# G lobal approxim ants with renorm alization scale invariance in pQCD

#### G.Cvetic<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity D ortm und, D -44221 D ortm und

Truncated perturbative series (TPS's) of any observable have the unphysical dependence on the choice of the renorm alization scale (RScl). The diagonal Pade approxim ants (dPA's) to any TPS of an observable possess the favorable property of being invariant in the large- $_0$  lim it. This means that they are invariant under the change of the RScl<sup>2</sup> when the \running" coupling parameter  $_s$  (<sup>2</sup>) evolves according to the one-loop renorm alization group equation. We present a method which generalizes this result { the resulting new approximants are fully RScl-invariant in the perturbative QCD (pQCD).Further, we present some num erical examples. Both the dPA's and the new approximants are global, i.e., their structure goes beyond the usual (polynom ial) TPS form and thus they could reveal some non-perturbative e ects.

The contribution is based partly on [1]. It contains additionally some numerical examples. Since the construction of our approximants is related with the diagonal Pade approximants (dPA's), we rst explain what PA's are.

#### 1. W hat is Pade approxim ant?

Suppose we have a physical quantity F (z) which depends on the parameter z. In the expansion of F (z) in powers of z

$$F(z) = f_0 + f_1 z + {}_n z f + ;$$
 (1)

the fi's are in principle calculable. Suppose that  $f_0$ ;:::; $f_{L+M}$  have been calculated, i.e., the truncated perturbation series (TPS)  $F_{[L+M]}(z)$  is known.Then, the PA  $[L=M]_F$  (z) of order L=M to F (z) is de ned as the ratio of two polynom ials, of degree L (nom inator) and M (denom inator), such that, when expanded back in powers of z, it reproduces the rstL+M + 1 term sof (1). In general, this condition determ ines uniquely the PA. It is the m in im al condition that any approxim ant to the TPS  $F_{L+M}(z)$  has to full. PA has, in addition, the favorable property that it goes beyond the analytic form , showing structures (pole singularities in the complex z plane) not explicitly contained in series (1). W e call such approxim ants global, since they can give us clues to som e nonperturbative properties of F (z) at large  $jz\,j.$  The diagonal PA 's (dPA 's) are those with L=M .

2. Construction of new approxim ants

A generic observable S in pQCD is

S 
$$a(q^{2})f(q^{2}) = a(q^{2})[1+$$
  
 $r_{1}(q^{2})a(q^{2}) + r_{1}(q^{2})a^{n}(q^{2}) + ];$  (2)

where  $q^2$  is a chosen renorm alization scale (RScl), a( $q^2$ ) <sub>s</sub>( $q^2$ )= . S is RScl-independent. However, available is only a TPS S<sub>[n]</sub>

$$S_{[n]}(q^{2}) = a(q^{2})f^{[n]}(q^{2}) = a(q^{2})[1+ r_{1}(q^{2})a(q^{2}) + r_{1}(q^{2})a^{n}(q^{2})];$$
(3)

It has unphysical R Schdependence (truncation).

How to extract as much inform ation as possible from the available TPS (3)? Firstly, any approxim ant should full them inim alcondition (cf. previous Sec.). Secondly, the full observable S contains, in general, non-perturbative e ects not explicitly manifested in power series (2) { this leads us to consider global approximants (cf. previous Sec.). Thirdly, S is RScl-independent, so it is natural to expect that RScl-invariant approximants bring us closer to S. So, the question here is: How to construct global approximants which are based on the TPS S  $_{ln1}(q^2)$  and are RScl-independent?

It turns out that a partial answer to this question is the diagonal Pade approximant (dPA)  $M = M \$ (a) [2]. To see this, we recall that the

hep-ph/9808273, based on an invited talk at QCD '98, M ontpellier, France, July 1998

evolution of a  $(p^2)$  with the change of  $R \operatorname{Scl} p^2$  is governed in  $pQ \operatorname{CD}$  by the  $R \operatorname{GE}$ 

$$\frac{da}{d\ln(p^2)} = {}_{0}a^2(1 + c_1a + c_2a^2 + ): (4)$$

In the large- $_0$  lim it (i.e.,  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ )

$$a^{(11:)}(p^2) = a(q^2) 1 + \ln(p^2 = q^2)_0 a(q^2)^{-1}$$
: (5)

Thus, the RScl change  $q^2$  7  $p^2$  results in the change of z a  $(q^2)$ : z 7 z=(1+bz). The dPA  $M = M_{B}(z)$  is invariant under this argument transformation, i.e., RScl-invariant when a  $(p^2)$  evolves according to the one-loop RGE.  $M = M_{B}(z)$  reproduces the TPSS  $_{DM}$  1] (3) to all the available powers (cf. also the previous Sec.).

