N.N.A chasov and G.N. Shestakov

Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, S.L. Sobolev Institute for M athem atics, 630090, N ovosibirsk 90, Russia

Abstract

It is shown that the list of unusual mesons planned for a careful study in photoproduction can be extended by the exotic states X (1600) with $I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 2^{+}(2^{++})$ which should be looked for in the 0 decay channels in the reactions N ! ⁰N and ⁰. The full classi cation of the ⁰ states by their quantum numbers is N ! presented. A simple model for the spin structure of the p! f_2 (1270)p, p! a_2^0 (1320)p, and N ! X (N;) reaction amplitudes is form ulated and the tentative estimates of the corresponding cross sections at the incident photon energy E 6 GeV are obtained: $(p! f_2(1270)p) = 0:12 b$, $(p! a_2^0(1320)p)$ 025 b, (N! X N! ++ ! 0 + +) ^{0}N) 0.018 b, and (p! X 0:031 b. The problem of the signal extraction from the natural background due to the other 0 + production Х channels is discussed. In particular the estimates are presented for the p! h_1 (1170) $^+$ n, p! ⁰⁺ n! ^{+ 0 +} n, and p!! ⁰p reaction cross sections. Our main conclusion is that the search for the exotic X $(2^+ (2^{++}))$ states is quite feasible at JEFLAB facility. The expected yield of the N ! X N ! ⁰N events in a 30-day run at the 100% detection e ciency approximates 2:8 10⁶ events.

PACS number(s): 13.60 Le, 12.40 Nn, 14.40 Ev

em ail: achasov@ m ath nsc.ru em ail: shestako@ m ath nsc.ru

I. IN TRODUCTION

The intensive photon beam with an energy of 6 GeV and the CLAS detector at Jefferson Laboratory (JEFLAB) will allow us to perform, to a high accuracy, measurements of the multimeson photoproduction processes [1-4]. Hunting for various unusual resonant states will be one of the main directions of forthcoming investigations [1-4]. In particular, similar to the current e^+e^- experiments [5-7], the rare radiative decays ! $f_0(980)$! and ! $a_0^2(980)$! ⁰, which are an excellent laboratory for studying the makeup of the $f_0(980)$ and $a_0^0(980)$ [8-10], will be looked for in the reaction p ! p. It is also proposed to study some states from the $J^{PC} = 0$, even⁺,

odd ⁺ exotic series, for example, the $^{(1600)}$ state with $J^{PC} = 1^{+}$ in the reactions p! (;; ; ⁰; f₁)N [2-4].

In the present work, we show that the list of exotic mesons planned for studying in photoproduction at JEFLAB and other facilities can be extended by the states X (1600) with $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$ being members of an isotopic multiplet with the isospin I = 2. These states should be looked for in the ⁰ decay channels in the reactions p! ^{+ 0} (n; ⁰), n! ⁰ (p; ⁺), p! ⁰ ⁺⁺, and n! ^{+ 0} which can occur via the Regge pole exchange.

As is known, the neutral isotensor tensor state X^{0} (1600; I^{G} (J^{PC}) = 2⁺ (2⁺⁺)) [11] has been observed near the threshold in the reactions ! 00 [12,13] and ! + [14,15] (see, for reviews, Refs. [16,17] and the ARGUS data shown in Figure 1, as an illustration of the situation in the collisions). The speci c features due to this state were predicted in Refs. [18,19] on the basis of the q^2 M II in the reactions ! bag model [20]. While a resonance interpretation of the data on the reactions ! seems to us to be most adequate, it is not yet completely unquestionable and nally established (see, for example, discussions in Refs. [16,17,21,22]). Similar to the other candidates in \certi ed" exotic states [23], the state X^{0} (1600; 2⁺ (2⁺⁺)) is in need of further con mations and it is not improbable that just photoproduction of its charged partners, X , will become crucial in this respect 1 .

⁰ states by their quantum num bers, indicate those states In Sec. Π_{r} we classify the which can be essential near the nom inal threshold, and brie y discuss the resonances known coupled to the $^{0+}$ channels which can be the sources of the background for the X $(2^+ (2^{++}))$ signals. In Sec. III, using the available information on the processes ! f_2 (1270) ! , ! a_2^0 (1320) ! + 0 ; 0 , and ! , the vector dom inance model (VDM), and the factorization property of the Regge pole exchanges, we establish the spin structure for the p! f_2 (1270)p, p! a_2^0 (1320)p, and N! X (N;) reaction am plitudes and estim ate the values of the corresponding cross sections. At the incident photon energy E 6 GeV, we obtain (p! f_2 (1270)p) 0:12 b, (p! a⁰₂(1320)p) 0:25 b, (N! X N! ^{0}N) 0:018 b and (p! $X^{++}! = 3 (p! X^{+} 0! + 0) 0.031 b.$

In Sec. IV, we discuss, mainly by the example of the reactions N ! 0 + N, the problem of the X state extraction from the natural background caused by the other 0 + production channels and estimate a number of relevant partial cross sections. U sing, as a guide, information on the statistics planned for the rare decays of the

m eson produced in the reaction p! p[1,2], we come to the conclusion that the search

 $^{^{1}}$ The cross sections for hadroproduction of the X 0 (1600; 2⁺ (2⁺⁺)) doubly charged partners were estimated in Ref. [24].

for the exotic X $(2^+ (2^{++}))$ states near the threshold at JEFLAB facility should be expected quite successful. New know ledge about hadron spectroscopy, which will be obtained as a result of such measurements, seem to be extremely important.

II. STATES OF THE ⁰ SY STEM

These states have the positive G parity. Their classi cation by the total isospin I, total moment J, P parity, C parity of a neutral component of the isotopic multiplet, total spin S, and total orbital angular moment L is presented in Table I.As seen from the table, ve of eight series of the 0 states are exotic, i.e. forbidden in the qq system 2 . The speci c examples of the resonance states exist so far only in the rst, second, and eight series ³. Among the states with even J, only the exotic ones with $I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 2^{+}(0^{++})$ and 2^+ (2^{++}) possess the $2^{S+1}L_{J}$ con qurations with L = 0 and therefore can, in principle, e ectively manifest them selves near the nom inal threshold (2m 1540 M eV).Note that one can speak with con dence about coupling to the channel only in the case of the $_{3}$ (1690) and X (1600; 2⁺ (2⁺⁺)) states. Indeed, in the dominant $_{3}$ (1690) ! 4 decay, the mode may reach of 50% [26], and the X^0 (1600; 2⁺ (2⁺⁺)) state has been discovered for the rst time just in the channel (see the Introduction). The b (1235) resonance lies deeply under the threshold and has been observed the in the four-pion channel only in the ! mode [26]. As for the (1700) ! 4 decay then the available data do not contradict to the absent of the component in this decay $[26]^4$. However, for any 4 decay mechanisms the (1700) and $_{3}(1690)$ resonance contributions to the reactions N ! 0 + (N;) should be considered as a possible important and, may be, major background for signals from the X (1600; 2^+ (2^{++})) production. Note that the

(1700) and $_3$ (1690) states have not yet been observed in photoproduction and that the available results on di ractive 0 (1700) and 0_3 (1690) photoproduction for E < 10 GeV are in need of re nem ents.

 $^{^2}$ Notice that we do not include in Table I two exotic 0 states with I^G (J^{PC}) = 1⁺ (0) and 1⁺ (0⁺) because they correspond to the $^{2S+1}L_J$ = 3 P₀, and 1S_0 , 5D_0 con gurations that are forbidden by Bose symmetry in the limit of the stable mesons. Therefore, in the realistic case, the production amplitudes of two unstable mesons with the fourmomenta q_L and q_L have to be proportional, for these states, to the factor ($q_L^2 - q_L^2$) which forbids a \simultaneous" appearance of two peaks in the P-w avem ass spectra of the nal ($_1$ and ($_2$ system s. For the same reason, we om it som e $^{2S+1}L_J$ con gurations in the right column of Table I, for example, the 3S_1 con guration for the I^G (J^{PC}) = 2⁺ (1⁺⁺) state and 3D_2 for 2⁺ (2⁺⁺).

