# The BFKL Pom eron in 2+1 dim ensionalQCD $^{1}$

D.Yu.Ivanov<sup>a),b)</sup>, R.K irschner<sup>a)</sup>, E.M. Levin<sup>c),d)</sup>, L.N. Lipatov<sup>d)</sup>, L.Szym anow ski<sup>a),e)</sup> and M.W ustho<sup>f)</sup>

> <sup>a)</sup>N aturw issenschaftlich-T heoretisches Zentrum und Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universitat Leipzig Augustusplatz 10, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany

<sup>b)</sup> Institute of M athem atics, 630090 N ovosibirsk, R ussia

<sup>c)</sup> School of Physics and A stronom y, TelAviv University R am at Aviv, 69978 Israel

> <sup>d)</sup> St. Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute 188350. G atchina, St. Petersburg, Russia

e) Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Hoza 69, 00-681 W arsaw, Poland

<sup>f)</sup> University of Durham, Dept. of Physics South Rd., Durham City DH1 3LE, United Kingdom

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Supported by G erm an Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung, W issenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, grant No. 05 7LP 91 P0, and by the Volkswagen Stiftung.

#### Abstract:

We investigate the high-energy scattering in the spontaneously broken Y ang -M ills gauge theory in 2+1 space{tim e dimensions and present the exact solution of the leading ln s BFKL equation. The solution is constructed in term s of special functions using the earlier results of two of us (L N L. and L S.). The analytic properties of the t-channel partial wave as functions of the angular m om entum and m om entum transfer have been studied. We nd in the angular m om entum plane: (i) a Regge pole whose trajectory has an intercept larger than 1 and (ii) a xed cut with the rightm ost singularity located at j = 1. The massive Y ang -M ills theory can be considered as a theoretical model for the (non-perturbative) Pom eron. We study the m ain structure and property of the solution including the Pom eron trajectory at m om entum transfer di erent from zero. The relation to the results of M. Li and C-I. Tan for the massless case is discussed.

## 1 Introduction

Recent experimental data from HERA [1] on deep inelastic scattering at small x and xed  $Q^2$  and from the TEVATRON on high-energy di raction [2] revived the interest in the longstanding problem of the Pomeron structure and of the relation between soft and hard processes at high energy.

For the hard Regge processes one can use the BFKL theory [3], but we are lacking a selfconsistent theoretical approach to the soft Pom eron and have to rely merely on general properties of analyticity, causality and crossing symmetry in developing an extended and successful phenom enology of high-energy soft interactions [4], [5], [6].

Some theoretical understanding of the Pomeron has been derived from the study of the leading lns approximation of superrenormalizable models like <sup>3</sup> in 3 + 1 dimensions. The main features of the result have been included in the parton model of peripheral interactions and they are the basis of our understanding of the Pomeron structure [7], [8]. However, such models result in Regge singularities with intercept around 1 and do not reproduce essential features of the Pomeron. Much e ort has been applied to show the selfconsistency of the Pomeron hypothesis in the framework of reggeon eld theory or G ribov's Reggeon calculus [9]. A Reggeon eld theory approach to QCD has been developed in [10].

On the contrary, for the hard Pom eron we can apply perturbative QCD and derive a number of detailed predictions [11]. A special role plays the BFKL pom eron [3] appearing in the leading lns (  $\ln \frac{1}{x}$ ) approximation. The main features of the BFKL Pom eron, however, look dierent from properties of the soft Pom eron.

In this paper we study the BFKL Pomeron in spontaneously broken 2 + 1 dimensional gauge theory, using previous results obtained in ref. [12]. One can consider this theory as a simple model for the soft Pomeron. Indeed we show that the resulting BFKL Pomeron is a normal moving Regge pole with its intercept  $_{\rm P}$  (0) > 1:

The coupling of this theory has the dimension of mass. The interaction is superrenormalizable. This results in the absence of scaling violations of structure functions due to ultraviolet divergences. On the other hand the infrared singularities in the massless limit are stronger compared to 3 + 1 dimensional QCD. The comparison allows us to discuss the in uence of the ultraviolet and infrared singularities on the Pomeron structure.

In QCD (m assless gluons in 3 + 1 dimensions) the known way [13] of solving the BFKL equation relies on conform al symmetry. This approach is useless in the case of massive gauge bosons. Up to now the solution is not known for the massive case. In the special case of 2 + 1 dimensions, however, the equation exhibits a simple iterative structure which allows to construct a solution. The experience gained in the 2 + 1 dimensional theory will be helpful in solving the corresponding equation in the physical case. We obtain the exact solution both for the forward and non-forward cases, and calculate the partial wave amplitude for the scattering of two massive gauge bosons. We investigate the Regge singularities in the complex angularm om entum plane and their behaviour in dependence of the momentum transfer.

The paper is based on an early investigation by two of the authors [12], where the basic idea of the iterative solution was form ulated for the general non-forw and case. This investigation was motivated in particular by [15], where the BFKL equation with the infrared regularization has been considered. We discuss the relation of our result with the one by M.Liand C.-I.Tan [14] where the massless 2 + 1 dimensional gauge theory has been considered.

# 2 BFKL equation with massive gluons

#### 2.1 3+1 dim ensions

Let us start with the short rem inder of the results obtained within the leading logarithm ic approximation of perturbation theory (LLA) for the amplitudes of the high energy scattering in the spontaneously broken Yang{M ills theory [3]. We discuss the simplest case of SU (2) gauge group with symmetry breaking by one Higgs doublet (fundamental representation). This is the case discussed in [3]; we shall follow the notation of that paper. The generalization to SU (N) gauge group is straightforward and is done in the section 3.3. Notice that the details depend on the way of symmetry breaking. We consider the case that all gauge bosons become massive.

The amplitude describing the elastic two-particle scattering AB ! A  $^{0}B^{0}$  can be decomposed into the amplitudes with denite isotopic spin T in thet channel, with T = 0;1;2.

$$A_{AB}^{A^{0}B^{0}} = {}_{AA^{0}}A^{(0)} {}_{BB^{0}} + {}^{i}_{AA^{0}}A^{(1)} {}^{i}_{BB^{0}} + {}^{ij}_{AA^{0}}A^{(2)} {}^{ij}_{BB^{0}}$$
(2.1)

The constants in (2.1) depend on the kind of scattering particles (gauge bosons, ferm ions, higgs particle), and they are all proportional to coupling constant / g, for their explicit form s see [3].

In what follows we shall concentrate ourselves on the singlet part of the am – plitude (2.1). A  $^{(0)}$  is related to the partial wave  $F_{!}(q^{2})$  in the following way (j = 1 + !):

$$A^{(0)}(s;q) = \frac{s}{4i} \int_{i1}^{i1} d! \frac{s}{m^2} \frac{e^{i!}}{sin!} F_{!}(q^2); t = q^2; \quad (2.2)$$

$$F_{!}(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{!} \frac{q^{2}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{z}{(k^{2} + m^{2})(k - q)^{2} + m^{2}} f_{!}(k;q - k)A_{0}(q^{2}); \qquad (2.3)$$

$$A_0(q^2) = 2(q^2 + \frac{5}{4}m^2)$$
: (2.4)

W e write here and in the following the scalar products of transverse momenta in Euclidean notation. The function  $f_1(k;q k)$  satisfies the following integral equation (see Fig.1 for notations and graphic form of the equation).

$$[! (k^{2}) ((k q)^{2})]f_{!}(k;q k) = \frac{1}{A(q^{2})} + \frac{q^{2}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{R}{(k_{1}^{2} + m^{2})((k_{1} q)^{2} + m^{2})} K(k;k_{1};q)f_{!}(k_{1};q k_{1})$$
(2.5)

with the kernel

$$K (k;k_1;q) = A_0 (q^2)$$

$$+ \frac{2}{(k - k_1)^2 + m^2} [(k^2 + m^2) ((k_1 - q)^2 + m^2) + (k_1^2 + m^2) ((k - q)^2 + m^2)]$$
(2.6)

and the Regge trajectory of the masive gluons

$$(k^2) = j \quad 1 = \frac{g^2 (k^2 + m^2)}{(2)^3} \frac{d^2 k_1}{(k_1^2 + m^2) ((k_1 - k)^2 + m^2)} :$$
 (2.7)

As a consequence of the integral equation (2.5) it is possible to express the partial wave  $F_{\pm}$  (q<sup>2</sup>) through the solution of Eq. (2.5) on the mass(shell

$$f_{!}(k;k \quad q)j_{k^{2}=(q k)^{2}=m^{2}} = F_{!}(q^{2}) + A_{0}^{1}(q^{2}) : \qquad (2.8)$$

#### 2.2 2+1 dim ensions

In Refs. [12, 15] it was established that in 2+1 dimensional space{time the high energy scattering am plitudes derived in LLA are given by form ulae similar to the ones from the previous section. The main di erence is that the transverse space in this case is one{dimensional, so the substitution

$$\frac{d^2k}{(2)^2} ! \frac{dk}{2}$$

should be made.

