

Top-quark pair production via polarized and unpolarized protons in the supersymmetric QCD

Yu Zeng-Hui^{a,c} Herbert Pietschmann^a Ma Wen-Gan^{b,c} Han Liang^c Jiang Yi^c

^aInstitut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Wien, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

^bCCAST (World Laboratory), P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, P.R. China

^cDepartment of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China (USTC), Hefei, Anhui 230027, P.R. China

ABSTRACT

The QCD corrections to the top-quark pair production via both polarized and unpolarized gluon fusion in pp collisions are calculated in the Minimal Supersymmetric Model (MSSM). We find the MSSM QCD corrections can reach 4% and may be observable in future precise experiments. Furthermore, we studied the CP violation in the MSSM, our results show that the CP violating parameter is sensitive to the masses of SUSY particles (It becomes zero, when the c.m. energy is less than twice the masses of both gluino and stop quarks,) and may reach 10^{-3} .

PACS number(s): 13.65.+i, 13.88.+e, 14.65.-q, 14.80.Dg, 14.80.Gt

Supported in part by Committee of National Natural Science Foundation of China and Project IV B.12 of scientific and technological cooperation agreement between China and Austria

I. Introduction

The minimal supersymmetric model (MSSM) [1] is one of the most interesting extensions of the Standard Model (SM). Therefore testing the MSSM has attracted much interest. As is well known, the MSSM predicts supersymmetric (SUSY) partners to all particles expected by the SM, and searching for their existence is very important.

Since the top-quark was already found experimentally by the CDF and D0 Collaborations at Fermilab [2], we believe that more and more experimental events including top-quark will be collected in future experiments. That gives us a good chance to study the physics in top-quark pair production from pp or pp collisions with more precise experimental results. Because of the heavy mass of the top quark this process provides a test of the SM and possible signals of new physics at high energy.

The dominant subprocesses of top-quark pair production in pp or pp colliders are quark-antiquark annihilation and gluon-gluon fusion. The lowest order of those two subprocesses has been studied in Ref. [3]. There it was found that the former subprocess (qq annihilation) is more dominant in pp collisions when the c.m. energy ($\sqrt{s}$) is near the threshold value $2m_t$, whereas subprocess via gg fusion will be more and more important with increasing c.m. energy, and can become the most dominant one when the c.m. energy is much larger than $2m_t$.

In Ref. [4], the QCD corrections to top-quark pair production in pp collisions have been studied in the frame of the SM. It may seem natural that the QCD corrections of those processes in the frame of the MSSM are important for distinguishing those two

models. Recently, the SUSY QCD corrections to top pair production via $q\bar{q}$ annihilation were given in Ref. [5]. The SUSY QCD corrections via unpolarized gluon-gluon fusion were presented by C.S.Li et. al [6].

It is obvious that the correction from the SUSY QCD is related to the masses of top-quark and SUSY particles. Assuming the SUSY breaking scale at about 1 TeV, the masses of SUSY particles would be smaller than 1 TeV. So we can hope that corrections from SUSY particles are significant, since the heavy mass of the top quark ($m_t = 175.6 \pm 5.5$ GeV (world average)) may be comparable to some of the light SUSY particle masses. Therefore the SUSY QCD correction would give us some significant information about the existence of SUSY particles indirectly.

Recently, the spin structure of the nucleon has been intensely studied by polarized deep inelastic scattering experiments at CERN and SLAC. This knowledge allows us to find a clear signal beyond the SM, if we collect enough events in the process of top-quark pair production from polarized pp or $p\bar{p}$ collisions. In the SM QCD, there is no CP violation mechanism, whereas in the SUSY QCD, the situation may be different. If we introduce phase angle of quark SUSY partners, we can get CP violation in the MSSM QCD [7]. Once we get enough statistics of top-quark pairs from pp or $p\bar{p}$ colliders at higher energy, it will be possible to test CP violation. On the other hand, the spin-dependent parton distributions can be obtained from their polarized structure function data in Ref.[8][10][11]. There one found that the shape of polarized gluon and quark distributions in the nucleon depends on its polarization. Therefore the CP violation effects through the process of

top-quark pair production via gg fusion may be observed in polarized pp or pp collisions.

In this work we concentrate on the SUSY QCD corrections to the process $pp \rightarrow gg \rightarrow ttX$ both in polarized and unpolarized colliding beams. In section 2, we give the tree level contribution to subprocess $gg \rightarrow tt$. In section 3 we give the analytical expressions of the SUSY QCD corrections to $gg \rightarrow tt$. In section 4 the numerical results of the subprocess $gg \rightarrow tt$ and the process $pp \rightarrow gg \rightarrow ttX$ are presented. The conclusion is given in section 5 and some details of the expressions are listed in the appendix.

II. The Tree-Level Subprocess

The graphical representation of the process $g(k_1)g(k_2) \rightarrow t(p_1)t(p_2)$ is shown in Fig.1 (a). The Mandelstam variables are defined as usual

$$\hat{s} = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = (k_1 + k_2)^2 \quad (2.1)$$

$$\hat{t} = (p_1 - k_1)^2 = (k_2 - p_2)^2 \quad (2.2)$$

$$\hat{u} = (p_1 - k_2)^2 = (k_1 - p_2)^2 \quad (2.3)$$

so $\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u} = 2m_t^2$. The amplitude of tree-level diagrams with polarized gluons can be written as:[3] (a,b are color indices of external gluons, i,j are colors of external top-quarks and $T^a = \frac{\lambda^a}{2}$ are the Gell-Mann matrices.)

$$M_0^{(l)} = g_s^2 f^{abc} (k_1)_a (k_2)_b u_i(p_1) \bar{v}_j(p_2); \quad (l = s; t; u) \quad (2.4)$$

with

$$(s) = \frac{T_{ij}^c f_{abc}}{s} [(k_1 - k_2)_g + (2k_2 + k_1) \quad (2k_1 + k_2) \quad] \quad (2.5)$$

$$(t) = \frac{iT_{im}^a T_{mj}^b}{t m^2} (k_2 \not{p}_2 + m_t) \quad (2.6)$$

$$(u) = \frac{iT_{im}^b T_{mj}^a}{u m^2} (k_1 \not{p}_2 + m_t) \quad (2.7)$$

We chose a form in which only physical polarizations of gluons remained:

$$(\epsilon_1; k_1) (\epsilon_2; k_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(g + \frac{n \cdot k_1 + n \cdot k_2}{n \cdot k_4} \frac{n^2 k_1 \cdot k_2}{(n \cdot k_4)^2} + i \epsilon_{12} \frac{k_1 \cdot n}{n \cdot k_4} \right) \quad (2.8)$$

where $n = k_1 + k_2$, $\epsilon_{12} = \epsilon_{1\mu} \epsilon_2^\mu = 1$. From that, we can get the cross section at the tree-level with both polarized and unpolarized gluons.

III. SU SY QCD corrections (non-SM) to the subprocess $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$

1. Relevant Lagrangian in the MSSM.

The difference between the MSSM QCD and the SM QCD corrections stems from the interactions of SU SY particles. Thus we can divide SU SY QCD corrections into a standard and a non-standard part. The Lagrangian density of the non-SM part of the SU SY QCD interaction is written as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_4 \quad (3a1)$$

Where

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = ig_s A_a T_{jk}^a (\bar{\psi}_L^j \not{A}_L^k - \bar{\psi}_L^k \not{A}_L^j) + (L \leftrightarrow R) \quad (3a2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{1}{2} g_s^2 T_{jk}^a (g_a P_L \bar{\psi}_L^j \psi_L^k + \bar{\psi}_R^j \not{A}_R^k - g_a P_R \bar{\psi}_L^j \psi_R^k - \bar{\psi}_R^j \not{A}_R^k g_a \psi_R^k) \quad (3a3)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \frac{i}{2} g_s f_{abc} g^a \bar{\psi} \not{A}^b \psi \quad (3a4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \frac{1}{6} g_s^2 A^a A_a (\bar{\psi}_L \psi_L + \bar{\psi}_R \psi_R) + \frac{1}{2} g_s^2 d_{abc} A^a A^b (\bar{\psi}_L^i T_{ij}^c \psi_L^j + \bar{\psi}_R^i T_{ij}^c \psi_R^j) \quad (3a5)$$

q stands for quark, $\tilde{q}$ for corresponding squark, $\tilde{g}$ for gluino, P_L and P_R for left, right helicity projections, respectively. The mixing between the left- and right-handed stop quarks $\tilde{t}_L$ and $\tilde{t}_R$ can be very large due to the large mass of the top quark, and the lightest scalar top-quark mass eigenstate $\tilde{t}_1$ can be much lighter than the top-quark and all the scalar partners of the light quarks. Therefore the left-right mixing for the SUSY partners of the top quark plays an important role. Here we only considered the SUSY QCD effect from stop-quark, because we assume that other scalar SUSY quarks are much heavier than the stop-quark and hence decoupled. Furthermore we introduce the phase angle ϕ_A in the stop mixing matrix. Defining θ as mixing angle of stop-quark, we have

$$\tilde{t}_L = e^{\frac{i\phi_A}{2}} (\tilde{t}_1 \cos \theta + \tilde{t}_2 \sin \theta) \quad (3a:6)$$

$$\tilde{t}_R = e^{\frac{i\phi_A}{2}} (-\tilde{t}_1 \sin \theta + \tilde{t}_2 \cos \theta) \quad (3a:7)$$

where we suppose $m_{\tilde{t}_1} < m_{\tilde{t}_2}$.

