## QCD Corrections to Four-jet P roduction and Three-jet Structure in e<sup>+</sup> e annihilation

Stefan W einzierl<sup>1;a</sup> and D avid A . K osow  $er^{2,b}$ 

<sup>1</sup> NIKHEF, P.O. Box 41882, NL - 1009 DB Am sterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Service de Physique Theorique, Centre d'Etudes de Saclay, F-91191 G if-sur-Y vette Cedex, France

#### A bstract

We report on the general purpose numerical program Mercutio, which can be used to calculate any infrared safe four-jet quantity in electron-positron annihilation at next-to-leading order. The program is based on the dipole formalism and uses a remapping of phase-space in order to improve the e-ciency of the Monte C arlo integration. Numerical results are given for the four-jet fraction and the D parameter. These results are compared with already existing ones in the literature and serve as a cross-check. The program can also be used to investigate the internal structure of three-jet events at NLO. We give results for previously uncalculated observables: the jet broadening variable and the softest-jet explanarity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>em ail address : stefanw @ nikhef.nl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>em ail address : kosow er@ spht.saclay.cea.fr

# 1 Introduction

E lectron-positron annihilation provides a clean environm ent for studying jet physics, as strong interaction e ects occur only in the nal state. We thus avoid the complications of parton distribution functions and their associated uncertainties. Perturbative QCD corrections to jet variables can nonetheless be sizeable. The most recent addition to the list of known one-loop amplitudes are those for  $e^+e$  ! 4 partons, which have been calculated by two groups independently. Our group obtained analytic expressions for the amplitudes [1] by calculating helicity amplitudes using the cut technique. The Durham group perform ed a conventional calculation and provided com puter code [2]. The two sets of expressions have been shown to agree num erically. Here we report on the im plem entation of the one-loop amplitudes into a num erical program M ercut io, which can be used to calculate any infrared safe four-, three- or two-jet quantity at next-to-leading order (and ve-jet quantities at leading order). It is similar to Menlo Parc [3], Debrecen [4] and eerad2 [5]. The main di erence among the program s is the technique used for the cancellation of real and virtual singularities. Menlo Parc uses the subtraction method of ref. [6], Debrecen the dipole method [7], while eerad2 uses a hybrid of the phasespace slicing [8, 9] and subtraction methods. Mercut io also uses the dipole form alism, but with a remapping of phase space. A naive implementation of the dipole formalism will give large statistical errors when performing a M onte C arb integration over the real corrections with dipole factors subtracted. In order to in prove the e ciency of the M onte Carlo integration we remap the phase space in order to make the integrand more at.

W ith the resulting num erical program, we st compute results for several observables studied previously in the literature. We present results for the four-jet fraction and the D-parameter, which agree with the results from the other programs. We also present results for quantities describing the internal structure of three-jet events. We give num erical results for the jet broadening and the sofiest-jet explanarity.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we explain the implementation of the dipole formalism and the remapping of phase space. Section 3 deals with technical details concerning various dimensional regularization schemes. Section 4 is devoted to phenomenology, and contains our numerical results. The conclusions are given in section 5.

# 2 Cancellation of Infrared Divergences

The NLO cross section receives contributions both from virtual corrections and from real emission. For  $e^+e^-$ ! 4 jets the virtual part consists of the interference term s between the tree am plitudes and the one-loop am plitudes for  $e^+e^-$ ! qgg q and  $e^+e^-$ ! qqd d. The realem ission part consists of the squared tree-level am plitudes with one additional parton, namely  $e^+e^-$ ! qgg q and  $e^+e^-$ ! qqd dg, which were computed long ago [10]. Both the virtual and the realem ission contributions contain infrared divergences, arising when one particle becomes soft or two particles collinear. Only the sum of the virtual corrections and the realem ission part is nite. Because the virtual part is integrated over n-particle phase-space, whereas the realem ission part is integrated over the (n + 1)-particle phase

space, it is not possible to integrate the divergent contributions num erically and to cancel the divergences after the (num erical) integration. The cancellation of infrared singularities has to be performed before any num erical integration is done. There are basically two approaches to overcome this obstacle: phase-space slicing [9] and the subtraction m ethod. (W ithin the subtraction m ethod there are two variants: the subtraction m ethod by Frixione, K unszt and Signer [6] and the dipole form alism of C atani and Seym our [7].) A s m entioned above, M ercut io uses the dipole form alism in order to handle infrared divergences.

Throughout this paper the conventional norm alization of the color m atrices is used:  $TrT^{a}T^{b} = \frac{1}{2} a^{ab}$ .

### 2.1 The dipole form alism

The dipole form alism [7] is based on the subtraction method. The NLO cross section is written as

$${}^{N LO} = {}^{Z} {}^{Z} {}^{Z} {}^{V}$$

$$= {}^{n+1} {}^{n} {}^{Z} {}^{Q} {}^{Z} {}^{1}$$

$$= {}^{A} {}^{R} {}^{A} {}^{A} {}^{+} {}^{Q} {}^{Q} {}^{V} {}^{+} {}^{A} {}^{A} {}^{A} {}^{;} (1)$$

where in the last line an approximation term d<sup>A</sup> has been added and subtracted. The approximation d<sup>A</sup> has to be a proper approximation of d<sup>R</sup> such as to have the same pointwise singular behaviour in D dimensions as d<sup>R</sup> itself. Further d<sup>A</sup> has to be analytically integrable in D dimensions over the one-parton subspace leading to soft and collinear divergences. A coording to C ataniand Seym our the approximation term is written as the n-parton Born term d<sup>B</sup> times the dipole factors,

$$d^{A} = \overset{X}{d^{B}} d^{V}_{dipole}:$$
(2)

The integration over the (n + 1)-parton phase space yields

where the universal factor I is de ned by

$$I = \int_{\text{dipoles}_{1}}^{X} dV_{\text{dipoles}}:$$
(4)

For the explicit formul we refer to the paper of Catani and Seymour [7]. Here, we discuss the implementation of the dipole formalism when using helicity amplitudes. Let A denote the n-parton amplitude, where the polarization vector " of the emitter gluon has been amputated. U sing

$$("_{+}) "_{+} + (") " = g + \frac{p_{ij}q + p_{ij}q}{p_{ij} q};$$
(5)

where q is an arbitrary reference m om entum, we obtain

$$(A) (g)A = A_{+}A_{+} + A A$$
(6)

where A denotes the helicity amplitude where the emitter gluon has positive or negative helicity. The dependence on the reference momentum q drops out, since  $(\mathbf{p}_{ij}) = 0$  (gauge invariance), q " = 0 (property of the polarization vectors) and  $(\mathbf{p}_{ij})^2 = 0$  (the gluon is on mass-shell). For the spin correlation we obtain

(A) 
$$z_i p_i \quad z_j p_j \quad z_i p_i \quad z_j p_j \quad A = \pm A_+ + E \quad A \quad j';$$
 (7)

where

$$E = \frac{hq + jz_{ij}p_{i} z_{j}p_{j}jp_{ij} + i}{p_{2}[qp_{ij}]}$$
(8)

U sing the fact that the spin correlation tensor is orthogonal to  $p_{ij}$  one shows again that the dependence on the reference momentum drops out. As reference momentum one may choose  $q = p_j$ , in that case E reduces to

$$E = \frac{\overline{z_i} p_j p_i p_i p_{ij}}{2 p_j p_{ij}}$$
(9)

## 2.2 Improving the Dipole Form alism

W ithin the dipole form alism we have to evaluate the term s

The rst term is most e ciently integrated by splitting it into leading-color and subleadingcolor pieces. The leading-color piece gives the num erically dom inant contribution. The leading-color one-loop am plitudes are relatively simple and can therefore be integrated without further problem s. The subleading-color one-loop am plitudes are more com plicated and therefore need more computer time. On the other hand, they will yield contributions which are num erically smaller. We can thus evaluate them using fewer integrand evaluations. A lthough the second term d<sup>R</sup> d<sup>A</sup> usually gives only a modest num erical contribution, a naive M onte C arb integration will give large statistical errors. D ue to the large num ber of dipole factors involved, it is also very computationally intensive. W e

rst deal with the fact that we have to reproduce logarithm s num erically. This is solved by a remapping of phase-space. In a second stage we use color decomposition in order to reduce the num ber of dipole factors that have to be evaluated.

A simplied model for the term  $d^{R} d^{A}$  would be

$$F = \int_{0}^{Z^{1}} dx \frac{f(x)}{x} \frac{g(x)}{x}^{!}$$
(11)

where f(0) = g(0) is assumed. The integral can be rewritten as

$$F = \int_{0}^{\frac{X_{fn in}}{2}} dx \frac{f(x) g(x)}{x} + \int_{\ln y_{m in}}^{Z^{0}} dy (f(e^{y}) g(e^{y})); \qquad (12)$$

where  $y_{m in}$  is an articial parameter separating a numerically dangerous region from a stable region. Using the Taylor expansion for f(x) = g(x), one sees that the rst term gives a contribution of order O  $(y_{m in})$ . In the second term the 1=x behaviour has been absorbed into the integral measure by a change of variables  $y = \ln x$ , and the integrand tends to be more at. This should reduce the statistical error in a M onte C arb integration.

