Sym m etric path integrals for stochastic equations with multiplicative noise

Peter A mold

Department of Physics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22901 (November 1999)

Abstract

A Langevin equation with multiplicative noise is an equation schem atically of the form dq=dt=F(q)+e(q), where e(q) is G aussian white noise whose amplitude e(q) depends on q itself. I show how to convert such equations into path integrals. The denition of the path integral depends crucially on the convention used for discretizing time, and I specifically derive the correct path integral when the convention used is the natural, time-symmetric one that time derivatives are $(q_t-q_t-t)=t$ and coordinates are $(q_t+q_t-t)=2$. [This is the convention that perm its standard manipulations of calculus on the action, like naive integration by parts.] It has sometimes been assumed in the literature that a Stratanovich Langevin equation can be quickly converted to a path integral by treating time as continuous but using the rule $(t=0)=\frac{1}{2}$. I show that this prescription fails when the amplitude e(q) is q-dependent.

I. IN TRODUCTION

Let be Gaussian white noise, which I'll normalize as

$$h_{i}(t)_{j}(t^{0})i = (t t^{0}):$$
 (1.1)

It's long been known that a Langevin equation of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}q_i = F_i(q) + i \qquad (1.2)$$

can be alternatively described in terms of a path integral of the form 1

$$P (q^{0}; q^{0}; t^{0}) = \begin{cases} Z_{q(t^{0}) = q^{0}} & & & \\ Z_{t^{0}} & & \\ Q_{t^{0}} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

¹ For a review of background m aterial in notation close to that I use here, see, for example, chapter 4 of ref. [1]. The most substantial di erence in notation is that my F is that reference's $\frac{1}{2}$ f.

Here, P $(q^0;q^0;t)$ is the probability density that the system will end up at q^0 at time t if it started at q^0 at time zero. However, the exact form of L depends on the convention used in discretizing time when dening the path integral. With a symmetric discretization, 2

$$P (q^{0};q^{0};t) = \lim_{t \to 0} N \begin{cases} Z_{q(t)=q^{0}} & & \# & & \\ Q_{q(0)=q^{0}} & & Q_{q(0)$$

with Lagrangian

$$L(q;q) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q} + F^{2} \frac{1}{2} F_{i;i}$$
 (1.5)

Here and throughout, I adopt the notation that indices after a comma represent derivatives: $F_{i;j} = @F_i = @q_j @q_k$. N is the usual overall normalization of the path integral, which I will not bother being explicit about.

W hat hasn't been properly discussed, to my know ledge, is how to correctly form such a sym m etrically-discretized path integral for the case of Langevin equations with multiplicative noise (meaning noise whose amplitude e(q) depends on q). Schematically,

$$\frac{d}{dt}q_{i} = F_{i}(q) + e_{ia}(q)_{a};$$
 (1.6)

with as before (1.1). Iw ill assume that the matrix e_{ia} is invertible. There are a wide variety of applications of such equations, but I'll just mention one particular example of interest to me, which motivated this work and for which a path integral formulation is particularly convenient: the calculation of the rate of electroweak baryon number violation in the early universe β .

By itself, the continuum equation (1.6) su ers a well-known ambiguity. To de ne the problem more clearly, we must discretize time and take t! 0 at the end of the day. Specically, I will interpret (1.6) in Stratanovich convention, writing

$$q_t \quad q_t = tF(q) + e(q)_t;$$
 (1.7)

$$h_{at,bt} = t_{ab,t}$$

w here

$$q = \frac{q_t + q_{t-t}}{2}$$
: (1.9)

[The fact that I've labelled the noise $_{\rm t}$ instead of $_{\rm t}$ in (1.7) is just an inessential choice of convention.] The Stratanovich equation (1.7) is equivalent to the Itô equation

$$q_t \quad q_t = tF_i(q_{t-t}) + e(q_{t-t})_t;$$
 (1.10)

² For a discussion of what changes if other discretizations are used in this case, see refs. [2]

with

$$\mathbf{F}_{i} = \mathbf{F}_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_{ia;j} \mathbf{e}_{ja}$$
: (1.11)