2. Analytical results of the MSSM QCD corrections.

The one-loop SUSY QCD correction diagrams are shown in Fig.1 (b). In the following we present only the amplitude expressions of s-channel and t-channel. The amplitude of u-channel can be obtained from the t-channel expression by the following variable exchanges: $t \leftrightarrow u, k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2, a(k_1) \leftrightarrow b(k_2)$ and $T^a \leftrightarrow T^b$. The one-loop diagrams can be divided into three groups: the self-energy diagrams of gluon and top-quark shown in Fig.1 (b.1); gtt and ggg vertex correction diagrams shown in Fig.1 (b.2); box diagrams shown in Fig.1 (b.3). The ultraviolet divergence is controlled by dimensional regularization ($n = 4 - \epsilon$). The

strong coupling-constants are renormalized by using the modified Minimal Subtraction (MS) scheme at charge-renormalization scale μ_R . This scheme violates SUSY explicitly and the ggg Yukawa coupling $\hat{g}_s$, which should be the same with the ggg gauge coupling g_s in supersymmetry, takes a finite shift at one-loop order. Therefore we take this shift between $\hat{g}_s$ and g_s as shown in Eq.(3b.1) into account in our calculation, in order to have the physical amplitudes independent of the renormalization scheme and we subtract the contribution of the false, non-supersymmetric degrees of freedom (also called scalars) [12].

$$\hat{g}_s = g_s \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{4} \left(\frac{2}{3} C_A - \frac{1}{2} C_F \right) \right); \quad (3b.1)$$

where $C_A = 3$ and $C_F = 4/3$ are the Casimir invariants of SU(3) gauge group. The heavy particles(top quarks, gluino, stop-quarks, etc.) are removed from the μ_R evolution of $\alpha_s(\frac{2}{R})$, then they are decoupled smoothly when momenta are smaller than their masses[13]. We define masses of heavy particles as pole masses.

The renormalized amplitude corresponding to all SUSY QCD one-loop corrections (as shown in Fig.1) can be split into the following components:

$$M = M_s + M_v + M_{box} + M_d; \quad (3b.2)$$

where M_s , M_v , M_{box} and M_d are the one-loop amplitudes corresponding to the self-energy, vertex, box correction diagrams and the decoupling part, respectively. The M_d stems from the decoupling of the heavy flavors from the running strong coupling, and is

given explicitly by (see also [12] [13]):

$$M_d = M_0 \left(\frac{s}{m_t^2} \right) \left[\frac{1}{24} \log \left(\frac{m_t^2}{m_{t_1}^2} \right) + \frac{1}{24} \log \left(\frac{m_t^2}{m_{t_2}^2} \right) + \frac{1}{6} \log \left(\frac{m_t^2}{m_t^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{m_t^2}{m_g^2} \right) \right] \quad (3b3)$$

3. Self-energy corrections to the amplitude.

The amplitude of self-energy diagrams M_s (Fig.1.(b.1)) can be decomposed into M_s^g (gluon self-energy) and M_s^q (top-quark self-energy), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} M_s &= M_s^g + M_s^q \\ &= M_s^{g(s)} + M_s^{g(t)} + M_s^{g(u)} + M_s^{q(t)} + M_s^{q(u)}; \end{aligned} \quad (3c1)$$

The amplitudes $M_s^{g(s)}$, $M_s^{g(t)}$ and $M_s^{g(u)}$ are for s-, t- and u-channel, respectively. They can be expressed as:

$$M_s^{g(s)} = \frac{1}{2} M_0^{(s)} [(k_1^2) + (k_2^2) + 2(s)]; \quad (3c2)$$

$$M_s^{g(t)} = \frac{1}{2} M_0^{(t)} [(k_1^2) + (k_2^2)]; \quad (3c3)$$

$$M_s^{g(u)} = \frac{1}{2} M_0^{(u)} [(k_1^2) + (k_2^2)]; \quad (3c4)$$

where M_0 is the tree-level amplitude defined in Eq. (2.4).

$$\begin{aligned} (k^2) &= \frac{-s}{4} (T_F (B_0 + 4B_1 + 4B_{21}) [k; m_{t_1}; m_{t_1}] + \\ &\quad T_F (B_0 + 4B_1 + 4B_{21}) [k; m_{t_2}; m_{t_2}] - 4C_A (B_1 + B_{21}) [k; m_g; m_g] - \frac{1}{3} C_A); \end{aligned} \quad (3c5)$$

where $C_F = \frac{4}{3}$, $T_F = \frac{1}{2}$, $C_A = 3$ are invariants in the SU(3) color group, B_i and B_{ij} are Passarino-Veltman two-point functions [14][15]. The definitions of B_0 , B_1 and B_{21} are listed in Appendix A. The amplitude $M_s^{q(t)}$ is written as:

$$\begin{aligned} M_s^{q(t)} &= \frac{ig_s^2 T_{ik}^a T_{lj}^b}{(t - m_t^2)^2} \gamma^a (k_1) \gamma^b (k_2) u_i(p_1) \\ &\quad \hat{k_2} \not{p_2 + m_t} \hat{k_1} (k_2 - p_2) (k_2 - \not{p_2 + m_t}) \gamma_j(p_2); \end{aligned} \quad (3c6)$$

Here we define

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{kl}(\mathbf{p}) = C_F (H_L \not{\mathbf{p}} P_L + H_R \not{\mathbf{p}} P_R - H_L^S P_L - H_R^S P_R) \Gamma_{kl} \quad (3\text{x}7)$$

with

$$H_L = \frac{g_s^2}{8^{3/2}} x_1 x_3 B_1 [\mathbf{p}; m_g; m_{t_1}] + (m_{t_1} - m_{t_2}; x_i - y_i) + \frac{1}{2} (Z_L + Z_L^Y); \quad (3\text{x}8)$$

$$H_R = \frac{g_s^2}{8^{3/2}} x_2 x_4 B_1 [\mathbf{p}; m_g; m_{t_1}] + (m_{t_1} - m_{t_2}; x_i - y_i) + \frac{1}{2} (Z_R + Z_R^Y); \quad (3\text{x}9)$$

$$H_L^S = \frac{g_s^2}{8^{3/2}} x_2 x_3 m_g B_0 [\mathbf{p}; m_g; m_{t_1}] + (m_{t_1} - m_{t_2}; x_i - y_i) + \frac{1}{2} m_t (Z_L + Z_R^Y) + m_t; \quad (3\text{x}10)$$

$$H_R^S = \frac{g_s^2}{8^{3/2}} x_1 x_4 m_g B_0 [\mathbf{p}; m_g; m_{t_1}] + (m_{t_1} - m_{t_2}; x_i - y_i) + \frac{1}{2} m_t (Z_R + Z_L^Y) + m_t; \quad (3\text{x}11)$$

Where we abbreviate $\theta = \theta_A$, $x_1 = \cos \theta$, $x_2 = \sin \theta$, $x_3 = \cos \theta$, $x_4 = \sin \theta$, $y_1 = \sin \theta$, $y_2 = \cos \theta$, $y_3 = \sin \theta$, $y_4 = \cos \theta$, and θ is mixing angle of stop-quarks, see Eq (3a.6 - 7).

The explicit expressions of the top-quark wave-function renormalization constants have the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_L &= \frac{g_s^2}{8^{3/2}} (x_1 x_3 \text{Re} B_1] - \frac{m_g}{m_b} (x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3) \text{Re} B_0] \\ &+ m_t^2 (x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_4) \text{Re} B_1] \\ &- m_t m_g (x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_4) \text{Re} B_0] [\mathbf{p}; m_g; m_{t_1}]_{p^2=m_t^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (3\text{x}12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_R &= \frac{g_s^2}{8^{3/2}} (x_2 x_4 \text{Re} B_1] + m_t^2 (x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_4) \text{Re} B_1] \\ &- m_t m_g (x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_4) \text{Re} B_0] [\mathbf{p}; m_g; m_{t_1}]_{p^2=m_t^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (3\text{x}13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_t &= \frac{g_s^2}{16^{3/2}} ((x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_4) m_t \text{Re} B_1] \\ &- (x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_4) m_g \text{Re} B_0] [\mathbf{p}; m_g; m_{t_1}]_{p^2=m_t^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (3\text{x}14)$$

We use the following abbreviations: $B_{ij}^{(0)}[p; m_1; m_2] = \frac{\partial B_{ij}[p; m_1; m_2]}{\partial p^2}$.

4. Vertex-corrections to the amplitude.

The amplitudes for vertex diagrams can be expressed as:

$$M_v^{(l)} = g_s \gamma^a(k_1) \gamma^b(k_2) u_i(p_1) \gamma^l v_j(p_2); \quad (l = s, t, u); \quad (3d1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (s) = & \frac{T_{ij}^c}{s} \gamma^{(3g)}(k_1; k_2) \gamma^i \\ & \frac{f_{abc}}{s} [(k_1 - k_2) \gamma_h + (2k_2 + k_1) \gamma] g_{(ij)}(p_1; p_2); \end{aligned} \quad (3d2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (t) = & \frac{i}{t m_t^2} T_{mj}^b \gamma^{(3g)}(p_1; k_1 - p_1) \gamma^i (k_2 - p_2 + m_t) \gamma_{io} \\ & + T_{im}^a (k_2 - p_2 + m_t) \gamma_{(ij)}^b (k_2 - p_2; p_2); \end{aligned} \quad (3d3)$$

The functions $\gamma^{(3g)}$ and $\gamma_{(ij)}^a$ are listed in Appendix B.

5. Box-corrections to the amplitude.

The box diagram corrections in the t-channel (Fig.1(b.3)) are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\text{box}}^{(t)} = & 2g_s^2 \gamma^a(k_1) \gamma^b(k_2) u_i(p_1) ((T^c T^a T^b T^c)_{ij} F^{(t1)} \\ & + i f_{bcd} (T^c T^a T^d)_{ij} F^{(t2)} + f_{acm} f_{bmd} (T^c T^d)_{ij} F^{(t3)} \\ & + [T^c (T^a T^b + T^b T^a) T^c]_{ij} F^{(t4)}) v_j(p_2); \end{aligned} \quad (3e1)$$

Where f_{abc} is defined as $[T^a; T^b] = i f_{abc} T^c$. The form factors $F^{(ti)}$ ($i = 1 \dots 4$) correspond to the kernel of the four Feynman diagrams in Fig.1(b.3) respectively and are given explicitly in Appendix C.

