First-order nontherm alphase transition after preheating

S.K hlebnikov, 1 L.K ofm an, 2 A.Linde, 3 and I.T kachev $^{1;4}$

¹D epartm ent of Physics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

² Institute for A stronom y, U niversity of H awaii, 2680 W codlawn D r., H onolulu, H I 96822, U SA

³D epartm ent of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-4060, USA

⁴ Institute for Nuclear Research of the Academ y of Sciences of Russia, Moscow 117312, Russia

(April 1998)

During preheating after in ation, parametric resonance rapidly generates very large uctuations of scalar elds. In models where the in aton eld oscillates in a double-well potential and interacts with another scalar eld X, uctuations of X can keep the ! sym metry tem porarily restored. If the coupling of to X is much stronger than the in aton self-coupling, the subsequent sym - metry breaking is a rst-order phase transition. We demonstrate the existence of this nontherm al phase transition with lattice simulations of the full nonlinear dynamics of the interacting elds. In particular, we observe nucleation of an expanding bubble.

C osm obgical phase transitions are one of the central topics ofm odem cosm obgy [1]. R ecently, this theory was supplem ented by the possibility of nontherm al cosm obgical phase transitions [2], i.e. phase transitions driven by uctuations produced so rapidly that they did not have tim e to therm alize. Large nontherm al uctuations naturally occur in in ationary m odels during preheating [3].

F luctuations of B ose elds generated by the param etric resonance during preheating have large occupation numbers and can be considered as interacting classical waves, which allows to study the dynamics of uctuations during and after preheating using lattice simulations [4]. Numerical calculations, as well as analytical estimates [4{11] have shown that the maximal values achieved by uctuations can be large enough to cause cosm ologically interesting phase transitions. Nevertheless, until now there was no direct demonstration of the existence of such phase transitions; several groups which studied this issue numerically [12] either concentrated on models where phase transitions cannot occur, or neglected essential feedback e ects such as rescattering of created particles.

W e have perform ed a num ber of lattice simulations of nontherm al phase transitions, which dem onstrated formation of various types of topological defects [13]. In this Letter we report results that prove that nontherm al phase transitions may take place after preheating even on the scale as large as the GUT scale 10¹⁶ GeV.The phase transition that we have found is rst-order, which m ay have particularly important cosm ological implications. First-order phase transitions have a very clear signature: they proceed through nucleation and subsequent expansion of a bubble of the new phase inside the old phase. In our opinion, the presence of this distinctive signature elim inates all doubts about the possibility of nontherm al phase transitions in the class of theories under investigation.

As a prototype we will use the model

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (0)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (0 \times)^{2} - \frac{1}{4} (2 \times)^{2} - \frac{g^{2}}{2} \times (1)^{2}$$

The in atom scalar eld has a double-well potential and interacts with an N -component scalar eld X; $X^2 = P_{\substack{N \\ i=1}}^{P} X_i^2$. For simplicity, the eld X is taken massless and without self-interaction. The elds couplem inimally to gravity in a FRW universe with a scale factor a (t).

The initial conditions at the beginning of preheating are determined by the preceding stage of in ation. One can de ne the moment when preheating starts as the moment when the velocity of the eld is zero in conform altime , d = dt = a(t), a(0) = 1. This happens $p = 0.35M_{P1}$ [5]. We will use rescaled conforwhen (0) maltime = (0) . Inhom openeous modes of and allmodes of X are taken to be in their conform al vacua at = 0. We consider the case $v < 10^{3} M_{Pl}, g^{2}$ and take = 10^{13} [1]. The strength of the resonance depends nonmonotonically on the resonance parameter $q = q^2 = 4$, being maximal around $q = n^2 = 2$ [10]. The $condition g^2 =$ 2n² 1 m eans that the evolution begins in the regime of a broad parametric resonance.

