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## ABSTRACT

$T$ he generalization of the pinch technique beyond one loop is presented. It is show $n$ that the crucialphysicalprinciples of gauge-invariance, unitarity, and gauge- xing-param eter independence are instrum ental for accom plishing this task, and is explained how the aforem entioned requirem ents single out at tw o loops exactly the sam e algorithm which has been used to de ne the pinch technique at one loop, w ithout any additionalassum ptions. T he tw o-loop construction of the pinch technique gluon self-energy, and quark-gluon vertex are carried out in detail for the case ofm ass-less $Y$ ang$M$ ills theories, such as perturbative QCD.W e present two di erent but com plem entary derivations. F irst we carry out the construction by directly rearranging tw o-loop diagram s. The analysis reveals that, quite interestingly, the well-known one-loop correspondence betw een the pinch technique and the background eld $m$ ethod in the Feynm an gauge persists also at two-loops. Since we use dim ensional regularization the entire construction does not depend on the value of the space-tim e dim ension $d$. The renorm alization ( $w$ hen $d=4$ ) is discussed in detail, and is show $n$ to respect the aforem entioned correspondence. Second, we present an absonptive derivation, exploiting the unitarity of the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix and the underlying BRS symmetry; at this stage we deal only $w$ ith tree-level and one-loop physical am plitudes. The gauge-invariant sub-am plitudes de ned by $m$ eans ofthis absonptive construction correspond precisely to the im aginary parts of the n-point functions de ned in the full two-loop derivation, thus fumishing a highly nontrivial self-consistency check for the entire $m$ ethod. Various future applications are brie y discussed.
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PACS num bers: $11.15 .-q, 12.38 \mathrm{Bx}, 14.70 \mathrm{D} j, 11.55 \mathrm{Fv}$

The pinch technique (P T ) was introduced by C omw all [1] $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1\end{array}\right]$, as the rst step in the developm ent of a self-consistent truncation schem e for the Schw inger-D yson equations of $Q$ CD [
 nom enological applications w ere presented $[\bar{T}]$, and the one-loop correspondence betw een the P $T$ and the background
 exploited in a series of papers [12][1] $[1]$ which allow ed the generalization of the $B$ reit $W$ igner form alism for unstable particles to the non-abelian sector of the Standard M odel. Parallel developm ents took place in the eld of nite
 applied to the eld of renorm alon calculus $\left.[1] \overline{1}_{-1}^{\prime}\right]$, and the generalized $P T$ was presented $\left.[1]_{1}^{-1}\right]$. In addition, a form alism for studying resonant C P violation ["] has been developed $\left.\overline{[2} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$, and various issues related to the high-energy behaviour of the W -fusion process [2]ī] have been resolved [2]

The PT reorgan ises system atically a given physicalam plitude into sub-am plitudes, which have the sam e kinem atic properties as conventional n-point functions, (propagators, vertioes, boxes) [24], and are in addition endow ed w ith im portant physical properties. The essential ingredient one uses in this rearrangem ent is the full exploitation of the elem entary W ard identities (W I) of the theory, in order to en force crucial cancellations. T he various types of physical problem s for which the P T can serve as a usefiul tool have been discussed frequently in the literature, m ost recently in [25] and [2].

So far the PT program has been carried out only at one-loop order, and its generalization to higher orders has been a long-standing question $\left.]_{1}\right]$. The generalm ethodology of how the extension of the P T proceeds at two-loops has been presented in a recent brief com $m$ unication [2].]. There it was explained how the one-loop form alism, when properly intenpreted and suitably adopted to the tw o-loop context, leads naturally to the P T extension. In this paper we w ill address the technical aspects of this procedure in detail, and will discuss extensively the plethora of physical issues involved.