T herefore

$$F_{!}(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{!} \frac{q^{2}}{(2)^{2}}^{Z} \frac{dk}{(k^{2} + m^{2})((k + q)^{2} + m^{2})} f_{!}(k;q + k)A_{0}(q^{2}); \quad (2.9)$$

where now  $g^2$  carries the dimension of mass.



Figure 1: The graphic form of the BFKL equation.

The R egge trajectory form assive vector bosons in the 2+1 dimensions is given by the simple rational expression

$$(k^{2}) = \frac{g^{2}(k^{2} + m^{2})}{(2)^{2}}^{2} \frac{dk_{1}}{(k_{1}^{2} + m^{2})(k_{1} - k)^{2} + m^{2})} = \frac{g^{2}}{2} \frac{k^{2} + m^{2}}{k^{2} + 4m^{2}} : (2.10)$$

For the function  $f_!$  (k;  $q\ k$ ) we have here the one dimensional Bethe{Salpeter type equation

$$[! (k^{2}) ((k q)^{2})]f_{!}(k;q k) = \frac{1}{A(q^{2})} + \frac{q^{2}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{R}{(k_{1}^{2} + m^{2})((k_{1} q)^{2} + m^{2})} K(k;k_{1};q)f_{!}(k_{1};q k_{1}) (2.11)$$

Our aim is to nd the analytic solution of this equation. It is convenient to introduce the dimensionless variables

$$_{s} = \frac{g^{2}}{4 m}; = \frac{g^{2}}{2 m!} = \frac{2 s}{!}; k! mk; q! mq:$$
 (2.12)

Then Eq.(2.11) takes the form

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
^{h} & & & \\
1 + & \frac{k^{2} + 1}{k^{2} + 4} + \frac{(k - q)^{2} + 1}{(k - q)^{2} + 4})^{i} f_{!} (k; q - k) = A_{0}^{-1} + & \frac{R}{2} \\
 & & \\
\frac{A_{0}}{(k_{1}^{2} + 1)((k_{1} - q)^{2} + 1)} + & \frac{2}{(k - k_{1})^{2} + 1} & \frac{k^{2} + 1}{k_{1}^{2} + 1} + & \frac{(k - q)^{2} + 1}{(k_{1} - q)^{2} + 1}^{i} f_{!} (k_{1}; q - k_{1}) & (2.13) \\
& & A_{0} = & (2q^{2} + \frac{5}{2}): 
\end{array}$$

The remaining equations of the previous section are unchanged.

In order to present the main steps of our method for noting the exact solution of Eq.(2.13) we consider is the simpler case with vanishing momentum transfer q = 0.

# 3 Forward scattering at high energy.

In the case with vanishing momentum transfer q = 0, the Eq. (2.13) takes the simpler form

$$\frac{(1+2)(k^{2}+2)}{k^{2}+4}f_{!}(k) \quad A_{0}^{1} = \frac{k^{2}}{2} \frac{dk_{1}}{(k_{1}^{2}+1)^{2}} + \frac{4}{(k-k_{1})^{2}+1}\frac{k^{2}+1}{k_{1}^{2}+1}f_{!}(k_{1}); \quad (3.1)$$

where we have introduced the convenient notation

$${}^{2} = \frac{4+2}{1+2} : \tag{3.2}$$

In this case we present the methods of solution both in coordinate and in momentum representation. In this way dierent aspects of the problem will be illuminated.

## 3.1 Coordinate space analysis

We not that it is convenient to work with the function  $(k) = (k^2 + 1)^{-1} f_1(k)$ . Equation (3.1) is a linear inhom ogeneous integral equation. We try to solve it in coordinate space by introducing

$$_{!}(k) = dx e^{ikx} _{!}(x) :$$
 (3.3)

The main advantage of the coordinate space is the fact that the BFKL kernel in Eq. (3.1) boks simple due to the relation

$$\frac{dk}{2} \frac{dk}{k^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{2} e^{jkj} : \qquad (3.4)$$

Substituting Eq. (3.3) and Eq. (3.4) in Eq. (3.1) we obtain

$$(1 + 2") \left( \frac{d^2}{d^2 x} + {}^2 \right) \cdot (x) = \frac{1}{2A_0} (3e^{jxj} + 2 (x))$$
 (3.5)

+2" 
$$\left(\frac{d^2}{d^2x} + 4\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}xj}$$
 (x)  $\frac{"A_0}{4} (3e^{\frac{i}{2}xj} + 2 (x)) dy$  (y)  $e^{\frac{i}{2}yj}$ 

where (x) is Euler - function. We shall analyze Eq.(3.5) without the inhom ogeneous term in order to investigate the leading eigenvalue ".

 $_{!}$  (x) should be bound for the Fourier transform (3.3) to exist. At large jxjonly the left-hand side of (3.5) is important which leads to the asymptotic solution e  $^{jxj}$ .

C learly the solution depends on jx j only because the kernel K (x) is an even function of x. We introduce a new function

$$_{!}(\mathbf{x}) = [1 + 2" (1 e^{\Im})]_{!}(\mathbf{x}) :$$
 (3.6)

and a new variable  $z = e^{jkj}$ .

For the function ! the equation looks as follows

$$z\frac{d}{dz}z\frac{d}{dz} + 2z\frac{d}{dz}(z) + 2z\frac{d}{dz}(z) + 4z = (3.7)$$

$$6"\frac{1}{1+2"(1-z)} + \frac{A_0"}{4}(3z+2(z-1))^{\frac{Z}{1}}dz^0\frac{1}{1+2"(1-z^0)}:$$

Comparing the coe cients in front of -functions we obtain

$$\frac{d_{!}(z)}{dz} \dot{z}_{=1} = \frac{A_0}{4} \int_{0}^{z_{1}} dz^{0} \frac{!(z^{0})}{1+2"(1-z^{0})}; \qquad (3.8)$$

which will give the equation for the position of the pole in angular momentum plane (the intercept of the Pomeron) as will be shown below. The second condition

$$_{!}(z) ! 0 at z ! 0$$
 (3.9)

follows from the large  $j_x j$  behaviour of  $j_1(x)$  discussed above.

The important observation is that solution of Eq. (3.7) obeying (3.9) can be found in the form

$$(z) = C z [1 + 2" (1 z)] + \frac{hg}{!} (z) ;$$
 (3.10)

where  $\frac{hg}{l}(z)$  is the solution of the hom ogeneous equation

$$z\frac{d}{dz}z\frac{d}{dz}\frac{hg}{dz}(z) + 4 \frac{hg}{!}(z) = 6''\frac{hg}{!}(z) \frac{hg}{!}(z) ; \qquad (3.11)$$

and C is a constant in z, which however depends on the function  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$C = \frac{5"}{8 + \frac{5}{2}"} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dz^{0} \frac{hg}{1 + 2"(1 - z^{0})} : \qquad (3.12)$$

The solution of the hom ogeneous equation (3.11) can be easily found. We obtain,

$${}^{hg}_{!}(z) = N z {}_{2}F_{1}(2+ ; 2+ ; 1+2 ; \frac{2"z}{1+2"}) = (3.13)$$

$$N \frac{(1+2"(1 z))z}{1+2"} {}_{2}F_{1}(3+ ; 1+ ; 1+2 ; \frac{2"z}{1+2"}) :$$

Here  $_2F_1$  is the G auss hypergeom etric function and the constant N can be de ned from the norm alization.

