

CHIRAL DISORDER AND DIFFUSION IN THE QCD VACUUM

R. A. JANIK^{1,2}, M. A. NOWAK^{2,3}, G. PAPP⁴, I. ZAHED⁵

¹ Service de Physique Theorique, CEA Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

² Department of Physics, Jagellonian University, 30-059 Krakow, Poland.

³ GSI, Planckstr. 1, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany

⁴ CNR Department of Physics, KSU, Kent, Ohio 44242, USA &

HAS Research Group for Theoretical Physics, Eotvos University, Budapest, Hungary

⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA.



In this talk, which popularizes some of our recent work¹, we provide novel insights into the bulk properties of light chiral quarks in a fixed Euclidean volume (e.g. lattice QCD). We show that the spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry results into diffusing quarks with a vacuum diffusion constant $D = 2F^2 = \langle j^2 \rangle \approx 0.22 \text{ fm}^2$, in striking analogy to diffusing electrons in disordered metals in one-, two- and three-dimensions.

The idea that light quarks diffuse in $D = 4$ is key to understand a number of phenomena in QCD in light of results known from disordered electronic systems. We introduce here the concept of the quark return probability in the QCD vacuum as a chirally disordered medium¹, borrowing from concepts first introduced by Anderson² in the context of localization.

The eigenvalue equation for the Euclidean Dirac operator for quarks in the fundamental representation and in the fixed gluon field A

$$(i\cancel{D}[A] + im)q_k = \lambda_k[A]q_k \tag{1}$$

allows us to extend the theory into 4+1 dimensions with proper time τ , and to define the normalized return probability $P(\tau)$, for a light quark to start at $x(0)$ and return back to the same position $x(\tau)$ after a duration τ as,

$$P(\tau) = \frac{V^{2D}}{N} \int dx(0) \int dx(\tau) \bar{\psi}^E = \frac{V^{2D}}{N} \int dx(0) \bar{\psi}^{i(i\cancel{D}[A] + im)} x(0) \psi^E : \tag{2}$$

The first milestone in this diagram is the average level spacing at small virtualities, which corresponds to the inverse of the spectral density at zero virtuality. It is directly related to the value of the quark condensate, via the Banks-Casher relation⁵: $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = -\chi(0)$.

The second milestone is played by the Thouless virtuality. Here the crucial physical ingredient is the occurrence of the pion decay constant through the diffusion constant.

The third milestone is the breakdown of the diffusive picture, corresponding to distance scales where the concept of dressing a quark is meaningless.

All these regimes are amenable to lattice verifications, as we explain below.

In the ergodic regime, the quark return probability is given solely by the diffusion pole. Hence, any model with the same global symmetries as QCD, leading to $P(t) = e^{-2mt}$ would do for describing the small quark eigenvalues. The simplest realizations are chiral random matrix models in the microscopic limit⁶, an outgrowth of the matrix models used in the microscopic limit^{7;8;9}. This regime is well accounted for by detailed lattice simulations¹⁰. However, this agreement holds naturally only in the ergodic regime, where a direct relation to most of the dynamical observables in QCD is lost.

In the diffusive regime, QCD is characterized by a complex dynamics in D -dimensions. Nevertheless, there is still a possibility for a systematic study of the spectral properties, e.g. by semi-classical argument¹ borrowing on arguments from mesoscopic systems¹¹. Indeed, the spectral form factor^{1;11}

$$K(t) = \frac{2}{4} \frac{P(t)^2}{t}; \quad (5)$$

is directly related to the average two-level spectral correlation function, for different quark representations $N = 1; 2; 4$, for pseudo-real, complex and quaternion, respectively. In the diffusive regime, the spectral properties are reflecting on two-pion exchange, again in close analogy to the spectral properties of the two-diffuson and/or cooperon exchange in disordered electron systems¹².