6. Total cross section.

Collecting all terms in Eq (3b2), we can get the total cross section:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\lambda_1; \lambda_2) &= \sigma_0(\lambda_1; \lambda_2) \left(1 + \frac{R_P}{16 s^2} \frac{dt}{dt} \sum_{\text{spins}} [M_0^2 + 2 \text{Re}(M_0^y M_0)] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3f1)$$

where $t = (m_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}s) - \frac{1}{2}s \cos \theta$, $t = -\frac{q^2}{1 - 4m_t^2/s}$, and the spin sum is performed only over the final top-quark pair when we considered polarized gluons.

IV. Numerical results

We denote $\hat{\sigma}_0$ for the Born cross section and $\hat{\sigma}$ for the cross section including one-loop SUSY QCD corrections of subprocess $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$, and define its relative correction as $\hat{\sigma} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_0}{\hat{\sigma}_0}$. For polarized gluon fusions, $\hat{\sigma}_{++}$, $\hat{\sigma}_{--}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{+-}$ are the cross sections with positive, negative and mixed polarization of the gluons, respectively. In order to inspect the CP violating effects we introduce the CP-violation parameter for the subprocess defined by $\hat{\sigma}_{CP} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{++} - \hat{\sigma}_{--}}{\hat{\sigma}_{++} + \hat{\sigma}_{--}}$. The possible SUSY QCD effects in $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ should be observed in pp colliders. By analogy we can define also the relative correction and CP violating parameter for the process $pp \rightarrow gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ as $\sigma = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_0}$ and $\sigma_{CP} = \frac{\sigma_{++} - \sigma_{--}}{\sigma_{++} + \sigma_{--}}$, respectively. The SUSY QCD contribution to the process $p(P_1; x)p(P_2; y) \rightarrow gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}X$ (x, y are polarizations of protons) can be obtained by convoluting the subprocess with gluon distribution functions.

$$\sigma(s) = \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 G(x_1; Q) G(x_2; Q) \hat{\sigma}(s; x_1, x_2) \quad (41)$$

with $k_1 = x_1 P_1$, $k_2 = x_2 P_2$ and $s = x_1 x_2 s = \hat{s}$. $G(x_i; Q)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are gluon distribution functions of protons. We take $Q = \sqrt{s} = 2m_t$.

In order to get results of top quark pair production from polarized pp collisions, we need to consider the polarized gluon distributions in protons. The cross sections of polarized $pp \rightarrow gg \rightarrow ttX$ can be written as

$$\sigma(x; y) = \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 G^{\lambda_1}(x_1; Q) G^{\lambda_2}(x_2; Q) \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\hat{s}; \mu_s(\sqrt{s})) \quad (4.2)$$

where x and y are the polarizations of incoming protons and λ_1, λ_2 are the polarizations of gluons inside protons. $G^{\lambda_1}(x; Q); G^{\lambda_2}(x; Q) = G^{\pm}(x; Q)$ for equal (+) and opposite (-) polarization, $G^+(x; Q)$ and $G^-(x; Q)$ are polarized gluon distribution functions in the proton.

We used unpolarized proton structure functions of Glück et al. [9] in our numerical calculations. For the polarized proton structure functions, we use the evolution equations of Glück et al. [10] with input parameters from the paper of Stratmann et al. [11] (Next-To-Leading-Order). Since the structure functions are one of the least certain input in our calculation, we checked the result against other set, i.e. the polarized structure functions $G^{\pm}(x; Q)$ of Brodsky et. al [8] (Using Leading-Order only). This tests the stability of our results against the particular form of the input structure functions. The two different sets of input are compared in Fig.2, which gives the relative SUSY QCD correction (%) and σ_{CP} versus c.m. energy $\sqrt{s}$ for the process $pp \rightarrow gg \rightarrow ttX$. Though the SUSY QCD corrections from the two sets of structure functions are not too different, for $\sqrt{s}$, there is some noticeable change for σ_{CP} . Because σ_{CP} depends strongly on the c.m. energy of the subprocess $gg \rightarrow tt$ (shown in Fig.3(b)), a small modification of structure functions may lead to a large change of σ_{CP} . Thus we can infer that the NLO-QCD calculation

is required and the precise numerical prediction does depend on the reliability of the structure functions.

The SUSY QCD relative corrections are about 2%–4% and decrease with increasing $\sqrt{s}$ energy from Fig.2. These correction effects are within reach of future precision experiments and provide a possible discrimination of the SM and the MSSM effects. From Fig.2(c) we can see that the CP violation parameter α_{CP} can be 10^{-3} . Therefore, CP violation in this process stemming from the SUSY QCD can in principle be tested in future precision experiments. That would help us to learn more about the sources of CP violation.

In order to explore the effects of the SUSY QCD correction for future arrangements of optimal experimental conditions, we also investigate the subprocess $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$.

The relative SUSY QCD correction and CP violating parameter versus $\sqrt{s}$ energy ($\sqrt{s}$) for different polarization gluons are plotted in Fig.3 (a–c) with $m_g = 200$ GeV, $m_{t_1} = 250$ GeV, $m_{t_2} = 450$ GeV, and $\alpha_s = 0.45$. In Fig.3(a) $\hat{\sigma}_{++}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{+-}$ are drawn in solid line and dashed line, respectively. $\hat{\alpha}_{CP}$ as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ energy is depicted in Fig.3(b) and $\hat{\sigma}_{+-}$ as function of $\sqrt{s}$ is plotted in Fig.3(c). Each curve in Fig.3(a) has an obvious peak near the position of the threshold of top pair production. That large enhancement is the combined effect of the threshold, when $\sqrt{s}$ is just larger than $2m_t = 350$ GeV, and the resonance when $\sqrt{s} = 2m_g = 400$ GeV. The small spikes around the position of $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV, there $\sqrt{s} = 2m_{t_2} = 900$ GeV, shows also the resonance effect. Although Fig.3(a) shows that $\hat{\sigma}_{++}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{+-}$ approach equal values when

the cm . energy is far beyond its threshold value $2m_t$, the quantitative difference between $\hat{+}$ and $\hat{++}$ still exists in the whole energy range plotted in these figures. Fig.3(b) shows also that $\hat{CP}$ will be zero, if the cm . energy is below the threshold of SUSY particles in the loop (i.e. $\sqrt{s} - 2m_g = 400 \text{ GeV}$ in Fig.3(b)). This is reasonable because only beyond this point can we have absorptive terms which give contributions to $\hat{CP}$. $\hat{CP}$ has obvious resonance effect in the regions around $\sqrt{s} - 2m_g = 400 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} - 2m_{t_i}; (i = 1; 2) = 500 \text{ GeV}; 900 \text{ GeV}$. We also find that the two stop quarks give opposite contributions to $\hat{CP}$ and when their masses are degenerate $\hat{CP}$ will vanish. When the cm . energy $\sqrt{s}$ is larger than 1 TeV , $\hat{CP}$ will be near zero, because the contributions from the two stop quarks will cancel each other. Therefore a quantitative strong change of $\hat{CP}$ as function of cm . energy can be an indication for the signals of stop quarks and gluino.

$\hat{+}(\eta; \theta)$ and $\hat{CP}$ as functions of m_g are shown in Fig.4 (a) and Fig.4 (b), respectively. In Fig.4 we take $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{t_1} = 100 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{t_2} = 450 \text{ GeV}$, $\eta = \theta = 45^\circ$. We can see from Fig.4(b) that $\hat{CP}$ changes its sign when m_g is near $m_t = 175 \text{ GeV}$. The curves in Fig.4(a) (b) show again the resonance effect when $\sqrt{s} - 2m_g = 500 \text{ GeV}$, note that for each line there is a steep change of the value of $\hat{+}(\eta; \theta)$ or $\hat{CP}$ around the position of $m_g = 250 \text{ GeV}$.

Dependences of relative correction $\hat{+}$ and $\hat{CP}$ for the subprocess $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ on m_{t_1} are plotted in Fig.5 (a) and Fig.5 (b). $\hat{+}$ and $\hat{CP}$ as functions of m_{t_2} are shown in Fig.6 (a) and Fig.6 (b), respectively. In all figures of Fig.5 and Fig.6, we take the common

parameter set with $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$, $m_g = 200 \text{ GeV}$ and $\beta = 45^\circ$. In Fig.5, we set $m_{\tilde{t}_2} = 450 \text{ GeV}$, whereas $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ in Fig. 6. We find that $\hat{c}_P$ in fact increases with mass splitting of stop-quarks (i.e. $m_{\tilde{t}_2} - m_{\tilde{t}_1}$) and when $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = m_{\tilde{t}_2}$, $\hat{c}_P$ is equal to zero. The resonance effect of stop quarks, when $\sqrt{s} = 2 m_{\tilde{t}_i}$ ($i = 1;2$), is superimposed on the curves in Fig.5 (a) (b) and Fig. 6(a) (b) around the positions of $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ in Fig.5(a) (b) and $m_{\tilde{t}_2} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ in Fig.6(a) (b), respectively. Around those points the relatively sharp changes of the values of $\hat{c}_P$ and the relative corrections are shown in these figures.

Finally, the dependence of $\hat{c}_P$ and $\hat{c}_{CP}$ on the phase β is shown in Fig.7 (a) and (b). In Fig.7, we take $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$, $m_g = 200 \text{ GeV}$, $\beta = 45^\circ$ and $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 150 \text{ GeV}$. We find that $\hat{c}_P$ is directly proportional to $\sin(2\beta)$ and reaches its maximal value when $\beta = \frac{\pi}{4}$.

