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#### Abstract

T he Feynm an-Schw inger representation provides a convenient fram ew ork for the calculation of nonperturbative propagators. In this paper we rst investigate an analytically solvable case, nam ely the scalar QED in $0+1$ di$m$ ension. W ith this toy $m$ odel we illustrate how the form alism works. T he analytic result for the self energy is com pared with the perturbative result. $N$ ext, using a ${ }^{2}$ interaction, we discuss the regularization of various divergences encountered in this form alism. T he ultraviolet divergence, which is com m on in standard perturbative eld theory applications, is rem oved by using a P auli-V illars regularization. W e show that the divergence associated $w$ ith large values of Feynm an-Schw inger param eter $s$ is spurious and it can


be avoided by using an im aginary Feynm an param eter is.
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## I. IN TRODUCTION

In nuclear physics one is often faced by problem $s$ that require nonperturbative $m$ ethods. The best know exam ple is the problem ofbound states. Even if the underlying theory may have a sm allcoupling constant (such as in QED), and therefore allow s the use ofperturbation theory in general, the treatm ent ofbound states are inherently nonperturbative. T he n-body bound state is de ned by the pole of the interacting $n$ boody propagator. A perturbative approxim ation of $n$ boody propagator does not produce the bound state pole location. T his can $m$ ost easily be seen by the follow ing exam ple:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1 x}=1+x+x^{2}+x^{3}+::: \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ny truncation of the righthandside (perturbation theory) will fail to produce the pole which is located at $x=1$. Therefore it is essential that reliable nonperturbative $m$ ethods that take all orders of interaction into account are developed. For this reason, num erous nonperturbative $m$ ethods have been developed and succesfully used in the literature. Som e of the best know $n$ exam ples are relativistic bound state equations [1] [3], and lattiae gauge theory [4].

R elativistic bound state equations provide a practical and intuitive fram ew ork to analyze bound states. H ow ever this practicality com es w ith certain draw badks. In particular, the bound state equations in general lack gauge invariance. The second problem is associated w ith the fact that a com pletely self consistent solution ofbound state equations is very di cult. A com pletely selfconsistent solution requires solving in nitely many coupled equations for all $n$-point functions of the theory. Since this is an im possible task, one is either forced to $m$ odel various vertiges and interaction kemels or specify them perturbatively.
$T$ he second and $m$ ore recent approach is know $n$ as lattioe gauge theory (LGT). LG T is a Euclidean path integral based approach which relies on the discretization of spacetime. A $n$ econom ical lattice simulation $w$ ith a $s m$ all lattige size of $5^{4}$ requires roughly 4 (links) 8 (realSU (3) param eters) $\quad 5^{4}$ (space tim epoints) $=20000$ integrations. In
generalw th larger lattice sizes this cost goes as $32 \mathrm{~N}{ }^{4}$. The disadvantage of discretization is tw ofold. The rst one is the excessive com putational tim e required for lattice sim ulations. The second problem is the anom alies caused by the discretization, such as the ferm ion doubling problem [4].

In this paper we discuss yet another $m$ ethod know $n$ as the Feynm an-Schw inger Representation (FSR) 目[7]. Sim ilar to the LG T, the FSR approach is also based on Euclidean path integral form alism. The basic idea in the FSR approach is to integrate out all elds at the expense of introducing quantum $m$ echanical path integrals over the trajectories of particles. Replacing the path integrals over elds with path integrals over tra jectories has an enorm ous com putational advantage. In the FSR approach, a calculation sim ilar to the exam ple given above requires only 4 N integrations, where N is now the steps a particle takes between the initial and nalstates. In addition to this enorm ous savings in com putational tim e, the FSR approach also em ploys a space tim e continuum and therefore does not su er from problem s such as ferm ion doubling and the continuum lim it.

An additionalm otivation in studying the FSR approach is to determ ine whid subsets of diagram s give the dom inant contribution to the $n$-body propagator. This is particularly im portant in determ ining what kind of approxim ations are reasonable w ithin the context of bound state equations. Therefore the FSR is a very prom ising toolto do this. In this paper we report on results for the 2 -point function. In studies of hadronic system s like N one usually $m$ odels the selfenergy contribution through the low est order one-loop graph. A lso this is used as a starting point for the im proved action procedure proposed by Lepage [8]. It is clearly useful to com pare such a low est order approxim ation w ith the full results obtained from a FSR calculation. We study here as a toy model the scalar QED (SQ ED ) in 0 space and 1 tim e dim ension, which can be solved analytically. The intriguing issue we also address in this paper is the di culty found in the Euclidean action functional for the case of a ${ }^{3}$ theory. In applying the FSR to the 4 -point function in the case of a ${ }^{3}$-theory con ned to generalized ladders one encounters a di culty that the Euclidean action diverges. It was con jectured and dem onstrated in a sim ple exam ple by $N$ ieuw enhuis [G] that this problem
arises due to the continuation to the Euclidean $m$ etric. This problem is exam ined in detail here and we in particular show that there exists a regularization $m$ ethod to rem ove this divergence. As a result a clear prescription is given for dealing in a proper way w th the Euclidean action in this case.