³The $_2$ state from the third series with I^G (J^{P C}) = 1⁺ (2) and with the expected mass near 1700 MeV [25] and also its isoscalar partners $!_2$ and $_2$ have not yet been observed in multibody mass spectra [26]. However, the current data on the reaction $p ! a_0^0$ (980)n are conclusively indicative of the $_2$ Regge pole exchange [27]. Besides the a_0 and channels, the $_2$ state may be also coupled to !, a_2 , a_1 , h_1 , b_1 , ($_{k-wave}$, and f_2 system s.

⁴ In this context the notation (1700) [26] is used som ewhat conditionally. A ctually, by the (1700) we mean the whole 4 enhancement with $I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 1^{+}(1)$ which has been observed in the reactions p! + p and $p! + 0^{0}p$ (how ever, perhaps with the 3 (1690) admixture [28]), i.e. the odd" o (or (1600)) resonance [29]. Taking into account the splitting of the 0 into two -like resonances (1450) and (1700) [30]) in photoproduction, according to the available data [26,31-36], is not critical at least for our purposes.

III. EST IM ATES OF THE f_2 (1270), a_2^0 (1320), AND X (1600; 2⁺ (2⁺⁺)) PHOTOPRODUCTION CROSS SECTIONS

We shall assume that the p! f_2p , p! a_2^0p and N! X (N;) reaction cross sections at high energies are dominated by the Regge pole exchanges with natural parity, i.e. by the ⁰, !, and Regge poles ⁵. Note that the one-pion exchange is forbidden in these reactions and we neglect by the b_1 ; h_1 ; 2, and !₂ exchanges with unnatural parity. In order to establish the spin structure for the p! f_2p , p! a_2^0p , and N! X (N;) reaction am plitudes in the Regge region and estimate the corresponding cross sections we shall rst discuss the f_2 ; a_2^0 , and X⁰ production in collisions (our approach is closely related essentially with that of Stodolsky and Sakurai [37] to the construction of the m eson exchange model for ⁺⁺ production in the reaction p! ⁰ ⁺⁺).

As is known, in the cm. system in the reactions $! f_2 ! [38]$, ! a ! $(^{+} ^{0}; ^{0})$ [39,40] and ! near the threshold [13,15] (see Fig. 1), form ation of the tensor $(J^P = 2^+)$ resonances occur mainly in the $J_z = -2$ spin states with the spin quantization z-axis taken along the momentum one of two photons; in so doing $J_z = 1$ 2, where 1 and 2 are the photon helicities. Two-photon excitation of the 2^+ resonances in the pure $J_z = 2$ states corresponds to the production amplitude of the form g_{2^+} T F ¹ F ² [18,41,42], where T is the symmetric traceless polarization tensor for the nal 2⁺ resonance with helicity, $F^{i} = k_{i}^{i} (k_{i}) k_{i}^{i} (k_{i})$, and (k_{i}) is the usual polarization vector for the photon with the fourm on entum k_i and helicity i = 1 (i = 1;2). Indeed, in the cm. system, the spatial part of the tensor $I = (F^{-1}F^{-2} + F^{-2}F^{-1}) = 2 \text{ for } 1 = 2 \text{ has the form } \mathbf{\tilde{K}_1} \mathbf{\tilde{f}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{(k_1)} \mathbf{\tilde{k}_2} + \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{(k_2)} + \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{(k_2)} + \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{(k_2)} \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{(k_2)} + \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{(k_2)} \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{(k_2)} \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{(k_2)} \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{(k_2)} \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1} \mathbf{\tilde{f}}^{-1}$ ${}^{0}_{1}(k_{1}) {}^{0}_{m}(k_{2})$] (where ${}^{0}(k_{1}) = \tilde{k}_{1} = \tilde{k}_{1}$; and l; m = 1; 2; 3) and corresponds to the wave function of the system with J = 0 which is orthogonal to T_{lm} with $= J_z = 0$, whereas, for 1 = 2 = 1; $I_{lm} = 2\tilde{k}_1 \tilde{j}_1 (k_1) m^1 (k_2)$ corresponds to the states of the system with the totalm on ent J = 2 and $J_z = 2$.

In the spirit of the vector m eson dom in ance (VDM) and naive quark model, we shall consider that the V ! 2^+ transition amplitudes have the form $g_{2^+ V} T F F^V$ (where $V = ; !; F^V = k_V \vee (k_V) k_V \vee (k_V)$; and $\vee (k_V)$ is the polarization vector of the V m eson with the fourmomentum k_V and helicity $_V$) and that the coupling constants $g_{2^+ V}$ and g_{2^+} obey the following relations:

$$g_{f_2} = 3g_{f_2} = g_{a_2} = 3g_{a_2} = \frac{9}{10}g_{f_2} = \frac{f}{e} = \frac{1}{2}g_{a_2} = \frac{f}{e} = \frac{1}{2}g_{a_2} = \frac{1}{2}g_{a_2} = \frac{3f}{e} :$$
 (1)

Sm all deviations known from these relations are not of principle for the following estimates. Using Eq. (1) and the conventional values for the widths [26]

$$f_2 = \frac{g_{f_2}^2}{4} \frac{m_{f_2}^3}{80} = 2.45 \text{ keV} \text{ and } \frac{a_{e^+e}}{3} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{m}{(f^2=4)} = 6.77 \text{ keV} ;$$
 (2)

where $= e^2 = 4 = 1 = 137$, we not that $f^2 = 4 = 2.02$,

$$\frac{g_{f_2}^2}{4} = 0.0212 \,\text{GeV}^2 \text{; and} \quad f_2 = \frac{g_{f_2}^2}{4} \frac{\text{f} \text{f} \text{j}}{5} + \frac{1}{2} \text{r} + \frac{1}{6} \text{r}^2 = 340 \,\text{keV} \text{; (3)}$$

⁵W herever possible, we do not indicate, for short, the resonance m asses, i.e., we write, for example, f_2 instead of f_2 (1270), etc.

where $r = m^2 = m_{f_2}^2$ and $j k j = m_{f_2} (1 r) = 2$.

Let us now construct the s-channel helicity amplitudes M $\binom{()}{f_2} \stackrel{o}{p}_{p}$ for the reaction p ! f_2p corresponding to the elementary exchange. As is well known, at high energies and xed momentum transfers, such amplitudes possess one of the major properties of the Regge pole amplitudes, namely, the factorization property of the spin structures for mesonic and baryonic vertices. Thus, in the cm. system,

$$M_{f_{2}}^{()} = V_{f_{2}}^{()} (t) \frac{2s}{t m^{2}} V_{p}^{()} (t) ; \qquad (4)$$

where $s = (k + p)^2$, $t = (q + k)^2$, $k + p = q + p^0$, $k;q;p;p^0$ and $;_{f_2};_{p};_{p}^0$ are the four-m om enta and helicities of the photon, f_2 m eson, initial and nalprotons respectively. A coording to the model suggested above for the f_2 interaction, the corresponding vertex function looks as follow s:

where $j_1^{f_2}$ is the three-dimensional tensor spin wave function of the nal f_2 meson in its rest frame (j;l=1;2;3), $\sim = q \quad k,t \quad \sim^2$, \sim is the three-dimensional polarization vector of the photon, the vector $\mathbf{n}_0 = k = jkj$ is aligned along the z (or 3) axis, and the vector $\mathbf{n} = [n_0 \sim]$ is aligned along the y (or 2) axis which is the norm al to the reaction plane. Note that the explicit form of the vertex function $V \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ p \\ p \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{p=p}^{0} (t)$ will not be required in the following; here we also neglect $t_{min} \qquad m_p^2 m_{f_2}^4 = s^2$. Eq. (5) yields

$$V_{2}^{()}(t) = \frac{g_{f_2} - t}{2^{p} - 1}; \quad V_{1}^{()}(t) = \frac{g_{f_2} - t}{2^{p} - 1}; \quad V_{0}^{()}(t) = -\frac{g_{f_2} - t}{4^{p} - 1}; \quad (6)$$