Is it possible to go beyond this large- $_0$  approximation? The question was raised rst by the authors of [3]. The answer: it is.

The dPA approach has to be extended. We describe the algorithm leading to the new, fully RScL-invariant, approxim ants to S. Introduce

$$k_{\rm m} = a(q^2) \qquad \frac{1}{a(q^2)} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^{\rm m} a(p^2)}{d(\ln p^2)^{\rm m}} \Big|_{p^2 = q^2}; \quad (6)$$

$$k_m$$
 (a) =  $(1)^m {}^m_0 a^m [1 + 0 (c_1 a)];$  (7)

where higher orders in (7) can be calculated by RGE (4). We rearrange power series (2) for S into perturbation series in  $k_m$  ( $a^m$ )

$$S = a(q^{2})f(q^{2}) = a(q^{2})[1 + f_{1}(q^{2})k_{1}(a(q^{2})) + f_{1}(q^{2})k_{n}(a(q^{2})) + f_{1}(q^{2})k_{n}(a(q^{2}))$$

where  $f_n$  is uniquely determined by the rst n coe cients  $r_j$  of (2). For example,  $f_1 = r_1 = 0$ ,  $f_2 = (c_1r_1 + r_2) = \frac{2}{0}$ , etc. Thus, knowing the TPS  $S_{[2M \ 1]}$  (q<sup>2</sup>)  $(r_1;:::;r_{2M \ 1})$  in powers of a, we know the corresponding TPS in  $k_m$  's of (8) up to (and including) the  $f_{2M \ 1}$  -term. Denot the (power) TPS, by the large- $_0$  substitution [cf. (7)]  $k_m$  7 (1)<sup>m</sup>  $_0^m$  a (q<sup>2</sup>)<sup>m</sup>

$$a (q^{2}) F^{[2M 1]} (q^{2}) = a [1 f_{1 0} a + + 2_{M} f_{1} (1)^{2M 1} 0^{2M 1} a^{2M 1}]; (9)$$

The dPA [M = M](a) for this (power) TPS can be decomposed into a sum of simple fractions

$$M = M |_{aF} (a (q^{2})) = \frac{X^{1}}{\sum_{j=1}^{j} (1 + (\alpha_{j}) a (q^{2}))} : (10)$$

W e now denote

 $p_j^2 = q^2 \exp[\alpha_j(q^2)]; \text{ i.e. } \alpha_j(q^2) = \ln(p_j^2 = q^2);$  (11) and therefore, in view of (5), rewrite (10) as

$$M = M_{laF} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sim_{j} a^{(11:)} (p_{j}^{2}) : \qquad (12)$$

Replace here  $a^{(11:)}(p_j^2)$  7  $a(p_j^2)$ , where  $a(p_j^2)$  is evolved from  $a(q^2)$  via the full RGE (4)

$$A_{S}^{M = M} = \frac{X^{M}}{\sum_{j=1}^{j=1}} \sim_{j} a(p_{j}^{2}) :$$
 (13)

This approximant satis es all the conditions that we set forth at the outset: a) when expanded back in powers of a  $(q^2)$  ( $q^2$  is the original RScI), it reproduces all the terms of the TPS S<sub>[2M 1]</sub> ( $q^2$ ) (3); b) it is global since it is a modi cation of the dPA approach (the latter is global); c) it is fully RScI-invariant in the pQCD-sense, i.e., independent of the initial choice of the RScI  $q^2$ , where a ( $q^2$ ) evolves according to the full available perturbative RGE (4). Explicit proof is given in [1] ( rst entry). In fact, coe cients ~ j and squared m om enta  $p_j^2$  are all RScI-invariant.

