Non Singlet StructureFunction At Low x

D K Choudhury

International Center For Theoretical Physics, PO Box586, 34100, Trieste, Italy

A tri D esham ukhya D epartm ent O f P hysics,G auhati U niversity,G uw ahati 781014,India

M arch 25, 2024

Abstract

W e com m ent on the uniqueness oft-evolution (t = $\log (Q^2 = {}^2)$) of non-singlet structure functions at low x obtained from DGLAP equations

Regular Associate, IC T P

In recent years, an approximate m ethod of solving DGLAP equations [1, 2, 3, 4] at low x has been pursued [5, 6]. In that approach, we expressed the DGLAP equations as partial di etential equations in x (the B jorken variable) $x = Q^2 = 2p r q$ and $t(t = lnQ^2 = 2)$ using the Taylor series expansion and assuming its validity at low x. One of the limitations of the approach is that the solutions reported are not unique [5, 6]. They are selected as the simplest onesw ith a single boundary condition-the non-perturbative x distribution at some initial point $t = t_0$. However, complete solution of DGLAP equations with two di erential variables in general need two boundary conditions[7, 8].

The aim of the present note is to explore the uniqueness of the solution when it satis are some given physically appropriate boundary conditions. The DGLAP equation for non-singlet structure function which evolve independent of singlet and gluon distributions [1, 2, 3, 4] is

$$\frac{\Im F^{NS}}{\Im t} = \left[\frac{A_{f}}{t}\right] [\Im + 4 \log (1 x) F^{NS}(x;t) + 2 \sum_{x}^{2} \frac{dz}{1 z} (1 + z^{2}) F^{NS}(\frac{x}{z};t) 2F^{NS}(x;t)]$$
(1)

where $t = \log(\frac{Q^2}{2})$ and $A_f = \frac{4}{33 2N_f}$; N_f being the number of quark avours. Let us introduce the variable u = 1 z and note that

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}}{1-\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{x} \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{k}} \tag{2}$$

This series (2) is convergent for u < 1. Since x < z < 1, so 0 < u < 1 x and hence the convergence condition is satisfied U sing (2), we write in (1)

$$F^{NS}(\frac{x}{z};t) = F^{NS}(x;t) + \frac{\chi^{2}}{\sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{x^{l}}{l!}} \frac{\chi^{l}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} u^{k}} \frac{u^{k}}{u^{k}} \frac{1}{2!} \frac{\theta^{l} F^{NS}(x;t)}{\theta x^{l}}$$
(3)

which covers the whole range of u; 0 < u < 1 x:Non-singlet structure function are expected to be well behaved in the entire x range, unlike the gluon or singlet structure functions which m ight diverge for x ! 0 as in BFK L inspired m odels[9, 10]. It is therefore justified if the higher order derivatives $\frac{Q^2 F^{NS}}{Qx^1}$ for l > 1 are neglected in (3). This is more justified for small x (x 1), yielding

$$F^{NS}(\frac{x}{z};t) = F^{NS}(x;t) + x u^{k} \frac{\partial F^{NS}(x;t)}{\partial x}:$$
(4)

Putting (4) in (1) and perform ing the u-integration, one gets

$$Q(\mathbf{x};t)\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}^{NS}(\mathbf{x};t)}{\partial t} + \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}^{NS}(\mathbf{x};t)}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x};t;\mathbf{F}^{NS})$$
(5)

where

$$P(x) = A_f x [2 \log (1=x) + (1 x)^2]$$
 (6)

$$Q(x;t) = t \tag{7}$$

and

$$R(x;t;F^{NS}) = R^{0}(x)F^{NS}(x;t)$$
(8)

with

$$R^{0}(x) = A_{f}[3 + 4\log(1 + x) + (x + 1)(x + 3)];$$
(9)

The general solution of (5) is obtained by solving the following auxiliary system s of ordinary di erential equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{dx}}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})} = \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{t})} = \frac{\mathrm{dF}^{\mathrm{N}\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{x};\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x};\mathrm{t};\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{N}\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{x};\mathrm{t})}:$$
(10)

.If

$$u(x;t;F^{NS}) = C_1$$
(11)

and

$$v(x;t;F^{NS}) = C_2$$
(12)

are two independent solutions of (10), then in general, solution of (5) is

$$f(u;v) = 0$$
 (13)

where f is an arbitrary function of u and v.

The auxiliary system (10) has three equations:

$$\frac{\mathrm{dx}}{P(x)} = \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{Q(t)} : \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{dx}}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})} = \frac{\mathrm{dF}^{\mathrm{N}\mathrm{S}}}{\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x};\mathrm{t};\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{N}\mathrm{S}})}$$
(15)

and

$$\frac{dt}{Q(t)} = \frac{dF^{NS}}{R(x;t;F^{NS})};$$
(16)

Solving (14) and (15) one gets

$$u(x;t;F^{NS}) = tX^{NS}(x)$$
(17)

$$v(x;t;F^{NS}) = F^{NS}(x;t)Y^{NS}(x):$$
 (18)

