PRECISE EST IM ATES OF HIGH ORDERS IN QCD

Marek KARLINER

School of P hysics and A stronom y R aym ond and B everly Sackler Faculty of E xact Sciences TelA viv U niversity, 69978 TelA viv, Israel e-m ail: m arek@ proton.tau.ac.il

I review the recent work on obtaining precise estimates of higher-order corrections in QCD and eld theory.

1. Introduction

The precision of the experim ental data on electrow eak interactions and QCD is now very high and it is expected to become signi cantly higher within the next few years. This has triggered a substantial re nement in the corresponding theoretical calculations. Yet, already now for certain experim ental quantities the theoretical uncertainty is one of the major open questions in the interpretation of the data and in the search for signals of physics beyond the Standard M odel. A striking example is the need for a precise determ ination of the gauge couplings at the weak scale, which is the prerequisite for investigation of possible unication of couplings at som e GUT scale.

One of the reasons for this current state of a airs in the relation between the theory and experiment is that computation of high orders in perturbation theory for quantum eld theories, and especially non-abelian gauge theories in 3+1 dimensions is extremely hard. State-of-the-art calculations available today for this kind of theories have reached, after a very large e ort, the 3-rd and the 4-th order in s, for observables and for the

-function, respectively [1, 2, 3]. W ithout a major breakthrough in the relevant techniques it is unlikely that exact results for the next order will become available in the foreseeable future. Moreover, even if explicit expressions for very high order terms do become available, we still have to deal with the fact that the perturbative series of interest are asymptotic, with zero radius of convergence and usually are not even Borel sum mable. In this talk I will review an approach which has been recently suggested to deal with som e of these problem s.

Invited talk at the C racow Epiphany C on ference on Spin E ects in Particle Physics, January 9-11, 1998, C racow, Poland;

2. Perturbation Theory: D iseases and a Prom ising Therapy

As mentioned in the Introduction, the perturbation series in QCD is expected to be asymptotic with rapidly growing coe cients:

$$S(x) = \int_{n=0}^{X} c_n x^n ; x - \frac{s}{2} ; c_n ' n \mathbb{K}^n n$$
 (1)

for some coe cients K; [4, 5]. Anyone who wants to make use of QCD perturbation theory to carry out precision analysis of observables has to face several practical problem s:

only few rst orders in (1) are known for any observable (n 3)

the series has zero radius of convergence

the series is usually not Borel sum mable. Borel sum mation is a trick that sometimes works for sum ming series with factorial divergence. Consider the series for S(x) in eq. (1). We can de neanew function, S(y), whose series is obtained from (1) by dividing the n-th term by n!,

$$S(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{k}} \frac{c_{n}}{n!} y^{n}$$
 (2)

If the new series is convergent, the original function S(x) can be obtained by the so-called inverse Borel transform,

$$S(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} e^{y=x} S(y) dy$$
 (3)

provided S (y) has no singularities along the integration path.



Unfortunately, in QCD it is known that for a generic observable S (y) has poles on both the positive and negative y axis in the complex y plane. These are usually referred to as infrared and ultraviolet renorm alons, respectively. The gure to the left show s a schem atic description ofpoles in the Borel transform of a generic series for a QCD observable. The presence of singularities along the integration path makes the integral (3) ill-de ned. One can try to de ne it by going around the poles, but this introduces an ambiguity proportional to the pole residue, since di erent deform ations of the integration path will give di erent results.

renorm alization scale dependence: nite-order perturbative predictions depend on the arbitrary renorm alization scale through the coupling, $_{s} = _{s}()$. This renorm alization scale is most pronounced at leading order in perturbation theory and decreases with the inclusion of higher order term s.

renorm alization scheme dependence: in principle, the theory can be renorm alized in any valid renorm alization scheme, yielding the same predictions for any physical observable. In practice, when we work with a nite number of perturbative terms, the results depend on the renorm alization scheme.

There is no \m iracle cure" which would solve these problems completely. However, we should and can m inim ize their e ect. Thus the practical issue is how to get the best possible precision, given a xed number of terms in the perturbative expansion. In the following, I will discuss one method which has already shown considerable progress towards this goal. The method is based on the so-called Pade Approximants (PA-s) [6]-[17].

