

FORWARD CONE IN EXCLUSIVE HEAVY VECTOR MESON
PRODUCTION ^a

V. R. ZOLLER

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
Moscow 117218, Russia
E-mail: zoller@heron.itp.ru

The color dipole gBFKL phenomenology of a di-radiation cone for photo- and electroproduction $N \rightarrow VN$ of heavy vector mesons (charm onium & bottomium) at HERA and in fixed target experiments is presented. A substantial shrinkage of the di-radiation cone from the CERN/FNAL to the HERA range of cm.s. energy W is predicted. The Q^2 -controlled selectivity to the color dipole size (scanning phenomenon) is shown to lead to a decrease of the di-radiation slope with Q^2 (which is supported by the available experimental data). An approximate flavor independence of the di-radiation slope in the scaling variable $Q^2 + m_V^2$ is shown to hold.

1 Introduction

I report on the color dipole description of the forward di-radiation slope $B(\gamma \rightarrow V)$ in exclusive di-radiative DIS¹. We use our early results for the energy dependence of the forward cone in color dipole scattering², obtained from the solution of the running gBFKL equation for the di-radiation slope³. The crucial point is that breaking of the scale invariance by asymptotic freedom (running $\alpha_s(r)$) and finite propagation radius R_c for perturbative gluons, alters the BFKL pomeron from a fixed cut in the scaling approximation ($\alpha_s = \text{const}$) to a series of moving poles for the running gBFKL pomeron^{3;4} (for early quasiclassical analysis see also⁵). The two major points about $B(\gamma \rightarrow V)$ which we focus here are: i) a prediction of a substantial Regge shrinkage of the di-radiation slope for the running gBFKL; ii) a decrease of the slope with Q^2 and/or mass of the vector meson because of a shrinkage of the photon quantified by the scanning radius⁶ $r_s = \frac{1}{A} = \frac{1}{m_V^2 + Q^2}$.

^aTalk at 6th International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering and QCD (DIS'98), Brussels, April 1998

2 Color dipole factorization for the di-radiation cone

To the leading $\log(1-x_{\text{eff}})$ approximation the amplitude for real (virtual) photoproduction of vector mesons with the momentum transfer \mathbf{q} can be cast in the color dipole factorized form

$$M(\gamma^* \rightarrow V; \mathbf{x}; Q^2; \mathbf{q}) = \int_0^1 dz \int d^2\mathbf{x}_V \psi_V(\mathbf{x}; z) A(\mathbf{x}_{\text{eff}}; \mathbf{r}; z; \mathbf{q}) \psi(\mathbf{r}; z); \quad (1)$$

where $\psi(\mathbf{x}; z)$ and $\psi_V(\mathbf{x}; z)$ are the probability amplitudes to find the color dipole \mathbf{x} in the photon and vector meson, respectively, and $A(\mathbf{x}_{\text{eff}}; \mathbf{r}; z; \mathbf{q})$ is the imaginary part of the amplitude for the color dipole elastic scattering on the target nucleus, $A(\mathbf{x}_{\text{eff}}; \mathbf{r}; z; 0) = \chi(\mathbf{x}_{\text{eff}}; \mathbf{r})$, where $x_{\text{eff}} = (Q^2 + m_V^2)^{-1/2} = W^{-2}$, $z = \log(1-x_{\text{eff}})$.

We define the di-radiation slope by $B = d \log(d\sigma/dq^2)/dq^2|_{q=0}$. The representation for $A(\mathbf{x}_{\text{eff}}; \mathbf{r}; \mathbf{q})$ in terms of the gluon density matrix is

$$A(\mathbf{x}_{\text{eff}}; \mathbf{r}; \mathbf{q}) = \frac{4}{3} \int d^2\mathbf{k} \frac{1}{k^4} s(\mathbf{k}^2) [J_0(\frac{1}{2}q\mathbf{r}) - J_0(k\mathbf{r})] F(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{k}; \mathbf{q}); \quad (2)$$

where $J_0(y)$ is the Bessel function. The gluon density matrix $F(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{k}; \mathbf{q})$ is proportional to the imaginary part of the non-forward gluon-nucleon scattering amplitude

$$F(\mathbf{x}_{\text{eff}}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{k}) = \frac{4}{3} \int d^2\mathbf{k}_1 T(\mathbf{x}_{\text{eff}}; \mathbf{k}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{k}_1) s(k_1^2) V_p(\mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{q}); \quad (3)$$

