A .V .B erezhnoy

Nuclear Physics Institute of Moscow State University,

Moscow, Russia

and

## V.V.Kiselev, A.K.Likhoded

## State Research Center of Russia \Institute of H igh Energy Physics", Protvino, M oscow region, 142284, Russia

## Abstract

In the fram ework of the model motivated by perturbative calculations in the fourth O ( $\frac{3}{s}$ )-order, the estimates for the p cross-section of the D -m eson production in the ZEUS experiment are performed. We factorize the hadronization of (cq)-state hardly produced in perturbative QCD, which allows us to take into account the higher twist terms in the powers of  $1=p_T$  at  $p_T$  m<sub>c</sub> and to correctly reproduce the c-quark fragmentation dominant at high  $p_T$  m<sub>c</sub> to the given order of  $_s$ . We nd a good agreement with the experimental data on the photoproduction, if the cobr-octet (cq)-state is taken into account, which yields hO (g) i 0:33 0:49 GeV<sup>3</sup>.

The measurement of the charm production by the ZEUS and H1 collaborations at HERA in the interaction of quazireal photon with the proton [1, 2] rises the problem on the data interpretation in the framework of QCD. The description of process for the heavy quark production in the perturbative QCD (pQCD) is completely justiled due to the hardness of partonic subprocesses. At present, there are two types of calculations based on the results in the next-to-leading order over  $_{s}$ , i.e. in O ( $_{s}^{2}$ ): for the production of massive and massless quarks, correspondingly [3, 4].

In the both approaches the fragmentation m odel for the c-quark hadronization into D was used at  $p_T = m_c$ . It was applied in the following form:

$$\frac{d^{2}}{dzdp_{T}} = \frac{d^{2}cc}{dk_{T}} \left(k_{T}; \right) = \frac{d^{2}cc}{dk_{T}} \left(k_{T}; \right) = \frac{D_{c! D}(z;)}{z};$$
(1)

where  $D_{c! D}$  (z; ) is a fragmentation function normalized by a probability of the c-quark fragmentation into the D -m eson, W (c! D), which is empirically determined from the  $e^+e$  -annihilation data [5], where W (c! D) = 0.22 0.014 0.14, and p is the factorization scale for the perturbative partonic cross section  $d^-=dk_T$ . It is clear that such the approach does not allow one to describe the experimental data in the region

of  $p_T = m_c$ , where the fragmentation is not in the saturation regime, yet. The fact is valid even for the case of calculations with the massive quarks, wherein the pQCD can be correctly applied in the region of  $p_T = m_c$ .

The most important assumption of this method is that the factorization takes place for the probability of isolated c-quark hadronization and its hard production. This circum stance automatically leads to the mentioned restriction:  $p_T = m_c$ .

In this paper, we discuss a model of the charmed meson production with the hadronization of (eq)-system into the D -meson instead of the hadronization of isolated c-quark. So, it is quite reasonable to apply this approach in the region of  $p_T = m_c$ , too, wherein the pQCD can be used. In the production of (eq)-state, it is necessary to take into account the production of the additional quark pair, so that, in the leading order of  $_s$ , the O ( $_s^3$ ) calculation must be used. Am ong the full set of the tree level diagram s on Fig. 1, we can see several diagram s (i.e. 16 and 19) of heavy quark fragm entation, which dom inates at  $p_T = m_c$ . At high transverse momenta, they reproduce the results of fragm entation model with the follow ing fragm entation function [6]:

$$D_{c! D}(z) = \frac{8^{-2}hO^{eff}i}{27m_{c}^{3}} \frac{rz(1 z)^{2}}{(1 (1 r)z^{6})} (2 2(3 2r)z + 3(3 2r + 4n)z^{2})$$

$$2(1 r)(4 r + 2n)z^{3} + (1 r)^{2}(3 2r + 2r^{2})z^{4}); \qquad (2)$$

where  $r = m_q = (m_q + m_c)$  and

$$bO^{eff}i \quad bO_{(1)}i = \frac{1}{12M} \quad g + \frac{p p}{M^2} \quad bD \quad (p)j(c q)(q c)j) \quad (p)i;$$

