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#### Abstract

W e describe a com putational investigation of tunneling at nite energy in a weakly coupled quantum $m$ echanical system with two degrees of freedom. We com pare a full quantum mechanical analysis to the results obtained by m aking use of a sem iclassical technique developed in the context of instanton-like transitions in quantum eld theory. This latter technique is based on an analytic continuation of the degrees of freedom into a com plex phase space, and the sim ultaneous analytic continuation of the equations ofm otion into the com plex tim e plane.


## 1 Introduction and M otivation

The existence of a sm all param eter (\coupling constant") in quantum me chanical system s leads to a (typically asym ptotic) expansion of observables in powers of this param eter. N o other approxim ation technique has proved
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as powerfulin obtaining physical predictions in such diverse elds as atom ic physics, chem istry, quantum eld theory, etc. D espite these successes, $m$ any phenom ena in such system s are not am enable to perturbation theory: for exam ple barrier penetration in quantum mechanics does not occur at any order in an (asym ptotic) expansion in powers ofh.

Techniques for dealing $w$ th non-perturbative phenom ena in theories $w$ ith a sm all param eter are far less general. Perhaps the best known exam ple is the W KB approxim ation, fam iliar from one-dim ensional wave mechanics. A sim ilar technique, the instanton $m$ ethod, is often used to discuss certain non-perturbative phenom ena in quantum eld theory. But in more com plicated cases these $m$ ethods fail: exam ples are tunneling at non-zero energy in quantum $m$ echanical system $s w$ ith $m$ ore than one degree of freedom, and tunneling processes in quantum eld-theoretic $m$ odels $w$ ith exclusive initial or nalstates.

Recently the authors of $R$ ef. 忟, ', in ] have suggested a $m$ ethod for dealing w ith high-energy processes that proceed through tunneling in weakly coupled quantum eld theory. Their technique begins w ith a path integral representation of the $m$ atrix elem ent in question, followed by a double analytic continuation: the elds in the path integral are continued to the com plex plane, and in addition the tim e evolution is continued along a com plex contour. In spite of these com plications, this technique is essentially sem iclassical. The resulting com plexi ed classical system typically rem ains intractable to analytic $m$ ethods. C onsequently com putational techniques $m$ ust be em ployed to obtain quantitative results; the feasibility of the corresponding calculations in eld theory has been dem onstrated in Ref. [k-i, "inil. W e should also stress that the validity of the form alism of Ref. [ī1, 'ind has not been proven, though its plausibility has been supported by com parison w ith perturbative


M ore speci cally, the process under discussion is a non-perturbative instanton $m$ ediated transition induced by the collision of tw o highly energetic
 ences therein) about the instanton suggest that the total cross section has the follow ing functional form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2!\text { any } / e^{\frac{1}{g^{2}} F_{0}\left(g^{2} E\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the sm all coupling constant of the theory and E is the centerofm ass energy. To com pute the leading exponent the authors of $R$ ef. [ili, 'ín]
suggested considering an inclusive process w ith a large num ber $n$ of incom ing particles. T hey argued that the total probability has a sim ilar form

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!\text { any } / e^{\frac{1}{g^{2} F}\left(g^{2} E ; g^{2} n\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that the exponent $F\left(g^{2} E ; g^{2} n\right)$ can be calculated sem iclassically by considering a com plexi ed classical system. Furtherm ore, they con jectured that the tw o-particle exponent $F_{0}$ in Eq. $(\bar{i} \overline{1})$ is an appropriate lim it of the multiparticle one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}\left(g^{2} E\right)=\lim _{g^{2} n!0} F\left(g^{2} E ; g^{2} n\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations ( $\overline{1}$ ) , ( $\overline{3}$ ) on the one hand, and equation ( $\overline{2}$ ) on the other, have di erent status. W hile the validity of Eq. (2̄) has been dem onstrated by path integralm ethods (cfr. Section 4), neither the general functional form ( $1 \overline{1}$ ) nor the lim iting procedure ( $\left(\frac{1}{-1}\right)$ have been proven so far.

Since the form alism of Ref. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1,1,12 \overline{2}, 1}\end{array}\right]$ has not been rigorously derived from rst principles, and the direct evaluation of the resulting path integral, by com puter sim ulation or other num erical procedures is beyond current reach, we have chosen to test the technique by reducing the num ber of degrees of freedom. In quantum eld theoretic models, a general eld con guration $m$ ay be expanded in a com plete (in nite) basis of nom al modes. In the asym ptotic tim e dom ainst! 1 these $m$ odes are non-interacting, and the evolution is characterized by a de nite particle number. In a sem iclassical description of the tunneling process the eld evolves through a non-linear regim $e$, and the cnucialquestion is how the particle num bers in the incom ing and outgoing asym potic states are related by the non-linear evolution. A $m$ inim alm odel capable of $m$ im icking this dynam ics $w i l l$ have som e intemal degree of freedom, whose excitations at asym ptotic tim es will correspond to the particle num ber of the eld theoretical system, and a non-linear interaction $w$ ith a barrier, that can be penetrated by tunneling. This can be realized w ith a system of two particles $m$ oving in one dim ension. Let the coordinates of these particles be $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}$, and the dynam ics be described by the Lagrangian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{4} x_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{x}{2}_{2}^{1} \quad \frac{1}{8}!^{2}\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right)^{2} \quad V\left(x_{1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is an arbitrary positive sem i-de nite potentialw hich vanishes asym $p$ totically $l_{L_{1}}^{I_{1}}$. Since the theory is to be weakly coupled, we assum e a potential

[^0]of the form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\frac{1}{g^{2}} U(g x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

w th g 1. For sim plicity we w ill use a gaussian for the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x) \quad e^{\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

although the treatm ent of other potentials is sim ilar. The properties of the system described by the above Lagrangian are $m$ ade clearer by replacing the variables $\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}$ w ith the center ofm ass coordinate $\mathrm{X} \quad\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=2$ and the relative coordinate $y \quad\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right)=2 . W$ ith this substitution the Lagrangian takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} X x^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \underline{y}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}!^{2} y^{2} \quad \frac{1}{g^{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} g^{2}(x+y)^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we see that asym ptotically it describes the free $m$ otion of the center of $m$ ass and a decoupled ham onic oscillator. W ithin the range of the potential, though, the two degrees of freedom are coupled, giving rise to a transfer of energy between them.

In the classical case, the coupling $g$ is an irrelevant param eter: we $m$ ay rescale the degrees of freedom so that $g$ appears as a universalm ultiplicative factor. De ning new coordinates $X \quad g X ; y \quad g y$ the Lagrangian becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{g^{2}} \frac{1}{2} x^{2} \tilde{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \Psi^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}!^{2} y^{2} \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}(x+y)^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he value ofg is crucial, how ever, for the quantum system : the path integral form ulation of quantum $m$ echanics together $w$ th Eq. ( $(\bar{q})$ ) show that $g^{2}$ plays a role sim ilar to $h$ in determ ining them agnitude of the quantum uctuations; the classical lim it corresponds to $\mathrm{g}^{2}!0$. This is in close analogy w th the eld theoretical system smentioned above. In the follow ing we w ill use units w ith $\mathrm{h}=1$ and w ill characterize the sem iclassical treatm ent as an expansion for sm all $g$.