To nd the value of "which corresponds to the bound state we have to solve Eq. (3.8) which using well known properties of the hypergeom etric function can be reduced to the form

$$\frac{5}{4} \frac{2}{2} {}^{2}F_{1}\left(3+; 1+; 1+2; \frac{4}{3}\right) + (3.14)$$

$$\frac{\binom{2}{1}}{2} \frac{1}{4} {}^{2}F_{1}\left(3+; 1+2; \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{2}{1+\frac{16}{5}\frac{1-2}{2}} \frac{1}{2+} {}^{2}({}_{2}F_{1}\left(2+; 1+; 1+2; \frac{4}{3}\right)) = \frac{2}{1+\frac{16}{5}\frac{1-2}{2}} \frac{1}{2+} {}^{2}({}_{2}F_{1}\left(2+; 1+; 1+2; \frac{4}{3}\right)) = \frac{2}{1+\frac{1}{1+2}} {}^{2}F_{1}\left(1+; 1+; 1+2; \frac{4}{3}\right)$$

We solved this equation numerically and obtained the value " = " $_0$  = 4:5934 which leads to the rightmost singularity at ! = ! $_0$  =  $\frac{2}{"_0}$  = 0:436 s in accorance with ref. [12].

The way we have solved the BFKL equation is rem iniscent of the standard procedure of calculating bound states. The rightmost singularity in !, a pole, corresponds to the ground state. In the following subsection we solve Eq. (3.1) in momentum representation.

#### 3.2 M om entum space analysis.

W e have to solve the linear inhom ogeneous integral equation (3.1). It is possible to construct the solution directly by iterations. We rely on the relation

$$\frac{2^{4}}{2} \frac{dk^{0}}{(k-k^{0})^{2}+1} \frac{1}{k^{0}+2} = \frac{+1}{2[k^{2}+(k+1)^{2}]}$$
(3.15)

This means that the action of the kernel on  $(k^2 + 2)^{-1}$  can be expressed by the shift of the pole position ! + 1.

Let us form ally consider the right{hand side of Eq. (3.1) as a perturbation. We will consider rst the solution of this equation in the interval 2 [ 1=2;1 ], where  $^{2} > 0$ . We obtain the solution for > 0 rst and continue then analytically to the complete complex plane in or !.

If we om it the right  $\{$  hand side of Eq.(3.1) (the zeroth iteration), the solution is

$$f_{!}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathbf{A}_{0}^{1}(\mathbf{k}^{2} + 4)}{(1 + 2)(\mathbf{k}^{2} + 2)} :$$
(3.16)

 $f_{!}^{(0)}$  (k) can be represented as the sum of the constant term plus the pole term  $\frac{1}{k^{2}+2}$ . In order to nd the contribution arising from the next iteration ( $f_{!} = f_{!}^{(0)} + f_{!}^{(1)} + :::$ ) let us substitute (3.16) into the right{hand side of (3.1). We use now Eq. (3.15) and obtain

$$\overset{\text{Z}}{\overset{1}{\text{K}}} \text{K} (k; k_1; q = 0) \frac{1}{k_1^2 + 2} \frac{dk_1}{2} = \frac{1}{\frac{A_0(1+2)}{4(1+1)^2}} + \frac{2(k^2+1)}{(k^2+4)} \frac{1}{1+1} + \frac{1}{k^2 + (1+1)^2}$$
(3.17)

We write the resulting rst iteration  $f_1^{(1)}$  as a sum of pole terms in  $k^2$ . In this expansion there are three terms: the constant term, the pole term  $\frac{1}{k^{2}+2}$  and as a new term, not encountered in the zeroth iteration  $f_1^{(0)}$ , the pole term  $\frac{1}{k^{2}+(+1)^2}$ . The same procedure can be applied to the subsequent iterations. It is easy to see that the expansion for the n-th iteration will be given by the sum of the constant term plus the pole terms  $\frac{A_k}{k^2+\frac{2}{k}}$ ;  $_k = +k$  1; k = 1;  $\ldots$ ; n + 1. Therefore it is natural to bok for the solution of Eq. (3.1) in the form [12]

$$f_{!}(k) = f_{0} + \frac{X^{k}}{n} \frac{A_{n}}{k^{2} + \frac{2}{n}}; n = + n - 1:$$
 (3.18)

Let us substitute this ansatz in the equation (3.1). Comparing coe cients of the pole term s on both sides we nd the condition

$$\frac{A_n}{A_{n-1}} = \frac{2"}{1+2"} \frac{(+n)(+n+1)}{(n-1)(2+n+1)} :$$
(3.19)

This recurrence relation has the following solution

$$A_{n} = A_{1} \frac{2"}{1+2"} \frac{(1+2)_{n-1}(1+3)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!(2+1+1)_{n-1}};$$
(3.20)

where (a)<sub>n</sub> = a (a + 1) (a + 2) ::: (a + n 1). In this way we arrive at generalized hypergeom etric functions  $_{p+1}F_p(_{1}^{1} \dots _{p}^{p+1} j_{2})$  [16]. In particular, the ansatz (3.18) leads to

$$f_{!}(\mathbf{k}) = f_{0} + \frac{A_{1}}{k^{2} + 2} F_{3}(x_{2}^{3; 4; +ik; ik}, \frac{ik}{2}, \frac{ik}{2}, \frac{ik}{2}, \frac{ik}{2})$$
(3.21)

with n = + n = 1, n = -1 and  $y = \frac{2"}{1+2"}$ .

There are still two coe cients f<sub>0</sub> and A<sub>1</sub> undeterm ined in our solution (3.21). The inform ation contained in equation (3.1) which has not been used yet can be expressed in terms of two conditions. The st condition appears as a result of the comparison of residua of the pole term  $\frac{1}{k^2+2}$  (the pole at  $k^2$ !<sup>2</sup> has to be considered separately from other pole terms  $\frac{1}{k^2+2n}$ , n  $\notin$  1). The second condition appears as a result of the comparison of the constant terms appearing in the expansion, or in other words, considering the left and the right{hand sides of Eq. (3.1) at k ! 1.

Therefore the coe cients  $f_0;\!A_1$  are the solution of the following inhomogeneous system of linear equations

$$\frac{1}{A_0} = f_0 \quad \underline{a}_1 + A_1 \quad \underline{a}_2$$

$$\frac{1}{A_0} = f_0 \quad \underline{a}_1 + A_1 \quad \underline{a}_2 \quad ; \quad (3.22)$$

with

$$a_{11} = \frac{A_0}{4} + \frac{4(^2 \ 1)}{(^2 \ 4)}$$

$$a_{12} = \frac{6}{(2 - 4)^2} + \frac{A_0}{4} \frac{3}{2} \frac{4}{2} F_3 \left( 2 + 1; 3; 3 \right) \mathbf{\dot{y}} \right) + \frac{4}{(2 - 4)} \frac{4}{3} F_2 \left( 2 + 1; 3 \right) \mathbf{\dot{y}} + \frac{2}{(2 + 1)} \frac{2}{4} F_3 \left( 2 + 2; 2; 2; 2 \right) \mathbf{\dot{y}} \right)$$
(3.23)

$$a_{21} = \frac{A_0}{4} + \frac{2(2^2 - 5)}{(2^2 - 4)}$$

$$a_{22} = \frac{A_{0}}{4} \frac{3}{2} {}_{2}^{4} F_{3} \left( {}_{2}^{4} \frac{3}{1} \frac{3}{3} \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{2}{2} F_{1} \left( {}_{2}^{4} \frac{3}{1} \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

U sing well known relations among the hypergeom etric functions [16] it is possible to express all higher hypergeom etric functions through the two basic  $_2F_1$  functions

$$f_{a} = {}_{2}F_{1} \left( {}_{2+1}^{2'} \dot{y} \right)$$
  
$$f_{b} = {}_{2}F_{1} \left( {}_{2+1}^{'} \dot{y} \right)$$
(3.24)