IV . Conclusion

In this work we have studied the one-loop supersymmetric QCD corrections to the sub-process $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ and process $pp \rightarrow gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}X$. The calculations show that the SUSY QCD effects are significant. The absolute values of the corrections are about 2% - 4%, so they may be observable in future precision experiments. Furthermore, we find $\hat{c}_P$ depends strongly on masses of SUSY particles and can reach 10^{-3} when we take plausible SUSY parameters.

The results show that there is an obvious difference between the corrections for the protons polarized with parallel spin and that with anti-parallel spin. Hence there is a

possibility to study spin-dependence in the frame of the MSSM QCD.

We also presented and discussed the results of the subprocess $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$. We find that when the cm. energy passes through the value $2m_g$ or $2m_{t_i}$ ($i = 1; 2$), the value of the CP violating parameter $\hat{C}_P$ changes strongly. If cm. energy is less than both $2m_g$ and $2m_{t_i}$ ($i = 1; 2$), $\hat{C}_P$ will be zero. If in future experiments a sharp change in $\hat{C}_P$ is found with $\sqrt{s}$ running from low cm. energy to high cm. energy, it would be interpreted as a signal of SUSY particles. Furthermore, because the CP violating parameter $\hat{C}_P$ is sensitive on the mass of gluino (as shown in Fig.4 (b)) and the mass splitting of stop-quarks $m_{t_2} - m_{t_1}$ (as shown in Fig.5 and Fig.6), we can also get information of SUSY particles from precise measurements of $\hat{C}_P$.

The authors would like to thank Prof. A. Bartl for useful discussions and comments. One of the authors, Yu Zeng-Hui, would like to thank Prof. H. Stremnitzer for his help.

Appendix

A. Loop integrals:

We adopt the definitions of two-, three- and four-point one-loop Passarino-Veltman integral functions of reference [14][15].

1. The two-point integrals are:

$$iB_0(p; m_1; m_2) = \frac{(2\pi)^{4-n}}{i^2} \int d^n q \frac{f_1(q; q, q)}{[q^2 - m_1^2][(q+p)^2 - m_2^2]}, \quad (A.1)$$

The function B_0 is proportional to p^2 :

$$B_0(p; m_1; m_2) = p^2 B_1(p; m_1; m_2) \quad (A.2)$$

Similarly we define:

$$B_1 = p^2 B_{21} + g B_{22} \quad (A.3)$$

We denote $B_0 = B_0$, $B_1 = B_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ and $B_{21} = B_{21} - \frac{1}{3}$. with $\Delta = \frac{2}{\epsilon} + \log(4)$, $\epsilon = 4 - n$. Δ is the scale parameter.

2. The three-point integrals are:

$$iC_0(p; k; m_1; m_2; m_3) = \frac{(2\pi)^{4-n}}{i^2} \int d^n q \frac{f_1(q; q, q; q, q, q)}{[q^2 - m_1^2][(q+p)^2 - m_2^2][(q+p+k)^2 - m_3^2]}, \quad (A.4)$$

We define form factors as follows:

$$C_1 = p^2 C_{11} + k^2 C_{12}$$

$$C_{20} = p \cdot p \cdot C_{21} + k \cdot k \cdot C_{22} + (p \cdot k + k \cdot p) C_{23} + g \cdot C_{24}$$

$$C_{30} = p \cdot p \cdot p \cdot C_{31} + k \cdot k \cdot k \cdot C_{32} + (k \cdot p \cdot p + p \cdot k \cdot p + p \cdot p \cdot k) C_{33} +$$

$$(k \cdot k \cdot p + p \cdot k \cdot k + k \cdot p \cdot k) C_{34} + (p \cdot g + p \cdot g + p \cdot g) C_{35} +$$

$$(k \cdot g + k \cdot g + k \cdot g) C_{36} \quad (A.2.5)$$

3. The four-point integrals are:

$$D_0(p; k; l; m_1; m_2; m_3; m_4) = \frac{(2\pi)^{4-n}}{i^2} \int d^n q \frac{f_1(q; q, q, q, q, q, q, q)}{[q^2 - m_1^2][(q+p)^2 - m_2^2][(q+p+k)^2 - m_3^2][(q+p+k+l)^2 - m_4^2]}; \quad (A.2.6)$$

Again we define form-factors of D functions:

$$D_{20} = p \cdot D_{11} + k \cdot D_{12} + l \cdot D_{13}$$

$$D_{30} = p \cdot p \cdot D_{21} + k \cdot k \cdot D_{22} + l \cdot l \cdot D_{23} + fpkg \cdot D_{24} + fpkg \cdot D_{25} + flkg \cdot D_{26} + g \cdot D_{27}$$

$$D_{40} = p \cdot p_{\mu} p \cdot D_{31} + k \cdot k_{\mu} k \cdot D_{32} + l \cdot l_{\mu} l \cdot D_{33} + fpkpg \cdot D_{34} +$$

$$flppg \cdot D_{35} + fpkkg \cdot D_{36} + fpkkg \cdot D_{37} + flkkg \cdot D_{38} +$$

$$fkllg \cdot D_{39} + fpkkg \cdot D_{310} + fpkgg \cdot D_{311} + fkkgg \cdot D_{312} + flgg \cdot D_{313} \quad (A.2.7)$$

where

$$fpkg = p \cdot k + k \cdot p$$

$$fpkkg = p \cdot k \cdot l + l \cdot p \cdot k + k \cdot l \cdot p$$

$$fpkgg = p \cdot g + p \cdot g + p \cdot g \quad (A.2.8)$$

The numerical calculation of the vector and tensor loop integral functions can be traced back to the four scalar loop integrals A_0, B_0, C_0 and D_0 in Ref.[14][15] and the references therein.

B . Vertex corrections:

The 3-gluon-vertex can be written as: (a, b, c are the color indices of the external gluons)

$$^{(3g)}(k_1; k_2) = \frac{ig_s^3}{16^{\frac{3}{2}}} \text{Tr} (T^b T^c T^a) \quad^{(1)}(k_1; k_2) + i f^{cmn} f^{anl} f^{blm} \quad^{(2)}(k_1; k_2) \quad^{(A \rightarrow B)};$$

the vertex functions $^{(1)}$; $^{(2)}$ are expressed as follow s:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(a)}(k_1; k_2) = & f_1^{(a)} g_{k_1} + f_2^{(a)} g_{k_1} + f_3^{(a)} g_{k_2} + f_4^{(a)} g_{k_2} \\ & + f_5^{(a)} k_1 k_1 k_2 + f_6^{(a)} k_1 k_2 k_2 \\ & + (m_{t_1}! m_{t_2}! x_i! y_i); \end{aligned} \quad (A \ 22)$$

where $a = 1; 2$, and the $f_i^{(1)}; f_i^{(2)}$ are given in terms of the Passarino-Veltman functions with internal stop lines $C_{ij}^{(1)} (= C_{ij}[k_1; k_2; m_{\tilde{t}_1}; m_{\tilde{t}_1}; m_{\tilde{t}_1}])$ and internal gluino lines $C_{ij}^{(2)} (= C_{ij}[k_1; k_2; m_g; m_g; m_g])$. For simplicity, we abbreviate the definite part of C integral functions (using the definitions of [14][15]) as follows: $C_{24}^{(a)} = C_{24}^{(a)} - \frac{1}{4}$, $C_{35}^{(a)} = C_{35}^{(a)} + \frac{1}{6}$, $C_{36}^{(a)} = C_{35}^{(a)} + \frac{1}{12}$. ($a = 1; 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^{(1)} &= 8C_{24}^{(1)} \quad 8C_{35}^{(1)} ; \\ f_2^{(1)} &= 4C_{24}^{(1)} \quad 8C_{35}^{(1)} ; \\ f_3^{(1)} &= 8C_{36}^{(1)} ; \\ f_4^{(1)} &= 4C_{24}^{(1)} \quad 8C_{36}^{(1)} ; \end{aligned} \quad (A \ 33)$$

$$f_5^{(1)} = 4C_{12}^{(1)} + 12C_{23}^{(1)} + 8C_{33}^{(1)} ;$$

$$f_6^{(1)} = 4C_{12}^{(1)} + 8C_{22}^{(1)} + 4C_{23}^{(1)} + 8C_{34}^{(1)} ;$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^{(2)} &= 8m_g^2 C_0^{(2)} + 4m_g^2 C_{11}^{(2)} + 16C_{24}^{(2)} + 12C_{24}^{(2)} + 8C_{35}^{(2)} + 6C_{35}^{(2)} \\ &\quad + 8k_1 C_{12}^{(2)} + 16k_1 C_{23}^{(2)} + 8k_1 C_{33}^{(2)} ; \\ f_2^{(2)} &= 4m_g^2 C_{11}^{(2)} + 8C_{35}^{(2)} + 6C_{35}^{(2)} + 8C_{23}^{(2)} k_1 + 8C_{33}^{(2)} k_1 ; \\ f_3^{(2)} &= 4m_g^2 C_0^{(2)} + 4m_g^2 C_{12}^{(2)} + 8C_{24}^{(2)} + 6C_{24}^{(2)} + 8C_{36}^{(2)} + 6C_{36}^{(2)} \\ &\quad + 8k_1 C_{22}^{(2)} + 8k_1 C_{34}^{(2)} ; \\ f_4^{(2)} &= 4m_g^2 C_0^{(2)} + 4m_g^2 C_{12}^{(2)} + 8C_{24}^{(2)} + 6C_{24}^{(2)} + 8C_{36}^{(2)} + 6C_{36}^{(2)} + \\ &\quad + 8k_1 C_{12}^{(2)} + 8k_1 C_{22}^{(2)} + 8k_1 C_{23}^{(2)} + 8k_1 C_{34}^{(2)} ; \\ f_5^{(2)} &= 8C_{12}^{(2)} + 24C_{23}^{(2)} + 16C_{33}^{(2)} \\ f_6^{(2)} &= 8C_{12}^{(2)} + 16C_{22}^{(2)} + 8C_{23}^{(2)} + 16C_{34}^{(2)} \end{aligned} \quad (A.4)$$