The precise remapping of phase space for the term  $d^R d^A$  is done as follows: Consider a set of products of invariants, including all the invariants in which the (unsubtracted) matrix elements has poles (and which may give rise to logarithm s therefore). The relevant set of products of invariants for the two-quark, three-gluon nal state consists of the pairs

$$S_{g} = f_{s_{q1}}s_{12}; s_{q1}s_{13}; s_{q2}s_{21}; s_{q2}s_{23}; s_{q3}s_{31}; s_{q3}s_{32}$$

$$s_{23}s_{3q}; s_{32}s_{2q}; s_{13}s_{3q}; s_{31}s_{1q}; s_{12}s_{2q}; s_{21}s_{1q}$$

$$s_{12}s_{23}; s_{13}s_{32}; s_{21}s_{13}$$

$$s_{q1}s_{1q}; s_{q2}s_{2q}; s_{q2}s_{2q}g; \qquad (13)$$

where we have labelled the gluons from 1 to 3, q corresponds to a quark and q to the anti-quark. Singularities associated with the last three pairs appear only in the color-subleading part. The corresponding set for the four-quark, one-gluon nal state consists of the pairs

$$S_{q} = f_{s_{qq}} s_{qq}; s_{q^{0}g} s_{gq^{0}}; s_{qq} s_{gq^{0}}; s_{q^{0}g} s_{qq}$$

$$s_{qq} s_{qq^{0}}; s_{qq^{0}} s_{q^{0}q^{0}}; s_{qq} s_{qq^{0}}; s_{qq^{0}} s_{q^{0}q^{0}}$$

$$s_{qq} s_{qq^{0}}; s_{qq} s_{qq^{0}}; s_{qq} s_{qq^{0}}; s_{qq} s_{qq^{0}} s_{q^{0}q^{0}}$$
(14)

The second line takes care of the collinear singularities when two quarks become collinear. The phase space is then partitioned according to

$$_{n+1} = (a;b;c)_{n+1}$$
 (15)

where (a;b;c) = 1 if  $s_{ab}s_{bc}$  is the smallest product in the set S, and (a;b;c) = 0 otherwise. The sum is over all products in the set S. We may use the symmetries under permutations in order to reduce the number of summands which need to be evaluated. For the two-quark, three-gluon case we have to evaluate the terms with (q;1;2) and

(2;3; q) with weight 6, as well as the term s with (1;2;3) and (q;3; q) which are weighted by a factor of 3. For the four quark, one gluon case we evaluate the term s with (q;g; q), (q;g;q), (q;q;q) and (q;q; q), which are weighted by a factor 2, and the term s with (q;g;q) and (q;g; q) with unit weight.

Next, introduce a parameter  $s_{m in}$  which separates numerically stable and unstable regions. (It is analogous to the  $s_{m in}$  parameter in the phase-space slicing method, but

plays a som ew hat dierent role here.) Using this parameter, de netwo regions as follows. Suppose  $s_{as}s_{sb}$  is the smallest product in the set. Then the est region is de ned as the region where  $s_{as} > s_{min}$  and  $s_{sb} > s_{min}$ . In this region we perform a remapping of the phase space as explained in detail in the next subsection.

The second region is the complement of the second region is performed without any phase space remapping. In this

In sum m ary, the num erical computation of the term  $d^R d^A$  goes as follows,

$$d^{R} d^{A} = {}^{A} d^{R} d^{A} (s_{as} s_{min}) (s_{sb} s_{min}) (a;s;b)$$

$$+ {}^{S} d^{R} d^{A} (1 (s_{as} s_{min}) (s_{sb} s_{min})) (a;s;b):$$

$$s \qquad (16)$$

Note that this separation into two regions does not involve any approximations and is exact whatever value  $s_{m in}$  m ight take. The aim is of course to choose  $s_{m in}$  so as to reduce the statistical errors. It turns out that choosing  $s_{m in}$  sm all enough makes the contributions of the second region negligible. The contribution of the rst region has a statistical error reduced by roughly a factor of ten compared to the result without any remapping and the same number of integrand evaluations. Empirically

$$= \frac{s_{m in} = Q^2}{y_{cut}} = 10^5$$
 (17)

is a good value, where y<sub>cut</sub> is the jet-de ning parameter.

## 2.3 Remapping of Phase Space

The remapping of phase space we describe here was originally intended for use with the phase space slicing method, and was used in prior jet programs of one of the authors. The idea is to generate an (n + 1)-parton conguration that is hear' the hard n-parton conguration corresponding to the leading-order calculation. We want to do this in a way that makes the (n + 1)-parton conguration approach the hard conguration in singular limits.

Suppose we have a set of product of invariants S such that  $s_{as}s_{sb}$  is the smallest product in the set. In this region we remap the phase space as follows. Let  $k_a^0$ ,  $k_s$  and  $k_b^0$  be the corresponding momenta such that  $s_{as} = (k_a^0 + k_s)^2$ ,  $s_{sb} = (k_b^0 + k_s)^2$  and  $s_{ab} = (k_a^0 + k_s + k_b^0)^2$ . We want to relate this (n + 1) particle con guration to a nearby hard" n-particle con guration with  $(k_a + k_b)^2 = (k_a^0 + k_s + k_b^0)^2$ , where  $k_a$  and  $k_b$  are the corresponding hard" momenta. Using the factorization of the phase space, we have

$$d_{n+1} = d_{n-1} \frac{dK^{2}}{2} d_{3} (K; k_{a}^{0}; k_{s}; k_{b}^{0}):$$
(18)

The three-particle phase space is given by

$$d_{3}(K;k_{a}^{0};k_{s};k_{b}^{0}) = \frac{1}{32(2)^{5}s_{ab}}ds_{as}ds_{bd}s_{b}d_{b}d_{s}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4(2)^{3}s_{ab}}ds_{as}ds_{bd}s_{b}d_{s}d_{2}(K;k_{a};k_{b})$$
(19)

and therefore

$$d_{n+1} = d_n \frac{ds_{as}ds_{sb}d_s}{4(2)^3 s_{ab}}$$
: (20)

The region of integration for  $s_{as}$  and  $s_{sb}$  is  $s_{as} > s_{min}$ ,  $s_{sb} > s_{min}$  (either from the functions of phase space slicing method, or else from the -functions separating the two regions above) and  $s_{as} + s_{sb} < s_{ab}$  (D alitz plot for massless particles). In order to get a

at integrand we want to absorb poles in  $s_{\!\!\!\!As}$  and  $s_{\!\!\!Sb}$  into the measure. This is done by changing the variables according to

$$\mathbf{s}_{as} = \mathbf{s}_{ab} \quad \frac{\mathbf{S}_{m \ in}}{\mathbf{s}_{ab}} \quad \mathbf{;} \quad \mathbf{s}_{sb} = \mathbf{s}_{ab} \quad \frac{\mathbf{S}_{m \ in}}{\mathbf{s}_{ab}} \quad \mathbf{(21)}$$

where 0  $u_1;u_2$  1. Note that  $u_1;u_2 > 0$  enforces  $s_{as};s_{sb} > s_{m in}$ . Therefore this transform ation of variables may only be applied to invariants  $s_{ij}$  where the region 0 <  $s_{ij} < s_{m in}$  is cut out. The phase space measure becomes

$$d_{n+1} = d_{n} \frac{1}{4(2)^{3}} \frac{s_{as} s_{sb}}{s_{ab}} \ln^{2} \frac{s_{m in}}{s_{ab}} \qquad (s_{as} + s_{sb} < s_{ab}) du_{1} du_{2} d_{s}$$
(22)

This suggests the following algorithm for generating a (n + 1)-parton con guration:

Take a hard" n-parton con guration and pick out two momenta k and k<sub>b</sub>. Use three uniform ly distributed ('random') numbers  $u_1; u_2; u_3$  and set

$$s_{ab} = (k_a + k_b)^2;$$

$$s_{as} = s_{ab} \frac{S_{m in}}{s_{ab}}^{u_1};$$

$$s_{sb} = s_{ab} \frac{S_{m in}}{s_{ab}}^{u_2};$$

$$s = 2 u_3;$$
(23)

If  $(s_{as} + s_{sb}) > s_{ab}$ , reject the event.

If not, solve for  $k_a^0$  ,  $k_b^0$  and  $k_s$  . If  $s_{as} < s_{sb}$  we want to have  $k_b^0 \ ! \ k_b$  as  $s_{as} \ ! \ 0$  . De ne

$$E_{a} = \frac{S_{ab}}{2^{P}} \frac{S_{sb}}{S_{ab}}; \qquad E_{s} = \frac{S_{as} + S_{sb}}{2^{P}}; \qquad E_{b} = \frac{S_{ab}}{2^{P}} \frac{S_{as}}{S_{ab}}; \qquad (24)$$

$$ab = \arccos 1$$
  $\frac{s_{ab}}{2E_aE_b}$ ;  $sb = \arccos 1$   $\frac{s_{sb}}{2E_sE_b}$ ; (25)

It is convenient to work in a coordinate system which is obtained by a Lorentz transform ation to the center of mass of  $k_a + k_b$  and a rotation such that  $k_b^0$  is along the positive z-axis. In that coordinate system

$$p_{a}^{0} = E_{a} (1; \sin_{ab} \cos(s + ); \sin_{ab} \sin(s + ); \cos_{ab});$$

$$p_{s} = E_{s} (1; \sin_{sb} \cos s; \sin_{sb} \sin_{s}; \cos_{sb});$$

$$p_{b}^{0} = E_{b} (1; 0; 0; 1);$$
(26)

