# Im pacts on searching for signatures of new physics from K<sup>+</sup>! <sup>+</sup> <sup>-</sup> decay<sup>y</sup>

G i-C holC ho<sup>z</sup>

Theory Group, KEK, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

#### Abstract

In pacts on new physics search from  $B^{0}-\overline{B^{0}}$ ;  $K^{0}-\overline{K^{0}}$  m ixings and the rare decay  $K^{+}$ ! <sup>+</sup> <sup>-</sup> are discussed. We show that, in a certain class of new physics models, the extra contributions to those processes can be parametrized by its ratio to the standard model (SM) contribution with the common CKM factors. We introduce two ratios to measure the new physics contributions,  $R_{1}$  for  $x_{d}$  and  $_{K}$  parameters, and  $R_{2}$  for  $K^{+}$ ! <sup>+</sup> <sup>-</sup> decay. Then, the experimentally allowed region for the new physics contributions can be given in term sof  $R_{1}$ ;  $R_{2}$  and the CP violating phase of the CKM matrix. We nd constraints on  $R_{1}$  and  $\cos$  by taking account of current experimental data and theoretical uncertainties on  $B^{0}-\overline{B^{0}}$  and  $K^{0}-\overline{K^{0}}$  m ixings. We also study in pacts of future in proved measurements on  $(R_{1}; R_{2}; \cos)$  basis. As typical examples of new physics models, we exam ine contributions to those processes in the minimal supersymmetric SM and the two Higgs doublet model.

 $<sup>^{</sup>y}$ Talk given at the workshop on \Ferm ion M ass and CP V iolation", H iroshim a, Japan, 5-6 M arch 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>z</sup>Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

### 1 Introduction

Processes mediated by avor changing neutral current (FCNC) have been considered as good probes of physics beyond the standard model (SM). By using the experim entally well measured processes, it is expected to obtain an indirect evidence or constraints on new physics models. An existence of new physics may arise as violation of the unitarity of the Cabbibo-KobayashiMaskawa (CKM) matrix. Such signatures of new physics will be explored through the determ ination of the unitarity triangle at B-factories at KEK and SLAC in the near future.

Typical FCNC processes which have been often used to study the new physics contributions are  $B^{0}-\overline{B^{0}}$  and  $K^{0}-\overline{K^{0}}$  mixings. Parameters  $x_{d}$  in  $B^{0}-\overline{B^{0}}$  mixing and <sub>K</sub> in  $K^{0}-\overline{K^{0}}$  mixing are dominated by the short distance physics and have been calculated in the SM and many new physics models. Experimentally, both parameters have been measured as [1]

$$x_d = 0.73 \quad 0.05;$$
 (1.1a)

$$j_{K} j = (2.23 \quad 0.013) \quad 10^{3}$$
: (1.1b)

On the other hand, there are large theoretical uncertainties on both parameters which come from the evaluation of the hadronic matrix elements of those processes. They are parametrized in terms of decay constants and bag-parameters of B or K mesons. Thus, the loss of information on the CKM matrix elements or the new physics contributions from those processes is not avoidable in the level of these uncertainties.

The rare decay K<sup>+</sup> ! <sup>+ -</sup> is one of the most promising processes to extract clean inform ations about the CKM matrix elements [2] because the decay rate of this process has small theoretical uncertainties. The reason can be summarized as:

The process is dominated by the short-distance physics. The long-distance contributions have been estimated as 10  $^3$  smaller than the short-distance contributions [3].

The hadronic matrix element of the decay rate can be evaluated by using that of K  $^+$  !  $^{0}e^{+}$  e process which is accurately measured.

The short distance contributions in the SM have been calculated in the next-to-leading order (NLO) level (for a review, see [2]).