W e quote here only one of these relations

$${}_{4}F_{3}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}4;4;2\\2+1;3;3\end{smallmatrix}\right) = f_{a}\frac{(7^{2} 4)}{(1)_{2}^{2}} + f_{b}\frac{9^{3}}{(1)^{2}} + f_{$$

The solution of the system (3.1) expressed in terms of the functions  $f_{\rm a}$  and  $f_{\rm b}$  has the form

$$A_{1} = \frac{2}{A_{0}} \frac{2}{A_{0}} \frac{2}{A_{0}} \frac{1}{A_{0}} (f_{a} \frac{(13^{2} - 16)}{4} + 18f_{b}) + f_{a} \frac{(34^{2} - 64)(2^{2} - 1)}{(2^{2} - 2)^{3}} + f_{b} \frac{48^{2} - 84}{(2^{2} - 2)^{3}} \frac{1}{4}$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{3A_{1}}{(1^{2} - 1)(2^{2} - 2)^{2} - 3} (f_{a} - (1 + 2^{-2}) + f_{b} \frac{3(4^{2} + 2)}{(1^{2} - 1)^{2}})$$
(3.26)

These formulae together with Eq. (3.21) represent the solution of the integral equation (3.1).

It should be noted that in Ref. [12] instead of the rst equation of the system (3.22) (resulting from the comparision of the residua of the pole terms  $\frac{1}{k^{2}+2}$  appearing on both sides of eq.(3.1)) another boundary condition was used, the absence of the norm all thresholds,

$$f_{!}(k^{2} ! 4) = 2f_{!}(k^{2} ! 1)$$
: (3.27)

This condition can be derived from the equation (3.1) if one requires that  $f_1(k)$  is a regular function in the neighbourhood of  $k^2 = 4$ . In terms of our ansatz this condition reads

$$f_{0} = A_{1} \frac{1}{2 4} F_{2} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 3; & 3; & 2\\ 2 + 1; & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \frac{2}{2 1} F_{2} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 4; & 2; & 1\\ 2 + 1; & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$
(3.28)

The iterative solution of the equation (3.1),  $f_1(k)$ , as described above, is a function which is by construction regular in the points  $k^2 = n^2$ . Therefore, the condition (3.28) should not give an additional restriction on the function (3.21) as compared with the conditions given by the system (3.22). Indeed, expressing the hypergeometric functions in (3.28) in terms of the functions  $f_a$  and  $f_b$  it can be checked directly that the di erence of the two equations in (3.22) and the condition (3.28) are equivalent.

#### 3.3 Regge singularities of the forward partial wave

W e discuss now the implications of the obtained solution  $f_1$  (k) for the partial wave of the scattering amplitude  $F_1$ . The partial wave  $F_1$  can be calculated

either by (2.9) or by the mass-shell relation (2.8). We have checked that the both methods lead to the same result

$$F_{!}(q=0) = \frac{1}{A_{0} + f};$$
 (3.29)

where

$$f = \frac{4}{{}^{2} - 4} - \frac{(34 \ ^{2} - 64)({}^{2} - 1)}{2} f_{a} + f_{b}(48 \ ^{2} - 84) - \frac{(13 \ ^{2} - 16)}{2} f_{a} + 18 f_{b}$$
(3.30)

Let us discuss the singularities of  $F_1$  considered as a function of the complex variable !. The hypergeom etric functions are de ned in terms of the hypergeometric series which are convergent inside the circle of unit radius in the variable y = 2 = (1 + 2). The continued hypergeometric functions are analytic in the complex plane of their argument y, with a cut from y = 1 to y = 1. In the plane this corresponds to the cut appearing on the interval 2 [1; 1=2].

As a function of their parameters \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ i; ::: \_\_p the hypergeometric functions have only simple poles if one of the lower parameters \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ proaches a non-positive integer value n  $\frac{W}{4+2} = \frac{W}{1+2} + \frac{1+n}{2}$ . Therefore these poles lie on the second (unphysical) sheet of the square root.

Further singularities of  $f_1$  and, consequently, of  $F_1$  appear at points, where the determ inant of the system of linear equations (3.22) vanishes, i.e. at the zeros of the denom inator in (3.29)

$$A_0 + f = 0$$
: (3.31)

This results in poles in !.

A nalyzing the condition (3.31) num erically outside the interval 2 [1; 1=2], where the cut is located we have checked that there is only one Regge pole in the vicinity of the real axis located in

$$= _{0} = 4:5934; ! = !_{0} = \frac{g^{2}}{2 m_{0}}:$$
(3.32)

The result coincides of course with the one obtained in the coordinate representation. Therefore we can conclude that at q = 0 the partial wave  $F_1$  has the following singularities on the physical sheet of complex ! plane. There is a nite cut on the negative part of the real axies covering the interval! 2  $[!_2;!_1]$ , with  $!_2 = \frac{q^2}{m}$ ,  $!_1 = 0$ . And there is a single pole in the positive part of the real axis at ! = !\_0, (3.32).

Let us discuss the nature of the singularities at the branch points. Near the right end-point of the cut,  $! = !_1 = 0$  we have ! + 1, ! 1, y ! 1 and

$$f_a = 2(1 + \log \frac{2(1)}{3}) + 0((1)\log(1));$$

$$f_b = 2 + 0 ((1) \log(1)) :$$
 (3.33)

Therefore the partial wave behaves like

$$F_{!} ! A_{0}^{1} + 16A_{0}^{2} = \log(1)$$
 (3.34)

Near the left end-point of the cut, ! = !2 we have ! 1=2, ! +1, y  $^2$ =3 ! 1 and

$$f_a = \frac{e^{(\log 12 \ 2 \log )}}{p} (1 + 0 (1 = ))$$
(3.35)

$$f_{b} = \frac{e^{(\log 12 \ 2 \log )} \log}{p} (1 + 0 (1 = ))$$
(3.36)

Therefore the partial wave behaves like

$$F_{!} ! \frac{1}{A_{0} + 24=3} \frac{1}{72 (A_{0} + 24=3)^{2} \log}$$
 (3.37)

Note that the solution of the corresponding hom ogeneous equation can be obtained from the solution of inhom ogeneous equation  $F_!$  which we have just found. The spectrum consists of one discret level  $! = !_0$  and the continuous part  $! 2 [!_2;!_1]$ . The corresponding eigenfunctions can be found as follows: the residue of  $F_!$  of the pole at  $! = !_0$  gives (up to the normalization constant) the wave function of the discret level and by calculating the discontinuity of  $F_!$  on the cut it is possible to not the eigenfunctions belonging to the continuous spectrum.

We would like to add a comment on how the results depend on the number of colours N . In the case of arbitrary N we have to substitute in the above equations  $[\boldsymbol{\beta}]$ 

$$g^{2} ! g^{2} \frac{N}{2} ; A_{0} ! 2(q^{2} + \frac{N^{2} + 1}{N^{2}}m^{2})$$
  
 $! \frac{N}{2} : (3.38)$ 

As in the case N = 2 there is a leading Regge pole at arbitrary N located at  $!_0^{(N)}$ ,

$$!_{0}^{(N)} = \frac{g^{2}}{2m} \frac{N}{2} \frac{1}{\binom{N}{0}} :$$
 (3.39)

 $_{0}^{(N)}$  is calculated in analogy to  $_{0}$  above. We nd that  $_{0}^{(N)}$  decreases slowly with N approaching a limit  $_{0}^{(1)}$ :  $_{0}^{(2)} = 4;5934$ ,  $_{0}^{(3)} = 3:8000$ ,  $_{0}^{(4)} = 3:5693$ ,  $_{0}^{(1)} = 3:3025$ .

