IJS-TP-98/22 NUHEP-TH-98-20 CPT-\$6400898

The CP violating asymmetry in B ! M M decays

B.Bajc^a, S.Fajfer^{a,b,c}, R.J.Oakes^c, T.N.Pham^d and S. Prelovsek^a

a) J. Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, P.O.Box 3000, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia

b) Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

c) Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, 1160208, U.S.A.

d) Centre de Physique Theorique, Centre National de la Recherche Scienti que, UMR 7644, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

ABSTRACT

W e analyze the asymmetry in the partial widths for the decays B $M = {}^{+}; K {}^{+}; {}^{0};$), which results from the interference of the non-ΜМ resonant decay am plitude with the resonant am plitude for B ! c0 followed by the decay _{c0} ! M M . The CP violating phase can be extracted from the measured asymmetry. We nd that the partial width asymmetry for is about 0:33 sin , and about 0:45 sin for B ! + ! K ⁺ K В while it is som ewhat smaller for B ! 0 0 and B ! . Potential sources of uncertainties in these results, prim arily coming from poorly known input param eters, are discussed.

The measurement of CP asymmetries in charged B meson decays might provide the rst dem onstration of CP violation outside the K system [1, 2]. Am ong the usual three CP -odd phases, and , the phase seems to be the m ost di cult to explore experim entally β]. O ne possibility to measure this CP odd phase = $\arg(V_{ub})$ has been suggested in [4, 5]. In this approach the asymmetry appears as a result of the interference of the nonresonant decay amplitude and the resonant B ! ! M M c0 ! M M В am plitude, where $_{\circ\circ}$ is the 0⁺⁺ \propto state at 3:415 G eV. The absorptive phase necessary to observe CP violation in the partial width asymmetry is provided by the $_{\rm c0}$ width. There have also been suggestions to determ ine the CP violating phase by analyzing the D alitz plots in the decays B ! [6] and B ! + K [7].

On the experimental side the CLEO collaboration has reported upper limits on some of the nonresonant decays of the type B^+ ! $h^+h^+h^-$ [8]. CLEO found the upper limits on the branching ratios BR (B^+ ! $^+$ $^+$) 4:1 10 ⁵ and BR (B^+ ! K^+K^- +) 7:5 10 ⁵. In [4] the branching ratio for B^+ ! $^+$ was estimated to be in the range 1:5 10 ⁵ to 8:4 10 ⁵.

M otivated by this theoretical expectation and the CLEO experimental results, we further investigate the asymmetry in the decays B ! M M where $M = {}^{+}; K^{+}; {}^{0};$, in proving upon the calculation of the nonresonant part of the decay am plitude. W e will assume, as in [4], the resonant decay is due to the corresonance of which subsequently amplitude in B ! M M decays into M M, where $M = {}^{+}; K^{+}; {}^{0};$. Note that we are interested only in the kinem atical region where the M M invariant m ass is close to the $_{c0}$ mass, as in [4]. Thus M M arising from other resonances such as the need not be considered. However, we will use the nonresonant B ! M M decay am plitudes, calculated using techniques developed previously in our analysis of D₁₄ decays [10]. In particular, we use the factorization approximation, in which the main contribution to the nonresonant B ! M M am plitude com es from the product < M M j(ub)_{V A} β > < $j(du)_{V} = 10 >$ where $(q_1q_2)_V$ A denotes q_1 (1 5) q_2 . For the calculation of the matrix element < M M $j(ub)_{V} \ge B$ > we extend the results obtained in [10], where the nonresonant D^+ ! K + 1 decay was analyzed. In this analysis the experim ental result for the branching ratio of the nonresonant D^+ ! K +1 decay was successfully reproduced within a hybrid fram ework which com bines the heavy quark e ective theory (HQET) and the chiral Lagrangian (CHPT) approach.