M oreover, in our model, the relative contributions of the helicity amplitudes with for

= 3 and 2 vanish asymptotically. Eq. (6) in plies that, for $t < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, the contributions to the di erential cross sections from the amplitudes with $_{f_2} = 1$ and $_{f_2} = 0$ are suppressed relative to those of the amplitudes with $_{f_2} = 2$ by the factors $t=m_{f_2}^2$ and $t^2=6m_{f_2}^4$ respectively. Thus, our model predicts a dom inance of the f_2 production amplitudes with $_{f_2} = 2$ in the region $t < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$. Going to the real physical amplitudes caused by the Regge pole exchange, $\mathbb{M}_{f_2}^{(1)} = p$, we shall accept this prediction as a natural assumption and take into account hereinafter just the amplitudes $\mathbb{M}_{2p}^{(1)} = p$, by $\mathfrak{F}_{f_2}^{(1)}$ (t) and $\mathfrak{F}_{pp}^{(1)}$ (t). The parity conservation and residue factorization [43] yield $\mathbb{M}_{2pp}^{(1)} = \mathbb{M}_{2pp}^{(1)} = (1)^{p} \mathbb{M}_{2pp}^{(1)} \mathbb{M}_{2pp}^{(1)} = 0$. Therefore we dealonly with two independent amplitudes, for example, $\mathbb{M}_{2pp}^{(1)} = p$ outribution to the cross section. Indeed, assuming, as in the naive quark model, that $\mathfrak{F}_{f_2}^{(1)}$ (t) = $\mathfrak{F}_{f_2}^{(1)}$ (t) = 3 $\mathfrak{F}_{ppp}^{(1)} = p^{(1)}$, and also degeneracy of the and ! Regge trajectories,

(t) = (t), we obtain $\mathbb{M}_{2\frac{1}{2}1\frac{1}{2}}^{(!)} = \mathbb{M}_{2\frac{1}{2}1\frac{1}{2}}^{(!)}$. On the other hand, the ! exchange am plitude with a helicity ip in the nucleon vertex is assumed negligible because $\psi_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}^{(!)}$ (t) $< < \psi_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}^{(!)}$ (t) and in addition $\psi_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}^{(!)}$ (t) $= \operatorname{Trans}_{1} = 1 \operatorname{GeV}^{2} < < \psi_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}^{(!)}$ (t) (see, for example [44-47]). Finally, for the reaction p! f₂p in the standard norm alization we have

$$(p! f_2p) = \frac{1}{16 s^2} \begin{bmatrix} z & z \\ 4 & M \\ \frac{2^1}{2^1 1^1} \end{bmatrix}^2 + M \begin{bmatrix} z \\ 2^1 1 \\ \frac{2^1}{2^1} \end{bmatrix}^2 dt = 4 \begin{bmatrix} z \\ nf \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{4} R ;$$
(7)

where the integral is taken over the region $0 < t < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ which gives the main contribution to the cross section, $\binom{()}{nf}$ denotes the cross section caused by the exchange am plitude $\mathbb{M}_{2\frac{1}{2}1\frac{1}{2}}^{()}$ without the nucleon helicity ip, and $R = \binom{()}{f} = \binom{()}{nf}$ is the ratio of the cross section $\binom{()}{f}$ caused by the am plitude $\mathbb{M}_{2\frac{1}{2}1\frac{1}{2}}^{()}$ with the nucleon helicity ip to $\binom{()}{nf}$. To estimate R we use the data on the p! On reaction di erential cross section which are described remarkably well in terms of the Regge pole exchange [48]. A ssum ing the approximate equality of the slopes for the Regge am plitudes in question ⁶, together with factorization of the Regge pole residues, and using the results of Ref. [48], we put

R
$$_{f}^{()}(p! ^{0}n) = _{nf}^{()}(p! ^{0}n) 1:5:$$
 (8)

Note that this estimate for R can be considered as a lower bound. The point is that R is proportional to 1=2 and for the p charge exchange at 6 GeV [48] 2 9 GeV ² which, generally speaking, is larger than for many other similar reactions.

Let us now obtain a representation similar to Eq. (7) for the cross section of the reaction $p! a_2^0 p$. A coording to the naive quark counting rules, any exchange am plitude for $p! a_2^0 p$ is three times smaller than the corresponding one for $p! f_2 p$ and the ! exchange am plitude without the proton helicity ip for $p! a_2^0 p$ is three times larger than that for $p! f_2 p$ (see also Eq. (1)); i.e., the reaction $p! a_2^0 p$ is dominated by the ! exchange. Thus, in terms of the exchange am plitudes pertaining to the reaction $p! f_2 p$, for which $\Re_{2\frac{1}{2}1\frac{1}{2}}^{(!)} = \Re_{2\frac{1}{2}1\frac{1}{2}}^{(!)}$ (see also Eqs. (7),(8)), the cross section for $p! a_2^0 p$ is given by

$$(p! a_{2}^{0}p) = \frac{1}{16 s^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} \Re \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 2^{\frac{1}{2}}1^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}^{2} + \frac{1}{9} \Re \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 2^{\frac{1}{2}}1 \\ 2^{\frac{1}{2}}1 \end{bmatrix}^{2} dt = \frac{100}{9} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{100}{9} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix} R = \frac{100}{9} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{100}{9} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{100}{$$

Further, let us notice that exactly the same relation between the ! and exchanges, as in $p! a_2^0 p$, takes place in the reaction $p! ^0 p$ the cross section of which is dom inated by the same exchanges [45-47,49-51]. Furtherm ore, the helicity change in the mesonic Regge vertices is equal to 1 both in $p! ^0 p$ and in our model for the reaction $p! a_2^0 p$ (and $p! f_2 p$), so that the all corresponding residues are proportional to T. Defining the ! ! 0 decay amplitude in the conventional form $g! " (k_1)k_1 (k_2)k_1 (k_3)k_3 (k$

⁶Here we have in m ind the usual exponential param etrization, according to which any Regge am plitude is taken to be proportional to e^{t} , where the slope = ⁰ + ⁰ln (s=s₀), ⁰ is that of the Regge pole trajectory, s₀ = 1 G eV², and ⁰ is determined by tting to the data.

 $(p ! a_2^0 p) = (p ! ^0 p) = g_{a_2 !}^2 = g_!^2$. Thus, it is reasonable to suppose that, in the realistic case of the Reggeized ! and exchanges, such a ratio can be estimated as follows:

$$\frac{(p! a_2^0 p)}{(p! 0p)} = \frac{g_{a_2}^2}{g_1^2} \frac{2}{a_2^2};$$
(10)

where and $_{a_2}$ are the Regge slopes for the 0 and a_2^0 photoproduction am plitudes respectively. To get a feeling for the in uence of the slopes in the absence of any inform ation obout $_{a_2}$, we make the ad hoc assumption that $_{a_2} = 1.225$, or $^2 = \frac{2}{a_2} = 1.5$. According to Refs. [49-51], (p! 0 p) 0.32 b at E 6 GeV. From the relations $_! = (g_!^2 = 4)$ 3 3 =3 715 keV [26] and Eqs. (1), (3) we get $g_!^2 = 4 = 0.0394$ GeV 2 and $g_{a_2}^2 = g_!^2 = 0.538$ which need be substitute in Eq. (10). Putting all this together, we not from Eqs. (7) (10) that at E 6 GeV we can expect

$$(p! f_2p)$$
 0:12 b and $(p! a_2^0p)$ 0:25 b: (11)

Unfortunately, the data on the reactions $p ! f_2 p$ and $p ! a_2^0 p$ are very poor. From the experiments as carried out at comparable energies in the late 60s it is know only that