The equations of motion for and X are

$$+ 3H - r^2 = a^2 + (r^2 - v^2) + g^2 X^2 = 0;$$
 (2)

$$X_{i} + 3H X_{i} = r^{2} X_{i} = a^{2} + g^{2} X_{i} = 0;$$
 (3)

where $H = \underline{a}=a$. Substituting $= {}_{0} + {}_{1}$, where ${}_{0}$ is the in aton's hom ogeneous (\zero") mode, into (2) and assembling terms linear in ${}_{0}$, we obtain the elective mass of :

$$m_e^2 = v^2 + 3 h(v)^2 i + g^2 hX^2 i$$
: (4)

A ngular brackets denote spatial averaging. Because uctuations rapidly become classical [4], their variances can be computed as spatial averages; thus $hX^{2}i$ is the variance of X. The maxim al value of $hX^{2}i$ grows with N, while that of h($f^{2}i = h(f^{2})^{2}i$ does not [6,11]. We

therefore expect that at large N uctuations of X will play a more important role in (4) than those of , at least for some time. In general, there is no guarantee that a usefule ective potential can be de ned for a state far from therm al equilibrium. We have found that in our case Eq. (4) gives a good working de nition for the e ective mass.

The idea of nontherm alphase transitions [2] is that large uctuations of hX 2 i (and h()² i) generated during preheating can change the shape of the e ective potential and lead to symmetry restoration. A flerwards, the universe expands, the values of hX 2 i and h() 2 i drop down, and the phase transition with symmetry breaking occurs. In the case $q^2 =$ 1 the phase transition can be of the rst order. This can be expected by analogy with the usual therm al case [14]. Let us establish the necessary conditions for this transition to occur and to be of the rst order.

(i) At the time of the phase transition, the point = 0 should be a local minimum of the e ective potential. From (4), we see that this means that $g^2hX^2i > v^2$.

(ii) At the same time, the typical momentum p of X particles should be sm aller than gv. This is the condition of the existence of a potential barrier. Particles with m om enta p < gv cannot penetrate the state with j j v, so they cannot change the shape of the e ective potential at j j v. Therefore, if both conditions (i) and (ii) are satis ed, the e ective potential has a local minimum at v.

= 0 and two degenerate m in im a at

(iii) Before the minim a at v becom e deeper than the minimum at = 0, the in atom's zero mode should decay signi cantly, so that it perform s sm all oscillations near = 0.Then, after the m in im um at j j v becom es deeper than the minimum at = 0, uctuations of drive the system over the potential barrier, creating an expanding bubble.

Before perform ing num erical investigation of any particular model one may want to nd out in which cases these conditions can be satis ed. If the initial value of 0 is much larger than v, the rst stages of preheating proceed in the same way as in the conform altheories (v = 0), which is a well established case. The maxim al value of hX²i is reached at some time max_b The previous studies [6,11] indicated that hX 2 i_{m ax} $\frac{1}{N} = \frac{2}{(0)} = qa^2 (m_{ax}).$ W e need, however, an estimate for $hX^{2}i$ at the time of the phase transition. If, at $> m_{ax}$, hX² i m erely decreased with time due to the redshift, we could estimate it by simply replacing a ($_{max}$) with the current value of a. In reality, uctuations of X decrease som ew hat slow er, because of the continuing decay of the in aton, but since the condition (i) holds whenever v is small enough com pared to uctuations, it is safe to make the replacement a(max)! a. As for the condition (ii), we note that the scale of m om enta of X particles is set by the frequency of (0)=a, although nuthe in aton's oscillations, p m erical factors are to be expected in this estimate. Both conditions (i) and (ii) are met if $\frac{2}{a^2q}$ $N - \frac{2}{2} (0)$ v^2

W e see that the window allowed for v^2 grows with q and with N. Therefore we will explore cases $g^2 =$ 1 and 1. Ν

The condition (iii) cannot be veri ed using only results of the previous studies. Nevertheless, we may expect a fairly rapid decay of the zerom ode by analogy with such a decay in the model with a massive in aton [6]. The analogy is relevant when the amplitude of $_0$ in the present m odel becom es com parable to v, so that deviations from the conform al invariance set in. This expectation is well con med by our num erical results.

For num erical studies, the full nonlinear equations of motion (2), (3) were solved directly in the con guration space. The computations were done on 64^3 lattices for N = 1, 2, 9 and on 128^3 lattices for N = 1; 2. Below we present results for the model with parameters $g^2 = 200$ and v = 0.7 10³ M_{Pl} 0.8 1^{b6} GeV, and a twocom ponent X, obtained on a 1283 lattice, with the expansion of the universe assumed to be radiation dom inated.



