A Resolution to the Supersymmetric CP Problem with Large Soft Phases via D-branes

M.Brhlik^y, L.Everett^y, G.L.Kane^y, and J.Lykken ^y Randall Laboratory, D epartm ent of Physics University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109, USA Theoretical Physics D epartm ent Ferm i National Accelerator Laboratory Batavia, Illinois, 60510, USA

Abstract

We exam ine the soft supersymmetry breaking parameters that result from various ways of embedding the Standard M odel (SM) on D-branes within the Type I string picture, allowing the gaugino masses and to have large CP-violating phases. One embedding naturally provides the relations among soft parameters to satisfy the electron and neutron electric dipole moment constraints even with large phases, while with other embeddings large phases are not allowed. The string models provide some motivation for large phases in the soft breaking parameters. The results generally suggest how low energy data might teach us about P lanck scale physics.

PACS num bers: 12.60 Jv,11.25 M j11.30 Er,12.10 D m

Typeset using REV T_EX

The parameters of the Lagrangian of the M inim al Supersymmetric Standard M odel (M SSM) include a number of CP-violating phases, which arise both in the soft breaking sector and in the phase of the supersymmetric higgsino mass parameter (for a careful count see [1]). The presence of these phases have typically been neglected in phenomenological analyses due to what traditionally has been called the supersymmetric CP problem: the electric dipole moments (EDM 's) of the fermions receive one-loop contributions due to superpartner exchange which for large phases can exceed the experimental bounds. The current bounds for the electron [2] and neutron [3]

$$jd_e j < 4:3 \quad 10^{27} \text{ ecm } (95\% \text{ c:l:})$$
 (1)

$$jd_n j < 6:3 \quad 10^{-26} \text{ ecm} (90\% \text{ c:l:})$$
 (2)

were thought to constrain the phases to be O (10^{-2}) for sparticle m asses at the TeV scale [4{6]. However, the results of a recent reinvestigation of this issue [7,8] have demonstrated that cancellations between di erent contributions to the electric dipole moments can allow for phenom enologically viable regions of parameter space with phases of O (1) and light sparticle m asses, contrary to conventional wisdom. The phases, if nonnegligible, not only have signi cant phenom enological in plications for CP-violating observables (such as in the K and B system s), but also have in portant consequences for the extraction of the M SSM parameters from experimental measurements of CP-conserving quantities, since alm ost none of the Lagrangian parameters are directly measured [9].

The results of [7,8] indicate that the cancellations can only occur if the soft breaking param eters satisfy certain approxim ate relations which are testable in future experiments; such relations may provide clues to the mechanism of supersymmetry breaking and the form of the underlying theory. As superstring theory is the only candidate for a fundamental theory which unities gravitational and gauge interactions, a study of the patterns of CP-violating phases in soft supersymmetry breaking parameters derived in classes of four-dimensional superstring models is well motivated. In particular, we wish to determ ine if these models either allow for or predict phenomenologically viable large phase solutions. We not that in some models large phases naturally arise in a manner that leads to results consistent with the EDM constraints, while in others the constraints cannot be satis ed.

CP is a discrete gauge symmetry in string theory, and thus can only be broken spontaneously [10]. If this breaking occurs via the dynam ics of compactication and/or supersymmetry breaking, then the four-dimensional elective eld theory will exhibit explicit CP-violating phases. The origin of the nonperturbative dynamics of supersymmetry breaking in superstring theory remains unresolved, but progress can be made by utilizing a phenom enological approach inst advocated by Brignole, Ibanez, and Munoz [11]. In their approach, it is assumed that supersymmetry breaking elects are communicated dominantly via the F-component vacuum expectation values (VEV's) of the dilaton S and moduli T_i , which are super elds uncharged under the SM gauge group and are generically present in four-dimensional string models. The elects of the unknown supersymmetry breaking dynamics are then encoded in a convenient parameterization of these F-component VEV's [11,15]:

$$F^{s} = {\stackrel{p}{-}}{3}(S + S) m_{3=2} \sin e^{i s}$$

$$F^{i} = {\stackrel{p}{-}}{3}(T_{i} + T_{i}) m_{3=2} \cos i e^{i i}; \qquad (3)$$

in which m₃₌₂ is the gravitino m ass and , $_{i}$ are G oldstino angles (with $_{i}^{P}$ $_{i}^{2}$ = 1), which m easure the relative contributions of S and T_i to the supersymmetry breaking. The F - component VEV's are assumed to have arbitrary complex phases $_{S}$, $_{i}$, which provide sources for the CP-violating phases in the soft term s.

W ithin particular classes of four-dimensional string models the couplings of the dilaton, moduli, and M SSM matter elds are calculable (at least at the tree-level), leading in turn to speci c patterns of the soft breaking parameters. We have analyzed the phase structure of the soft terms arising in three classes of four-dimensional string models: (i) orbifold compactications of perturbative heterotic string theory, (ii) Horava-W itten type M theory compactications, and (iii) the Type IIB orientifold models, which are examples within the general Type I string picture. In our analysis [28], we take the predictions for the soft breaking parameters at the string scale, and evolve the parameters to the electroweak scale using renorm alization group equations (RGE's). Our results indicate that the patterns of CP-violating phases consistent with the EDM constraints strongly depend on the type of string model under consideration.

First, we note that the general results of [B] demonstrate that su cient cancellations am ong the various contributions to the EDM 's are di cult to achieve unless there are large relative phases in the soft masses of the gaugino sector. This feature is due to the approxim ate U $(1)_R$ symmetry of the Lagrangian of the M SSM [16], which allows one of the phases of the gaugino masses to be set to zero at the electroweak scale without loss of generality [16,8,17,18]. Furtherm ore, the phases of the gaugino mass parameters do not run at onebop order, and thus at the electroweak scale only deviate from the string-scale values by sm all two-bop corrections. Therefore, if the phases of the gaugino m asses are universal at the string scale, they will be approximately zero at the electroweak scale (after the U $(1)_R$ rotation). Cancellations among the chargino and neutralino contributions to the electron EDM are then necessarily due to the interplay between the phases of A_e and (' $_{A_e}$ and ' , respectively). The analysis of [8] dem onstrates that cancellations are then di cult to achieve as the pure gaugino part of the neutralino diagram adds destructively with the contribution from the gaugino-higgsino mixing, which in turn has to cancel against the chargino diagram. As a result, the cancellation mechanism is generally insu cient, and hence in this case the phases of the other soft breaking param eters as well as the param eter must naturally be < 10 2 (the traditional bound) [5].

This feature is predicted [19] in perturbative heterotic models at tree level, due to the universal coupling of the dilaton to all gauge groups in the tree-level gauge kinetic function $f_a = k_a S$ (in which k_a is the K ac-M oody level of the gauge group). In these models, nonuniversal gaugino masses do occur at the bop level due to moduli-dependent threshold corrections. Hence, nontrivial CP e ects require both modulidom inance and large threshold e ects in order to overcom e the tendency of the dilaton F - term to enforce universal gaugino masses [28,20]. Sim ilar statements apply to the soft breaking parameters derived in the H orava-W itten M theory [23] type scenarios [24,25]. In these scenarios, the gaugino mass parameters are universal because the observable sector gauge groups allarise from one of the tendency on by a very small fraction of the ' A_e parameter space leads to models allowed by the electron EDM [28].

However, within them ore general Type I string picture, there is the possibility of nonuniversal gaugino masses at tree level, which has important implications for the possible CP- violating e ects. We focus on examples within the four-dimensional Type IIB orientifold models, in which the Type IIB theory is compactiled on orientifolds (which are orbifold compactile cations accompanied by the worldsheet parity projection) [12{15]. In these models, consistency conditions (tadpole cancellation) require the addition of open string (Type I) sectors and D inichlet branes, upon which the open strings must end. It is important to note that orientifolds are illustrative of a much larger class of models in the Type I picture, containing more general con gurations of nonperturbative objects (e.g. D-brane bound states) in more general singular backgrounds (e.g. conifolds [29]).

