/2$, the theoretical expression for the entries in V^{th}_{CKM} , obtained from the exact expressions for O_u and O_d , are written in terms of the four mass ratios $(\tilde{m}_u, \tilde{m}_c, \tilde{m}_d, \tilde{m}_s)$ and only one free parameter, namely the CP violating phase α .

We kept the mass ratios fixed at the values given in (32) and (33) and made a new χ^2 fit of $|V^{th}_{CKM}|$ to the experimental values $|V^{exp}_{CKM}|$. The best value of α was found to be

$$\alpha = 76.77^\circ, \quad (47)$$

with $\chi^2 = 0.28$. The corresponding best value of $|V^{th}_{CKM}|$ is

$$|V^{th}_{CKM}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97531 & 0.22081 & 0.00254 \\ 0.22063 & 0.97457 & 0.03913 \\ 0.00928 & 0.03810 & 0.999231 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (48)$$

which is to be compared with

$$|V^{exp}_{CKM}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9745 - 0.9760 & 0.217 - 0.224 & 0.0018 - 0.0045 \\ 0.217 - 0.224 & 0.9737 - 0.9753 & 0.036 - 0.042 \\ 0.004 - 0.013 & 0.035 - 0.042 & 0.9991 - 0.9994 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (49)$$

we see that the agreement between computed and experimental values of all entries in $|V_{CKM}|$ is very good. For the Jarlskog [14] invariant we found the value

$$J = -2.128 \times 10^{-5}, \quad (50)$$

in good agreement with current data on CP violation in the $K^\circ - \bar{K}^\circ$ mixing system.

7 Concluding remarks

In this work we made a detailed comparison of the experimentally determined V^{exp}_{CKM} mixing matrix with a theoretical V^{th}_{CKM} derived from quark mass matrices obtained from a simple scheme for breaking the flavour permutational symmetry. The entries in V^{th}_{CKM} are, in principle, functions of the four mass ratios $m_u/m_t, m_c/m_t, m_d/m_b$ and m_s/m_b , one CP violating phase, and two small parameters δ_u and δ_d . To avoid a continuous ambiguity in the numerical fitting procedure, we impose a phenomenologically motivated constraining condition on the possible values of δ_u and δ_d . By further assuming that the symmetry breaking pattern is the same in both, u - and d -sectors, we fix the numerical value of the ratio $Z = (M_{23}/M_{22})^2$ at $5/2$. In this way, we arrive at an expression for V^{th}_{CKM} written in terms of the four mass

ratios $m_u/m_t, m_c/m_t, m_d/m_b$ and m_s/m_b , and only one free parameter, namely, the CP violating phase α . A χ^2 fit of the matrix of the absolute values $|V^{th}_{CKM}|$ to the experimentally determined $|V^{exp}_{CKM}|$ gives the best value of $\alpha = 76.7^\circ$ and the value $J^{th} = -2.128 \times 10^{-5}$ for the Jarlskog invariant, in good agreement with experiment. The agreement between $|V^{th}_{CKM}|$ and $|V^{exp}_{CKM}|$ is also very good with $\chi^2 = 0.28$.

8 Acknowledgements

One of us, E. R-J is indebted to Dr. J. R. Soto for help in the numerical calculations. This work was partially supported by DGAPA-UNAM under contract No. PAPIIT-IN110296.

References

- [1] H. Harari, H. Haut and J. Weyers, *Phys. Lett.* **B78**, 459 (1978).
- [2] H. Fritzsch, *Phys. Lett.* **B73**, 317 (1978).
- [3] H. Fritzsch, *Nucl. Phys.* **B155**, 189 (1979).
- [4] Y. Koide, *Phys. Rev.* **D28**, 252 (1983).
- [5] H. Fritzsch, Proc. Europhysical Topical Conf. on Flavour Mixing in Weak Interactions (Erice), ed. J. Lemonne, C. van der Velde and F. Verbeure, World Scientific, Singapore (1984) p. 181.
- [6] P. Kaus and S. Meshkov, *Phys. Rev.* **D42**, 1563 (1990).
- [7] H. Fritzsch and D. Holtmannspötter, *Phys. Lett.* **B338**, 290 (1994).
- [8] H. Fritzsch and Z. Xing, *Phys. Lett.* **B353**, 114 (1995).
- [9] H. Lehmann, C. Newton and T. Wu, *Phys. Lett.* **B384**, 249 (1996).
- [10] Z. Z. Xing J. Phys. G, *Nucl. Part. Phys.* **23**, 1563 (1997).
- [11] P. S. Gill and M. Gupta, *J. Phys.* **A21**, 1 (1995).
- [12] P. S. Gill and M. Gupta, *J. Phys.* **A23**, 335 (1997).
- [13] R. M. Barnett et al., *Phys. Rev.* **D54**, 1 (1996); **PDG** Particle Data Group, 1997, WWW Review of Particle Properties update Dec. 1997.
- [14] C. Jarlskog, "C.P Violation", Advanced Series on Directions on High Energy Physics, Vol 3, Ed. C. Jarlskog, World Scientific (1989).
- [15] A. Mondragón and E. Rodríguez-Jáuregui, in preparation.