Production of spin 3=2 particles from vacuum uctuations

Antonio L.Maroto

A stronom y Centre, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton, BN1 9Q J, U.K.

Anupam Mazum dar

A strophysics G roup, B lackett Laboratory, Im perial College London, SW 7 2B Z, U K.

(April 16, 2024)

W e study the production of spin 3=2 particles in hom ogeneous scalar and gravitational backgrounds using the mode-mixing Bogolyubov method. Considering only the helicity 3=2 states, we can reduce the problem to a standard D irac ferm ion calculation and apply the standard techniques in a straightforward way. As an example we consider a speci c supergravity in ationary model and calculate the spectrum of gravitinos created during preheating at the end of in ation.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

Imperial preprint IMPERIAL-AST 99/4-3

The quantization of elds in the presence of external classical backgrounds leads to interesting phenom ena such as the production of particles via the ampli cation ofvacuum uctuations. Thise ect has been mainly studied in bosonic models, for example production of scalars or gravitons in scalar or gravitational backgrounds. In addition, this mechanism for the creation of particles is believed to be responsible for the generation of most of the particles that constitute the present universe [1], and in fact it plays a key role in the modern theories of preheating after in ation. In those models, the energy of the in aton eld is resonantly converted into particles during the period of coherent oscillations after in ation. This param etric resonance phenom enon makes the occupation number of the newly created bosons grow exponentially fast and causes their spectra to be characterized by resonance bands. Recently, the resonant generation of spin 1=2 particles has also been considered in the literature [2]. In these works, it has been shown that the lim it on the occupation number in posed by Pauli exclusion principle is saturated and thus the non-perturbative results deviate considerably from what is expected in a perturbative approach.

In this work we are interested in the creation of spin 3=2 particles through the amplication of vacuum uctuations. The generation of such particles in the early universe has traditionally been treated by considering the perturbative decay of other particles [3,4], but not using the non-perturbative approach based on the Bogolyubov transform ations technique. Som e estim ations of the gravit ino production during in ation, based on the analogy with D irac ferm ions can be found in [5]. The spin 1=2case suggests that both approaches can give rise to guite di erent results. This could be of the utm ost im portance in the so-called gravitino problem : in supergravity m odels, the superpartner of the graviton eld (gravitino) is described by a spin 3=2 particle. If such particles are created after in ation by some mechanism (particle collision, vacuum uctuations) they could disrupt prim ordial nucleosynthesis if they do not decay fast enough, or if they are stable particles and their m asses are high, they could overclose the universe. In the perturbative approach, these facts in pose stringent constraints on both the reheating tem perature and the gravitino m ass [6].

The calculation of spin 3=2 particle production from vacuum uctuations is plaqued with consistency problems that ham per the quantization of such elds in the presence of external backgrounds. It has been known for a long time [7], that a spin 3=2 particle in scalar, electrom agnetic or gravitational backgrounds can give rise, apart from algebraic inconsistencies, to faster than light propagation m odes. This fact com pletely prevents a consistent quantization in such cases [8]. The only theory in which these problems seem to be absent is supergravity, provided the background elds satisfy the corresponding equations of motion [9]. However, the complicated form of the Rarita-Schwinger equation makes it very di cult to extract explicit results even in simple backgrounds. In this paper we will show that when we consider helic-3=2 states (which dom inate the high-energy interity actions of gravitinos [3,4]) propagating in arbitrary hom ogeneous (and isotropic) scalar or gravitational backgrounds, the equations can be reduced to a Dirac-like equation. The quantization can be done along the same lines as for D irac spinors and therefore the standard Bogolyubov technique [10] can be used to calculate the particle production. We will also show explicitly, within a previously considered supergravity in ationary model, that the expected amplication does take place.