W hile the number and type of D - branes required in a given model depends on the details of the orientifold group, we consider the general situation with one set of nine-branes and three sets of ve-branes (5_i) , in which the index i labels the complex coordinate of the internal space included in the world-volume of the ve-brane. Each set of coincident D - branes gives rise to a (generically non-Abelian) gauge group. Chiralm atter elds also arise from the open string sectors, and can be classi ed into two categories. The rst category consists of open strings which start and end on D - branes of the sam e sector, for which the corresponding matter elds are charged under the gauge group (typically in the fundam ental or antisym metric tensor representations) of that set of branes; in this case, the states are bifundam ental representations under the two gauge groups from the two D - brane sectors.

M odel-building techniques within this fram ework are at an early stage and there is as yet no \standard" m odel; furtherm ore this fram ework does not provide any generic solution to the related problem s of the runaway dilaton, supersymmetry breaking, and the cosmological constant. On the other hand, recent investigations [15] have uncovered the generic structure of the tree-level couplings of this class of m odels. The results indicate that the phenom enological in plications of these m odels crucially depend on the embedding of the SM gauge group into the di erent D-brane sectors, and m ay have distinctive properties from those of the perturbative heterotic m odels traditionally considered in studies of superstring phenom enology.

Of particular importance for the purposes of this study is that the dilaton no longer plays a universal role as it did in the perturbative heterotic case, as can be seen from the form of the (tree-level) gauge kinetic functions determ ined in [15] using T-duality and the form of the Type I low energy e ective action:

$$f_9 = S$$

$$f_{5_i} = T_i:$$
(4)

This result illustrates a distinctive feature of this class of models, which is that in a sense there is a di erent \dilaton" for each type of brane. This fact has important implications both for gauge coupling unication [15] and the patterns of gaugino masses obtained in this class of models, which strongly depend on the details of the SM embedding into the vebrane and nine-brane sectors. For example, in the case in which the SM gauge group is associated with a single D - brane sector, the pattern of the gaugino masses resembles that of the tree-level gaugino masses in the weakly coupled heterotic models [28], as can be seen from the similarity between (4) and the corresponding tree-level expression for f in the perturbative heterotic case.

However, an alternate possibility is that the SM gauge group is not associated with a single set of branes, but rather is en bedded within multiple D-brane sectors. We consider the case in which SU (3) and SU (2) originate from the 5_1 and 5_2 sectors, respectively [30]. In this case, the quark doublet states necessarily arise from open strings connecting the two D-brane sectors; as these states have a nontrivial hypercharge assignment, their presence restricts U $(1)_{\rm Y}$ to originate from the 5_1 and/or 5_2 sectors as well. We consider two models of the resulting soft term s corresponding to the two simplest possibilities for the hypercharge embedding, which are to have U $(1)_{Y}$ in either the 5_1 or 5_2 sector. Depending on the details of the hypercharge embedding, the remaining M SSM states may either be states which (in analogy with the quark doublets) are trapped on the intersection of these two sets of branes, or states associated with the single 5_i sector which contains U (1)_y. In any event the natural starting point for constructing models with these features are orientifolds which realize identical GUT gauge groups and m assless m atter on two sets of intersecting 5-branes. The existence of such symmetrical arrangements is often guaranteed by T-duality. For example, Shiu and Tye [14] have exhibited an explicit model which realizes the Pati-Salam gauge elds of SU (4) SU (2)_L SU (2)_R and identical chiral matter content on two sets of 5-branes. Additional Higgsing and modding by discrete symmetries could then in principle produce the asymmetrical structures outlined above.

