Cancellation of $1=m_Q$ Corrections to the Inclusive Decay W idth of a Heavy Quark.

A. Sinkovics, R. Akhoury and V. I. Zakharov

The Randall Laboratory of Physics University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109–1120

Abstract

We consider the infrared sensitivity of the inclusive heavy quark decay width in perturbation theory. It is shown by explicit calculations to the second loop order (when the non-abelian nature of the QCD interactions rst become apparent) that all infrared sensitive terms to logarithm ic and linear accuracy cancel when the decay width is expressed in terms of the short distance mass. This in turn im plies the absense of any non perturbative 1=m $_{\rm Q}$ power corrections to the decay width. The cancellation is shown algebraically at the level of the Feynman integrands without any use of an explicit infrared cuto . This result is in accord with the implications of the KLN theorem .

The theory of heavy quark decays has attracted much interest lately in connection with the decays of B m esons containing a single b-quark. O fparticular phenom enological interest are the inclusive decays which can provide inform ation about the CKM parameters. Inclusive decays of hadrons containing a single heavy quark (m ass m_b) have been extensively studied [1] within the operator product expansion (OPE) which gives an expansion of the decay width in terms of a small parameter $=m_b$:

$$incl = \lim_{incl} (1 + a_1 = m_b + a_2 (= m_b)^2 + ...):$$
(1)

In the above, $\lim_{n \in I} is$ the decay width of the heavy quark including all perturbative corrections (the parton model result). Since there are no local gauge invariant operators of the appropriate dimension, an important conclusion [1] is that $a_1 = 0$, and the higher order power corrections can be classified in terms of the matrix elements of various operators.

A great advantage of the OPE is the generality of its applicability to non-perturbative contributions as well. On the other hand, the conditions for the validity of the OPE itself can be enunciated by explicit perturbative calculations. As far as the power corrections are concerned, the method of renorm alons (for a review and further references see [2]) may be used to study these within perturbation theory. The basic idea of the renorm alon method is that one may obtain information about power corrections by boking at a class of diagram s which give factorial divergence in large orders of perturbation theory. The power corrections are seen to arise from regions of low momenta and thus we are led to investigate the infrared sensitivity of inclusive decays. To this end , which is a non-perturbative parameter is replaced by an infrared cuto de ned within perturbation theory. We will generically denote this infrared cuto by and in the case of QED, for example, it could be a (ctitious) photon m ass. Then the inclusive rate (1) may be represented as :

$$incl = \lim_{n \in I} (1 + b_1 = m_b + b_2 (= m_b)^2 \ln + ...)$$
(2)

Note that the Landau conditions for the singularities of Feynm an diagram s tell us that the infrared sensitive contributions arise only as terms which are non-analytic in ². Explicit calculations [3] to leading order in have brought to light a subtlety that the coe cient b_1 is zero in perturbation theory only if the decay rate on the right hand side of Eq. (2) is expressed not in terms of the pole mass m_b but rather in terms of a short distance or a M S mass. Thus perturbation theory is in full accord with the OPE, only if in (1) the short distance mass is used. In this way one does not have to worry about an otherwise large (possibly non-universal) correction of order 1=m_b, but only at the expense of introducing a renorm alization scale () dependence in the total rate through the use of the nunning mass. W e will discuss below that this result arises from the cancellation of the infrared sensitive contributions proportional to =m_b (and of course to ln) arising from the long distance pieces of the mass and wave function renorm alizations of the initial heavy quark and from the qluon radiation.

The result m entioned at the end of the previous paragraph has a simple physical interpretation. The decay rate m ay have two possible sources [4] of infrared sensitivity : (a) the heavy quark m ass and (b) the energy radiated during the decay. To expose the infrared sensitivity of the heavy quark mass say within QED, consider a QQ pair separated by a distance r and interacting by the exchange of photons of mass . The total energy is

$$E = 2m_{b} - \frac{el}{r} exp(r) + 2m_{b}(1 + \frac{el}{2m_{b}}) el = r$$
(3)

where we have kept only the term linear in . It is clear from this that we may identify

$$m_{b}^{IR} = e^{1} = 2; \qquad (4)$$

with the infrared sensitive piece of the heavy quark mass and for small r it is appropriate to de ne the short distance mass m by:

$$m = m_{b} (1 + \frac{el}{2m_{b}})$$
 (5)

The same result was obtained in $[\beta]$ by the usual renorm alon method. Let us next consider the radiated energy. If the decay time is then classically, a light signal cannot reach a distance beyond

$$R_{causal} = C$$
(6)

As a result, the eld energy stored at distances larger than R_{causal} cannot be transformed into the energy of the decay products and cannot a ect the decay rate and hence must be radiated away. Let us denote this long distance piece of the energy radiated during the decay by E $_{rad}^{IR}$. That this long distance part of the radiated energy is proportional linearly to the infrared cuto may be seen from the classical expression for it:

$$E_{rad}^{IR} = d! I(!):$$
small! (7)

I(!) is the intensity of radiation which approaches a constant [5] as ! ! 0. The cancellation of the linear term in Eq. (2) is the statem ent that to this order,

$$m_{\rm b}^{\rm IR} = E_{\rm rad}^{\rm IR} \tag{8}$$

and the decay rate which is sensitive to the energy release at short distances is not a ected.

Certain questions in mediately come to mind concerning the generality of these results. Is there a general principle behind this cancellation ?, and is it true to allorders in perturbation theory, even for the non-abelian case? In fact there are infrared safe observables in QCD that do receive power corrections that are proportional to 1=M where M is a large mass scale. Examples are provided by the event shape variables in e⁺ e annihilation [6] for which there does not exist an operator product expansion. It has been argued in ref. [7] that inclusive enough observables do not receive power corrections of the type 1=M whereas those that assume some precision measurement (and hence are more exclusive) like the event shapes, in fact do. Below we will apply this general principle to the inclusive decay of the heavy quark. W e will argue that the K LN [8] theorem is behind the cancellation of the term s proportional to 1=m b. The purpose of this paper is to show by an explicit calculation in the full QCD theory the cancellation of the infrared sensitive pieces that are linear in the infrared cuto to order $\frac{2}{5}$ in the decay rate.

In a recent publication [9] it was argued that when the transition probability is sum m ed over both initial and naldegenerate states as is envisaged in the KLN theorem, not only the leading infrared sensitive piece proportional to ln but the one proportional to is cancelled as well, i.e.

$$\mathbf{\mathcal{J}}_{i! f} \mathbf{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{\mathcal{J}}_{i! f} \mathbf{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{\mathcal{J}}_{i! f} \mathbf{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{\mathcal{J}}_{i! f} \mathbf{\mathcal{J}}_$$

The transition probability summed over both initial and nal states cannot be related to a physical inclusive cross section. However we will now argue that for heavy quark decay, the initial state is trivial and hence, the above mentioned property of the KLN theorem guarantees the cancellation not only of the ln term s but also those proportional to itself. To substantiate this we note that the KLN theorem presumes that the total energy can be xed to any accuracy. This is in the spirit of the uncertainity principle, which allows us to measure the total energy to any accuracy provided the measurement time is long enough. In particular, the uncertainity E in the total energy can be made smaller than an infrared cuto :

Now consider a decaying charged (or colored) particle in its rest frame. It is obvious that this state is not degenerate with any other since there is a gap between the mass of the charged particle and the energies in the continuum for any € 0. This is most clearly seen for QED with a non-zero photon mass, but is true for any infrared cuto on the energy, . Thus the summation over the initial states in the KLN theorem is redundant for this case. The nalstates how ever include the full degeneracy but the summation over the nalstates is equivalent to calculating the decay width, and hence we conclude that,

$$_{incl} = \int_{incl}^{pert} 1 + O(^{2}\ln) : \qquad (11)$$

It should be emphasized that this argument guarantees the above equality to all orders in perturbation theory. However we should note that it is very important for the KLN theorem to be valid that the renormalization procedure does not introduce any infrared sensitivity. Thus since the polemass is infrared sensitive, on shellmass renormalization must be avoided in favour of the MS scheme. In concluding this we would like to point out that the same argument can be applied not just to the sem ileptonic decay but to other inclusive decays like for example the radiative one, B ! $X_{\rm s}$. In fact, the explicit perturbative calculation though performed for the sem ileptonic decay, can equally well be applicable for the radiative decay b ! s with minor changes for the electroweak vertices and phase space factors.

A s m entioned previously, we will explicitly verify the above argument in this paper for the inclusive sem ileptonic decay of a heavy quark in QCD to the second loop order when the non-abelian nature of the interactions are rst apparent. That is we show the cancellations of all terms linear in the infrared cuto in the decay width when the latter is expressed in terms of the short distance m ass. The possible sources of infrared sensitive terms are the m ass and wave function renormalization diagrams of the initial heavy quark and the brem sstrahlung diagrams. We will discuss the cancellation of the logarithm ic and the linearly infrared sensitive terms in the diagram s for the wave function renormalization and of the linearly IR sensitive terms in those for the mass renormalization. These cancellations proceed in a dierent manner for the two cases because whereas the wave function renormalization is multiplicative, the on-mass shell renormalization is additive. In section II we introduce our notations and conventions and discuss our strategy. In particular we show how to the linear accuracy desired, we may replace the diagrams for the radiation from the nal state massless quark by elective local vertices. Next we discuss the wave function renormalization in section III and the mass renormalization in section IV. Certain additional technical details are relegated to the appendix. In section V we summarize our results and discuss future prospects.