The organization of this paper is as follow s: In the next section we start by discussing the case ofSQ ED in 0+ 1 dim ension. This is a sim ple case which can be w orked out analytically. W e consider the one-body and two-body propagators. W e com pare the one-body result for the dressed $m$ ass $w$ th the perturbation theory result. In the third section we consider the case of scalar interaction ${ }^{2}$ in $3+1$ dim ension. W e consider the issue of $W$ ick rotation in Feynm an param eter $s$, and present the FSR result for the one-body dressed $m$ ass obtained by M onte-C arlo integration. T he result is again com pared by the perturbation theory prediction.

## II. SCALAR QED

$M$ assive scalar Q ED in 0+1 dim ension is a sim ple interaction that enables one to obtain a fiully analytical result for the dressed and bound state $m$ asses $w$ thin the FSR approach. In this section we com pare the self energy result obtained by perturbative $m$ ethods $w$ ith the full result obtained from the Feynm an-Schw inger representation. The M inkow skim etric expression for the scalar QED Lagrangian in Stueckelberg form is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{S Q E D}=m^{2} 2 \quad \frac{1}{4} F^{2}+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} A^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}(@ A)^{2}+(@ \quad \text { ieA })(@+i \in A) ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where A represents the gauge eld of mass, and is the charged eld of mass m. We em ploy the Feynm an gauge ( $=1$ ). The presence of a mass term for the exchange eld breaks the gauge invariance. H ere the $m$ ass term was introduced in order to avoid infrared singularities which are present in $0+1$ dim ension. For dim ensions larger than $n=2$ the infrared singularity does not exist and therefore the lim it ! can be safely taken to restore the gauge invariance. Since we con ne ourselves to $0+1$ dim ension, the antisym $m$ etric
tensor $F$ vanishes. Therefore, in Euclidean $m$ etric and in $0+1$ dim ension, the scalar Q ED Lagrangian can be w rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{S Q E D}^{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{m}^{2} 2^{2}+(@)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} A^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(@ A)^{2}+e^{2}{ }^{2} A^{2} \quad \text { ieA }(@ \quad \text { @ }): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In preparation for the path integration which willbe perform ed below, it is m ore convenient to cast the Lagrangian into the follow ing form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{S Q E D}^{E}=m^{2} @^{2} 2 i e A @ \quad i e @ A+e^{2} A^{2}+\frac{1}{2} A\left({ }^{2} \quad @^{2}\right) A: \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to construct a gauge invariant $G$ reen's function $G$, we introduce a gauge link $U$ ( $x ; y$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x ; y) \quad \exp \quad i e_{x}^{z} d z A(z): \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two-body Green's function for the transition from the initial state $i=$

$$
(x) U(x ; x)(x) \text { to nalstate } f=(y) U(y ; y) \quad(y) \text { is given by }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(y ; y \dot{x} ; x)=N \quad D \quad D \quad D A \quad \text { f } e^{S_{E}} ; \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{E}={ }^{Z} d^{4} \times L_{S Q E D}^{E}: \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perform ing the path integrals over and elds one nds

$$
\begin{gather*}
G(y ; y \dot{x} ; x)=N^{z} \quad D A(\operatorname{detS})^{1} U(x ; x) U \quad(y ; y)[S(x ; y) S(x ; y)+S(x ; x) S(y ; y)] \\
\exp \frac{1^{z}}{2} d^{4} z A(z)\left({ }^{2} \quad @^{2}\right) A(z) ;
\end{gather*}
$$

where the interacting propagator is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x ; y)<y j \frac{1}{m^{2}} @^{2} \quad 2 i e A ~ @ \quad i e @ A+e^{2} A^{2} j x>: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s in lattioe gauge theory calculations we use the quenched approxim ation, detS ! 1. In order to be able to carry out the rem aining path integral over the exchange eld $A$ it is desirable to represent the interacting propagator in the form of an exponential. This can be achieved by using a Feynm an representation for the interacting propagator.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x ; y)=<y j_{0}^{z} d^{i 1} d s \exp \quad s\left(m^{2} \quad @^{2} \quad \text { 2ieA @ ie@A }+e^{2} A^{2}+i\right) j x>; \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $s$ integration is along the im aginary axis. Let us now de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x ; y ; s)<y j e x p ~ s\left(@^{2} \quad 2 i e A @ \quad i e @ A+e^{2} A^{2}\right) j x> \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U(x ; y ; s)$ satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ s} U(x ; y ; s)=\left(@^{2}+2 i e A @+i e @ A \quad e^{2} A^{2}\right) U(x ; y ; s): \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is equivalent to the Schroedinger equation for im aginary tim et is, with H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(p ; z)=(p+\text { ieA }(z))^{2}: \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $m$ atrix elem ent of the interacting propagator Eq . 2.9) can be written in term s of a quantum $m$ echanical path integral. W e know from quantum $m$ echanics that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle y j \exp [i H(q ; p) t] j x\rangle={ }^{Z} D q \exp i_{0}^{Z_{1}} L(q(t) ; q(t)) d t: \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lagrangian for Eq. (2.12) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(z ; \underline{z})=\frac{z^{2}}{4} \quad \text { iezA }(z): \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the quantum $m$ echanicalpath integral representation for this propagator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x ; y)=i_{0}^{z_{1}} d s^{z} D z \exp i s\left(m^{2}+i\right) \quad i=4 \int_{0}^{z} d \underline{Z}()+i e_{0}^{z} d \underline{\underline{Z}}(z()) \text {; } \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the boundary conditions are given by $z(0)=x, z(s)=y$. This representation allow $s$ one to perform the rem aining path integral over the exchange eld $A$. The nal result for the two-body propagator involves a quantum $m$ echanical path integral that sum $s$ up contributions com ing from all possible trajectories of particles