Similarly, the gtt vertex functions are composed of left-handed and right-handed contributions plus a counterterm : We define a as color index of external gluon and i, j as colors of external top-quarks)

$$\begin{aligned} a_{(ij)}(p_1; p_2) &= \frac{g_s g_a^2}{16\pi^2} T_{ij}^a f(2C_F - C_A) ({}^{(1L)}(p_1; p_2) P_L + {}^{(1R)}(p_1; p_2) P_R) \\ &\quad + C_A ({}^{(2L)}(p_1; p_2) P_L + {}^{(2R)}(p_1; p_2) P_R) g \\ &\quad + (m_{t_1} - m_{t_2}; x_i - y_i) + {}^{(CT)} \end{aligned} \quad (A.5)$$

The expressions of ${}^{(n)}$; $n = 1L; 1R; 2L; 2R$ are given as following:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(n)}(p_1; p_2) &= h_1^{(n)} + h_2^{(n)} p_1 + h_3^{(n)} p_2 + h_4^{(n)} \not{p}_1 p_1 \\ &\quad + h_5^{(n)} \not{p}_1 p_2 + h_6^{(n)} \not{p}_2 p_1 + h_7^{(n)} \not{p}_2 p_2 + h_8^{(n)} \not{p}_1 \\ &\quad + h_9^{(n)} \not{p}_2 + h_{10}^{(n)} \not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (A.6)$$

We define

$$C_0^{(3)}; C_{ij}^{(3)} = C_0; C_{ij} [p_1; p_2; m_{t_1}; m_g; m_{t_1}]$$

$$C_0^{(4)}; C_{ij}^{(4)} = C_0; C_{ij} [p_1; p_2; m_g; m_{t_1}; m_g]$$

Then we can get $h_i^{(n)}$ as follows: ($i=1,2,\dots,10$)

$$h_1^{(1L)} = 2x_2x_4C_{24}^{(3)}$$

$$h_2^{(1L)} = x_2x_3m_g(C_0^{(3)} + 2C_{11}^{(3)})$$

$$h_3^{(1L)} = x_2x_3m_g(C_0^{(3)} + 2C_{12}^{(3)})$$

$$h_4^{(1L)} = x_2x_4(C_0^{(3)} + 3C_{11}^{(3)} + 2C_{21}^{(3)}) \quad (A.37)$$

$$h_5^{(1L)} = x_2x_4(C_0^{(3)} + C_{11}^{(3)} + 2C_{12}^{(3)} + 2C_{23}^{(3)})$$

$$h_6^{(1L)} = x_2x_4(C_{12}^{(3)} + 2C_{23}^{(3)})$$

$$h_7^{(1L)} = x_2x_4(C_{12}^{(3)} + 2C_{22}^{(3)})$$

$$h_8^{(1L)} = h_9^{(1L)} = h_{10}^{(1L)} = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{(2L)} = & x_2x_4(m_g^2C_0^{(4)} + 2C_{24}^{(4)} + C_{24}^{(4)}) \\ & + x_2x_4p_1^2(C_{11}^{(4)} + C_{21}^{(4)}) + 2x_2x_4p_1p_2(C_{12}^{(4)} + C_{23}^{(4)}) \\ & + x_2x_4p_2^2(C_{12}^{(4)} + C_{22}^{(4)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$h_2^{(2L)} = 2x_2x_3m_g^2C_{11}^{(4)}$$

$$h_3^{(2L)} = 2x_2x_3m_g^2C_{12}^{(4)}$$

$$h_4^{(2L)} = 2x_2x_4(C_{11}^{(4)} + C_{21}^{(4)}) \quad (A.38)$$

$$h_5^{(2L)} = 2x_2x_4(C_{12}^{(4)} + C_{23}^{(4)})$$

$$h_6^{(2L)} = 2x_2x_4(C_{11}^{(4)} + C_{23}^{(4)})$$

$$h_7^{(2L)} = 2x_2x_4 (C_{12}^{(4)} + C_{22}^{(4)})$$

$$h_8^{(2L)} = h_9^{(2L)} = x_2x_3m_g^2C_0^{(4)}$$

$$h_{10}^{(2L)} = x_2x_4 (C_{11}^{(4)} - C_{12}^{(4)})$$

$h_i^{(1R)}$ and $h_i^{(2R)}$ can be obtained by exchanging $x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2$ and $x_3 \leftrightarrow x_4$ in $h_i^{(1L)}$ and $h_i^{(2L)}$.

($i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$)

The counter terms are given by:

$$(C^T) = C_F \frac{g_s^2}{2} T_{ij}^a \left((Z_L + Z_L^Y) P_L + (Z_R + Z_R^Y) P_R \right) \quad (A.10)$$

The wave function renormalization constants can be obtained from Eq.(3.12) and Eq.(3.13).

Counter terms:

Finally, we list the four form factors F^{ti} as given in Eq.(3.1) in terms of Passarino-

Velmann functions. First, we define F_k^{tiL} and F_k^{tiR} by:

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(ti)} = & \frac{ig_s^2}{16\pi^2} P_R \left[F_1^{(tiR)} + F_2^{(tiR)} \right. \\ & + p_1 F_3^{(tiR)} + p_2 F_4^{(tiR)} \\ & + p_1 F_5^{(tiR)} + p_2 F_6^{(tiR)} \\ & + k_1 F_7^{(tiR)} + k_1 F_8^{(tiR)} \\ & + k_1 p_1 p_2 F_9^{(tiR)} + k_1 p_2 p_1 F_{10}^{(tiR)} \\ & + k_1 p_1 F_{11}^{(tiR)} + k_1 p_2 F_{12}^{(tiR)} \\ & + k_1 p_1 F_{13}^{(tiR)} + k_1 p_2 F_{14}^{(tiR)} \\ & + p_1 p_2 F_{15}^{(tiR)} + p_1 p_1 F_{16}^{(tiR)} \\ & + p_2 p_1 F_{17}^{(tiR)} + k_1 p_1 p_1 F_{18}^{(tiR)} \\ & \left. + k_1 p_2 p_2 F_{19}^{(tiR)} + p_2 p_2 F_{20}^{(tiR)} \right] \\ & + (P_R \rightarrow P_L; F_k^{(tiR)} \rightarrow F_k^{(tiL)}); (k = 1 \dots 20; i = 1 \dots 4): \end{aligned} \quad (A.11)$$

In the following we only give the expressions of F_k^{tiR} ($k = 1, 2, \dots, 20$ and $i = 1 \dots 4$). The

expressions of F_k^{tiL} can be obtained from F_k^{tiR} by exchanging $x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2$ and $x_3 \leftrightarrow x_4$.

Furthermore, the form factors in the u-channel are given by

$$F^{(ui)}(k_1; k_2; p_1; p_2) = F^{(ti)}(k_2; k_1; p_1; p_2) \quad (\text{A } \text{c2})$$

The expressions of $F_k^{(t1R)}$ ($k = 1 \dots 20$) are given as below :

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(t1R)} &= F_2^{(t1R)} \\ &= 2x_1x_4m_g D_{27}^{(1)} + 2x_2x_4m_t D_{311}^{(1)} \\ &\quad + 2(x_1x_3 - x_2x_4)m_t D_{313}^{(1)}; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } \text{c3})$$

$$F_3^{(t1R)} = 4x_1x_3(D_{311}^{(1)} - D_{312}^{(1)});$$

$$F_4^{(t1R)} = 4x_1x_3(D_{27}^{(1)} + D_{312}^{(1)});$$

$$F_5^{(t1R)} = 4x_1x_3(D_{27}^{(1)} + D_{311}^{(1)} - D_{313}^{(1)});$$

$$F_6^{(t1R)} = 4x_1x_3D_{313}^{(1)};$$

$$F_7^{(t1R)} = F_8^{(t1R)} = 2x_1x_3(D_{313}^{(1)} - D_{312}^{(1)});$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_9^{(t1R)} &= 4x_1x_3(D_{13}^{(1)} - D_{12}^{(1)} - D_{22}^{(1)} - D_{23}^{(1)} - D_{24}^{(1)} \\ &\quad + D_{25}^{(1)} + 2D_{26}^{(1)} - D_{36}^{(1)} + D_{38}^{(1)} - D_{39}^{(1)} + D_{310}^{(1)}); \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{10}^{(t1R)} = 4x_1x_3(D_{37}^{(1)} - D_{39}^{(1)} + D_{38}^{(1)} - D_{310}^{(1)});$$