 $(p! f_2p! p) = 0.06 \ 0.30 \ b \ for 4.5 < E < 5.8 \ GeV [52], \ (p! a_2^0p) < 0.35 \ b$ for 22 < E < 5 % GeV [52], (p! f_2p ! p) < 0.5 b and (p! $a_2^{0}p$! 0 p) < 0.4 bat 5.25 GeV [53], and also (p! f_{2} p! + p) 0**:**7 0:4 b at 4.3 GeV [54]. At higher energies, the reactions p! + p and p! + ⁰p are dom inated by two- and three-pion states producing mainly via the Pomeron exchange. Such states have been investigated in som e detail. How ever, the reached accuracy do not yet allow certain conclusions to be made concerning the presence of the C -odd Regge exchanges. Let us consider as an example the data on the reaction p! + ⁰p in the energy range 20 70 GeV obtained by the Om ega Photon Collaboration [53]. A ssum ing the 1=E energy dependence for $(p ! a_2^0 p)$, which certainly gives an upper limit of the cross section as E increases, and thus extrapolating the value for $(p! a_p^0)$ from Eq. (11) to the region 20 < E < 70 GeV, we obtain the averaged a_2^0 production cross section times branching ratio of a_2 to of about 24 nb. Note that the observed threepion production cross section in the three-pion mass range from 12 to 15 GeV is nearly 600 nb [53]. Furtherm ore, one can see that the height of the a_2^0 peak above a large and sm ooth background in the three pion mass spectrum is about a factor of 2.5 sm aller than that of the observed $\setminus !^{0}(1670)$ " peak, because for the latter B 100 nb and the 160 M eV [53]. Such a maximum possible enhancement of the three-pion peak width m ass spectrum in the a_2^0 (1320) region turns out to be somewhat less in magnitude than the existing (8 9)% double statistical errors. Thus, it is clear that more e orts are needed to provide a reliable observation of the reactions $p! f_2 p$ and $p! a_2^0 p$. In this connection we would like especially to note the reactions p! ⁰ p and p! ⁰ p with the peripherally produced ⁰⁰ and ⁰ pairs, which can only proceed via C-odd exchanges and therefore have to be dom instead by the production of the f_0 (980), f_2 (1270) and a_0^0 (980), a_2^0 (1320) resonances with sm all background.

Exactly the same approach to the reactions N ! X N ! $^0 \rm N$ leads to the estimate

$$(p! X^{+}n! ^{+ 0}n) = (n! X p! ^{0}p)$$

 $\frac{9}{50} (p! a_{2}^{0}p) (1+R) \frac{g_{X}^{2} B(X ! ^{0})}{g_{f_{2}}^{2}} 0.018 b:$ (12)

Here we have used Eqs. (3), (8), (11) and, to avoid the addition model dependent assum ptions, estimated the value of $(g_X^2 = 4) B(X ! ^0)$ using the data on $(! ^0 ^0)$ [13] shown in Fig. 1 and the following transparent relations:

$$\frac{g_{X}^{2}}{4} = B (X ! 0) = \frac{9}{8} \frac{g_{X^{0}}^{2} 0}{4} B (X^{0}! 0^{0}) = \frac{9}{8} \frac{f}{e} \frac{e^{1/2}}{4} B (X^{0}! 0^{0}) = \frac{9}{8} \frac{1}{e^{1/2}} \frac{g_{X^{0}}^{2}}{4} B (X^{0}! 0^{0}) = \frac{9}{8} \frac{1}{12} \frac{e^{1/2}}{4} \frac{g_{X^{0}}^{2}}{4} B (X^{0}! 0^{0}) = \frac{9}{8} \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{123} \frac{1}{123$$

where m 1:6 GeV is the average mass of the enhancement observed in ! 0 , the integral of the cross section 33:2 nb GeV, and we have put, on the experience of the previous analyses [17,18], that approximately one half of this value is due to the X 0 resonance contribution.

For the reactions N ! X ! ⁰ we also expect

$$(p! X^{++}! 0^{++}) = (n! X^{+}! 0^{++}) =$$

= 3 $(p! X^{++} 0! 0^{++}) = 3 (n! X^{++}! 0^{++}) 0.031$ b: (14)

This estimate has been obtained simply by multiplying of that from Eq. (12) by the coecient 1.75. Here we proceed from the fact that in the energy region around 6 GeV the cross section for ${}^{+}p$! 0 ${}^{++}$, which is dominated by the Regge pole exchange [55,56], is approximately 1.5 2 times than that for the reaction p ! ${}^{0}n$ with just the same mechanism [48,56]. Note that approximately the same e ratio takes place for the p ! ${}^{++}$ and N ! N reaction cross sections (less the small one-pion exchange contributions) [57,58].

Let us make two short remarks on the above estimates. Firstly, we consider that these estimates are rather conservative. Secondly, of course, there are absorption corrections to the Regge pole models (see, for example, Refs. [45,46]). They lead to some well known modi cations of the t distributions due to the pure Regge pole exchanges. However, it is reasonable that the t distributions for the reactions having identical Regge pole methanisms and an identical spin structure for the dominant helicity amplitudes can remain more or less similar in shape even in the presence of the absorption contributions. Therefore, for example, Eq. (10) may well be more general then its derivation within the fram ework of the Regge pole approximation. In fact, Eq. (10) can be modi ed only by the di erence between the absorption corrections to the a_2^0 and 0 production amplitudes and so a marked change of the estimates for the integrated cross sections seems to be unlikely.

At JEFLAB facility, a 6 G eV photon beam, with intensity 5 10^7 's/sec, will yield about 30 's/sec via the photoproduction process p! p, i.e. there can be accumulated about 77.8 10^6 p! p events in a 30-day run [1,2]. The cross section for p! p is approximately 0.5 b at E 6 G eV [1,2]. Then, according to our estimate (see Eq. (12)), for the reaction N ! X N ! ^{0}N ! 0 + N one can expect about 2.8 10^6 events at the same time. It must be born in m ind that such a number of events can be accumulated only at the 100% detection e ciency which is certainly inaccessible in practice. If one assumes that a photon ux of around 10^7 's/sec and a detection e ciency of around 10% are closer to the reality, then one can expect approximately 56000 useful events. For comparison, full statistics collected by the TASSO, CELLO, TPC/2,

PLUTO, and ARGUS groups for the reaction ! + + includes 15242 events [17]. At JEFLAB facility, it is planned to obtain several thousands, tens of thousands, and hundreds of thousands of events for the meson decays with B 10⁴ 10² [1,2]. On this scale, the cross section values indicated in Eqs. (12) and (14) are large and the relevant expected signi cant statistics has not to be wasted. How ever, there is also a very in portant and rather complicated question related to the extraction of the exotic X signals from a \sea" of all possible ⁰ + events. It is this question that we want to discuss in the follow ing.

IV. ON THE EXTRACTION OF THE X $(1600; 2^+ (2^{++}))$ SIGNALS

Let us consider the reactions N ! 0 + N. In the rst place, it is necessary to obtain some general idea about the most important channels of these reactions, in particular, about the values of the corresponding partial cross sections. It is useful to review brie y the results available for the related and more studied reactions N ! ⁺ ⁺ N in the energy region from 4 to 10 G eV $[31-34,52-54,59-69]^7$. So, the values of the N! + N cross sections lie in the band from 4 to 7 b [67,68]. The reaction p! + + p is strongly dom inated by 0 and ++ production. There are three the most signi cant channels in this reaction: p! + p!and $p! = 0^{+} p$ [31-33,53,54,60,67] (a similar situation also takes place in n!n [62]). The channels involving ⁺⁺ production de ne from 30 to 50% of all + p! + + p reaction events. M ost of the rem ainder events are due to ⁰ production. The p! $^{0+}$ p channel is dominated by diractive 00 production (see footnote 4). W ithin the experimental uncertainties, the cross section for $p \stackrel{00}{!} p \stackrel{0}{!} p$ is energy independent and is roughly 1 2 b [31-33]. Note that the total num ber of events collected in all experiments on the reaction $p!^+ p$ for 4 < E < 10 GeVdo not exceed 10^4 . Speci c m ethods used for separating a large number of particular channels in the reaction p! 4 p have been described in detail in Refs. [31-36,67].