In the case with U $(1)_Y$ and SU (3) from the 5_1 sector, the gaugino m asses and A term s take the form (see the general form ulae in [15]):

$$M_{1} = \int_{3m_{3=2}}^{p} \cos _{1}e^{i_{1}} = M_{3} = A_{t;e;u;d}$$

$$M_{2} = \int_{3m_{3=2}}^{p} \cos _{2}e^{i_{2}}: \qquad (5)$$

Note that in this case the phases $'_1$ and $'_3$ of the mass parameters M₁ and M₃ are equal and distinct from that of the SU (2) gaugino mass parameter M₂. The soft mass squares are given by

$$m_{5_{1}5_{2}}^{2} = m_{3=2}^{2} (1 \frac{3}{2} (\sin^{2} + \cos^{2} \frac{2}{3}))$$

$$m_{5_{1}}^{2} = m_{3=2}^{2} (1 - 3 \sin^{2}):$$
(6)

The SU (2) doublets of the M SSM are necessarily states which arise from open strings which connect the 5_1 and 5_2 brane sectors, and hence have m ass-squares given by the above expression for $m_{5_15_2}^2$. The SU (2) singlets may either be elds of the same type or states which originate from the 5_1 sector, although we note that requiring the presence of the M SSM Yukawa couplings indicates that these states should be of the latter type [15]. Sim ilar expressions apply for the case in which U (1)_Y and SU (2) are associated with the same vebrane sector, although in this case the relations among the phases are $'_1 = '_2 \notin '_3$. In each of their phases are related by the approximate PQ symmetry of the M SSM Lagrangian; see [16,8,28] for further details).

In our num erical analysis of these models, we impose the boundary conditions (5) and (6) at the GUT scale M_G = 3 10^{16} GeV (where we assume the couplings unify), and evolve the parameters to the electroweak scale via the renorm alization group equations [31]. The sparticle masses and the CP-violating phases depend on the free parameters m₃₌₂,

, i; i = 1;2;3, which are related by $\frac{2}{1} + \frac{2}{2} + \frac{2}{3} = 1$, as well as the two phases 1 and $_2$ (physical results only depend on $_1$ $_2$). To avoid negative scalar mass-squares we restrict our consideration to values of which satisfy $\sin^2 < \frac{1}{3}$, and also assume that $_{3} = 0$ (indicating that the modulus T₃ associated with the 5₃ brane sector plays no role in supersymmetry breaking, and thus is essentially decoupled from the observable sector). are in principle free parameters, as they are not determ ined by this embedding. B and However, we explore the phenom enologically motivated scenario in which the electroweak symmetry is broken radiatively as a result of RGE evolution of the Higgs masses m $_{\rm H_1}^2$ and $m_{H_2}^2$. As the m inimization conditions are imposed at the electroweak scale, the values of B and $j \neq can be expressed in terms of tan and M_z [27]. We note that even under these$ assumptions ' remains an independent parameter, and thus the model depends on two $_2$ and ' (though due to RG running the phases of the A phases at the GUT scale: 1 terms at the electroweak scale will deviate from their string-scale values). A lso, the squark and slepton masses from (6) are of the same order as the gaugino masses, so they would allow very large EDM 's if there were no relations among the soft masses and phases.

We nd, remarkably, that in order to satisfy the experimental constraints on the electron and neutron EDM's in this model, the large individual contributions from chargino, neutralino and gluino bops do not have to be suppressed by small CP phases. A cancellation between the chargino and neutralino bop contributions naturally causes the electron EDM to be acceptably small. As emphasized in [8], the contributions to chargino and neutralino diagrams from gaugino-higgsino mixing naturally have opposite signs and the additional' 1 dependence of the neutralino exchange contribution can provide for a match in size between the chargino and neutralino bop contributions to the electric dipole operator O_1 and the chrom coelectric dipole operator O_2 . Since $'_1 = '_3$ in this scenario, the sign of the gluino contribution is xed and it autom atically has the correct sign to balance the chargino contribution in the same region of gaugino phases which ensures cancellation in the electron case. This simple and e ective mechanism therefore provides extensive regions of parameter space where the electron and neutron EDM constraints are satis ed simultaneously while allowing for O (1) CP-violating phases.