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\mathrm{z}_{1} \mathrm{ds}_{0}^{z_{1}}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~s}^{(D z)_{x y}} \quad(\mathrm{D} z)_{x y} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{s}[z]} ; \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S[Z]$ is given by


FIG .1. A sam ple trajectory of each particle along $w$ ith various interactions are show $n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[z] \quad i K[z ; s] \quad i K[z ; s]+V[z ; z]: \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he free and the interaction contributions to $S[Z]$ are given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& K[z ; s]=\left(m^{2}+i\right) s \frac{1}{4 s}_{I^{Z}}^{1} d \frac{d z()}{d} \frac{d z()}{d} ;  \tag{2.18}\\
& V[z ; z]=+\frac{e^{2}}{2}{ }_{c}^{I} d \quad \underline{z}()_{c}^{I} d z() \quad(z() \quad z() ;) ;  \tag{2.19}\\
& (x ;)=\frac{Z}{2} \frac{d p}{p^{2}+e^{i p x}}=\frac{e^{\dot{z} j}}{2} ; \tag{2,20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(x)$ is the interaction kemel. $K[z ; s]$ represents the $m$ ass term and the kinetic term , and $V[z ; s]$ includes the self energy and the exchange interaction contributions (shown in Fig. (1). The contour of integration $C$ follow s counterclockw ise trajectory $x!y!y!$ $x!x$ as param eters , and are varied from 0 to 1 . The self energy and the exchange interaction contributions, which are em bedded in expression 2.19, have di erent signs. T his follow s from the fact that particles form ing the tw o body bound state carry opposite charges. T he bound state spectrum can be determ ined from the spectral decom position of the two body G reen's function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(T)=X_{n=0}^{X_{n}} C^{m_{n} T} ; \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is de ned as the average tim e betw een the initial and nalstates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{y}_{4}+\mathrm{y}_{4} \quad \mathrm{x}_{4} \quad \mathrm{x}_{4}\right): \tag{2,22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lim it of large $T$, the ground state $m$ ass is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}=\lim _{T!1} \frac{d}{d T} \ln [G(T)]=\frac{{ }^{R} D_{R} Z S^{0}[Z] e^{S[Z]}}{D Z e^{S[Z]}} ; \tag{223}
\end{equation*}
$$

A. The one-body case

In order to be able to com pare w ith the perturbative result later, we concentrate on the one-body case. T he one-body propagator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(0 ; T)={ }^{z} d s^{z}(D z)_{0 т} \exp i K[z ; s] \quad V_{0}[z]: \tag{2,24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral of the self interaction Eq. 2.19) can analytically be perform ed

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{0}[z] & =\frac{e^{2}}{4}{ }_{0}^{z_{1}} d \underline{z()_{0}^{z_{1}} d \underline{z}() e^{\dot{j}() z() j} ;}  \tag{225}\\
& =\frac{e^{2} T}{2^{2}} 1 \frac{1 e^{T}}{T} ; \tag{2,26}
\end{align*}
$$

where the boundary conditions were chosen as $z(0)=0$, and $z(1)=T . N e x t$, the path integral over $z$ can be evaluated after a discretization in proper time. Since the only path dependence in the propagator is in the kinetic term, the path integralover $z$ involves gaussian integrals which can be perform ed easily by using the follow ing discretization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (D })_{\text {OT }}!\quad(\mathbb{N}=4 \quad s)^{N=2}{\underset{i=1}{N} 1^{Z}}^{Z} d z_{i} \text { : } \tag{227}
\end{equation*}
$$

The s integral can also be evaluated by saddle point $m$ ethod giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(0 ; T)=N \exp \quad m T \quad e^{2} \frac{T}{2^{2}}+\frac{e^{2}}{2^{3}}\left(1 \quad e^{T}\right): \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an exact result for large tim es $T$. The dressed $m$ ass can easily be obtained by taking the logarithm ic derivative of this expression. T herefore, the one-body dressed $m$ ass for SQED in 0+ 1 dim ension according to the FSR form alism is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=m+\frac{e^{2}}{2^{2}}: \tag{229}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim plicity of the SQED in 0+ 1 dim ension also allow s one to get an analytical result for the two-body bound state $m$ ass. It can easily be seen that the two-body result for the total m ass is given by


FIG.2. Self energy for the $S Q E D$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\text {bound }}=m+\frac{e^{2}}{2^{2}}+m+\frac{e^{2}}{2^{2}} \quad \frac{e^{2}}{2}=2 m ; \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the nst two term s are due to the one-body contributions and the last term is due to the exchange interaction. T he exchange contribution to the $m$ ass (up to a $m$ issing factor of two), w as also reported earlier in Ref. 9]. T he interesting feature of the result in Eq. 2.30) is the fact that the positive shift of one-body $m$ asses are exactly com pensated by the negative binding energy created by the exchange interaction. T herefore the totalbound state $m$ ass is exactly equal to the sum of bare $m$ asses. T herefore in this sim ple case vertex corrections do not contribute to the bound state $m$ ass.