The combination of heavy quark symmetry and chiral symmetry has been quite successful in the analysis of D meson semileptonic decays [11] - [16]. The heavy quark symmetry is expected to be even better for the heavier B mesons [14, 15]. However, CHPT could be worse in B decays due to the large energies of light mesons in the nal state. It is really only known that the combination of HQET and CHPT is valid at small recoilm om entum. In [16] we have modi ed the hybrid model of [11] - [14] to describe the semileptonic decays of D mesons to one light vector or pseudoscalar meson sate. Our modi cation is quite straightforward: we retain the usual HQET Feynman rules for the vertices near and outside the zero-recoil region, as in [14, 15], but we include the complete propagators instead of using the usual HQET propagator [16]. This reasonable modi cation of the hybrid model enabled us to use it successfully over the entire kinem atic region of the D meson weak decays [10, 16, 17]. The details of this approach can be found in [10, 16].

In the following we system atically use this model [10, 16] to calculate the nonresonant B ! M M decay amplitude. We not there are important contributions, which were not taken into account previously [4].

The weak e ective Lagrangian for the nonleptonic C abibbo suppressed B m eson decays is given by [4]

$$L_{w} = \frac{G_{F}}{P} V_{ud} V_{ub} (a_{1}^{eff} O_{1} + a_{2}^{eff} O_{2})$$
(1)

where $O_1 = (ub)_{V_A} (du)_{V_A}$ and $O_2 = (uu)_{V_A} (db)_{V_A}$. We take the effective coe cients a_i^{eff} (i = 1;2) from the phenom enological t [18], which gives a_1^{eff} ' 1:08 and a_2^{eff} ' 0:21. These values are also in agreement with other analyses [19, 20]. We do not take into account the contributions arising from penguin operators, since these contributions are not expected to be important [4, 6, 21]. The quark currents required in the weak Lagrangian (1) can be expressed in terms of the meson elds, as previously described explicitly in [10, 16].

U sing the factorization approximation, as in [4], we can analyze all possible contributions to the B ! M M nonresonant amplitude. The various kinds of contributions are shown in Fig. 1. To illustrate the use of the factorization of the amplitude we consider the specific decay B ! $^+$. It is easy to see, then, that the two contributions shown in Fig. 1a, which come

from the operator O_1 , cancel each other in the chiral limit m ! O, since in this limit

<
$$(p_1)^+ (p_2)^- (p_3) j p_1 j p_1 (p_B) > = < (p_1)^+ (p_2)^- (p_3) j (du)_A j p_B > < 0 j (ub)_A j p_1 (p_B) > + < (p_1)^+ (p_2)^- (p_3) j p_3 j p_3 j p_B > \frac{1}{m_B^2 m^2}$$

 < $(p_B) j (du)_A j p_1 > < 0 j (ub)_A j p_1 (p_B) > = 0$ (2)

>From Fig. 1b there is a contribution from operator O_2 :

$$< (p_{1})^{+} (p_{2}) (p_{3}) p_{2} p_{B} (p_{B}) > = < (p_{1})^{+} (p_{2}) j(uu)_{v} p > < (p_{3}) j(db)_{v} p_{B} (p_{B}) > + (p_{1} p_{3}):$$
(3)

The matrix element $\langle (p_1)^+ (p_2) j(uu)_V j \rangle > can be calculated using the model developed previously [16]. In this model the matrix element (3) is determined by the pion form factor, which is dominated by the meson pole. However, the meson contribution is not relevant in the present calculation of the nonresonant decay amplitude. Therefore, the contributions coming from diagrams in Fig. 1b can be neglected in our calculation of the nonresonant decay amplitude.$

The only important contributions to the nonresonant decay amplitude comes from the diagrams in Fig. 1c and is given by

$$< (p_{1})^{+} (p_{2}) (p_{3}) j p_{1} j p_{1} (p_{B}) > = < (p_{3}) j (du)_{V A} j > < (p_{1})^{+} (p_{2}) j (ub)_{V A} j p_{B} (p_{B}) > + (p_{1} p_{3}): (4)$$