The massive spin 3=2 dynamics in at space-time is described by the Rarita-Schwinger equation. We will include the scalar eld coupling by modifying the mass term, (following the notation in [4]):

$$0 + \frac{1}{2} (m_{3=2}) [;] = 0:$$
 (1)

As usual in supergravity models we will consider M ajorana spinors satisfying $= C^{T}$ with $C = i^{2} 0$ the charge conjugation matrix. Contracting this equation with 0 and we get:

5

and

$$2i(0 \quad 0) + (m_{3=2})(+ 2) = 0: (3)$$

Finally contracting this last equation with we get:

$$i(0 \quad 0) + 3 (m_{3=2}) = 0:$$
 (4)

W hen = 0 the three equations (2), (3) and (4) can be written as the D irac equation plus two constraints, i.e.

$$(i \ 0 \ m_{3=2}) = 0;$$
 (5)

$$= 0;$$
 (6)

$$0 = 0:$$
 (7)

The general solution of these equations can be expanded in helicity 1 = s=2 + m modes:

$$p^{1}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{ip \mathbf{x}} \int_{sm} u(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{s}) (\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{m});$$
 (8)

with J_{sm} the C lebsch-G ordan coe cients whose values are: $J_{p_1^j} = J_{11} = 1, J_{11} = J_{1-1} = 1 = 1 = 3$ and $J_{10} = J_{10} = 2 = 3$. Here u (p;s) are spinors with de nite helicity s = 1 and normalized as u^y(p;r)u (p;s) = rs. If we set $p = (!; psin \cos ; psin \sin ; p\cos)$ with $p = m_{3=2}^2$ and $p = j_{2}j$ then the three spin 1 polarization vectors are given by:

$$(p;1) = \frac{1}{p-2} (0; \cos \cos \sin + i\cos; \sin); \quad (9)$$

$$(p;0) = \frac{1}{m_{3=2}} (p; ! \sin \cos; i)$$

$$! \sin \sin; ! \cos); \quad (10)$$

$$(p; 1) = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}}(0;\cos \cos + i\sin ;\cos \sin i\cos ;\sin): (11)$$

The normalization is (p;m) (p;n) = mn, p (p;m) = p (p;m) = 0. The corresponding quantization details can be found elsewhere [4].

Now we turn to the 60 case. The expression in (8) is no longer a solution of the equations of motion. Let us now concentrate on hom ogeneous scalar elds, only dependent on the time coordinate (t). We look for general hom ogeneous solutions of the Rarita-Schwinger equation of the form:

$$p^{1}(x) = e^{ip} f^{1}(t) \int_{sm}^{X} J_{sm} u(p; s) (p; m)$$
 (12)

These elds satisfy the condition = 0, since they di er from (8) in just a scalar factor. Now if we restrict ourselves to the helicity 1= 3=2 states, they satisfy $\begin{bmatrix} p & 3=2\\ 0 & and \end{bmatrix}$, since the spatial derivatives of the scalar eld vanish ($@_i = 0$), then (2) and (4) are autom atically satis ed provided $@^i_i = 0$. From (12) this last condition is equivalent to $p^i_i = 0$ which holds from the condition p (p;m) = 0. A coordingly, for helicity

3=2 states propagating in an hom ogeneous scalar background, the Rarita-Schwinger equation reduces again to the Dirac form :

$$(i \Theta m_{3=2} + (t)) ^{3=2} = 0$$
 (13)

As far as these modes satisfy a D irac-like equation, it appears that all the di culties in the quantization would concern just the helicity 1=2 modes in this case. In fact the above ansatz (12) is not a solution for the helicity

 $1{=}2\ {\rm m}$ odes even for hom ogeneous backgrounds.