II.PRELIM INARIES

We consider the totally inclusive sem ileptonic decay of a heavy quark and exam ine the possible term linearly proportional to an infrared cuto . Such a term can arise from the soft gluon contributions to the diagram s for the mass and wave function renorm alizations of the heavy quark and from the brem sstrahlung diagram s.

The decay rate is proportional to the in aginary part of the forward am plitude shown in Fig. 1. The hard am plitude involving the nal state quark is denoted by the shaded blob which also includes the lepton loop. The soft gluon interactions responsible for the infrared sensitivity dress the hard am plitude, connecting to it and to the heavy quark. We will use the fact that for the soft gluon of momenta k m_b, we may perform the sum over cuts in plicit in taking the imaginary part, for just the hard part of the am plitude leaving the soft gluon lines uncut. This sim plic cation arises due to the fact that for the process of a heavy quark decay, we may assign a positive energy ow direction to each soft gluon consistently. Then we may write for the corresponding gluon propagator:

$$\frac{i}{k^2 + i} = 2 \quad (k_0) \quad (k^2) + \frac{i}{k^2 + ik_0} \tag{12}$$

The second term can never cause a pinch singular point since the contour can be deform ed into the lower half k_0 plane. This piece therefore (from the Landau equations) does not give any contributions that are non-analytic in the infrared cuto . Thus as far as the infrared sensitive term s are concerned, even up to linear accuracy it does not matter if we cut the gluon propagator or not, both possibilities giving the same contribution. K eeping this in mind, we will sum over the cuts of the hard am plitude only and leave the soft gluon propagators uncut in the diagram s for the forward scattering am plitude. The next sim pli cation of the forward scattering am plitude we will use for our infrared analysis is that the (heavy quark) propagators on the right hand side of the cut can also be taken to have the + i prescription, the same as those on the left hand side of the cut. The di erence between the two choices is readily seen to be pure in aginary and since the cut hard am plitude is already in aginary, we may ignore this di erence for our purposes.

Let us consider the diagram s with the soft gluon radiation interacting with the hard part. In the next subsection we will show that to the accuracy we want, after sum ming over the cuts of the hard part, and integrating over its phase space and that of the leptons, this interaction m ay be replaced by e ective local vertices for the interaction of one and two gluons. These e ective vertices m ay be obtained from the corresponding one without gluon radiation by gauge invariance. Thus we can forget about the details of the hard part and use the elective vertices to discuss the infrared sensitive contributions for such diagram s. D iagram s in which all the gluon interactions are contained in the hard part are irrelevant to our analysis as far as the infrared sensitive term s are concerned which are linearly proportional to the infrared cuto . Since the wave function renorm alization is multiplicative, all its contributions factorize from the hard am plitude. In addition, as we discuss below the corresponding brem sstrahlung diagram s that cancel the logarithm ic and linear dependence on the IR cuto of the wave function renorm alization diagram s m ay be obtained by certain W ard-like identities. These cancellations can be shown independent of the hard am plitude whose precise structure is not needed here, but the latter is essential for showing the cancellations am ong the mass renorm alization and the corresponding radiation type diagram s. The use of the heavy quark rest fram e provides very in portant sim pli cations of this analysis.

In this paper all the infrared cancellations are shown strictly algebraically at the level of the corresponding Feynman integrands. Power counting is used to isolate and to show the cancellations of the possibly infrared sensitive contributions up to linear accuracy. A ffer the cancellations, from power counting, the integrand is seen to contribute something which would at least be proportional quadratically to the infrared cuto . In this way we avoid using any particular IR cuto . This is crucial since for the non-abelian theory we do not know of any gauge invariant infrared cuto for explicit calculations at the two loop level.

Finally we note that throughout this paper we work in the Feynm an gauge. The cancellation of the infrared sensitive term s is a gauge invariant statem ent, however, the type of diagram s contributing to this cancellation depends on the choice of gauge.

A.E ective vertices for the Interaction of radiation with the H ard Am plitude

In this section we will derive the elective vertices for the interaction of the gluon radiation with the nal state massless quark in the hard amplitude.. These elective local vertices are constructed to reproduce the soft momentum (k m_b) contributions up to linear in 1=m_b accuracy. Consider the absorbtion of a single gluon of momentum k from the nal state quark. We will show below that summing over the cuts for the nal state fermion line cancels the term proportional to 1=k (i.e., the would be infrared divergent term proportional to ln) so that the leading left over piece is of order k⁰. When the other end of the gluon line is attached to the heavy quark line then we would be interested in the term proportional to

and from simple power counting of the corresponding diagram we see that we need only keep this leading term proportional to k⁰ from the sum over cuts of the nal state quark. In this way we will conclude that after the phase space integration over the leptons and the nal state quark at xed k this e ective interaction may be represented by a local vertex of the form shown in Fig. 3b). We show below that this e ective local vertex is what we would obtain by the substitution p! (p gA) in the leading e ective vertex of Fig. 3a). A sim ilar e ective vertex is derived for the absorbtion of two gluons from the nal state quark. Since as mentioned earlier, the heavy quark wave function renorm alization contribution to the infrared sensitivity is multiplicative in nature, these contributions are not sensitive to the details of the interaction of the nal state quark and the e ective vertices derived in this section are not needed there.

In the parton model, the total width for the sem ileptonic decay is to lowest order:

$$_{0} = \frac{G_{F}^{2} \dot{y}_{ub} \dot{f}}{192^{3}} m_{b}^{5}$$
(13)

W e m ay represent this by an e ective local vertex, obtained by preform ing the phase space integration over the leptons and and the m assless quark by the heavy dot in Fig. 3a). Such an e ective vertex m ay be written as:

where, u is the heavy quark spinor. In the above,

$$C = \frac{G_F^2 \mathcal{Y}_{ub} \mathcal{J}}{192^{-3}}$$
(15)

The vertex of F ig. 3a) will be referred to as the leading elective vertex. Next consider the class of diagram s of F ig. 2a). The gluon m ay undergo self interactions before connecting to the heavy quark line. Thus, for example, the diagram s of F ig. 2b) are included in the set. Next we sum over the two cuts on the massless quark line. In one just the quark line is cut and in the other, both the quark and gluon lines are cut. However, as discussed above and as it was shown in explicit examples in ref. [9], as far as the infrared sensitive term s (those that are non-analytic in the infrared cuto) are concerned, both real and virtual soft quanta m ay be regarded the same i.e., we m ay write for the gluon line contribution either as $2 (k_0) (k^2)$ or $i=(k^2+i)$. This is really a consequence of the Landau equations as discussed earlier. K eeping this in m ind we can write for the sum over cuts in Fig. 2a) as:

$$\mathbf{p}_{1} \quad \mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}}}(\mathbf{p}_{1} \quad \mathbf{k}) \quad 2 \quad \frac{(\mathbf{p}_{1}^{2})}{(\mathbf{p}_{1} \quad \mathbf{k})^{2} + \mathbf{i}} + \frac{((\mathbf{p}_{1} \quad \mathbf{k})^{2})^{*}}{\mathbf{p}_{1}^{2} \quad \mathbf{i}}^{*}; \quad (16)$$

where generically denote the weak interaction vertices, and $p_1 = (p - q)$ with q the momentum transfer to the leptons. Note that the lepton loop is always cut and we do not explicitly write down the corresponding expression. We also have not explicitly written down the contributions from the blob in Fig. 2a) and those from the external quark lines since their exact form is inessential to the argument. Now we can use the following identity to simplify the term in squared brackets above:

$$\frac{(p_1^2)}{(p_1 \ k^{\hat{y}} + i)} + \frac{((p_1 \ k^{\hat{y}})}{p_1^2 \ i} =$$

$$(1) \int_{0}^{Z_1} dx_1 \ dx_2 \ (1 \ x_1 \ x_2)^{(1)} \ x_1 p_1^2 + x_2 \ (p_1 \ k^{\hat{y}}) : (17)$$

In the lim it k ! 0 the right hand side of Eq. (17) becomes:

$$(1)^{(1)}(p_1^2);$$
 (18)

which is a well de ned quantity. This shows the cancellation of the leading infrared divergent term, and the next term in the sum over cuts, which is 0 (k^0) may be written as:

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathrm{gT}^{a} \mathbf{p}_1 2 (1)^{(1)} (\mathbf{p}_1^2)$$
 (19)

We wish to compare this with the corresponding expression for the absorbtion of a zero momentum gluon from the uncut nalstate massless quark of momentum p_1 which is:

$$i p_1 gT^a p_1 \frac{1}{(p_1^2 + i)^2}$$
: (20)

U sing the fact that:

$$2iIm \frac{1}{(p_1^2 + i)^2} = 2^{(1)} (p_1^2); \qquad (21)$$

and comparing with Eq. (19) we see that as far as the term s of order k^0 are concerned we may represent the diagram of Fig. 2a) for xed k as an elective local interaction which after the appropriate phase space integrations over the leptons and the massless quark for a xed k may be obtained from the elective vertex Eq. (14) by means of the replacement $p \ (p \ gT^aA^a)$. We have of course shown this only for the one gluon elective vertex shown in Fig. 3b). Next we will show that the same substitution also reproduces the two gluon emission or absorbtion process.