For the matrix element of $\langle (p_1)^+ (p_2) j(ub)_{V_A} \mathcal{B} (p_B) \rangle$ we use the results obtained in the analysis of the nonresonant D⁺ ! ⁺K l₁ decay width [10]. Following this analysis we write the matrix element $\langle (p_1)^+ (p_2) j(ub)_{V_A} \mathcal{B} (p_B) \rangle$ in the general form

<
$$(p_1)^+ (p_2) j_1 (1_5) b_B^{B} (p_B) > = ir (p_B p_2 p_1)$$

+ $iw_+ (p_2 + p_1) + iw (p_2 p_1) 2h p_B p_2 p_1 : (5)$

In the present case only the nonresonant form factors w^{nr} and w^{nr}₊ contribute. Note that the contribution proportional to r is of order m² and therefore can be safely neglected. However, in [6], where a di erent param etrization of the form factors was used, in neglecting the contributions of the order m² the contributions proportional to w were also dropped. In the notation of [6] the product < M (p_1)M (p_2) j(ub)_{V A} β (p_B) > < (p_3) j(du)_{V A} β > is proportional to to F₄m² [22] and one can easily show that F₄m² = m²r + m² = m²(w₊ + w) ($p_B + p_2$) p+ m² = m²(w₊ w) ($p_B + p_1$) p, which explicitly demonstrates that the term sproportional to the form factors w arising from the product < M M j(ub)_{V A} β > < j(du)_{V A} β > can not be neglected, but are in portant contributions to the nonresonant decay am plitude. M oreover, this contribution cannot even be treated as being constant [6, 22]; it depends signi cantly on the variables s = ($p_B p_3$)² = ($p_2 + p_1$)², t = ($p_B p_1$)² = ($p_2 + p_3$)² and u = ($p_B p_2$)² = ($p_1 + p_3$)².

U sing the preceding analysis we can write the amplitude for the nonresonant decay B $~\,!\,\,$

$$M_{nr} (B_{p_{B}})! (p_{1}) (p_{3})^{+} (p_{2})) = \frac{G_{F}}{P \cdot \frac{1}{2}} V_{ud} V_{ub}$$

$$fa_{1}^{eff} [\frac{f}{2} (m_{B}^{2} \ s \ m^{2}) w_{+}^{nr} (s;t) + \frac{f}{2} (2t + s \ m_{B}^{2} \ 3m^{2}) w^{nr} (t)] + (s \ t)g; (6)$$

where

$$w_{+}^{nr}(s;t) = \frac{g}{f^{2}} \frac{f_{B} m_{B}^{3=2} m_{B}^{1=2}}{t m_{B}^{2}} [1 \frac{1}{2m_{B}^{2}} (m_{B}^{2} m^{2} t)] + \frac{f_{B}}{2f^{2}} \frac{p}{m_{B}^{2}} \frac{1}{2f^{2}} \frac{1}{m_{B}^{2}} (2t + s m_{B}^{2} 3m^{2});$$
(7)

and

$$w^{nr}(t) = \frac{g}{f^2} \frac{f_B m_B^{3=2} m_B^{1=2}}{t m_B^2} [1 + \frac{1}{2m_B^2} (m_B^2 m^2 t)] + \frac{p_{\overline{m}_B 1}}{f^2} (m_B^2 m^2 t)]$$

The parameters $_{1,2}$ are explicitly de ned in [16]. Note that both the $_1$ and $_2$ terms are important in (7) and (8), which was overlooked previously [4].