Let us include the e ect of curved space-time. We will concentrate on spatially at Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metrics, and we will introduce it by minimal coupling as done in supergravity, i.e., D = $(@ + \frac{i}{2} \ ^{ab} \ _{ab})$ with ab the spin-connection coefficients and $_{ab} = \frac{i}{4} [a; b]$. The removes the Christo el symbols contribution in the covariant derivative. We will continue considering (t) to be a function of time alone. We will only consider the linearized equation in 1=M (where M_p² = 8 M²) for supergravity [11], i.e., we will ignore the torsion contribution to the spin-connection which is of O (M²). In this case the equations of motion for the gravitino read:

D +
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 (m ₃₌₂) [;] = 0: (14)

Contracting with D , taking into account that D = 0 and $[D;D] = \frac{i}{2}R^{ab}_{ab}$ (the vector part cancels because of the term), we get:

5

$$\frac{i}{4} = 5 R^{ab} = ab \quad (15) + (D) + \frac{1}{2} (m_{3=2}) (15) = 0: (15)$$

Following the same steps as in at space-time we obtain (3) and (4) but replacing ordinary derivatives by covariant ones. For FRW metrics and helicity 3=2 states, i.e., $_0 = 0$, it is possible to show that due to the form of the Riem ann tensor, the rst term in (15) is proportional to and accordingly we get:

 $(iB m_{3=2} +) = 0;$ (16)

$$D = 0:$$
 (18)

Here again we can use the standard form ulae for particle production obtained for the spin 1=2 cases to study the creation of helicity 3=2 states in a FRW background. W ith that purpose we have to reduce equation (16) to a second order equation. Let us rst write the equation in conform altim e de ned as dt = a ()d :

ia
$$a^{1} a^{a} @ m_{3=2} + + i \frac{3}{2} \frac{a}{a^{2}} = 0;$$
 (19)

where $\underline{a} = da=d$. We will adopt the following ansatz for the helicity l=3=2 solutions:

$$p^{1}(x) = a^{3=2}()e^{ip} U^{p1}();$$
 (20)

with

$$U^{p1}() = \frac{1}{q + m_{3=2}^{0}} i^{0} @_{0} p \sim$$

+ a()(m_{3=2}) () f_{p1}()u(p;s)^{a} (p;m); (21)

and the norm alization $U^{ply}(0)U_{p1}(0) = 2!$ and $m_{3=2}^{0} = a(0)m_{3=2}$. One can check that this ansatz autom atically satisfies (17) and (18). An appropriate form for the spinor u(p;s) and polarization vectors a(p;m) can be obtained if we choose the D irac representation for the gam m a matrices and we take (without loss of generality) the z-axis to be along the p direction. In this case $u(p;1)^{T} = (1;0;0;0), u(p; 1)^{T} = (0;1;0;0), a(p;1) = \frac{pl_{2}}{p}(0;1;i;0)$ and $a(p; 1) = \frac{pl_{2}}{p}(0;1;i;0)$. With this choice, u(p; 1) are eigenstates of 0 with eigenvalues + 1. Then equation (19) reduces to the well-known form :

$$\frac{d^2}{d^2} + p^2 \quad \underline{id}_{d} \quad a() \ (m_{3=2} \quad () \\ + a^2() \ (m_{3=2} \quad ())^2 \quad f_{p1}() = 0:$$
(22)

In order to quantize the modes we will expand an arbitrary solution with helicity 1 = 3=2 as:

$${}^{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}2!} a^{3=2}() e^{ip} U^{p1}() a_{p1}$$

$$+ e^{ip} U^{p1C}() a_{p1}^{y};$$
(23)

where the creation and annhibition operators satisfy the anticommutation relations $fa_{p1}; a_{p^01^0}^y g = (2)^3 2!_{11^0}$ (p p^0).

In order to see how this works in practice, we will consider a speci c supergravity in ationary model (see [12,13]), in which the in aton eld is taken as the scalar com ponent of a chiral super eld, and its potential is derived from the superpotential I = $\binom{2}{=}M$ (M)². This is the simplest choice that satis es the conditions that supersymmetry remains unbroken in the minimum of the potential and that the present cosm ological constant is zero. The observed CMB anisotropy xes the in ation-=M ' 10 4 . For the sake of ary scale around simplicity, we will consider the case in which the gravit ino mass is much smaller than the e ective mass of the in aton in this model, $m_{3=2}$ m ' 10 ⁸M and since the production will take place during a few in aton oscillations, we will neglect the mass term in the equations. The scalar eld potential is given by [11]:

V () =
$$e^{j j^2 = M^2} j^2 = j^2 + \frac{1}{M^2} j^2 - \frac{3j!j^2}{M^2}$$
 : (24)

For the above superpotential, the imaginary direction is known to be stable and therefore we will take for \sin plicity a real in aton eld. A long the real direction the potential can be written as [13]:

$$V() = {}^{4}e^{2}(2(1) + (1)^{2})^{2} 3(1)^{4}(25)$$

where we are working in units M = 1. We will assume, as indicated in [13], that the potential contributions of dilaton and moduli elds are xed during and after ination. This potential has a minimum at = 1. The coupling of the in aton eld to gravitinos is given by the following mass term in the supergravity lagrangian [11]:

$$L = \frac{1}{4}e^{G=2}$$
 [;]; (26)

$$e^{G^{2}} = {}^{2}e^{{}^{2}=2}(1)^{2};$$
 (27)

where we have chosen the minimal form for the Kahler potentialG (; $y = y + \log J J^2$. The rest of interaction terms in the supergravity lagrangian are not relevant for our purposes. The in atom and Friedmann equations can be written in conform altim e as:

+
$$2\frac{b}{b}$$
 + $\frac{b^2}{4}V_i = 0;$ (28)

$$\frac{b^2}{b^2} = \frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{4} + \frac{b^2}{4} V \quad ;$$
 (29)

where the derivatives are with respect to the new time coordinate $\sim = a_0^{2}$ and the new scale factor is dened as $b(\sim) = a(\sim)=a_0$ with $a_0 = a(0)$. The solution of this equation shows that after the in ationary phase, the scalar eld starts oscillating around the minimum of the potential with dam ped am plitude. Substituting in (22) for this particular case we obtain:

$$\frac{d^2}{d^2} + {}^2 + \frac{i}{2} \frac{d}{d^2} (be^{G=2}) + \frac{b^2}{4} e^G \quad f_1(\sim) = 0; \quad (30)$$

with $= p=(a_0^{2})$. From this expression we see that when the scalar interaction is switched o, there is no particle production, even in the expanding background. Following [2,14] we can calculate the occupation number:

$$N_{1}(\mathbf{\Gamma}) = \frac{1}{4} \quad 2 + i[\mathbf{E}_{1}(\mathbf{\Gamma})\mathbf{f}_{1}(\mathbf{\Gamma}) \quad \mathbf{f}_{1}(\mathbf{\Gamma})\mathbf{f}_{-1}(\mathbf{\Gamma})]$$
$$-\frac{2}{2}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{G}_{1}(\mathbf{\Gamma})=2}\mathbf{j}\mathbf{f}_{1}(\mathbf{\Gamma})\mathbf{f}_{1} \qquad (31)$$

In order for the particle number to be well de ned, we must evaluate it when the interaction is vanishingly small, that is, for large values of T. Here f₁ is a solution of equation (30) with initial conditions f₁(0) = 1 and f₋₁(0) = i which corresponds to a plane wave for ~ 0. In order to de ne the initial vacuum at ~ = 0, we have taken the in aton to be at the minimum of the potential at that moment ((0) = 1), which implies $e^{G(=1)=2} = 0$ and b(0) = 1. We have chosen -(0) = 1.8 in our numerical computations which corresponds to an initial amplitude of the in aton oscillations of about 0.06M $_{\rm p}$ and a maximum value of the coupling $e^{G=2}$ of 10 10 M $_{\rm p}$.



gravitinos (2 N $_1$) against $\,$.

