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[^0]In recent years,an approxim ate m ethod of solving D G LAP equations
 D G LAP equations as partial di etential equations in $x$ (the $B$ jorken variable) $x=Q^{2}=2 p: q$ and $t\left(t=\ln Q^{2}={ }^{2}\right)$ using the Taylor series expansion and assum ing its validity at low $x$. O ne of the lim itations of the approach is that the solutions reported are not unique[s, ['G]. T hey are selected as the sim plest onesw ith a single boundary condition-the non-perturbative $x$ distribution at some initial point $t=t_{0}$. H ow ever, complete solution of DGLAP equations $w$ ith two di erential variables in general need tw o boundary conditions $\bar{T}_{1}, \underline{1}$, $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$].

The aim of the present note is to explore the uniqueness of the solution when it satis es som e given physically appropriate boundary conditions. The D G LAP equation for non-singlet structurefiunction which evolve independent of singlet and ghoon distributions $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 \\ \hline 1\end{array}\right.$
$\frac{@ F^{N S}}{@ t}=\left[\frac{A_{f}}{t}\right]\left[3+4 \log (1 \quad x) F^{N S}(x ; t)+2{ }_{x}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d z}{1}\left(1+z^{2}\right) F^{N S}\left(\frac{x}{z} ; t\right) \quad 2 F^{N S}(x ; t)\right]$
where $t=\log \left(\frac{Q^{2}}{2}\right)$ and $A_{f}=\frac{4}{332 N_{f}} ; N_{f}$ being the num ber of quark avours. Let us introduce the variable $u=1 \quad z$ and note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{1} u=x_{k=0}^{x^{1}} u^{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This series (2) is convergent for $u<1$ Since $x<z<1$,so $0<u<1 \quad x$ and hence the converegence condition is satis ed.U sing (2),we write in (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{N S}\left(\frac{x}{z} ; t\right)=F^{N S}(x ; t)+X_{l=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{x^{1}}{l!}\left(_{k=1}^{X^{1}} u^{k}\right)^{1} \frac{@^{l} F^{N S}(x ; t)}{@ x^{1}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which covers the whole range ofu; $0<u<1 \quad x: N$ on-singlet structure function are expected to be w ell behaved in the entire x range, un like the ghon or singlet structure functionsw hich $m$ ight diverge for $x!0$ as in BFK L inspired $m$ odels $\underline{\underline{p}}_{1}$, [10 $0_{1}^{\prime}$. It is therefore justif ied if the higher order derivatives $\frac{\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{x}^{1}}$ for $l>1$ are neglected in (3).This is m ore justi able for sm all $x(x) 1)$, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{N S}\left(\frac{x}{z} ; t\right)=F^{N S}(x ; t)+x_{k=1}^{x^{A}} u^{k} \frac{@ F^{N S}(x ; t)}{@ x}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (4) in (1) and perform ing the u-integration,one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x ; t) \frac{@ F^{N S}(x ; t)}{@ t}+P(x) \frac{@ F^{N S}(x ; t)}{@ x}=R\left(x ; t ; F^{N S}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=\quad A_{f} x\left[2 \log (1=x)+(1 \quad x)^{2}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x ; t)=t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(x ; t ; F^{N S}\right)=R^{0}(x) F^{N S}(x ; t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
R^{0}(x)=A_{f}\left[3+4 \log (1 \quad x)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & 1 \tag{9}
\end{array}\right)(x+3)\right]:
$$