I will give two dierent methods for deriving the corresponding path integral. The result is

$$P (q^{0};q^{0};t) = \lim_{t \to 0} N \begin{cases} Z_{q(t)=q^{0}} & \text{"y} & \text{""y} \\ q_{(0)=q^{0}} & \text{t} \end{cases} \text{ dete } \frac{q_{t} + q_{t-t}}{2}$$

$$= \exp \quad t \begin{cases} X & \text{t} \\ t & \text{t} \end{cases} \frac{q_{t} + q_{t-t}}{t}; \frac{q_{t} + q_{t-t}}{2}; \qquad (1.12)$$

$$L(\mathbf{q};\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{F})_{i} g_{ij} (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{F})_{j} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}_{i;i} + \frac{1}{2} e_{ia}^{1} e_{ka;k} (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{F})_{i} + \frac{1}{8} e_{ia;j} e_{ja;i};$$
(1.13)

w here

$$g_{ij}$$
 (e¹)_{ia} (e¹)_{ja}: (1.14)

This diers from a result previously given by Zinn-Justin³ [1] by the inclusion of the last term in L. Zinn-Justin's derivation was done in continuous time, resolving ambiguities using the prescription (t=0) = $\frac{1}{2}$, which is known to work in the case where e(q) is constant.

Because of the confusion surrounding these issues, I will show how to derive the result in two di erent ways. First, I will follow the standard procedure for directly turning Langevin equations into path integrals, but I will be careful to keep time discrete throughout the derivation. The second method will be to start from the Fokker-Planck equation equivalent to the Langevin equations (1.7) and (1.10) and to then turn that Fokker-Planck equation into a path integral, again using standard methods.

II.D IRECT DERIVATION FROM THE LANGEV IN EQUATION

Rewrite the discretized Langevin equation (1.7) as

$$E = 0; (2.1)$$

where is a discrete time index and

E
$$e^{1}$$
 (q) [q q₁ + tF (q)]; (2.2)

with q $(q + q_1)=2$. The corresponding path integral is obtained by implementing these equations, for each value of , as -functions, with appropriate Jacobian, integrated over the Gaussian noise distribution:

P (
$$q^{0}$$
; q^{0} ; t) = $\lim_{t \to 0} N \prod_{q(0)=q^{0}} q^{0}$ d exp $\frac{2}{2}$ t dq (E) $\det_{0_{a}; \omega_{i}} \frac{e^{E} \cdot e_{a}}{e^{Q} \omega_{i}}$: (2.3)

³ Speci cally, eq. (4.79) or ref. [1]. See also ref. [4] and section 17.8 or ref. [1] for a continuum time discussion of form ulating the path integral for this problem using ghosts.

The noise integral then gives

$$P (q^{0};q^{0};t) = \begin{cases} z & y & \# \\ dq & exp \end{cases} = \frac{1}{2} x & E^{2} det_{0a}; u_{1} \frac{eE_{0a}}{equ_{1}} :$$
 (2.4)

In our case (22), the determ inant takes the form

$$\det_{0a; \omega_{1}} \frac{e^{E} \cdot a}{e^{Q} \cdot a}! = \det_{0a} \frac{e^{E} \cdot a}{e^{Q} \cdot a} = \det_{0a} \frac{e^{E} \cdot a}{e^{Q} \cdot a} \cdot \underbrace{e^{E} \cdot a}_{e^{Q} \cdot a} \cdot \underbrace{e^{E} \cdot a}_{e^{Q}$$

The registration of the diagonals is determined by the nature of the initial boundary condition, which is that q_0 is xed. From (2.2), we then have

$$\det_{\substack{\alpha_{i}, \infty_{i} \\ \alpha_{i} \neq 0}} \frac{e^{\sum_{\alpha_{i}} e^{2}}}{e^{\alpha_{i}}} = \int_{\substack{\alpha_{i} = 0 \\ \alpha_{i} \neq 0}}^{1} \det_{\substack{\alpha_{i} = 0 \\ \alpha_{i} \neq 0}}^{1} \left(e^{1}\right)_{ai} + \frac{1}{2}(e^{1})_{ak;i} (q q_{1})_{k} + t \frac{1}{2}(e^{1}F)_{a;i} + \frac{1}{2}(e^{1}F)_{ak;i} (q q_{1})_{k} + t \frac{1}{2}(e^{1}F)_{ak;i} (q$$

where all e's and F's should now be understood as evaluated at q. Now rewrite the determ inants in the last factor of (2.6) as exponentials in the usual way, using

$$\det(1 + A) = e^{\operatorname{tr} \ln (1 + A)} = \exp \operatorname{tr} 1 + A + \frac{1}{2}A^{2} +$$