The repulsive potential im plies a barrier that must be either overcom e or penetrated through tunneling for a transition from an initial state where the center of $m$ ass coordinate is approaching the barrier from, e.g., large negative $X$, to a nal state where it is $m$ oving aw ay from it tow ards large positive X . The corresponding transm ission probability T w ill depend on three quantities: the totalinitialenergy E ; the initialenergy of the oscillator
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {osc }}$（or，equivalently，on its initial quantum num ber n related to its energy by $\left.E_{\text {osc }}=(n+1=2)!\right)$ ；and the value of $g$ ．In analogy with Eq．（11），the transm ission probability for an oscillator initially in its ground state asg！ 0 has the asym ptotic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}(E)=C_{0}\left(g^{2} E\right) e^{\frac{1}{g^{2}} F_{0}\left(g^{2} E\right)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som e prefactor $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ ．Likew ise，for a transition from an initial state in the n －th excited level one expects，for $\mathrm{g}!0, \mathrm{ng}^{2}$ xed，

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(E)=C\left(g^{2} E ; g^{2} n\right) e^{\frac{1}{g^{2}} F\left(g^{2} E ; g^{2} n\right)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in analogy to Eq．（2̄）．The advantage of the $m$ odel we are considering is that the process in question adm its a fullquantum $m$ echanical treatm ent as well as the sem iclassical analysis．We w ill present the results of a num erical solution of the full Schrodinger equation and show that the transition from the oscillator ground state can indeed be tted very wellw ith the expression of Eq．$\left(\frac{\overline{9}}{1}\right)$ ．Independently of the sem iclassical analysis，th is result represents a direct veri cation of the functional form of Eq．（ rst conclusion．
 F（ $g^{2} \mathrm{E} ; \mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{n}$ ）entering Eq．（ $\left.\overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ by solving num erically the com plexi ed classi－ calequations on the appropriate contour in the com plex tim e plane．W ew ill thus be able to check the validity of $q$ ．（ $(\underset{-}{3})$ ，w th the lh $. \operatorname{s.}, \mathrm{F}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{E}\right)$ ，obtained through the fullquantum mechanical treatm ent and the rh．s．，$F\left(g^{2} E ; g^{2} n\right)$ ， calculated in a sem iclassical way．W e w ill show that Eq．（⿳亠二口欠）indeed holds， and so，in the context of our model at least，we will be able to con $m$ the con jecture of $R$ ef．［īr，＇2̄］by a direct num erical com putation．This w ill be the second $m$ ain conclusion of this paper．

## 2 The C lassical System

Let us rst consider a classical evolution whereby the two particles are ini－ tially located on the negative $x$－axis well outside the range of the potential and their center ofm ass is $m$ oving $w$ ith positive velocity（i．e．tow ard the bar－ rier）．The $m$ otion of the system is speci ed com pletely by four initial value data．Time translation invariance of the system allows us to choose one of these to be the initial time．It is convenient to take the rem aining three
to be the rescaled total energy of the system, $\quad \overline{\mathrm{E}}$, the rescaled initial oscillator excitation number, $g^{2} n$, (in the classical theory $n$ is de ned as $\mathrm{E}_{\text {osc }}=$ ! and need not be integral) and an initial oscillator phase, . The question at this stage is whether the system can cross to the other side of the barrier, i.e. whether the transition is classically allow ed. In particular, in the projection to the - plane there w illbe a classically allowed region where, for som e value(s) of , the system will evolve to the other side of the potential barrier. The rest of the plane will consitute the classically forbidden region where, no m atter what the initial phase, the system will bounce back from the barrier.

C learly the entire dom ain < 1 belongs to the classically forbidden region: there can be no classical transition with a total energy sm aller than the barrier height (equal to 1 in rescaled units). H ow ever, a total energy larger than the barrier height is per se no guarantee that the system w ill cross to the other side of the barrier. T he coupling betw een the center of $m$ ass and oscillator degrees of freedom due to the potential will cause a transfer of energy between the two, whose net e ect can be repulsion from the barrier even when the total energy is larger than the barrier height. In general, for every initial value of there willbe som em inim al rescaled energy o( ) such that for $>0$ transitions across the barrier are possible. The function 0() describes the boundary of the classically allowed region.

The $m$ in $m$ um of $o()$ is equal to 1 (i.e. to the barrier height). Indeed, there is an obvious, unstable, static solution of the equations ofm otion $w$ ith both particles on top of the potentialbarrier $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=0\right)$. This solution, incidentally, corresponds to the static solution called the \sphaleron" in instanton $m$ ediated processes [1] $1 \underline{1}]$. If one perturbs this solution by giving an arbitrarily sm all, com m on positive velocity to both particles, they willm ove in the positive direction tow ard $\mathrm{X}=1$. (It is easy to prove that the particles cannot go back over the barrier in this situation. If this were to happen, at som emom ent in time $x_{1}$ would pass through zero. At that $m$ om ent, by conservation of energy, the $m$ agnitude of the center-ofm ass velocity could not be larger than the initial velocity of the particles. But a perturbative analysis of the intialm otion shows that, how ever $s m$ all its initial velocity $m$ ay be, the œenter ofm assw illacquire som e nite positivem om entum, which w ill continue to increase so long as $\mathrm{x}_{1}>0$. This im plies that the m agnitude of the center of $m$ ass velocity cannot revert to its original arbitrarily $s m$ all value.) Sim ilarly, the tim e reversed evolution has the tw o particles proceeding tow ards $X=1$. The two evolutions, com bined, describe therefore a
classical process w here the system goes over the barrier w ith an energy larger, but arbitrarily close to the barrier height. This evolution, obtained by an in nitesim alperturbation of the \sphaleron", will produce a de nite asym ptotic value o of the rescaled initialexcitation num ber, which willcharacterize the $m$ inim $u m$ of $o()$.


F igure 1: B oundary of the region of classically allowed transitions.

Values of smaller or larger than 0 will give rise to values o( ) larger than the barrier height. O f particular interest for us is $0(0)$, i.e. the low est energy for which one can have a classically allowed transition with the incom ing system in its ground state. In the lim iting cases of in nite oscillator strength (! ! 1 ) or of decoupled particles (! = 0) o (0) takes values 1 and 2 , respectively. Indeed, the form er case is equivalent to having a single particle (w ith tw ice the $m$ ass), which will evolve over the barrier as soon as its initial energy is larger than the barrier height. In the second case, the particles proceed independently, sharing the initial energy, and the center of $m$ ass $w$ ill $m$ ove to positive in nity whenever the particle that feels the
potential will be able to m ove over the barrier, which of course w ill happen when its share of the total energy is larger than 1. For nite, non-vanishing values of!, the value of $0(0)$, or, $m$ ore generally, $0(1), m$ ust be determ ined num erically. The sm all num ber of degrees of freedom in ourm odel allow s us to explore the phase space system atically, varying , and. In thisway we can determ ine the boundary of the classically allow ed region w th reasonable accuracy. T hroughout this paper we will take $!=1=2$. T he corresponding boundary of the classically allow ed region is illustrated in F ig. line in the gure represents the kinem atic boundary ! .) W ith ! = $1=2$, 0 (0) equals approxim ately 1.8. For energies low er than this value, an incom ing system in its ground statem ay transit the barrier only through tunneling. In the next section we will present a full quantum $-m$ echanical calculation of the corresponding transm ission probability $\mathrm{T}_{0}(\mathbb{E} ; \boldsymbol{g})$. In Sect.' īin wew illadapt the sem iclassical form alism of $R$ ef. [[il, ', ī1] to derive an exponential expression for the leading factor in $\mathrm{T}_{0}$, and calculate the exponent.

It is worthw ile to $m$ ention that the existence of a de nite $o(0)$ where the boundary of classically allowed region $m$ eets the axis $=0$ is one im portant property which our sim pli ed m odel, m ost likely, does not share with its $m$ ore com plex eld theoretical countenparts. The in nite num ber of degrees of freedom of the eld theoretic system s opens the possibility that the low er boundary of the classically allowed region approaches the $=0$ axis only asym ptotically, or even that it is bounded below by som e non-vanishing $m$ inim um (cfr. Ref. ' $[1]=1]$ for a num erical study of classically allow ed transitions in the $S U(2) H$ iggs system ).