## 4 Non-forward scattering

#### 4.1 Solution of the equation

The main steps which have been made in the section 3.2 to derive the solution of the forward equation can be generalized to nd the solution of the non-forward equation (2.13). The expression appearing on the left-hand side of Eq.(2.13) in the square brackets [:::] can be rewritten in the form

$$[:::] = \frac{(1+2)[x^2+(1+2)^2][x^2+(1+2)^2]}{[(x+q=2)^2+4][(x+q=2)^2+4]}$$
(4.1)

where

$$x = k q=2;$$

$$()^{2} = \frac{4+5}{1+2} \quad \frac{q^{2}}{4} \quad \stackrel{V_{u}}{=} \frac{9^{2}}{(1+2)^{2}} \quad \frac{q^{2}(4+5)(1+2)}{(1+2)^{2}}: \quad (42)$$

Now, in analogy with the iterative way of nding the solution for q = 0, we see, that the zeroth iteration can be expanded into a sum of the constant term, and two pole terms:  $\frac{1}{x^{2}+(+)^{2}}$  and  $\frac{1}{x^{2}+(-)^{2}}$ . It should be noted that the zeroth iteration for f<sub>1</sub> (k; q k) depends on the speci c combination of the momenta k and q k, i.e. it is a function of the variable  $x^{2} = (k - q=2)^{2}$ . The notation x should not be confused with the position. Calculating the next iterations it can be seen that this feature remains true and the solution can be represented in the following form [12]

$$f_{!}(x^{2}) = f_{0} + \frac{x^{4}}{n=1} \frac{A_{n}^{+}}{x^{2} + (\frac{n}{n})^{2}} + \frac{x^{4}}{n=1} \frac{A_{n}}{x^{2} + (\frac{n}{n})^{2}}; \quad n = + n \quad 1: \quad (4.3)$$

Substituting this ansatz into the equation (2.13) we nd two recurrence relations similar to the one for the case q = 0. Their solutions can be written in the form

$$\frac{A_{n}}{A_{1}} = \frac{2}{1+2} \prod_{n=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\frac{\binom{2}{1} \prod_{n=1}^{n-1} \binom{4}{2} \prod_{n=1}^{n-1} \binom{4}{2} \prod_{n=1}^{n-1} \binom{d^{+}}{1} \prod_{n=1}^{n-1} \binom{d^{+}}{1} \prod_{n=1}^{n-1} \binom{d^{+}}{1} \prod_{n=1}^{n-1} \binom{d^{+}}{2} \prod_{n=1}^{$$

with

$$d_a^b = \frac{1}{2} + a \frac{1}{2}^r \frac{q}{5 q^2 + 2b} \frac{q}{4 3q^2}; a; b = :$$
 (4.5)

It is possible to rewrite our ansatz Eq.(4.3) in term s of the generalized hypergeom etric functions

$$f_{!}(\mathbf{x}^{2}) = f_{0} + A_{1}^{+} \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^{2} + (+)^{2}} {}_{9}F_{8}(_{+,2}^{+} + \frac{iq}{2}; _{4}^{+} + \frac{iq}{2}; _{2}^{+} + \frac{iq}$$

The conditions which determ ine the coe cients  $f_0$ ,  $A_1^+$ ,  $A_1$  are also analogous to the ones used in the case of q = 0.

The condition obtained by taking the limit  $x \mid 1$  , or  $k \mid 1$  , has the form

$$\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1\\ \frac{1}{A_{0}} = f_{0} & \frac{A_{0}}{q^{2}+4} + \frac{2}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} A_{1}^{+} & \frac{A_{0}}{3} & \frac{1}{q^{2}+4} & \frac{1}{2}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{iq}{2}; & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{iq}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{2} & \frac{2}{4} \\ \frac{2}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{iq}{2}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{iq}{2}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{iq}{2}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{iq}{2}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4}; & \frac{1}{4}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4}; & \frac{1}{4}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4}; & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4}; & \frac{1}{4}; & \frac{1}{4}; &$$

We have found that it is convenient to use as the last two conditions the absence of norm althresholds (see discussion at the end of of section 3.2)

$$f_1((\frac{q}{2} 2i)^2) = 2f_1((\frac{q}{2} i)^2)$$
: (4.8)

The condition corresponding to the lower signs is

$$f_{0} = A_{1}^{+} \frac{1}{((+)^{2} (2+\frac{iq}{2})^{2})} {}_{8}F_{7} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{i}{2} ; \frac{i}{3} + \frac{iq}{2} ; \frac{i}{4} & \frac{iq}{2} ; \frac{i}{2} & \frac{iq}{2} ; \frac{i}{4} & \frac{i}{4} ; \frac{i}{4} & \frac{i}{4} ; \frac{i}{4} & \frac{i}{4} ; \frac{i}{4} & \frac{i}{2} ; \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} ; \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} ; \frac{i}{4} & \frac{i}{4} ; \frac{i}{4} & \frac{i}{4} ; \frac{i}{4} & \frac{i}{4} ; \frac{i}{4} & \frac{i}{4} ; \frac{$$

The other equation is obtained from the above one by the substitution q \$ q. The di erence of these two condition can be written in the limit q ! 0 as

$$A_{1}^{+} \left( \frac{1}{iq} + 0(1) + A_{1}^{n} iCq + 0(q^{2})^{\circ} = 0 \right)$$
(4.10)

T herefore

$$A_{1}^{+} = A_{1} [C q^{2} + O (q^{3})]; \qquad (4.11)$$

where C is some constant.

We see that at q! 0 the series of poles  $\frac{A_n^+}{x^2+(\frac{1}{n})^2}$  decouples from the solution in accordance with our previous considerations for q = 0.

In this way we have solved the equation (2.11) for arbitrary momentum transfer q. The solution  $f_1$  is given by (4.6) with the coe cients  $f_0$ ,  $A_1^+$  and  $A_1$ determined from linear system of equations (4.7), (4.8-4.9).

#### 4.2 Properties of the partial wave

W e investigate the partial wave  $F_{!}$  (q<sup>2</sup>) obtained from the solution by Eq. (2.9)

$$F_{!} (q^{2}) = \frac{A_{0}}{q^{2}+4} f_{0} + \frac{A_{1}^{+}}{(\binom{2}{2})^{2}+\frac{q^{2}}{4}} {}_{7}^{7} F_{6} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{4}{4}; \frac{4}{4}+\frac{iq}{2}; \frac{4}{4}-\frac{iq}{2}; \frac{4}{4}-\frac{iq}$$

First of all it should be noted that all equations above are written under the assumption that we choose the convention for the square root expression for with the real parts of being positive for small q and real  $> \frac{1}{2}$ .

If  $q^2 = \frac{9}{10}$ , are complex conjugate numbers if belongs to the interval  $[_1;1]$ , where  $_1 = \frac{13q^2}{2(9)} \frac{3q}{10q^2} \frac{q^2+16}{2(9)}$ . Since  $1=2 < _1 < 0$ , for any positive ! and therefore for any positive , are complex conjugate. Let us choose by the de nition as <sup>+</sup> the expression which has negative in aginary part (for q > 0).

In the following we study the Regge singularities and the behaviour at  $q^2 = t!$  1 and at positive tup to the rst threshold t = 4.

Since the solution behaves smooth at q! 0 we conclude that at small q the structure of the Regge singularities is similar to what we have found for q = 0. The position of the leading Regge pole depends on  $t = q^2$ . The result of the numerical calculations is plotted in Fig.2 for values of three 4 up to the vicinity of the rst threshold at t = 4. The trajectory is almost linear in the vicinity of t = 0 with the approximate slope  $0.34\frac{s}{m^2}$  as shown in Fig.3.

We would like to mention that the Pomeron trajectory has about the same slope ( $_{\rm P}^{0}(0)$ ) as the gluon trajectory. More interesting would be, within the same approach, to compare the Pomeron trajectory with the Reggeon trajectory. In order to do so one has to calculate the Reggeon trajectory in 2 + 1 QCD using the techniques developed in Ref. [21]. It will be a challenging problem for the future.

At larger j the trajectory deviates strongly from the linear behaviour. It goes to in nity for tapproaching the threshold t = 4 and returns from 1 above the threshold. The behaviour of the Pom eron trajectory near t = 4 has been obtained also by solving Eq.(2.13) in the asymptotics of large ! and t ! 4 with the result

$$!_{0} (t) \underline{j}_{! 4} = \frac{g^{2}}{2 m} \frac{A_{0}}{4 t}$$
(4.13)



Figure 2: The trajectory of the pomeron pole in units of  $\frac{g^2}{2m}$ . The momentum transfer is given in units of  $m^2$ .