Consider next the absorbtion of two gluons by the nalstate quark as shown in Fig. 2c) and once again the blob represents all possible interactions and connections of these gluons with each other and with the heavy quark. The sum over cuts of the massless quark line for this con guration for xed k_1 , k_2 is:

...

$$p_{1}gT^{a} (p_{1} k_{2})gT^{b} (p_{1} k_{1} k_{2}) 2 (p_{1}^{2})\frac{1}{(p_{1} k_{2})^{2} + i}\frac{1}{(p_{1} k_{2} k_{2})^{2} + i} + (p_{1} k_{2} k_{2})^{2} + i \frac{1}{(p_{1} k_{2} k_{2})^{2} + i} + (p_{1} k_{2} k_{2})^{2} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{2} i}\frac{1}{(p_{1} k_{2})^{2} i} (22)$$

Next we use a generalization of the identity Eq. (17),

$$(p_{1}^{2}) \frac{1}{(p_{1} \quad k_{2})^{2} + i} \frac{1}{(p_{1} \quad k_{1} \quad k_{2})^{2} + i} + (p_{1} \quad k_{2})^{2} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{2} \quad i} \frac{1}{(p_{1} \quad k_{1} \quad k_{2})^{2} + i} + (p_{1} \quad k_{2})^{2} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{2} \quad i} \frac{1}{(p_{1} \quad k_{2} \quad k_{2})^{2} + i} + (p_{1} \quad k_{2})^{2} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{2} \quad i} \frac{1}{(p_{1} \quad k_{2} \quad k_{2})^{2} + i} + (p_{1} \quad k_{2})^{2} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{2} \quad i} \frac{1}{(p_{1} \quad k_{2})^{2} - i} = (1)^{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{2} \quad i} \frac{1}{(p_{1} \quad k_{2} \quad k$$

The right hand side of (23) tends to a well de ned lim it as $k_i ! 0$,

$$(1)^2 \frac{1}{2} (2) (p_1^2)$$
 (24)

which again represents the cancellation of the leading logarithm ically divergent contribution. The term proportional to k^0 in the sum over cuts is then given by:

$$\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{g} \mathbf{T}^a = \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{g} \mathbf{T}^b = \mathbf{p}_1 (1)^2 \frac{1}{2} (2) (\mathbf{p}_1^2)$$
: (25)

Again consider the corresponding term for the absorbtion of two zero momentum gluons from the massless quark line:

$$i p_1 g T^a p_1 g T^b p_1 \frac{1}{(p_1^2 + i)^3}$$
 (26)

Sinœ

$$2iIm \frac{1}{(p_1^2 + i)^3} = (2) (p_1^2); \qquad (27)$$

com paring with (25) we see that the O (k^0) contribution from the sum over cuts m ay again be written as an elective local vertex after the relevant phase space integrations. This local vertex can be obtained from Eq. (14) by means of the replacement p ! (p gT^aA^a) and expanding to O (g^2). Note that there is a similar contribution as in Eq.(25) but with the interchange (;a) \$ (;b), and this too is reproduced by the above mentioned replacement. The two gluon elective vertex is shown in Fig. 3c).

We should remark here that when we go beyond the two gluon emission case, in general multi-gluon emission or absorbtion from the nalstate line cannot be written as an elective local vertex. Fortunately this is not a problem for us.

III.CANCELLATION OF INFRARED SENSITIVE TERM SUPTO LINEAR ACCURACY FROM THE WAVE FUNCTION RENORMALIZATION DIAGRAM S

In this section we will show that the infrared sensitive terms upto linear accuracy are cancelled between the wave function renorm alization contributions from diagrams with self energy insertions and parts of other diagrams related to the brem sstrahlung. The cancelling sets can be arranged into groups which as we will see below upto linear accuracy are obtained through certain W and-like identities. For the cancellations considered in this section which are related to the wave function renorm alization, the corresponding contributions are such that the hard part of the diagram s factorize. The hard part thus is not consequential to the argum ent and just com es along for the ride.

Throughout the paper, we will denote by i (p) the sum of all 2 point one particle irreducible graphs. Then the full ferm ion propagator is:

$$S_{F}^{\circ}(p) = \frac{i}{p m_{b}(p)}$$
(28)

Near the mass shell we have

$$(p) = m (m_b; m) (Z^{-1} 1) (p m_b) + O (p m_b)^2$$
(29)

where m denotes the mass counterterm:

$$m (m_b; m) j_{p=m_b} = 0:$$
(30)

Thus m $_{\rm b}$ denotes the pole or the physical m ass:

$$\lim_{\mathfrak{P}! m_{\mathrm{b}}} S_{\mathrm{F}}^{\circ}(\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\mathfrak{P} m_{\mathrm{b}}} \mathbb{Z}$$
(31)

From the LSZ reduction formula, each external line in the S-m atrix element gets a factor $Z^{1=2}$ and we are interested in its perturbative expansion. Thus we expand,

$$Z^{1=2} = 1 \frac{1}{2} Z^{1} 1 + \frac{3}{8} Z^{1} 1^{2} + \dots$$
 (32)

and Z^{1} 1 m ay be computed using:

$$Z^{1} \quad 1 = \frac{u \frac{\theta}{\theta p} j_{p=m_{b}} u}{u u}$$
(33)

In the rest fram e of the heavy quark, only = 0 contributes.

We will now clarify our procedure rst with the O () case and next the two loop example will be discussed.

A.Cancellation to One-Loop Order

C onsider the graphs of F ig. 4. The wave function renorm alization parts of both graph (a) and graph (b) are the hard part times a factor of $Z^{1=2}$. Thus we expand it using (32) keeping only the rst two terms and computing the perturbative contribution to order of Z^{1} 1 from (33). Next using the identity

$$\frac{@}{@p} \stackrel{i}{p k} m_{b} = \frac{i}{p k} \stackrel{i}{m_{b}} \frac{i}{p k} m_{b}$$
(34)

we obtain the W ard-like identity shown in Fig. 5, where the dotted line just denotes the insertion of a zero m om entum vertex . We would now like to show that up to logarithm ic and linear accuracy in the infrared, the right hand side of Fig. 5 m ay be identied with the wave function renormalization part of Fig. 4c) times u u, modulo the hard part, which after the relevant phase space integrations just gives a factor of C m $_{\rm b}^{5}$. It is easiest to go now to the rest frame of the heavy quark, where

$$^{0}u = u:$$
 (35)

From this and Eqns. (32), (33) we immediately see

$$4c) \underline{j}_{wavefunction} = (Z^{1} 1)_{g^{2}} Cm_{b}^{5} uu = [4a) + 4b)]:$$
(36)

Here, and henceforth, unless otherwise speci ed, the equality sign is for term s that are logarithm ically and linearly infrared sensitive. This is the desired result, which does not involve using an explicit infrared cuto . Let us now see how the wave function renorm alization part of Fig. 4c) can be identified with $(Z^{-1} - 1)_{g^2} Cm_b^5$, which is electively the right hand side of Fig. 5 multiplied by the hard part Cm_b^5 . Consider the expressions for these diagrams in the rest frame of the heavy quark. Both are of the form :

$$(ig)^{2}C_{F}Cm_{b}^{5} \frac{d^{4}k}{(2)^{4}}N \frac{i}{2pk+k^{2}} \frac{i}{2pk+k^{2}}\frac{i}{k^{2}}$$
(37)

where N denotes the num erators N $_5$ or N $_{4c}$ for the two diagrams respectively. Thus we only have to compare the num erators to linear accuracy. Upto linear terms in the infrared power counting

$$N_5 = u (p + m)^0 (p + m) u u k ^0 (p + m) u$$
 (38)
 $u (p + m)^0 k u$

There is a similar expression for the numerator N $_{4c}$ except for the factor of $_0$. However, using Eq. (35) it is easy to see that to this accuracy the two numerators are equal

$$N_5 = N_{4c} \tag{39}$$

This dem onstrates the cancellation of not only the infrared divergent part but also the next l inearly infrared sensitive piece in the wave function renorm alization. A fter the cancellation, the integral will be at most quadratically divergent by IR power counting. The cancellation of the wave function parts at two loops follows the sam e general method except that now we have more diagram s.

B.Cancellation to Two Loop Order

The 2-bop one particle irreducible diagram s can be divided into 5 groups according to the 5 pieces of the order g⁴ self-energy corrections (Fig. 7). These groups are essentially the di erent color groups, with the exception that the rst and third group have the same color structure. In this section we show the cancellation of infrared sensitive terms to linear accuracy in the second loop order for the wave function renorm alization diagram s and their radiation counterparts. Power counting in the IR region is used to isolate logarithm ically and linearly infrared sensitive pieces of the integrals. In the follow ing, these infrared sensitive term s identi ed by power counting will be simply referred as \logarithm ic and linear pieces" of the corresponding diagram s. A s it is discussed below, the cancellation of logarithm ic and linear term s takes place within each color group separately. The cancellation is algebraic at the level of integrands and no explicit infrared cuto is used.

Let us take the second group (Fig. 9) as an example to work with. We would like to show that both the logarithm ic and the linear pieces in the wave function renorm alization of diagram s 9a) and 9b) cancel the corresponding logarithm ic and linear wave function renorm alization pieces of diagram s 9c), 9d) and 9e), i.e.

$$[9a) + 9b]_{w \text{ avefunction}} = C m_b^5 Z^{-1} 1_{g^4; 22} uu$$

$$= [9c) + 9d) + 9e]_{w \text{ avefunction}}$$
(40)

As before, we may write

$$Z^{1} \qquad 1_{g^{4}; 22} = \frac{u \frac{d^{2}}{dp} \frac{d^{2}}{p} u}{u u} = \frac{u \frac{d^{2}}{dp_{0}} \frac{d^{2}}{p} u}{u u}$$
(41)

where the last equality holds in the rest fram e of the heavy quark $p = (m_b; 0; 0; 0)$.

