Soft Color Fields in D IS at low x and low Q^2

A .M etz CEA-Saclay, DAPN IA/SPhN, F-91191 G if-sur-Y vette, France E-m ail: am etz@ cea.fr

Two complementary approaches to D IS at low x and low Q² are presented. In the rst case we apply a model containing two Pomeron trajectories. In the second case we determ ine the gluon density in the semiclassical treatment at next-to-leading order. Both approaches rely on the concept of soft color elds.

1 Introduction

R egge theory turned out to be very successful in describing the rise of hadronic cross sections by m eans of a so-called soft P om eron trajectory. The same picture works well also for real photoabsorption on the proton. However, in the case of D IS with an incoming virtual photon and virtualities $Q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, HERA data show a clear deviation from a soft P om eron behaviour of the cross section. Therefore, D onnachie and Landsho ¹ recently proposed a two-component m odel containing a soft and a hard P om eron trajectory, where the in uence of both components varies with Q^2 . In the rst approach ² discussed below we also exploit a model with two P om erons relating the soft P om eron to non-perturbative Q CD by m eans of the M odel of the Stochastic Vacuum (M SV) of D osch and Sim onov³. This model can be considered as approxim attorn to Q CD in the infrared regime and provides, together with an ansatz for the quark wave function of the proton, a speci c description of the soft color eld of the proton. O ur hard P om eron is related to perturbative Q CD.

In the second approach ⁴ we focus on the concept of parton densities frequently used in the analysis of D IS. M ore precisely, we determ ine in the sem iclassical approach ^{5;6} the gluon density at next-to-leading order (N LO), which can serve as input in the evolution equations. In the sem iclassical treatm ent of D IS the interaction of the partonic uctuation of the virtual photon with the soft color eld, describing the target at low x, is treated in an eikonal approxim ation ⁷. Our result is quite general. The gluon density is expressed in term s of a (non-perturbative) W ilson bop and can be evaluated in any m odel of the soft color eld of the target. On the other side, the concept of parton densities in general can not be continued to the real photon point. In that sense our second approach is more lim ited than the Pom eron m odel.

2 Soft and H ard Pom eron in D IS

For the structure function F_2 a model based on two Pomerons reads¹

$$F_{2}(x;Q^{2}) = f_{s}(Q^{2})x^{s} + f_{h}(Q^{2})x^{h}; \qquad (1)$$

where the exponents $_{\rm s}$ and $_{\rm h}$ of the soft and the hard contribution respectively do not depend on Q². We use $_{\rm s}$ = 0, and $_{\rm h}$ is treated as a free parameter. Though we can not explain the Pomeron intercepts, the residue functions f_s and f_h are related to QCD.

We evaluate f_s in the MSV, which is a speci cm odel of non-perturbative QCD derived from the assumption that the infrared behaviour of QCD can be approximated by a Gaussian stochastic process³. In terms of the W ilson area law the MSV predicts linear connement. The correlator of the gluon eld strength, which has been computed on the lattice⁸, serves as central quantity of the MSV. The lattice simulation of the correlator shows a transition between non-perturbative and perturbative elds roughly at the correlation length a 0.3 fm. In the following we will exploit the scale a to separate soft and hard contributions.

In the eikonal approximation the cross section $_{qq}$ for scattering a color dipole o the proton is energy independent in the M SV ⁹. W ith the wave function $_{L,T}$ (Q²;z;r) describing the uctuation of a longitudinal or transverse photon into a qq pair, the soft part of the photoabsorption cross section on the proton takes the form

$$\sum_{L;T}^{\text{soft}} (Q^2) = 2 \quad dz \quad drrj_{L;T} (Q^2;z;r) \int_{qq}^{M SV} (z;r); \quad (2)$$

where z is the longitudinal momentum fraction of the quark and r the transverse size of the uctuation. To ensure con nem ent we use an elective quark m ass 10 interpolating between a constituent quark at low Q 2 and a current quark at high Q 2 . In Eq. (2) we introduce the lower cuto a in the r integration 11 , since the interaction at sm all distances is given by the hard component. A coording to $F_2^{\rm soft} = Q^2 \left({{}_{\rm L}^{\rm soft} + {}_{\rm T}^{\rm soft}} \right) = 4 {}^2_{\rm QED}$, we can compute the soft contribution from (2). The only free parameter of $F_2^{\rm soft}$ is the constituent quark m ass 2 .