where the proton vertex function V_p is represented in terms of the single- and two-quark form factors of the proton $V_p(\mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{q}) = G_1(q^2) - G_2(\mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{q})$ and $T(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{k}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{k}_1)$ stands for the propagation function of two t-channel gluons. Splitting the color dipole vertex function $V_d(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{r}) = [J_0(\frac{1}{2}q\mathbf{r}) - J_0(k\mathbf{r})]$ into two pieces, we obtain a useful decomposition

$$A(\mathbf{x}_{\text{eff}}; \mathbf{r}; \mathbf{q}) = \frac{4}{3} [J_0(\frac{1}{2}q\mathbf{r}) - 1] \int d^2\mathbf{k} \frac{1}{k^4} s(\mathbf{k}^2) F(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{k}; \mathbf{q}) + \frac{16}{9} \int d^2\mathbf{k} \frac{1}{k^4} s(\mathbf{k}^2) [1 - J_0(k\mathbf{r})] \int d^2\mathbf{k}_1 T(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{k}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{k}_1) s(k_1^2) V_p(\mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{q}); \quad (4)$$

which illustrates how the three relevant size parameters in the problem give rise to the three major components of the di-radiation slope. The q dependence coming from the proton vertex function $V_p(\mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{q})$ is controlled by the proton




Figure 1: left box - The color dipole model predictions for the c.m.s. energy dependence of the dipole slope for real photoproduction of the J/ψ and for the J/ψ electroproduction at $Q^2 = 100 \text{ GeV}^2$; right box - Comparison of the color dipole model prediction for c.m.s. energy W dependence of the dipole slope for photoproduction of the J/ψ with the data.

size R_N . The color dipole vertex function $V_d = J_0(\frac{1}{2}qr)$ gives a geometric contribution $B_d(r) = r^2=8$. The BFKL diffusion of gluons in the impact parameter plane gives rise to the asymptotic Regge growth of the dipole slope² such that for all K, K_1 one has $\partial T = \partial q^2 \big|_{q^2=0} = [\frac{0}{P} + O(R_c^2)]T$. Besides, the BFKL diffusion results in azimuthal decorrelation of K, K_1 . This property of the BFKL kernel ensures the additivity of the beam, target and exchange contributions to $B = B_d(r) + B_N + B_{\text{Regge}}$.

For large dipoles, $r > R_c$, one recovers a sort of additive quark model

$$B(r) = \frac{1}{8}r^2 + \frac{1}{3}R_N^2 + 2 \frac{0}{P} + O(R_c^2); \quad (5)$$

For small dipoles, $r < R_c$, the V vertex contribution is of the form (A' 10)

$$B_d(r) = \frac{R_c^2 G(x_{\text{eff}}; A = R_c^2)}{8 G(x_{\text{eff}}; A = r^2)} \quad (6)$$

which vanishes at small r only because of scaling violation in the gluon density $G(x_{\text{eff}}; A = r^2)$, much slower than the geometrical term $r^2=8$. At moderately small x values, the ratio of gluon densities has a substantial x_{eff} dependence and B_d affects substantially the energy dependence of B .

3 Predictions vs. data

Because of the scanning property,

$$M(\dots; V; ; Q^2; q) / A(x_{\text{eff}}; r_s; z' = 2; q);$$




Figure 2: - The color dipole model predictions for the dipion production slope in production of different vector mesons as a function of the scaling variable $m_V^2 + Q^2$ (left box) and the scanning radius r_S (right box) at fixed c.m.s. energy $W = 100$ GeV. The scales of Q^2 on the top of the right box show the values of Q^2 which correspond to the scanning radii shown on the bottom axis.

The contribution to the dipion production slope from the term $B_d(r_S)$ decreases with the decreasing scanning radius r_S , i.e., with rising Q^2 . At fixed energy W the value of x_{eff} rises and the rapidity decreases which also diminishes the dipion production slope because the Regge term becomes smaller.