We would like to show that to linear accuracy

$$C m_{b}^{5} u \frac{\varrho_{22}}{\varrho p^{0}} u = iC m_{b}^{5} u \frac{\varrho(i_{22})}{\varrho p^{0}} u =$$

$$[9c) + 9d) + 9e)_{wavefunction}$$

$$(42)$$

Sinœ

$$\frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}p_{0}} \underbrace{i}_{p} \underbrace{k}_{k} m = \frac{\underline{i}}{p} \underbrace{k}_{k} m \underbrace{i}_{p} \underbrace{k}_{k} m$$
(43)

the derivation of $_{22}$ with respect to p_0 results in the insertion of an extra vertex i 0 and an extra propagator in every possible way. The resulting diagram s are sim ilar to the wave function renorm alization parts of 9c), 9d) and 9e) with the C m $_b^5$ vertex replaced by C m $_b^5$ (see Fig. 13b). W riting out explicitly

$$Cm_{b}^{5}u\frac{\hat{\theta}_{22}}{\hat{\theta}_{p_{0}}} = Cm_{b}^{5}C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F}C_{A}}{2} (ig)^{4} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1}N_{1}\frac{i}{2pk+k^{2}}$$
(44)

$$\frac{i}{2pk+k^{2}}\frac{i}{2p(k+k_{1})+(k+k_{1})^{2}}\frac{i}{2p(k+k_{1})+(k+k_{1})^{2}}\frac{i}{2pk_{1}+k_{1}^{2}}\frac{i}{k^{2}}\frac{i}{k_{1}^{2}}^{i} + d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1}N_{2}$$
(44)

$$\frac{i}{2pk+k^{2}}\frac{i}{2p(k+k_{1})+(k+k_{1})^{2}}\frac{i}{2p(k+k_{1})+(k+k_{1})^{2}}\frac{i}{2p(k+k_{1})+(k+k_{1})^{2}}\frac{i}{2pk_{1}+k_{1}^{2}}\frac{i}{k^{2}}\frac{i}{k_{1}^{2}}^{i}$$

where N $_{\rm 1}$, N $_{\rm 2}$, N $_{\rm 3}$ are the num erator factors

$$N_1 = u$$
 (p= k=+m)⁰ (p= k=+m) (p= k= k=+m) (p= k=+m) u (45)
 $N_2 = u$ (p= k=+m) (p= k= k=+m)⁰ (p= k= k=+m) (p= k=+m) u
 $N_3 = u$ (p= k=+m) (p= k= k=+m) (p= k=+m)⁰ (p= k=+m) u

Comparing this with diagram s 9c), 9d) and 9e)

$$9c) = C n_{b}^{5} C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F} C_{A}}{2} (ig)^{4} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1}N_{1c} \frac{i}{2pk + k^{2}}$$
(46)

$$\frac{i}{2pk + k^{2}} \frac{i}{2p (k + k_{1}) + (k + k_{1})^{2}} \frac{i}{2pk_{1} + k_{1}^{2}} \frac{i}{k^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{1}^{2}} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1}N_{2d} \frac{i}{2pk + k^{2}} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1}N_{3e} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1}$$

with the num erator factors

$$N_{1c} = u$$
 (pe ke+m) (pe ke+m) (pe ke ke+m) (pe ke+m) (u (47)
 $N_{2d} = u$ (pe ke+m) (pe ke ke+m) (pe ke+m) (pe ke+m) (u
 $N_{3e} = u$ (pe ke+m) (pe ke ke+m) (pe ke+m) (pe ke+m) (u

we see that the proof of equation (42) is tantam ount to show ing the equality of num erator factors to linear accuracy. IR power counting on the integral shows that we have to keep the term s in the num erator at most linear in the integration variables. To linear accuracy the rst num erator pieces, N_1 and N_{1c} are

$$N_{1} = u \quad (p + m)^{0} \quad (p + m) \quad (p + m) \quad (p + m) \quad u \qquad (48)$$

$$u \not \models^{0} \quad (p + m) \quad (p + m) \quad u$$

$$u \quad (p + m)^{0} \not \models \quad (p + m) \quad (p + m) \quad u$$

$$u \quad (p + m)^{0} \quad (p + m) \quad (k + k_{1}) \quad (p + m) \quad u$$

$$u \quad (p + m)^{0} \quad (p + m) \quad (p + m) \quad k_{1} \quad u$$

and

$$N_{1c} = u (p + m)(p + m) (p + m) (p + m) u$$
 (49)
 $u k (p + m) (p + m) (p + m) u$
 $u (p + m)k (p + m) (p + m) u$
 $u (p + m)(p + m) (k + k_1) (p + m) u$
 $u (p + m)(p + m) (p + m) k_1 u$

Note that the st term s in equations (48), (49) give the logarithm ic infrared sensitive pieces, while the last 4 term s give the linear infrared sensitive parts. C om paring the st term s, it is easy to see the equality of the logarithm ic pieces.

It is also straightforward to see that $N_1 j_{inear} = N_{1c} j_{inear}$. The only dimension in the numerators is the presence of the ⁰ factor. Since the numerator is at most linear in k and k_1 , either left or right to ⁰ there will be only factors of (p + m) and matrices, which could be easily evaluated using the mass-shell condition. Then using that ⁰u = u (since in rest frame) we have electively replaced the ⁰ by 1, which means the equality of the two numerator pieces. In the same way one can show that to linear accuracy $N_2 = N_{2d}$ and $N_3 = N_{3e}$, thus the equality of numerators and hence equation (42) holds to linear accuracy. Therefore the logarithm ic and linear infrared sensitive wave function renorm alization pieces of the ₂₂ group cancel

$$[9a) + 9b) + 9c) + 9d) + 9e)]_{wavefunction} = 0$$
(50)

The cancellation of the logarithm ic and linear wave function parts of group-4 can be shown in a sim ilar way. The cancellation of logarithm ic and linear wave function renorm alization pieces in group-5 is discussed in the Appendix.

The st and third group do not cancel separately, since $_{21}$ contains a mass renorm alization piece through its inner part, $_1$. This piece will cancel with the corresponding mass

counterterm of $_{23}$, and the sum of the two groups again cancel to the accuracy desired. To show the cancellation of the logarithm ic and linear infrared sensitive pieces in the wave function renorm alization, we again use the W ard-like identities in Fig.s 13a) and 13c).

At the two-loop level 1-particle reducible diagram s also contribute to the wave function renorm alization. The relevant diagram s are shown in Fig. 14. The contribution of the diagram s (shown in the Figure) can be simply calculated using the perturbative expansion of $Z^{1=2}$ (Eq. 32) multiplying each external line in the S-m atrix element. A sFig. 14 shows, the wave function renorm alization pieces from the two-loop order 1-particle reducible diagram s cancel.

IV . CANCELLATION OF THE INFRARED SENSITIVE TERM SARISING FROM MASS RENORMALIZATION

Let us now consider the cancellation of the leading infrared sensitive piece (the one that is linearly divergent in the infrared) arising from the diagrams involving the mass renorm alization of the heavy quark. As for the wave function case we begin with a discussion of the one loop case to exemplify our method and then the more complicated two loop example is considered.

A.Cancellation at One Loop

As discussed in the introduction, the pole mass of the heavy quark contains a long distance piece which is proportional linearly to an infrared cuto, the st contribution starting at order. In fact, for our subsequent analysis it is convenient to separate out this piece and write for the pole mass to one loop order:

$$m_{\rm b} = m + b_{\rm l} g^2 \tag{51}$$

where, as before, m is used to denote the short distance or the running mass, which itself is a power series expansion in g^2 :

$$m = m_0 + a_1 g^2$$
: (52)

We should emphasize our notation at this point. Even though one may use a gluon mass as an infrared cuto at the one loop level, this is not a gauge invariant procedure beyond it. Thus refers to some gauge invariant cuto. Throughout this paper, however we will not have any need to specify this infrared cuto. Term s that are linearly divergent in the infrared are identied by power counting and cancellation of such term s is shown at the level of the corresponding Feynm an integrands. Thus for example,

$$b_1 g^2 = g^2 C_F \frac{d^4 k}{linear} \frac{d^4 k}{(2)^4} \frac{1}{k^2 + i} \frac{1}{k_0 - i}$$
 (53)

The various diagram s contributing to this order are shown in Fig. 6. Fig. 6a) is the brem sstrahlung contribution. To nd the leading infrared sensitive contribution, we expand the leading e ective hard vertex to rst order in the o shellness, i.e.,

$$C (p k_{e})^{5} = C (p k_{e} m_{b} + m_{b})^{5} = C m_{b}^{5} + C 5 m_{b}^{4} (p k_{e} m_{b}) + (54)$$

This is an expansion of the leading elective vertex in $(\mathbf{p} \mid \mathbf{k} \mid \mathbf{m}_b) = \mathbf{m}_b$ and it is understood that we keep such deviations from the mass shell in as much as they cancel the corresponding small denominators from the propagators. Then after this expansion, one of the fermion propagators in the integrand of the expression for Fig. 6 is cancelled (shown by a slash in the Figure) and we are left with an expression which resembles that for the self energy. In fact,

$$(55) = C 5 \text{ (m)}^4 b_1 g^2 uu$$

Next we have the contributions from the brem sstrahlung from the nal state quark which as discussed earlier is replaced by the elective vertices for the gluon emission. The two diagrams with single gluon elective vertices of Figs. 6b) and 6c) give:

$$(56) + 6c) = 2 C (i5m_b^4)u (i_1(p))u = 2 C 5(m_b^4)b_1g^2 uu;$$
 (56)

F inally, in the lowest order diagram, Fig. 6d), we must express the leading e ective vertex in terms of the short distance mass,

6d) =
$$Cm_b^5 = C(m + b_1g^2)^5 = Cm^5uu + 5C(m)^4b_1g^2uu$$
: (57)

A dding together all the order g^2 term s we see the cancellation of the linearly infrared divergent pieces to this order when the decay rate is expressed in term s of the running m ass m . W e next discuss the two loop example.