W ith these attractive points, the set observation of an event consistent with this decay process which was reported by E787 collaboration [4]

$$Br(K^{+}!^{+}-) = 4 2^{9:7}_{3:5} \quad 10^{10}; \quad (1.2)$$

m otivates us to exam ine the implication of the above estimate of the branching fraction and of its improvement in the near future.

In this report, we discuss in pacts on the search for a new physics signal from the above FCNC processes {  $B^{0}-\overline{B^{0}}$ ;  $K^{0}-\overline{K^{0}}$  m ixings and  $K^{+}$  ! + - decay. We focus on a class of new physics models which satisfy the following three conditions:

- (i) FCNC in the new physics sector is described by the V A type operator.
- (ii) The avormixing in the new physics sector is governed by the SM CKM matrix elements.
- (iii) The net contributions are proportional to the CKM matrix elements which are concerned with the third generation.

In the follow ing, we will show that new physics contributions to those processes can be param etrized by two quantities,  $R_1$  for  $B^0-\overline{B^0}$ ;  $K^0-\overline{K^0}$  m ixings and  $R_2$  for  $K^+$ ! + - decay. Both quantities are dened as the ratio of the new physics contribution to that of the SM . Constraints on the new physics contributions are summarized in terms of  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $\cos$ , where is the CP violating phase of the CKM matrix in the standard param etrization [1]. Taking account of current experimental data on  $x_d$  and  $_K$  param eters in  $B^0-\overline{B^0}$  and  $K^0-\overline{K^0}$  m ixings, and uncertainties in the hadronic param eters, we will show constraints on  $R_1$  and  $\cos$ . In order to see that how  $K^+$ ! + - decay could give in pacts on new physics search, we will not constraints on  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $\cos$  by assuming the future im – provem ent of the  $Br(K^+$ ! + - ) m easurem ents. As examples of new physics m odels which naturally satisfy the above three conditions, we will not the consequences of the m inimial supersymm etric standard m odel (M SSM ) [5] and the two H iggs doublet m odel (THDM ) [6].

### 2 New physics contributions to the FCNC processes in the B and K meson systems

The electrice Lagrangian for the K  $^+$  !  $^+$  - process in the SM is given by [7]:

$$L_{eff}^{K^{+}} = \frac{G_{F}}{P} \frac{2}{2} (m_{Z}) \frac{1}{\sin^{2} w} \sim P_{L} \cdot \overline{S} P_{L} d_{i=2,3}^{X} V_{i2} V_{i1} i D_{W} (i); \quad (2.1)$$

where i and `are the generation indices for the up-type quarks and leptons, respectively. The CKM matrix element is given by  $V_{ij}$  and the projection operator  $P_L$  is defined as  $P_L$  (1  $_5$ )=2. The loop function for the i-th generation quark is denoted by  $D_W$  (i) and its explicit form can be found in [7]. The QCD correction factor for the top-quark exchange has been estimated as  $_3 = 0.985$  for 170 G eV m<sub>t</sub> 190 G eV [8]. The QCD correction factor for the charm-quark exchange with its loop function is numerically given as  $_2D_W$  (2) =  $^4$  (0:40 0:06) [9] where

 $j_{12}$  j. The error is due to uncertainties in the charm quark mass and higher order QCD corrections. Then, summing up the three generations of neutrino, the branching ratio is expressed as [10]

$$Br(K^{+}!^{+}) = 1:57 \quad 10^{4} V_{32}V_{31} _{3}D_{W} (3) + V_{22}V_{21} _{2}D_{W} (2)^{2}: (2.2)$$

W ith the above estimates for the loop functions and the QCD correction factors, the branching ratio is predicted to be [11]

$$Br(K^{+}!^{+}-)_{M} = (9:1 \quad 3:8) \quad 10^{11}$$
 (2.3)

in the SM , where the error is dom instead by the uncertainties of the CKM  $\,$  m atrix elements.