To construct a path integral, we need to keep track of the terms in each time step up to and including 0 (t), but we can ignore corrections that are higher-order in t. For this purpose, the size of q_1 should be treated as 0 (t), which is the size for which the q^2 term in the action [the exponent in (1.12)] becomes 0 (1) per degree of freedom. So, using the expansion (2.7), we get

$$\det_{ij}^{h} + \frac{1}{2} e_{ja} (e^{1})_{ak;i} q_{k} + t \frac{1}{2} e_{ja} (e^{1} F)_{a;i}$$

$$= \exp_{(constant)} + \frac{1}{2} e_{ia} (e^{1})_{ak;i} q_{k} + t \frac{1}{2} e_{ia} (e^{1} F)_{a;i}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} e_{ia} (e^{1})_{ak;m} e_{mb} (e^{1})_{bl;i} q_{k} q_{1} + O_{(t)}^{3=2} : (2.8)$$

It is the q q term in this equation, which came from the second-order term in the expansion (2.7), that will generate the dierence with the result quoted in ref. [1]. Putting everything together, we get the path integral (1.12) with Lagrangian

$$L(\mathbf{q};\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{F})_{i} \mathbf{g}_{ij} (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{F})_{j} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}_{i;i} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_{ia}^{1} \mathbf{e}_{ka;k} (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{F})_{i} + \frac{t}{8} \mathbf{e}_{ia} (\mathbf{e}^{1})_{ak;m} \mathbf{e}_{mb} (\mathbf{e}^{1})_{bl;i} \mathbf{g}_{k} \mathbf{g}_{i}$$
(2.9)

We can simplify this by realizing that the q_tq_t in the last term can be replaced by its leading-order behavior in t. Specically, recall that q_tq_t is order t. So one can go for a large number of discrete time steps 1 N 1= twithout any net change in q at leading order in t. Moreover, the force F doesn't have any net e ect, at leading order in t, over that number of steps. The upshot is that q_tq_t can be replaced at leading order in t by its average over a large number of steps, ignoring F and treating the background value of e(q) as constant. The G aussian integral for q_t in (1.12) and (2.9) then gives the replacem ent rule

$$q_k q_l! \frac{1}{t} (g^1)_{kl}$$
 (2.10)

at leading order in t. This substitution turns (2.9) into the result (1.13) presented earlier.

III.DERIVATION FROM FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION

The Stratanovich Langevin equation (1.7) is well-known to be equivalent to the Fokker-Planck equation

$$P = \frac{\theta}{\theta q_{i}} \frac{\theta}{2} e_{ia} \frac{\theta}{\theta q_{j}} (e_{ja}P) + F_{i}P$$
(3.1)

where P = P(q;t) is the probability distribution of the system as a function of time. This is just a Euclidean Schrodinger equation, and one can transform Schrodinger equations into path integrals by standard methods. Speci cally, rewrite the equation as

$$P = \hat{H} P; \qquad (3.2)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{p}_i e_{ia} (\hat{q}) \hat{p}_j e_{ja} (\hat{q}) \qquad \hat{p} \qquad \hat{p} \qquad \hat{q}$$
(3.3)

To obtain a path integral with symmetric time discretization, it is be convenient to rewrite \hat{H} in terms of W eyl-ordered operators. The W eyl-order corresponding to a classical expression O (p;q;t) is de ned as the operator \hat{O}_W with

For the sake of completeness, I'll brie y review how to obtain W eylordering of operators in simple cases by considering the application of the operators to an arbitrary function (q). For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[p_{i} A \left(q \right) \right]_{W} & \quad (q) = \underset{Z}{\text{maj}} \left[p_{i} A \left(q \right) \right]_{W} \text{ j i} \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{maj}} \left[p_{i} A \left(q \right) \right]_{W} \text{ jq}^{0} \text{i} \quad (q^{0}) \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{maj}} \left[p_{i} A \left(q \right) \right]_{W} \text{ jq}^{0} \text{i} \quad (q^{0}) \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{mag}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right) p_{i} A \frac{q + q^{0}}{2} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right) A \frac{q + q^{0}}{2} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\ &= \underset{Q^{0}}{\text{i}} \left[\underset{Q^{0}}{\text{e}} \left(q \right) q^{0} \right] \\$$