B.Cancellation to Two Loops

As in the wave function renorm alization, we group the two-loop 1 PI diagram s according to the ve self-energy pieces shown in Fig. 7. These groups are now enlarged by new diagram s with the single and double gluon vertices (Fig. 3). Unlike in the wave function case, where each group of graphs cancelled separately, the cancellation of linear infrared sensitive pieces in the mass renorm alization involves mixing between the di erent groups and mass shift terms from one loop graphs. This is essentially because whereas the wave function renorm alization is multiplicative, the on-shell mass renorm alization is additive.

By infrared power counting we can isolate the linear infrared sensitive pieces of the self-energy diagram s as

$$i_{p=m_{b}} = a_{1}g^{2} + b_{1}g^{2}$$

$$i_{p=m_{b}} = a_{2i}g^{4} + b_{2i}g^{4}$$

$$i = 1:::5$$
(58)

(Note that as before, denotes symbolically the linearly infrared sensitive pieces of the diagram sidenti ed by power counting, not an actual infrared cuto parameter.) De ne the running m ass as

$$m = m_0 + a_1 g^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^5} a_{2i} g^4 :$$
 (59)

Then the physical mass in terms of the running mass can be written as

$$m_{b} = m + b_{1}g^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{5}} b_{2i}g^{4}$$
: (60)

a. Leading e ective vertex and single gluon vertex graphs The cancellation between the linear infrared sensitive pieces in the mass renormalization of the rst two group of graphs with the corresponding single and double gluon vertex graphs is shown in Fig. 15. A sbefore, the linear infrared sensitive pieces in the mass renormalization of the diagram s are identified by IR power counting, and will be referred simply as \linear mass pieces" or \linear pieces" of the diagram s in the following. Note that the cancellation involves the order g^4 mass shift terms from the one-bop diagram s, as will be discussed below.

Let us not look at the not two graphs of Figs. 15, 15a) and 15b). The vertex of 15a) is proportional to $(p + k)^5$ (we will always take k the momentum of the not outgoing gluon). As discussed for the 1-loop case, the linear mass pieces of the graphs are extracted by expanding the vertex around mass shell up to linear terms in the integration variables, identied by power counting. In the case of 15a)

$$C (\mathbf{p} \mathbf{k})^{5} = C 5m_{b}^{4} (\mathbf{p} \mathbf{k} m_{b}) + C 10m_{b}^{3} (\mathbf{p} \mathbf{k} m_{b})^{2}$$
(61)

The rst term in the vertex expansion, $C m_b^5$, gives the wave function renorm alization piece of the diagram. The remaining terms in the vertex expansion contribute to the mass renormalization parts of the diagram. These are at least linear in the o shellness ($p \models m_b$), canceling a propagator of the graph with an extra factor of i left. This cancellation is as was mentioned earlier for the one loop case denoted by a slash on the corresponding propagator in the gures.

The vertex of 15b) is a single gluon vertex (Fig. 3), its expansion up to term s giving linear infrared sensitivity in the integration

$$[\psiertex]^{a} j_{inear} = C g m_{b}^{4} T^{a} (\psi k)^{4} + (\psi k)^{3} (\psi k k)^{4} + (\psi k)^{3} (\psi k k)^{3} + (\psi k)^{3} (\psi k k)^{4} + (\psi k)^{3} (\psi k k)^{3} + (\psi k)^{3} (\psi k k)^{4} + (\psi k)^{3} (\psi k k)^{3} + (\psi$$

Substituting the linearized expressions for the vertices, we nd that 15a) and 15b) cancel. The same type of cancellation applies for the next pair of graphs, 15c) and 15d)

$$15a) + 15b) = 0$$
 $15c) + 15d) = 0$: (63)

The case of 15e-f) and 15g-h) is a little more complicated. The leading e ective vertex is expanded the same way as in (61), giving

$$15e) = C 5im_{b}^{4} (i_{22})_{p=m_{b}} uu \quad C 10m_{b}^{3} C_{F}^{2} \quad \frac{C_{F}C_{A}}{2} uig ig \qquad (64)$$

$$\overset{Z}{d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1}} \frac{i}{p \quad k \quad k \quad m} ig \quad \frac{i}{p \quad k_{1} \quad m} ig \quad \frac{i}{k^{2} k_{1}^{2} u}$$

Here however the expansion of the single gluon vertex to linear IR sensitive terms in the integration results in 3 terms:

$$[vertex]^{b}_{linear} = C g T^{b}^{n} (p k)^{4} + (p k)^{3} (p k k)^{9} + ...+ (65)$$

$$(p k k)^{4}^{i}_{linear} = C \frac{5}{i} m_{b}^{4} i g T^{b} + C \frac{10}{i} m_{b}^{3} (p k m) i g T^{b}$$

$$+ C \frac{10}{i} m_{b}^{3} i g T^{b} (p k k k m)$$

From (64) we get for 15f)

$$15f) = C 5im_{b}^{4} (i_{22})_{p=m_{b}} uu + C 10m_{b}^{3} C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F}C_{A}}{2} uig ig \qquad (66)$$

$$^{Z} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p \ k \ l p} \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{k^{2} \ k_{1}^{2}} u +$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3} C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F}C_{A}}{2} uig \quad d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p \ k \ m}$$

$$ig ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{k^{2} \ k_{1}^{2}} u$$

Unlike the rst two pairs of graphs, 15e) and 15f) do not cancel. The sum of the two graphs is the last term of 15f). Calculating for 15g) and 15h) in the same way, we nd that

$$15g) + 15h) = 15e) + 15f)$$

$$15e) + 15f) + 15g) + 15h) = 2 C 10m_{b}^{3} C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F} C_{A}}{2} uig$$

$$^{Z} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p k m} ig ig \frac{i}{p k_{T}} m ig \frac{i}{k^{2} k_{1}^{2}} u$$

$$(67)$$

N ext consider the diagram s 15i)-l). Expanding the vertices around m ass shelland keeping linear infrared sensitive term s in the integration gives

$$15i) = C 5im_{b}^{4} (i_{21}) uu$$
(68)

(N ote that further expansion of the leading e ective vertex would give a quadratically infrared sensitive piece by \mathbb{R} power counting.)

The single gluon vertex of 15) is expanded to linear accuracy as

$$[vertex]^{a} \underline{j}_{inear} = C g T^{a} \overset{h}{p}^{4} + p^{3} (p + k) + (p + k)^{4} = (69)$$
$$C \frac{5}{i} m_{b}^{4} T^{a} ig + C \frac{10}{i} m_{b}^{3} T^{a} ig (p + k - m_{b})$$

Substituting the vertex expansion (69), 15j becomes

$$15j) = C 5im_{b}^{4} (i_{21}) uu$$

$$+ C 10m_{b}^{3}C_{F}^{2}uig ig d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p \neq k} m ig \frac{i}{p \neq k} ig \frac{i}{k^{2}k_{1}^{2}u}$$

$$(70)$$

A similar calculation for 15k) gives

$$15k) = C 5im_{b}^{4} (i_{21}) uu$$

$$+ C 10m_{b}^{3}C_{F}^{2}uig d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\mathbf{p} \mathbf{k}} mig \frac{i}{\mathbf{p} \mathbf{k}} mig \frac{i}{\mathbf{p} \mathbf{k}} mig \frac{i}{\mathbf{k}^{2}} k_{1}^{2} u$$

$$(71)$$

D iagram 151) is the mass shift term of the tree level amplitude corresponding to $_{21}$

151) = C
$$up^{5}u = Cm_{b}^{5}u = C5m^{4}g^{4}b_{21}uu$$
 (72)

Adding the 4 graphs and using that $a_{21} = a_{21} + b_{21}g^4$ we arrive at

$$15i) + 15j) + 15k) + 15l) =$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3}C_{F}^{2}uig ig d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{k^{2} \ k_{1}^{2}} u +$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3}C_{F}^{2}uig d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{k^{2} \ k_{1}^{2}} u +$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3}C_{F}^{2}uig d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{k^{2} \ k_{1}^{2}} u +$$