In order to be able to com pare w ith the FSR prediction Eq. (229) we consider the perturbative treatm ent of self energy.

## B. The perturbative result

In this section we consider the perturbative treatm ent of the self energy and com pare the perturbative result w ith the FSR prediction Eq. 229). The self energy (Figure 目) in 0+ 1 dim ension is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{ie}^{2}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dk}}{2} \frac{(2 \mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{k})^{2}}{\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right.}{ }^{2}\right)\left[(\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{k})^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right] \quad+i e^{2}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dk}}{2} \frac{1}{k^{2} \quad 2}: \tag{231}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perform ing the $W$ ick rotation we get the follow ing Euclidean expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p)=e^{2^{2}} \frac{d k}{2} \frac{(2 p k)^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)\left[(p k)^{2}+m^{2}\right]} \frac{1}{k^{2}+2^{2}}: \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating the integral we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{p})=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{2} \frac{(\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{p})^{2}}{\left.\mathrm{~m}^{2}+(\mathrm{i} \mathrm{p})^{2}\right]}+\frac{(\mathrm{im} p)^{2}}{m\left[^{2}+(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{p})^{2}\right]} \quad \underline{1}: \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dressed propagator corresponding to this self energy is

$$
\begin{align*}
i_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{p}) & =\frac{i}{m^{2}+p^{2}}+\frac{i}{m^{2}+p^{2}} \quad i_{E}(p) \frac{i}{m^{2}+p^{2}}+ \\
& =\frac{i}{m^{2}+p^{2}+{ }_{E}(p)}: \tag{2,34}
\end{align*}
$$

The coordinate space form of the dressed propagator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(t)=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d p}{2} \frac{e^{i p t}}{m^{2}+p^{2}+e_{E}(p)} \quad, N e^{M t}: \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $M$ is the dressed $m$ ass and $N$ is a norm alization factor. The dependence of $M$ on the coupling strength e can be obtained from the solution of the on-shell condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{q}{m^{2}+E(i M)} ; \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ ust be real if the dressed $m$ ass is to be stable. Therefore, for SQ ED, the equation determ ining the dressed $m$ ass takes the follow ing form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=m^{2}+\frac{e^{2}}{2} \frac{(2 M)^{2}}{\left.m^{2}(\quad M)^{2}\right]}+\frac{(m M)^{2}}{m\left[^{2}(m+M)^{2}\right]}+\frac{1}{-}: \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This perturbative result can be com pared w the the exact result Eq. 229) found earlier. In gure 3 we present the case of $m==1 \mathrm{GeV}$. For sm all values of coupling strength $e^{2}$ the perturbative and the full results converge. From the gure we see, that although the higher loop contributions cannot entirely be neglected they are of lim ited size, suggesting that in this case the low est one-loop contribution $m$ ay be a reasonable approxim ation for not too strong couplings. This is consistent w ith the results from Ref. [] in the case of SQ ED in 2+ 1 dim ension. The perturbative result develops a com plex $m$ ass beyond a criticalcoupling $e_{\text {crit }}^{2}=0: 343(\mathrm{G} \mathrm{EV})^{2}$. At the critical point a 'collision' takes place with another real solution of Eq. (2.37), leading to two com plex con jugated solutions with increasing $e^{2}$. This happens at $M=1: 49 \mathrm{GeV} . T$ his is an inadequacy of the perturbative approach.

The occurrence of com plex ghost poles in the propagator has also been found in Lee-like m odels [19 11] and in N -interaction m odels [12]. M oreover, it is also interesting to note


FIG.3. The function M ( $g^{2}$ ) calculated by the FSR approach and the perturbative $m$ ethods for values of $m==1 \mathrm{GeV}$. A coording to the perturbative result there is a critical point at $g_{c r i t}^{2}=0: 343(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$ beyond which the dressed $m$ ass becom es com plex.
that a sim ilar critical behaviour was also observed w ithin the context of one body D ysonSchw inger equation in Ref. [13]. In the D yson-Schw inger-B ethe-Salpeter studies of hadron structure the lack of real and nite $m$ ass poles in the quark propagator is usually considered as an indication of con nem ent. On the other hand, the sim ple exam ple of SQED study in $0+1$ dim ension show $s$ that while the exact result for the dressed $m$ ass obtained from the FSR approach produces a realm ass pole for all values of the coupling, the sim ple bubble sum ation leads to com plex $m$ ass poles for large coupling values. Therefore the connection betw een con nem ent and lack of realm ass poles is far from clear.