which corresponds to the squared value of the quarkonium wave function at the origin for two quarks in the fram ework of nonrelativistic potential model: h0 (1)  $i_{NR} = j$  (0)  $j^2$ . We have introduced the e ective m ass of light quark m q, which determ ines both the norm alization and the form of fragmentation function. It is worth to stress that, in the higher orders of QCD, the hard part of processes does not depend on m<sub>g</sub>. However, the problem of infrared divergences always presents in these cases. U sually, it can be removed by a rede nition of initial and nal states. For the initial states one takes into account the QCD -evolution of intrinsic quark-gluon sea. One can introduce a regularization parameter  $(T_{\rm T}$  in the NLO-calculations in [3, 4]) to avoid the di culties connected to the nonperturbative hadronic sea in the nalstate. In the model under consideration, m  $_{\rm cr}$ m =2 is used as an infrared regulator. In this case the gluonic virtualities are greater than  $k_{a}^{2}j = p_{a}^{2} + m^{2}$ . So, the fact allows us to believe in the reliability of pQCD calculation. The D-meson production in ete-annihilation has been used to x the model parameters. We nd that the form and norm alization of fragm entation function with the account for the QCD -evolution is in rather a good agreem ent with the LEP data, if the following values of parameters are chosen as below:

$$s = 0.35;$$
  

$$m_{q} = 0.3 \text{ GeV};$$
  

$$m_{c} = 1.5 \text{ GeV};$$
  

$$m_{c}^{\text{eff}} = 0.25 \text{ GeV}^{3};$$
  
W (c! D) = 0.22:  
(3)

In  $e^+e^-$  annihilation the enforcement of color-singlet (cq)-state takes place in comparison with the octet contribution due to the color amplitude structure, so that

$$\text{bo}^{\text{eff}} i = \text{bo}_{(1)} i + \frac{1}{8} \text{bo}_{(8)} i;$$
 (4)

where

$$hO_{(8)}i = \frac{1}{8M}$$
  $g + \frac{p p}{M^2}$   $hD_{(p)}j(c^{a}q)(q^{b}c)j(p)i\frac{ab}{8}$ :

It is qualitatively clear that the relative contribution of the octet four quark operator  $10_{(8)}i=10_{(1)}i$  is determined by the relative velocity v of the quark motion in the hadron. For example, in the hard production of heavy quarkonium the octet fraction is strongly suppressed due to the nonrelativistic expansion in v 1 [7]. For the meson, containing the light relativistic quark, we can expect that  $10_{(8)}i=10_{(1)}i$  1, since v 1. Supposing this arrangement in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation, we see that  $10_{(1)}i$  is dominant in  $10^{eff}i$ . The normalization of octet operator  $10_{(8)}i$  remains the free parameter. It can be determined from a process with another color structure of the production amplitude, for example, from the photoproduction. In this case, the essential contribution due to diagram s of nonfragmentation type (recombination) takes place at  $p_T = m_c$ . We have to emphasize that, in this kinematical region, the octet contribution is not suppressed in comparison with the singlet one.

The calculation results are shown in Fig.2 in comparison with the experimental data of ZEUS [1] in the following kinematical region:  $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}$ , 1:5 < < 1:5, 130 GeV < W < 280 GeV,  $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ , where W is the total energy of p interaction,  $Q^2$  is the photonic virtuality, and is the pseudorapidity of D -m eson.

We see that the value of the D  $\cdot m$  eson production cross section cannot be explained by the singlet mechanism only. The relative value of octet contribution hO  $_{(8)}i=hO$   $_{(1)}i=1:3$  allows us to describe the spectra of D  $\cdot m$  esons. We would like to emphasize that the singlet term, say, at  $p_T > 4$  GeV is very close to the predictions in the model for the production of isolated c-quark [3, 4].

Following a request by the ZEUSC ollaboration we have calculated the di erential cross sections for the D -m eson production, as predicted by the model under consideration and shown in Fig.3, at low W for the following kinematical region:  $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}$ , 1:< < 15, 80 GeV < W < 120 GeV,  $Q^2 < 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2$ . The same values of hO <sub>(1)</sub>i and hO <sub>(8)</sub>i have been used in the both calculations.

U sing the experim ental data by the ZEUS collaboration, only, we cannot exclude the possibility of other ratio between the singlet and octet operators, if the octet fraction is not suppressed in the fragmentation regime in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation. Then, for example, at hO <sub>(1)</sub>i' 0:14 G eV<sup>3</sup> and hO <sub>(8)</sub> i=hO <sub>(1)</sub>i 3:5 we nd a good description of the experimental data (see Fig. 4). However, the low value of singlet operator in combination with the high fraction of octet contribution results in quite a slow variation of the octet term.

Further, in the calculations we have neglected the di erences between the spectra of color-octet vector and pseudoscalar states, whose contributions have been e ectively sum m ed up in the corresponding operator. M oreover, we have not considered a possible in uence by the octet P -w ave states, which seem to be very unstable for the heavy-light system .