Table II shows the available data on the reactions N ! ^{0 +} N for the average incident photon energies from 3.9 to 8.9 GeV. The total cross sections for n ! ^{0 +} p was measured in four experiments [61-63,68]. In one of them [62], with a total of 151 events, the cross sections for !, , ⁰, and ⁰ production were roughly determined (see three left columns in Table II). In addition, the channel cross sections for ! production were measured in three more experiments [70-72].

Let us now turn to the phenom enological estimates. For deniteness we shall consider the reaction p ! + 0 + n and its particular channels at E = 6 GeV (however, the results will also be valid for n ! + 0 + p). If needed, we shall extrapolate the known cross section values to E = 6 GeV assuming roughly E^{-n} with n = 2 and 1 for the one-pion exchange (OPE) mechanism and for the , a_2 , and ! exchange ones respectively.

We begin with the channel p! ! * ! ! * n.U sing the data compilations [56,73] and assuming the OPE mechanism dominance, the factorization property of Regge pole residues, and the approximate equality of the slopes for the Regge amplitudes, we get the following estimate

(p!!⁺!!⁺n)

⁷Here we om it not very essential details concerning the p! + p and n! + n cross section di erence (in this connection, see, for example, Refs. [61,63,68]).

$$^{(OPE)}(p!!p)\frac{4}{9}\frac{(p!p!^{0}+p!^{0}+p)}{(p!^{0}n)}$$
 (0:6 b) $\frac{4}{9}2$ 0:53 b; (15)

which is in close agreement with that of (p ! ! + ! ! + n) (0.83 0:10 b) $(4:5=6)^2$ 0:47 0:06 b found from the data of Ref. [71] presented in Table II. If one suppose that not only ! + production but the whole channel p ! ! + n can also be dominated by exchange between the ! and p + n vertices (certainly, in this case, the exchanges with the vacuum quantum numbers are also possible), then, using the data on n ! ! p [62] presented in Table II, one obtains (p ! ! + n) (1:4 0:5 b) $(4:3=6)^2$ 0:72 0:26 b. Owing to the ! resonance narrowness, the p ! ! + n channel can be easily separated by cutting a suitable window in the + 0 invariant m ass spectrum.

It is interesting that the cross section for peripheral production of the C -odd ^{+ 0} system with $J^P = 1^+$ in p ! ^{+ 0 +} n can be even larger than that for ! production owing to the h_1 (1170) resonance contribution. Because the h_1 (1170) decays mainly into

[26] and its production in p ! + 0 + n can occur via one-pion exchange, we can write

 $(p! h_1 + n)$ (p! ! + n) + n (p! ! + n) + n (0:53 0:72) b]2:79 (1:48 2) b: (16)

Here we have used the above estimates for $(p ! ! ^{+}n)$ and the relation $_{h_1}$ 9 $_{b_1}$ 9 0.23 MeV 2 MeV [26] which is true for \ideal" octet-singlet mixing in the $J^{PC} = 1^{+}$ nonet. There are three interm ediate states $^{+}$, $^{+}$, and 0 0 in the h_1 !

! 3 decay. Each of them, combined with the corresponding kinematical reactions, gives a third of the rate h_1 ! 3. Thus, (p! h_1 ⁺ n ! ⁺ ⁺ n) (0:49 0:67) b, which is roughly compatible with the value of (n! ⁺ p) 0:5 0:5 b at 4.3 G eV given in Table II. It is obvious that the channel p ! X⁺ n ! ⁺ ⁰p does not involve

-like events. Therefore, a careful examination of the p ! ^{+ +} ⁺ n channel will, probably, permit both peripheral ⁺ production and related ⁺ and ^{0 0} events to be successfully selected and excluded. Together with the contribution from the h_1 (1170), there m ay also exist the contributions from the a_1^0 , a_2^0 , a_2^0 , and ⁰ (1300) resonances in the ⁻-like events. However, an analysis shows that the cross sections for peripheral production of these C -even resonances via and ! exchanges in p ! ^{+ 0} ⁺ n should be expected to be sm all. So, as is seen from Eqs. (16) and (15), the ^{+ n}, ^{0 0 +} n exclusion cross section of about 2 2:5 b.

Above we have discussed the peripheral production of the neutral three-pion systems. Now we consider the processes of peripheral production of the + + system, in which the a_1^+ , a_2^+ , a_2^+ and + (1300) resonances can manifest them selves, and, in particular, the reaction p ! + + 0 ! + 0 ! + 0 n. U sing the above mentioned data on the reaction p ! + + 0 ! + 0 n. U sing the above mentioned data on the reaction p ! + + 0 ! + 0 n. U sing the peripheral character of + form ation, one can obtain the following tentative estimate:

$$(p! + 0! + 0) \frac{2}{9} (p! + 0) \frac{2}{9} (p! + 0) (0.37 0.61) b: (17)$$

It is clear that such a type of the + + 0 n events can be separated, at least, by the speci c signs of the 0 resonance. Form ation of + in p collisions accompanied by

^{+ 0} production we have already discussed (the cross section value for the related process n ! ^{+ 0 0} is presented in Table II). The nal state ^{+ 0 +} would also require a careful study. If there exists the mode ^{+ +} in the channel ^{+ 0} , then it may be responsible for a possible excess of the yield of the ⁺ over that of the in p ! ^{+ 0 +} n. However, the cross section for the p ! ^{+ +} channel is di cult to estimate. A similar statement is, unfortunately, also true both for a_2^+ ⁰ n production with the ⁰n system in the I = 1=2 state and for the p ! ^{0 + 0}n channel with the di ractively produced ⁰ m eson.

Let us nally turn to peripheral production of the $^{+0}$ + system. O f course, in this case it is of most interest to estimate the contribution from the $^{0+}$ intermediate state (see once again footnote 4). However, we succeeded in doing it only within the fram ework of the assumptions which reduce to that the ratios $(p! ^{00}p)=(p! ^{0}p)$ and $(p! ^{0+}n)=(p! ^{+}n)$ are taken to be approximately equal of each other. Let it be the case. We shall also be guided by the following values: at E 6 GeV, $(p! ^{0}p) = 15 \text{ b} [74]$, (N ! N) = 0.58 b (which is dominated by the exchange) [57,58], and $(p! ^{00}p! ^{+} ^{+}p) = (p! ^{0}p) = 10$, and according to our prescription

$$(p!^{0+}n!^{+}0^{+}n)$$
 $\frac{1}{10}$ $(p!^{+}n)$ $\frac{1}{3=2}$ (0:058 0:087) b; (18)

1

where the factors 1 and 3/2 correspond to the 0 !!! 4 (denotes the state of the system with I = 0) and $0! a_1! 4$ decay models respectively. Now we S-wave brie y discuss the input assumptions which lead to the relation $(p! ^{00}p) = (p!$ $(p!^{0+}n) = (p!^{+}n)$. There are two assumptions: 1) diagonal vector (q⁰ dom inance for the Regge exchange amplitudes with the vacuum and non-vacuum quantum numbers in the t channel in the reactions N ! N and N ! $^{\circ}N$ and 2) universality of the -Reggeon coupling to hadrons. The diagonal vector dom inance assumptions, as applied to the reactions p! ⁰p and p! ⁰⁰p, has been discussed, for example, in Refs. [32,34,35,74,75]. In fact, there has been shown in these works that such an approximation, with a glance to the quite natural relations tot(N) = tot(N), gives a reasonable explanation of the cross section value observed for $p! = {}^{00}p$. On the other hand, the absence of some evidence for the 0 ! decay perm its the diagonal vector dom inance model to be also applied to the vertex $(+)^{0+}$, where $(+)^{1+}$ is a Reggeon. Adding to this the assumption 2) about -universality, i.e., about the approximate equality of the ${}^{0}({}^{+}){}^{+}$ and ${}^{00}({}^{+}){}^{0+}$ vertices, we come to Eq. (18).

