

DTP/98/24
 UR-1526
 ER/40685/915
 April 1998

A BFKL MONTE CARLO APPROACH TO JET PRODUCTION
 AT HADRON-HADRON AND LEPTON-HADRON
 COLLIDERS^a

L.H. ORR

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester,
 Rochester, NY 14627-0171, USA
 E-mail: orr@urhep.pas.rochester.edu

W.J. STIRLING

Departments of Mathematical Sciences and Physics, University of Durham,
 Durham DH1 3LE, England
 E-mail: w.j.stirling@durham.ac.uk

The production of a pair of jets with large rapidity separation in hadron-hadron collisions, and of forward jets in deep inelastic scattering, can in principle be used to test the predictions of the BFKL equation. However in practice kinematic constraints lead to a strong suppression of BFKL effects for these processes. This is illustrated using a BFKL Monte Carlo approach.

Perturbative QCD at fixed order in the strong coupling constant α_s provides sufficient predictive power for a wide variety of high energy phenomena. However in some regions of phase space, large logarithms can multiply the coupling, spoiling the good behaviour of fixed-order expansions. In certain cases these large logarithms can be resummed, as in the Balitsky, Fadin, Kuraev and Lipatov (BFKL) equation¹, and the predictive power of the theory is then in principle restored.

At hadron colliders the BFKL equation applies to dijet production when the rapidity separation of the two jets (with $p_{T1} \gg p_{T2} \gg p_T$) is large. The emission of (real and virtual) gluons in the rapidity interval between the two leading jets (see Fig. 1a) generates large logarithmic contributions $(\alpha_s \ln(s/p_T^2))^n \sim (\alpha_s)^n$ which when resummed² give a dijet subprocess cross section which increases with $\alpha_s^{\hat{\sigma}_{jj}} \exp(\dots)$, with $\hat{\sigma}_{jj} = 12 \ln 2 \alpha_s = 0.5$, in contrast to the α_s^0 constant behaviour expected at lowest order. In practice

^aPresented by W.J. Stirling at the 6th International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering and QCD (DIS98), Brussels, 4-8 April 1998.




Figure 1: Schematic representation of (a) dijet production with large rapidity separation in hadron collisions, and (b) forward jet production in deep inelastic scattering. Note that the solid lines at each end of the gluon ladder in (a) and at the bottom of the ladder in (b) represent either quarks or gluons, according to the effective subprocess approximation.

such behaviour can be difficult to observe because $\hat{\sigma}$ gets folded in with parton distribution functions (pdfs), which decrease with Δ much more rapidly than the subprocess cross section increases:

$$\sigma_{jj} \sim \int dx_1 dx_2 q(x_1) q(x_2) \exp(-\Delta) \quad (1)$$

with $x_{1,2} = \frac{p_{T, \pm \Delta/2}}{s} \exp(\pm \Delta)$. The challenge is to find measurable quantities in dijet production that are insensitive to the pdfs, but that retain the distinctive behaviour characteristic of BFKL resummation. One possibility^{3,4,5} is the azimuthal decorrelation of the two jets: the multiple emission of soft gluons between the leading jets predicted by BFKL leads to a stronger decorrelation than does fixed order QCD, and the prediction is relatively insensitive to the pdfs. Another possibility is to look for the increase in $\hat{\sigma}$ with Δ by considering different collider energies². The idea is to choose Δ 's that correspond to the same parton momentum fractions at different energies so that the pdf dependence is the same for both, thereby allowing the Δ dependence of $\hat{\sigma}$ to be extracted. This has been studied recently in Ref.⁶, see also⁷.

Exactly the same (BFKL) physics applies to the production of forward Q^2 jets in deep inelastic scattering⁸, where the large rapidity separation is between the current and forward jets, see Fig. 1b.

However, a key issue is whether the kinematic regions currently accessible at the Tevatron and at HERA allow the asymptotic BFKL conditions to be fulfilled. In particular, the asymptotic $\exp(\Delta)$ behaviour is obtained under the assumption that there is no kinematic penalty for emitting arbitrary large

numbers of gluons with $k_{T,i} \sim p_T$. In practice, there is an overall constraint from $\sqrt{s} < p_T$ and even before this is reached there is a strong suppression of large subprocess energies from the pdfs. To investigate these effects, we have constructed⁵ a BFKL Monte Carlo program me (BFKL{MC}) which reproduces the analytic predictions by explicitly taking into account the emission of real and virtual gluons to all orders.^b With such an event generator the effects of kinematic constraints and experimental cuts can readily be taken into account.

Fig. 2 shows the dijet cross section as a function of p_T for the naive BFKL and improved BFKL{MC cases at $\sqrt{s} = 630; 1800$ GeV, with $p_{T1}; p_{T2} > 20$ GeV. A asymptotic QCD LO is also shown for reference. The naive BFKL cross section (dashed curve) is always largest, because it includes the analytic subprocess cross section $\hat{\sigma}_{jj} \sim \exp(\dots)$, which corresponds to the emission of any number of gluons with arbitrarily large energies. The prediction falls off rather than increases because $\hat{\sigma}$ is multiplied by the pdfs, but even those incorporate only lowest order kinematics in this case. When exact kinematics for entire events are included in both the subprocess cross section and the pdfs, as in the BFKL{MC (solid curve), there is a dramatic suppression of the total cross section.^c In fact the suppression is so strong that it drives the BFKL{MC cross section below that for asymptotic QCD LO. The reason is due to simple kinematics: the QCD LO cross section contains only two jets, but the BFKL{MC cross section also includes additional jets, each of which increases the subprocess centre-of-mass energy and elicits a corresponding price in parton densities. In the naive BFKL calculation, the contribution to the subprocess energy from additional jets is ignored and their net effect is to combine with the virtual gluons to increase the subprocess cross section.