3. Pade to the Rescue

Pade Approximants [18, 19] are rational functions chosen to have a Taylor expansion equal the perturbative series to the order calculated. G iven a series

$$S(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + c_3 x^3 + x^N c + O(x^{N+1})$$
(4)

one can always nd a rational function

$$[L=M] \frac{a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_L x^L}{1 + b_1 x + \dots + b_M x^M} : L + M = N$$
(5)

such that [L=M] has the Taylor series

$$[L=M] = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + c_3 x^3 + N x^N c + c_{N+1} x^{N+1} + (6)$$

The rational function in (5) is called the [L=M] Pade Approximant.

It is important to keep in m ind that at a given nite order in x the [L=M] Pade in (5) is form ally as valid representation of S (x) as the original perturbation expansion. Moreover, in practice the PA-s turn out to posses m any important and useful properties which are absent in the straightforward perturbation theory.

Thus, even though PA is constructed to reproduce the series (4) only up to order N, it turns out that under rather m ild conditions the next term in the Taylor expansion of the PA in eq. (6), e_{N+1} , provides a good estimate, $c_{N+1}^{est} = e_{N+1}$. We call it the Pade Approximant Prediction (PAP), of the next coe cient c_{N+1} in the series (4):

$$\frac{C_{N+1}^{est}}{C_{N+1}} = 1 = 1$$
(7)

and for su ciently large N the relative error decays exponentially fast,

$$\frac{C_{N+1}^{cost}}{C_{N+1}} = 1 \quad e^{N}$$
; = const (8)

Let us consider som e simple examples, starting with the trivial case of a single-pole geom etric series

$$\frac{A}{1 \quad B x} = \int_{n=0}^{X^{1}} c_{n} x^{n}$$
(9)

It is easy to convince oneself that in this case the [L=M] Pade is exact for L 0, M 1. For example, if we attempt to construct a [10/10] Pade of (9), we will not that the a priori 10-th degree polynom ials in num erator and denom inator reduce to a degenerate case of a constant and 1-st degree polynom ial, respectively,

$$[10=10] \quad \frac{P_{10}(x)}{Q_{10}(x)} = \frac{A}{1 B x}$$
(10)

(12)

Once this is clear, the extension to a sum of nite num berofpoles in obvious,

$$X^{K} = \frac{A_{i}}{1 - B_{i}x} = \frac{X^{k}}{n = 0} c_{n}x^{n} =) \qquad [L=M] \text{ exact for } L = K = 1; M = K$$
(11)

O ne can also show that for an in nite number of isolated poles, i.e. when $f(x) = \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \\ c_{n}x^{n} \end{array}$ is a merom orphic function, the sequence of [L=L + k] for k $\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ x \end{array}$ we converges to f(x) as L ! 1,

[L=L+k] !f(x); k=0; 1; 2;...

A som ew hat less intuitive, but very important result is that in certain cases the P ade sequence [L=L+k] converges exponentially fast in L to the correct function even for a factorially divergent asymptotic series with zero radius of convergence. A classical example [19] is the function

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{e^{t}}{1+xt} dt = \int_{0}^{X^{1}} (\mathbf{x})^{n} n!$$
(13)

Here again it turns out that [L=L+k] ! g(x) as L! 1.

The crucial property of the series in (13) which makes this possible is that it has alternating signs. It is easy to show that this implies that all the poles of the Borel transform of (13) are on the negative real axis, and hence that the series is Borel sum mable. More generally, when the series is Borel sum mable, Pade will converge to the correct result.

It is interesting to note that the exponentially fast convergence of PA-s is not limited to merom orphic functions. As a simple example, consider the hypergeometric function F ($\frac{1}{2};\frac{3}{2};1;x$) [20], which has a cut for x 1. Fig. 1 shows that despite the cut, the diagonal PA-s evaluated at x = 0.2 converge exponentially fast.



Fig.1. Exponentially fast convergence of P ade despite the presence of a cut: relative error of diagonal [L/L] P ade for the hypergeom etric function F (1=2;3=2;1;x) which has a cut for x 1. The P ade is evaluated to the left of the cut, at x = 02.