In order to demonstrate the coincidence of the regions allowed by the experimental constraints on the EDM 's, we consider a speci c set of relevant parameters. We choose $m_{3=2} = 150 \text{ GeV}$, = 0.2 and tan = 2 which leads to a reasonably light spectrum of the superpartners and require that the EW symmetry is to be broken radiatively. In Fig. 1, we plot the allowed regions for both electron and neutron EDM depending on the values of $_{1}^{2}$ while $_{3}$ is set to zero. Frame a), where $_{1} = 0.85$, shows a very $_1$ and $_2 =$ 1 precise overlap between the electron and neutron EDM allowed regions resulting from the the fact that $'_1 = '_3$ and the cancellation mechanism works similarly in both cases. In fram e b), we consider the situation in which the magnitudes of all three gaugino masses are uni ed in magnitude while still allowing for di erent phases due to di erent origin of M $_1$ and M₃ compared to M₂. Finally, in frame c) we set $_1 = 0.55$ and study the situation when the magnitude of M₂ is signi cantly larger than that of M₁ = M₃. We distinct that in this scenario the alignment between the EDM allowed regions is spoiled and only small CPviolating phases are allowed by the experimental constraints. The behavior illustrated in



FIG.1. Illustration of the overlap between the regions allowed by the electron and neutron EDM constraints. We choose $m_{3=2} = 150 \text{ GeV}$, = 0.2 and $\tan = 2$, and assume that the EW symmetry is broken radiatively. A llowed points are shown for a) $_1 = 0.85$, b) $_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, and c) $_1 = 0.55$. The black circles are the points allowed for the eEDM and grey blocks for the nEDM. In a), the overlap is essentially total so only the grey blocks show up; in b) and c) the overlap becomes less good. The sensitivity is encouraging, suggesting that the experimental data can determ ine the G oldstino angles.

Fig. 1 for a particular choice of parameters is quite general provided the gaugino m asses at the uni cation scale are close in m agnitude. We considered only small and m oderate values of tan since for m odels with large tan new types of contributions can become important [32].

The cancellation mechanism in this scenario provides a remarkably large range of allowed CP - violating soft phases and requires a speci c correlation between ' and '₁ = '₃ as shown in Fig. 1. It is also interesting to observe that the actual values of the electron and neutron EDM 's for the allowed points in the phase parameter space are typically slightly below the experimental limit and should be within the reach of the next generation of EDM measuring experiments. In Fig. 2 we plot the EDM values for the allowed points in the case



FIG.2. Range of the electron and neutron EDM values vs. $'_1 = '_3$ predicted by Eqs. (5) and (6) for the parameters of Figure 1a). All of the points are allowed by the experimental bounds on the EDM 's (note the diment scales for the eEDM and nEDM).

of $_1 = 0.85$ with all the other parameters set to the same values as in previous discussion of Fig. 1. This indicates that if the CP-violating phases indeed originate from this type of D-brane con guration, non-zero measured values for both EDM 's much bigger than the SM prediction can be expected.

Equally remarkably, if we modify the way the SM is embedded in the D-brane sectors we are unable to satisfy the EDM constraints with nonnegligible phases. For example, the other possibility of arranging the SM gauge groups, such that U (1)_Y is instead on the 5₂ brane with SU (2), does not allow for large phase solutions. The reasons for this behavior are similar to that of the Horava-W itten scenario: we can use the U (1)_R symmetry of the soft terms to put $'_2 = '_1 = 0$, which severely limits the possibility of cancellation between the chargino and neutralino contributions to the electron EDM. The elect of $'_{A_e}$ alone is not enough to o set the potentially large chargino contribution and only a very narrow range of values of ' (close to 0, ,:::) passes the electron EDM constraint.