The momenta  $p_a^0$ ,  $p_s$  and  $p_b^0$  are related to the momenta  $k_a^0$ ,  $k_s$  and  $k_b^0$  by a sequence of Lorentz transform ations back to the original fram e

$$k_a^0 = boost xy() xz() p_a^0$$
 (27)

and analogous for the other two momenta. The explicit formul for the Lorentz transform ations are obtained as follows:

Let  $K = \frac{q}{(k_a + k_b)^2}$  and denote by  $p_b$  the coordinates of the hard momentum  $k_b$  in the center of mass system of  $k_a + k_b$ .  $p_b$  is given by

$$p_{\rm b} = {}^{0} \frac{Q^{0}}{K} k_{\rm b}^{0} - \frac{\tilde{k}_{\rm b} Q}{K}; \tilde{k}_{\rm b} + {}^{0} \frac{\tilde{k}_{\rm b} Q}{K (Q^{0} + K)} - \frac{k_{\rm b}^{0}}{K} Q^{\rm A}$$
(28)

with  $Q = k_a + k_b$ . The angles are then given by

$$= \arccos 1 \frac{p_{b}}{2p_{b}^{t}p_{b}^{t^{0}}};$$
  
$$= \arctan \frac{p_{b}^{y}}{p_{b}^{x}}$$
(29)

The explicit form of the rotations is

$$x_{z}() = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ B & 0 & \cos & 0 & \sin & C \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & A \end{bmatrix} ;$$

$$x_{y}() = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cos & \sin & 0 & C \\ B & 0 & \cos & \sin & 0 & C \\ 0 & \sin & \cos & 0 & A \end{bmatrix} ;$$

$$(30)$$

The boost  $k^0 = boost q$  is given by

$$k^{0} = {}^{0} \frac{Q^{0}}{K} q^{0} + \frac{q Q}{K} q + {}^{0} \frac{q Q}{K (Q^{0} + K)} + \frac{q^{0}}{K} Q^{A}$$
(31)

with Q =  $k_a + k_b$  and K =  $(k_a + k_b)^2$ .

If  $s_s > s_{sb}$ , exchange a and b in the form ul above.

The  $\st = \$ 

$$W_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2(2)^3} \frac{s_{as} s_{sb}}{s_{ab}} \ln^2 \frac{s_{m in}}{s_{ab}} W_n$$
(32)

where W  $_{\rm n}$  is the weight of the original \hard" event.

#### 2.4 Color decomposition and soft or collinear limits

We now turn our attention to the color decom position of the ve-parton tree am plitudes. Singling out the num erical dom inant contribution, e.g. the leading color part, allows us to evaluate this part with fewer dipole factors as subtraction terms. This approach is sim ilar to the one followed within the phase-space slicing method. In fact, we have also im plem ented phase-space slicing for the leading color contributions and checked that both methods, the dipole form alism and phase-space slicing, give the same num erical results. As a side-result we will give the relevant form ul for the contributions from unresolved phase-space needed for the phase-space slicing method.

The color decomposition of the tree-level amplitude for  $e^+e_1 qg_1 g_2 g_3 q$  is

$$A_{5}(q;q_{1};q_{2};q_{3};q) = (T^{1}T^{2}T^{3})_{qq}A_{5}^{(1)}(q;1;2;3;q) + (T^{1}T^{3}T^{2})_{qq}A_{5}^{(2)}(q;1;3;2;q) + (T^{2}T^{3}T^{1})_{qq}A_{5}^{(3)}(q;2;3;1;q) + (T^{2}T^{1}T^{3})_{qq}A_{5}^{(4)}(q;2;1;3;q) + (T^{3}T^{1}T^{2})_{qq}A_{5}^{(5)}(q;3;1;2;q) + (T^{3}T^{2}T^{1})_{qq}A_{5}^{(6)}(q;3;2;1;q):(33)$$

The index  $i \text{ of } A_5^{(i)}$  labels the permutation of the gluons. Explicit form ul for the partial amplitudes are given in ref. [10].

The tree-level amplitude for  $e^+e$  !  $gq qq^2 q^2$  can be written as

$$A_{5}(g;q;q;q;q;q) = \frac{1}{2}_{14}T_{32}D_{1} + \frac{1}{2}_{32}T_{14}D_{2} - \frac{1}{2}_{12}T_{34}D_{3} - \frac{1}{2}_{34}T_{12}D_{4}$$
(34)

where the factor 1=2 is due to the conventional norm alization of T<sup>a</sup> and

$$D_{1} = c_{0}(1)B_{1}(0;1;2;3;4) + c_{0}(3)B_{2}(0;3;4;1;2) + f_{lav}\frac{1}{N_{c}}(c_{0}(1)B_{3}(0;1;4;3;2) + c_{0}(3)B_{4}(0;3;2;1;4));$$

$$D_{2} = c_{0}(1)B_{2}(0;1;2;3;4) + c_{0}(3)B_{1}(0;3;4;1;2) + f_{lav}\frac{1}{N_{c}}(c_{0}(1)B_{4}(0;1;4;3;2) + c_{0}(3)B_{3}(0;3;2;1;4));$$

$$D_{3} = c_{0}(1)\frac{1}{N_{c}}B_{3}(0;1;2;3;4) + c_{0}(3)\frac{1}{N_{c}}B_{4}(0;3;4;1;2) + f_{lav}(c_{0}(1)B_{1}(0;1;4;3;2) + c_{0}(3)B_{2}(0;3;2;1;4));$$

$$D_{4} = c_{0}(1)\frac{1}{N_{c}}B_{4}(0;1;2;3;4) + c_{0}(3)\frac{1}{N_{c}}B_{3}(0;3;4;1;2) + f_{lav}(c_{0}(1)B_{1}(0;1;4;3;2) + c_{0}(3)B_{2}(0;3;4;1;2));$$

$$D_{4} = c_{0}(1)\frac{1}{N_{c}}B_{4}(0;1;2;3;4) + c_{0}(3)\frac{1}{N_{c}}B_{3}(0;3;4;1;2) + f_{lav}(c_{0}(1)B_{2}(0;1;4;3;2) + c_{0}(3)B_{1}(0;3;2;1;4));$$
(35)

Explicit form ul for the partial am plitudes  $B_i$  are again given in ref. [10]. In this equation,  $c_0$  (j) denotes a factor from the electro-weak coupling and depends explicitly on the avor of the quark  $q_j$ ,

$$c_{0}(j) = Q^{q_{j}} + v^{e}v^{q_{j}}P_{Z}(s_{56});$$
  

$$P_{Z}(s) = \frac{s}{s M_{Z}^{2} + i_{Z}M_{Z}}:$$
(36)

The electron { positron pair can either annihilate into a photon or a Z-boson. The st term in the expression for  $c_0$  corresponds to an intermediate photon, whereas the last

term corresponds to a Z-boson. The left- and right-handed couplings of the Z-boson to ferm ions are given by

$$v_{\rm L} = \frac{I_3 \quad Q \, \sin^2 \, W}{\sin \, W \, \cos \, W}; \quad v_{\rm R} = \frac{Q \, \sin \, W}{\cos \, W}$$
(37)

where Q and  $I_3$  are the charge and the third component of the weak isospin of the ferm ion. For an electron and up-or down-type quarks we have:

	Q	$I_3$
! u	2=3 1	1=2
d	1=3	1=2
е	1	1=2

Next, consider the soft and collinear lim it of any of these partial am plitudes, e.g.  $A_5^{(i)}$  or  $B_i$ . In the soft-gluon lim it, a partial am plitude factorizes,

$$A_{n+1} ! g E i_{k_{D}} A_{i};$$
(38)

where the eikonal factors are

$$E_{ab}^{+} = \frac{p}{2} - \frac{habi}{hasihsbi}; \quad E_{ab}^{+} = \frac{p}{2} - \frac{[ab]}{[as][sb]}; \quad (39)$$

Squaring the amplitude we obtain terms like

$$A_{n+1}^{(2)}A_{n+1}^{(1)} + A_{n+1}^{(1)}A_{n+1}^{(2)}$$
  
soft gluon helicity  
=  $g^2 Eik^{(2)} Eik^{(1)+} + Eik^{(2)+}Eik^{(1)} - A_n^{(2)}A_n^{(1)} + A_n^{(1)}A_n^{(2)}$ : (40)

There are three di erent cases, depending how the soft gluon is inserted into the partial amplitude  $A_{n+1}^{(1)}$  and  $A_{n+1}^{(2)}$ .