The predicted energy dependence of the dipion production slope for real photoproduction of the J/ψ and ψ' is presented in Fig. 1. The dipion production slope grows by 1.5 GeV^{-2} when W grows by one order in magnitude from the fixed target up to the HERA energy. This corresponds to the effective shrinkage rate $\frac{d}{d \ln W} x_{eff} = 0.15 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$. The dipion production slope for the J/ψ production at $Q^2 = 100 \text{ GeV}^2$ nearly coincides with that for real photoproduction of ψ' because the scanning radii r_S for the two reactions are very close to each other. The experimental situation in the heavy quarkonium sector is summarized in Fig. 3. At the both fixed target^{9;10;11} and HERA energy^{12;13;14;15} the error bars are too big for the definitive conclusions on the presence and/or lack of the shrinkage of the dipion production cone to be drawn. The admixture of inelastic events makes it difficult to compare different experiments. The slope for J/ψ as measured at HERA is too small, even smaller than the proton electromagnetic size contribution to B , $B_N = \frac{1}{3} R_N^2 \approx 5.8 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$. The resolution of the paradox requires a better understanding of the role of secondary reggeons. The flavor symmetry properties of the dipion production cone can be seen also in Fig. 2. The curves for $B(\pi^+\pi^-)$ of all the vector mesons do converge together as a

function of $Q^2 + m_V^2$. In Fig. 2b the same results are presented as a function of the scanning radius r_s with $A = 6$. We suggest useful empirical parameterizations for the di-radiation slope. For production of heavy quarkonia the Q^2 dependence of the di-radiation slope at $W = 100 \text{ GeV}$ and in the considered range of $Q^2 < 500 \text{ GeV}^2$ can be approximated by

$$B(\gamma\gamma V) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \log \frac{Q^2 + m_V^2}{m_{J=\psi}^2} \quad (7)$$

with the slope $\alpha_1 = 1.1 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and the constant $\alpha_0 = 5.8 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$. The constant α_0 is subject to the choice of the t range ($t_{j'} = 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$), it is the value of the parameter α_1 which is closely related to the gBFL dynamics. For virtual production of $J=\psi$ the H1⁷ reported: $B = 3.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ (stat)}_{-1.6}^{+2.0} \text{ (syst)} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ at $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 18 \text{ GeV}^2$, $W = 90 \text{ GeV}$. The ZEUS collaboration⁸ presented the value $B = 4.5 \pm 0.8 \text{ (stat)} \pm 1.0 \text{ (syst)} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ at $Q^2 = 6 \text{ GeV}^2$. Predicted decrease of the di-radiation slope from $Q^2 = 0$ to $Q^2 = 18 \text{ GeV}^2$ by mere 0.5 GeV^{-2} , is too small an effect to be seen at the present experimental accuracy.

References

1. J. Nemchik, N. N. Nikolaev, E. Predazzi, B. G. Zakharov and V. R. Zoller Report FZ-KP-97-23, hep-ph/9712469.
2. N. N. Nikolaev, B. G. Zakharov, V. R. Zoller, Phys. Lett. B 366 (1996) 337
3. N. N. Nikolaev, B. G. Zakharov and V. R. Zoller, JETP Lett. 60 (1994) 694.
4. N. N. Nikolaev, B. G. Zakharov and V. R. Zoller, JETP Lett. 66 (1997) 138.
5. L. N. Lipatov, Sov. Phys. JETP 63 (1986) 904;
6. N. N. Nikolaev, Comments on Nucl. Part. Phys. 21 (1992) 41; B. Z. Kopelovich, J. Nemchik, N. N. Nikolaev and B. G. Zakharov, Phys. Lett. B 309 (1993) 179.
7. H1 Collab., T. Ahmed et al., Nucl. Phys. B 468 (1996) 3.
8. ZEUS Collab., J. Breitweg et al., paper No.639, submitted to the Int. Europhys. Conf. HEP 97, August, 1997, Jerusalem, Israel
9. P. Frabetti et al., presented on Int. Europhys. Conf. on HEP, Brussels, July 27 - August 2, 1995.
10. E401 Collab., M. Binkley et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 73.
11. NMC Collab., M. Amado, et al., Phys. Lett. B 332 (1994) 195.
12. ZEUS Collab., M. Derrick et al., Phys. Lett. B 350 (1995) 120.
13. ZEUS Collab., J. Breitweg et al., Z. Phys. C 75 (1997) 215.
14. H1 Collab., T. Ahmed et al., Phys. Lett. B 338 (1994) 507; H1 Collab., S. Aidi et al., Nucl. Phys. B 472 (1996) 3.

15. H1 Collab., S Aid et al., paper pa02-085, submitted to the 28th Int. Conf. on HEP, 25-31 July, 1996, Warsaw, Poland