The elective Lagrangian of the B<sup> $0-B^{0}$ </sup> m ixing in the SM is expressed by

$$L_{eff}^{B=2} = \frac{G_{F}^{2} M_{W}^{2}}{4^{2}} \overline{d} P_{L} b \overline{d} P_{L} b_{i;j=2,3}^{X} V_{i1} V_{i3} V_{j1} V_{j3} F_{V}^{W} (i;j):$$
(2.4)

Likew ise,  $L_{eff}^{S=2}$  for the K<sup>0</sup>-K<sup>0</sup> m ixing is obtained by replacing  $V_{i3}$  with  $V_{i2}$ , and the b-quark operators with the s-quark ones, respectively. The explicit form of the loop function  $F_V^W$  (i; j) is given in [7]. The B-m eson m ixing parameter  $x_d$  is de ned by  $x_d$  M<sub>B</sub> = B, where M<sub>B</sub> and B correspond to the B-m eson m ass di erence and the average width of the m ass eigenstates, respectively. The m ass di erence is induced by the above B = 2 operator (2.4) and we can express the m ixing parameter  $x_d$  in the SM as

$$\mathbf{x}_{d} = \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{6^{-2}} M_{W}^{2} \frac{M_{B}}{M} f_{B}^{2} B_{B} \mathbf{j}_{31} V_{33} \mathbf{j}_{B}^{2} \mathbf{F}_{V}^{W} \quad (3;3) \mathbf{j}$$
(2.5)

where  $\underline{f}_{B}$ ;  $B_{B}$  and  $_{B}$  denote the decay constant of  $B^{0}$ -m eson, the bag parameter of  $B^{0}$ - $\overline{B}^{0}$  m ixing and the short-distance QCD correction factor, respectively.

The CP-violating parameter  $_{\rm K}$  in the K<sup>0</sup>-K<sup>0</sup> system is given by the in aginary part of the same box diagram of the B<sup>0</sup>-B<sup>0</sup> transition besides the external quark lines. We can express the  $_{\rm K}$  parameter in the SM as

$$= e^{i = 4} \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{12^{P} \overline{2}^{2}} M_{W}^{2} \frac{M_{K}}{M_{K}} f_{K}^{2} B_{K} \text{ Im } (V_{31}V_{32})^{2} K_{33} F_{V}^{W} (3;3)$$

$$+ (V_{21}V_{22})^{2} K_{22} F_{V}^{W} (2;2) + 2(V_{31}V_{32}V_{21}V_{22}) K_{32} F_{V}^{W} (3;2) ; (2.6)$$

where  $f_K$ ,  $B_K$  and  $_{K_{ij}}$  represent the decay constant, the bag parameter and the QCD correction factors, respectively.

In theoretical estimation of these quantities, non-negligible uncertainties come from the evaluations of the QCD correction factors and the hadronic matrix elements. In our analysis, we adopt the following values:

$$_{\rm B} = 0.55 \quad 0.01 \ [12]; \quad {}^{\rm q} = {}^{\rm q} = (220 \quad 40) \ {\rm M \ eV} \ [13]; \qquad (2.7)$$

for the  $x_d$  parameter, and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} {}_{K_{33}} &=& 0.57 & 0.01 \stackrel{9}{\geq} \\ {}_{K_{22}} &=& 1.38 & 0.20 \\ {}_{K_{32}} &=& 0.47 & 0.04 \end{array} , \quad [12; 14]; \quad {}_{B_{K}} &=& 0.75 & 0.15 \ [11]: \end{array}$$

for the <sub>K</sub> parameter.