4. Applications to Quantum Field Theory

W hile such m athem atical exam ples are instructive, in order to gain condence in the m ethod, we need to see how it fares on high-order series taken from quantum eld theory. As the rst test case, we consider the scalar eld theory with G aussian propagators. High-order perturbation expansions of G reen's functions in this theory have been computed in Ref. [21]. Fig. 2 dem onstrates the convergence of PAP for the relevant coe cients for the 4-point G reen's function in D = 4 [9]. The relative error is 10^3 at 5-th order. For comparison also shown are relative errors of estimates based on asymptotic behavior of large orders in perturbation theory, as given in [9]. C learly, at 5-th order Pade does better by about 4 orders of m agnitude.



C_N^{exact} are for 4-Point Green's Function, Bervillier et al., PRD,17,2144(1978)

Fig. 2. Pade predictions (crosses) for high-order terms in the perturbative expansion for 4-point G reen's function in a scalar eld theory in D = 4. For comparison also included are predictions based on asymptotic large-order behavior (circles).

If information is available about the asymptotic behavior of c_n , it is possible to obtain an explicit expression for the the error formula on the

rhs. of (8). For example, we have dem onstrated that if

$$_{n} \quad \frac{C_{n} C_{n+2}}{C_{n+1}^{2}} \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{n};$$
 (14)

as is the case for any series dom instead by a nite number of renormalon singularities, then L_{I-M} dened by

$$[L=M] \frac{C_{L+M+1}^{est} \quad (15)}{C_{L+M+1}}$$

has the following asymptotic behaviour

$$[L=M]' \qquad \frac{M!}{K^{M}}; \quad \text{where } K = L + M + aM$$
 (16)

and where a is a number of order 1 that depends on the series under con-sideration. For large L; M eq. (16) yields an exponential decrease of the the error, as in eq. (8).

This prediction agrees very well with the known errors in the PAP's [7] for the QCD vacuum polarization D function calculated in the large N $_{\rm f}$ approximation [22], as seen in Fig. 3a.

O ne can repeat this exercise also for the B orel transform of the D function series. A sm entioned earlier, a generic B orel transform is characterized by the presence of poles (m ore generally, branch points) on the real axis. In view of this, we expect an even faster convergence in this case, since the P ade, being a rational function, is particularly well-suited to reproduce this analytic structure. Indeed, it turns out that in this case $n = 1 = n^2$ and

$$\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}] ' \qquad \frac{(\mathbb{M} !)^2}{K^{2M}}$$
(17)

which agrees very well with the corresponding PAP results shown in Fig. 3b [7, 11].

The high degree of agreem ent between the analytical error estimates in eqs. (16) and (17) and the actual errors in PAP suggest that one can substantially improve the PAP method by system atically including the error estimates [L=M] as a correction, yielding the Asym ptotic Pade Approxim ant Predictions (APAPs):

$$c_{L+M+1}^{APAP} = \frac{c_{L+M+1}^{est}}{1 + L+M+1}$$
(18)

where c_{L+M+1}^{est} is the original PAP prediction without the additional correction, as in eq. (15), and $_{L+M+1}$ is obtained by tting (16) to the known lower orders [14].



Fig. 3. Relative errors in the [L=M] Pade Approxim ant P redictions [7, 11] (a) for the QCD vacuum polarization D -function series, evaluated to allorders in the large-N_f approximation [22] (the rate of convergence agrees with expectations for a series with a discrete set of B orelpoles), and (b) for the B oreltransform of the D -function series, where the convergence is particularly striking. The straight lines correspond to the exponential decay given by the respective error form ulae, eqs. (16) and (17). The crosses, diam onds and squares correspond to M = L + 1; L + 1; L, respectively.

The APAP method results not only in a substantial improvement of the PAP estimates, but also significantly reduces the difference between the predictions based on different [L=M] values at a given order, L + M = xed.

The method has been applied to the B jorken sum rule for the di erence of rst moments of proton and neutron structure functions $g_1(x;Q^2)$ in polarized deep inelastic scattering [23]. For $N_f = 3$ the sum rule reads

$$\begin{bmatrix} z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [g_1^{p}(x;Q^{2}) \quad q_1^{p}(x;Q^{2})] = \frac{1}{6} \dot{g}_{A} \dot{j} 1 \quad x \quad 3:58 \dot{x} \quad 20:22x^{3} + c_{4}x^{4} + \dots$$
(19)

where $x = (Q^2)$ and the exact expression for c_4 is still unknow n.