Figure 3: The behaviour of the pomeron trajectory in the vicinity of t = 0.

This con m s the num erical result of Fig. 2.

The branch points  $!_1 = 0$ ,  $!_2 = \frac{q^2}{m}$  do not depend on t. However the singularities located at the unphysical sheet can come up to the physical sheet as t increases. Indeed both the poles arising from the lower coe cients  $_i$  in the hypergeom etric functions and the poles at the vanishing determ inant depend on q. There are also branch points arising from the square roots in the expressions of in terms of ! (4.2) the position of which depend on q. The num erical investigation of the solution shows that besides of the pole, which was originally the leading one, another pole emerges from the unphysical sheet if t crosses the threshold value.

Now we investigate the behaviour at t $! \quad 1$  . In the lim it of large q we have

= k 
$$\frac{iq}{2}$$
 + 0  $(\frac{1}{q})$ ; k =  $\frac{5}{\frac{4+5}{1+2}}$ ;

and

$$d_a^b = a \frac{iq}{2} + (a b); \quad (a) = \frac{a^b \overline{3} 1}{2};$$

Inserting these relations into the linear system we have found that asymptotically in q

$$f_{0} = \frac{1}{2q^{2}}$$

$$A_{1}^{+} = A_{1}$$

$$A_{1}^{+} = iqf_{0} \left( \frac{2f_{2}}{k+1} + \frac{2f_{4}}{k-1} - \frac{f_{1}}{k+2} - \frac{f_{3}}{k-2} \right)^{-1}$$

where

$$f_{1} = {}_{3}F_{2} \begin{pmatrix} k^{+} & (^{+})_{jk} + & (^{-})_{jk} + 2 \\ 2k + 1_{jk} + 1 \end{pmatrix} \dot{y} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$f_{2} = {}_{3}F_{2} \begin{pmatrix} k^{+} & (^{+})_{jk} + & (^{-})_{jk} + 3 \\ 2k + 1_{jk} + 2 \end{pmatrix} \dot{y} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$f_{3} = {}_{4}F_{3} \begin{pmatrix} k^{+} & (^{+})_{jk} + & (^{-})_{jk} + 3_{jk} & 2 \\ 2k + 1_{jk} + 1_{jk} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \dot{y} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$f_{4} = {}_{4}F_{3} \begin{pmatrix} k^{+} & (^{+})_{jk} + & (^{-})_{jk} + 3_{jk} & 1 \\ 2k + 1_{jk} + 1_{jk} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} ;$$

As the result we obtain from Eq.(4.12) the asymptotics of the partial wave

$$F_{!}(q^{2})_{\underline{f}_{1}^{2}! 1} ! \frac{q^{2}}{q^{2}} 1 \frac{2f_{2}}{k+1} = (\frac{2f_{2}}{k+1} + \frac{2f_{4}}{k} \frac{f_{1}}{k+2} \frac{f_{1}}{k+2})$$

The behaviour of  $F_1$  near the right branch point ! = 0 is  $F_1 j_{1^2 1} \frac{\text{const}}{!}$ . This is to be compared with the behaviour at the same point for q = 0,  $F_1 j_{1^2 = 0}$  const : The numerical calculation of the Pomeron trajectory (see Fig. 2) shows that the pole is moving towards the right branch point with decreasing t. From both observations we conclude that the Pomeron pole moving with t reaches the right branch point ! = 0 asymptotically for  $t = q^2 ! 1$ .

# 5 C om parison with the massless case

M.Liand C.J.Tan [14] investigated 2 + 1 dimensional QCD without symmetry breaking, i.e. form assless gluons, and obtained just a xed cut starting at j = 1 as the leading singularity in the vacuum exchange channel. We try to understand the relation of their result to ours, in particular whether the pomeron pole is absent in the massless case and how it disappears at m ! 0.

The infrared singularities in 2 + 1 dimensions are stronger compared to 3 + 1 dimensions. The limit m ! 0 has to be performed carefully. Clearly, the scattering amplitude of vector bosons has no nite limit at m ! 0. We consider the scattering of two colour dipoles of transverse sizes  $x_1, x_2$ , which is the case studied in [14]. The partial wave of the dipole (dipole forward scattering is given by the convolution of two dipole impact factors [14] (here  $x_0$  is the size of the dipole)

$$D_{D}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{A}\sin^{2}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}_{0} \tag{5.1}$$

with the Reggeon G reen function

$$\mathbf{F}_{!}^{D}(\mathbf{x}_{10};\mathbf{x}_{20}) = \frac{d\mathbf{k}_{1} d\mathbf{k}_{2}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{d\mathbf{k}_{1} d\mathbf{k}_{2}}{\mathbf{k}_{1}^{2} + \mathbf{m}^{2}} \mathbf{G}_{!}(\mathbf{k}_{1};\mathbf{k}_{2}) \frac{d\mathbf{k}_{2}}{\mathbf{k}_{2}^{2} + \mathbf{m}^{2}} \mathbf{G}_{!}(\mathbf{k}_{1};\mathbf{k}_{2}) \mathbf{G}_{!}(\mathbf{k}_{1};\mathbf{k}_{2}) \mathbf{G}_{!}(\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k}_{2}) \mathbf{G}_{!}(\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k}_{2}) \mathbf{G}_{!}(\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k}_{2}) \mathbf{G}_{!}(\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k}_{2}) \mathbf{G}_{!}(\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k};\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k}_{2};\mathbf{k}_{2};$$

The Reggeon G reen function is the particular solution of the BFKL equation with -functions as inhom ogeneous term. It is related to our solution  $f_{i}$  (k) which is more closely related to the vector boson scattering as follows

$$\frac{A_0}{! (k^2 + m^2)} f_! (k) = \int_{1}^{2^4} G_! (k;k_1) \frac{dk_1}{k_1^2 + m^2} :$$
 (5.3)

Near the pomeron pole we have

$$G_{!}(k_{1};k_{2}) = \frac{_{0}(k_{1})_{0}(k_{2})}{! !_{0}}; !_{0} = \frac{g^{2}}{m}\frac{1}{2_{0}};$$
(5.4)

where  $_{0}$  (k) is the wave function of the two-boson bound state corresponding to the pomeron. It is normalized to 1 and can be obtained from  $f_{!}$  by studying (5.3) near  $!_{0}$ .  $_{0}$  is the number quoted in Eq.(3.32).

Restoring the mass dependence we obtain from the solution (3.21)

$$f_{!}(k) = \frac{1}{m^{2}} \left(\frac{g^{2}}{m} \frac{1}{2!}; \frac{k}{m}\right)$$
(5.5)

The solution depends smoothly on k and the integral with a bounded function  $_{\rm D}$  (x;k) exists. Therefore also  $_{\rm 0}$  (k) has these features:

$$_{0}(k) = \frac{a}{p_{m}} \sim (\frac{k}{m}) ;$$
 (5.6)

with a being some numerical constant. The contribution of the Pomeron pole to the scattering of two colourless dipoles with sizes  $x_{10}$  and  $x_{20}$  is given at sm all m by the partial wave

$$\mathbf{F}_{!}^{D} = \frac{b \, g^{4}}{! \quad \frac{g^{2}}{m} \frac{1}{2 \quad 0}} \, \mathbf{m} \, \mathbf{x}_{10}^{2} \, \mathbf{x}_{20}^{2} \quad : \tag{5.7}$$

Here b is some number. This leading contribution to the forward scattering of of dipoles does not behave smoothly at m ! 0. The pole goes to plus in nity, resulting in a divergent contribution. Expanding in  $g^2$  we observe that the divergence starts at the  $g^4$  term, corresponding to s-channel interm ediate state with two additional gluons.