Next, we consider the new physics contributions to these quantities,  $Br(K^{+} ! + -)$  (2.2),  $x_{d}$  (2.5), and  $_{K}$  (2.6). In a class of new physics models which satisfy our three conditions, the elective Lagrangians can be obtained by replacing  $D_{W}$  (i) with  $D^{new}$  (i) in (2.1), and  $F_{V}^{W}$  (i; j) with  $F_{V}^{new}$  (i; j) in (2.5) and (2.6). Then, the elective Lagrangians of these processes in the new physics sector should have the following form s;

$$L_{new}^{K^{+}} = \frac{G_{F}}{P} \frac{2}{2} \frac{(m_{Z})}{\sin^{2} w} \frac{1}{P_{L}} P_{L} V_{32} V_{31} \overline{S} P_{L} dA^{new}; \qquad (2.9a)$$

$$L_{new}^{B=2} = \frac{G_{F}^{2} M_{W}^{2}}{4^{2}} \overline{d} P_{L} b \overline{d} P_{L} b (V_{31} V_{33})^{2} B^{new}; \qquad (2.9b)$$

$$L_{new}^{S=2} = \frac{G_{F}^{2} M_{W}^{2}}{4^{2}} \overline{d} P_{L} S \overline{d} P_{L} S (V_{31} V_{32})^{2} B^{new} :$$
(2.9c)

It should be noticed that the new physics contributions to the B = 2 (2.9b) and the S = 2 (2.9c) processes are expressed by the same quantity  $B^{\text{new}}$ .

There are two cases in which the elective Lagrangians can be given by the above form s. First, if the contributions from the rst two generations do not dier much, i.e.,

$$D^{new}$$
 (2)  $D^{new}$  (1); (2.10a)

$$F_V^{\text{new}}$$
 (i;1)  $F_V^{\text{new}}$  (i;2); (2.10b)

the net contributions from the new physics are written by using the unitarity of the CKM matrix as;

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ V_{i2}V_{i1}D^{new} (i) \\ i \\ X \\ V_{i1}V_{ik}V_{j1}V_{jk}F_{V}^{new} (i;j) \\ i;j \end{array} \begin{array}{c} V_{32}V_{31}fD^{new} (3) \\ (V_{31}V_{3k})^{2}fF_{V}^{new} (3;3) + F_{V}^{new} (1;1) \\ F_{V}^{new} (3;1) \\ F_{V}^{new} (1;3)g; (2.11b) \end{array}$$

for k = 2;3. We can now de ne the parameters A<sup>new</sup> and B<sup>new</sup> as

$$A^{new} D^{new}$$
 (3)  $D^{new}$  (1); (2.12a)

$$B^{new} = F_V^{new} (3;3) + F_V^{new} (1;1) = F_V^{new} (3;1) = F_V^{new} (1;3): (2.12b)$$

Second, if the contributions from both the rst two generations are negligible as compared with those of the 3rd generation, i.e.,

$$D^{\text{new}}$$
 (3)  $D^{\text{new}}$  (1);  $D^{\text{new}}$  (2); (2.13a)

$$F_{V}^{new} (3;3) \qquad F_{V}^{new} (1;j); F_{V}^{new} (2;j); F_{V}^{new} (3;1); F_{V}^{new} (3;2); \qquad (2.13b)$$

the parameters  $A^{\,\text{new}}$  and  $B^{\,\text{new}}$  become

$$A^{new} = D^{new}$$
 (3); (2.14a)

$$B^{new} = F_V^{new} (3;3):$$
 (2.14b)

Now, the e ects of the new physics contributions to these processes can be evaluated by the following ratios  $[15]^1$ 

$$R_{1} = \frac{F_{V}^{W} (3;3) + B^{new}}{F_{V}^{W} (3;3)};$$
(2.15a)

$$R_{2} = \frac{D_{W}(3) + A^{new}}{D_{W}(3)} :$$
 (2.15b)

O noe a model of new physics is specified, we can quantitatively estimate its elect in terms of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ . Both parameters converge to unity as the new physics contributions are negligible,

$$R_1; R_2 ! 1$$
 for  $A^{new}; B^{new} ! 0$ : (2.16)

Because constraint on R<sub>2</sub> is obtained from Br(K<sup>+</sup> ! <sup>+ -</sup>), it can be a negative quantity if the extra contributions destructively interfere with that of the SM. In the following, we consider the cases where the net contributions from the new physics sector do not exceed those of the SM : A<sup>new</sup> <  $\mathcal{P}_W$  (3) j and B<sup>new</sup> <  $\mathcal{F}_V^W$  (3;3) j. Then, we study constraints on R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> from experimental data in the range of 0 < R<sub>1</sub>;R<sub>2</sub> < 2.