The PAP and the corresponding APAP estimates of c_4 are

[2=1]:	e_4^{PAP}	114	!	APAP 4	131	(20)
[1=2]:	e_4^{PAP}	111	!	APAP 4	130	(20)

C learly, APAP estimates show signicantly less spread than the corresponding PAP estimates. Remarkably, the APAP estimates of c_4 show an almost perfect agreement with an independent estimate, based on a completely different method [24]: $c_4 = 130$!

As already mentioned, a typical nite order perturbative series such as (19) exhibits a spurious renorm alization scale and scheme dependence. Schematically we have,



Replacing a nite-order perturbative series by a Pade is equivalent to adding an in nite series of estim ated terms generated by the rational approximant. If such an estimate is accurate, we expect to see a reduction in the renormalization scheme and scale dependence. As shown in Fig. 4, this expectation is fully realized when Pade is applied [12] to the B jorken sum rule series in eq. (19).

It turns out that this dram atic reduction in the scale and scheme dependence can also be understood on a deeper level. In Ref. [13] it was shown that in the large $_0$ lim it, i.e. when the function is dominated by the one loop contribution, the scale dependence is rem oved com pletely. This is because in this lim it the renorm alization scale transform ation of ${}_{\rm s}$ reduces to a hom ographic transform ation of the Pade argum ent. Diagonal PA's are invariant under such transform ations [18]. Non-diagonal PA's are not totally invariant, but they reduce the RS dependence signi cantly [13]. In the realworld the usualM S function includes higher-order term s beyond 0. Still, in QCD with 3 5, the 1-loop running of the coupling Nf is dom inant and therefore PA's are still alm ost invariant under change of renormalization scale.

A further related interesting development is the observation [16] that the Pade approximant approach for resummation of perturbative series in QCD provides a systematic method for approximating the ow of momentum in Feynman diagrams. In the large- $_0$ limit, diagonal PA's generalize the Brodsky-Lepage-Mackenzie (BLM) scale-setting method [25] to higher orders in a renormalization scale- and scheme-invariant manner.



Fig. 4. B jorken e ective charge for Q² = 20 GeV^2 plotted as a function of the renorm alization scale and scheme, as parametrized by the coupling $x = {}_{s}({}^{2})=$ and the second coe cient of the function: $c_2 = {}_{2} = {}_{0}$. The upper plot shows the NNLO partial sum, while the lower plot shows the [0/2] P ade approximant.

5. Predicting the QCD function at 4 and 5 loops

A lthough no QCD observables have been calculated exactly beyond O (3), in fall of 1996 we had learned that a calculation of the 4-loop contribution to the QCD function was under way, and likely to be published soon. The prediction of the unknown 4-loop coe cient [14] was therefore an important challenge and excellent testing ground for the new APAP m ethod.

As a warm -up exercise one can test the APAP m ethod on the 4-bop function of the 4 theory with O (N) global vector symmetry [26], the latter being analogous to the SU (N $_{\rm f}$) global symmetry of QCD. The results [14] for $_3$ in O (N) 4 theory are shown in Figure 5. Clearly, the variant of APAP m ethod denoted as hA i=n (see [14] for details) is markedly superior to the naive PAP. The 5-bop function in this theory is also known [27, 28] and the corresponding APAP estimates also turn out to be very precise [14]. Consequently this was the method of choice for the QCD 4-bop function.



Fig. 5. The 4-loop -function coe cient $_3$ in 4 theory with O (N) symmetry. The exact results are denoted by black dots, joined by a solid line to guide the eye. N aive PAP results are denoted by diam onds, and APAP results obtained from the hA i=n type of correction are denoted by crosses. For comparison, also show n are APAP results obtained from the hB i=n² type of correction, denoted by open circles.

The strategy for computing $_3$, the 4-loop function coe cient, is as follows. We recall that $_3$ is a cubic polynom ial in the number of avors N $_f$:

$$_{3} = A_{3} + B_{3}N_{f} + C_{3}N_{f}^{2} + D_{3}N_{f}^{3};$$
 (22)

where $D_3 = 1:49931$ (For $N_c = 3$) is known from large- N_f calculations [29]. The known exact expressions for the 1-, 2- and 3-loop function are used as input to APAP, to predict the value of $_3$ for a range of N_f values. The predictions for $A_3; B_3; C_3$ are then obtained from thing the APAP results for 0 N_f 4 to a polynomial of the form (22).