This observation is con m ed by calculating  $G_{!}(k_{1};k_{2})$  iteratively and evaluating the corresponding contribution to the dipole scattering partial wave Eq.(5.2) in the following way. We have to iterate Eq.(2.13) with the inhom ogeneous term replaced by  $(k_{1} \quad k_{2})$ , which is the zeroth approximation of  $G_{!}$ . Unlike above in sect. 3.2 and 4.1 the iteration now proceeds order by order in  $g^{2}$  or . Replacing  $G_{!}$  in (5.2) by  $(k_{1} \quad k_{2})$  we obtain that the region of  $k_{1};k_{2} \quad m$  gives a negligible contribution for m ! 0. Taking the rst order approximation in for  $G_{!}$  leads to a nite contribution of that small- k region. With the O (<sup>2</sup>) approximation for  $G_{!}$  we obtain a like  $\frac{1}{m}$  diverging contribution. Starting from this order of perturbative expansion the amplitude of forward dipole – dipole scattering does not exist in the massless lim it.

Consider now the scattering at non-vanishing momentum transfer. Let us x the value  $t_{phys}$  in physical units (GeV<sup>2</sup>) and look at the relation to our dimensionless variable  $t = q^2$  (in units of m<sup>2</sup>)

$$t_{phys} = tm^2$$
 : (5.8)

Provided  $t_{phys} < 0$ , the corresponding value of tapproaches 1 at m! 0. Thus the pomeron pole approaches the branch point at j = 1.

The singular contribution (5.7) appearing only at  $t_{phys} = 0$  is absent in the infrared nite dipole scattering amplitude constructed in [14].

Let us now study the massless limit directly in the equation. We restore the masses in Eq. (2.13) and do the shift k ! k  $\frac{q}{2}$  as in Eq.(4.1)

$$\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{c} 1 + \left(\frac{(k - \frac{q}{2})^{2} + m^{2}}{(k - \frac{q}{2})^{2} + 4m^{2}} + \frac{(k + \frac{q}{2})^{2} + m^{2}}{(k + \frac{q}{2})^{2} + 4m^{2}}\right)^{\#} f_{!}(k;q) = \\ = A_{0}^{1} + m^{2} \frac{dk_{1}}{2} - \frac{B}{2} \frac{A_{0}}{(k_{1} + \frac{q}{2})^{2} + m^{2}}(k_{1} - \frac{q}{2})^{2} + m^{2}) \\ + \frac{2}{(k - k_{1})^{2} + m^{2}} - \frac{(k + \frac{q}{2})^{2} + m^{2}}{(k_{1} + \frac{q}{2})^{2} + m^{2}} + \frac{(k - \frac{q}{2})^{2} + m^{2}}{(k_{1} - \frac{q}{2})^{2} + m^{2}} f_{!}(k_{1};q) : (5.9) \end{array}$$

We perform the Fourier transform ation with respect to k

$$f_{!}(x;q) = \frac{dk}{2} e^{ikx} f_{!}(k;q)$$
(5.10)

and obtain

$$1+2 \quad 2 \ e^{m \ jx j} \ f_{!} (x;q) \quad \frac{3}{2} \ m^{2} \ dy \ f_{!} (y;q) \ \cos \frac{q}{2} (y \ x) e^{-2m \ jx \ y j} = \\ = \frac{1}{A_{0}} \ (x) + \ (x) \ \frac{A_{0}}{q^{2} + 4m^{2}} + 2 \ dy \ f_{!} (y;q) e^{m \ jy j} \ \cos \frac{q}{2} y \\ + \frac{2A_{0} \ m \ (x)}{q(q^{2} + 4m^{2})} \ dy \ f_{!} (y;q) e^{m \ jy j} \sin \frac{q}{2} \ jy j \qquad (5.11) \\ m \ e^{m \ jx j} \ dy \ f_{!} (y;q) \ \cos \frac{q}{2} (y \ x) e^{m \ jx \ y j} (2 \ sgn (x) \ sgn (x \ y) + 1) :$$

It should be stressed that Eq. (5.11) is the general BFKL equation for 2 + 1 QCD in the coordinate space at any value of the momentum transfert =  $q^2$ .

As discussed above the behaviour at m = 0 is dimensional forward and nonforward cases. Indeed the coe cient of second term on rhs.  $\frac{A_0}{q^2+4m^2} + 2$ vanishes at m ! 0 for  $q \in 0$  but behaves like  $\frac{1}{m}$  if we put q = 0 before taking the limit m ! 0. We discuss in the following the massless limit in the non-forward case. We approximate Eq. (5.11) at m ! 0 expanding in particular  $m k^j$  keeping terms m (because  $=\frac{q^2}{2m}$ ). In this way we obtain

$$(1 + 2 \text{ m jxj)} f_{1}(x;q) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ m}^{Z} \text{ dy} f_{1}(y;q) \cos \frac{q}{2}(x \quad y) = \frac{1}{A_{0}} (x) = \frac{1}{Z} (x) + \frac{q}{Q} \frac{1}{Q} \text{ dy} f_{1}(y;q) \sin \frac{q}{2} \text{ jyj}$$

$$2 \text{ m sgn}(x) = \frac{1}{Z} (y;q) \cos \frac{q}{2}(x \quad y) \text{ sgn}(x \quad y) : (5.12)$$

In term s of the function  $f'_{!}(x;q)$  de ned as

$$f_{!}(x;q) = \frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} + \frac{q^{2}}{4} f_{!}(x;q)$$
 (5.13)

Eq. (5.12) has the following simple form

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{q^2}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + 2 m jx jf_1 (x;q) = \frac{(x)}{A_0} :$$
 (5.14)

The solution has the form

$$(1 + 2 m jx)f_{i}^{i}(x;q) = \frac{1}{qA_{0}} \sin \frac{q}{2}jxj + A \sin \frac{q}{2}x + B \cos \frac{q}{2}x$$
(5.15)

where A; B are some arbitrary constants.

The original function  $f_!(x;q)$  can be calculated readily. We write here only part of the result with A = B = 0, which corresponds to certain boundary conditions

$$f_{1}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{A_{0}} \frac{(\mathbf{x})}{1+2 \text{ m } \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{j}} \frac{2 \text{ m } \cos^{q}_{2} \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{j}}{(1+2 \text{ m } \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x})^{2}} + \frac{8(\text{ m })^{2} \sin^{q}_{2} \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{j}}{q(1+2 \text{ m } \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x})^{3}} : (5.16)$$

This result has similarities to the expression for the dipole density  $n_1(x_0;x;q)$  derived by Li and Tan (Eq.(3.4) in the second paper of Ref. [14]). Our  $f_1(x;q)$  is not the dipole density and our equation does not know about the dipole size on which  $n_1(x_0;x;q)$  depends essentially. However, introducing the dipole size  $x_0 > 0$  by replacing the rhs. of (5.14) by  $(x_0 \quad jx)$  and restricting the range in x to  $jxj \in 0$ , we obtain

$$G_{!}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}_{0};\mathbf{q}) = \left(\frac{d^{2}}{d\mathbf{x}^{2}} + \frac{q^{2}}{4}\right) \frac{1}{[1+2m j\mathbf{x}]} \frac{2}{q} (\mathbf{x}_{0} \ \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x}] \sin \frac{q}{2} (\mathbf{x}_{0} \ \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x}]$$

$$= \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{0} \ \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x}]}{1+2m \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x}j} + (\mathbf{x}_{0} \ \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x}] \frac{16(m)^{2} \sin \frac{q}{2} (\mathbf{x}_{0} \ \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x}]}{q(1+2m \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x})^{3}}$$

$$+ \frac{4m \cos \frac{q}{2} (\mathbf{x}_{0} \ \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x}]}{(1+2m \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x})^{2}}^{\#} :$$
(5.17)

W edenote by  $G_1(x;x_0;q)$  the analogon of  $f_1(x;q)$  (5.13) of them odd ed equation. This particular solution of the m odd ed Eq. (5.14) reproduces the dipole density  $n_1(x_0;x;q)$  of Ref. [14] up to term s proportional to (x).

## 6 Summary

The reduction of the dimensionality to  $2 + 1 \pm 2$  simplies the high-energy scattering amplitudes and in particular the BFKL equation. The equation can be solved analytically even in the case with masses introduced by spontaneous symmetry breaking.