1. Two common legs, e.g. 
$$A_{n+1}^{(1)} = A_{n+1}^{(1)}$$
 (:::;a;s;b; :::) and  $A_{n+1}^{(2)} = A_{n+1}^{(2)}$  (:::;a;s;b; :::),  

$$X_{n+1}^{(2)}A_{n+1}^{(1)} + A_{n+1}^{(1)}A_{n+1}^{(2)} = g^{2} - 2 \frac{S_{ab}}{S_{as}S_{sb}} A_{n}^{(2)} A_{n}^{(1)} + A_{n}^{(1)} A_{n}^{(2)}$$
(41)

2. One common leg, e.g.  $A_{n+1}^{(1)} = A_{n+1}^{(1)}$  (:::;a;s;b;:::) and  $A_{n+1}^{(2)} = A_{n+1}^{(2)}$  (:::;a;s;c;:::),

$$A_{n+1}^{(2)} A_{n+1}^{(1)} + A_{n+1}^{(1)} A_{n+1}^{(2)} =$$

$$= g^{2} - 2 \frac{habi}{hasihsbi} \frac{[ca]}{[cs][sa]} + \frac{[ab]}{[as][sb]} \frac{hcai}{hcsihsai} A_{n}^{(2)} A_{n}^{(1)} + A_{n}^{(1)} A_{n}^{(2)}$$

$$= g^{2} - 2 \frac{S_{ab}}{S_{as}S_{sb}} \frac{S_{bc}}{S_{bs}S_{sc}} + \frac{S_{ac}}{S_{as}S_{sc}} A_{n}^{(2)} A_{n}^{(1)} + A_{n}^{(1)} A_{n}^{(2)}$$

$$(42)$$

3. No common legs, e.g. 
$$A_{n+1}^{(1)} = A_{n+1}^{(1)}$$
 (:::;a;s;b; :::) and  $A_{n+1}^{(2)} = A_{n+1}^{(2)}$  (:::;d;s;c; :::),  
<sup>X</sup>  $A_{n+1}^{(2)}A_{n+1}^{(1)} + A_{n+1}^{(1)}A_{n+1}^{(2)} =$   
 $= g^2 - 2 \frac{\text{habi}}{\text{hasihsbi}} \frac{[cd]}{[cs][sd]} + \frac{[ab]}{[as][sb]} \frac{\text{hcdi}}{\text{hcsihsdi}} A_n^{(2)} A_n^{(1)} + A_n^{(1)} A_n^{(2)}$   
 $= g^2 - 2 \frac{S_{ac}}{S_{as}S_{sc}} - \frac{S_{ad}}{S_{as}S_{sd}} + \frac{S_{bd}}{S_{bs}S_{sd}} - \frac{S_{bc}}{S_{bs}S_{sc}} - A_n^{(2)} A_n^{(1)} + A_n^{(1)} A_n^{(2)}$ 
(43)

In the collinear lim it tree-level partial am plitudes factorize like

$$A_{n+1} ! g$$
 Split  $(p_a^{a}; p_b^{b}) A_n (:::; P ; :::)$  (44)

where  $P = p_a + p_b$ ,  $p_a = zP$  and  $p_b = (1 z)P$ ., and b denote the corresponding helicities. The splitting functions are [11]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= 0 \qquad \text{Split}_{g} (g; g^{+}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{(1 - z)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p \frac{1}{z \text{habi}}}}{2p \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z - p \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{3}{z}}}}} \qquad \text{Split}_{g} (g; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{(1 - z)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{3}{z}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{3}{z}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{z^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{3}{z}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{3}{z}}} \qquad \text{Split}_{g} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{z^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{3}{z}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{3}{z}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{z}{q - \frac{z^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{3}{z}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}} \qquad \text{Split}_{g} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{z}{q - \frac{z^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{3}{z}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}} \qquad \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{1}{q - \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{p}{1 - \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{p}{1 - \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{p}{1 - \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{p}{1 - \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{p}{1 - \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{p}{1 - \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}}}{(1 - z) \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Split}_{g^{+}} (g^{+}; g^{+}) &= \frac{p 2 \frac{p}{2 \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1}{z \frac{1$$

We have checked num erically that all ve-parton tree amplitudes in the program have the correct collinear lim its.

## 2.5 RealEm ission with Two Quarks and Three G luons

The matrix element squared of the tree-level amplitude for  $e^+\,e^-\,!\,\,qg_1g_2g_3\,q$  can be written as

$$A_5(q;q_1;q_2;q_3;q)^2 = A_5^{\gamma}CA_5$$
 (46)

where

$$\widetilde{A}_{5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{5}^{(1)}(q;1;2;3;q) \\ B & A_{5}^{(2)}(q;1;3;2;q) \\ B & A_{5}^{(2)}(q;1;3;2;q) \\ A_{5}^{(3)}(q;2;3;1;q) \\ A_{5}^{(4)}(q;2;1;3;q) \\ C & A_{5}^{(6)}(q;3;1;2;q) \\ A_{5}^{(6)}(q;3;2;1;q) \end{pmatrix}$$
(47)

and the colormatrix is given by

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{1}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{4}^{(3)} & 1 \\ BB & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{4}^{(3)} \\ BB & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} \\ C & = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{1}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} \\ BB & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{4}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{4}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{4}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} \\ C & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)} & c_{5}^{(3)}$$

where the color factors are

$$c_{1}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{N} \frac{(N^{2} - 1)^{3}}{N^{2}};$$

$$c_{2}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{N} \frac{(N^{2} - 1)^{2}}{N^{2}};$$

$$c_{3}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{N} \frac{N^{2} - 1}{N^{2}};$$

$$c_{4}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{N} \frac{(N^{2} - 1)(N^{2} + 1)}{N^{2}} = 2c_{3}^{(3)} - c_{2}^{(3)};$$
(49)

If one gluon becom es soft or collinear, the matrix element squared reduces to the one with one gluon less

$$\mathbf{j}_{A_{5}}\mathbf{j}^{2} ! \mathbf{K}_{4}^{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{1}^{(2)} R_{\text{diag}}(\mathbf{q};1;2;\mathbf{q}) & c_{2}^{(2)} R_{\text{off}}(\mathbf{q};1;2;\mathbf{q}) \\ c_{2}^{(2)} R_{\text{off}}(\mathbf{q};1;2;\mathbf{q}) & c_{1}^{(2)} R_{\text{diag}}(\mathbf{q};2;1;\mathbf{q}) \end{pmatrix} \overset{!}{\mathbf{K}_{4}} :$$
(50)

where the color factors are

$$c_{1}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{N} \frac{(N^{2} - 1)^{2}}{N};$$

$$c_{2}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{N} \frac{N^{2} - 1}{N}$$
(51)

The nite R-factors, which give the contribution from unresolved phase-space within the phase-space slicing method, are given by

$$R_{\text{diag}} (q; 1; 2; q) = \frac{g^2 c}{c_1^{(2)}} f_2 c_1 (3) R_2 (q; 1; 2; q)$$

$$2c_{2}^{(3)} (2R_{2} (q;1;2;q) R_{1} (q;1;q) R_{1} (q;2;q)) + 2c_{3}^{(3)} (R_{2} (q;1;2;q) R_{1} (q;1;q) R_{1} (q;2;q) + R_{0} (q;q)) + 2I_{g! qq} g;$$

$$R_{off} (q;1;2;q) = = \frac{q^{2}c}{c_{2}^{(2)}} c_{2}^{(3)} (R_{2} (q;1;2;q) + R_{2} (q;2;1;q) 2R_{1} (q;1;q) 2R_{1} (q;2;q)) + 2c_{3}^{(3)} (R_{2} (q;1;2;q) + R_{2} (q;2;1;q) R_{1} (q;1;q) R_{1} (q;2;q)) + 2c_{3}^{(3)} (R_{2} (q;1;2;q) + R_{2} (q;2;1;q) R_{1} (q;1;q) R_{1} (q;2;q)) + 2I_{g! qq} g;$$
(52)

We have written the contribution from each color factor separately. The last line corresponds to the contribution from the A (g;q;q;q;q;q) amplitudes, when one pair of quarks become collinear. We have used the notation

$$R_{0}(q; q) = S(q; q) \frac{4}{N_{c}} \frac{C_{A}}{2C_{F}} 2I_{q! qg} \frac{s_{m in}}{s_{qq}};$$
(53)

$$R_{1}(q;1;q) = S(q;1) + S(1;q) \frac{4}{N_{c}} \frac{C_{A}}{2C_{F}} I_{q! qg} \frac{S_{m in}}{S_{q1}} + I_{q! qg} \frac{S_{m in}}{s_{q1}} + \frac{C_{A}}{2C_{F}} I_{q! qg} \frac{S_{m in}}{s_{1q}} + \frac$$

$$R_{2}(q;1;2;q) = S(q;1) + S(1;2) + S(2;q) \frac{4}{N_{c}} \frac{C_{A}}{2C_{F}} I_{q! qg} \frac{S_{m in}}{S_{q1}} + I_{g! qg} \frac{S_{m in}}{S_{q1}}; \frac{S_{m in}}{S_{12}} + I_{g! qg} \frac{S_{m in}}{S_{12}}; \frac{S_{m in}}{S_{2q}} + \frac{C_{A}}{1} I_{q! qg} \frac{S_{m in}}{S_{12}}; \frac{S_{m in}}{S_{12}}; \frac{S_{m in}}{S_{12}}; \frac{S_{m in}}{S_{2q}}; \frac{S_{m$$

$$\frac{1}{2C_{F}} \frac{L_{q!}}{qg} \frac{qg}{s_{2q}} ,$$
(55)
$$= \ln^{2} \frac{1}{2} + \ln^{2} \frac{S_{ab}}{q} + 2\ln \frac{1}{q} \ln \frac{S_{ab}}{q} ;$$
(56)

$$S (a;b) = \ln^2 \frac{1}{S_{m in}} + \ln^2 \frac{S_{ab}}{S_{m in}} + 2 \ln \frac{1}{S_{m in}} \ln \frac{S_{ab}}{S_{m in}}; \quad (56)$$

$$I_{a! bc}(z_1; z_2) = I_{a! bc}^1(z_1; z_2) + I_{a! bc}^0(z_1; z_2) \ln \frac{z}{s_{m in}}$$
(57)