FIG.1. Time dependence of the zero-momentum mode of in units of its vacuum value for two runs with di erent realizations of random initial conditions for uctuations. All other parameters were the same in both runs; is conform al time in units of $(^{2}(0))^{1=2}$.

Time dependence of the zero mode $_0$ is shown in Fig. 1. Initially $_0$ oscillates with a large amplitude

v. If all uctuations were absent, the zero mode 0, in the expanding universe, would soon start oscillations near one of its vacuum values, v. This would happen when_pthe amplitude of the oscillations became smaller that 2v. In Fig. 1 we see that the actual dynam ics is com pletely di erent. The zero mode of the eld continues to oscillate near = 0 even when its amplitude becomes much smaller than v. In other words, the eld oscillates on top of the local maximum of the bare potential. This can occur only because the e ective potential acquires a minimum at = 0 due to interaction of the with hX ²i. eld

At still later times, > 720 in Fig. 1, the zero mode decays completely. This should be interpreted as of restoration of the symmetry ! by nontherm al uctuations. Finally, at > 860 a phase transition occurs,

and the sym m etry breaks down.



FIG.2. Variance of X (solid line), variance of (dotted line), and amplitude of the zero-momentum mode of (dashed line) as functions of time.

Variances of the elds X and as functions of time are shown in Fig. 2. One can readily estimate that uctuations of X (whose variance is multiplied by a larger coupling constant g^2) give a dominant contribution to the elective potential, compared to uctuations of itself. At the moment of the phase transition (860 in Fig. 2), g^2hX^2i is still larger than v^2 . This means that the elective potential still has a localm inimum at = 0 even after the phase transition, which con rms that the transition is of the rst order.

To make sure that the phase transition itself is not a lattice artifact, we have varied the size L of the integration box and the number of grid points. Values of L should be chosen in such a way that, on the one hand, there is enough control over the ultraviolet part of the spectrum for the results to be cuto -independent and, on the other hand, the infrared part of the spectrum is represented well enough, so that the order of the phase transition is determ ined correctly. G ood choices of L are m ade by monitoring power spectra of the elds, such as those shown in Fig. 3.

At late times (but prior to the phase transition) the power spectra weakly depend on time and are power law functions at small k with an exponential tail at large k (conform, almomentum k is de ned in units of (0), k pa= (0)). W em ade sure that the exponential tail of the power spectra is resolved in our simulations. Under that condition, the power laws do not signi cantly change with L, the num ber of grid points and, additionally, with the number of components of X. The power law for X is tted by $P_X(k) / k^{2:2}$ for k > 1. At 700, k 10 corresponds to physicalm om entum p gv.Later on, this value of the physical momentum corresponds to larger k, and at the moment of the phase transition it corresponds to m om enta on the exponential tail of the power spectrum, which signies that the condition (ii) is ful lled, see Fig. 3. Note the enhancem ent of power spectra at sm all k occurring during and after the phase

transition. This is a signature of a bubble of the new phase and of the \soft" uctuations produced in bubble collisions [15].



FIG.3. Power spectra of the elds X_1 =a (solid line) and =a (dotted line) before and after the phase transition.

We have found that the transition grew stronger when we captured more of the infrared region, either through an increase of the grid size at xed maxim alm om entum or through an increase of L itself. Thus we expect that the phase transition will be even more strongly rst-order if we use larger lattices for our simulations.

The transition also became more strongly rst-order when we increased g^2 = or the number N of elds X_i. Nevertheless, we observed a rst-order transition even at N = 1.

D irect demonstrations of the rst-order nature of the transition are obtained by plotting a probability distribution of values of over grid points and the actual eld con guration in space. These are shown in Figs. 4, 5.



FIG.4. Probability distribution of (x) at severalm om ents of time just before (dashed line), during (solid line), and at the end of the phase transition (dotted line).

Prior to the phase transition, we observe a single peak in the probability distribution function of \cdot . The peak is centered at 0, although its position oscillates slightly, synchronously with the oscillations of the zero m ode. The width of the peak is related to the variance h($)^2$ i. At the moment of the phase transition, a distinctive second peak appears near the vacuum value of the eld (position of this second peak is shifted som ew hat from the vacuum value, because uctuations still give a signi cant contribution to the e ective potential). A fler that, the second peak grow s_{r} while the peak at = 0 decreases and eventually disappears. During this period, positions of both peaks do not change. For som e realizations of random initial conditions for uctuations, the second peak appeared at v; for others, at v. The time of the phase transition was also changing som ew hat with the realization. This is an unam biguous signature of spontaneous nucleation of a bubble of the new phase in a volum e occupied by the old phase.