The calculation for the next 4 graphs, 15m-p) is similar to 15i-l. Note however that in the leading e ective vertex expansion of 15m) we must keep the rst 2 terms, unlike in the case of 15i), resulting in an extra term. A part from this, the di erence is only in the gamma matrix and color structure. For the sum we nd

$$15m + 15n + 15o + 15p) =$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3} C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F} C_{A}}{2} uig$$

$$^{Z} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p k m} ig ig \frac{i}{p k_{I}} m ig \frac{i}{k^{2} k_{1}^{2}} u$$

$$+ C 10m_{b}^{3} C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F} C_{A}}{2} uig$$

$$^{Z} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p k m} ig \frac{i}{p k k_{I}} m ig ig \frac{i}{k^{2} k_{1}^{2}} u$$

$$+ C 10m_{b}^{3} C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F} C_{A}}{2} uig$$

$$^{Z} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p k m} ig \frac{i}{p k k_{I}} m ig ig \frac{i}{k^{2} k_{1}^{2}} u$$

$$+ C 10m_{b}^{3} C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F} C_{A}}{2} uig ig$$

$$^{Z} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p k k_{I}} m ig \frac{i}{p k k_{I}} m ig \frac{i}{k^{2} k_{1}^{2}} u$$

Sum marizing the leading and single gluon e ective vertex diagrams contribute with

$$15a) + (+ 15p) = (75)$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3} C_{F}^{2}uig ig d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} \cancel{k} \cancel{m}} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} \cancel{m}} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{k}^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{1}^{2}} u$$

$$+ C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F}C_{A}}{2} uig ig d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} \cancel{k} \cancel{k} \cancel{m}} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} \cancel{k} \cancel{m}} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{k}^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{1}^{2}} u$$

$$+ C_{F}^{2}uig d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} \cancel{m}} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} \cancel{k} \cancel{m}} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{k}^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{1}^{2}} u$$

+
$$C_F^2$$
 $\frac{C_F C_A}{2}$ uig $d^4 k d^4 k_1 \frac{i}{p + k + m}$ ig $\frac{i}{p + k + m}$ ig ig $\frac{i}{k^2 k_1^2} u$
+ C_F^2 $\frac{C_F C_A}{2}$ uig $d^4 k d^4 k_1 \frac{i}{p + k + m}$ ig ig $\frac{i}{p + k + m}$ ig $\frac{i}{k^2 k_1^2} u$

b. D ouble vertex graphs Since we keep infrared sensitive term s to linear accuracy, power counting shows that the double gluon vertex need not be expanded any further, and so it is simplied to

$$[\text{double vertex}]_{;}^{a,b} = C \, 10g^2 m_{b}^3 \qquad T^a T^b + T^b T^a \qquad (76)$$

From the above,

$$15r) = \begin{array}{c} C \ 10m_b^3 C_F^2 \ uig \ ig \\ d^4 k d^4 k_1 \frac{i}{p \ k \ k \ m} ig \ \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \ \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \ \frac{i}{k^2 \ k_1^2} u \\ C \ 10m_b^3 \ C_F^2 \ \frac{C_F C_A}{2} \ uig \ ig \\ d^4 k d^4 k_1 \frac{i}{p \ k \ k \ m} ig \ \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \ \frac{i}{k^2 \ k_1^2} u \\ \end{array}$$
(77)

$$15s) = {}_{Z} \begin{array}{c} C \ 10m_{b}^{3}C_{F}^{2} u ig \\ d^{4}k d^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \ \cancel{k} \ m} ig \quad \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \ \cancel{k} \ \cancel{k} \ \cancel{k} \ m} ig \quad ig \quad \frac{i}{k^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{1}^{2}} u \\ C \ 10m_{b}^{3} \ C_{F}^{2} \quad \frac{C_{F}C_{A}}{2} u ig \\ z \\ d^{4}k d^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \ \cancel{k} \ m} ig \quad \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \ \cancel{k} \ \cancel{k} \ \cancel{m} ig \quad ig \quad \frac{i}{k^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{1}^{2}} u \\ \end{array}$$
(78)

$$15t) = {}_{Z} C 10m_{b}^{3}C_{F}^{2}uig$$

$$d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\not p \quad k m}ig \quad ig \quad \frac{i}{\not p \quad k m}ig \quad \frac{i}{k^{2} k_{1}^{2}}u$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3} C_{F}^{2} \quad \frac{C_{F}C_{A}}{2} uig$$

$${}_{Z} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\not p \quad k m}ig \quad ig \quad \frac{i}{\not p \quad k m}ig \quad \frac{i}{k^{2} k_{1}^{2}}u$$

$$(79)$$

The sum of the linear infrared sensitive pieces of the double vertex graphs is therefore

$$15r) + 15s) + 15t) = (80)$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3} C_{F}^{2}uig ig d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{k^{2} \ k_{1}^{2}} u$$

$$+ C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F}C_{A}}{2} uig ig d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{p \ k \ m} ig \frac{i}{k^{2} \ k_{1}^{2}} u$$

$$+ C_{F}^{2} uig \overset{Z}{=} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} m} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} m} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} \cancel{k} m} ig ig \frac{i}{\cancel{k^{2}} \binom{i}{\cancel{k_{1}^{2}} u}}{i}$$

$$+ C_{F}^{2} \overset{Z}{=} uig \overset{Z}{=} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} m} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} \cancel{k} m} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} \cancel{k} \cancel{k_{1}^{2}} u}$$

$$+ C_{F}^{2} uig \overset{Z}{=} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} m} ig ig \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k_{1}} m} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{k^{2}} \binom{i}{\cancel{k_{1}^{2}} u}}$$

$$+ C_{F}^{2} uig \overset{Z}{=} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} m} ig ig \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k_{1}} m} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{k^{2}} \binom{i}{\cancel{k_{1}^{2}} u}}$$

$$+ C_{F}^{2} \frac{C_{F}C_{A}}{2} uig \overset{Z}{=} d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} m} ig ig \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k_{1}} m} ig \frac{i}{\cancel{k^{2}} \binom{i}{\cancel{k_{1}^{2}} u}}$$

Adding (75) and (80) the sum of the leading, single, and double gluon e ective vertex diagram s gives

$$15a) + + 15t) =$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3}C_{F}^{2}uig d^{4}kd^{4}k_{1} \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} m}ig ig \frac{i}{\cancel{p} \cancel{k} m}ig \frac{i}{\cancel{k}^{2}} \frac{i}{\cancel{k}_{1}^{2}}u =$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3}(i_{1}) \frac{i}{\cancel{p} m} \frac{i}{\cancel{k}^{2}}uu$$

$$(81)$$

U sing power counting, the linear term of the sum is (with the use of equation (58))

$$15a) + + 15t) = C^3 \underline{a} Q \underline{m}_1 g^4 uu$$
 (82)

c. M ass-shift terms from 1-bop graphs The 1-bop diagrams (Fig. 6) also contribute to the order g^4 cancellations via their mass shift terms. Using the results of section IV A, the linear mass renormalization pieces of the 1-bop graphs to order g^4 are

$$6a) + 6b) + 6c) = C 5m_b^4 j_{p=m_b} uu_{linear}$$
(83)
$$= C 5 m + a_1g^2 + b_1g^2 \overset{4}{a_1g^2} + b_1g^2 uu_{linear}$$

$$= C 5m^4b_1g^2 uu C 40m^3a_1b_1g^4 uu$$

$$6d) = C m_b^5 uu_{linear} = C m + a_1g^2 + b_1g^2 + \overset{X^4}{a_{2i}g^4} a_{2i}g^4 + \overset{Y^4}{b_{2i}g^4} uu$$
(84)

i= 1

=
$$C 5m^4 b_1 g^2 uu + C 5m^4 \sum_{i=1}^{X^4} b_{2i} g^4 uu + C 20m^3 a_1 b_1 g^4 uu$$

As we have shown before, the order g^2 term s of the 1-loop diagram s cancel. The mass shift term s due to the order g^4 self-energy corrections were already included in the calculation. The order g^4 term s we have not included so far are the \cross term s", those proportional to a_1b_1 . These are the term s we denoted with the expression \m ass shift term s" on Fig. 15x), sum m ing up to

$$15x) = C 20m^3 a_1 b_1 g^4 uu$$
 (85)

i= 1

linear

This contribution cancels the remaining linear term of the 2-loop vertex diagram s of group-1 and group-2, (82), so the linear infrared sensitive terms in the mass renormalization of the rst two group of diagrams with the mass shift terms from the 1-loop diagram s cancel

$$15a) + + 15x) = 0$$
: (86)

2. G roup-3 and the group of 1-particle reducible diagram s

The linear mass renorm alization parts of the group-3 diagram s cancel with the corresponding pieces of 1-particle reducible graphs, as shown in Fig. 16.

Expanding the leading e ective vertex of 16a) as in (61), and the single gluon vertex of 16b) as in (69) to linear accuracy it is easy to see that 16a) and 16b) cancel. The next two pair of graphs 16c) and 16d) cancel sim ilarly:

$$16a) + 16b) = 0 \quad 16c) + 16d) = 0$$
 (87)

The mass shift term of the tree amplitude, corresponding to 23, 16g)

$$16g) = Cm_{b}^{5} uu = 5m^{4}b_{23}g^{4} uu$$
 (88)

cancels with the remainder of the one-particle reducible group, as we will show below. For the 1-particle reducible diagram s the leading e ective vertex of 16e), 16f) and the corresponding m ass counterterm graphs is expanded to rst order in the o shellness

$$(\mathbf{p} \mathbf{k})^{5}_{\text{mass; linear}} = C 5m_{b}^{4} (\mathbf{p} \mathbf{k} m_{b})$$
(89)

Keeping the second term as in (61) would result in a quadratic infrared sensitive term by IR power counting. From the vertex expansion (89) we get for the linear mass pieces of the diagram s

$$16e) + 16f) + = \oint_{C} 52im^{1} \quad 1_{a^{2}} \quad (i_{1})_{\frac{1}{P} = m_{b}} uu \qquad (90)$$

The ellipsis in the formula denote the inclusion of the mass counterterm diagram s, as in Fig. 16. Here we used the one-loop W ard-like identity (Fig. 5) and the perturbative expansion of Z¹⁼², Eq. (32).