$$F_{11}^{(t1R)} = F_{12}^{(t1R)} = F_{13}^{(t1R)} = F_{14}^{(t1R)} = 0;$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{15}^{(t1R)} &= 4x_1x_3m_t(D_{13}^{(1)} - D_{23}^{(1)} + D_{25}^{(1)} + D_{26}^{(1)} - D_{39}^{(1)} + D_{310}^{(1)}) \\ &\quad + 4x_1x_4m_g(D_0^{(1)} + D_{11}^{(1)} + D_{12}^{(1)} - D_{13}^{(1)} + D_{24}^{(1)} - D_{26}^{(1)}) \\ &\quad + 4x_2x_4m_t(D_{11}^{(1)} - D_{13}^{(1)} + D_{21}^{(1)} + D_{23}^{(1)} + D_{24}^{(1)} - 2D_{25}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - D_{26}^{(1)} + D_{34}^{(1)} + D_{39}^{(1)} - 2D_{310}^{(1)}); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{16}^{(t1R)} &= 4x_1x_3m_t(-D_{25}^{(1)} + D_{26}^{(1)} - D_{35}^{(1)} + D_{37}^{(1)} - D_{39}^{(1)} + D_{310}^{(1)}) \\ &\quad + 4x_1x_4m_g(D_{11}^{(1)} - D_{12}^{(1)} + D_{21}^{(1)} - D_{24}^{(1)} - D_{25}^{(1)} + D_{26}^{(1)}) \\ &\quad + 4x_2x_4m_t(D_{21}^{(1)} - D_{24}^{(1)} - D_{25}^{(1)} + D_{26}^{(1)} + D_{31}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - D_{34}^{(1)} - 2D_{35}^{(1)} + D_{37}^{(1)} - D_{39}^{(1)} + 2D_{310}^{(1)}); \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{17}^{(t1R)} = 4x_1x_3m_t(D_{37}^{(1)} D_{39}^{(1)}) 4x_1x_4m_g(D_{25}^{(1)} D_{26}^{(1)}) \\ + 4x_2x_4m_t(D_{35}^{(1)} D_{37}^{(1)} + D_{39}^{(1)} D_{310}^{(1)});$$

$$F_{18}^{(t1R)} = 4x_1x_3(D_{22}^{(1)} + D_{24}^{(1)} D_{25}^{(1)} + D_{26}^{(1)} + D_{34}^{(1)} \\ D_{35}^{(1)} D_{36}^{(1)} + D_{37}^{(1)} + D_{38}^{(1)} D_{39}^{(1)});$$

$$F_{19}^{(t1R)} = 4x_1x_3(D_{23}^{(1)} + D_{26}^{(1)} D_{39}^{(1)} + D_{38}^{(1)});$$

$$F_{20}^{(t1R)} = 4x_1x_3m_t(D_{23}^{(1)} D_{39}^{(1)}) + 4x_1x_4m_g(D_{13}^{(1)} + D_{26}^{(1)}) \\ + 4x_2x_4m_t(D_{23}^{(1)} D_{25}^{(1)} + D_{39}^{(1)} D_{310}^{(1)});$$

where we denote $D_i^{(1)}; D_{ij}^{(1)}; D_{ijk}^{(1)} = D_i; D_{ij}; D_{ijk} [p_1; k_1; k_2; m_g; m_{t_1}; m_{t_2}; m_{t_3}]$.

The expressions of $F_k^{(t2R)}$ ($k = 1 \dots 20$) are as follows:

$$F_1^{(t2R)} = 2x_1x_3m_t(D_{27}^{(2)} + D_{313}^{(2)}) + 2x_1x_4m_gD_{27}^{(2)} 2x_2x_4m_t(D_{27}^{(2)} + D_{311}^{(2)}); \quad (A.34)$$

$$F_2^{(t2R)} = 2x_1x_3m_tD_{313}^{(2)} + 2x_1x_4m_gD_{27}^{(2)} 2x_2x_4m_tD_{311}^{(2)};$$

$$F_3^{(t2R)} = 4x_1x_3(D_{27}^{(2)} D_{311}^{(2)} + D_{312}^{(2)});$$

$$F_4^{(t2R)} = 4x_1x_3(D_{312}^{(2)} D_{313}^{(2)});$$

$$F_5^{(t2R)} = 8x_1x_3(D_{27}^{(2)} + D_{311}^{(2)}) + 2x_1x_3m_g^2(D_0^{(2)} + D_{11}^{(2)}) \\ + 2x_1x_3m_t^2(D_{11}^{(2)} D_{13}^{(2)} 2D_{21}^{(2)} D_{23}^{(2)} D_{25}^{(2)} D_{31}^{(2)} D_{37}^{(2)}) \\ + 2(x_2x_3 + x_1x_4)m_gm_t(D_0^{(2)} + D_{11}^{(2)}) 2x_2x_4m_t^2(D_{11}^{(2)} D_{13}^{(2)} + D_{21}^{(2)} D_{25}^{(2)}) \\ + 4x_1x_3k_1 p(D_{12}^{(2)} + 2D_{24}^{(2)} + D_{34}^{(2)}) \\ + 4x_1x_3k_1 p(D_{13}^{(2)} + D_{25}^{(2)} + D_{26}^{(2)} + D_{310}^{(2)}) 4x_1x_3p_1 p(D_{13}^{(2)} + 2D_{25}^{(2)} + D_{35}^{(2)});$$

$$F_6^{(t2R)} = 2x_1x_3m_g^2D_{13}^{(2)} \\ 2x_1x_3m_t^2(D_{23}^{(2)} + D_{25}^{(2)} + D_{33}^{(2)} + D_{35}^{(2)}) + 2(x_2x_3 + x_1x_4)m_gm_tD_{13}^{(2)} \\ + 2x_2x_4m_t^2(D_{23}^{(2)} D_{25}^{(2)}) + 4x_1x_3k_1 p(D_{26}^{(2)} + D_{310}^{(2)}) \\ + 4x_1x_3k_1 p(D_{23}^{(2)} + D_{39}^{(2)}) 4x_1x_3p_1 p(D_{23}^{(2)} + D_{37}^{(2)}) \\ + 8x_1x_3D_{313}^{(2)};$$

$$F_7^{(t2R)} = 2x_1x_3(D_{27}^{(2)} + D_{312}^{(2)});$$

$$F_8^{(t2R)} = 2x_1x_3D_{312}^{(2)};$$

$$F_9^{(t2R)} = 4x_1x_3 (D_{12}^{(2)} D_{13}^{(2)} + D_{22}^{(2)} + D_{24}^{(2)} D_{25}^{(2)} D_{26}^{(2)} + D_{36}^{(2)} D_{310}^{(2)});$$

$$F_{10}^{(t2R)} = 4x_1x_3 (D_{38}^{(2)} D_{310}^{(2)});$$

$$F_{11}^{(t2R)} = F_{12}^{(t2R)} = 0;$$

$$F_{13}^{(t2R)} = 2x_1x_3m_t (D_{12}^{(2)} + D_{13}^{(2)} D_{24}^{(2)} + D_{25}^{(2)}) + 2x_1x_4m_g (D_0^{(2)} + D_{11}^{(2)}) \\ + 2x_2x_4m_t (D_{11}^{(2)} D_{12}^{(2)} + D_{21}^{(2)} D_{24}^{(2)});$$

$$F_{14}^{(t2R)} = 2x_1x_3m_t (D_{23}^{(2)} D_{26}^{(2)}) + 2x_1x_4m_g D_{13}^{(2)} 2x_2x_4m_t (D_{25}^{(2)} D_{26}^{(2)});$$

$$F_{15}^{(t2R)} = 4x_1x_3m_t (D_{12}^{(2)} D_{13}^{(2)} D_{23}^{(2)} + D_{24}^{(2)} D_{25}^{(2)} + D_{26}^{(2)} D_{37}^{(2)} + D_{310}^{(2)}) \\ + 4x_1x_4m_g (D_{12}^{(2)} D_{13}^{(2)} + D_{24}^{(2)} D_{25}^{(2)}) \\ + 4x_2x_4m_t (D_{12}^{(2)} D_{13}^{(2)} + 2D_{24}^{(2)} 2D_{25}^{(2)} + D_{34}^{(2)} D_{35}^{(2)});$$

$$F_{16}^{(t2R)} = 4x_1x_3m_t (D_{12}^{(2)} D_{13}^{(2)} + D_{24}^{(2)} 2D_{25}^{(2)} + D_{26}^{(2)} D_{35}^{(2)} + D_{310}^{(2)}) \\ + 4x_1x_4m_g (D_0^{(2)} 2D_{11}^{(2)} + D_{12}^{(2)} D_{21}^{(2)} + D_{24}^{(2)}) \\ + 4x_2x_4m_t (D_{11}^{(2)} D_{12}^{(2)} + 2D_{21}^{(2)} 2D_{24}^{(2)} + D_{31}^{(2)} D_{34}^{(2)});$$

$$F_{17}^{(t2R)} = 4x_1x_3m_t (D_{23}^{(2)} + D_{26}^{(2)} D_{37}^{(2)} + D_{39}^{(2)}) + 4x_1x_4m_g (D_{13}^{(2)} D_{25}^{(2)} + D_{26}^{(2)}) \\ + 4x_2x_4m_t (D_{25}^{(2)} D_{26}^{(2)} + D_{35}^{(2)} D_{310}^{(2)});$$

$$F_{18}^{(t2R)} = 4x_1x_3 (D_{22}^{(2)} D_{24}^{(2)} D_{34}^{(2)} + D_{36}^{(2)});$$

$$F_{19}^{(t2R)} = 4x_1x_3 (D_{23}^{(2)} + D_{26}^{(2)} + D_{38}^{(2)} D_{39}^{(2)});$$

$$F_{20}^{(t2R)} = 4x_1x_3m_t (D_{23}^{(2)} + D_{26}^{(2)} D_{33}^{(2)} + D_{39}^{(2)}) + 4x_1x_4m_g (D_{23}^{(2)} + D_{26}^{(2)}) \\ + 4x_2x_4m_t (D_{23}^{(2)} D_{26}^{(2)} + D_{37}^{(2)} D_{310}^{(2)});$$

where $D_i^{(2)}; D_{ij}^{(2)}; D_{ijk}^{(2)} = D_i; D_{ij}; D_{ijk} [p_1; k_1; p_2; m_g; m_{t_1}; m_{t_2}; m_g]$.