It should be noted that the expected suppression of $(p ! ^{0+}n ! ^{+0+}n)$ relative to $(p ! ^{00}p ! ^{+} ^{+}p)$ is a major reason why we suggest to look for in photoproduction the X states rather than the X 0 one 8 .

C om paring Eqs. (12) and (18), we conclude that if one succeeds in selecting the events due to peripheral production of the 0 + system in N ! 0 + N, then the separation of the 0 and X contributions would be quite possible with high statistics. In so doing, a detailed simultaneous analysis of all two- and three-pion m ass spectra (for

⁸ In this connection, we also point out the relation (N ! $X^{0}N$! ${}^{0}{}^{0}N$) = (N ! X N ! ${}^{0}N$) = 4=9 and the possibility of the additional rich background in the ${}^{0}{}^{0}$! + + channel from the I = 0 states.

example, $+^{0}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{+}$, $+^{+}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{+}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{+}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{+}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{+}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{+}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{+}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{+}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{+}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, $+^{-}$, $+^{0}$, +

As for the 3 (1690) resonance, no analysis has so far been done including its contribution to the description of the observed peaks seen in photoproduction of + + , ⁰ and ⁺ which have been attributed to the ⁰ resonance [28]. However, di ractive production of $\frac{0}{3}$ in the a_2 decay modes was found in the reaction p! p for 20 < E < 70 GeV [28] and, on the basis of these data, the cross sections $(p ! {}_{3}^{0}p ! {}^{+} {}^{+}p) = 0:147 \quad 0:42 \quad 0:32 \text{ band } (p ! {}_{3}^{0}p ! {}^{+})$ $(p) = 0.018 \quad 0.016 \quad 0.004 \quad \text{b were predicted as well. Furthermore, before the}$ ⁰ state had been discovered, some upper lim its for the ⁰₃ production cross sections were determined, namely, $(p ! {}_{3}^{0}p ! {}^{+}p) 0.85 0.35$ b for E = 4.3 GeV [54], $(p!_{3}^{0}p!_{}^{+}p) < 0:1$ band $(p!_{3}^{0}p!_{}^{+}p) < 1$ b for E = 5:25 GeV [53]. The results of Refs. [53,54] have been based on very poor statistics and at present it is not clear whether they have much to do with the $\frac{1}{2}$ (1690). In this situation, a reliable estimate of the cross section for the charge exchange reaction $p ! \frac{1}{3}n ! + 0 + n$ is rather di cult to obtain. If there occurs an universal relation between the Pomeron contribution and the f_2 Regge pole contribution in the reactions $p! {}^{0}p$ and $p! {}^{0}_{3}p$ and if exchange degeneracy [46] and the naive quark counting rules hold for the , a_2 , and f_2 exchanges in N ! $_{3}N$, then it is hoped that $(p! \frac{1}{3}n)$ would be an odder of magnitude smaller than $(p! \frac{0}{n}n)$.

Judging by the data presented in Table 2, $(N ! ^{0 +} N)$ 7 b at E 6 G eV. We have shown that a part of the cross section of about 3 b can be explained by a few processes with the appreciable cross sections due to rather simple mechanisms (see Eqs. (15) (18)). The remainder of the total cross section is probably distributed am ong a great m any of m ore $\$ ne" channels a set of which has been indicated by us only partly. In this sense, the presented analysis is prelim inary and further theoretical investigations are needed. Undoubtedly, a further crucial progress in re nem ent of the cross sections for various photoproduction channels will be connected with the accurate measurem ents at JLAB. It is for experim entalists to decide.

Let us now consider very brie y the reaction $p ! ^{0 + ++}$. The available data for E < 10 GeV are presented in Table III. A coording this information, the most probable value of $(p! ^{0 + ++})$ for 4 E = 6 GeV is about 1.87 0.38 b. As is seen from Table III, the only observable partial channel $p! ! ^{++}$ [53,54] can contribute to the $^{0 + ++}$ production cross section up to 1 b. As for the estimate of the cross section for the channel $p! ^{0 + ++} ! ^{0 + ++}$, it can be obtained by multiplying the cross section value in Eq. (18) by the coe cient 1.75. Sim ilarly we already have done by passing from Eq. (12) to Eq. (14) in the case of X production. We thus expect $(p! ^{0 + ++} ! ^{0 + ++})$ (0:1 0:15) b. In principle, the

reaction involving $^{++}$ production m ay be found to be rather favorable (in the sense of the background conditions) to the search for the X signal.

In conclusion we note that the reaction p!! ⁺ pm ay be dom inated by associated ++ production (see Table III). This point has been especially emphasized, for exam -! ple, in Ref. [54]. The cross section for p!! ⁺ p with the ! ⁺⁺ channel excluded 6 GeV (see Table III). In our opinion, periphis not likely to be over $(0.5 \ 1)$ b at E eralproduction of the ! + system s is of special interest in the reaction p ! ! + p. In particular, the ! (1600) and ! (1670) resonances have to be photoproduced di ractively in the C -odd ! ⁺ states with the cross sections an order of magnitude sm aller then are now available (or expected) for p! ⁰⁰p and p! ⁰₂p respectively (i.e., for example, (p!!(1600)p!!''p) 0:1 b). To search for the C-even resonances, the ! ⁰ m ode is m ost suitable. In fact, of the known (\tabular") og resonances in this decay m ode there are only the a_2 (1320) [26,78] and, possibly, $_2$ (1670) and (1800) states [78]. Therefore, the appreciable enhancement with $(J^{P}; jJ_{z}) = (2^{+}; 2)$ found by the ARGUS group ! ! ⁰ for 1:5 in the cross section of the reaction W 2:1 GeV [79] m ay be interpreted as evidence for a q^2q^2 M II -bag state which is strongly coupled to the ! ⁰ channel [20,17]. In the notations of Ref. [20], it is the C⁰ (36) state with I^G (J^{PC}) = 1 (2⁺⁺) being the partner of the X $(1600; 2^+ (2^{++}))$. Note, without going into detailed estimates, that we would expect the cross section for $p! C^{0}(36)p! ! ^{0}p$ at a level of about 0.075 b at E 6 GeV. This value should be compared with the estimate given by Eq. (12). The expected enhancem ent of the C⁰ (36) photoproduction cross section by comparison with the case of X is due to several factors. For example, one of them is a strong coupling of the ! exchange (which we consider as a major mechanism of the reaction p ! C^{0} (36)p) to the nucleons. Also, we would expect $(p ! C^+ (36)n ! ! ^+ n)$ (5=81) (p! C^{0} (36)p ! ! 0 p) 0:0046 b and, for C^{s} (36) and C^{s} (36) M II -bag state production, $(p! C^{s+} (36)n! + n) 2 (p! C^{s0} (36)p! + p) (10=81) (p! C^{0} (36)p!)$! ⁰p)B (C^s(36) !) $(0:0092 \text{ b})B(C^{s}(36)!)$ and $(p!C^{s}(36)p!)$!p) (2=9) (p ! C⁰ (36)p ! ! ⁰p)B (C^s (36) ! !) (0**:**0166 b)B(C^s(36) ! !). A search for the threshold enhancements in the K K and !! nal states in photoproduction would also be an important complement to the experiments and to other approaches. Note that it is not yet clearly established that som e threshold enhancem ents ! $V V^0$ are due to exotic m eson resonances. in

References

- [1] A.R. Dzierba, in Proceedings of the Second W orkshop on Phisics and Detectors for DA NE 95, Frascati, 1995, edited by R. Baldini, F. Bossi, G. Capon, and G. Pancheri, Frascati Physics Series, Vol. 4 (INFN, Frascati, 1996), p. 99.
- [2] A.R.D zierba, in Proceedings of the First W orkshop on Hadron Dynamics with the New DA NE and CEBAF Facilities, Frascati, 1996, edited by R.Baldini, E.De Sanctis, G.Pancheri, and G.Ricco, Nucl. Phys. A 623, 142c (1997); G.S.Adam s, ibid. A 623, 158c (1997).
- [3] P.R. Page, Phys. Lett. B 415, 205 (1997); A.A fanasev and P.R. Page, Phys. Rev. D 57, 6771 (1998).