Finally we consider forward jet production in deep inelastic scattering at HERA, Fig. 1b. It is relatively straightforward to adapt the dijet formalism to calculate the cross section for the production of a forward jet with a given k_T and longitudinal momentum fraction $x_j = x_{Bj}$. In fact there is a direct correspondence between the variables: $p_{T2} \sim k_T$ and $\sqrt{s} \sim \ln(x_j = x_{Bj})$. In the DIS case the variable p_{T1} corresponds to the transverse momentum of the qq pair in the upper 'quark box' part of the diagram. In practice this variable is integrated with the on-shell qq amplitude such that $p_{T1}^2 \sim Q^2$. As a result, it is appropriate to consider values of k_T^2 of the same order, and to consider the (formal) kinematic limit $x_j = x_{Bj} \rightarrow 1, Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$. In this limit we obtain the naive BFKL' prediction $\hat{\sigma}_{jet}(x_j = x_{Bj})$, the analogue of $\hat{\sigma}_{jj} \sim \exp(\dots)$.

^bThe technical details can be found in Ref.⁵. A similar technique has been used in Ref.⁴.

^cThe running of α_s , which we include, also contributes to the suppression, but it has a much smaller effect than kinematics.




Figure 2: The dependence of the BFKL and asymptotic QCD leading order dijet cross sections on the dijet rapidity separation. The three curves at each collider energy use: (i) 'improved' BFKL (MC) (solid lines), (ii) naive BFKL (dashed lines), and (iii) the asymptotic (LO) form of QCD leading order (dotted lines).

Fig. 3 shows the differential structure function $\partial^2 F_2 = \partial x_j \partial k_T^2$ as a function of x_{Bj} at HERA, with

$$x_j = 0.1; \quad Q^2 = 50 \text{ GeV}^2; \quad Q^2 = 2 < k_T^2 < 4Q^2: \quad (2)$$

The lower dashed curve is the leading order prediction from the process $g \rightarrow qq$, with $G = g; q$, with no overall energy momentum constraints. This is the analogue of the $\hat{\sigma}_{jj}$ constant prediction for dijet production. Note that here the parton distribution function at the lower end of the ladder is evaluated at $x = x_j$, independent of x_{Bj} . In practice, when x_{Bj} is not small we have $x > x_j$ and the cross section is suppressed, as indicated by the lower solid curve in Fig. 3. The upper dashed curve is the asymptotic BFKL prediction

with the characteristic ($x_j = x_{Bj}$) behaviour. Finally the upper solid line is the prediction of the full BFKLM Monte Carlo, including kinematic constraints and pdf dependence. There is evidently a significant suppression of the cross section. We emphasise that Fig. 3 corresponds to 'illustrative' cuts and should not be directly compared to the experimental data. Nevertheless, the BFKLM MC predictions do appear to follow the general trend of the H1 and ZEUS measurements¹⁰. A more complete study, including realistic experimental cuts and an assessment of the uncertainty in the theoretical predictions, is under way and will be reported elsewhere⁹.

References

1. L.N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 23 (1976) 338.
E.A. Kuraev, L.N. Lipatov and V.S. Fadin, Sov. Phys. JETP 45 (1977) 199.
Ya.Ya. Balitsky and L.N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 28 (1978) 822.
2. A.H. Mueller and H. Navelet, Nucl. Phys. B 282 (1987) 727.
3. V. DelDuca and C.R. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 4510.
W.J. Stirling, Nucl. Phys. B 423 (1994) 56.
V. DelDuca and C.R. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 215.
V. DelDuca and C.R. Schmidt, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 39B C (1995) 137.
4. C.R. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 4531.
5. L.H. Orr and W.J. Stirling, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 5875.
6. L.H. Orr and W.J. Stirling, preprint hep-ph/9801304.
7. A. Goussiou for the D_s collaboration, presented at the International Europhysics Conf. on High Energy Physics, Jerusalem, August 1997.
8. A.H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. 18 C (1991) 125.
W.K. Tang, Phys. Lett. B 278 (1991) 363.
J. Bartels et al., Z. Phys. C 54 (1992) 635; Phys. Lett. B 309 (1993) 400; Phys. Lett. B 384 (1996) 300.
J. Kwiecinski, A.D. Martin and P.J. Sutton, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 921; Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. 29A (1992) 67.
9. L.H. Orr and W.J. Stirling, in preparation.
10. H1 collaboration: T. Wengler, these proceedings.
ZEUS collaboration: M. Riveline, these proceedings.




Figure 3: Differential structure function for forward jet production in ep collisions at HERA. The curves are described in the text.