The expressions for $F_k^{(t3R)}$ ($k = 1 \dots 20$) are written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1^{(t3R)} = & 2x_1x_3m_t(D_{27}^{(3)} + 2D_{313}^{(3)}) + x_1x_3m_tm_g^2(D_0^{(3)} + D_{13}^{(3)}) \\
 & x_1x_3m_t^3(D_0^{(3)} + 2D_{11}^{(3)} + D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{21}^{(3)} + 2D_{33}^{(3)} + 2D_{35}^{(3)} + 2D_{37}^{(3)}) \\
 & + 2x_1x_4m_gD_{27}^{(3)} + x_1x_4m_g^3D_0^{(3)} \\
 & x_1x_4m_tm_g^2(D_0^{(3)} + 2D_{11}^{(3)} + 2D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{21}^{(3)} + 2D_{23}^{(3)} + 2D_{25}^{(3)}) \\
 & + 2x_2x_4m_t(D_{27}^{(3)} + 2D_{311}^{(3)} + 2D_{313}^{(3)}) + x_2x_4m_tm_g^2(D_{11}^{(3)} + D_{13}^{(3)}) \\
 & x_2x_4m_t^3(D_{11}^{(3)} + D_{13}^{(3)} + 2D_{21}^{(3)} + 2D_{23}^{(3)} + 4D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{31}^{(3)} + 2D_{33}^{(3)} + 3D_{35}^{(3)} + 4D_{37}^{(3)}) \\
 & + 2x_1x_3m_tk_1(p(D_{12}^{(3)} + D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{24}^{(3)} + D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{37}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)} + D_{310}^{(3)})) \\
 & + 2x_1x_4m_gk_1(p(D_{11}^{(3)} + D_{12}^{(3)} + 2D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{24}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)})) \\
 & + 2x_2x_4m_tk_1(p(D_{11}^{(3)} + D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{21}^{(3)} + 2D_{23}^{(3)} \\
 & + D_{24}^{(3)} + 3D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)} + D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{34}^{(3)} + D_{35}^{(3)} + 2D_{37}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)} + 2D_{310}^{(3)})) \\
 & + 2x_1x_3m_tk_1(p(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)} + D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)})) \\
 & + 2x_1x_4m_gk_1(p(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)})) \\
 & + 2x_2x_4m_tk_1(p(D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{37}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)} + D_{310}^{(3)})) \\
 & + 2x_1x_3m_tp_1(p(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{37}^{(3)})) \\
 & + 2x_1x_4m_gp_1(p(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)})) \\
 & + 2x_2x_4m_tp_1(p(D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{35}^{(3)} + D_{37}^{(3)}));
 \end{aligned}$$

(A.5)

$$F_2^{(t3R)} = 2x_1x_4m_gD_{27}^{(3)} + 2x_1x_3m_tD_{313}^{(3)} + 2x_2x_4m_t(D_{27}^{(3)} + D_{311}^{(3)} + D_{313}^{(3)});$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_3^{(t3R)} = & 4x_1x_3(D_{27}^{(3)} + 2D_{311}^{(3)} + D_{312}^{(3)} + 3D_{313}^{(3)}) + 2x_1x_3m_g^2(D_0^{(3)} + D_{11}^{(3)} + D_{13}^{(3)}) \\
 & + 2x_1x_3m_t^2(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{21}^{(3)} + 2D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{31}^{(3)} + 2D_{33}^{(3)} + 3D_{35}^{(3)} + 4D_{37}^{(3)}) \\
 & 2x_2x_3m_tm_gD_0^{(3)} + 2x_1x_4m_tm_gD_0^{(3)} + 2x_2x_4m_t^2(D_0^{(3)} + D_{11}^{(3)}) \\
 & + 4x_1x_3k_1(p(D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{24}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)} \\
 & + D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{34}^{(3)} + D_{35}^{(3)} + 2D_{37}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)} + 2D_{310}^{(3)})) \\
 & + 4x_1x_3k_1(p(D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)} + D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{37}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)} + D_{310}^{(3)})) \\
 & + 4x_1x_3p_1(p(D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{35}^{(3)} + 2D_{37}^{(3)}));
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_4^{(t3R)} = & 4x_1x_3D_{312}^{(3)} + 2x_1x_3m_g^2D_0^{(3)} \\
 & + 2x_1x_3m_t^2(D_{11}^{(3)} + D_{21}^{(3)} + 2D_{23}^{(3)} + 2D_{25}^{(3)}) \\
 & 2x_2x_3m_tm_gD_0^{(3)} + 2x_1x_4m_tm_gD_0^{(3)} \\
 & 2x_2x_4m_t^2(D_0^{(3)} + D_{11}^{(3)}) + 4x_1x_3k_1(p(D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)})) \\
 & + 4x_1x_3k_1(p(D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)})) + 4x_1x_3p_1(p(D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)}));
 \end{aligned}$$

$$F_5^{(t3R)} = 4x_1x_3 (D_{311}^{(3)} + D_{313}^{(3)}) + 2x_1x_3m_g^2D_0^{(3)} \\ 2x_1x_3m_t^2(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)}) + 2x_2x_3m_tm_g(D_0^{(3)} + D_{11}^{(3)}D_{13}^{(3)}) \\ + 2x_1x_4m_tm_g(D_0^{(3)} + D_{11}^{(3)}D_{13}^{(3)}) \\ + 2x_2x_4m_t^2(D_0^{(3)} + 2D_{11}^{(3)}D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{21}^{(3)}D_{25}^{(3)}) \\ + 4x_1x_3k_1p(D_{25}^{(3)}D_{26}^{(3)});$$

$$F_6^{(t3R)} = 8x_1x_3D_{313}^{(3)} - 2x_1x_3m_g^2D_{13}^{(3)} \\ + 2x_1x_3m_t^2(D_{25}^{(3)} + 2D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{35}^{(3)}2D_{37}^{(3)}) - 2x_2x_3m_tm_gD_{13}^{(3)} \\ 2x_1x_4m_tm_gD_{13}^{(3)} - 2x_2x_4m_t^2(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)}) \\ + 4x_1x_3k_1p(D_{23}^{(3)}D_{25}^{(3)}D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{37}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)}D_{310}^{(3)}) \\ + 4x_1x_3k_1p(D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)}) + 4x_1x_3p_1p(D_{33}^{(3)}D_{37}^{(3)});$$

$$F_7^{(t3R)} = 4x_1x_3(D_{312}^{(3)}D_{313}^{(3)}) + x_1x_3m_g^2(D_0^{(3)}D_{12}^{(3)} + D_{13}^{(3)}) \\ + x_1x_3m_t^2(D_{11}^{(3)} + D_{12}^{(3)}D_{13}^{(3)}D_{21}^{(3)} \\ + 2D_{24}^{(3)}2D_{26}^{(3)}2D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{34}^{(3)}D_{35}^{(3)} + 2D_{37}^{(3)} + 2D_{39}^{(3)}2D_{310}^{(3)}) \\ + (x_2x_3 + x_1x_4)m_tm_gD_0^{(3)} + x_2x_4m_t^2(D_0^{(3)} + D_{11}^{(3)}) \\ + 2x_1x_3k_1p(D_{22}^{(3)}D_{23}^{(3)} + 2D_{26}^{(3)} + D_{33}^{(3)}D_{36}^{(3)}D_{37}^{(3)} + D_{38}^{(3)}2D_{39}^{(3)} + 2D_{310}^{(3)}) \\ + 2x_1x_3k_1p(D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{38}^{(3)}2D_{39}^{(3)}) \\ + 2x_1x_3p_1p(D_{25}^{(3)}D_{26}^{(3)}D_{33}^{(3)} + D_{37}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)}D_{310}^{(3)}); \\ F_8^{(t3R)} = 2x_1x_3(D_{27}^{(3)} + D_{312}^{(3)}D_{313}^{(3)});$$

$$F_9^{(t3R)} = 4x_1x_3(D_{22}^{(3)} + D_{23}^{(3)}D_{25}^{(3)}D_{26}^{(3)} + D_{36}^{(3)}D_{38}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)}D_{310}^{(3)});$$

$$F_{10}^{(t3R)} = 4x_1x_3(D_{25}^{(3)}D_{26}^{(3)}D_{37}^{(3)}D_{38}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)} + D_{310}^{(3)});$$

$$F_{11}^{(t3R)} = 2x_1x_3m_t(D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)}) + 2x_1x_4m_g(D_{11}^{(3)} + D_{12}^{(3)}) \\ + 2x_2x_4m_t(D_{11}^{(3)} + D_{12}^{(3)}D_{21}^{(3)} + D_{24}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)}D_{26}^{(3)});$$

$$F_{12}^{(t3R)} = 2x_1x_3m_t(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)}) + 2x_1x_4m_gD_{12}^{(3)} \\ + 2x_2x_4m_t(D_{12}^{(3)}D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{24}^{(3)}D_{26}^{(3)});$$

$$F_{13}^{(t3R)} = 2x_1x_3m_t(D_{12}^{(3)}D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{24}^{(3)}D_{26}^{(3)}) + 2x_1x_4m_g(D_{11}^{(3)}D_{13}^{(3)}) \\ + 2x_2x_4m_t(D_{11}^{(3)}D_{12}^{(3)} + D_{21}^{(3)}D_{24}^{(3)}D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)});$$