## 3 Constraints on new physics contributions to FCNC processes

Sizable new physics e ects to  $x_{d}$ ;  $_{K}$  and  $Br(K^{+} ! ^{+} -)$  can be detected as deviations of  $R_{1}$  and  $R_{2}$  from unity. In practice, experimentally measurable quantities are products of  $R_{1}$  or  $R_{2}$  by the CKM matrix elements. In the standard parametrization of the CKM matrix, the uncertainty in the CP-violating phase dom inates that of the CKM matrix elements [1]. Hence, together with  $R_{1}$  and  $R_{2}$ , we allow cos to be tted by the measurements of  $x_{d}$ ;  $_{K}$  and  $Br(K^{+} ! ^{+} -)$ . By this reason, constraints on  $R_{1}$  and  $R_{2}$  are correlated through cos .

We perform the  $^{2}-t$  for two parameters R<sub>1</sub> and  $\cos$  by using experimental data of x<sub>d</sub> and <sub>K</sub>. In the t, we take into account of the theoretical uncertainties which are given in (2.7), (2.8) and

$$y_{12}j = 0.2205 \ge 1000$$
  
 $y_{23}j = 0.041 \quad 0.003$   
 $y_{13}=V_{23}j = 0.08 \quad 0.02$ 
[1];  $m_t = 175.6 \quad 5.5 \text{ GeV}$  [17]; (3.1)

where the error of  $\mathbf{j}_{12}\mathbf{j}$  can be safely neglected. We nd

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sim ilar param etrization was used in [16]. In the article, the both ratios were de ned as com plex param eters. In that case, there are two additional param eters { two com plex phases of these ratios.



Figure 1: The 1- (39% CL) allowed region from the experimental results of the  $B^0-\overline{B^0}$ ,  $K^0-\overline{K^0}$  m ixings. The range between the two solid lines is the allowed region of  $\cos$  in the SM.

Because of the strong positive correlation between the errors, only the following combination is electively constrained;

$$R_1 = 0.61 + 0.89\cos \qquad 0.33: \tag{3.3}$$

We show the 1- (39%) allowed region of  $\cos$  and  $R_1$  in Fig.1. In the gure, there is small region which corresponds to 1  $\cos$  where the avormixing does not obey the CKM mechanism. The range of  $\cos$  along the  $R_1 = 1$  line is the allowed region of  $\cos$  in the SM : 0:08 <  $\cos$  < 0:78. We can read o from Fig.1 that the current experimental data of  $x_d$  and  $_K$  parameters constrain the new physics contributions within 0:18 <  $R_1$  < 1:68.

Next we exam ine the constraint on  $R_2$ . A lineage the recent observation of one candidate event is unsuitable to include in the actual t, we can expect that the data will be improved in the near future. In the following, we adopt the central value of the SM prediction as the mean value of  $Br(K^+ ! + -)$  and study consequences of improved measurements. With several more events, the branching fraction can be measured as  $Br(K^+ ! + -) = (0.9 \quad 0.4) \quad 10^{10}$ . Then the combined result with  $x_d$  and K parameters can be found as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \cos & = & 0:36 & 0:83 \\ \hline R_1 & = & 0:93 & 0:75 \\ \hline R_2 & = & 1:14 & 0:53 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 & 0:90 & 0:68 \\ \hline & 1 & 0:62 \\ \hline & 1 & 0:62 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0:62 \\ \hline & 1 & 0:62 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0:62 \\ \hline & 1 & 0:62 \\ \end{array}$$
(3.4)



Figure 2: The 1- allowed regions of  $R_1$ ;  $R_2$  parameters. Three contours are corresponding to  $\cos = 0.36$  (solid line),  $\cos = 0.47$  (dotted line) and  $\cos = 1.19$  (dashed line), respectively.