- [4] J. Napolitano, in Proceedings from Je erson Lab/NCSU W orkshop on Hybrids and Photoproduction Physics (JLAB, 1997), p. 1; T. Barnes, ibid. p. 37; G. Blackett et al., ibid. p. 287; R.T. Jones, ibid. p.369; V D. Burkert and R. Ent, ibid. p.385; P. Page, ibid. p.589.
- [5] M N. A chasov et al., presented by S.I. Serednyakov, in Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy, HADRON'97, BNL, Upton, NY, 1997, edited by S.U. Chung and H.J. W illutzki, A IP Conference Proceedings 432 (W oodbury, NY, 1998), p. 26; M N. A chasov et al., presented by V N. Ivanchenko, ibid. p. 783.
- [6] M N.A chasov et al., Preprint Budker INP 97-78, Novosibirsk, 1997, hep-ex/9710017;
 Preprint Budker INP 98-65, Novosibirsk, 1998, hep-ex/9809013.
- [7] M N.Achasov et al, Phys. Lett. B 438, 441 (1998); B 440, 442 (1998).
- [8] N.N.A chasov and V.N. Ivanchenko, Nucl. Phys. B 315, 465 (1989).
- [9] S.Fajfer and R.J.Oakes, Phys. Rev. D 42, 2392 (1990); F.E.Close, N. Isgur, and S. Kum ano, Nucl. Phys. B 389, 513 (1993); J.L. Lucio and M. Napsuciale, Phys. Lett. B 331, 418 (1994).
- [10] N.N.Achasov, V.V.Gubin, and E.P. Solodov, Phys. Rev. D 55, 2672 (1997); N.N. Achasov, V.V.Gubin, and V.J. Shevchenko, ibid. 56, 203 (1997); N.N. Achasov and V.V.Gubin, ibid. 56, 4084 (1997); 57 1987 (1998).
- [11] Particle Data Group, R M. Barnett at. al., Phys. Rev. D 54, 46 (1996); 54, 369 (1996); 54, 557 (1996).
- [12] R.Brandelik et al., Phys. Lett. 97B, 448 (1980); D L.Burke et al., ibid. 103B, 153 (1981); M.Altho et al., Z.Phys.C 16, 13 (1982); H.J.Behrend et al., ibid. 21, 205 (1984); Ch.Berger et al., ibid. 38, 521 (1988); H.Aihara et al., Phys. Rev.D 37, 28 (1988).
- [13] H.Albrecht at al, Z.Phys.C 50, 1 (1991).
- [14] H.Kolanoski, in Proceedings of the 5th International W orkshop on Photon-Photon Collisions, Aachen, 1983, edited by Ch. Berger, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 191 (Springer, New York, 1983), p.175; H.A lbrecht at al., Phys. Lett. B 217, 205 (1989); H.-J.Behrend et al., ibid. B 218, 493 (1989).
- [15] H.A brecht at al, Phys. Lett. B 267, 535 (1991).
- [16] M. Poppe, Intern. J.M od. Phys. A 1, 545 (1986); Ch.Berger and W. Wagner, Phys. Rep. 146, 1 (1987); J. Olsson, in Proceedings of the International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, Hamburg, 1987, edited by W. Bartel and R. Ruckl (North Holland, 1987), p. 613; A. Buijs, Production of Four-Prong Final States in Photon-Photon Collisions, PhD. Thesis, Utrecht, 1987; H. Kolanoski and P. Zerwas, in High Energy Electron-Positron Physics, edited by A. A liand P. Soding (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1988); H. Albrecht et al., Phys. Rep. 276, 223 (1996); S.G odfrey and J.N apolitano, Light M eson Spectroscopy, submitted to Rev. M od. Phys.; hep-ph/9811410, 1998.

- [17] N N.A chasov and G N. Shestakov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 161, No.6, 53 (1991) [Sov. Phys. Usp. 34, No.6, 471 (1991)]; Phys. Rev. D 52, 6291 (1995).
- [18] N.N.Achasov, S.A. Devyanin, and G.N. Shestakov, Phys. Lett. 108B, 134 (1982); Z.Phys.C 16, 55 (1982).
- [19] B A. Liand K F. Liu, Phys. Lett. 118B, 435 (1982); 124B, 550 (E) (1983); Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1510 (1983).
- [20] R.L.Ja e, Phys. Rev. D 15, 267 (1977); 15, 281 (1977).
- [21] G.Alexander, U.M. aor, and P.G.W. illiam s, Phys. Rev. D 26, 1198 (1982); G.Alexander, A. Levy, and U.M. aor, Z.Phys. C 30, 65 (1986); G.Alexander and U.M. aor, Phys. Rev. D 46, 2882 (1992); B.Bajc, S.Prelovsek, and M.Rosina, Z.Phys. A 356, 187 (1996).
- [22] N.N.Achasov and G.N. Shestakov, Phys. Lett. B 203, 309 (1988); H.Kolanoski, Z. Phys.C 39, 543 (1988).
- [23] S.U. Chung, in Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy, HADRON'95, Manchester, UK, 1995, edited by M C. Birse, G D. La erty, and JA.McGovern (World Scientic, Singapore, 1996), p. 19.
- [24] N.N.A chasov and G.N. Shestakov, Intern. J.M od. Phys. A 7, 4313 (1992).
- [25] H. Burkhardt and A.J.G. Hey, Nucl. Phys. B 108, 514 (1976); R. Kokoski and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 35, 907 (1987).
- [26] Particle Data Group, C. Caso et al., Euro. Phys. J. C 3, 1 (1998).
- [27] N.N.Achasov and G.N.Shestakov, Phys. Rev. D 56, 212 (1997).
- [28] M.Atkinson et al., Z.Phys.C 30, 531 (1986).
- [29] Particle D ata G roup, C G. W ohlet al., Rev. M od. Phys. 56, S19 (1984); 56, S167 (1984).
- [30] A B.C legg and A.D onnachie, Z.Phys.C 62, 455 (1994); A.D onnachie, Nucl.Phys. A 623, 135c (1997).
- [31] H.Bingham et al, Phys. Lett. 41B, 653 (1972).
- [32] M. Davier et al, Nucl. Phys. B 58, 31 (1973).
- [33] P.Schacht et al., Nucl. Phys. B 81, 205 (1974).
- [34] D P.Barber et al, Z.Phys.C 4, 169 (1980).
- [35] D.Aston et al., Nucl. Phys. B 189, 15 (1981).
- [36] M.Atkinson et al, Z.Phys.C 26, 499 (1985).
- [37] L. Stodolsky and J.J. Sakurai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 11, 90 (1963); L. Stodolsky, Phys. Rev. 134, B1099 (1964).