For the nonresonant decay amplitude B (p_B) ! ${}^{0}(p_1) {}^{0}(p_2) {}^{0}(p_3)$ there are two contributions: one proportional to $a_1^{eff} < j(du)_A j > \langle {}^{0} {}^{0} j(ub)_A j > \rangle$

and another one proportional to $a_2^{eff} < {}^0 j(uu)_A j > < {}^0 j(db)_A B > .$ The amplitude for B (p_B)! ${}^0 (p_1) {}^0 (p_2)$ (p_3) is then given by

$$M_{nr} (B_{p_{B}}) ! {}^{0} (p_{1}) {}^{0} (p_{2}) (p_{3})) = \frac{G_{F}}{P \cdot \frac{1}{2}} V_{ub} V_{ud} \frac{f}{4}$$

$$fa_{1}^{eff} [w_{+}^{nr} (s;t) (m_{B}^{2} m^{2} s) + w^{nr} (t) (2t + s m_{B}^{2} 3m^{2})]$$

$$+ a_{2}^{eff} [w_{+}^{nr} (u;t) (m_{B}^{2} m^{2} u) + w^{nr} (t) (2t + u m_{B}^{2} 3m^{2})]$$

$$+ (t \$ u)g: (9)$$

The form factors w^{nr} appearing in the part of am plitude proportional to a_2^{eff} are given by (7) and (8), including the term s proportional to $_{1;2}$, while in the part of am plitude proportional to a_1^{eff} these terms depending on $_{1;2}$ are absent.

A similar analysis of the nonresonant amplitude for the decay B (p_B) (K^+ $(p_1)K^ (p_2)$ (p_3) gives

$$M_{nr}(B_{nr}(B_{p_{B}})!K^{+}(p_{1})K_{p_{2}})(p_{3})) = \frac{G_{F}}{P_{2}}V_{ud}V_{ub}$$

$$fa_{1}^{eff}[\frac{f}{2}(m_{B}^{2} + m^{2})w_{+}^{nr}(s;t) + \frac{f}{2}(2t + s_{B}m_{B}^{2})w_{+}^{nr}(t)]g; \quad (10)$$

where

$$w_{+}^{nr}(s;t) = \frac{g}{f_{K}^{2}} \frac{f_{B} m_{B}^{3=2} m_{B}^{1=2}}{t m_{B}^{2}} [1 \frac{1}{2m_{B}^{2}} (m_{B}^{2} m^{2} t)] + \frac{f_{B}}{2f_{K}^{2}} \frac{p}{2f_{K}^{2}} \frac{1}{2f_{K}^{2}} (2t + s m_{B}^{2} m^{2} 2m_{K}^{2}); \quad (11)$$

and

$$w^{nr}(t) = \frac{g}{f_{K}^{2}} \frac{f_{B}}{t} \frac{m_{B}^{3=2}m_{B}^{1=2}}{t m_{B}^{2}} [1 + \frac{1}{2m_{B}^{2}} (m_{B}^{2} - m^{2} - t)] + \frac{p}{m_{B}^{2}} (m_{B}^{2} - m^{2} - t)] + \frac{p}{f_{K}^{2}} (12)$$

The analysis of the decay B (p_B) ! (p_1) (p_2) (p_3) is a little more complicated due to 0 m ixing. The nonresonant decay amplitude is

$$M_{nr}(B_{nr}(B_{m})!) = \frac{G_{F}}{P_{2}}V_{ub}V_{ud}\frac{f_{K}^{2}}{8}[(1+c^{2})\frac{1}{f} + sc\frac{1}{f^{0}}]^{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & fa_{1}^{eff} \left[w_{+}^{nr} (s;t) (m_{B}^{2} m^{2} s) + w^{nr} (t) (2t + s m_{B}^{2} m^{2} 2m^{2}) \right] \\ & + a_{2}^{eff} \left[w_{+}^{nr} (u;t) (m_{B}^{2} m^{2} u) + w^{nr} (t) (2t + u m_{B}^{2} 2m^{2} m^{2}) \right] \\ & + (t \$ u)g:(13) \end{aligned}$$

The form factors w^{nr} in (13) are given by equations (11) and (12), with m_K ! m and without the term sproportional to $_{1;2}$. The ⁰ mixing is de ned as usual with = $_{8}c_{0}$ s and ⁰ = $_{8}s + _{0}c$, where c = cos and s = sin and ' 20 [24]. In the numerical calculations below we used the values f = 0:13 G eV and f = 0:11 G eV determined in [24]. We will not analyze cases where the the ⁰ m eson is in the nal state since one expects that in the nonleptonic decays of B m esons into nal states ⁰X the gluonic penguin contributions are probably very important (see, for example [25, 26]).