A pproxim ants (13) can be applied directly only to TPS'sS<sub>[n]</sub> (3) with an odd number n = 2M 1. In pQCD, S<sub>[1]</sub> are available form any observables, S<sub>[2]</sub> for a few, S<sub>[3]</sub> for none. Thus, (13) is applicable only to TPS's S<sub>[1]</sub>. In this case (M = 1), (13) reduces to the e ective charge (cf. [4]). To apply (13) to S<sub>[2]</sub>(q<sup>2</sup>), a modi cation is needed. Introduce a new observable S S S

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S} &= (\mathfrak{S})^2 = \mathfrak{a}(q^2)\mathfrak{F}(q^2) = \mathfrak{a}(q^2)[\mathfrak{O} + 1\mathfrak{a}(q^2) + \\ &+ \mathfrak{R}_2(q^2)\mathfrak{a}^2(q^2) + \mathfrak{R}_3(q^2)\mathfrak{a}^3(q^2) + \end{aligned} ]; \quad (14)$$

where  $R_1 = 1$ ,  $R_2 = 2r_1$ ,  $R_3 = r_1^2 + 2r_2$ , etc. Having  $S_{[2]}(q^2)$  (3), we have  $S_{[3]}(q^2)$  (i.e., up to and including the  $R_3$ -term). We apply (13) to  $S_3$ (M = 2). A lthough the leading order term in (14) is 0 a ( $\hat{q}$ ), the algorithm survives, results in

$$S = A_{S}^{[2=2]} + O(a^{5}); S = A_{S}^{[2=2]} + O(a^{4}):$$
 (15)

$$A_{S}^{[2=2]} = -\frac{q}{\sim_{1} [a(p_{1}^{2}) - a(p_{2}^{2})]};$$
 (16)

where  $\sim_1$  and  $p_j^2$  are determ ined by  $r_1; r_2$  and  $_0; c_1; c_2$  (cf. [1], last entry). Approximant (16)

is fully R Schinvariant. The scales  $p_j^2$  m ay be com - plex in some cases, but the result is real.

# 3. Speci c num erical exam ples

3.1. A case of a Euclidean observable Consider the B jorken polarized sum rule

First we note that any approxim ant can be used also to predict the next coe cient r<sub>3</sub>, by reexpanding the approxim ant back in powers of a. W e give in Table 1 results of various approxim ants to S of (17): PA's, approxim ant (16), and the e ective charge m ethod (ECH [4], we set  $c_3^{(ECH)} = 0$ ). In brackets, results are given for another scheme  $(c_2 = 3, c_3 = 0)$ . We used the known  $c_3$  parameter of the  $\overline{MS}$  scheme [7] wherever possible, i.e.,  $\overline{MS}$ is characterized by  $c_2 = 4:471$  and  $c_3 = 20:99$ . The results of m ethod (16) di er som ew hat from those of other m ethods. Further, values of S ( $Q^2$ ) (cf. second column) predicted by globalm ethods show up some scheme ( $c_2$ ) dependence (1%). The RScl-dependence is another source of am biguity in the results of the PA's. For example, if changing RSclQ 7 2Q, the result of the [1=2] PA changes by 3:5%, that of  $[2=2]^{1=2}$  by 2%, and the original TPS S<sub>[2]</sub> by 11% . There is no Rsch dependence for approximants (16). The result S ( $Q^2$ ) of the ECH m ethod is scheme-and RSclindependent, since this method is local (i.e., a specic choice of R Scland scheme).