In the forward case we have discussed the solution both in coordinate and in momentum space. In the coordinate space the sim ilarity of the Pomeron pole to a two gauge boson bound state has been emphasized, whereas in the momentum representation the iterative structure becomes transparent, which has been used further to solve the equation in the non-forward case.

W e obtain the partial wave for the scattering amplitude of vector bosons in an analytic form. This allows us to study the leading and non-leading Regge singularities and their dependence on the momentum transfer both for negative and positive t.

At smallm on entum transferwe nd a leading Regge pole, the Pomeron, with an intercept above j = 1 and a trajectory approximately linear for smallt. Beside this pole there is a branch cut whose right end is located at j = 1 independent oft. The Pomeron pole approaches the cut for large negative t.

We have compared our result with the one by M. Li and C.-I. Tan [14] and have discussed the peculiarities of the massless limit. This limit is dierent for the forward and non-forward case. The massless limit of our result for the nonforward amplitude is close to the result found by Li and Tan who used a quite dierent approach. A modied equation (by introducing the dipole size as an additional parameter) reproduces the result of these authors. However, in the forward case the Pomeron pole leads to a divergent contribution, which is absent in the amplitude of Ref. [14].

A lthough the model lives in unphysical 2 + 1 dimensions some features can be related to the phenom enology of high-energy scattering. The leading pomeron pole which is clearly separated from non-leading singularities corresponds to the common idea about the soft (non-perturbative) pomeron. Furthermore, a situation with a pole with intercept larger than 1 and a xed cut just at 1 would result in a change of the s-dependence with t. It is interesting to note that the constant term in the high energy asymptotics, which corresponds to the cut contribution, has been used to describe the experimental data on high energy behaviour of total cross sections [17], inclusive spectra [18] and di ractive dissociation [19].

The divergence of the trajectory at t !  $4m^2$  seems to exhibit an in nite series of bound states of two massive gluons. This would dier clearly from the features of the hadronic reality. We understand that this is an artifact of the leading lns approximation, since a potential of nite range created by massive boson exchange cannot have an in nity of bound states.

The simplicity of the model makes it useful for further investigations. Including ferm ions the amplitudes with quantum number exchange could be constructed. There will be no direct analogy neither to DGLAP [20] equation nor to the nonlinear double-log equation [21]. More interesting could be the study of amplitudes with multiple exchange of reggeized gluons, in particular with the exchange of negative charge parity (Odderon).

The model can serve as a testing ground for the non-perturbative treatment of di ractive processes [22], [23], and the high parton density elective action [24].

A loo, the electric electric

## A cknow ledgm ents:

E M L., L N L. and M W. want to thank the Aspen Physics Centre for the hospitality and creating the working atmosphere during the workshop on "Interface of \soft" and \hard" interactions" in July 1996, where this paper has been started. We are also very grateful to C-I Tan who draw our attention to the problem of the m ism atch between massive and massless 2 + 1 dimensional QCD.W ithout his questions and his strong encouragement this paper would not have been started at all. E M L. thanks the theory groups at Ferm ilab, ANL and DESY where he continued to work on this paper for hospitality and support.

D.Yu I. and L.Sz. would like to adknow ledge the warm hospitality extended to them at University of Leipzig.

#### References

- [1] ZEUS Collaboration; J. Breitweg et al.: Phys. Lett. B 407 (1997) 432; M. Derrick et al.: Z. Phys. C 69 (1996) 607, Z. Phys. C 72 (1996) 394;
   H1 Collaboration; S.A.id et al.: Nucl. Phys. B 470 (1996) 3, Nucl. Phys. B 497 (1997) 3.
- [2] F.Abe et al. (CDF Collaboration): Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 5535; Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 2636
- [3] E A Kuraev, L N Lipatov and V S Fadin: Phys. Lett. 60B (1975) 5 ;Sov. JETP 71 (1976) 840; Sov. JETP 72 (1977) 377;
   Ya Ya Balitsky and L N Lipatov: Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 28 (1978) 822.
- [4] A.Donnachie and P.V.Landsho : Nucl. Phys. B 244 (1984) 322, Nucl. Phys.
   B 267 (1986) 690, Phys. Lett. B 296 (1992) 227, Z.Phys. C 61 (1994) 139.
- [5] E.Gotsman, E.Levin and U.Maor: Phys. Lett. B 309 (1993) 199; Phys. Rev.D 49 (1994) R 4321.
- [6] E Levin: \Everything about Reggeons. Part 1: Reggeons in \soft" interactions", TAUP 2465 - 97, DESY 97-213, hep - ph/9710546.
- [7] R.Feynman: \Photon hadron interaction", Benjam in, 1972.
- [8] V N.Gribov: \ Space time description of hadron interactions at high energies", (In Russian) 1973, (In Moscow 1 IIEP School, v.1 \Elementary particles", 65, 1973).

- [9] V N.Gribov: Sov. Phys. JETP 26 (1968) 414.
- [10] A R W hite: \ Con nem ent and the supercritical Pom eron in QCD ", ANL HEP 97 95, hep-ph/9712466 and references therein.
- [11] R.K. Ellis, W. J. Stirling and B.R. Webber: \QCD and Collider Physics" (1996) p435, Univ. Pr. 1991, Cambridge, UK;
  A.M. Cooper-Sarkar, R.C.E. Devenish and A.DeRoeck: Structure functions of the nucleon and their interpretation", OUNP-97-10, DESY 97-226 and references therein.
- [12] LN. Lipatov and L. Szym anowski, "Some remarks on the Pomeranchuck singularity in non-abelian gauge theories", Preprint of Inst. for Nuclear Reseach, W arsaw. No  $11/V \prod/80$ , unpublished.
- [13] LN.Lipatov: JETP 63 (1986) 904.
- [14] M iao Li and Chug-I Tan: Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 1140, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 3287.
- [15] G M. Cicuta, G. Marchesini and E. Montaldi, Phys. Lett. B 96 (1980) 141.
- [16] A P P rudnikov, Yu A B rychkov and O JM arichev, Integrals and Series, v.3 G ordon and B reach Science Publishers (1990).
- [17] B Z.Kopeliovich, IK.Potashnikova and N N.Nikolaev: Phys.Lett.B 209 (1988) 355;
  E G otsm an, E M. Levin and U.M aor: Z Phys.C 57 (1993) 667.
- [18] A.K. Likhoded, V.A. Uvarov and P.V. Chliapnikov: Phys. Lett. B 215 (1988) 417.
- [19] Chung-ITan: \F lavoring of Pom eron and di ractive production at Tevatron energies", BROW N-HEP-1100, Oct. 1997, hep-ph/9711404.
- [20] LN.Lipatov: Yad.Fiz.20 (1974) 532;
   G.Altarelli and G.Parisi: Nucl. Phys. B 126 (1977) 298;
   Yu.L.Dokshitzer: JETP 71 (1977) 1216.
- [21] R.Kirschner and L.N.Lipatov: Nucl. Phys. B 213 (1983) 122;
- [22] O.Nachtman: Ann.Phys.209 (1991) 436;
  O.Nachtman: High Energy Collisions and Nonperturbative QCD " HD THEP-96-38, hep-ph/9609365;
  H.G.Dosch, E.Ferreira and A.Kramer: Phys.Rev.D 50 (1994) 1992.

- [23] W .Buchmuller and A.Hebecker: Nucl. Phys. B 476 (1996) 203;
  W .Buchmuller, M F.M cD erm ott and A.Hebecker: Nucl. Phys. B 487 (1997) 283;
  W .Buchmuller and A.Hebecker: Phys. Lett. B 355 (1995) 573.
- [24] L.M cLerran and R.Venugopalan: Phys.Rev.D 49 (1994) 2233,3352; Phys. Rev.D 50 (1994) 2225; Phys.Rev.D 53 (1996) 458;
  J.Jalilian -M arian et al.; Phys.Rev.D 55 (1997) 5414, Nucl.Phys.B 504 (1997) 415, hep-ph/9706377, hep-ph/9709432.
- [25] R.Kirschner, LN.Lipatov and L.Szym anowski: Nucl. Phys. B 245 (1994)
   579; Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 838.
   LN.Lipatov: Nucl. Phys. B 452 (1995) 369.