The partial width for the nonresonant decay B ! M M is

$$_{nr}(B ! M M) = \frac{1}{(2)^3} \frac{1}{32m_B^3} M_{nr} j^2 ds dt;$$
 (14)

(There is an additional factor of 1=2 for the decays with two identical pseudoscalar mesons in the nal state.) The lower and the upper bounds on s are $s_{m in} = (m_2 + m_3)^2$, $s_{m ax} = (m_B - m_1)^2$, while fort they are given by

$$t_{m \text{ in } m \text{ ax}}(s) = m_{1}^{2} + m_{2}^{2} \frac{1}{s} [(s \ m_{B}^{2} + m_{1}^{2})(s + m_{2}^{2} \ m_{3}^{2})]_{\frac{1}{2}} (s; m_{B}^{2}; m_{1}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} (s; m_{2}^{2}; m_{3}^{2})]; \qquad (15)$$

where $(a;b;c) = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 2(ab + ac + ab)$.

Unfortunately there is no experimental measurement of the B meson decay constant and therefore we will use the usual heavy quark symmetry relation [14]

$$\frac{f_{\rm B}}{f_{\rm D}} = \frac{s}{\frac{m_{\rm D}}{m_{\rm B}}}$$
(16)

to obtain f_B from the f_D , even though it has not been experimentally measured either. But, there are some data on f_{D_s} [9], albeit with large uncertainty, and taking f_D ' 200 M eV is reasonable [17, 27]. Then the B decay

constant is f_B ' 128 M eV. We will use the value of $v_{ub}j = 0.0031$, the latest average in [9]. The parameter g in the form factors, determined from the D ! D decay, is q = 0.3 0.1 [28]. > From D⁰ ! K l⁺ we found 0:08 [17]. In the present calculations we will consider the range q = 0:150:2 q 023, the overlap between these two determ inations of g. We have previously determ ined the numerical values of $_1$ and $_2$ analyzing the D ! Vl_1 decays [16, 17], and must extrapolate to B m esons from these D m eson values. To this end we apply the soft scaling of the axial form factors A_1 and A_2 as discussed in [14]. This scaling procedure has the virtue that it does not neglect the m asses of the light vector m esons when the heavy quark symmetry is used. It is completely in the same spirit as the basic assumption underlying our simplem odication of the HQET propagators developed previously [10, 16, 17] and used in the present analysis. Soft scaling [14] of the axial form factors means that

$$A_{1}^{HV}(q_{max}^{2}) = const \frac{P_{m_{H}}}{m_{H} + m_{V}};$$
 (17)

and

$$A_{2}^{HV}(q_{max}^{2}) = \operatorname{const} \frac{m_{H} + m_{V}}{p_{\overline{m}_{H}}}; \qquad (18)$$

where H and V denote heavy pseudoscalar and light vector m esons, respectively. It is easy to see that this scaling leads to the following relations: ${}_{1}^{DK} = {}_{1}^{B}$ and ${}_{2}^{DK} = {}_{m}_{D} = {}_{2}^{B} = {}_{m}_{B}$. Among all cases found in [16, 17], we select the values $1^{DK} = 0.13 \text{ GeV}^{1=2}$, $2^{DK} = 0.13 \text{ GeV}^{1=2}$, since only this choice of parameters gives 3:4 10^{-5} + +) BR(B! 10 5 , consistent with recent data [8]. All the other combinations of 3:8 $_{1:2}$, found in [10] give a B ! + + branching ratio larger than the experim ental upper lim it [8]. And for this sam e set of param eters, we also 10^{5} BR (B ! K K⁺) 1:5 10^{5} , which is bellow the nd 1**:**4 experimental upper limit [8]. We also note the following limits for the unmeasured branching ratios: 1:5 10 5 BR (B ! 0 0) 1:7 10⁵ 10⁵ BR (B!) and 1**:**0 1:1 10^5 . We note that the contributions to the branching ratios arising from 1,2 are very in portant in these num erical results.