In conclusion, we have presented the model for the charmed meson production in photon-proton collisions with the hadronization of (cq)-state in contrast to the usual restriction by the isolated c-quark. It allows us to make justiled predictions in the range of  $p_T = m_c$ , which improves the results of simple c-quark fragmentation regime dominant at high transverse momenta. In addition, the model includes the contributions of color-singlet and octet (cq)-states, which depend on the color structure of production amplitude. So, in  $e^+e^-$  -annihilation the octet fraction is suppressed, while in the photoproduction we have found that the singlet contribution underestimates the data, and the octet operator can be measured so that, in the conservative evaluation, we extract

 $hO_{(8)}i = 0.33 = 0.49 \, \text{GeV}^3$ :

To improve the estimation, we plan to analyze the model predictions for the electroproduction, where the photon can be essentially virtual, in comparison with the current data.

This work is in part supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grants 96-02-18216 and 96-15-96575.

The authors would like to express the gratitude to Prof. A W agner and m embers of DESY Theory G roup for their kind hospitality during the visit to DESY, where this work was elaborated, as well as to Prof. S.S.G ershtein for discussions. The authors thank Prof. G.K ram er for conversation and remarks, which essentially improved the presentation of paper.

We thank Leonid G ladilin (ZEUS) for useful discussions, explanations on the data sample and help in our research.

## References

- [1] J.Breitweg et al, ZEUS Collab., Eur. Phys. J. C 6, 67 (1999).
- [2] C Adlo et al, H1 Collab., preprint DESY 98-204, hep-ex/9812023 (1998).
- [3] S Frixione, M L M angano, P N ason, G R idol, Phys. Lett. B 348, 633 (1995); S Frixione, P N ason, G R idol, Nucl. Phys. B 454, 3 (1995).
- [4] B A Kniehl, G Kramer, M. Spira, Z. Phys. C 76, 689 (1997);
  JB innewies, B A Kniehl, G Kramer, Z. Phys. C 76, 677 (1997);
  JB innewies, B A Kniehl, G Kramer, Phys. Rev. D 58, 014014 (1998);
  M Cacciari, M G reco, Z. Phys. C 69, 459 (1996);
  M Cacciari, M G reco, S Rolli, A Tanzini, Phys. Rev. D 55, 2736 (1997);
  M Cacciari, M G reco, Phys. Rev. D 55, 7134 (1997);
- [5] K Akersta et al, OPAL Collab., Eur. Phys. J. C1, 439 (1998).
- [6] C. H. Chang, Y. Q. Chen, Phys. Rev. D 46, 3845 (1992), D 50, 6013 (E) (1994);
   E. Braaten, K. Cheung, T. C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 48, 4230 (1993);
   V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, M. V. Shevlyagin, Z. Phys. C 63, 77 (1994);

T £ Yuan, Phys.Rev.D 50, 5664 (1994); K £ heung, T £ Yuan, Phys.Rev.D 53, 3591 (1996).

[7] G.T.Bodwin, E.Braaten, G.P.Lepage, Phys. Rev. D 51,1125 (1995);
 T.M.annel, G.A.Schuller, Z.Phys. C 67, 159 (1995);
 E.Braaten, S.F.leming, T.C.Yuan, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 46, 197 (1996).



Fig. 1. The leading order diagram s for the (cq)-state production in g interactions.



Fig.2. The distributions of D -m eson photoproduction for  $hO_8i=hO_1i = 1:3$  in comparison with the ZEUS data at 130 GeV < W < 280 GeV and  $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ . The color-singlet term is shown by the dashed histogram, the octet contribution is given by the dotted histogram, and the solid histogram presents the sum of two term s.



Fig.3. The distributions of D -m eson photoproduction for  $hO_8i=hO_1i = 1:3$  at 80 GeV < W < 120 GeV and  $Q^2 < 0:01 \text{ GeV}^2$ . The notations are the same as in Fig.2.



Fig. 4. The distributions of D -m eson photoproduction for  $hO_8i=hO_1i = 3.5$  in comparison with the ZEUS data at 130 GeV < W < 280 GeV and  $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ . The notations are the same as in Fig. 2.



Fig.5. The distributions of D -m eson photoproduction for  $hO_8i=hO_1i = 3.5$  at 80 GeV < W < 120 GeV and  $Q^2 < 0.01$  GeV<sup>2</sup>. The notations are the same as in Fig.2.