Having presented the study of SQED in 0+ 1 dim ension, where analytical results are easily obtained and com pared w th the perturbative ones, we m ove on to the scalar ${ }^{2}$ interaction in 3+ 1 dim ension.

## III. THE FEYNMAN-SCHW INGER FORMALISM FOR SCALAR FIELDS

W e consider the theory of charged scalar particles ofm ass m interacting through the exchange of a neutral scalar particle ofm ass. For this case the analytical integration of path integrals are not possible and one needs com putational tools.

The Euclidean Lagrangian for this theory is given by

$$
L_{E}=\left[m^{2} \quad @^{2}+g\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & \left.@^{2}\right):  \tag{3.1}\\
\end{array}\right.
$$

The two body G reen's function for the transition from the initial state $i=(x) \quad(x)$ to nalstate $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{f}}=(\mathrm{y})(\mathrm{y})$ is given by

$$
G(y ; y \dot{x} ; x)=N^{Z} \quad D^{Z} \quad{ }^{Z} \quad \begin{array}{llll}
Z & &  \tag{32}\\
i & e^{S_{E}}:
\end{array}
$$

Perform ing the path integrals over and elds one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(y ; y \dot{x} ; x)=N^{Z} \quad D \quad(\operatorname{det} S)^{1}[S(x ; y) S(x ; y)+S(x ; x) S(y ; y)] e^{{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} d^{4} z\left({ }^{2} @^{2}\right) ;} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here the interacting propagator is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x ; y)<y j \frac{1}{m^{2} \quad @^{2}+g} j x>: \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to be able to carry out the rem aining path integral over the exchange eld it is desirable to represent the interacting propagator in the form of an exponential.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x ; y)=<y j_{0}^{Z} d s e^{s\left(m^{2} @^{2}+g+i\right)} j x>: \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere we w ant to com $m$ ent on a subtlety about the Feynm an representation. In earlier w orks 56]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x ; y)<y j_{0}^{Z} d s e^{s\left(m^{2} @^{2}+g\right)} j x>; \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The validity of this representation depends on the sign of the eld which can be either positive or negative. If one accepts this representation, the problem $m$ anifests itself as an exponentially diverging $s$ dependence after the path integral over is perform ed. In order
to circum vent the problem of the s singularity we use the Feynm an representation given in Eq. (3.5). Let us de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x ; y ; s)<y j^{s\left(e^{2}+g\right)} j x>; \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where U (x;y;s) satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@} U(x ; y ; s)=\left(@^{2} \quad g\right) U(x ; y ; s): \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is equivalent to the Schroedinger equation for im aginary tim et $=$ is, with H am ittonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{z})=\mathrm{p}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{z}): \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lagrangian for the H am iltonian given in Eq. 3.9) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(z ; \underline{z})=\frac{z^{2}}{4}+g(z): \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the interacting propagator can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x ; y)=i_{0}^{Z} d s^{z} D z \exp \text { is }\left(m^{2}+i\right) \quad i=4 \int_{0}^{z} d \quad \underline{z}^{z}()+i g_{0}^{z} d \quad(z()) ; \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the boundary conditions are given by $z(0)=x, z(s)=y$. The nal result for the twobody propagator involves a quantum $m$ echanical path integral that sum $s$ up contributions com ing from all possible trajectories of particles. The only di erence from the SQED case Eq. 2.15) is the replacem ent of ezA (z ( ) ) ! g. Therefore, for the two body propagator one arrives at the sam e expresion as Eq. 2.16) except now the new de nition (com pare w ith Eq. 2.19)) of the interaction term is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}[\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{s}]=\mathrm{V}_{0}[\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{s}]+2 \mathrm{~V}_{12}[\mathrm{z} ; \mathbf{z} ; \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{s}]+\mathrm{V}_{0}[\mathbf{z} ; \mathrm{s}] ; \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{0}[z ; s]=\frac{9^{2}}{2} s^{2^{2}}{ }^{z^{0}} d^{z_{1}} d^{0}{ }^{0}\left(z() \quad z\left({ }^{0}\right) ;\right) ;  \tag{3.13}\\
& \mathrm{V}_{12}[\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{S}]=\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{2} \mathrm{SS} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{z}_{1}^{0}} \mathrm{~d} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{z}_{1}^{0}} \mathrm{~d} \quad(\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{)}) \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{l}) ;): \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the $\mathrm{V}_{0}[\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{s}]$ term represents the self energy contribution, while the $\mathrm{V}_{12}[z ; \mathbf{z} ; \mathbf{s ;} ; \mathbf{s}]$ term represents the exchange interaction ( F ig. (1) ) T The notable di erence com pared to the SQ ED case is that the interaction term s now depend on the $s$ variable. The second di erence from the SQED case is the fact that self energy and exchange interaction term s have the sam e signs. T he interaction kemel $(x)$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ;)=\frac{z}{(2)^{4} p} \frac{e^{i p x}}{p^{2}+{ }^{2}}=\frac{}{4^{2} \dot{k} j} K_{1}(\dot{j} \bar{j}): \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tim e of propagation, $T$, is de ned as before in Eq. (222)
In principle one can work w ith equation (223), using the interaction given in Eq. (3.12), to determ ine the ground state energy of the bound state. How ever this is in practice very costly. The problem is the oscillatory behavior of the integrand which forbids the use of $M$ onte-C arlo techniques for integration. Therefore it is desirable to $m$ ake a W idk rotation in variable $s$. In the next section we discuss how this rotation can be $m$ ade $w$ thout leading to a large s divergenœe associated with the interaction term .
A. The large sbehavior and $W$ ick rotation