If changing the scheme more drastically, e.g.  $\overline{MS7}$  t Hooft scheme ( $c_2 = 4:4717$  0;  $c_3 = 20:997$  0), predictions for S ( $Q^2$ ) of the global methods [1=2], [2=2]<sup>1=2</sup> and (16) change by 12% (0:12737 0:1260), 12% (0:13267 0:1342) and 4:4% (0:13787 0:1:439), respectively. Scheme dependence (i.e.,  $c_2$ -dependence) of (16) does present a problem in this case. 3.2. A case of a M inkow skian observable  $R (p^2 = s) = \frac{(e^+ e ! hadr:)}{(e^+ e ! + )}$ : (18)

Here,  $p^2 = (p_e + p_e)^2 = s > 0$  is M inkowskian. Approximants generally lose predictability when applied to such observables [8]. The associated Adler function D ( $p^2 = Q^2$ ) ( $Q^2 > 0$ ), RScL- and scheme-invariant, is Euclidean

We apply approximants to it. The TPS up to NNLO has been calculated for D [9]. For  $n_f = 5$ ,  $q_{RScl}^2 = Q^2$ , in  $\overline{MS}$ , it is

$$D = \frac{11}{3} [1 + d^{(f_1 \ 1)}]; \quad d^{(f_1 \ 1)} = d + d^{(1:1:)}; \quad (20)$$
  
$$d(Q^2) = a(Q^2) [1 + 1:4092a(Q^2)]$$
  
$$0:6812a^2(Q^2) + ]; \quad (21)$$

d<sup>(1:1:)</sup> (

$$O^2$$
) =  $a^3 (O^2) (0.3756) + : (22)$ 

We take  $p_{Q^2} = 34 \text{ GeV}$ , a ( $Q^2$ ) = 0:0452. The d<sup>(l:l:)</sup> is from light-to-light diagram s, should not be included in the approximants since the resummations cannot \see" separately diagram s of fundamentally di erent topologies. Reexpanding the approximants to d( $Q^2$ ) (21) in powers of a ( $Q^2$ ), we predict d<sub>3</sub> in series (21) (note: d<sub>1</sub> = 1:4092, d<sub>2</sub> = 0:6812). Then relation (19) allows us to obtain the coe cients r<sub>j</sub> of the expansion of r(s) in powers of a ( s)  $\mathbb{R} = (11=3)(1+r^{(fi-11)})$ ,  $r^{(fi-11)} = r+r^{(1:1:)}, r^{(1:1:)} = d^{(1:1:)}, (Q^2 = s)$ ]

$$r(s) = a(s)[1 + r_1a(s) + ];$$
 (23)

with  $r_1 = d_1 = 1.4092$ ,  $r_2 = d_2$  <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup><sub>0</sub>=3= 12:767,  $r_3 = d_3$  89:190, etc. Thus, we can predict also the coe cient  $r_3$  of r(s). Results are given in Table 2. In brackets are results in the 't Hooff scheme ( $c_j = 0$  for j 2). Predictions of the PA methods and the ECH are clustered. Those of our method are slightly, but signi cantly, detached from them. Scheme ( $c_2$ -)dependence for the global approximants is weak (cf. last two digits in 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> columns). Further, if the RScl is changed  $q_{RScl}^2 = Q^2 T Q^2=4$ , the d( $Q^2$ )'s of the PA 's change about twice as strongly as when the scheme is changed  $c_2 = 1.475 T$  0. Approximant (16) does not change when RScl changes. Table 1

P redictions of various approximants for the B jorken polarized sum rule (with  $n_f = 3$ ) in the  $\overline{MS}$  ( $c_2 = 4:471$ ) and the  $c_2 = 3$  scheme (in brackets).  $Q^2 = 1:76 \text{ GeV}$ ; RScl chosen:  $q_{2,c_1}^2 = Q^2$ ; a ( $Q^2$ ) = 0:083.

| 5                         |                                     | ·                    | - , , - ,                        |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Approx.                   | S ( Q <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>pr:</sup> | r:<br>r <sub>3</sub> | $S_{[3]} (Q^2;q_{RSc1}^2)^{pr:}$ |
| S <sub>[2]</sub> (TPS)    | 0:1192 (0:1175)                     | {                    | 0:1192 (0:1175)                  |
| [1=2]s                    | 0:1273 (0:1261)                     | 98:8 (109:4)         | 0:1239 (0:1223)                  |
| $g [2=2]_{S^2}$           | 0:1326 (0:1322)                     | 125:2 (141:1)        | 0:1252 (0:1238)                  |
| A [2=2]                   | 0:1378 (0:1370)                     | 138:3 (151:4)        | 0:1258 (0:1242)                  |
| S <sup>(ECH)</sup><br>[2] | 0:1320 (0:1320)                     | 129:9 (140:4)        | 0:1254 (0:1237)                  |