In Fig. 2, the results are shown on the  $R_1-R_2$  plane for three values of  $\cos ;$   $\cos = 0.36$  (m ean value), 0.47 (m ean value 1) and 1.19 (m ean value + 1). U sing this result, we can discuss about constraints on the new physics contributions to these processes on the  $R_1-R_2$  plane for a given value of  $\cos$ .

### 4 Predictions on R<sub>1</sub>;R<sub>2</sub> in the M SSM and the THDM

Here, we nd predictions on  $R_1$ ; $R_2$  in the M SSM and the THDM. The previous studies on those processes in both models can be found in [18, 19, 20, 21] for  $B^0-\overline{B^0}$ ; $K^0-\overline{K^0}$  m ixings, and [16, 22, 23] for  $K^+$ ! + - process.

In the M SSM based on N = 1 supergravity [5], there are several extra particles. Then, interactions among them could be new sources of FCNC processes. It is known that chargino {t-squark exchange and charged Higgs{t-quark exchange processes give the leading contributions to FCNC processes for B and K m eson system s. For the chargino contribution, e ects from squarks in the rst two generations are canceled each other because degeneracy among their m asses holds in good approximation. The interactions among the charged Higgs boson and the up-type quarks are the same with those of the type II-THDM [6]. The charged Higgs boson interacts with the up-type quarks through the Yukawa interactions which are proportional to the corresponding quark m asses. As a result, the charged



Figure 3: The MSSM (left) and THDM (right) contributions to  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  parameters for tan = 2. The 1- allowed region of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  parameters for  $\cos = 0.36$  is also shown.

Higgs contributions to the FCNC processes are dom inated by its interaction with the top-quark.

The magnitudes of both the chargino and the charged Higgs contributions are proportional to 1=tan, where tan is the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of two Higgs elds. The elective Lagrangians for both contributions are described by V A and S + P operators. The latter can be negligible for small tan. Furtherm ore, contributions from other sources in the MSSM do not give sizable elects to the FCNC processes for tan < 10 [16, 20]. Hence we examine both models in the region tan < 10.

The expressions for  $R_1$  in the M SSM and the THDM can be found in [19]. The M SSM contribution to the decay process  $K^+$ ! + - is expressed by using  $D^{new}$  as follows v

$$D^{new}(i) = \sum_{\substack{m \neq n; k; \\ m \neq n; k; n; k; \\ m \neq n; k; m \neq n; k; \\ m \neq n; k; m \neq n; k;$$

where  $D_c$  (i;m;n;';k; ; ) and  $D_H$  (i; ') represent the chargino and the charged Higgs boson contributions, respectively. Their explicit forms are given in [15]. For  $D_c$ , by using the unitarity of the CKM matrix and the degeneracy of the squark masses between the rst two generations, we obtain

$$V_{i2}V_{i1}D_{C}$$
 (i;m;n;';k; ; ) =  $V_{32}V_{31}$   $D_{C}$  (3;m;n;';k; ; )  $D_{C}$  (1;m;n;';k; ; ) ;  
(4.2)

and the chargino contribution  $A^{new} = A_c$  is given by

$$A_{C} \qquad D_{C} (3;m;n;';k;;) \quad D_{C} (1;m;n;';k;;) : (4.3)$$

For D  $_{\rm H}$  , due to the sm allness of the Y ukawa couplings for light quarks, we can write the charged H iggs contribution as

$$A_{H} = D_{H} (3; '):$$
 (4.4)