Shortly after the APAP prediction of $_3$ [14] the exact result was published in Ref. [2]. One important lesson from the exact results is that they contain qualitatively new color factors, corresponding to quartic C asim irs, analogous to light-by-light scattering diagram s in QED. Such term s are not present at 1-, 2- and 3-loop level, and therefore cannot be estim ated using the Pade m ethod. Num erically the correction due to these new color factors is not very large, but in principle the PAP estim ates should be com pared with the rest of the exact expression, as is done in the rst three columns of Table I.

	APAP	EXACT	% DIFF	WAPAP	% DIFF
A 3	23,600 (900)	24 , 633	-4.20 (3.70)	24,606	-0.11
B 3	-6,400 (200)	-6 , 375	-0.39(3.14)	-6 , 374	-0.02
C 3	350 (70)	398.5	-12.2 (17.6)	402.5	-1.00
D ₃	input	1.499	-	input	-

Table 1. Exact four-bop results for the QCD function, compared with the original APAP's in the rst column, and improved APAP's obtained from a weighted average over negative N_f (WAPAP), as discussed in [17]. The numbers in parenthesis are the error estimates from [14].

The APAP estimates for A_3 , B_3 and C_3 seem quite satisfactory, until one realizes that the A_3 and B_3 terms in (22) have opposite signs and their magnitude is such that they alm ost cancel each other at N_f 4. This means that for num erical prediction of $_3$ as function of N_f in the physically interesting range 0 N_f 5 a better precision is required. Fortunately, it is possible to obtain such precision. This is accomplished by form ally using negative values of N_f in the tting procedure, so that no cancellation occurs, and making a careful choice of the range of negative values of N_f used for the t. Once the values of A₃, B₃ and C₃ are obtained this way, one can use them to compute the physical predictions at positive N_f [17]. This procedure has been referred to as W APAP, for \weighted APAP". The corresponding results are shown and compared with exact results in the last two columns of Table I.W e see a dram atic improvement in the precision.

Figure 6 displays graphically predictions for $_3$, as a function of N_f for the most interesting case N_C = 3. We plot the percentage relative errors obtained using various APAP based estimation schemes [14, 17]: naive APAP's tted with positive N_f 4 (diamonds), naive APAP's tted with negative N_f 4, WAPAP's compared to the exact value of $_3$ including quartic Casim in terms, and WAPAP's compared to $_3$ without quartic Casim in terms (crosses). We see that the latter are the most accurate for $_3$ in QCD.



Fig. 6. Predictions for $_3$, as function of N_f, for N_C = 3. The percentage relative errors are obtained using various APAP-based estimation schemes: naive APAP's tted with positive N_f 4 (diamonds), naive APAP's tted with negative N_f 4, W APAP's compared to the exact value of $_3$ including quartic Casim ir terms, and W APAP's compared to $_3$ without quartic Casim ir terms (crosses).

In Figure 7 we show the error in the WAPAP prediction for $_3$ as a function of N_f, for N_C = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 10, once again om itting quartic C asim ir terms from the exact result. The accuracy of these predictions is our best evidence for believing in the utility of the WAPAP method.



Fig.7. The percentage relative errors in the WAPAP prediction for $_3$ (compared to the exact result with quartic C asim in term s om itted), plotted vs. N $_{\rm f}$ for N $_{\rm C}$ = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10.

The WAPAP method does very well on the four-loop QCD function, but the details of the method were netuned after the exact results became available. In Ref. [17] predictions were also given for yet unknown 5-loop

function in QCD and 4- and 5-loop function in N = 1 supersymmetric QCD. It is extremely interesting to see how well our predictions will do for these quantities.

A cknow ledgm ents

This paper is devoted to the m em ory of M ark Sam uel, a wonderful collaborator and friend, who passed away suddenly last N ovem ber. The results described here were obtained in a close collaboration with him, together with Stan B rodsky, John E llis, E inan G ardi, Ian Jack and T im Jones.

This research was supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation administered by the Israel A cademy of Sciences and Humanities, and by a G rant from the G JF, the G erm an-Israeli Foundation for Scientic R esearch and D evelopm ent.

REFERENCES

[1] For a review, see for example:

T. van Ritbergen, JAM. Vermaseren, SA. Larin and P. Nogueira, Int.J.Mod.Phys.C6(1995)513; A L.Kataev, talk at Second Workshop on Continuous Advances in QCD, Minneapolis, 1996, hep-ph/9607426.