## 3 Q uantum -M echanical Solution

For the study of the full quantum system it is convenient to use the basis form ed by the tensor product of the center-ofm ass coordinate basis and the oscillator excitation num ber basis: $\mathrm{X} i \mathrm{j} \mathrm{i}$. In this basis the state of the system is represented by the m ulti-com ponent wavefunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(X) \quad(h X j \quad h n j j i \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the tim e independent Schrodinger equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}{ }_{n}(X)}{@ X^{2}}+n+\frac{1}{2}!n_{n}(X)+\underbrace{X}_{n^{0}} V_{n ; n^{0}}(X) n^{0}(X)=E n_{n}(X) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n, n^{0}}(X)=\ln j \frac{1}{g^{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} g^{2}(X+y)} j^{0} 0_{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the asym ptotic region (large $\bar{X} \boldsymbol{j}$ ) the interaction term s are negligible, and the solution takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x}{ }_{1}(X)=t_{n} e^{\left\{k_{n} x\right.}+r_{n} e^{\left\{k_{n} x\right.} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}=\sqrt[s]{E \quad\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)!} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen $n>E \quad!=2, k_{\text {n }}$ becom es im aginary; we $x$ the continuation by de ning

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}=\left\{\overline{\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)!\quad E}\right. \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to calculate the transm ission probability, we will look for a solution w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}=n ; 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}^{+}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This corresponds to an incom ing system in its ground state. The generalization to a process with an incom ing excited state is straightforw ard.) The inhom ogeneous boundary conditions ( $\left.\overline{1} \bar{I}_{-1}\right)$, ( $\overline{1} \bar{Z}$ ) x the solution com pletely and the transm ission probability is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{0}={\underset{\mathrm{n} ~}{\mathrm{E}=!} \mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{1=2} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{k}_{0}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+} \jmath \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ um erical $m$ ethods $m$ ay be used to calculate the solution satisfying the boundary conditions ( $\overline{1} \overline{\underline{O}})$ ), ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{-1}\right)$, and therefore also $\mathrm{T}_{0}$. In the rest of this section we outline our com putational procedure and describe the result.

To solve the Schrodinger equation num erically we must discretize and truncate the system to leave a nite, albeit very large, num ber of unknow ns. W e accom plish this by replacing the continuum X -axis with a discrete and nite set of equally spaced vertioes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=i a \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a denotes the lattice spacing and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}$ i N . The truncation in oscillator space is perfom ed by restricting $n \quad N_{0}$.

To keep our notation concise, we will om it the oscillator indices, using im plicit vector and $m$ atrix notation, and $w$ ill use subscripts for the locations along the $X$ axis. Thus, for instance, the expression ${ }^{P}{ }_{n 0} V_{n, n^{0}}$ (ia) $n^{0}$ (ia) w ill be sim ply written as $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ i. It is also convenient to rew rite the continuum equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}(X)}{@ X^{2}}=A(X) \quad(X) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the m atrix A (X) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n ; n^{0}}(X)=h^{h}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)!\quad E_{n ; n^{0}}^{i}+V_{n ; n^{0}}(X) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The discretization of $q$. (2̄11) could be accom plished in a straightforw ard $m$ annerby using the centraldi erence approxim ation of the second derivative $w$ th respect to $X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
@^{2} \quad(X)=@ X^{2}=\frac{(X+a)+(X \quad \text { a) } 2(X)}{a^{2}}+O\left(a^{2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This would give the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
i+1 \quad 2_{i}+{ }_{i 1}=A_{i j} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th an error $O\left(a^{4}\right)$.
W e have actually used the m ore sophisticated $N$ um erov-C ow ling algorithm, which allow s us to im prove the accuracy of the discretization by two powers of a. From the Taylor series expansion of (X) we im mediately nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i+1}+{ }_{i 1} \quad 2_{i}={\frac{@^{2}}{@ X^{2}}}_{i}^{\#} a^{2}+{\frac{@^{4}}{@ X^{4}}}_{\#}^{a_{i}^{4}}+O\left(a^{6}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eq. ( $\left.\underline{2}_{2}^{1} 1\right)$, $\left(@^{4}=@ X^{4}\right)\left(a^{4}=12\right)$ can be written as $\left(@^{2} A=@ X^{2}\right)\left(a^{4}=12\right)$; this can be in tum approxim ated by ( $A_{i+1}$ i+ $1+A_{i} 1$ i $111 \quad 2 A_{i}$ i $)\left(a^{2}=12\right)$ w ith the sam e level of accuracy. W e are thus nally led to the follow ing discretization of eq. (2̄191) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i+1} \quad 2_{i}+i_{i 1}=\frac{a^{2}}{12} A_{i+1} i+1+\frac{5 a^{2}}{6} A_{i \quad i}+\frac{a^{2}}{12} A_{i 1} \quad i 1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which entails an error of order $a^{6}$.
Before proceeding further, we tum for a mom ent to the calculation of the $m$ atrix elem ents $V_{n, n^{0}}(X)$, which are needed for solving the Schrodinger equation. These can be calculated very e ciently by $m$ eans of a recursion procedure. $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}^{0}}(\mathrm{X})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n ; n^{0}}(X)=\frac{1}{g^{2}} h V_{n} \dot{J}_{n} 0 i \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i}$ denotes the state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{j}_{\mathrm{n}} i=e^{\frac{1}{4} g^{2}(x+y)^{2}} \text { jni } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to use the $y$ coordinate representation, writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{j}_{n} i=e^{\frac{1}{4} g^{2}(x+y)^{2}} \frac{\left(a^{y}\right)^{n}}{n!} \frac{!}{n} e^{1=4} e^{\frac{1}{2}!y^{2}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=p \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d}{d y}+{ }^{r} \frac{!}{2} y \\
& a^{y}= \frac{1}{2!}  \tag{30}\\
& \frac{d}{d y}
\end{align*}+\frac{!}{2} y .
$$

The rst exponential in Eq. (2 $\left.\overline{2} \overline{9}_{1}\right)$ can be brought to the right, using

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\frac{1}{4} g^{2}(x+y)^{2}} a^{y}=\quad a^{y} \quad \frac{g^{P^{2}}}{2^{2!}}(X+y) e^{\frac{1}{4} g^{2}(x+y)^{2}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{j}_{n} i=p \frac{1}{\overline{n!}}!\frac{!}{1=4} a^{y} \quad \frac{g^{2}}{2^{p} \overline{2!}}(X+y)^{n} e^{\frac{1}{4} g^{2}(x+y)^{2} \frac{1}{2}!y^{2}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exponential on the rh s. m ay be w ritten as a constant tim es the ground state wavefunction of a shifted oscillator $w$ th frequency :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\frac{1}{4} g^{2}(X+y)^{2} \frac{1}{2}!y^{2}}=-^{1=4} e^{\frac{q^{2}!}{4} x^{2}}-e^{1=4} e^{\frac{1}{2} z^{2}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=!+\frac{g^{2}}{2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=y+\frac{g^{2}}{2} x \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Re-expressing everything in term sof the creation and annihilation operators for this new oscillator

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{b}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dz}}+\mathrm{s}-\overline{-}_{\mathrm{2}}^{\mathrm{z}} \\
\mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dz}}+\frac{-}{2} \mathrm{z} \tag{36}
\end{array}
$$

we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{j}_{n} i=p \frac{1}{n!}!{ }^{1=4} e^{\frac{q^{2}!}{4} x^{2}} \quad b^{y}+b+{ }^{n} j 0 i_{b} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\stackrel{r}{\square} \\
& =\frac{p^{g^{2}}}{2^{2}!} \\
& ={\frac{g^{2}}{}}_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{x}: \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

It is now straightforw ard to calculate the com ponents of of $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i}$ in the b oscillator basis, and therefore also the inner products $h_{n} \dot{J}_{n} \circ i$, by num erical iteration.