For the remaining one-particle reducible diagram s 16h-k) the expansion of the single gluon vertex up to linear infrared sensitive term s in the integration gives one term

$$[vertex]^{a} j_{inear} = C \frac{5}{i} m_{b}^{4} ig T^{a}$$
(91)

Substituting the vertex expansion (91), we nd that

$$16h) + 16i) + = 16j) + 16k) + {}^{4}_{b} = 2 \dot{C} 5im 1_{g^{2}} (i_{1}) j_{p=m_{b}} uu: (92)$$

Thus the sum of the linear pieces of the 1-particle reducible graphs is

$$16e) + 16k) + \frac{4}{b} = \mathbb{Z} c^{2} 5 im 1_{g^{2}} (i_{1}) uu$$
(93)
= $C 5m^{4} Z^{1} 1_{g^{2}} b_{1}g^{2} uu$

The sum (93) cancels the leftover $_{23}$ m ass shift piece, (88), since by the one loop W ard-like identity (Fig. 5)

$$b_{23}g^2 = b_2 Z^{-1} 1_{g^2}$$
 (94)

and Eq. (88) (Fig. 16g)) becomes

$$16g) = C 5m^{4}b_{23}g^{4} uu = C 5m^{4}b_{1}g^{2} Z^{1} 1_{g^{2}} uu;$$
(95)

Hence all linear mass renormalization pieces of the group-3 and the 1-particle reducible diagram s cancel:

$$16g) + 16e) + 16k) + = 0$$
 (96)

3. G roup-4

The cancellation within group-4 (Fig. 17) proceeds in the same way as for the 1-loop diagram s (see Fig. 6, and section IV A).

4. G roup-5

Fig. 18 shows the cancellation between the group-5 diagram s. We can calculate the linear parts of the st 4 diagram s 18a-d) expanding the leading elective vertex to second order and the single gluon vertex to second order in the o shellness. A straightforward calculation gives

$$18a) + 18b) = 0 \quad 18c) + 18d) = 0 \tag{97}$$

The case of the next 4 diagram s, 18e-h) is more interesting. 18e), the mass-shift piece of the tree amplitude corresponding to $_{25}$ is

$$18e) = Cm_{b}^{5} uu = 5Cm^{4}b_{25}g^{4} uu$$
(98)

Denoting the triple gluon vertex with S^{abc} , the next diagram , 18f) gives

$$18f) = uig T^{a} d^{4}k_{b}d^{4}k_{c}\frac{i}{\not p \ k_{b} \ k_{b} \ k_{c} \ m}$$
(99)

$$[vertex]^{b}\frac{i}{\not p \ k_{c} \ m}ig T^{c}S^{abc}\frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}}\frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}}\frac{i}{(k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}}$$

$$S^{abc} = gf^{abc} g \ (k_{a} \ k_{b}) + g \ (k_{b} \ k_{c}) + g \ (k_{c} \ k_{a})$$

The single gluon vertex is expanded to linear accuracy as follows:

$$[vertex]^{b} = C \frac{5}{i} m_{b}^{4} ig T^{b} + C \frac{10}{i} m_{b}^{3} (p + k_{b} - k_{b} - m_{b}) ig T^{b}$$
(100)
+ $C \frac{10}{i} m_{b}^{3} ig T^{b} (p - k_{b} - m_{b})$

Substituting the linearized vertex, 18f) gives 3 terms

$$18f) = C 5im_{b}^{4} (i_{25})_{\frac{1}{p=m_{b}}} uu + C 10m_{b}^{3}uig T^{a}ig T^{b}$$
(101)

$$Z d^{4}k_{b}d^{4}k_{c}\frac{i}{p k_{b}} m ig T^{c}S^{abc}\frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}}\frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}}\frac{i}{(k_{b}+k_{c})^{2}}u + C 10m_{b}^{3}uig T^{a} d^{4}k_{b}d^{4}k_{c}\frac{i}{p k_{b}} m ig T^{b}ig T^{c}S^{abc}\frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}}\frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}}\frac{i}{(k_{b}+k_{c})^{2}}u + C 10m_{b}^{3}uig T^{a} d^{4}k_{b}d^{4}k_{c}\frac{i}{p k_{b}} k_{b} m ig T^{b}ig T^{c}S^{abc}\frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}}\frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}}\frac{i}{(k_{b}+k_{c})^{2}}u + C 10m_{b}^{3}uig T^{a} d^{4}k_{b}d^{4}k_{c}\frac{i}{p k_{b}} k_{b} m ig T^{b}ig T^{c}S^{abc}\frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}}\frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}}\frac{i}{(k_{b}+k_{c})^{2}}u + C 10m_{b}^{3}uig T^{a} d^{4}k_{b}d^{4}k_{c}\frac{i}{p k_{b}} k_{b} m ig T^{b}ig T^{c}S^{abc}\frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}}\frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}}\frac{i}{(k_{b}+k_{c})^{2}}u + C 10m_{b}^{3}uig T^{a}\frac{i}{k_{b}}\frac{i}$$

To get the linear terms of 18g) and 18h), we need to keep only the rst term in the expansion of the double gluon vertex. Thus

$$18g) = C \ 10m_{b}^{3}g^{2}u^{h} \qquad T^{a}T^{b} + T^{b}T^{a}^{i} \qquad (102)$$

$$\overset{Z}{d^{4}k_{b}d^{4}k_{c}} \frac{i}{p \quad k_{e} \quad m} ig \quad T^{c}S^{abc} \frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}} \frac{i}{(k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}}u$$

and

$$18h) = \underset{Z}{C} 10m_{b}^{3}g^{2}uig T^{a}$$

$$d^{4}k_{b}d^{4}k_{c}\frac{i}{\not p k_{b} k_{c}} m^{h} T^{b}T^{c} + T^{c}T^{b}S^{abc}\frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}}\frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}}\frac{i}{(k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}}u$$
(103)

U sing that $_{25} = a_{25}g^4 + b_{25}g^4$, the sum of the group-5 graphs gives

$$18a) + + 18h) = (104)$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3}uig T^{a} d^{4}k_{b}d^{4}k_{c}\frac{i}{\not p \ k_{b} \ k_{c}} m ig T^{c}ig T^{b}S^{abc}\frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}}\frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}}\frac{i}{(k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}}u$$

$$C 10m_{b}^{3}uig T^{b}ig T^{a} d^{4}k_{b}d^{4}k_{c}\frac{i}{\not p \ k_{b} \ m}ig T^{c}S^{abc}\frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}}\frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}}\frac{i}{(k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}}u$$

This remainder can be shown to be zero (up to linear accuracy) by renaming variables for example in the rst integral for $k_c^0 = (k_b + k_c)$ and $k_b^0 = k_c$. Therefore the linear mass renorm alization pieces of group-5 diagram s cancel.

V.SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.

In this paper we have shown by explicit perturbative calculations upto the second loop order, that when the inclusive decay width of a heavy quark is expressed in term s of the short distance m ass, there is complete accord with the operator product expansion and the leading power corrections are of order $1=m_b^2$. This is the rst such calculation to the second loop order that checks the dependence on the infrared cuto to linear accuracy for the non-abelian theory. Because of the non-abelian interactions there could have been potential problem s due to the singularity in the t channel for processes involving the forward scattering of soft gluons. However we see explicitly that these do not hinder the cancellation of the infrared sensitive term s to linear accuracy.

As emphasized earlier, the above result is true not just for the sem ileptonic decay considered in this paper but for any inclusive decay, like for example the radiative one. Such a cancellation was linked to the KLN theorem which had been suggested earlier [7] to be the general principle behind this for inclusive enough observables. Since for the inclusive decay, there is only a single particle in the initial state, our calculations enjoyed several sim – pli cations. It is known [10] that for at least two colored particles in the initial state there is a breakdown of the Bloch Nordsieck mechanism in perturbation theory. Thus it would be interesting to investigate the fate of the 1=Q corrections for the D rell Y an process. For this process the initial state is no longer trivial and in addition furthur new complications arise in higher loops due to the mixing of soft and collinear singularities [11]. The 1=Q corrections are known [12] to cancel to one loop order and the situation at higher loops is an open question. The techniques developed in this paper would be useful for this study as well, and we hope to return to this question in a future publication.

VI.ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the USD epartm ent of Energy.