- [38] H.-J.Behrend et al, Z.Phys.C 56, 382 (1992) and Refs. herein.
- [39] M. Acciarri et al, Phys. Lett. B 413, 147 (1997); H. Albrecht et al, Z. Phys. C 74, 469 (1997).
- [40] D.Antreasyan et al, Phys. Rev. D 33, 1847 (1986); T.Oest et al, Z.Phys. C 47, 343 (1990).
- [41] P.Singer, Phys. Rev. D 27, 2223 (1983).
- [42] N N.Achasov, SA.Devyanin, and G N.Shestakov, Z.Phys.C 27, 99 (1985).
- [43] M. Jacob and G.C. Wick, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 7, 404 (1954); M. Gell-M ann et al., Phys. Rev. 133, B145 (1964); G.C. Fox and E. Leader, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 628 (1964).
- [44] FA. Berends, A. Donnachie, and DL. Weaver, Nucl. Phys. B4, 103 (1967).
- [45] G L.Kane et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 1519 (1970).
- [46] R.W orden, Nucl. Phys. B 37, 253 (1972).
- [47] M.Guidal, J.M. Laget, and M. Vanderhaeghen, Nucl. Phys. A 627, 645 (1997) and Refs. herein.
- [48] A.V. Bames et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 76 (1976).
- [49] K.Lubelsmeyer, in Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Electron and Photon Interactions at High Energies, Liverpool, 1969, edited by D W. Braben and R.E.Rand (Daresbury Nucl. Phys. Lab., 1969), p. 45; in Proceedings of the XVth International Conference on High Energy Physics, Kiev, 1970, edited by V. Shelest, Yu. Budagov, L. Jenkovsky, A.Komar, and V.Kukhtin (Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1972), p. 296.
- [50] R.Anderson et al., Phys. Rev. D 1, 27 (1970); M.Braunschweig et al., Nucl. Phys. B 20, 191 (1970).
- [51] D W G S. Leith, in Proceedings of the Eleveth Session of the Scottish Universities Summer School in Physics on Hadronic Interactions of Electrons and Photons, Midlothian, 1970, edited by J.Cumming and H.Osborn (Academic Press, London, 1971), p. 195.
- [52] R.Erbe et al, Phys. Rev. 175, 1669 (1968).
- [53] J.Ballam et al., Phys. Lett. B 30, 421 (1969). In addition, see the relevant data on the reaction p ! ⁺ ⁰p at higher energies presented in M.Atkinson et al., Phys. Lett. 127B, 132 (1983); Nucl. Phys. B 231, 15 (1984).
- [54] Y.Eisenberg et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 22, 669 (1969).

- [55] G. Gidal et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 994 (1969); D.R.O. Morrison, Review of Quasi Two-Body Reactions, Rapporteur's Talk given at the XVth International Conference on High Energy Physics, Kiev, 1970; It has been appeared as Preprint CERN/D Ph.11/PHYS.71-10 and Preprint ITP-71-66E, Kiev, 1971.
- [56] V.Flam inio et al., Compilation of Cross-Sections I: ⁺ and induced Reactions, CERN-HERA 83-01, Geneva, 1983.
- [57] J.Abram son et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 1432 (1976) and Refs. herein.
- [58] H.G. Hilpert et al., Nucl. Phys. B 21, 93 (1970); P. Benz et al., Nucl. Phys. B 79, 10 (1976); D. P. Barber et al., Z. Phys. C 2, 1 (1979).
- [59] R.Erbe et al, Phys. Rev. 188, 2060 (1969).
- [60] M.Davier et al, Phys. Rev. D 1, 790 (1970).
- [61] G.Alexander et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 26, 340 (1971).
- [62] Y.Eisenberg et al, Nucl. Phys. B 25, 499 (1971).
- [63] R.Schieretal, Nucl. Phys. B 38, 628 (1972).
- [64] Y.Eisenberg et al., Phys.Rev.D 5, 15 (1972).
- [65] J.Ballam et al, Phys. Rev. D 5, 545 (1972).
- [66] H.H.Bingham et al, Phys. Rev. D 8, 1277 (1973).
- [67] G.Alexander et al, Phys. Rev. D 8, 1965 (1973).
- [68] G.Alexander et al, Nucl. Phys. B 68, 1 (1974).
- [69] W .Struczinskiet al, Nucl. Phys. B 108, 45 (1976).
- [70] P.Benz et al, Nucl. Phys. B 115, 385 (1976).
- [71] D P.Barber et al, Z.Phys.C 26, 343 (1984).
- [72] C A. Nelson et al, Phys. Rev. D 17, 647 (1978).
- [73] J.Ballam et al, Phys. Rev. D 7, 3150 (1973).
- [74] T.H.Bauer et al, Rev.Mod.Phys. 50, 261 (1978).
- [75] G.Alexander et al, Phys. Lett. 57B, 487 (1975).
- [76] G.Ascolietal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 16, 1712 (1968).
- [77] R L. Thews, Phys. Rev. 175, 1749 (1968); K. Schilling, P. Seyboth, and G. Wolf, Nucl. Phys. B 15, 397 (1970); B 18, 332 (E) (1970).

- [78] A. Popov and D. Ryabchikov, in Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy, HADRON'97, BNL, Upton, NY, 1997, edited by S.-U. Chung and H.J.W illutzki, AIP Conference Proceedings 432 (W oodbury, NY, 1998), p. 373.
- [79] E.Kriznic, Production of !⁰, ⁰ and ! in Two-Photon Interactions at the ARGUS Spectrom eter, PhD. Thesis, Univerza v Ljubljani, 1993, IJS DP 6928, Ljubljana, Slovenija, 1994.

$I^{G}(J^{PC})$ series,	Possible resonance	$^{2S+1}L_J$ con gurations for	
k = 0;1;2;:::	states	lower J states	
1 ⁺ ((2k + 1))	(1700), ₃ (1690)	(¹ P ₁ , ⁵ P ₁ , ⁵ F ₁); (⁵ P ₃ , ¹ F ₃ , ⁵ F ₃ , ⁵ H ₃)	
1^{+} ((2k + 1) ⁺)	b ₁ (1235)	³ S ₁ , ³ D ₁	
1 ⁺ ((2k + 2))	2 (?)	⁵ P ₂ , ⁵ F ₂	
1^{+} ((2k + 2) ⁺)	are absent in qq system	³ D ₂	
2^{+} ((2k + 1) $^{+}$)	$q^2 q^2$	³ P ₁ , ³ F ₁	
2^{+} ((2k + 1) ⁺⁺)	$q^2 q^2$	⁵ D ₁	
2 ⁺ ((2k) ⁺)	$q^2 q^2$	(³ P ₀); (³ P ₂)	
2 ⁺ ((2k) ⁺⁺)	q ² q ² , X (1600;2 ⁺ (2 ⁺⁺))	(¹ S ₀ , ⁵ D ₀); (⁵ S ₂ , ¹ D ₂ , ⁵ D ₂ , ⁵ G ₂)	

Table I. Classication of the ⁰ states.

Table II. Total and partial cross sections of the reactions N ! $^{0 +}$ N.

E	R eaction	C ross	E	Reaction (Refs.	C ross	
(GeV)	(Ref. [62])	section	(GeV)	[61,63,68,70-72])	section	
		(b)			(b)	
4.3	n! ⁰⁺ p	7:5 1:0	6:9 8:1	n! ⁰⁺ p	4:85 0:89	
	n!! p	1:4 0:5	3 : 6 5:1	n! ⁰⁺ p	11:0 2:2	
	n! + p	1:1 0:5	7.5	n! ⁰⁺ p	6:1 0:8	
	n! ⁰⁰ p	1:8 1:0	2:5 5:3	n!! p	1:6 0:5	
	n! + p	0:5 0:5	4:2 4:8	p!! * ! ! * n	0:83 0:10	
	n! + 00	0:6 0:6	8.9	N ! ! ! ! N	0:24 0:023	

Table III. C ross sections for the reactions p! ^{0 +} ⁺⁺ and p!! ⁺ p, and for their com m on partial channel p!! ⁺⁺.

E	(p! ⁰⁺ ⁺⁺)	(p!! ++)	(p!! + p)	Refs.
(GeV)	(b)	(b)	(b)	
4.3	2:4 0:8	1	1:42 0:45	[54]
4 6	13 03 02		1:6 0:2 0:24	[69]
4:5 5:8	2:4 1:1		2:4 0:9	[59]
5.25	3:9 1:5	0:5 0:2	1:5 0:4	[53]

Figure caption

Fig. 1. The ARGUS results on the $(J^P; J_z) = (2^+; 2)$ partial cross sections for the reactions ! ^{0 0} [13] (open circles) and ! ⁺ [15] (full squares). W is the invariant mass of the system. For an ordinary isospin 0 resonance one expects $(!^+) = (!^{0 0}) = 2$ (and 1/2 for a pure isospin 2 resonance). Instead, the observed ratio is lower than 1/2. A resonance interpretation for such a result in term s of q^2q^2 states thus requires the presence of a avor exotic I = 2 resonance which interferes with isoscalar contributions [11,17,18].