In addition to the uncertainties in our results arising from the uncertainties in the values of the parameters discussed above, there is a potentially quite large error that could come from the uncertainty in the CKM matrix element V_{ub} and the decay constant f_B . For example, the range of values 0:0018 V_{ub} 0:0044 [9] could change the branching ratios by as much as a factor of 2, but the resulting uncertainty in the CP asymmetry, which we discuss next, is somewhat smaller.

In order to obtain the CP violating asymmetry, one also needs to calculate the resonant decay amplitude B $!_{0c}$! M M . This amplitude can easily be determined in the narrow width approximation, as in [4]:

$$M_{r}(B ! _{0c} ! M M) =$$

$$M (B ! _{0c}) \frac{1}{s m_{0c}^{2} + i_{0c}m_{0c}} M (_{0c} ! M M) + (s $ t): (19)$$

In our num erical calculations we will use the estimate BR (B $!_{c0}$) = BR ($_{c0}$ $!_{+}$) = 5 10 ⁷ derived in [5]. The $_{c0}$ decay data [9] then x the decay am plitudes for $_{c0}$! MM, (M = $^{+}$; 0 ;K $^{+}$;).

Finally we can calculate the partial width asymmetry in the B ! M M decays. We are only interested in the kinematical region where the M M invariant mass is close to the mass of the $_{c0}$ meson, m $_{0c}$ = 3:415 GeV. The partial decay width $_{p}$ for B ! M M , which contains both the nonresonant and resonant contributions, is obtained then by integration from $s_{min} = (m_{0c} 2_{0c})^{2}$ to $s_{max} = (m_{0c} + 2_{0c})^{2}$, where $_{0c} = 0:014 = 0:005 \text{ GeV}$ is the width of the $_{0c}$:

$$p = \frac{1}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{32m_{B}^{3}} \int_{s_{min}}^{z_{max}} \frac{ds}{ds} \int_{t_{min}(s)}^{t_{max}(s)} dt \, M_{nr} + M_{r} f^{2}; \qquad (20)$$

Similarly, $_{\rm p}$, the partial decay width for B $^+$! M M $^+$, also contains both the nonresonant and resonant contributions. The CP-violating asym m etry is de ned by

$$A = j \frac{p}{p} \frac{p}{p} j; \qquad (21)$$

For the range of values of g and selected $_{1;2}$ discussed above we obtain the ranges

$$0.33 \sin A (B ! +) 0.34 \sin ;$$
 (22)

 $0:44 \sin A (B ! K^{+}K) 0:45 \sin ;$ (23)

$$0.23 \sin A(B!^{0.0}) 0.24 \sin ;$$
 (24)

and

$$0:17 \sin A(B!) 0:20 \sin :$$
 (25)

In [4] it was found that A (B ! +) = (0:44 0:49)sin , which diers from (22) due to the importance of the $_{1;2}$ term s.

The uncertainties due to the experim ental errors in the remaining input parameters have not been included here, but we can roughly estimate that the rather large current uncertainties in V_{ub} , $_{c0}$ and (B ! $_{c0}$) could result in the error in the asymmetry being as large as even 100%.

To sum marize, we have analyzed the partial width asymmetry in B ! decays $(M = {}^{+}, K^{+}, {}^{0},)$, which signals CP violation, and can ΜМ potentially be used to determ ine sin . The asymmetry results from the interference of the nonresonant decay amplitude with the resonant decay ос followed by $_{\rm Oc}$! M M . The asymmetry, which is am plitude B ! rather sensitive to the choice of parameters, was estimated to be 0:33 sin and 0:45 sin for B ! K K⁺ , while it is smaller for B 1 0 0 ! for B and B ! decays. The estimates of these partial width asymmetries, while perhaps uncertain by asmuch as a factor of 2, do provide useful quidance for the experim ental searches for CP violation and a measurement of the phase \cdot .