In order to solve the discretized Schrodinger equation, we m ust calculate in ( $w$ ith the oscillator index explicit) for $N_{x}$ i $N, 0 \quad n \quad N$. $T$ his am ounts to $2\left(\mathbb{N}_{x}+1\right) N$ 。com plex variables. These satisfy Eq. (2̄ă), for $N_{x}+1 \quad i \quad N \quad 1$, for a total of $2\left(\mathbb{N}_{x} \quad 1\right) N_{o}$ com plex conditions. In


$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x} ; 0} & =e^{\left\{\mathrm{K}_{0} a\right.} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}+1 ; 0+\left(1 \quad e^{\left\{k_{0} a\right.}\right) \\
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{n}} & =e^{\left\{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}} a\right.} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}+1 ; \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}>0 \\
\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{n}} & =e^{i \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}} a} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{x}} 1 ; \mathrm{n} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]A ltogether, we thus have a system of $2\left(\mathbb{N}_{x}+1\right) N_{o}$ com plex, non-hom ogeneous linear equations, which is precisely the num ber needed to determ ine all of the unknowns. In order to insure good accuracy of the solution, we found it prudent to use cut-o values as large as $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}=4096$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}=400$. W ith such num bers it would be im possible to tackle the system by brute force using a generalpunpose solver: this corresponds to a system of over 3 m illion com plex equations, whidh could not possibly be solved by a generalpunpose procedure. H ow ever, we can take advantage of the special form of Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ to im plem ent an e cient solution procedure. Indeed, by inverting a set of $\left(\mathrm{N}_{0}+1\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{N}_{0}+1\right) \mathrm{m}$ atriges, which is com putationally feasible, Eq. (21-1 $)$ can be recast in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=L_{i} \quad 1 \quad+R_{i} \text { i+ } 1 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{i}$ and $R_{i}$ are again $\left(N_{o}+1\right) \quad\left(N_{o}+1\right) m$ atrices. $T$ he elim ination of any de nite i now leads to a system ofequations for the rem aining variables which, w ith ( $N_{\circ}+1$ ) $\left(N_{\circ}+1\right) m$ atrix algebra and $m$ atrix inversion, can be brought to the sam e form of Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{0})$ ), w ith suitably rede ned $L$ and R matrices. W e have used this procedure to progressively elim inate all the interm ediate variables ir $i=N_{x}+1::: N_{x} \quad 1$, ultim ately leaving a system of equations linearly relating all $i$ to $N_{x}$ and $N_{x}$. (Loosely speaking, the procedure can be considered the im plem entation of a $G$ reen's function for our discretized system of equations.) In particular, $N_{x}+1$ and $N_{x} 1$ are thus given as linear combinations of $N_{x}$ and $N_{x}$. Substituting these linear combinations in Eq. $(\overline{3} \overline{9})$ we now obtain a system of $2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{o}}+2$ com plex, linear, non hom ogeneous equations for the $2 \mathrm{~N} \circ+2$ complex variables $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}$, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}$, which can be easily solved num erically. A sa nalrem ark, we observe that the solution procedure outlined above only requires m anipulation of realm atrices for the elim ination of the interm ediate variables, which entails a substantial saving ofm em ory and processor tim e. M oreover, we can also take advantage of the obvious sym $m$ etry under re ection of the $X$-axis to further halve the com putational costs.

For our num erical calculations we have used ! $=0: 5$ for the oscillator constant. W e have found this value a good middle ground betw eeen the extrem es of very tight and very loose oscillator coupling, where the novel features introduced by the intemal degree of freedom becom e less evident. Also, apart from som e calculations where we varied param eters to study the e ects of the discretization, we have used a cut-o $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}=2048$ and a lattioe spacing $a=0: 03^{P} \overline{2}$. Insofar as $N_{\circ}$ is concemed, we insured that its


Figure 2: Transm ission probability as function of rescaled total energy.

| $\mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{2}=0: 01$ | $\mathrm{g}^{2}=0: 02$ | $\mathrm{g}^{2}=0: 03$ | $\mathrm{g}^{2}=0: 04$ | $\mathrm{g}^{2}=0: 06$ | $\mathrm{g}^{2}=0: 09$ | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00000241 | -1.1520 |
| 1.04 |  |  |  |  | 0.00000004 | 0.00001080 | -0.9906 |
| 1.08 |  |  |  |  | 0.00000039 | 0.00004266 | -0.8446 |
| 1.12 |  |  |  | 0.00000001 | 0.00000269 | 0.00014584 | -0.7143 |
| 1.16 |  |  |  | 0.00000010 | 0.00001472 | 0.00043282 | -0.5477 |
| 120 |  |  | 0.00000001 | 0.00000094 | 0.00006497 | 0.00112833 | -0.5051 |
| 124 |  |  | 0.00000020 | 0.00000689 | 0.00023717 | 0.00262162 | -0.4254 |
| 128 |  |  | 0.00000203 | 0.00003837 | 0.00073434 | 0.00550586 | -0.3603 |
| 1.32 |  | 0.00000011 | 0.00001539 | 0.00017019 | 0.00197114 | 0.01058551 | -0.2948 |
| 1.36 |  | 0.00000165 | 0.00008629 | 0.00062109 | 0.00467386 | 0.01883914 | -0.2375 |
| 1.40 |  | 0.00001644 | 0.00038313 | 0.00191059 | 0.00994856 | 0.03133460 | -0.1889 |
| 1.44 | 0.00000003 | 0.00011153 | 0.00139099 | 0.00506866 | 0.01926910 | 0.04910559 | -0.1625 |
| 1.48 | 0.00000130 | 0.00057657 | 0.00423391 | 0.01182169 | 0.03435230 | 0.07301430 | -0.1220 |
| 1.52 | 0.00002648 | 0.00234899 | 0.01103532 | 0.02460109 | 0.05692140 | 0.10362365 | -0.0897 |
| 1.56 | 0.00026569 | 0.00775891 | 0.02508580 | 0.04629424 | 0.08840244 | 0.14111159 | -0.0675 |
| 1.60 | 0.00181804 | 0.02128779 | 0.05054193 | 0.07972148 | 0.12963479 | 0.18520851 | -0.0492 |
| 1.64 | 0.00886218 | 0.04956287 | 0.09149895 | 0.12687764 | 0.18064354 | 023519177 | -0.0344 |
| 1.68 | 0.03199884 | 0.09978377 | 0.15067840 | 0.18832587 | 024056017 | 028995637 | -0.0227 |
| 1.72 | 0.08854723 | 0.17673890 | 022824543 | 026278176 | 0.30770372 | 0.34813584 | -0.0138 |
| 1.76 | 0.19415580 | 027975333 | 0.32121430 | 0.34722470 | 0.37974509 | 0.40829435 | -0.0073 |
| 1.80 | 0.34839619 | 0.40164508 | 0.42390234 | 0.43740276 | 0.45411756 | 0.46898820 | -0.0028 |
| 1.84 | 0.52777191 | 0.53035499 | 0.52913755 | 0.52842410 | 0.52816695 | 0.52887867 | -0.0001 |

Table 1: R esults for the transm ission probability.
value is large enough that the cut-o energy $\left(\mathbb{N}_{\circ}+1=2\right)$ ! exceeds the barrier height by at least a factor of two. W e have also checked that the highest m odes are essentially uncoupled. Speci cally, we have used $N_{\circ}=400$ for $g^{2}=0: 01$ and $g^{2}=0: 02$ and $N_{0}=200$ for all other values of $g^{2}$ (nam ely $9^{2}=0: 03 ; 0: 04 ; 0: 06$ and $\left.0: 09\right)$. W e have solved the Schrodinger equation for values ofg ${ }^{2} \mathrm{E}$ ranging betw een 1 and 2 in steps of0:02. A slightly thinned out com pilation of our data is presented in Table 1.