From (4.3) and (4.4),  $R_2$  in the MSSM is de ned as

$$R_{2} = \frac{D_{W}(3) + A_{C} + A_{H}}{D_{W}(3)}; \qquad (4.5)$$

On the other hand, the THDM contribution to  $R_2$  is given by setting  $D_c = 0$  in (4.5):

$$R_{2} = \frac{D_{W}(3) + A_{H}}{D_{W}(3)}:$$
(4.6)

Let us proceed num erical study. In order to reduce the num ber of input param eters in the M SSM, we express the soft SU SY breaking scalar m asses in the sferm ion sector by a comm on mass parameter m<sub>0</sub>. A lso taking the scalar trilinear coupling  $A_f$  for sferm ion f as  $A_f = m_0$ , the MSSM contributions can be evaluated by using four parameters,  $m_0$ ; tan , the higgsino m ass term and the SU (2) gaugino m ass term  $m_2$ . In our study, these parameters are taken to be real. In Fig. 3, we show the MSSM and THDM contributions to  $R_1$ ;  $R_2$  parameters with the constraints on these parameters for  $\cos = 0.36$ . The num erical study was perform ed in the range of 100 G eV < m  $_0$  < 1 TeV; j j < 200 G eV and m  $_2$  = 200 G eV for tan = 2. We xed the charged Higgs boson mass at  $m_{H}$  = 200 GeV in the MSSM prediction. This is the reason why the MSSM contributions do not converge to  $R_1 = 1$  in the gure. We take into account the recent estimation of lower mass limits for lighter t-squark and lighter chargino [24]: 80 GeV m<sub>t~1</sub>  $m_{\pm 1}$ . The M SSM contribution to  $R_1$  interferes with that of the and 91 GeV SM constructively [19, 20, 25]. On the other hand, the contribution to  $R_2$  interferes with that of the SM both constructively and destructively. Contrary to the case of the M SSM, the THDM contribution constructively interferes with the SM contribution for both R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub>. The Yukawa interaction between the top-quark and the charged H iggs boson is proportional to  $1=\tan^2$  . Thus constraints on the THDM contribution to these quantities are weakened together with the increase oftan .

#### 5 Summary

We have studied in pacts on searching for signatures of new physics beyond the SM from some FCNC processes {  $B^{\,0}-\!\!\overline{B^{\,0}}$ ;  $K^{\,0}-\!\overline{K^{\,0}}$  mixings and the rare decay  $K^{\,+}$ !  $^+$   $^-$ . For a certain class ofm odels of new physics, two parameters  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  were introduced to estimate the new physics contributions to  $B^{\,0}-\!\!\overline{B^{\,0}}$ ;  $K^{\,0}-\!\overline{K^{\,0}}$  mixings and  $K^{\,+}$ !  $^+$   $^-$  decay, respectively. Then constraints on the new physics contributions are obtained from experimental data by using these parameters and  $\cos$ .

Taking account of both experimental and theoretical uncertainties for the B<sup>0</sup>-B<sup>0</sup> and K<sup>0</sup>-K<sup>0</sup> m ixings, we found current constraint on R<sub>1</sub> as 0:18 < R<sub>1</sub> < 1:68. With the assumption that the future data of Br(K<sup>+</sup> ! <sup>+</sup> <sup>-</sup>) will be close to the SM prediction, constraints on cos ;R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> were found. The results were applied to the M SSM and the THDM contributions to those processes. A lthough there are parameter space which give roughly 50% enhancement of R<sub>1</sub>, contributions to R<sub>2</sub> are less than 10%. So quite precise experimental measurement of K<sup>+</sup> ! <sup>+</sup> <sup>-</sup> is required to study constraints on the parameter space of these models.

### A cknow ledgm ent

The author would like to thank T.M orozum i and the organizing stas of the workshop for making an opportunity to give his talk. This work is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientic Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan.