So

$$[p_i A (q)]_W = \frac{1}{2} f \hat{p}_i A (\hat{q}) g :$$
 (3.6)

One can similarly show that

$$[p_i p_j A (q)]_W = \frac{1}{4} f p_i; f p_j; A (q) gg;$$
 (3.7)

Now write the Hamiltonian (3.3) in terms of Weyl-ordered operators. One nds

$$\hat{p} = [p_{W}F] \frac{i}{2} F_{i;i}; \qquad (3.8)$$

$$\hat{p}_{i}e_{ia}\hat{p}_{j}e_{ja} = [pg^{1}p]_{W} \qquad i[e_{ia}e_{ja;j}\hat{p}_{i}]_{W} + \frac{1}{4}(g^{1})_{ij;ij} \qquad \frac{1}{2}(e_{ia}e_{ja;j})_{;i}$$
(3.9)

So

$$\hat{H} = [H (p;q)]_{N}; \qquad (3.10)$$

with

$$H (p;q) = \frac{1}{2} p g^{1} (q) p \quad ip_{i} F_{i}(q) + \frac{1}{2} e_{ia}(q) e_{ja;j}(q) + u(q); \qquad (3.11)$$

$$u = \frac{1}{2} F_{i,i} + \frac{1}{8} (g^1)_{ij,ij} - \frac{1}{4} (e_{ia} e_{ja,j})_{,i} :$$
 (3.12)

The usual derivation of the path integral then gives

$$P (q^{0};q^{0};t) = \lim_{\substack{t \mid 0 \text{ } q(0) = q^{0}}} \frac{q(t) = q^{0}}{q^{0}} \frac{q^{0}}{q^{0}} + \frac{q^{0} q^{0}}{q^{0}} + \frac{q^{0}$$

$$S(p;q) = {}^{X}$$
 ip $(q q_1) + tH p; {}^{q+q_1}{2}$; (3.14)

Doing the p integrals with our Ham iltonian (3.11) then reproduces the path integral (1.4) with Lagrangian

$$L(\mathbf{q};\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathbf{q}_{i} + \mathbf{F}_{i} + \frac{1}{2} e_{ia} e_{ka;k} \quad \mathbf{q}_{ij} \quad \mathbf{q}_{j} + \mathbf{F}_{j} + \frac{1}{2} e_{jb} e_{lb;l} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}):$$
(3.15)

Now note that

$$(g^{1})_{ij;ij} = 2e_{ia}e_{ja;ij} + e_{ia;i}e_{ja;j} + e_{ia;j}e_{ja;i};$$
 (3.16)

and so

$$\frac{1}{8} (e_{ia} e_{ka;k}) g_{ij} (e_{jb} e_{lb;l}) + u = \frac{1}{8} e_{ia;i} e_{ja;j} + \frac{1}{2} F_{i;i} + \frac{1}{8} (g^{1})_{ij;ij} \frac{1}{4} (e_{ia} e_{ja;j})_{;i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} F_{i;i} + \frac{1}{8} e_{ia;j} e_{ja;i} : (3.17)$$

Combining (3.15) and (3.17) reproduces the Lagrangian (1.13) asserted in the introduction.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

I thank Larry Ya e, Dam Son, and Tim Newman for useful conversations. This work was supported by the U.S.D epartment of Energy under Grant Nos.DEFG02-97ER41027.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Zinn-Justin, Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena, 2nd edition (Oxford University Press, 1993).
- [2] F. Langouche, D. Roekaerts, and E. Tirapegui, Physics 95A, 252 (1979); H. Kawara, M. Namiki, H. Okamoto, and S. Tanaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. 84, 749 (1990); N. Komoike, Prog. Theor. Phys. 86, 575 (1991).
- Beyond leading log," Univ. of Washington preprint UW /PT 99{25 (com ing soon to hepph); \High tem perature color conductivity at next-to-leading log order," Univ. of Washington preprint UW /PT 99{24 (com ing soon to hep-ph); P. Amold, \An extitute ective theory for! k gT color dynamics in hot non-Abelian plasmas," Univ. of Virginia preprint UVA /Amold {99{45 (com ing soon to hep-ph); \Langevin equations with multiplicative noise: resolution of time discretization ambiguities for equilibrium systems," hep-ph/9912208.
- [4] J. Zinn-Justin, Nucl. Phys. B 275 [FS17] 135, (1986).