$$F_{14}^{(t3R)} = 2x_1x_3m_t(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)}) - 2x_1x_4m_gD_{13}^{(3)} \\ + 2x_2x_4m_t(D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)});$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{15}^{(t3R)} &= 4x_1x_3m_t(D_{12}^{(3)} D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{24}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)} D_{39}^{(3)} + D_{310}^{(3)}) \\
&\quad + 4x_1x_4m_g(D_{11}^{(3)} D_{12}^{(3)} + D_{13}^{(3)} D_{24}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)}) \\
&\quad + 4x_2x_4m_t(D_{11}^{(3)} + D_{13}^{(3)} D_{21}^{(3)} D_{23}^{(3)} \\
&\quad D_{24}^{(3)} + 2D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)} D_{34}^{(3)} D_{39}^{(3)} + 2D_{310}^{(3)}); \\
F_{16}^{(t3R)} &= 4x_1x_3m_t(D_{12}^{(3)} D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{24}^{(3)} D_{25}^{(3)} D_{35}^{(3)} + D_{37}^{(3)} D_{39}^{(3)} + D_{310}^{(3)}) \\
&\quad + 4x_1x_4m_g(D_{12}^{(3)} + D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{21}^{(3)} D_{24}^{(3)} D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)}) \\
&\quad + 4x_2x_4m_t(D_{21}^{(3)} D_{24}^{(3)} D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)} \\
&\quad + D_{31}^{(3)} D_{34}^{(3)} 2D_{35}^{(3)} + D_{37}^{(3)} D_{39}^{(3)} + 2D_{310}^{(3)}); \\
F_{17}^{(t3R)} &= 4x_1x_3m_t(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)} D_{37}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)}) \\
&\quad + 4x_1x_4m_g(D_{13}^{(3)} D_{25}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)}) + 4x_2x_4m_t(D_{35}^{(3)} + D_{37}^{(3)} D_{39}^{(3)} + D_{310}^{(3)}); \\
F_{18}^{(t3R)} &= 4x_1x_3(D_{22}^{(3)} D_{24}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)} D_{26}^{(3)} D_{34}^{(3)} + D_{35}^{(3)} + D_{36}^{(3)} \\
&\quad D_{37}^{(3)} D_{38}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)}); \\
F_{19}^{(t3R)} &= 4x_1x_3(D_{23}^{(3)} D_{26}^{(3)} D_{38}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)}); \\
F_{20}^{(t3R)} &= 4x_1x_3m_t(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)} + D_{39}^{(3)}) \\
&\quad + 4x_1x_4m_g(D_{13}^{(3)} + D_{26}^{(3)}) + 4x_2x_4m_t(D_{23}^{(3)} + D_{25}^{(3)} D_{39}^{(3)} + D_{310}^{(3)});
\end{aligned}$$

where $D_i^{(3)}; D_{ij}^{(3)}; D_{ijk}^{(3)} = D_i; D_{ij}; D_{ijk} [p_1; k_1; k_2; m_{t_1}; m_g; m_g; m_g]$.

The $F_k^{(t4R)}$ ($k = 1 \dots 20$) are written explicitly as:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1^{(t4R)} &= F_2^{(t4R)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} ((x_1x_3m_t(C_{11} - C_{12}) \\
&\quad + x_2x_4m_t C_{12} - x_2x_3m_g C_0) [p_1; p_1 + p_2; m_g; m_{t_1}; m_{t_1}]) \\
F_i^{(t4R)} &= 0; (i = 3; 4; \dots; 20):
\end{aligned} \tag{A.36}$$

References

- [1] H.E. Haber and G.L. Kane, Phys. Rep. 117, 75 (1985); J.F. Gunion and H.E. Haber, Nucl. Phys. B 272, 1 (1986).
- [2] P.C. Bhat, for the D0 collaboration, talk presented at the Wine and Cheese Seminar at Fermilab, February 1997

- [3] M . G luck, J.F . Owens and E . Reya, Phys. Rev. D 17,2324 (1978); B L . Com bridge, NuclPhysB 151,429 (1979); H . G eorgi, et al., Ann. Phys.(N .Y .)114,273 (1978) .
- [4] P . Nason, S . Dawson and R . K . Ellis, Nucl. Phys. B 303, 607 (1988); G . A Itarelli, M . D ian oz, G . M artinelli and P Nason, Nucl. Phys. B 308, 724 (1988); W . Beenakker, H . K ujif, W . L . van Neerven and J . Sm ith, Phys. Rev. D 40, 54 (1989) .
- [5] C . Li, B . Hu, J . Yang and C . Hu, Phys. Rev. D 52,5014 (1995); Z . Sullivan, Reprint: hep-ph/9611302 .
- [6] H . Y . Zhou, C . S . Li, Phys. Rev. D 55,4421 (1997);
- [7] A . Bartl, E . Christova and W . M a jerotto, Nucl. Phys. B 460, (1996)235 .
- [8] T . G ehm ann and W . J . Stirling, Z . Phys. C 65, (1995)461; S . J . Brodsky, M . Burkardt and I . Schm idt, Nucl. Phys. B 441, (1995)197 and the references therein .
- [9] M . G luck, E . Reya and A . Vogt, Z . Phys. C 48,471 (1990); M . G luck, E . Reya and A . Vogt, Z . Phys. C 67,433 (1995) .
- [10] M . G luck, E . Reya and M . Stratm ann, Phys. Rev. D 53, 4775 (1996)
- [11] M . Stratm ann, hep-ph/9710379
- [12] S . P . M artin and M . T . Vaughn, Phys. Lett. B 318, (1993)331; W . Beenakker, R . Hopker and P . M . Zerwas, Phys. Lett. B 378, (1996)159 .
- [13] W . Beenaker, R . Hopker, T . Plehn and P . M . Zerwas, DESY .96-178, O ctober 1996 .

[14] Bernd A. Kniehl, Phys. Rep. 240 (1994)211.

[15] G. Passarino and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B 160 151 (1979).

Figure Captions

Fig.1 Feynman diagrams at the tree-level and one-loop level in the SUSY QCD for the $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ subprocess. Fig.1 (a): Tree level diagrams. Fig.1 (b.1): Self-energy diagrams (for top-quark and gluon). Fig.1 (b.2): Vertex diagrams (including tri-gluon and gluon-top-top interactions). Fig.1 (b.3): Box diagrams (only t-channel). Dashed lines represent t_1, t_2 in Fig.1 (b).

Fig.2 (a) relative corrections to polarized and unpolarized cross sections of the $t\bar{t}$ production process in pp colliders as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ with input structure functions of Brodsky et al. [8](LO);

(b) relative corrections to polarized and unpolarized cross sections of the $t\bar{t}$ production process in pp colliders as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ with input structure functions of Glück et al. [9] [10] [11](NLO), in both above figures, solid line for the MSSM QCD correction with unpolarized protons, dashed line for the MSSM QCD correction with proton (+)proton (+) polarization, dotted line for the MSSM QCD correction with proton ()proton () polarization and dot-dashed line for the MSSM QCD correction with proton (+)proton () polarization;

(c) the CP-violating parameter α_{CP} as a function of $\sqrt{s}$, solid line for input structure functions of Glück et al.(NLO), dashed line for input structure functions of Brodsky et

al.(LO)

$m_g = 200 \text{ GeV}, m_{t_1} = 250 \text{ GeV}, m_{t_2} = 450 \text{ GeV}$ and $\theta = 45^\circ$.

Fig.3 (a) relative corrections to the cross section of the $t\bar{t}$ production subprocess, $\hat{\sigma}$ as a function of $\sqrt{s}$, solid line for the MSSM QCD correction with gluon (+)gluon (+) polarization and dashed line for the MSSM QCD correction with gluon (-)gluon (-) polarization. (b) the CP-violating parameter $\hat{C}_P$ of the subprocess as a function of $\sqrt{s}$. (c) relative corrections to the cross section of the subprocess $\hat{\sigma}_+$ as a function of $\sqrt{s}$.

$m_g = 200 \text{ GeV}, m_{t_1} = 250 \text{ GeV}, m_{t_2} = 450 \text{ GeV}$ and $\theta = 45^\circ$.

Fig.4 (a) cross section of the $t\bar{t}$ production subprocess via gg fusion, $\hat{\sigma}$ as a function of m_g , solid line for the MSSM QCD correction with gluon (+)gluon (+) polarization and dashed line for the MSSM QCD correction with gluon (-)gluon (-) polarization. (b) the CP-violating parameter $\hat{C}_P$ of the subprocess as a function of m_g .

$m_{t_1} = 100 \text{ GeV}, m_{t_2} = 450 \text{ GeV}, \sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ and $\theta = 45^\circ$.

Fig.5 (a) relative corrections to the cross section of the $t\bar{t}$ production subprocess via gg fusion, $\hat{\sigma}$ as a function of m_{t_1} , solid line for the MSSM QCD correction with gluon (+)gluon (+) polarization and dashed line for the MSSM QCD correction with gluon (-)gluon (-) polarization. (b) the CP-violating parameter $\hat{C}_P$ of the subprocess as a function of m_{t_1} .

$m_g = 200 \text{ GeV}, m_{t_2} = 450 \text{ GeV}, \sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ and $\theta = 45^\circ$.

Fig.6 (a) relative corrections to the cross section of the $t\bar{t}$ production subprocess via gg fusion, $\hat{\sigma}$ as a function of m_{t_2} , solid line for the MSSM QCD correction with gluon (+)gluon (+) polarization and dashed line for the MSSM QCD correction with gluon (-)gluon (-) polarization.

polarization. (b) the CP-violating parameter $\hat{C}_P$ of the subprocess as a function of m_{t_2} .

$m_g = 200 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{t_1} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ and $\theta = 45^\circ$.

Fig.7 (a) relative corrections to the cross section of the $t\bar{t}$ production subprocess via gg fusion, δ as a function of m_{t_2} , solid line for the MSSM QCD correction with gluon (+) gluon (+) polarization and dashed line for the MSSM QCD correction with gluon () gluon () polarization.

(b) the CP-violating parameter $\hat{C}_P$ of the subprocess as a function of m_{t_2} .

$m_g = 200 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{t_1} = 150 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{t_2} = 450 \text{ GeV}$, $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ and $\theta = 45^\circ$.





Fig.1 (a)








Fig.1 (b-1)




Fig.1 (b-2)

Fig.2(a)




Fig.2(b)





Fig.2(c)









Fig.1 (b-3)

Fig.3(a)




Fig.3(b)




Fig.3(c)




Fig.4(a)




Fig.4(b)




Fig.5(a)




Fig.5(b)




Fig.6(a)




Fig.6(b)




Fig.7(a)




Fig.7(b)