#### References

- [1] Particle Data Group, R.M. Barnett et al., Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 1.
- [2] G.Buchalla, A.J.Buras and M.E.Lautenbacher, Rev. M od. Phys. 68 (1996) 1125.
- [3] J.Ellis and J.S.Hagelin, Nucl. Phys. B 217 (1983) 189;
  D.Rein and L.M. Sehgal, Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 3325;
  J.S.Hagelin and L.S.Littenberg, Prog.Part. Nucl. Phys. 23 (1989) 1;
  C.Q.Gang, I.J.Hsu and Y.C.Lin, Phys. Lett. B 355 (1995) 569;
  S.Fajfer, Nuov.Cin. A 110 (1997) 397.
- [4] E 787 collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 2204.
- [5] For reviews, see, H P.N illes, Phys. Rep. 110 (1984) 1,
   H E.Haber and G L.Kane, Phys. Rep. 117 (1985) 75.
- [6] See, e.g., J.F. Gunion, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane and S.Dawson, The Higgs Hunter's Guide, Addison-Wesley, (1990) and references therein.
- [7] T.Inamiand C.S.Lim, Prog. Theor. Phys. 65 (1981) 297; (E) 1772.
- [8] G. Buchalla and A. J. Buras, Nucl. Phys. B 400 (1993) 225.
- [9] G.Buchalla and A.J.Buras, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 6782.
- [10] W J.M arciano and Z.Parsa, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) R1.

- [11] A J.Buras and R.Fleischer, hep-ph/9704376;
   A J.Buras, hep-ph/9711217.
- [12] A.J.Buras, M. Jam in and P.H. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. B 347 (1990) 491.
- [13] A. Abada, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 376 (1992) 172. A. Abada, LPTHE O rsay-94/57.
- [14] S.Herrlich and U.Nierste, Nucl. Phys. B 419 (1994) 292;
   S.Herrlich and U.Nierste, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 6505, Nucl. Phys. B 476 (1996) 27.
- $[15] \ {\rm G} \ {\rm {\cal C}}$  . Cho, to appear in Euro. Phys. Journal. C .
- [16] A J.Buras, A.Romanio and L.Silvestrini, hep-ph/9712398.
- [17] CDF Collaboration, J. Lys, talk at ICHEP96, in Proc. of ICHEP96, (ed) Z. A jduk and A K. W roblewski, W orld Scentic, (1997);
  D 0 Collaboration, S.Protopopescu, talk at ICHEP96, in the proceedings.
  P.Tipton, talk at ICHEP96, in the proceedings.
- [18] T.Kurim oto, Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 3447;
   S. Bertolini, F. Borzum ati, A. Masiero and G. Ridol, Nucl. Phys. B 353 (1991) 591;
   G.Couture and H.Konig, Z.Phys. C 69 (1996) 499.
- [19] G C. Branco, G C. Cho, Y. K izukuriand N. Oshimo, Phys. Lett. B 337 (1994) 316; Nucl. Phys. B 449 (1995) 483.
- [20] T. Goto, T. Nihei and Y. Okada, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 5233; (E) D 54 (1996) 5904.
- [21] LF.Abott, P.Sikivie and M B.W ise, Phys. Rev. D 21 (1980) 1393.
- [22] S.Bertolini and A.Masiero, Phys.Lett.B 174 (1986) 343;
  B.Mukhopadhyaya and A.Raychaudhuri, Phys.Lett.B 189 (1987) 203;
  I.I.Bigi and F.Gabbiani, Nucl.Phys.B 367 (1991) 3;
  G.Couture and H.Konig, Z.Phys.C 69 (1995) 167;
  Y.Nir and M.P.Worah, hep-ph/9711215.
- [23] A.J. Buras, P. Krawczyk, M.E. Lautenbacher and C. Salazar, Nucl. Phys. B 337 (1990) 284.
- [24] P.Janot, talk given at International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Jerusalem 1997.
- [25] T.Kurim oto, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 10 (1995) 1577.