O ur results are also illustrated in F ig. $\overline{\text { in }}$. T he approach to the classical $\lim$ it (a step function at $g^{2} E=0(0)$ ) is evident. In Fig. ijow we plot the logarithm of the transm ission probability as function of $1=9^{2}$ for 8 values of $g^{2} E$ equally spaced betw een 1.1 and 1.8 . T he con jecture follow ing from the sem iclassical treatm ent is that for $s m$ all $g^{2}$ the logarithm of the trans$m$ ission probability should exhibit the linear behavior in $1=g^{2}$ at xed $g^{2} E$


Figure 3: Logarithm of the transm ission probability as function of $1=\mathrm{g}^{2}$.

| $\mathrm{N}_{\circ}$ | a | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 0.05 | 1024 | 0.18435 |
| 200 | 0.03 | 2048 | 0.18727 |
| 250 | 0.03 | 2048 | 0.18728 |
| 200 | 0.025 | 2048 | 0.18750 |
| 200 | 0.025 | 4096 | 0.18750 |
| 250 | 0.025 | 2048 | 0.18750 |
| 250 | 0.02 | 2048 | 0.18759 |

Table 2: C heck of discretization e ects.
(cfr. Eq. (9으) )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \mathrm{T}_{0}=\log \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(g^{2} \mathrm{E}\right) \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~g}^{2}} \mathrm{~F}_{0}\left(g^{2} \mathrm{E}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

T his is wellsupported by the data in $F$ ig. 1 segm ent (i.e. the segm ent corresponding to the two largest $1=g^{2}$ ) for which we have signi cant data to derive the values of $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ reproduced in T able 1. In the next section we will com pare them to the results of a sem iclassical calculation.

F inally, we perform ed several checks to estim ate the accuracy of our num erical calculations. For all solutions we veri ed the degree to which the unitarity constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{nn}_{\mathrm{E}=!}^{\mathrm{X}} \underset{1=2}{ } \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{k}_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+} \jmath{ }^{\jmath}+\dot{j} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \jmath \mathrm{~J}\right)=1 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

was satis ed. We found this equation ful led with an error ranging from $10{ }^{6}$ to $10{ }^{5}$. O ne m ight ob ject that som e of the data for $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ in Table 1 are much sm aller than this error. T his does not necessarily invalidate them, as our use of a discretized $G$ reen function $m$ ay capture the correct exponential decays w ith relative, rather than absolute errors, of the above order ofm agnitude. The regularity in even the sm allest entries in the T able 1 supports this argum ent. In any event, even discarding all values of $\mathrm{T}_{0} 10^{5}$ one would still be left w ith a rich sam ple of data verifying Eq. (4̄11). .

We have checked the e ects of the cut-o $s$ and of the niteness of the lattice spacing by repeating the calculation (for $\mathrm{g}^{2}=0: 03 ; \mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{E}=1: 7$ ) w ith di erent values of $N_{x}, N$ and $a$. The results are reproduced in Table 2 which indicates that, apart from the case of a substantial increase in a, the relative errors due to the discretization are of order $10{ }^{3}$.

An altemative approach to the calculation of $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ consists in solving the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation. O ne can sim ulate then the collision of a wave packet against the barrier and $m$ easure directly the trasm ission probability. It is of course crucial to im plem ent a solution schem e which preserves the unitarity of the evolution (up to num erical round-o errors). W e did follow this approach in som e earlier calculations, using a split operator technique to achieve a unitary evolution. W e obtained results consistent w ith our later calculations based on the tim e independent Schrodinger equation. H ow ever solving the tim e dependent Schrodinger equation proved $m$ uch $m$ ore ( $C P$ ) tim e consum ing than solving the tim e independent one and so we abandoned the form erm ethod in favor of the technique described in this section.

## 4 The Sem i-C lassical Form alism

W e begin this Section w ith the derivation of the sem i-classical procedure for calculating the exponent $F\left(g^{2} E ; g^{2} n\right)$ of the transm ission probability from the $n$-th excited state at total energy E, Eq. (İO state of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{ni}=\mathrm{dP}{ }^{0} \mathrm{P} ;\left(\mathrm{P}^{0}\right) \mathrm{P}^{0} ; \mathrm{n}> \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
P={ }^{q} \overline{2(E \quad!n)} ;  \tag{44}\\
\mathcal{P}^{0} ; n>=p^{1} \overline{2}^{z} d X e^{\left(\mathbb{P}^{0} X\right.} \text { Xi ji } \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

is a sim ultaneous eigenstate of the center of $m$ ass $m$ om entum and oscillator num ber, and $P$; $\left(P^{9}\right)$ is a $m$ om entum space wavefunction w ith the follow ing properties:
it is sharply peaked for $P \quad P$, w th a w idth of order ;
it corresponds to an X -space wave packet which has support only for X 0 , well outside of the range of the potential.

W ith these de nitions, the transm ission probability is given by

This m otivates us to calculate the $m$ atrix elem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{n}\right)=\mathrm{h} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{f}} \dot{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{\left(\mathrm { H } \left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right.\right.} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) f \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{ni} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Position-eigenstate $m$ atrix elem ents $m$ ay be evaluated in term $s$ of a path integral involving the classical action:

$$
h X_{f} ; y_{f} \dot{\mathfrak{j}}{ }^{\left(H H_{f}\left(t_{i}\right)\right.} \mathrm{t}_{i} ; Y_{i} i=C^{Z}[d X][d y] e^{(S}
$$

where $C$ is a nom alization constant, and the integration is over paths satisfying $X\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}}$. The amplitude ( $\left(\overline{4} \overline{\bar{T}_{-}}\right)$) is the convolution of the path integral ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{8} \bar{i}\right)$ w th the eigenfunctions of the center-ofm ass $m$ om entum and oscillator excitation num ber, $e^{\mathbb{P} X}$ and hy jni, respectively. hy jni is conveniently represented in term s of an integral over coherent state variables $z$ and $z$. In this $w$ ay we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A\left(X_{f} ; Y_{f} ; P ; n\right)=P^{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{z} d X_{i} d y_{i} e^{\left(\mathbb{P} x_{i}\right.}{ }^{z} \frac{d z d z}{2\{ } e^{z z} p^{z^{n}} \overline{n!} \\
e^{\frac{1}{2} z^{2}} \frac{\frac{1}{2}!y_{i}^{2}+{ }^{p} \overline{2!} \overline{z y_{i}} h X_{f} ; Y_{f} \dot{e}{ }^{\left\{H\left(t_{f} \quad t_{i}\right)\right.} X_{i} ; y_{i} i}{} \tag{49}
\end{array}
$$

 $\mathrm{n}=\quad=\mathrm{g}^{2}$ and take the lim it g ! 0 while holding ; xed. Indeed, by rescaling the integration variables, we are then able to recast the $m$ atrix elem ent in the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=C^{z} d X_{i} d y_{i} \frac{d z d z}{2}^{2}[d X][d y] e^{\frac{1}{g^{2}}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left\{S+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{1}{2}!y_{i}^{2} \quad p \overline{2!}_{2 y_{i}}+z z \quad\left\{p X_{i} \quad \ln z+\frac{1}{2} \quad(\ln \right.\right. \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=g P, S$ is the classical action, and Stirling's approxim ation has been used for the factoria

This form for the $m$ atrix elem ent $A$ is now suitable for a sem iclassical analysis at sm all g: we nd stationary points of , and evaluate the integral

[^2]in a gaussian approxim ation about such points. T he stationarity conditions (obtained by varying $X(t) ; y(t) ; X_{i} ; z ; z$, and $y_{i}$ ) are:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{S}{x(t)}=\frac{S}{y(t)}=0 ; t \in t_{i} ; t_{f}  \tag{52}\\
& \frac{d x}{d t}_{t=t_{i}}=p  \tag{53}\\
& z z=p \overline{2!} z \\
&!y_{i}+\left\{\frac{d y}{d t}\right.=p \overline{t=t_{i}}  \tag{54}\\
&!y_{i} \quad \frac{d y}{d t}_{t=t_{i}}=z^{2!}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