The general solution of (5) is obtained by solving the follow ing auxiliary system s of ordinary di erential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{P(x)}=\frac{d t}{Q(t)}=\frac{d F^{N S}(x ; t)}{R\left(x ; t ; F^{N S}(x ; t)\right.}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x ; t ; F^{N S}\right)=C_{1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{Ns}}\right)=\mathrm{C}_{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

are two independent solutions of (10), then in general,solution of(5) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u ; v)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $f$ is an arbitrary function ofiu and $v$.
The auxiliary system (10) has three equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d x}{P(x)}=\frac{d t}{Q(t)}:  \tag{14}\\
\frac{d x}{P(x)}=\frac{d F^{N S}}{R\left(x ; t ; F^{N S}\right)} \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{Q(t)}=\frac{d F^{N^{S}}}{R\left(x ; t ; F^{N S}\right)}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (14) and (15) one gets

$$
\begin{gather*}
u\left(x ; t ; F^{N S}\right)=t X^{N S}(x)  \tag{17}\\
V\left(x ; t ; F^{N S}\right)=F^{N S}(x ; t) Y^{N S}(x): \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Solution of (16) is in general not possible. It needs additional inform ationof explicit $x$ and $t$ dependence off ${ }^{N S}(x ; t)$. In (17) and (18), $X^{N S}(x)$ andY ${ }^{N S}(x)$ are de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{N S}=\exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{dx}}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})}\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{N S}(x)=\exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{dxR} 0(\mathrm{x})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})}\right]: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Explicit analytic form sof $X^{N S}(x)$ andY ${ }^{N S}(x)$ in the leading $1=x$ approxim ation are

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\text {NS }}(x) \quad \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \log j \log x j\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{N S}(x) \quad 1: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that (21) is strictly valid for

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \quad \exp \left[\frac{\left(1 \quad x^{3}\right.}{2}\right]: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he possible form of (13) linear in $F^{N S}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u+v= \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and are constants to be determ ined from physically appropriate boundary conditions.

The physically plausible boundary conditions for non-singlet structure fiunctions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{N S}(x ; t)=F^{N S}\left(x ; t_{0}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som $e$ low $t=t_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{N_{S}^{S}}(1 ; t)=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t$. $W$ hile the rst one corresponds to a non perturbative input at som e low m om entum transfer, the second one corresponds to the expected largex behaviour of any structure function (singlet as well as nonsinglet) at any scale of $m$ om entum transfer consisten $t w$ ith in constituent counting rules [11, "12 the boundary condition of (25) and (26) in (24), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0} X^{N S}(x)+F^{N S}\left(x ; t_{0}\right) Y^{N S}(x)= \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tX}^{N^{S}}(1)= \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{N S}(x ; t)=\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right) F^{N S}\left(x ; t_{0}\right) \frac{X^{N S}(1) \quad x^{N S}(x)}{\frac{t}{t_{0}} X^{N S}(1) \quad X^{N S}(x)}: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s from (21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{NS}}(1) \quad 0 ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

(29) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{N S}(x ; t)=\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right) F^{N S}\left(x ; t_{0}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

 follow s from the two boundary conditions (25) and (26) rather than the adhoc assum ption $=0$ in (24). The auxiliary equation (14) can also have a solution $u^{1}(x ; t)$ ins tead off ( $x$; $t$ ) de ned in (17). In that case, using the sam e procedure as earlier, we w ill have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{N S}(x ; t)=\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right) F^{N S}\left(x ; t_{0}\right) \frac{X(1)^{1}}{\frac{t_{0}}{t} X(1)^{1}} X(x)^{1} X^{1}(x)^{1} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is ill de ned due to (30) in x ! 1 lim it and hence excluded on physical grounds.

To conclude, we have shown that the linear rise of $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{N} S}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{w}$ itht at sm all $x$ is a unique prediction of the approxim ated D G LAP equation $w$ ith physically plausible boundary conditions (25) and (26) .O ur result is how evervalid at very sm all x when condition (23) is satis edFor its quantitative estim ate,we de ne a ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\frac{x}{\exp \left[\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad x)^{2}\right]}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an illustration, it yields $h(x) \quad 10^{3}$ forx $6: 10^{4}$.This sm allx value is wellw thin the HERA regim e [13] ofx 6:10 ${ }^{6}$,but outside the available C CFR neutrino data [14],w were x 7:5:10 ${ }^{3}$
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