APPENDIX A:CANCELLATION OF INFRARED SENSITIVE TERMS TO LINEAR ACCURACY IN THE WAVE FUNCTION RENORMALIZATION: GROUP-5

For the last group of diagram s (Fig. 12) the presence of the non-abelian vertex makes the algebra a little more complicated. The calculation proceeds essentially along the same lines as for the second group of diagram s (see section IIIB). We would like to show that for the logarithm ically and linearly infrared sensitive parts (referred to as \logarithm ic and linear pieces" in the following) of the diagram s of Fig. 12

$$[12a) + 12b)]_{w \text{ avefunction}} = C m_b^5 Z^{-1} 1_{g^4; 25} uu$$

$$= [12c) + 12d)]_{w \text{ avefunction}}$$
(A1)

As before, the logarithm ically and linearly infrared sensitive pieces of the integrals are isolated by IR power counting. In the rest frame of the heavy quark $p = (m_b; 0; 0; 0)$, and

$$Z^{1} \qquad 1_{g^{4}; 25} = \frac{u \frac{\varrho_{25}}{\varrho_{p}}}{u u} = \frac{u \frac{\varrho_{25}}{\varrho_{p_{0}}}}{u u}$$
(A 2)

so we would like to show that for the logarithm ic and linear terms in the integration

$$C m_{b}^{5} u \frac{\varrho_{25}}{\varrho_{p_{0}}} = u = iC m_{b}^{5} u \frac{\varrho(i_{25})}{\varrho_{p_{0}}} = u = [12c] + 12d)_{u \text{ avefunction}}$$
(A 3)

A s before, the derivation of $_{25}$ with respect to p_0 results in an insertion of an extra vertex i 0 and an extra propagator in all possible way. The resulting diagram s are similar to 12c) and 12d) with the C m $_b^5$ vertex replaced by C m $_b^5$ 0 (shown in Fig. 13). W riting out explicitly

$$C m_{b}^{5} u \frac{\theta_{25}}{\theta_{p_{0}}} u = C m_{b}^{5} \frac{C_{F} C_{A}}{2} (ig)^{4} d^{4} k_{b} d^{4} k_{c} N_{1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{2p (k_{b} + k_{c}) + (k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}} \\ \frac{i}{2p (k_{b} + k_{c}) + (k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}} \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{4}{2}} \frac{\frac{i}{2pk_{c} + k_{c}^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{c}^{2} k_{b}^{2} \frac{i}{k_{b}^{2} \frac{i}{k_{b} + k_{c}}}{k_{c}^{2} k_{b}^{2} \frac{i}{k_{b} + k_{c}}} + \frac{z}{q^{4} k_{b} d^{4} k_{c} N_{2}} d^{4} k_{b} d^{4} k_{c} N_{2}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{2p (k_{b} + k_{c}) + (k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}} \\ \frac{i}{2pk_{c} + k_{c}^{2}} \frac{k_{c}^{2} \frac{i}{k_{c}^{2} \frac{i}{k_{b}^{2} \frac{i}{(k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}}}}{k_{c}^{2} \frac{i}{k_{b}^{2} \frac{i}{(k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}}}; \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(A 4)$$

D enoting the triple gluon vertex by S (where the color factor and the coupling are taken out) and $k_a = (k_b + k_c)$

$$N_{1} = u \quad (p + k_{a} + m) \quad {}^{0} (p + k_{a} + m) \quad (p + k_{b} + m) \quad S \quad u \qquad (A5)$$

$$N_{2} = u \quad (p + k_{a} + m) \quad (p + k_{b} + m) \quad {}^{0} (p + k_{b} + m) \quad S \quad u \qquad (A5)$$

$$S = g \quad (k_{a} \quad k_{b}) + g \quad (k_{b} \quad k_{b}) + g \quad (k_{c} \quad k_{a})$$

Comparing this to diagram s 12c) and 12d)

$$12c) = C m_{b}^{5} \frac{C_{F} C_{A}}{2} (ig)^{4} d^{4}k_{b} d^{4}k_{c} N_{1c}$$

$$(A 6)$$

$$\frac{i}{4} \frac{i}{2p (k_{b} + k_{c}) + (k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}} \frac{i}{2pk_{e} + k_{c}^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}} \frac{i}{(k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}}$$

$$12d) = C m_{b}^{5} \frac{C_{F} C_{A}}{2} (ig)^{4} d^{4}k_{b} d^{4}k_{c} N_{2d}$$

$$\frac{i}{2p (k_{b} + k_{c}) + (k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}} \frac{i}{2pk_{e} + k_{c}^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{c}^{2}} \frac{i}{k_{b}^{2}} \frac{i}{(k_{b} + k_{c})^{2}}$$

with the num erator factors

$$N_{1c} = u$$
 ($p + k_a + m$) ($p + k_a + m$) ($p + k_b + m$) ($p + k_b + m$) S u (A7)
 $N_{2d} = u$ ($p + k_a + m$) ($p + k_b + m$) ($p + k_b + m$) S u

we have to show $N_1 = N_{1c}$ and $N_2 = N_{2d}$ to linear order in the integration. By power counting we must keep term s to the order k in the num erators in addition to the triple gluon vertex k dependence. The logarithm ic term s arise dropping the k dependence everywhere in the num erators except in the triple gluon vertex S . A simple calculation shows that the num erator factors for the logarithm ic term s are zero. For the linear term s, a little m ore calculation gives

$$N_{1} = 4m^{2} f(k_{a} k_{b})_{0} u k_{a} u + 2 (k_{b} k_{b})_{0} u k_{c} u +$$

$$+ u (k_{b} k_{b}) k_{a} u + u k_{a} (k_{c} k_{b}) u u k_{b} (k_{a} k_{b}) u u (k_{b} k_{b}) k_{c} u g = N_{1c}$$
(A8)

where the rest-fram e condition was used. An entirely sim ilar calculation gives $N_2 = N_{2d}$ to linear accuracy. Thus the num erator factors are equal up to linear order in the integration, and equality (A3) is established to linear order. Hence we have shown the cancellation of the logarithm ic and linear wave function renorm alization pieces of group-5.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chay, H. Georgi and B. Grinstein, Phys. Lett. B 247 (1990) 399; I. I. Bigi, N. G. Uraltsev, A. I. Vainshtein, Phys. Lett. B 293 (1992) 430; I. I. Bigi, M. Shifm an, N. G. Uraltsev, A. I. Vainshtein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993), 496; A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev.D 49 (1994), 1310.
- [2] R. Akhoury and V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Supp. A 54 (1997) 217, hepph/9610492.
- [3] M. Beneke and V. M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. B 426 (1994) 301; I. I. Bigi, M. Shifm an, N. G. Uraltsev, A. I. Vainshtein, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994), 2234; M. Beneke, V. M. Braun and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1993) 3058.
- [4] The wave function renorm alization of the initial heavy quark is another source of infrared sensitivity at the logarithm ic and at the linear level. These also cancel against the radiated energy as we discuss in detail in the following sections, however for the purposes of the subsequent discussion, we will consider just the mass renorm alization contribution.
- [5] J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics (1975), J.W iley and Sons, New York.
- [6] B. R. Webber, Phys. Lett. B 339 (1994) 148; B. R. Webber and Yu. L. Dokhshitzer, Phys. Lett. B 352 (1995) 415; R. Akhoury and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Lett. B 357 (1995) 646.
- [7] R. Akhoury and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 2238.
- [8] T.Kinoshita J.M ath. Phys. 3 (1962) 650; T.D.Lee and M.Nauenberg Phys. Rev. 133 (1964) 1549.
- [9] R. Akhoury, L. Stodolsky and V. I. Zakharov, hep-ph/9609638, To Appear in Nucl. Phys. B.
- [10] R.M. Doria, J.Frenkel and J.C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B 168 (1980) 93; C.Di'Lieto, S. Gedron, I.G. Halliday and C.T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B 183 (1981) 223.
- [11] R.Akhoury, M.G. Sotiropoulos and V.I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997), 377.
- [12] M. Beneke and V. M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. B 454 (1995) 253.



FIG.1. The forward scattering am litude for the heavy quark decay whose in aginary part gives the decay width. Shaded blob denotes the hard part, while S denotes the soft gluon interactions.



FIG.2. a) Emission of a single gluon from the nal state quark. The blob denotes all possible gluon self-interactions before coupling to the heavy quark. b) An example for the gluon self-interactions included in a). c) Two gluon emission from the nal state quark.



FIG.3. a) leading e ective vertex, b) single gluon e ective vertex, c) double gluon e ective vertex



FIG.4. W ave function renorm alization and B rem sstrahlung diagram s to order g^2 .



FIG.5. One-loop order W ard-like identity



FIG.6. Mass renormalization and the corresponding bremsstrahlung diagram to order g^2 . Figure a) depicts the mass renormalization piece of the graph. The propagator with slash is cancelled by the expansion of the leading elective vertex. The subscript $_1$ of d) denotes the mass shift due to the order g^2 self-energy correction.



FIG.7. Self-energy corrections of order g^2 and g^4



FIG.8. The rst group of 2-loop diagram s corresponding to $_{21}$.



FIG.9. The second group of 2-loop diagram s corresponding to $_{22}$.



FIG.10. The third group of 2-loop diagram s corresponding to $_{23}$.



FIG.11. The 4th group of 2-loop diagram s corresponding to $_{24}$. The blob denotes ferm ion, gluon and ghost loops.



FIG.12. The 5th group of 2-loop diagram s corresponding to $_{25}$.



FIG.13. Two-bop order W ard-like identities





FIG.14. 1-particle reducible diagram s for wave function renorm alization. The ellipsis denote all possible inclusion of m ass counterterm s.



FIG.15. M ass renorm alization of order g^4 : group-1 and group-2. The linear infrared sensitive m ass renorm alization pieces of the leading e ective vertex graphs cancel with the corresponding single and double gluon vertex graphs and with g^4 order m ass shift terms from the 1-loop diagram s.



FIG.16. M ass renorm alization of order g^4 : cancellation of linear m ass term s between group-3 and the 1-particle reducible diagram s. The ellipsis denote inclusion of m ass counterterm s.



FIG.17. Mass renormalization of order g^4 : cancellation of linear pieces in group-4



FIG.18. Mass renormalization of order g^4 : cancellation of linear terms in group-5.