Solution of (16) is in general not possible. It needs additional inform ation of explicit x and t dependence of F^{NS} (x;t). In (17) and (18), X^{NS} (x) and Y^{NS} (x) are de ned by Z

$$X^{NS} = \exp\left[\frac{dx}{P(x)}\right]$$
(19)

$$Y^{NS}(x) = \exp\left[-\frac{dxR0(x)}{P(x)}\right]$$
: (20)

Explicit analytic form sof X $^{\rm N~S}$ (x) and Y $^{\rm N~S}$ (x) in the leading 1=x approximation are

$$X^{NS}(x) = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\log j\log x\right]$$
 (21)

while

$$Y^{NS}(x) = 1$$
: (22)

Note that (21) is strictly valid for

$$x = \exp\left[\frac{(1 - x^{2})}{2}\right]:$$
 (23)

The possible form of (13) linear in F^{NS} is

$$u + v =$$
 (24)

where and are constants to be determined from physically appropriate boundary conditions.

In our earlier communications [5, 6] we set in (24) = 0.

The physically plausible boundary conditions for non-singlet structure functions are

$$F^{NS}(\mathbf{x};t) = F^{NS}(\mathbf{x};t_0)$$
(25)

for some low $t = t_0$ and

$$F^{NS}(1;t) = 0$$
 (26)

for any t. W hile the rst one corresponds to a non perturbative input at some low momentum transfer, the second one corresponds to the expected largex behaviour of any structure function (singlet as well as nonsinglet) at any scale of momentum transfer consistent twithin constituent counting rules [11, 12]. Using the boundary condition of (25) and (26) in (24), we have

$$t_0 X^{NS}(x) + F^{NS}(x;t_0) Y^{NS}(x) =$$
(27)

and

$$tX^{NS}(1) =$$
 (28)

which leads to

$$F^{NS}(x;t) = \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)F^{NS}(x;t_0)\frac{X^{NS}(1) - X^{NS}(x)}{\frac{t}{t_0}X^{NS}(1) - X^{NS}(x)}:$$
(29)

As from (21)

$$X^{NS}(1) = 0;$$
 (30)

(29) yields

$$F^{NS}(x;t) = (\frac{t}{t_0})F^{NS}(x;t_0)$$
 (31)

which was exactly our previous results [5, 6]. Thus, F^{NS} t behaviour at low x follows from the two boundary conditions (25) and (26) rather than the adhoc assumption = 0 in (24). The auxiliary equation (14) can also have a solution $u^{-1}(x;t)$ instead of u(x;t) de ned in (17). In that case, using the same procedure as earlier, we will have

$$F^{NS}(x;t) = \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)F^{NS}(x;t_0)\frac{X(1)^{-1}}{\frac{t_0}{t}X(1)^{-1}} X(x)^{-1}$$
(32)

which is ill de ned due to (30) in x ! 1 lim it and hence excluded on physical grounds.

To conclude, we have shown that the linear rise of $F^{NS}(x;t)$ with at small x is a unique prediction of the approxim ated DGLAP equation with physically plausible boundary conditions (25) and (26) O ur result is how everyalid at very small x when condition (23) is satisfied For its quantitative estimate, we de ne a ratio

h (x) =
$$\frac{x}{\exp[\frac{1}{2}(1 - x)^{\beta}]}$$
: (33)

As an illustration, it yields $h(x) = 10^{-3}$ for $x = 6.10^{-4}$. This small x value is well within the HERA regime [13] of x = 6.10^{-6}, but outside the available CCFR neutrino data [14], where x = 7.5.10^{-3}

A cknow ledgem ents

This work was completed within the fram ework of the Associate Scheme of the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy. Support from DST, India is also acknow ledged.

References

- [1] G A ltarelli and G Parisi, Nucl PhyB 12, 298 (1977)
- [2] G A ltarelli P hys Rep 811 (1981)
- [3] VN G ribov and LN Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys20,94 (1975)
- [4] Y L Dokshitzer, Sov Phys. JETP 20,94 (1977)
- [5] D K Choudhury and JK Sam a, Pram ana J Phys 38,481 (1992), 39,273 (1992)
- [6] JK Samma, DK Choudhury and GK Medhi, PhysLettB 403, 139 (1997)
- [7] I Sneddon, "E lem ents of Partial D i erential E quations" (M cgraw H ill, N ew York, 1957)p 50
- [8] F A yres Jr."Di erential E quations" (M cgraw Hill, Singapore, 1980)p 240
- [9] Y.Y. Balitsky L.N. Lipatov, Sov J.NuclPhys28,822 (1978), E.A. Kuraev, L.N. Lipatov and V.S. Fadin, Sov Phys. JETP 45,199 (1977)
- [10] JKwiecinski, ADM artin and PJ Sutton, PhysRevD 46921 (1992)
- [11] R G Roberts, "The Structure of Proton" (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990) p 30
- [12] F.J.Yndurain, "The Theory of Quark and Gluon Interactions" (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1992) p 129
- [13] H1Collaboration C Adlo et.alNucl.Phys.B 497,3, (1997) H1Collaboration S A id et.alNucl.PhysB 4703 (1996) ZEUSCollaboration: JB reitweg et.alPhys.Lett B 407432 (1997)
- [14] CCFR Collaboration W G Seligm an et. alPhys. Rev. Lett. 791213 (1997),;