A s expected, Eq. ( $5 \overline{2} \overline{2})$ is the classical equation of $m$ otion for this system, while Eq. ( $\overline{5} \overline{-1})$ ) and ( $(\underline{5}$ (rescaled) center-ofm ass $m$ om entum $p$ and oscillator excitation number , so that $=p^{2}=2+!$. Therefore in the classically forbidden region of the
plane there $w$ ill be no real solution where the system goes over the barrier. $N$ evertheless there $m$ ay be com plex solutions. $W$ e expect that the integral is dom inated by stationary points, even if these points lie outside the dom ain of integration. Hence we will seek solutions that $m$ ay involve com plex values for the integration variabless ${ }^{\frac{z_{1}}{1}}$. In searching for such solutions we m ust rem ember that we are perform ing an analytic continuation of the integration variables; in general we will run into singularities in the com plex t-plane. To dealw th this problem we note that the tim e contour, the realtim e axis, can be distorted into the com plex plane w ithout changing the path integral, provided we keep the time contour end points ( $t_{i} ; t_{f}$ ) xed $\underline{i n}_{1 l_{1}}^{5_{1}}$. T hus our strategy will be to search for com plex solutions to Eq. ( $\overline{5} \overline{4}$ ) along a com plex tim e contour ABCDE as shown in Fig. 'īi.

The $m$ atrix elem ent $\left(\underline{1} \bar{\sim}_{1} \bar{i}\right)$ in this approxim ation becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=e^{\frac{1}{g^{2}}\left(p ; n ; X_{f} ; Y_{f}\right)+c} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]

Figure 4: C ontours in the com plex tim e plane used to nd the saddle point solutions.
where the correction c determ ines a pre-exponential factor in the sem iclassical $\lim$ it, i.e. $\lim _{\mathrm{g}!} 0 \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{c}=0$. The function is equal to the right hand side of
 elim inate z we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left\{S_{0} \quad \frac{1}{\hbar} \mathrm{pX}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \ln \mathrm{z}+\frac{1}{2} \quad \ln \right. \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$S_{0}=Z_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} d t \frac{1}{2} x \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} x+\frac{1}{2} y\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}+!^{2}\right) y^{+} e^{(x+y)^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} x_{f} \frac{d x}{d t}{ }_{t=t_{f}}+\frac{1}{2} y_{f} \frac{d y}{d t} t_{t=t_{f}}$
$N$ ote that the quantity $S_{0}$ is insensitive to the value oft $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$, provided the solution to Eq. ( $5 \bar{L}_{2}$ ) is in the asym ptotic region near tim e $t_{i}$.
 ative time on the real time axis (region A in F ig. 'īí). It is convenient to form ulate the boundary conditions at large negative $R e t$ on the part $B C$ of the contour, where

$$
\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t}^{0}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~T} ; \quad \mathrm{t}^{0}=\text { real! } \quad 1
$$

Since for the $m$ om ent we consider the asym ptotic past, we $m$ ay ignore the potentialV and write the solution at large negative $t^{0}$ as follow $s$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
y(t)=\frac{1}{2!}\left(u e^{!!t^{0}}+v e^{\left(!t^{0}\right.}\right)  \tag{58}\\
X(t)=X_{0}+p t^{0} \tag{59}
\end{gather*}
$$

The three param eters of the solution, $X_{0}, u$ and $v$, which are in general com plex, are related to the quantities entering Eq. ( 5 obvious way,

$$
\begin{gathered}
u e^{\frac{1}{2}!T}=z e^{f!t_{i}} ; \quad v^{\frac{1}{2}!T}=z e^{\left\{!t_{i}\right.} ; \\
X_{i}=X_{0} \quad \frac{\{ }{2} p T+p t_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

The condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{zz} \quad u v= \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

tells us that the phase of $u$ is opposite to that of $v$, and we $m$ ay param etrize them as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{e} \mathrm{u} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

So farwe have not speci ed a value for the param eter $T$; we have argued that our result is independent of this param eter, provided we avoid singularities in the com plex plane. Since variation of $T$ changes the value of $\mathrm{Im} \mathrm{X}_{0}$, we can adjust $T$ such that $X$ is real in the region $B: I m X_{0}=0$.

The transition probability is given by the absolute value of the $m$ atrix elem ent squared; that is, in term $s$ of tw ioe the real part of . U sing the above relations between $u$ and $v$ we can w rite the transm ission probability as $\exp \left(F=g^{2}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=2 I m S_{0} \quad T \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting values of T and depend on and. H owever, we may treat T and as independent param eters instead, so that the boundary conditions are form ulated in a sim ple way in the asym ptotic past on the part B C of the contour:
(i) $\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{t}^{0}\right)$ and X -( $\mathrm{t}^{0}$ ) are real at B .
(ii) positive and negative frequency parts of the oscillator solution ( $5 \bar{\delta}$ are related by Eq. ( $(\overline{6} \overline{1})$ ) at B .

At given T and , the initial center-ofm ass m om entum and excitation num ber (and hence the totalenergy) are to be found from Eq. ( 5. It is straightforw ard to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@\left(2 \mathrm{Im} S_{0}(T ;)\right)}{@ T}= \\
& \frac{@\left(2 \mathrm{Im} S_{0}(T ;)\right)}{@}=
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $T$ and are Legendre conjugate to and. It is worth noting also that Eq. ( $\left.6 \overline{1} \bar{j}_{1}\right)$ at $\Leftrightarrow 0$ in fact requires the solution to be com plex in the region $B$ of the contour.

W e are interested in the totalprobability for transm ission; thus we should integrate our probability over all values of $y_{f}$ and over positive values of $X_{f}$. This nal integralm ay also be done using the saddle point approxim ation. The saddle point condition is sim ply that
(iii) the solution $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})$ should be realalong the D ! E part of the contour.

At given $T$ and , the classical equations of $m$ otion and the boundary conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) are su cient to specify the com plex solution up to tim e translations along the real axis. Finding the solutions is still a nontrivial com putational task. To sim plify this task we start from a sub-class
of solutions w ith $=0$, whose num erical determ ination is easier, and then deform these solutions to $\in 0$.

For the solutions w ith $=0$ the $X$ and $y$ coordinates are analytic and real along the entire contour B C D E of Fig. 'IT1. From the $C$ auchy $R$ iem ann conditions it follows that the velocities $X$ and $\underline{L}$ vanish at $C$ and $D$. The $m$ otion along the im aginary tim e axis can be reform ulated in term s of $=$ Im t and a \Euclidean" Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d X^{2}}{d}+\frac{1}{2} \underline{y}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}!^{2} y^{2}+e^{\frac{1}{2}(x+y)^{2}} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5: M otion in the \periodic instanton" solutions.