To model F_2^{hard} we consider as starting point the evolution of a powerbehaved F_2 derived by Lopez and Y ndurain¹². To leading order perturbative QCD implies that the singlet structure function reads

$$F_{2}^{\text{pert}}(\mathbf{x}; Q^{2}) = C_{2} \quad _{s}(Q^{2})^{-d_{+}(1+)}\mathbf{x} \quad ; \qquad (3)$$





Left panel: Total cross section for real photoproduction. Our t (full line) is compared to those performed in Ref.¹ (dashed line) and in Ref.¹³ (dotted line). Right panel: Logarithm ic derivative of F_2 vs x.

with d_{+} denoting the leading eigenvalue of the anom alous dimension matrix of the quark-singlet and gluon evolution kernel. The quantities C_2 and are free parameters. Eq. (3) is based on a singular gluon input and only valid at low x.

To obtain a hard component, which is suitable also at low values of Q², we multiply F_2^{pert} in (3) by a phenom enological factor and freeze the strong coupling. In doing so we introduce a further parameter (M), and have

$$F_{2}^{hard}(\mathbf{x}; Q^{2}) = C_{2} \sim_{s} (Q^{2})^{d_{+}(1+)} \mathbf{x} \qquad \frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2} + M^{2}}^{1+}; \quad (4)$$
with $\sim_{s} (Q^{2}) = \frac{4}{0 \ln ((Q^{2} + M^{2})) = \frac{2}{Q_{CD}})};$

In particular, F_2^{hard} leads to a nite cross section $_p$ for real photoproduction. A di erent m odi cation of F_2^{pert} in the region of low Q² has been proposed in Ref.¹³. Our complete ansatz for F_2 is given by the sum of F_2^{soft} and F_2^{hard} .

The four parameters of the model are ted to data for real¹⁴ and virtual ¹⁵ photoabsorption, where the kinem atical cuts Q² $65 \,\text{GeV}^2$, x 0.01 and W 10GeV have been used to select the data. We obtain a ²=doff= 0.98 for 222 data points and the exponent _h = 0.37.

On the lh. side of Fig. 1 we have plotted our $_{\rm p}$ in comparison with the t of Donnachie and Landsho 1 , and Adel, Barreiro and Yndurain 13 . The

param etrization of Ref.¹³ has similarities to our approach but signi cantly underestimates the low energy data, which were not included in the t. The rh. side of Fig. 2 shows the logarithm ic derivative of F_2 (Caldwell plot¹⁶). This picture demonstrates that in particular the turnover in the Caldwell plot can be described by a two-Pom eron model.

The extension of our model to higher values of Q^2 still has to be analysed. In addition, one has to investigate the consequences if the soft contribution is multiplied by the energy dependence of the soft Pom erron of hadron scattering.

3 The Sem iclassical G luon D istribution at N ext-to-Leading O rder

In the sem iclassical approach, one considers, at low x, the proton as localized soft color eld without specifying this eld. D IS is treated in the target rest fram e, where the photon acquires a partonic uctuation. This picture of D IS allows for a combined description of both inclusive and di ractive events¹⁷.

For extracting the gluon density it is convenient to use a 'scalar photon' (denoted by) coupled directly to the gluon eld^{18} via the lagrangian

$$L_{I} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ tr}F F :$$
 (5)

The gluon density is derived by matching the sem iclassical and the parton model approach. This means that to leading order we have to equate the cross section for the transition ! g in an external eld with the cross section of the process g ! g as given in the parton model, where the form er is evaluated in the eikonal approximation. To leading order one nds the result⁶

$$xg^{(0)}(x; {}^{2}) = \frac{1}{12 {}^{2}} {}^{2}_{s} d^{2}x_{?} \frac{\theta}{\theta y_{?}} W_{x_{?}}^{A}(y_{?}) {}^{2}_{y_{?}=0} ; \text{ where (6)}$$
$$W_{x_{?}}^{A}(y_{?}) = U^{A}(x_{?})U^{Ay}(x_{?}+y_{?}) 1$$

is a W ilson loop in the adjoint representation, and the phase factor

$$U^{A}(x_{2}) = P \exp \frac{ig^{2}}{2} dx_{1} dx_{2} A^{A}(x_{1};x_{2})$$
(7)

governs the eikonalised interaction of a fast gluon in an external color eld. The gluon distribution $xg^{(0)}(x; ^2)$ is a constant measuring the averaged local eld strength of the target. Based on this leading order result, together with a logarithm ic energy dependence introduced by hand, a successful description of D IS data has been obtained⁶.



Figure 2: Amplitude for ! gg, which can be used to calculate the total sem iclassical cross section in the high energy limit at NLO.