The results for the spectra in the expanding background are shown in Fig.1. Note that we have not considered the backreaction e ects of the produced particles. In the at space calculation, we nd that broad resonance bands m ay appear, sim ilar to those in [2,15]. When expansion is taken into account (Fig.1), the production is reduced by 3-4 orders of magnitude, how ever the num ber of particles produced is not negligible. From Fig. 1, we can estimate a lower bound to the total num ber density of gravitinos of both helicities as:

$$n() = \frac{1}{2a^{3}()} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} N_{pl}p^{2}dp = \frac{a_{0}^{3}}{2a^{3}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} N_{1}^{2}d \quad (32)$$

C om paring with the number density of a therm al distribution of helicity 1=2 gravitinos as estimated in [16] (the helicity 3=2 could be even less abundant) for a typical value of the scale factor at the end of in ation [17] a_0 ' 10 ²⁶, the vacuum uctuation production is suppressed by a factor 10^{10} . The corresponding cosm ological consequences have been studied in [3,18]. C om paring with the entropy density today we obtain: n=s 10 ¹². This result is 4-5 orders of magnitude larger than the perturbative production during reheating from direct in-

aton decay [13] and it could pose com patibility problem s with the nucleosynthesis bounds [4,6] for som e values of the gravitino m ass.

We have considered the production of helicity 3=2 gravitinos (which are the relevant states for the current nucleosynthesis bounds) in a particular in ationary

m odel. The expression (32) shows that the results are very sensitive to the m odel parameters, but they can be used to discriminate between dierent supergravity inationary m odels. The completion of the picture would require to study other m odels and also include the production of helicity 1=2 m odes; how ever, the Bogolyubov technique appears very involved for this purpose. (A ffer the appearance of this work, the helicity 1=2 case was considered in K allosh et al., hep-th/9907124) A cknow ledgm ents: A L M .acknow ledges support from SEU ID -Royal Society and (C IC Y T - A EN 97-1693) (Spain). A M . is supported by IN LAKS and an O RS award. We thank A ndrew Liddle for valuable discussions.

- [L] L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and A A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 3195; L Kofman, A D. Linde and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 3258; J.H. Traschen, R.H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990), 2491; Y. Shtanov, J. Traschen and R. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995), 5438
- [2] J.Baacke, K.Heitm ann and C.Patzold, Phys.Rev.D 58
 125013 (1998); P.B.G reene and L.Kofm an, Phys.Lett. B 448, 6 (1999)
- [3] J. Ellis, J.E. K in and D.V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. 145B, 181 (1984)
- [4] M. Kawasaki and T. Moroi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 93, 879 (1995); T. Moroi, PhD Thesis (1995), hep-ph/9503210
- [5] D.H. Lyth, D. Roberts and M. Sm ith Phys. Rev. D 57 7120 (1998)
- [6] S. Sarkar, Rep. Prog. Phys. 59, 1493 (1996)
- [7] G. Velo and D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev 186 (1969) 1337; C.R. Hagen and L.P.S. Singh, Phys. Rev. D 26 (1982) 393
- [8] K. Johnson and E C G. Sudarshan, Ann. Phys. (N Y.) 13 (1961) 126
- [9] S.D eær and B.Zum ino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 1433;
 Phys. Lett. 62B, 335 (1976)
- [10] N D. Binnell and P.C.W. Davies Quantum Fields in Curved Space, Cambridge University Press (1982)
- [11] D.Bailin and A.Love, Supersym metric G auge Field Theory and String Theory, IOP, Bristol, (1994)
- [12] P.Holm an, P.R am ond and G G.Ross, Phys. Lett. 137B, 343 (1984)
- [13] G G.Ross and S.Sarkar, Nucl. Phys. B 461 (1996) 597
- [14] V M. Mostepanenko and V M Frolov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 19 451 (1974); A A. Grib, S.G. Mamayev and V M. Mostepanenko Vacuum Quantum E ects in Strong Fields, Friedmann Laboratory Publishing, St. Petersburg (1994)
- [15] A L.M aroto and A.M azum dar, Phys. Rev. D 59, 083510 (1999)
- [16] H.Pagels and J.Prim ack, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 223 (1982)
- [17] E W . Kolb and M S Turner, The Early Universe (Addison-W esley) (1990)
- [18] J. Ellis, A. Linde and D. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. 118B, 59 (1982)