 $I_{a!\ bc}^0$  and  $I_{a!\ bc}^1$  are the terms of order O ("<sup>0</sup>) and O ("<sup>1</sup>) obtained from the D-dimensional splitting functions integrated over unresolved phase space. In the conventional scheme they are given by

$$I_{g! gg} = \frac{C_A}{2} \qquad \ln z_1 \qquad \ln z_2 \qquad \frac{11}{6} + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 z_1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 z_2 \qquad \frac{67}{18} + \frac{2}{3}! \qquad + O("^2);$$

$$I_{q! qg} = C_F \qquad \ln z_2 \qquad \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 z_2 \qquad \frac{7}{4} + \frac{2}{6} \qquad "+ O("^2) ; \qquad (58)$$

$$I_{g! qq} = T_R N_f \frac{1}{3} + \frac{5}{9} + O(n^2)$$
 (59)

## 2.6 RealEm ission with Four Quarks and One G luon

The matrix element squared of the tree-level amplitude for  $e^+e_-!$   $gq\,qq^{2}\,q^{2}$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}_{5}(\mathbf{q};\mathbf{q};\mathbf{q};\mathbf{q};\mathbf{q};\mathbf{q})\mathcal{f} = \mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{Y}}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D} :$$
(60)

where

$$D' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ D_1 \\ B & D_2 \\ C \\ D_3 \\ D_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
(61)

Then the color matrix is given by

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_1^{(1)} & 0 & c_2^{(1)} & c_2^{(1)} & 1 \\ B & 0 & c_1^{(1)} & c_2^{(1)} & c_2^{(1)} & C_2^{(1)} \\ B & 0 & c_1^{(1)} & c_2^{(1)} & c_2^{(1)} & C_2^{(1)} \\ C_2 & c_2^{(1)} & c_1^{(1)} & 0 & C_4^{(1)} \\ & c_2^{(1)} & c_2^{(1)} & 0 & c_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$$
(62)

where

$$c_{1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{8} N_{C} (N_{C}^{2} - 1); \quad c_{2}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{8} (N_{C}^{2} - 1)$$
 (63)

The color decomposition of the four quark amplitude can be written as

$$A_{4} = \frac{1}{2} \underset{12 \ 34}{12 \ 34} \frac{1}{N_{c}} \underset{14 \ 32}{14 \ 32} (1;2;3;4)$$
$$\frac{1}{2} \underset{14 \ 32}{14 \ 32} \frac{1}{N_{c}} \underset{12 \ 34}{12 \ 34} \underset{flav}{flav} (1;4;3;2) (64)$$

where

$$(1;2;3;4) = A_4 (1;2;3;4) + A_4 (3;4;1;2):$$
(65)

The matrix element squared we may write as

$$\dot{A}_{4} \dot{J} = \sim^{y} \frac{c_{1}^{(0)} c_{2}^{(0)}}{c_{2}^{(0)} c_{1}^{(0)}} \sim (66)$$

with

$$c_1^{(0)} = \frac{1}{4} N_c^2 \quad 1 ; \quad c_2^{(0)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{N_c^2 \quad 1}{N_c}$$
 (67)

and

$$\sim = \frac{(1;2;3;4)}{_{flav}(1;4;3;2)} :$$
(68)

In the soft gluon lim it, the functions B  $_{\rm i}$  behave like

$$B_{1}(0;1;2;3;4) ! g E_{1}_{2} A (1;2;3;4);$$

$$B_{2}(0;1;2;3;4) ! g E_{1}_{4} A (1;2;3;4);$$

$$B_{3}(0;1;2;3;4) ! g E_{1}_{4} A (1;2;3;4);$$

$$B_{4}(0;1;2;3;4) ! g E_{1}_{2} A (1;2;3;4): (69)$$

In the lim it where one pair of quarks becom es collinear, the am plitudes factorize as

$$B_{1}(0;1;2;3;4) ! g^{X} Split_{P}(3;4)A_{4}(1;P;0;2);$$

$$B_{2}(0;1;2;3;4) ! g^{X} Split_{P}(3;4)A_{4}(1;0;P;2);$$

$$B_{3}(0;1;2;3;4) ! 0;_{X}$$

$$B_{4}(0;1;2;3;4) ! g^{X} Split_{P}(3;4) (A_{4}(1;P;0;2) + A_{4}(1;0;P;2)):$$
(70)

One of the functions B  $_{\rm i}$  is redundant, since

$$B_1 + B_2 \quad B_3 \quad B_4 = 0: (71)$$

The contribution from unresolved phase space is written as

$$\sim^{Y} \begin{array}{c} c_{1}^{(0)} R_{\text{diag}} (1;2;3;4) & c_{2}^{(0)} R_{\text{off}} (1;2;3;4) \\ c_{2}^{(0)} R_{\text{off}} (1;2;3;4) & c_{1}^{(0)} R_{\text{diag}} (1;4;3;2) \end{array}$$
(72)

with

$$R_{\text{diag}}(1;2;3;4) = \#$$

$$= \frac{g^2 c}{c_1^{(0)}} 2c_1^{(1)} R_0(1;4) + R_0(2;3) + \frac{1}{N_c^2} (R_0(1;2) + R_0(3;4))$$

$$+ 4\frac{c_2^{(1)}}{N_c} [R_0(1;4) + R_0(2;3) + R_0(1;2) + R_0(3;4) R_0(1;3) R_0(2;4)];;$$

 $R_{off}(1;2;3;4) =$ 

$$= \frac{g^{2}c}{c_{1}^{(0)}} \stackrel{<}{:} 2\frac{c_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}} \mathbb{R}_{0} (1;4) + \mathbb{R}_{0} (2;3) + \mathbb{R}_{0} (1;2) + \mathbb{R}_{0} (3;4)] + 2c_{2}^{(2)} 1 + \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}} \mathbb{R}_{0} (1;4) + \mathbb{R}_{0} (2;3) + \mathbb{R}_{0} (1;2) + \mathbb{R}_{0} (3;4) \mathbb{R}_{0} (1;3) \mathbb{R}_{0} (2;4)] :$$
(73)

## 2.7 Color Correlation

The color correlation matrices may be obtained by two ways. The stapproach is the one given by Catani and Seymour. Within this approach one acts with the color charge

$$\begin{array}{ccc} hq;1;2;q]T_{q} & Tjq;1;2;qi = & T_{qj}^{2}T_{jq^{0}}^{1^{0}}A & (q;1;2;q) ; T_{qj}^{1^{0}}T_{jq^{0}}^{2}A & (q;2;1;q) \\ & I_{q^{0}q}^{2} & 2TrT^{1^{0}}T^{a}T^{1} & T^{a}T^{1^{0}}T^{1} & & T_{qi}^{1}T_{iq}^{2}A & (q;1;2;q) \\ & & T_{qi}^{2}T_{iq}^{2}A & (q;2;1;q) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} (74) \\ & T_{qi}^{2}T_{iq}^{2}A & (q;2;1;q) \end{array}$$

Here the color charge operator for the gluon has been written as

$$if^{cab} = 2Tr T^{c}T^{a}T^{b} T^{a}T^{c}T^{b}$$
(75)

On the other hand one may start from the color decomposition of the (n + 1)-parton matrix element in the form of equations (46) and (60). One then considers the soft and collinear limits using the partial fraction decompositions (41) - (43). This procedure is identical to the one followed in the phase space slicing approach. With the help of the identity

$$\frac{s_{ab}}{s_{as}s_{sb}} = \frac{s_{ab}}{s_{as}(s_{as} + s_{sb})} + \frac{s_{ab}}{s_{sb}(s_{as} + s_{sb})}$$
(76)

the color correlation m atrix can then be read o from equations (50) and (72). This approach has the advantage that it m akes the connection between each divergent term in the (n + 1)-parton m atrix element and the corresponding subtraction term transparent.

The leading order matrix element  $e^+e_-!$   $qg_1g_2g_3$  q needs 27 dipole factors. There are six term s where the quark is the emitter and a gluon the spectator and three term s where the role of the emitter and spectator are exchanged. The color correlation matrices are invariant under the exchange of emitter and spectator. The color correlation matrix for the case  $T_{\alpha}$  T is given by

$$T_{q} \quad T_{q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{1}^{(3)} & \frac{1}{2} & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{2}^{(3)} & A \end{pmatrix}$$
(77)

If the antiquark q is the spectator we obtain

$$T_{q} \quad T_{q} = \begin{array}{ccc} c_{3}^{(3)} & c_{4}^{(3)} \\ c_{4}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} \end{array}$$
(78)

ı.

F inally the color correlation m atrix where both em itter and spectator are gluons is given by

$$T_{1} \quad \underline{T} = \begin{array}{c} c_{1}^{(3)} + 2c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} & 0 \\ 0 & c_{1}^{(3)} + 2c_{2}^{(3)} & c_{3}^{(3)} \end{array}$$
(79)

All other color correlation matrices can be obtained by a permutation of indices.