There are a number of interesting implications of these results:

They show explicitly how relations among soft parameters such as Eqs. (5) and (6) can naturally give small EDM 's even with large phases.

They illustrate how we are able to learn about (even nonperturbative) Planck scale

physics using low energy data. If the soft phases are measured in (say) collider superpartner data, or at B factories, and found to be large, we have seen that they may provide guidance as to how the SM is to be embedded on branes.

They illustrate very simply that large soft phases are at least consistent with, and perhaps motivated by, some string models. In particular, the requirement that the phases (but not necessarily the magnitudes) of the gaugino mass parameters are nonuniversal for viable large phase solutions can naturally be realized in Type I models in which the SM gauge group is split among di erent brane sectors.

They suggest that d_e and d_e are not much smaller than the current limits.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

L.E. thanks M.C vetic for m any helpful discussions and suggestions, and J.W ang for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported in part by the U.S.D epartment of Energy contract D = AC02-76CH03000.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.D in opoulos and D. Sutter, Nucl. Phys. B 452 (1995) 496.
- [2] E.D.Commins et.al, Phys. Rev. A 50, 2960 (1994); K.Abdullah et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 2347.
- [3] P.G. Harris et. al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 904.
- [4] J.Ellis, S.Ferrara, and D.V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 114 (1982) 231; W. Buchmuller and D.W yler, Phys. Lett. B 121 (1983) 321; J. Polchinski and M. Wise, Phys. Lett. B 125 (1983) 393; F. del Aguila, M. Gavela, J. Grifols, and A. Mendez, Phys. Lett. B 126 (1983) 71; D.V. Nanopoulos and M. Srednicki, Phys. Lett. B 128 (1983) 61.
- [5] M. Dugan, B. Grinstein, and L. Hall, Nucl. Phys. B 255 (1985) 413.
- [6] R.Garisto, Nucl. Phys. B 419 (1994) 279.
- [7] T. Ibrahim and P.Nath, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 111301; Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 478,
 Erratum -ibid Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 019901; Phys. Lett. B 418 (1998) 98.
- [8] M. Brhlik, G. Good, and G. L. Kane, hep-ph/9810457.
- [9] M. Brhlik and G. L. Kane, Phys. Lett. B 437 (1998) 331.
- [10] M. Dine, R. Leigh, and D. MacIntire, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 2030; K. Choi, D. Kaplan, and A. Nelson, Nucl. Phys. B 391 (1993) 515.
- [11] A. Brignole, L. Ibanez, and C. Munoz, Nucl. Phys. B 422 (1994) 125, Erratum -ibid Nucl. Phys. B 436 (1995) 747; A. Brignole, L. Ibanez, C. Munoz, and C. Scheich, Z. Phys. C 74, 157 (1997), hep-ph/9508258; A. Brignole, L. Ibanez, and C. Munoz, hepph/9707209, in Perspectives on Supersymmetry, ed.G. L. Kane, W orld Scientic, 1998.
- [12] G. Pradisi and A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett. B 216 (1989) 59; M. Bianchi and A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett. B 247 (1990) 517, Nucl. Phys. B 361 (1991) 519; E. G in on and J. Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. B 477 (1996) 715, hep-th/9601038; A. D abholkar and J. Park, Nucl. Phys. B 477 (1996) 207, hep-th/9602030; Nucl. Phys. B 477 (1996) 701, hep-th/9604178; Phys. Lett. B 394 (1997) 302, hep-th/9607041; E. G in on and C. Johnson, Nucl. Phys. B 477 (1996) 715, hep-th/9604129; J. B lum and A. Za aroni, Phys. Lett. B 387 (1996) 71, hepth/9607019; J. B lum, Nucl. Phys. B 486 (1997) 34, hep-th/9608053; C. Angelanton j. M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti and Ya S. Stanev, Phys. Lett. B 385 (1996) 96, hepth/9606169; C. Angelanton j. M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti, and Ya S. Stanev, Phys. Lett. B 387 (1996) 743.
- [13] Z.Kakushadze, G.Shiu and S.H.Tye, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 086001, hep-th/9803141;
 M.Berkooz and R.G.Leigh, Nucl. Phys. B 483 (1997) 187, hep-th/9605049; G.Zwart, Nucl. Phys. B 526 (1998) 378, hep-th/9708040; Z.Kakushadze, Nucl. Phys. B 512 (1998) 221, hep-th/9704059; Z.Kakushadze and G.Shiu, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 3686, hep-th/9705163; Nucl. Phys. B 520 (1998) 75, hep-th/9706051; L E. Ibanez, hep-th/9802103;
 D.O'D riscoll, hep-th/9801114; J.Lykken, E.Poppitz, and S.Trivedi, Nucl. Phys. B 543 (1999) 105, hep-th/9806080.
- [14] G. Shiu and S.H. Tye, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 106007, hep-th/9805157.
- [15] L. Ibanez, C. Munoz, and S. Rigolin, hep-ph/9812397.
- [16] S.D in opoulos and S.Thom as, Nucl. Phys. B 465 (1996) 23.
- [17] A. Pilaftsis and C. E. M. Wagner, hep-ph/9902371; D. A. Dem ir, hep-ph/9901389.
- [18] R.Akhoury, M.Brhlik, G.L.Kane, and A.Sinkovics, in preparation.
- [19] K. Choi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 1592.