- [2] T. van Ritbergen, JAM. Verm aseren and SA.Larin, hep-ph/9701390, Phys. Lett. B 400 (1997)379.
- [3] JA M. Verm aseren, SA. Larin and T. van Ritbergen, hep-ph/9703284, Phys. Lett. B 405 (1997)327;
 K.G. Chetyrkin, hep-ph/9703278, Phys. Lett. B 404 (1997)161.
- [4] A. Mueller Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985)327; A. J. Vainshtein and V. J. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994)1207.
- [5] C. Sachrajda, Renorm alons, hep-lat/9509085.
- [6] M A. Samuel, G. Li and E. Steinfelds, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993)869; Phys. Lett. B 323 (1994)188; M A. Samuel, G W. Li and E. Steinfelds, Phys. Rev. E 51 (1995)3911; M A. Samuel and G.W. Li, Intl. Jml. of Theo. Phys. 33 (1994)1461.
- [7] M A. Samuel, J. Ellis and M. Karliner, hep-ph/9503411, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995)4380.
- [8] J.Ellis, M.Karliner, M.A.Samueland E.Steinfelds, hep-ph/9409376.
- [9] M A. Samuel, J. Ellis and M. Karliner, unpublished.
- [10] J.Ellis, E.Gardi, M.Karliner and M.A.Samuel, Phys. Lett. B 366 (1996)268, hep-ph/9509312.
- [11] J.Ellis and M.Karliner, Invited Lectures at the Int. School of Nucleon Spin Structure, Erice 1995, CERN preprint TH/95-334, hep-ph/9601280.
- [12] J.Ellis, E.Gardi, M.Karliner and M.A.Samuel, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996)6986, hep-ph/9607404.
- [13] E.Gardi, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997)68, hep-ph/9611453.

- [14] J. Ellis, M Karliner and M A. Samuel, Phys. Lett. B 400 (1997)176, hepph/961202.
- [15] I. Jack, D R.T. Jones and M A. Samuel, Phys. Lett. B 407 (1997)143, hepph/9706249.
- [16] S.J. Brodsky, J. Ellis, E. Gardi, M Karliner and M A. Samuel, Phys. Rev. D 56 (191997)6980, hep-ph/9706467.
- [17] J.Ellis, I.Jack, D R.T.Jones, M Karliner and M A.Sam uel, hep-ph/9710302, Phys.Rev. D 57 (1998)2665.
- [18] G A. Baker, Jr. Essentials of Pade Approximants, A cadem ic Press, 1975.
- [19] C M .B ender and S A .O rszag, A dvanced M athem atical M ethods for Scientists and Engineers, M cG raw Hill, 1978.
- [20] Handbook of M athem atical Functions with Form ulas, G raphs, and M athem atical Tables, eq. 15.4.26, M. A bram ow itz and IA. Stegun, Eds., D over, 1972; in maple F (¹/₂;³/₂;1;x) is given by hypergeom([-1/2,3/2],[1],x); in Mathematica it is Hypergeometric2F1[-1/2,3/2,1,x].
- [21] C. Bervillier, JM. Drou e, C. Godreche and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rev. D 17 (1978)2144.
- [22] C N. Lovett-Tumer and C JM axwellNucl. Phys. B 432 (1994)147.
- [23] J.B jorken, Phys. Rev. 148 (1966)1467; Phys. Rev. D 1 (1970)1376.
 SA. Larin, F.V. Tkachev and JAM. Verm aseren, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991)862; SA. Larin and JAM. Verm aseren, Phys. Lett. B 259 (1991)345.
- [24] A.L.K ataev and V.V. Starshenko, M od PhysLett. A 10 (1995)235.
- [25] S.J.Brodsky, G.P. Lepage and P.M. Mackenzie, Phys. Rev. D 28 (1983)228.
- [26] A A. Vladim irov, D.I. Kazakov and O.V. Tarasov, Sov. Phys. JETP 50 (1979)521 [Zh. Eksp. Th. Fiz. 77 (1979)1035].
- [27] S.G. Gorishnii et al, Phys. Lett. 132B (1983)351.
- [28] H.K leinert et al., Phys. Lett. B 272 (1991)39; E { ibid. B 319 (1993)545 and hep-th/9503230.
- [29] JA.Gracey, Phys. Lett. B 373 (1996)178.