The leading order matrix element  $e^+e^-$ ! gqqqqd needs 12 dipole factors associated with the splitting g ! qq and 12 dipole factors associated to the splitting q ! qg or

q! gq. The relevant color correlation matrices for the st case where already given above. The color correlation matrices for the latter case are  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$\Gamma_{q} \quad T_{q} = \overset{0}{\mathbb{Q}} \qquad \frac{c_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}^{2}} \quad 2\frac{c_{2}^{(1)}}{N_{c}} \qquad \frac{c_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}} \quad c_{2}^{(1)} \quad 1 + \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}} \quad C_{1} \qquad (80)$$

$$T_{q} \quad I_{0} = \overset{0}{\overset{B}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}}}} \quad \overset{c_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{2}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}} \quad \overset{c_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}} \quad \overset{c_{2}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}^{2}}} \quad \overset{c_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}^{2}}}} \quad \overset{c_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}^{2}}}} \quad \overset{c_{2}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}}} \quad \overset{(81)}{\overset{(81)}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}^{2}}}}} \quad \overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{2}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}}}} \quad \overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{2}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}}}} \quad \overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}}}} \quad \overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}}}} \quad \overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}}}} \quad \overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}}}}} \quad \overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}}{\overset{C_{1}^{(1)}}{\overset{C_{1}$$

$$T_{q} \quad T_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ B & 2\frac{c_{2}^{(1)}}{N_{c}} & c_{2}^{(1)} & 1 + \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}} & C_{2} \\ c_{2}^{(1)} & 1 + \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}} & 2\frac{c_{2}^{(1)}}{N_{c}} & A \end{pmatrix}$$
(82)

We can now pick out partial am plitudes corresponding to one speci c color factor. This subset will in general require fewer dipole factors as subtraction terms. In practise it is enough to single out the leading color contribution for the matrix element  $e^+e$  !  $qg_1g_2g_3q$ . Using the symmetry under the permutation of the gluons it is su cient to evaluate one partial am plitude, which we may take to be

$$A_{5}^{(L)}$$
 (q;1;2;3; q); (83)

weighted by a factor of six. This partial amplitude needs only six dipole factors  $V_{ijk}$ , where (ij) denotes the emitter and k the spectator:

$$V_{q1;2}$$
;  $V_{12;q}$ ;  $V_{12;3}$ ;  $V_{23;1}$ ;  $V_{23;q}$ ;  $V_{3q;2}$ : (84)

The color correlation m atrices sim plify accordingly, for example

$$\Gamma_{q} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} c_{1}^{(3)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$
 (85)

which requires only the evaluation of one partial amplitude of the four-parton tree-level amplitude. W e m ay further restrict the set of products of invariants to

$$S_{g} = fs_{q1}s_{12}; s_{12}s_{23}; s_{23}s_{3q}g;$$
 (86)

since we can only have poles in  $s_{q1}$ ,  $s_{12}$ ,  $s_{23}$  or  $s_{3q}$ . Singling out the leading color contributions for  $e^+e$  !  $qg_1g_2g_3$  q together with the rem apping of phase space discussed in section 2.3 in proves the e ciency of the M onte C arb integration of the term d <sup>R</sup> d <sup>A</sup> su ciently so that most of the computer time is spent on the evaluation of the virtual one-loop am plitudes.

We nally remark that Z.Nagy and Z.Trocsanyi [4] have chosen a dierent approach in order to improve the eciency of the dipole formalism: They introduce an additional parameter and constrain the phase space over which the dipole factors are subtracted:

$$d^{A} = \overset{X}{d^{B}} d^{V}_{dipole} (y_{ij,k} < )$$
dipoles
(87)

where the dimensionless variable  $y_{ijk}$  is given by

$$y_{ijk} = \frac{p_i p_j}{p_i p_j + p_j p_k + p_i p_k}$$
 (88)

The three m ethods (rem apping of phase space, color decom position and restriction of the phase space for the dipole factors) could be combined, if there is any need for further improvement in the e ciency.

## 3 Regularization Schemes and Splitting Functions

Theoretical calculations of infrared-safe quantities in QCD should lead to unambiguous results, independent of the chosen regularization scheme. Term s sensitive to the precise de nition of the regularization scheme enter the calculation usually in the virtual part through tensor loop integrals, and in the real emission part through the splitting functions [12], which enter the dipole factors (in the dipole form alism) or the contribution from unresolved phase space (within phase space slicing). Within dimensional regularization scheme (CDR) [13], where all momenta and all polarization vectors are taken to be in D dimensions, the 't H ooff-Veltm an scheme (HV) [14], where the momenta and the helicities of the observed particles are 4 dimensional, and the four-dimensional helicity scheme (FDH) [15], where all polarization vectors are kept in four dimensions, as well as the momenta of the observed particles. Only the momenta of the unobserved particles are continued to D dimensions.

The procedure adopted in the num erical program is as follows. The one-bop amplitudes, calculated in the four-dimensional helicity scheme, were converted to the HV scheme, using the transition rules [16],

$$A_{FDH}^{\text{one loop}} A_{HV}^{\text{one loop}} = c g^2 A^{\text{tree}} \frac{N_c}{3} \frac{n_q}{4N_c} + \frac{n_q N_c}{12}$$
(89)

where  $n_q$  is the number of quarks. The one-loop amplitude for  $e^+e_-!$  qqgg is converted into the 't Hooft-Veltman scheme by

$$A_{HV}^{\text{one loop}} = A_{FDH}^{\text{one loop}} \quad c \; \frac{1}{2} g^2 N_C \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{N_C^2} A^{\text{tree}}; \qquad (90)$$

whereas the one-loop amplitude for  $e^+e$  !  $qqq^2q$  is converted by

$$A_{HV}^{\text{one loop}} = A_{FDH}^{\text{one loop}} \quad c \ g^2 N_C \quad \frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{1}{N_C^2} \quad A^{\text{tree}}$$
(91)

The splitting functions entering the contribution from the integrals over the dipole factors have then to be taken in the 't H ooft-Veltm an scheme as well. We take the form ul for the splitting functions in the HV -scheme from C atani, Seym our and Trocs anyi [17]. They

$$P_{g! gg} = 2C_{A} \frac{z}{1 z} + \frac{1 z}{z} + z(1 z) ;$$

$$P_{q! qg} = 2C_{F} \frac{2z}{1 z} + (1 ")(1 z) ;$$

$$P_{g! qq} = 2T_{R}N_{f} 1 \frac{2}{1 "}z(1 z)$$
(92)

A statistical factor of 1=2! for two identical particles is included in the g! gg case.

## 4 Phenom enology

The num erical program M ercutio developed in this work is a general purpose program for calculating any infrared safe four-, three- and two-jet observable to next-to-leading order in  $_{\rm s}$  (and ve-jet quantities at leading order). It is written in the language C++. The only approximations which have been made are the neglect of the light quark masses and term swhich are suppressed by 1=m  $_{\rm top}^4$  or higher powers of the top quark mass. Mercut io calculates the quantities in xed order in  $_{\rm s}$ , no resummation of term s of  $\ln^2 y_{\rm cut}$  or  $\ln y_{\rm cut}$  has (yet) been in plan ented. Therefore our results are reliable only for values of  $y_{\rm cut}$  which are not too small. The program calculates the jet quantities at the partonic level, and no hadronization is done.

The distribution for an infrared-safe variable O at the center-of-m ass energy Q at next-to-leading order is given by two coe cients, B  $_{\rm O}$  and C  $_{\rm O}$ , which represent the leading and next-to-leading order perturbative contributions:

$$\frac{1}{t_{ot}} O \frac{d}{dO} = \frac{s}{2} B_0 + \frac{s}{2} C_0 + 2_0 \ln \frac{2}{Q^2} B_0$$
(93)

W e norm alize all distributions to the total hadronic cross section  $_{tot}$  at 0 ( $_{s}$ ), given by

$$tot = {}^{\text{B orn}}_{2 \text{ jet}} 1 + - {}^{\text{s}} :$$
(94)

.

We further norm alize each variable such that it takes values between 0 and 1. The average of the variable is then de ned as

$$hOi = \frac{1}{tot} \int_{0}^{Z^{1}} O \frac{d}{dO} dO:$$
(95)

As our nom inal choice of input parameters we use  $N_c = 3$  colors and  $N_f = 5$  m assless quarks. We take the electrom agnetic coupling to be  $(m_z) = 1=127.9$  and the strong coupling to be  $(m_z) = 0.118$ . The numerical values of the  $Z^0$ -m ass and width are  $m_z = 91.187$  GeV and z = 2.490 GeV. For the top mass we take  $m_t = 174$  GeV and for the weak mixing angle  $\sin^2 w = 0.230$ . We take the center of mass energy to be  $P \overline{Q^2} = m_z$  and we set the renorm alization scale equal to  $2 = Q^2$ .

A lgorithm	Ycut	Mercutio MENLO PARC			RC		
	0.005	(3:93	0:02)	10 <sup>1</sup>	(3 <b>:</b> 79	0:08)	10 <sup>1</sup>
JADE-E0	0.01	(1:93	0:01)	10 <sup>1</sup>	<b>(1:</b> 88	0:03)	10 <sup>1</sup>
	0.03	(3:38	0:01)	10 <sup>2</sup>	<b>(3:</b> 46	0:05)	10 <sup>2</sup>
	Ycut	DE	BRECE	N	EERAD2		
	0.005	(3:88	0:07)	10 <sup>1</sup>	<b>(3:</b> 87	0:03)	10 <sup>1</sup>
	0.01	(1:92	0:01)	10 <sup>1</sup>	(1:93	0:01)	10 <sup>1</sup>
	0.03	(3 <b>:</b> 37	0:01)	10 <sup>2</sup>	(3:35	0:01)	10 <sup>2</sup>
A lgorithm	Ycut	Мe	ercuti	C	ΜEΝ	LO PA	RC
	0.005	(1 <b>:</b> 06	0:01)	10 <sup>1</sup>	(1 <b>:</b> 04	0:02)	10 <sup>1</sup>
DURHAM	0.01	<b>(4:</b> 72	0:01)	10 <sup>2</sup>	<b>(4:</b> 70	0:06)	10 <sup>2</sup>
	0.03	(6 <b>:</b> 96	0:03)	10 <sup>3</sup>	<b>(6:</b> 82	0:08)	10 <sup>3</sup>
	Ycut	DEBRECEN			EERAD2		
	0.005	(1 <b>:</b> 05	0:01)	10 <sup>1</sup>	(1 <b>:</b> 05	0:01)	10 <sup>1</sup>
	0.01	(4 <b>:</b> 66	0:02)	10 <sup>2</sup>	<b>(</b> 4 <b>:</b> 65	0:02)	10 <sup>2</sup>
	0.03	<b>(6:</b> 87	0:04)	10 <sup>3</sup>	(6 <b>:</b> 86	0:03)	10 <sup>3</sup>
A lgorithm	Ycut	Mercutio			MENLO PARC		
	0.02	<b>(2:</b> 67	0:05)	10 <sup>1</sup>	(2:56	0:06)	10 <sup>1</sup>
GENEVA	0.03	(1 <b>:</b> 79	0:03)	10 <sup>1</sup>	(1 <b>:</b> 71	0:03)	10 <sup>1</sup>
	0.05	<b>(8:</b> 53	0:07)	10 <sup>2</sup>	<b>(8:</b> 58)	0:15)	10 <sup>2</sup>
	Ycut	DEBRECEN			EERAD2		
	0.02	(2:63	0:06)	10 <sup>1</sup>	(2:61	0:05)	10 <sup>1</sup>
	0.03	(1.75	0:03)	$10^{1}$	(1:72	0:03)	10 <sup>1</sup>
	0.05	(+•/)	0.007		(_ • • -	,	