This work was supported in part by the M inistry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia (B B., SF., and SP.), and by the U S. Department of Energy, D ivision of H igh Energy Physics under grant No. DE-FG 02-91-ER 4086 (R J.O.). SF. thanks the Department of Physics and A stronom y at Northwestern University for warm hospitality. Figure Caption

Fig. 1. Skeleton diagrams for the various contributions to the nonresonant B ! M M amplitude. The square in each diagram denotes the weak transition due to the weak Lagrangean L_w (1), while each dot denotes one of the two corresponding weak currents.

References

- Y.Nir, talk given at 18th International Symposium on Lepton Photon Interactions, Hamburg, Germany, July 28 - August 1 1997, hepph/9709301.
- [2] A.Ali, G.Kramer and Dai-Dian Lu, hep-ph/9805403.
- [3] R.Fleisher, Talk given at International Euroconference QCD 98, Montpellier, France, 2-8 July 1998, hep-ph/9808238.
- [4] N.G.Deshpande, G.Eilam, X.G.He and J.Tram petic, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995), 5354.
- [5] G.Eilam, M.Gronau and R.R.Mendel, Phys.Rev.Lett. 74 (1995), 4984.
- [6] I. Bediega, R. E. Blanco, C. Gobel, and R. Mendez-Gelain, et al, hep-ph/9804222.
- [7] R. Enomoto, Y. Okada, and Y. Shim izu, Phys. Lett. B 433 (1998), 109.
- [8] T.Bergfeld et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996), 4503.
- [9] Review of Particle Physics, Eur. Phys. Jour. C 3 (1998), 1.
- [10] B. Bajc, S. Fajfer, R. J. Oakes and T. N. Pham, Phys. Rev. D. 58 (1998), 054009.
- [11] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. DiBartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. B 292 (1992), 371.
- [12] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. DiBartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. B 299 (1993), 139.
- [13] A.Deandra, N.DiBartolom eo, R.Gatto and G.Nardulli, Phys. Lett. B 318 (1993), 549.
- [14] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. DiBartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio and G. Nardulli, Phys. Rep. 281 (1997), 145.

- [15] M.B.W ise, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1991) (1991), Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991), 3567.
- [16] B.Bajc, S.Fajfer and R.J.Oakes, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996), 4957.
- [17] B.Bajc, S.Fajfer, R.J.Oakes and S.Prelovsek, Phys. Rev D 56 (1997), 7027.
- [18] T.E.Browder, K.Honsheid, and D.Pedrini, An.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 46 (1997), 395.
- [19] M.Neubert, Inv.talk presented at the EPS meeting, Jerusalem, Israel, 19-25 Aug. 1997, hep-ph/9801269.
- [20] M. Bauer, B. Stech, and M. W inbel, Z. Phys. C 34 (1987), 103.
- [21] A.S.Dighe, M.G ronau and J.L.Rosner, hep-ph/9709223.
- [22] I. Bediega, R. E. Blanco, C Gobel and R. Mendez-Gelain, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 22.
- [23] B.Bajc, S.Fajfer and R.J.Oakes, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995), 2230.
- [24] H.Aihara et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990), 172.
- [25] D.Atwood and A.Soni, Phys.Lett.B 405 (1997), 150.
- [26] M.Gronau and J.Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1997), 2516.
- [27] G.Martinelli, Nucl. Inst. Meth. Phys. Res. A 384 (1996), 241.
- [28] P.Colangelo, talk given at Hyperons, Charm and Beauty Hadrons, 30 June - 3 July, 1998, Genoa, Italy.



Fig. 1