Table 2

P redictions of various approximants for the ratio R (s) (with  $n_f = 5$ ) in the  $\overline{MS}$  ( $c_2 = 1:475$ ) and the 't H ooff ( $c_2 = 0$ ) scheme (in brackets).  $Q^2 = 34 \text{ GeV}$ ; R Scl chosen:  $q_{2,s,1}^2 = Q^2$ ; a ( $Q^2$ ) = 0:0452.

| . –                    |                     |                               | AL DUT                           |                      |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Approx.                | $d(Q^2)$            | d <sub>3</sub> <sup>pr:</sup> | $d_{[3]} (Q^2;q_{RSc1}^2)^{pr:}$ | r:<br>r <sub>3</sub> |
| (TPS)                  | 0:048016 (0:047976) | {                             | 0:048016 (0:047976)              | {                    |
| [1=2] <sub>d</sub>     | 0:047996 (0:047974) | 4:72 ( 0:56)                  | 0:047996 (0:047974)              | 93:91 ( 89:75)       |
| $[2=2]_{d^2}^{1=2}$    | 0:047978 (0:047965) | 8:48 ( 2:24)                  | 0:047981 (0:047967)              | 97:67 ( 91:43)       |
| A [2=2]                | 0:047931 (0:047939) | 15:42 ( 6:89)                 | 0:047952 (0:047948)              | 104:61 ( 96:08)      |
| d <sub>[2]</sub> (ECH) | 0:047959 (0:047959) | 7:65 ( 3:50)                  | 0:047984 (0:047962)              | 96:84 ( 92:69)       |

# 4. Sum m ary

For a given  $S_{[n]}$  (TPS) of an observable S, we can construct an RScl-independent approxim ant which reproduces that TPS to the given order

 $a^{n+1}$ . It is global, i.e., it goes beyond the (polynom ial) form of the TPS and could thus give us some clues to the nonperturbative e ects { possibly in contrast to the local methods (ECH,PMS). It is, in principle, an improvement over another global approximent { the diagonal P ade approximent (dPA), the latter being R Schinvariant only in the large- $_0$  limit. The question of how to eliminate the second major source of unphysical dependence, the scheme (c<sub>2</sub>-) dependence, remains open. One possibility would be to choose an \optimal" c<sub>2</sub> (open problem). Another would be to extend the method so as to give us, in a global manner, approximents that are simultaneously R Schinder (an open problem).

### REFERENCES

 G. Cvetic, Nucl. Phys. B517 (1998) 506, Phys.Rev.D57 (1998) R3209; G.Cvetic and R.Kogerler, Nucl. Phys. B 522 (1998) 396.

- 2. E.Gardi, Phys.Rev.D 56, 68 (1997).
- S.J.Brodsky, J.Ellis, E.Gardi, M.Karliner and M.A.Samuel, Phys. Rev. D 56, 6980 (1997).
- 4. G.Grunberg, Phys. Lett. B 95, 70 (1980);
  ibid B 110, 501(E) (1982); ibid B 114, 271 (1982); Phys. Rev. D 29, 2315 (1984).
- 5. S.G.Gorishny and S.A.Larin, Phys.Lett. B172 (1986) 109; E.B.Zijlstra and W.Van Neerven, Phys.Lett.B297 (1992) 377.
- S.A.Larin and J.A.M.Verm aseren, Phys. Lett. B259 (1991) 345;
- T. van Ritbergen, J.A.M. Verm aseren and S.A.Larin, Phys.Lett. B 400, 379 (1997).
- A. L. K ataev and V. V. Starshenko, M od. Phys.Lett.A10 (1995) 235, Phys.Rev.D 52 (1995) 402; K. G. Chetyrkin, B. A. Kniehl and A. Sirlin, Phys.Lett.B 402 (1997) 359.
- 9. S.G.Gorishny, A.L.Kataev and S.A.Larin, Phys.Lett.B259 (1991) 144; L.R.Surguladze and M.A.Samuel, Phys.Rev.Lett.66 (1991) 560.