Soon after our theoretical analysis, various numerical studies appeared suggesting the occurrence of the Thouless virtuality. A numerical study in the instanton model¹³ and lattice QCD¹⁴, have confirmed some of our predictions, although the diffusion scenario we have unraveled is yet to be explored. The first results reflecting on the spectral properties mentioned above are by now also available¹⁵.

The ballistic regime is probably the most difficult one to investigate on the lattice, since the virtualities are large, and may interfere with the lattice cut-off. We note, that a recent multi-matrix study¹⁶ has confirmed that the ballistic regime is delineated by the constituent quark mass.

Last but not least, let us ask the crucial question about the microscopic source of disorder in Euclidean QCD. For disordered electron systems, the diffusion is triggered by the elastic scattering of electrons on external, static defects in 'dirty' wires, plaquettes or grains, for $D = 1; 2; 3$ respectively. QCD is a fundamental theory, so where does the 'dirt' come from? One possibility are instantons¹⁷. They are static quasi-particles in $4+1$ dimensions, that cause a net chirality flip on light quarks (index theorem), with usually a random distribution in color and position space. However, other lumps of gauge-elds can act similarly as well. In many ways, the renewed interest in random instanton systems^{7;8;19} can be regarded as the longstanding motivation for the ideas developed in this note. We are pleased that early suggestions that such systems are amenable to generic results from matrix models^{7;8;9} and Anderson's ideas⁸ have come full circle, with potentially novel applications, some of which we have addressed recently.

Acknowledgments

M. A. Nowak is grateful to the organizers for the invitation to such an enjoyable and productive meeting. This work was supported in part by the U.S. DOE grants DE-FG-88ER40388 and DE-FG-02-86ER40251, by the Polish Government Project (KBN) grants 2P03B04412 and 2P03B00814 and by the Hungarian grant OTKA-F026622.

References

1. R. A. Janik, M. A. Nowak, G. Papp and I. Zahed, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 264 (1998); *Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp.* 131, 471 (1998).
2. P. Anderson, *Phys. Rev.* 109, 1492 (1958).
3. M. Gell-Mann, R. J. Oakes and B. Renner, *Phys. Rev.* 175, 2195 (1968).
4. D. J. Thouless, *Phys. Rep.* 13, 93 (1974).
5. T. Banks and A. Casher, *Nucl. Phys. B* 169, 103 (1980).
6. E. Shuryak and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, *Nucl. Phys. A* 560, 306 (1993); J. J. M. Verbaarschot and I. Zahed, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 70, 3852 (1993).
7. M. A. Nowak, J. J. M. Verbaarschot and I. Zahed, *Phys. Lett.* 217B, 157 (1989); *ibid.* 228B, 251 (1989).
8. M. A. Nowak, J. J. M. Verbaarschot and I. Zahed, in *Relativistic Nuclear Many-Body Physics*, eds. B. Clark, R. Perry and J. Vary, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988), p. 144.
9. Yu. A. Simonov, *Phys. Rev. D* 43, 3534 (1991).
10. M. E. Berbenni-Bitsch et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 1146 (1998); P. H. Damgaard, U. M. Heller and A. Krasnitz, [hep-lat/9810060](#); R. G. Edwards, U. M. Heller and R. Narayan, [hep-lat/9902021](#).
11. N. Argyaman, Y. Imry and U. Smilansky, *Phys. Rev. B* 47, 4440 (1993), and references therein.
12. B. L. Altschuler and B. I. Shklovski, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 64, 127 (1986).
13. J. Osborn and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, *Nucl. Phys. B* 525, (1998) 738.
14. M. E. Berbenni-Bitsch et al., *Phys. Lett. B* 438, 14 (1998).
15. T. Guhr, J.-Z. Ma, S. Meyer and T. Wilke, [hep-lat/9806003](#).
16. K. Takahashi and S. Iida, [hep-th/9903119](#).
17. see J. Negele, talk at this meeting.
18. D. D. Iakonov and V. Petrov, *Nucl. Phys. B* 272, 457 (1986).
19. E. Shuryak, *Phys. Lett. B* 193, 319 (1987).