The one body propagator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=i_{0}^{Z_{1}} d s^{z} \quad D z \exp i m^{2} s \quad i \frac{k^{2}}{4 s} \quad s^{2} v \quad s ; \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the $s$-independent functionals $\mathrm{k}^{2}[z]$ and $v[z]$ are de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{k}^{2}[\mathrm{z}] \quad \mathrm{z}_{1} \mathrm{~d} \frac{\mathrm{dz}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{dz}(\mathrm{l})}{\mathrm{d}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{0}  \tag{3.17}\\
& \mathrm{v}[\mathrm{z}] \quad \frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{z_{1}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}_{1}} \mathrm{~d}^{0}\left(\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{)}) \mathrm{z}\left({ }^{0}\right) ;\right):
\end{align*}
$$

T he path integral is discretized using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (D) })_{\mathrm{XY}}!\quad(\mathbb{N}=4 \quad \mathrm{~s})^{2 \mathrm{~N}}{\underset{i=1}{\mathrm{~N}}{ }^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{4} z_{i} ; ~}_{\text {; }} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the s-dependence is critical in obtaining the correct nom alization. The one body propagator after this discretization is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=i \frac{N}{4}{ }^{2 N Z} \quad{ }_{i=1}^{N} 1_{i}^{1} z_{i}^{Z} \int_{1} \frac{d s}{s^{2 N}} \exp i^{2} s \quad i \frac{k^{2}}{4 s} \quad s^{2} v ; \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a well de ned and nite integral. In principle the num ber of steps N should be taken to in nity. If one keeps the N xed, a sim ple replacem ent of $\mathrm{s}!$ is clearly leads to a divergent s integral and is therefore not allow ed. In order to put this integral into a form that allow sW ick rotation w ithout changing the physics we use the follow ing trick. At large values of s the integral in Eq. 320) is highly dam ped because of the $v$ and $s^{2 N}$ term $s$. The integrand is also highly oscillatory as s! 0, or s! 1 and therefore these regions do not contribute to the integral. In the $\lim$ it $g^{2}!0$ the dom inant contribution to the integral in Eq. 3.20) can be show $n$, by using the saddle point $m$ ethod, to com efrom

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=i s_{0}=i \frac{k}{2 m}: \tag{321}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the large s values do not contribute to the integral even $w$ ithout the interaction term, it is a good approxim ation to suppress the $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ tem at large s values. W hile this suppression is done it is im portant that the integrand is not m odi ed in the region ofdom inant contribution $s \quad i s_{0}$. This can be achieved by scaling the $s$ variable, in the interaction term only, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}!\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{S}_{0}\right)} \tag{322}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(s ; s_{0}\right) \quad 1 \quad\left(s \quad i s_{0}\right)^{2}={ }^{2}: \tag{323}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the free case, $\left(g^{2}=0\right)$, the $w$ idth $W$ of the region of dom inant $s$ contribution goes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=\frac{\mathrm{s}}{\frac{\mathrm{~T}}{2 \mathrm{~m}^{3}}}: \tag{324}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in the free case the dom inant contribution to the s integraloom es from $i\left(s_{0} \quad W\right)<$ $s<i\left(s_{0}+W\right)$. In order to ensure that the scaling given in Eq. 322) does not make a signi cant change in the region of dom inant contribution, should be chosen such that


FIG.4. The gure show s the insensitivity of the dressed $m$ ass to the $w$ idth for $W$. This case represents $g=5 \mathrm{GeV}$, and $\mathrm{m} T=40$. Results were obtained averaging about 4 M onte-c arlo nuns at each .

It should be pointed out that as one increases the coupling strength, the value of $s_{0} w$ ill deviate from its free value. Therefore, in general, $s_{0}$ has to be de ned self consistently by $m$ onitoring the peak of the $s$ distribution. In $F$ igure (4) we display the insensitivity of the dressed $m$ ass to the $w$ idth, for $g=5 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\mathrm{m} T=40$. The results we present in the rem ainder of the paper are obtained with a choice of ${ }^{2}=2 \mathrm{~W}^{2}$. A s a result of the scaling Eq. (322) the interaction term disappears at large s values where the integrand does not contribute anyw ay. The bene $t$ of this replacem ent is in the fact that even though $N$ is kept nite one can perform a $W$ idk rotation rigorously in variable $s$ to nd a nonoscillatory and nite integral. $N$ ow let us take a closer look at the W idk rotation.