Table 1: The four-jet fraction as calculated by M ercutio, M ENLO PARC, DEBRECEN and EERAD 2, for di erent jet algorithm s and varying  $y_{cut}$ .

## 4.1 Four-Jet Fraction

The four-jet fraction has been calculated by each group which has provided a num erical NLO four-jet program and serves as a cross-check. The four-jet fraction is de ned as

$$R_4 = \frac{4 \text{ jet}}{\text{tot}}$$
(96)

The values obtained for the four-jet fraction for di erent jet algorithms (JADE [18], DURHAM [19] and GENEVA [20]) and varying  $y_{cut}$  are given in table 1, together with the corresponding values from the program sMENLO PARC by L.D ixon and A.Signer  $\beta$ ], DEBRECEN by Z.N agy and Z.Trocsanyi [4] and EERAD 2 by JM.Cam pbell, MA. Cullen and EW N.G lover [5].

## 4.2 The D-Param eter

G lobal event shape variables describe the topology of an event. They may be calculated without reference to a jet de ning algorithm. Like jet algorithms they have to be infrared safe. An example of a global event shape variable is the D -parameter [21] which is derived from the eigenvalues of the momentum tensor

$${}^{ij} = \frac{\stackrel{P}{\stackrel{p_a^i p_a^j}{p_a j}}{\stackrel{a}{\stackrel{p_a j}{p_a j}}}{\stackrel{p_a j}{\frac{p_a j}{p_a j}}}$$
(97)

where the sum runs over all nalstate particles and  $p_1^i$  is the i-th component of the threemomentum  $p_a$  of particle a in the cm. system. The tensor is normalized to have unit trace. In terms of the eigenvalues of the tensor, 1, 2, 3, with  $_1 + _2 + _3 = 1$ , one de nes

$$D = 27_{123} = 27 \det^{ij}:$$
(98)

The range of values is 0 D 1. The D-parameter measures aplanarity, since one needs at least four nal-state particles to obtain a non-vanishing value.

The values for the functions  $B_D$  and  $C_D$  are given in table 2. Figure 1 shows the D – parameter distribution. We remark that we have chosen to normalize the distribution to the total hadronic cross-section at O ( $_s$ ). The average of the shape variable is de ned as

$$hD i = \frac{1}{tot} \int_{0}^{Z^{1}} D \frac{d}{dD} dD :$$
 (99)

For the average we obtain

$$hD i = \frac{s}{2} \left( 5.82 \quad 0.01 \right) \quad 1\dot{b} + \frac{s}{2} \left( 2.43 \quad 0.06 \right) \quad 1\dot{0}: \quad (100)$$

These numbers agree with the ones given by N agy and Trocsanyias published in ref. [4] after taking care of di erent normalizations ( $_{tot}$  in our case and  $_{2 jet}^{B orm}$  in ref. [4]). The D-parameter distribution has also been calculated by C am pbell, C ullen and G lover [5].

#### 4.3 The Jet B roadening Variable

W ith the num erical program for  $e^+e^-! 4$  jets one may also study the internal structure of three-jets events. One example is the jet broadening variable de ned as [22]

$$B_{jet} = \frac{1}{n_{jets}} \frac{X}{\sum_{jets}^{P} \dot{p}_{a}^{2} \dot{j}}_{p_{a}j}$$
(101)

Here  $p_a^2$  is the momentum of particle a transverse to the jet axis of jet J, and the sum over a extends over all particles in the jet J. The jet broadening variable B<sub>jet</sub> considered here shall not be confused with the narrow jet broadening B<sub>min</sub>, which is de ned di erently. The later one has been recently calculated to NLO by Campbell, Cullen and G lover [5].

D	B <sub>D</sub>			C <sub>D</sub>		
0.02	(6:36	0:04)	10	(1:05	0:13)	10
0.06	(2:24	0:01)	10	(1:21	0:03)	10
0.10	(1:41	0:01)	10	<b>(8:</b> 37	0:26)	10
0.14	(9 <b>:</b> 96	0:08)	1ð	<b>(6:</b> 19	026)	10
0.18	(7 <b>:</b> 43	0:04)	1ð	<b>(4:</b> 84	0:25)	10
0.22	<b>(5:</b> 74	0:03)	1ð	<b>(3:</b> 76	0:08)	10
0.26	(4 <b>:</b> 51	0:04)	1ð	(3:00	0:13)	10
0.30	(3 <b>:</b> 61	0:02)	1ð	<b>(2:</b> 43	0:09)	10
0.34	<b>(2:</b> 90	0:02)	1ð	<b>(1:</b> 93	0:19)	10
0.38	(2:33	0:02)	1ð	(1:56	0:11)	10
0.42	(1:91	0:03)	1ð	(1:29	0:11)	10
0.46	(1 <b>:</b> 55	0:01)	1ð	(1:06	0:10)	10
0.50	(1:26	0:02)	1ð	<b>(8 :</b> 08	0:93)	10
0.54	(1:02	0:02)	1ð	<b>(7:</b> 10	0 <b>:</b> 72)	10
0.58	(8:20	0:16)	10	<b>(5:</b> 64	0:64)	10
0.62	<b>(6:</b> 52	0:12)	10	<b>(4:</b> 27	0:37)	10
0.66	<b>(5:</b> 09	0:08)	10	(3:32	0:46)	10
0.70	(3 <b>:</b> 97	0:05)	10	<b>(2:</b> 68	0:35)	10
0.74	<b>(2:</b> 94	0:06)	10	(2:19	0:35)	10
0.78	(2 <b>:</b> 15	0:08)	10	(1:34	0:15)	10
0.82	(1 <b>:</b> 52	0:05)	10	(1:14	020)	10
0.86	<b>(9:</b> 82	0:52)	10 <sup>1</sup>	<b>(6:</b> 28	1:15)	1ð
0.90	<b>(5:</b> 44	0:46)	10 <sup>1</sup>	(3 <b>:</b> 55	0 <b>:</b> 79)	1ð
0.94	(2:38	0:10)	10 <sup>1</sup>	<b>(1:</b> 87	1:03)	1ð
0.98	<b>(4:</b> 70	1:09)	10 <sup>2</sup>	<b>(2:</b> 15	8:31)	10

Table 2: The Born level and next-to-leading order functions  $B_{\rm D}\,$  and  $C_{\rm D}\,$  for the D-parameter.



Figure 1: The D-parameter distribution at NLO (diamonds) and LO (crosses).

The jet broadening variable is calculated for three-jet events de ned by the DURHAM algorithm and  $y_{cut} = 0:1$ . This choice is motivated by a recent analysis of the Aleph collaboration [22]. For the average we obtain

$$hB_{jet}i = \frac{s}{2} \left(1.79 \quad 0.01\right) \quad 10 + \frac{s}{2} \left(4.87 \quad 0.31\right) \quad 10: \quad (102)$$

The values for the functions  $B_{B_{jet}}$  and  $C_{B_{jet}}$  are given in table 3. Figure 2 shows the distribution of the jet broadening variable.

### 4.4 The Softest-jet Explanarity

For three jet events we de ne the softest-jet explanarity as follows:

$$E_{3jet} = \frac{\stackrel{P}{\underline{p}} \quad \underline{p}_{1} \quad \underline{p}_{2}}{\stackrel{P}{\underline{p}}_{a} j \, \underline{p}_{1} \quad \underline{p}_{2}}$$
(103)

where  $p_a$  is the three m on entum of particle a inside the softest jet and the sum runs over all particles in the softest jet.  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  denote the three m on enta of the remaining two jets in the event. The explanarity m easures the degree to which the shape of the softest jet lies out of the event plane. The de nition is motivated by the fact, that the explanarity w ill be sensitive to the color factors of QCD.