AtNLO, we write the gluon density as

$$xg(x; ^{2}) = xg^{(0)}(x; ^{2}) + xg^{(1)}(x; ^{2});$$
(8)

with $xg^{(1)}(x; {}^2)$ denoting the (schem edependent) NLO correction. To extract this correction, the cross section for the transition ! gg in an external eld has to be equated with the parton m odel cross section of the process g! gg. In the high energy limit, the total cross section ! gg⁴ can be obtained from the eikonalized version of the diagram s in Fig. 2. Note that Fig. 2 just shows the leading contributions, which arise when expanding the eikonalized amplitude in powers of the external eld. Because of the limited space, we quote here only the nalresult of the gluon density at NLO⁴, given in the MS scheme, without presenting any detail of the calculation:

$$xg^{(1)}(x; {}^{2}) = \frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{1}{x} \int_{r()^{2}}^{L_{1}} \frac{dy_{?}^{2}}{y_{?}^{4}} d^{2}x_{?} \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{W}_{x_{?}}^{\mathbb{A}}(y_{?}) ; (9)$$

with $r()^{2} = \frac{4e^{\frac{1}{12}}}{2} :$

The scheme dependence of the gluon density enters through the short-distance cuto r(). At NLO, the gluon density shows a ln (1=x) enhancement at sm all x, and is sensitive to the large-distance structure of the target.

If one exploits the model of a large hadron ¹⁹ to describe the color eld of the proton, a comparison of our result with the one of Mueller^{18;20} becomes possible. We nd agreem ent for both the integrated distribution in (9) and the unintegrated gluon density ⁴ not shown here. However, in Refs. ^{18;20}, where the main focus is on parton saturation, the scale dependence of the gluon density has not been discussed. More precisely, we provide for the rst time a quantitative relation between the short-distance cuto in Eq. (9) and the scale of the gluon distribution, which can only be achieved by matching the sem iclassical approach with a treatment in the parton model.

The result (9) enables us to obtain num erical predictions for the gluon density at NLO in any non-perturbative approach describing the soft color eld of the proton. In future work a comparison with D IS data has to be done using the sem iclassical NLO distribution as input for the NLO evolution equations.

A cknow ledgm ents

The results presented here emerged from an interesting and fruitful collaboration with U.D'A lesio, H.G.Dosch, A.Hebecker and H.J.Pimer. I am grateful to A.Hebecker for reading this manuscript. This work has been supported by the European TMR program me "Hadronic Physics with High Energy Electrom agnetic Probes".

References

- 1. A.Donnachie and P.V. Landsho, Phys. Lett. B 437, 408 (1998).
- 2. U. D'A lesio, A M etz and H J. Pimer, Eur. Phys. J. C 9, 601 (1999).
- 3. H.G. Dosch, Phys. Lett. B 190, 177 (1987); H.G. Dosch and Yu.A. Sim onov, Phys. Lett. B 205, 339 (1988).
- 4. H.G. Dosch, A. Hebecker, A. Metz and H.J. Pimer, hep-ph/9909529.
- 5. W .Buchmuller, M F.M cD erm ott and A.Hebecker, Nucl. Phys. B 487, 283 (1997); B 500, 621 (1997) (E).
- 6. W .Buchmuller, T.Gehrm ann and A.Hebecker, Nucl. Phys. B 537, 477 (1999).
- 7. O.Nachtmann, Ann. Phys. 209, 436 (1991).
- 8. A. DiGiacom o and H. Panagopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 285, 133 (1992).
- 9. H.G. Dosch, E. Ferreira and A. Kramer, Phys. Rev. D 50, 1992 (1994).
- 10. H.G. Dosch, T.Gousset and H.J. Pimer, Phys. Rev. D 57, 1666 (1998).
- 11. See also: M. Ruter, Eur. Phys. J.C 7, 233 (1999).
- 12. C. Lopez and F.J. Yndurain, Nucl. Phys. B 171, 231 (1980).
- 13. K. Adel, F. Barreiro and F. J. Yndurain, Nucl. Phys. B 495, 221 (1997).
- 14. D.O. Caldwell et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 1222 (1978); H1: S.Aid et al, Z.Phys. C 69, 27 (1995); ZEUS: M.Derrick et al, Phys. Lett. B 293, 465 (1992); Zeus: M.Derrick et al, Z.Phys. C 63, 391 (1994).
- 15. H1: S. Aid et al, Nucl. Phys. B 470, 3 (1996); H1: C. Adb et al, Nucl. Phys. B 497, 3 (1997); ZEUS:M. Derrick et al, Z. Phys. C 69, 607 (1996); ZEUS:M. Derrick et al, Z. Phys. C 72, 399 (1996); ZEUS: J. Breitweg et al., Phys. Lett. B 407, 432 (1997); NM C:M. A meodo et al, Nucl. Phys. B 483, 3 (1997); E 665: M R. Adam set al., Phys. Rev. D 54, 3006 (1996).
- 16. A. Caldwell, DESY Theory Workshop (1997).
- 17. For an extensive review on the sem iclassical approach and di raction in D IS see: A. Hebecker, hep-ph/9905226.
- 18. A H.Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B 335, 115 (1990).
- 19. L.M cLerran and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D 49, 2233 (1994).
- 20. A H.M ueller, hep-ph/9904404.