A fler the rede nition given in Eq. (322) the $s$ integral in Eq. (3.16) takes the follow ing form


F IG.5. W ick rotation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G / \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{d s}{s^{2 N}} \exp i^{2} s \quad i \frac{k^{2}}{4 s} \quad g^{2} s^{2}=R^{2}(s) v: \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exponent has a singularity in the complex $s$ plane at $s_{p}=$ is $s_{0}$. One of these singularities is on the path of the $W$ idk rotation. However it can easily be seen that it does not contribute to the integral. In particular, the contribution of the singularity at $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ is $\mathrm{s}_{0}+$, let's call it G pole, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\text {pole }}=i \frac{e^{\mathrm{im}^{2} s_{p} \mathrm{ik}^{2}=\left(4 \mathrm{spp}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)}}{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2 \mathrm{~N}}} \lim _{!0} \mathrm{Z}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~d} \exp i \quad \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}{ }^{2}=\left(4^{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{v} \text {; } \tag{327}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is identically equal to 0 . The contribution to the integral com ing from the quarter circle can also be shown to vanish. On the quarter circle the interaction term approaches zero, and the integral is dom inated by $1=s^{2 N}$ term which vanishes as the radius of circle goes to in nity. Therefore the integral vanishes along the quarter circle. The vanishing of the interaction term on the quarter circle is only possible if one assum es that the radius of the quarter circle is much greater than. Therefore can not be taken to in nity until the s integral is perform ed.

Thus, one can indeed perform the $W$ idk rotation w ithout any com plication to nd a nite and nonoscillatory expression for the fully interacting two-body propagator:

$$
G={\underset{0}{z_{1}} \mathrm{ds}^{z_{1}} \int_{0}^{z} \mathrm{ds}^{\mathrm{D} z)_{\mathrm{xy}}} \quad(\mathrm{D} z)_{\mathrm{xy}}}^{\mathrm{Z}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp K[z ; s] K[z ; s]+V_{0}\left[z ; s_{r}\right]+V_{0}\left[; S_{r}\right]+2 V_{12}\left[z ; z ; S_{r} ; S_{r}\right] ; \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{r} \quad \frac{s}{R\left(s ; s_{0}\right)}: \tag{329}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he discretized versions of kinetic and interaction term s are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& K[z ; s]!\left(m^{2}+i\right) s \frac{N}{4 s}_{i=1}^{X^{N}}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{i 1}\right)^{2} ;  \tag{3.30}\\
& V_{0}[z ; s]!\frac{g^{2} s^{2}}{2 N^{2}}{ }_{i ; j=1}^{\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(z_{i}+z_{i 1} \quad z_{j} \quad z_{j 1}\right) ;\right) ;  \tag{3.31}\\
& V_{12}[z ; z ; S ; s]!\frac{g^{2} s s}{2 N^{2}}{ }_{i ; j=1}^{X^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(z_{i}+z_{i 1} \quad z_{j} \quad z_{j 1}\right) ;\right): \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ aving outlined the treatm ent of the large sbehavior and the W ick rotation, we next address the regularization of the ultraviolet (short distance) singularities.

## B. T he ultraviolet regularization

The ultraviolet singularity in the kemel $(\mathrm{x} ;$ ) Eq. (15) can be regularized using a Pauli-V illars regularization prescription. In order to do this one replaces the kemel

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ;) \quad!\quad(x ;) \quad(x ;) \text {; } \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is in principle a large constant. The ultraviolet singularity in the interaction is of the type

$$
{ }^{z} d z z(z ;):
$$

At short distances the kemel $(z ;)$ goes as $1=z^{2}$. Therefore, we have a logarithm ic type singularity. The $P$ auli-V illars regularization takes care of this singularity. T he $P$ auli-V illars regularization is particularly convenient for M onte-C arlo sim ulations since it only involves a modication of the kemel. In order to achieve an e cient convergence in num erical sim ulations we use a rather sm all cut-o param eter $=3$. This choice leads to a less singular kemel. However this is not a m ajor defect since the value of can be increased arbitrarily at the cost additional com putational tim e.


FIG.6. The self energy for ${ }^{2}$ interaction. C.Perturbation theory result for self energy

In this section we study the self energy of a particle ofm ass m in low est order in perturbation theory. W e carry out the study in 3+ 1 dim ension.