The softest-jet explanarity is calculated for three-jet events de ned by the DURHAM algorithm and  $y_{cut} = 0.1$ . For the average we obtain

$$hE_{3jet}i = \frac{s}{2} (129 \quad 0.01) \quad 1b + \frac{s}{2} (3.33 \quad 0.22) \quad 1b: \quad (104)$$

B <sub>jet</sub>	B <sub>Bjet</sub>			I	C <sub>Bjet</sub>	
0.02	(7:31	0:22)	10	( 1 <b>:</b> 71	0:66)	10
0.06	<b>(5:</b> 13	0:05)	1ð	(9 <b>:</b> 23	2 <b>:</b> 79)	1Ô
0.10	<b>(4:</b> 27	0:06)	1ð	(1:27	020)	10
0.14	(3 <b>:</b> 75	0:06)	1ð	(1:24	0:16)	10
0.18	(3:36	0:06)	1ð	(1:26	0:14)	10
0.22	(2 <b>:</b> 99	0:03)	1ð	(1:28	0:14)	10
0.26	<b>(2:</b> 74	0:03)	1ð	(1:13	0:12)	10
0.30	<b>(2:5</b> 0	0:02)	1ð	<b>(1:</b> 06	0:07)	10
0.34	(2:28	0:03)	1ð	(1:00	0:07)	10
0.38	<b>(2:</b> 08	0:02)	1ð	<b>(9:</b> 12	0:51)	1Ô
0.42	(1 <b>:</b> 87	0:01)	10	(7 <b>:</b> 92	0 <b>:</b> 69)	10
0.46	(1 <b>:</b> 67	0:02)	1ð	(7:59	1:19)	10
0.50	(1 <b>:</b> 44	0:02)	10	<b>(6:</b> 36	1 <b>:</b> 43)	10
0.54	(1:20	0:01)	1ð	<b>(5:</b> 75	0:30)	1Ô
0.58	<b>(</b> 9 <b>:</b> 42	0:18)	10	<b>(4:</b> 30	0:33)	10
0.62	<b>(6:</b> 46	0:12)	10	(3:33	0 <b>:</b> 65)	10
0.66	(3 <b>:</b> 76	0:07)	10	(1:84	0:18)	10
0.70	(1 <b>:</b> 60	0:04)	10	(7 <b>:</b> 97	1:07)	1ð
0.74	(3:39	028)	10 <sup>1</sup>	(1 <b>:</b> 95	0:55)	1ð
0.78	(1:11	0:31)	10 <sup>2</sup>	<b>(</b> 2 <b>:</b> 79	1:24)	10
0.82	0:00			(8:26	9 <b>:</b> 73)	10 <sup>2</sup>
0.86	0:00				00:00	
0.90	00:00			0:00		
0.94		0:00			00:00	
0.98	0:00 00:0					

Table 3: The Born level and next-to-leading order functions  $B_{B_{jet}}$  and  $C_{B_{jet}}$  for the jet broadening variable.



Figure 2: The B<sub>jet</sub> distribution at NLO (diam onds) and LO (crosses).

The values for the functions  $B_{E_{3jet}}$  and  $C_{E_{3jet}}$  are given in table 4. Figure 3 shows the distribution of the softest-jet explanarity.

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper we reported on the general purpose num erical program \M ercut io ", which can be used to calculate any infrared safe four-jet quantity in electron-positron annihilation at next-to-leading order. The program is based on the dipole form alism and uses a rem apping of phase-space in order to improve the e ciency of the M onte C arlo integration. W e presented num erical results for the four-jet fraction and the D -param eter. These results agree with the results from other groups. The program can also be used to investigate the internal structure of three-jet events at NLO.W e also presented results for previously uncalculated observables: the jet broadening variable and the soffest-jet explanarity.

## References

- Z.Bern, L.D ixon, D.A.Kosower, and S.W einzierl, Nucl. Phys. B489, (1997), 3
   Z.Bern, L.D ixon and D.A.Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B513, (1998), 3
- [2] E.W.N.G lover and D.J.M iller, Phys. Lett. B 396, (1997), 257
   J.M.Campbell, E.W.N.G lover, and D.J.M iller, Phys. Lett. B 409, (1997), 503
- [3] L.D ixon and A. Signer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, (1997), 811
   A.Signer, hep-ph/9705218
   L.D ixon and A. Signer, Phys. Rev. D 56, (1997), 4031

E <sub>3jet</sub>	B <sub>E 3jet</sub>			C <sub>E 3jet</sub>			
0.02	(7 <b>:</b> 06	0:16)	10	( 1:26	0:58)	10	
0.06	(4 <b>:</b> 59	0:07)	1ð	(1:30	0:13)	10	
0.10	(3 <b>:</b> 61	0:04)	10	(1:35	0:16)	10	
0.14	(2:99	0:02)	1ð	(1:19	0:12)	10	
0.18	(2:51	0:02)	10	(1:02	0:11)	10	
0.22	(2:13	0:02)	1ð	<b>(9:</b> 65	0:86)	10	
0.26	(1 <b>:</b> 83	0:01)	10	(8:13	1:37)	10	
0.30	(1:55	0:02)	1ð	(6 <b>:</b> 68	0:72)	10	
0.34	(1:32	0:02)	1ð	<b>(5:</b> 28	0:60)	10	
0.38	(1 <b>:</b> 12	0:01)	1ð	<b>(4:</b> 88	0 <b>:</b> 45)	10	
0.42	(9 <b>:</b> 32	0:13)	10	<b>(3:</b> 70	0:53)	10	
0.46	(7 <b>:</b> 62	0:10)	10	(3:14	0:33)	10	
0.50	<b>(6:</b> 07	0:15)	10	(2:03	0:34)	10	
0.54	(4 <b>:</b> 61	0:10)	10	<b>(1:</b> 70	0:32)	10	
0.58	(3 <b>:</b> 45	0:07)	10	(1:15	0:50)	10	
0.62	(2:26	0:09)	10	<b>(5:</b> 97	2:68)	10	
0.66	(1:28	0:04)	10	<b>(2:</b> 73	1:82)	10	
0.70	<b>(5:</b> 04	020)	10 <sup>1</sup>	<b>(9:</b> 87	8 <b>:</b> 67)	10	
0.74	<b>(7:2</b> 0	1:16)	10 <sup>2</sup>	( 0 <b>:</b> 70	3:08)	10	
0.78	<b>(2:</b> 07	2:68)	$10^{4}$	( 2:04	2:80)	10 <sup>2</sup>	
0.82	0:00				00:00		
0.86	0:00			0:00			
0.90	0:00			00:00			
0.94		0:00			00:00		
0.98	0:00			0:00			

Table 4: The Born level and next-to-leading order functions  $B_{E_{3jet}}$  and  $C_{E_{3jet}}$  for the softest-jet explanarity.



Figure 3: The  $E_{3 \text{ iet}}$  distribution at NLO (diamonds) and LO (crosses).

- [4] Z.Nagy and Z.Trocsanyi, Nucl. Phys. B 486, (1997), 189
  Z.Nagy and Z.Trocsanyi, Phys. Rev. Lett 79, (1997), 3604
  Z.Nagy and Z.Trocsanyi, Phys. Lett. B 414, (1997), 187
  Z.Nagy and Z.Trocsanyi, hep-ph/9708343
  Z.Nagy and Z.Trocsanyi, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 64, (1998), 63
  Z.Nagy and Z.Trocsanyi, Phys. Rev. D 57, (1998), 5793
  Z.Nagy and Z.Trocsanyi, Phys. Rev. D 59, (1999), 014020
  - Z.Nagy and Z.Trocsanyi, hep-ph/9808364
- [5] J.M. Campbell, M.A. Cullen, and E.W. N.G lover, hep-ph/9809429
- [6] S.Frixione, Z.Kunszt, and A.Signer, Nucl. Phys. B467, (1996), 399
- [7] S. Catani, M. H. Seymour, Nucl. Phys. B485, (1997), 291, Erratum ibid. B510, (1997), 503,
- [8] W.L.van Neerven and J.A.M. Verm aseren, Nucl. Phys. B238, (1984), 73;
  H.Baer, J.Ohnem us, and J.F.Owens, Phys. Rev. D 40:2844 (1989);
  K.Fabricius, G.Kram er, G.Schierholz, and I.Schm itt, Z.Phys. C11:315 (1981);
  G.Kram er and B.Lam pe, Fortschr. Phys. 37:161 (1989)
- [9] W.T.Giele and E.W.N.Glover, Phys. Rev. D 46, (1992), 1980
- [10] F.A.Berends, W.T.Giele, and H.Kuijf, Nucl. Phys. B 321, (1989), 39
- [11] Z.Bern, L.D ixon, D.C.D unbar, and D.A.Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B425, (1994), 217
- [12] G.Altarelli and G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B126, (1977), 298
- [13] J.C.Collins, Renorm alization, Cambridge University Press, 1984

- [14] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltm an, Nucl. Phys. B44, (1972), 189
- [15] Z.Bern and D.A.Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B 379, (1992), 451
- [16] A. Signer, Ph.D. thesis, Diss. ETH Nr. 11143
- [17] S.Catani, M.H. Seymour, and Z. Trocsanyi, Phys. Rev. D 55, (1997), 6819
- [18] W .Bartelet al., Z.Phys.C 33, (1986), 23
- [19] Report of the Hard QCD W orking G roup, in Proc.Durham W orkshop on Jet Studies at LEP and HERA, J.Phys.G17, (1991), 1537
- [20] S.Bethke, Z.Kunszt, D.E.Soper, and W.J.Stirling, Nucl. Phys B 370, (1992), 310
- [21] G. Parisi, Phys. Lett. 74B, (1978), 65
- [22] R. Barate et al., A leph Collaboration, CERN-EP/98-16