By plotting the actual eld con guration in space, we have indeed directly observed nucleation of a single bubble and the bubble's subsequent expansion until it was occupying the whole integration volume. The eld con guration at the beginning of the phase transition is shown in Fig. 5. To the best of our know ledge, this is the rst time when lattice simulations allowed one to see nucleation of bubbles during a rst-order phase transition.



FIG.5. Bubble of the new phase. We plot a surface of the constant eld = 0.7v at the beginning of the phase transition. Inside the surface < 0.7v.

Let us describe som e cosm obgical in plications of our results. M odels that exhibit behavior shown in Fig. 1 will lead to dom ain structure surviving till present. This conclusion is in portant because it allows us to nule out a large class of cosm obgical models that lead to dom ain w all creation. A di erent behavior of the zero m ode is observed at sm aller values of $g^2 = \text{ or N}$ (but still $g^2 = 1$). There, the phase transition occurs when the zero m ode still oscillates near = 0 with a relatively large am plitude. This is a new, speci cally nontherm al, type of a phase transition. In such cases, bubbles of +v and $\{v \text{ phases will be nucleated in turn, but their abundances need not be equal, and for certain values of the param – eters one of the phases may happen not to form in nite$

dom ains. Such m odels are not ruled out and in fact m ay have an interesting observable consequence, an enhanced (by bubble wall collisions) background of relic gravitationalwavesproduced by the mechanism proposed in Ref. [7]. In models where the eld has many components, the phase transition can lead to creation of strings or monopoles, instead of dom ain walls [13]. Finally, if the ratio q^2 = is su ciently large, one may expect a short secondary stage of in ation [2]. To investigate this possibility one would need to study models with $g^2 =$ 10^{2} 10¹¹, which is quite realistic. or, equivalently, g² However, num erical investigation of this regime requires lattices of a much greater size than we currently use. We hope to return to this issue in a future publication.

This work was supported in part by DOE grant DE-FG 02-91ER 40681 (Task B) (SK. and I.T.), NSF grants PHY-9219345 (A.L.), PHY-9501458 (SK. and I.T.), AST 95-29-225 (L.K. and A.L.), and by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation (SK.).

- [1] A.D.Linde, Particle Physics and In ationary Cosmology (Harwood, Chur, Switzerland, 1990); E.W.Kolb and M.S.Turner, The Early Universe (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, California, 1990).
- [2] L.K ofm an, A.Linde, and A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1011 (1996); I.I.Tkachev, Phys. Lett. B 376, 35 (1996).
- [3] L.Kofman, A.Linde, and A.A.Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3195 (1994).
- [4] S. Khlebnikov and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 219 (1996).
- [5] S.K hlebnikov and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Lett. B 390, 80 (1997).
- [6] S. Khlebnikov and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1607 (1997).
- [7] S. K hlebnikov and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. D 56, 653 (1997).
- [B] T. Prokopec and T. G. Roos, Phys. Rev. D 55, 3768 (1997); B. R. G reene, T. Prokopec, and T. G. Roos, Phys. Rev. D 56, 6484 (1997).
- [9] L.K ofm an, A.Linde, and A.A.Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D 56, 3258 (1997).
- [10] P.B.G reene, L.K ofm an, A.Linde, and A.A.Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D 56, 6175 (1997).
- [11] S.Khlebnikov, hep-ph/9708313.
- [12] D. Boyanovsky, H J. de Vega, R. Holman, JF J. Salgado, Phys. Rev. D 54, 7570 (1996); S. Kasuya and M. Kawasaki, Phys. Rev. D 56, 7597 (1997).
- [13] I. I. Tkachev, L. Kofman, A. Linde, A. A. Starobinsky and S. Khlebnikov, in preparation.
- [14] D A.K irzhnits and A D.Linde, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 101, 195 (1976).
- [15] E.W. Kolb, A. Riotto and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. D D 56, 6133 (1997).