# Indirect D etection of N eutralino A nnihilation from Three-body C hannels

XueleiChen and MarcKamionkowski

Department of Physics, Columbia University, 538 West 120th Street New York, NY 10027, USA

CU-TP-933, CAL-678

## I IN TRODUCTION

The neutralino is one of the most promising dark matter candidates [1]. It could be detected indirectly by observation of energetic neutrinos from neutralino annihilation in the Sun and/or Earth. Energetic neutrinos are produced by decays of neutralino annihilation products. These neutrinos can be detected by neutrino detectors such as AMANDA and super-K am iokande [2], which observe the upward muons produced by the charged-current interactions in the rock below the detector. For the models which can be tested by the current or next generation of detectors, an equilibrium of accumulation and annihilation is reached, so the annihilation rate is determined by the capture rate in the Sun or Earth. The event rate is proportional to the second moment of the neutrino energy spectrum, so it is this neutrino energy moment weighted by the corresponding branching ratio that determines the detection rate.

By now, the cross sections for annihilation have been calculated for all twobody nal states that arise at tree level. Roughly speaking, among the two-body channels the bb and <sup>+</sup> nal states usually dom inate form  $< m_W$ . Neutralinos that are mostly higgsino annihilate prim arily to gauge bosons ifm  $> m_W$ , because there is no s-wave suppression mechanism for this channel. Neutralinos that are mostly gaugino continue to annihilate prim arily to bb pairs until the neutralino mass exceeds the top-quark mass, after which the tt nal state dom inates, as the cross section for annihilation to ferm ions is proportional to the square of the ferm ion mass.

Three-body nalstates arise only at higher order in perturbation theory and are therefore usually negligible. However, some two-body channels easily dom inate the cross section when they are open because of their large couplings; for example the  $W^+W$  for the higgsinos and tt for gauginos. This suggests that their corresponding three-body nal states can be important just below these thresholds. Moreover, the neutrinos produced in these three-body nal states are generally much more energetic than those produced in band decays, Recently, we calculated the s-wave



cross section for the processes  $! W^+W ! W ff^0$  and ! tt ! tW b, and their charge conjugates in the  $v_{rel}$  ! 0 lim it [3].

#### II CALCULATION

Below the top pair threshold, the neutralino m ay annihilate via a virtual top quark: ! tt ! tW b. The Feynm an diagram s for this process are shown in F ig.1. Like annihilation to tt pairs, annihilation to this three-body nal state takes place via s-channel exchange of  $Z^{0}$  and  $A^{0}$  (pseudo-scalar H iggs bosons) and t-and u-channel exchange of squarks. A lthough there are additional diagram s for this process, such as those shown in Fig.2, these are negligible for the gaugino because of the sm all coupling. On the other hand, for higgsinos the gauge boson channel dom inates and the tt would be unim portant anyway. In the  $v_{rel}$  ! 0 lim it

$$v_{\rm rel} = \frac{N_c}{128^{-3}} \frac{Z_{x_{6m}\,ax}}{x_{6m}\,in} \frac{Z_{x_{4m}\,ax}}{dx_6} \frac{dx_4}{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1$$

the amplitude is given by  $M = M_t M_u + M_s$ .

The three-body cross section for a series of typical models are shown in Fig.3. In these models the neutralino is primarily gaugino. As expected, the three-body cross section approaches the two-body value above the top threshold (we take  $m_t = 180 \text{ GeV}$ ). Below the top mass it is non-zero but drops quickly. The ux of upward muons is proportional to the second moment of the neutrino energy spectrum weighted by branching ratios, which is given by

$$B_{F} \overset{D}{N} z^{2} = \frac{3}{128^{-3}} \overset{Z}{dx_{4} dx_{6}} M \overset{2}{f} \overset{D}{N} z^{2} \underset{t}{\overset{E}{x_{4}^{2}}} \overset{D}{N} z^{2} \underset{w}{\overset{E}{x_{5}^{2}}} x^{2}_{5} + \overset{D}{N} z^{2} \underset{b}{\overset{E}{x_{6}^{2}}} x^{2}_{6} ; \quad (2)$$

in the three-body case. A lthough the three-body cross section is small except just below the tt threshold, its contribution to the the second moment,  $B_F hN z^2 i$ , may be important, as illustrated in Fig. 4. This is because the hN  $z^2 i$  for top quarks and W bosons is signily larger than that for the light fermions [4].

Below the W  $^+$ W threshold, the neutralino can annihilate to a real W and a virtual W. The W -bosons then decay independently into a ferm ion pair ff<sup>0</sup>, which

can be , , e , cs, or ud. A bout 10% of these decay into a muon (or antimuon) and a muon anti-neutrino (or neutrino).

The W W calculation is similar to the tW b calculation. In the v ! 0 limit, only chargino exchange in the t and u channels shown in Fig. 5 are important. Neutrinos can be produced either by the virtual W or by decay of the real W. The neutrinos produced by decay of the muon or other ferm ions can be neglected, and the real W boson has a probability  $W_{\parallel}$ to decay to a muon neutrino. On the other hand this W boson is produced in all ! W ff<sup>0</sup> channels, and each of these channels has approximately the same cross section, so the contribution has a 1. The cross section and second m om ent for annihilation weight of n<sub>chan W</sub> in the Earth are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. Below  $m_{W} = 2$ , the four-body channel m ight become signi cant, and may smooth the jump in much the same fashion as the three-body channel does near the two-body channel threshold, but we will not consider it here.

## III CONCLUSIONS

The contributions of these three-body channels are important only in a limited region of parameter space. However, they may produce a large e ect. In fact, our calculation shows that although the cross sections of these annihilations are signicant only just below the two-body channel threshold, due to the high energy of the neutrinos they produce, they can enhance the neutrino signal by many times and actually dominate the neutrino signal far below the two-body threshold. Furthermore, the regions in question may be of particular interest. For example, motivated by collider data, K ane and W ells proposed a light higgsino [5], and recent DAM A results [6] suggest a W MP candidate with  $m_x$  ' 60 GeV (but see [7]). There are also arguments that the neutralino should be primarily gaugino with a mass



D ashed curves show two-body only result, solid curves includes three-body contribution.



FIGURE 5. Feynm an Diagram s for WW !

som ewhere below but near the top-quark mass [8].

There are many parameters in the minimal supersymmetric model. The results shown in Figs. 4 and 7 are of course model dependent, and these e ects might be m ore or less important in m odels with di erent param eters.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

We thank G. Jungman for help with the neutdriver code. This work was supported by D.O.E.Contract No. DEFG 02-92-ER 40699, NASA NAG 5-3091, and the Alfred P.Sloan Foundation.

## REFERENCES

- 1. G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski and K. Griest, Phys. Rept. 267 (1996) 195.
- 2. For a review, see, e.g. the talks of L. Roszkow ski and L. Bergstrom in this volume.
- 3. X. Chen and M. Kam ionkowski, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (1998) 001.
- 4. G. Jungman and M. Kamionkowski, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 328.
- 5. G. L. Kane and J. D. Wells, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 4458; K. Freese and M. Kam ionkowski, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 1771.
- 6. R. Bernabei et al, Phys. Lett. B 424 (1998) 195. For analysis see e.g. A. Bottino et al, Phys. Lett. B 423 (1998) 109; hep-ph/ 9710295; hep-ph/ 9808456; hep-ph/ 9808459; hep-ph/ 9809239.



trinos from the core of Earth.

7. J.Ellis, 1998, this volum e.

8. L.Roszkowski, Phys. Lett. B 262 (1991) 59.