T he equations ofm otion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} X}{d^{2}}=(X+y) e^{\frac{1}{2}(X+y)^{2}} \\
& \frac{d^{2} Y}{d^{2}}=!^{2} Y \quad(X+y) e^{\frac{1}{2}(X+y)^{2}} \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

describe the evolution of the system along the im aginary tim e axis. We look for periodic solutions where $d X=d$ and $d y=d$ vanish at the tuming points
$=0$ and $=T=2, T$ being the period of the $m$ otion. These solutions are analogous to the Euclidean solutions that are com m only used to describe
$m$ otion through a barrier in the sem iclassical treatm ent of tunneling with a single degree of freedom. In this latter case nding periodic solutions is straightforward: one need only integrate the equations of $m$ otion $w$ ith inverted potential. The situation w ith several degrees of freedom is not so sim ple. Indeed, the continuation to im aginary tim e not only inverts the potential barrier, which now becom es a potential well, but also changes the harm onic restoring force into a linearly increasing repulsive force. This force $m$ akes the system unstable, requiring carefuladjustm ent of the values of $X$ and $y$ at the tuming points to obtain a periodic solution. The resulting $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{o-}$ tion is sim ilar to the \periodic instanton" solutions that appear in topology changing transitions in quantum eld theory [ī2]. There too, all of the eld oscillator degrees of freedom becom e repulsive in the Euclidean $m$ otion and the solutions are unstable: a sm all perturbation of the eld pro le at one of the tuming points grow s exponentially in the subsequent evolution. T he $m$ otion in the \periodic instanton" solutions of our m odel is ilhustrated in F ig. 'i-1. At the tuming points ( $\mathrm{P}_{1} ; \mathrm{P}_{5}$ ) particle 1 is attracted tow ards the bottom of the potential well.but repelled by particle 2, which is located betw een particle 1 and the bottom of the potential. B oth particles accelerate tow ards the bottom (particle 2 because of the repulsive force exerted by particle 1). The balance of foroes, how ever, is such that particle 1 m oves faster than particle 2, reducing the interparticle distance ( $\mathrm{P}_{2} ; \mathrm{P}_{4}$ ) and, correspondingly, the repulsive force, until it overtakes particle 2 precisely when both particles transit through the bottom of the potential $\left(P_{3}\right)$.
$F$ inding the periodic instanton solutions of our $m$ odel is rather easy. W e divide the interval $0 \quad T=4$ into $N$ subintervals of $w$ idth $=T=(4 N)$ and we denote by $X_{i}, Y_{i}$ the values ${ }_{R}$ taken by $X$ and $y$ at $=i . W e$ discretize the Euclidean action $S_{E}={ }^{R} L_{E} d$ and look for aminimum of $S_{E}$ $w$ th respect to the variables $X_{i} ; Y_{i} ; i=0::: N \quad 1$, while $X_{N}$ and $y_{N}$ are kept xed at zero. Since the Euclidean action is bounded from below, the algorithm of con jugate gradients converges rapidly to the correct solution. $T$ he values $X_{0} ; Y_{0}$ can then be used as intial data for the integration of the equations ofm otion along the realtim eaxis (from $D$ to $E$ in $F$ ig. 'īí) untillboth particles are far out of range of the potential. In this $m$ anner one can nd the asym ptotic oscillator num ber of the solutions (in the periodic instanton solutions initial and nal oscillator num bers are of course identical). The periodic instanton solutions span the one-dim ensional subspace denoted by the thick line in the - plot off ig i 6 .


Figure 6: T he curve spanned by the periodic instanton solutions.

Starting from the periodic instanton solutionswe nd solutionsw ith >0 and reduced incom ing oscillator num ber by a deform ation procedure. In order to solve the equations ofm otion num erically we subdivide the contour
 $i=0::: \mathrm{N}$. We denote by $t$ the time interval from vertex $i$ to vertex i+ 1. $t$ will be real along the BC portion of the contour, after which $t_{4}$ should be negative im aginary. It is convenient, how ever, to place the very last subinterval along the real tim e axis. Thus we place the vertex $N \quad 1$ at the origin, and the vertex $N$ at the point $t=\hbar_{T} \quad=$ real. $T$ he discretized action is

$$
\begin{align*}
& S= X_{i=0}^{" 1} \frac{\left(X_{i+1} X_{i}\right)^{2}}{2 t_{ \pm}}+\frac{\left(y_{i+1} \quad Y_{i}\right)^{2}}{2 t_{i}} \\
& \quad!^{2} \frac{y_{i+1}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}}{4} t_{i} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{i+1}+y_{i+1}\right)^{2}}+e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{i}+y_{i}\right)^{2}}}{2} t^{\#} \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression is quite general and valid for any contour of integration in the com plex tim e plane.

It is convenient to use $z_{i, j}, j=1 ;::: ; 4$, to denote the four variables ReX ${ }_{i}$, $\operatorname{Im} X_{i}, \operatorname{Re} y_{i}$, $\operatorname{Im} y_{i}$. The equations of $m$ otion are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ S}{@ z_{i, j}}=0 \quad i=1::: N \quad 1 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

These am ount to $4 \mathrm{~N} \quad 4$ conditions for the $4 \mathrm{~N}+4$ unknowns $\mathrm{z}_{; j}$. The solution $m$ ust also satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}+y_{1} \quad \frac{\{ }{!}\left(y_{1} \quad y 6\right)=e^{h} y_{0}+y_{1} \quad \frac{\{ }{!}\left(y_{1} \quad y_{6}\right)^{i} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

[cfr. Eq. $\left.(\underset{-}{5} \overline{-} \overline{-}),\left(\frac{6}{6} \overline{0}\right)\right]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} X_{N} \quad 1=\operatorname{Im} X_{N}=\operatorname{Im} y_{N} 1=\operatorname{Im} y_{N}=0: \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we rem ove the invariance under tim e translation by dem anding that $X_{0}$ takes a xed realvalue

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=c \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The precise value of $c$ is not relevant. The only im portant criterion that c must satisfy is that the im aginary time axis falls between the expected
singular points of the solution. The value of c can be readjusted, ifnecessary, so that the point in the complex time plane where $\mathrm{ReX}=0$ belongs to the $C D$ part of the contour.

Equations $\left(\overline{6}_{-1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)-\left(\bar{\sigma}_{6} \overline{9}_{1}\right)$ provide the required 8 additional conditions on the variables $z_{i, j}$. W ew ill write these equations as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}\left(z_{i ; j}\right)=0 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting from the periodic instanton solutions and evolving them further from C to B we obtain an initial class of solutions to Eq. ( $(\overline{6} \bar{\sigma}),(\underline{-1} \overline{-})$ ) w ith
$=0$. If we perform a sm all change of either or $T$, the two param eters which indirectly determ ine and, the eld con guration $z_{i j} w i l l$ no longer satisfy the equations ofm otion. W e seek a correction $z_{i j}$ such that $z_{i ; j}+z_{i j}$ obey the equations ofm otion $w$ th new values for and/or $T$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{@ S}{@ z_{i ; j} z+z}=0  \tag{71}\\
B_{k}\left(z_{i ; j}+z_{i ; j}\right)=0 \tag{72}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the deform ation of the original solution is not too large, Eq. (țī1), ( be solved by the $N$ ew ton $R$ aphson $m$ ethod: we expand to rst order in $z$ and solve the linearized equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{i^{0} ; j^{0}} \frac{@^{2} S}{@ z_{i ; j} @ z_{i^{0} ; j^{0}}} \quad z_{z}^{0} ; j^{0}=\frac{@ S}{@ z_{i ; j}} \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