The low est order \bubble" diagram is shown in F ig. G. In 3+ 1 dim ensions this diagram is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{p})=\operatorname{ig}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{4} \mathrm{k}}{(2)^{4}} \frac{1}{\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad(\mathrm{p} \mathrm{k})^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}\right)}: \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to com pare the perturbative result $w$ th the $F S R$ prediction we use the sam e regularization $m$ ethod, nam ely the $P$ auli-V illars regularization. Assum ing that $p^{2}<(m+$
$)^{2}$, the integration over km ay be rotated from the real axis to the im aginary axis w thout $m$ eeting any singularities. Substituting $k$ ! $i k$ and $p$ ! ip and gives the Euclidean expression for the self energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.E(p)=g^{2} \frac{d^{4} k}{(2)^{4}} \frac{2}{\left(m^{2}+(p r\right.} k\right)^{2}\right)\left(2^{2}+k^{2}\right)\left(2^{2}+k^{2}\right) ; \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the P auli-V illars regularization $m$ ass is chosen to be $=3 . \mathrm{U}$ sing the Feynm an tridk the integral can be evaluated giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(p)=\frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}} I\left(p^{2} ;\right) I\left(p^{2} ;\right)^{i} ; \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} ; ~\right)$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1} \\
& \left.I\left(p^{2} ;\right) \quad 0 d x \ln m^{2} x+p^{2} x(1 \quad x)+{ }^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x
\end{array}\right)\right] ; \\
& =\frac{1}{2} 2 D \tan ^{1} \frac{2 m^{2} p^{2}}{D}+\left(2 m^{2} p^{2}\right) \ln \left(\frac{2}{m^{2}}\right) \\
& 2 D \tan ^{1} \frac{2 m^{2}+\mathrm{p}^{2}}{\mathrm{D}} \quad 2 \mathrm{p}^{2}\left[2+\ln \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)\right] ; \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \quad q \frac{{ }^{4}+2^{2}\left(m^{2} \quad p^{2}\right)\left(m^{2}+p^{2}\right)^{2}}{}: \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he dependence of $M$ on the coupling strength $g$ can be obtained by analytic continuation of the Euclidean form of given in Eq. (37). It is found from the on-shell condition Eq. 2.36) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=m^{2} \frac{g^{2}}{16^{2}} I\left(M^{2} ;\right) I\left(M^{2} ;\right)^{i} ; \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hem ass $M$ is therefore the solution of this equation which $m$ ust be real if the dressed $m$ ass is to be stable.

The FSR result is obtained through $M$ onte-C arlo integration. The dressed $m$ ass $m o$ $\lim _{T!} I^{M}$ (T), which is given by Eq. 223) becom es largely independent of $T$ at large tim es m T 40. As the coupling strength is increased the plateau is shifted tow ards higher $T$ values. In $F$ igure $]$ we dem onstrate how the stability is achieved as $T$ increases for the case of $g=5 \mathrm{GeV}$.

The behavior of dressed $m$ ass $M\left(g^{2}\right)$ as a function of the coupling constant is illustrated in $F$ ig. ©. $M\left(g^{2}\right)$ is alw ays sm aller than unity, and decreases as $g$ increases. The agreem ent of the FSR result w th the perturbative prediction is very good at low $\mathrm{g}^{2} 30(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$. W e see that the $m$ ass shift is negative, corresponding to an attractive interaction. This should be contrasted w th the SQ ED, where a positive $m$ ass shift is predicted. From the gures we see that the higher loop contributions increase the $m$ ass shiff in both cases.

M oreover, the perturbative result displays a criticalpoint as in the $0+1$ dim ension SQ ED case. A ccording to the perturbative result (see Fig.[G), the dressed m ass M decreases up to a critical critical value $g_{\text {crit }}$ which occurs when the $m$ ass reaches to $\mathrm{M}_{\text {crit }}=0: 094 \mathrm{GeV}$. For this sim ple case the criticalcoupling is given by $g_{c r i t}=22: 2 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}$. For larger values of $g$ there are no real solutions, show ing that the dressed particle is unstable. For $g>g_{c r i t}$ the state does not propagate as a free particle. For the exam ple shown in the gure, $m=1 \mathrm{GeV}$, and $=0: 15 \mathrm{GeV}$.


FIG .7. The M onte-C arlo result for the function $M(T)$ is show $n$. E rror bars re ect statistical errors associated w ith the $M$ onte- $C$ arlo sam pling. The plateau region occurs around $T 40$.


FIG. 8. The FSR result for the function $M\left(g^{2}\right)$ obtained from the $M$ onte- $C$ arlo sim ulation is shown along w ith the perturbative result. Error bars on the $M$ onte- $C$ arlo result are due to the uctuations of the correlation function (which goes as $e^{\mathrm{mt}}$ ) under tim e.


F IG . 9. The perturbative result for the function $M\left(g^{2}\right)$ is show $n$ form $=1 \mathrm{GeV}$, and $=0: 15$. The critical coupling is given by $g_{\text {crit }}=22: 2 \mathrm{GeV}$. For larger values of $g$ there are no real solutions IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have considered the SQ ED interaction in $0+1$ dim ension and the scalar ${ }^{2}$ interaction in 3+1 dim ension. W e have shown that for the SQED, the analyticalF SR result and the perturbative one are in agreem ent at $s m$ all couplings. T he exact SQ ED result for the dressed $m$ ass is realw hile a low est order bubble sum produces com plex $m$ ass poles as the coupling constant is increased. T his exam ple show s that the lack of real and nite m ass poles do not necessarily im ply con nem ent unless they are obtained by fully nonperturbative calculations.

For the ${ }^{2}$ interaction we have shown that it is possible to perform a W idk rotation in Feynm an param eter s and obtain a convergent expression for the $s$ integration.
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