- [20] The corresponding analysis of the dilaton-dom inated scenario was recently reported in [21]; their results demonstrate this behavior explicitly. We refer the reader also to [22] and references therein for the analysis of the CP-violating phases in the gaugino condensation approach.
- [21] S.Barr and S.K halil, hep-ph/9903425.
- [22] B.Acharya, D.Bailin, A.Love, W.A.Sabra, and S.Thom as, Phys.Lett. B 357 (1995)
 387; D.Bailin, G.V.K raniotis, and A.Love, Phys.Lett. B 414 (1997) 269; Nucl. Phys.
 B 518 (1998) 92.
- [23] P.Horava and E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 460 (1996) 506, Nucl. Phys. B 475 (19475) 9694;
 E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 471 (1996) 135, P.Horava, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 7561.
- [24] H. P. Nilles, M. Olechowski, and M. Yam aguchi, Phys. Lett. B 415 (1997) 24, Nucl. Phys. B 530 (1998) 43; K. Choi, H. B. Kim, and C. Munoz, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 7521; D. G. Cerdeno and C. Munoz, hep-ph/9904444.
- [25] A. Lukas, B. A. Ovnut, and D. W aldram, JHEP 9904:009 (1999), Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 106005; R. Donagi, A. Lukas, B. A. Ovnut, and D. W aldram, hep-th/9811168.
- [26] V.Kaplunovsky, Nucl. Phys. B 307 (1988) 145, Erratum -ibid Nucl. Phys. B 382 (1992) 436, hep-th/9205070.
- [27] L. Ibanez and G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B 110 (1982) 215.
- [28] M. Brhlik, L. Everett, G. L. Kane, and J. Lykken, in progress.
- [29] A. Uranga, hep-th/9811004.
- [30] We choose the 5_i sectors for the sake of de niteness. We could also have assumed that one of the gauge groups arises from the nine-brane sector and obtain sim ilar results for the CP violating e ects. However, in this case [15] it may be more di cult to obtain consistent uni cation of the gauge couplings at the GUT scale (although this point is not crucial for the purposes of this study).
- [31] For the sake of simplicity, we utilize the freedom in Type I string models to choose the string scale to coincide with the GUT scale; this possibility is not valid in the perturbative heterotic case, which $xes M_{String} = 5 = 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$ [26]. It is beyond the scope of this paper to consider all possibilities, and we refer the reader to a comprehensive discussion of this issue and its implications for gauge coupling unication in [15].
- [32] D. Chang, W. Keung and A. Pilaftsis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 900.