This procedure is repeated until it converges to a solution.
In our calculations we typically took $\mathrm{N}=2048$ and used the follow ing com putational strategy. Equation (ī3) w ith a de nite index i only couples the variables $z_{i} ; j$ w th $i^{0}=i \quad 1$; $i$; $i+1$. It is then possible to use an elim $i-$ nation procedure sim ilar to the one outlined in Sect. $\mathrm{i}_{-1}^{\overline{1}}$ (see Eq. ( $\mathbf{4}_{-1}^{0}$ ) and considerations that follow) and express all variables $\mathrm{z}_{i j}$ in term sof $z_{i j}, \quad \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{r}}$; . (In practioe this can be done $m$ aintaining com plex variables notation, which sim pli es the arithm etic. O nem ust w onk w th the explicit realand im aginary parts of the variables only at the next stage of the calculation.) Finally $z_{\text {;ir }}$ $\bar{z}_{i j} ;$, and $\bar{z}_{i j}, \bar{z}_{i} 1_{i j}$ which, by virtue of the elim ination procedure are
now expressed as linear functions of $z_{i j}, z_{i} ; j$, are inserted into Eq. ( $\left.\overline{7} \bar{i} \overline{1}\right)$. T hese equations thus becom e a system of 8 real, linear, non-hom ogeneous equations in the 8 real variables $z_{i j}, \quad z_{i} ; j$, that can be straightforw ardly solved. W e are then able to start from $=0$ (periodic instanton solution) and gradually increase to a very large value, which $m$ akes the incom ing oscillator num ber e ectively zero. At the sam e tim e we gradually reduce the value of $T$, which has the e ect of increasing the energy. It is im portant to check that the solutions correspond indeed to tunneling processes, nam ely that in the further evolution along the positive real tim e axis the center of $m$ ass coordinate $X$ goes to $+1 . W$ e found this to be the case up to 1:1. At that point, though, the $N$ ew ton $R$ aphson $m$ ethod develops an instability and, when convergence is eventually reached, further evolution along the real tim e axis show s bounce from the barrierw ith X ! $1 . W$ eattribute this di culty to the proxim ity of solutions with X ! +1 and X ! 1 , with possible bifurcation points. In order to avoid falling into a solution w ithout tunneling, we continue a tunneling solution to a positive real value oft along a contour extending into $\mathrm{Im} t<0$, as illustrated by the dashed line in $F$ ig.'ī. At the nal value oft (point $E$ in the graph of $F$ ig. 'A'íl) the system is far into the positive $X$ dom ain and we can further increase $w$ ithout running into any instability.

W e illustrate in $F$ ig. in, a typical tunneling solution in the com plex time plane. The gure displays the center of $m$ ass coordinate $X$ as function of $R e t$, $I m$ (we inverted the direction of the $\operatorname{Im} t a x i s$ for a better perspective). $T$ he height of the surface gives the value ofR e $X$, while the phase of the com plex variable $X$ is coded by color (red for real negative, blue for realpositive, $w$ th the other values of the com plex phase arranged in rainbow pattem $\mid$ the colorw ill appear as gray-scale in a black and white printout). The contour of integration of the equations ofm otion is indicated by a line ofdi erent color drawn on the surface. The continuation of $X$ to the entire com plex plane has been obtained by starting form the values along the im aginary tim e axis and integrating the equations ofm otion outw ard w th the leapfrog algorithm . The singularities in the solution are quite apparent from $F$ ig. 1 notew orthy that one can determ ine the singularity structure of the solutions num erically, since ordinarily one w ould expect num erical integration $m$ ethods to fail in the presence of a singularity. The integration algorithm becom es unstable and diverges as one approaches a singularity. H ow ever it is possible to exhibit the singularity structure by num erically integrating the solution along closed contours around the singularities. Integration of the equations

$F$ igure 7: Tunneling solution to the equations of $m$ otion: center-ofm ass coordinate as function of com plex time. The singularities (branch points) are labeled by crosses.
ofm otion by the leapfrog algorithm along a closed contour entirely contained $w$ thin a dom ain of analyticity produces nalvalues for $X$ and $y$ identical to the initial values to high degree of num erical accuracy, equal to the expected discretization erroro ( $(t)^{2}$ ) of the algorithm, whereas an enclosed singularity is clearly present when the initial and nal values of $X$ and $Y$ are di erent.

W e reproduce in Table', data correspond to $=13$. In $F$ igure $\$$ we present a com parison of the results for the exponent $F_{0}$ in the transm ission probability (cfr. Eq. (īi)) obtained w th the full quantum $m$ echanical calculation ( $x$ ) and $w$ th the sem iclassical technique (solid line). In the quantum $m$ echanical calculation we extracted $F_{0}$ from the slope of the last segm ent in the graph of $\log T_{0}$ versus $1=g^{2}$ at given energy for which we had meaningful data (see Fig. 'ī1). A s a consequence, the line de ned by the crosses in Fig. ' ${\underset{-1}{1}}_{1}^{1}$ exhibits som e sm all

| $\mathrm{T}=2$ |  | F | $\mathrm{~T}=2$ |  | F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1.75 | 1.0463 | 0.9715 | 0.35 | 1.4869 | 0.1038 |
| 1.72 | 1.0846 | 0.8386 | 0.3 | 1.5208 | 0.0817 |
| 1.7 | 1.1223 | 0.7103 | 0.25 | 1.5585 | 0.0611 |
| 1.6 | 1.1334 | 0.6734 | 02 | 1.6005 | 0.0422 |
| 1.4 | 1.1595 | 0.5950 | 0.175 | 1.6234 | 0.0336 |
| 12 | 1.1921 | 0.5103 | 0.15 | 1.6477 | 0.0257 |
| 1.0 | 12333 | 0.4195 | 0.125 | 1.6736 | 0.0186 |
| 0.8 | 1.2867 | 0.3235 | 0.1 | 1.7011 | 0.0124 |
| 0.7 | 1.3196 | 0.2741 | 0.075 | 1.7306 | 0.0073 |
| 0.6 | 1.3578 | 0.2244 | 0.05 | 1.7621 | 0.0034 |
| 0.5 | 1.4027 | 0.1749 | 0.0025 | 1.7960 | 0.0008 |
| 0.4 | 1.4560 | 0.1268 | 0.01 | 1.8176 | 0.0001 |

Table 3: Results of the sem iclassical analysis.


Figure 8: C om parison of the quantum mechanical and sem iclassical results.
discontinuities. W e take the $m$ agnitude of these discontinuities as an indication of the system atic errors in the quantum $m$ echanical calculation due to the neglect of perturbative $O\left(g^{2}\right)$ and higher order e ects. $W$ thin these errors, the agreem ent between the results of the fill quantum mechanical calculation and of the sem iclassical calculation is excellent.

## 5 C onclusions

O ur results validate, in the context of a m odel calculation, the scaling for-
 assum ption that the ground state transition probability can be obtained as the lim it of a m ore general transition probability from a coherent in itialstate.

At the sam e tim e our investigation has brought to light interesting properties of the analytic continuation of classical solutions to com plex tim e and com plex phase space. W hile the extension of classical m otion to the com plex tim e dom ain has long form ed the $m$ ainstay of sem iclassical calculations of tunneling, we believe that our speci c application show s novel features of the analytically continued solutions intim ately connected to the presence of several degrees of freedom. O f particular relevance we nd that one can obtain inform ation on the singularity structure of the solutions by num erical techniques.

W th the quali cation that a eld has an in nite number of degrees of freedom while our m odel has only two, our results bode well for the applica-
 they w illalso open the path to new, im aginative applications of sem iclassical $m$ ethods in other challenging quantum m echanical problem s .
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~W}$ e could of course allow $V$ to depend on $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ as well, provided it does not depend only on the combination $x_{1} \quad x_{2}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In these equations we can use either the $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$ given by the continuum dispersion form ula Eq. (1] ${ }^{-}$) or those given by the dispersion form ula that follow from Eq. (211) . G iven the high accuracy of the $N$ um erov-C ow ling discretization, the tw o options produce practically indistinguishable results.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ In order to keep our notation sim ple, we have used the sam e sym bols (i.e. $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) for all rescaled integration variables. $N$ ote that $X_{f}$ and $y_{f} m$ ust also be eventually integrated upon, cfr. Eq. (4-1 ${ }^{-1}$ ), and are rescaled as well.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ In general this allow s values of $z$ and $z$ such that $z \in z$.
    $P{ }^{5}$ The evolution operatorm ay be written $\left.\exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}H & \left(t_{f}\right. & t_{i}\end{array}\right)\right]={ }_{j} \exp \left[\left\{H d t_{j}\right]\right.$ provided ${ }_{j} d t_{j}=\left(t_{f} \quad t_{i}\right) . T$ his argum ent applies even for complex $d t_{j}$.