W e will present two independent constructions, which are however inextricably connected. The rst one deals directly w th the tw o-loop S m atrix elem ent for the scattering process $q q!$ qq, exactly as was the case in the early one-loop PT applications. The rst crucial ingredient is that of gauge- xing param eter (GFP) independence. S$m$ atrix elem ents are guaranteed to be GFP independent; in fact, as happens in the one-loop case, the cancellation of all G FP dependent term sproceeds by exploiting tree-levelW I only, w ithout having to carry out sub-integrations. $T$ his property is im portant, because it preserves the diagram $m$ atic representation of the $S-m$ atrix, as well as the kinem atic identity of the sub-am plitudes appearing in it (propagators,vertices,boxes). O ne can therefore, without loss of generality, choose a convenient gauge, such as the renorm alizable Feynm an gauge (RFG), provided that one considers the entire set of tw o-loop Feynm an graphs contributing to the given $S$ fin atrix elem ent

In the one-loop case the next step would be to split the bare three-gluon vertex appearing inside the one-loop quark-ghon vertex into a pinching and non-pinching contribution. This splitting is very specialbecause it guarantees that the resulting e ective $G$ reen's functions satisfy (at one-loop) naive, Q ED -like W I $\overline{\underline{R}} \mathbf{l}]$, instead of the usualSlavnovTaylor identities [2] ${ }_{1}^{\prime}$ ]. A s a consequence the e ective one-loop gluon selfenergy captures the running of the Q CD coupling, exactly as happens w ith the photon vacuum polarization in QED. In the tw o-loop case the construction is lengthier but the crucial operation is precisely the sam e. O ne carries out the aforem entioned splitting to all vertices whose one of the incom ing $m$ om enta is the physicalm om entum transfer (or center-ofm ass energy) of the process. As wewill see in detail this splitting is su cient to give rise to two-loop PT e ective G reen's functions which have the exact sam e properties as their one-loop counterparts.

The reason why all other three-ghon vertiges inside the loops should rem ain unchanged (no splitting) can be understood by resorting to the special unitarity properties that the PT sub-am plitude m ust satisfy, a point which brings us to the second derivation. For this derivation we em ploy the unitarity and analyticity properties of the $S-m$ atrix, very $m u c h$ in the spirit presented in the $m$ ore recent one-loop P T literature [ 13 diagram $m$ atic correspondence betw een the one-loop (forw ard) process $q q!~ q q$ and the tree-level process qq! gg provided very useful, stringent constraints on the entire construction, rendering the $m$ ethod all the $m$ ore powerful. $T$ hese constraints are autom atically encoded in the two-loop P T construction presented here; in fact they are even $m$ ore constraining than in the previous order, for reasons that we will describe qualitatively now, and in great detail in the $m$ ain body of the paper. The im aginary parts of the tw o-loop P T G reen's functions are related by the optical theorem to precisely identi able and very specialparts oftw o di erent on-shellprocesses, the one-loop process qq ! gg and the tree-level process qq ! ggg. In particular, the tw o-particle Cutkosky cuts of the tw o-loop P T selfenergy
are related to the P T rearranged s-channel part of the one-loop qq! gg, while, at the sam e tim e, the three-particle C utkosky cuts of the sam e quantity are related to the PT rearranged s-channelpart of the tree-level qq ! ggg; the latter is the exact analogue of the P T rearranged $s$-channel part of the tree-level qq ! $g g$, already studied in the literature cited above.

The paper is organized as follow s: In section II we present a brief overview of the one-loop P T algorithm, and discuss the m ost characteristic properties of the one-loop PT e ective G reen's functions. H ere we follow the original
 V. In section III we present the full two-loop construction. This section contains three sub-sections, where we deal separately $w$ th the one-particle reducible graphs, the tw o-loop quark-gluon vertex, and the tw o-loop gluon self-energy. In the second sub-section we use at interm ediate steps various results for the one-loop three-ghon vertex which are derived in section V I, and are m ore naturally integrated in the analysis presented there. Section III contains the $m$ ain results of this paper; a m a jor highlight is the proof that the correspondence betw een the P T and the B ackground F ield M ethod Feynm an gauge (BFM FG) persists at tw o-loops. In section IV we carry out the renorm alization program in detail. W e show that the tw o-loop P T G reen's function can be renorm alized by judiciously rearranging the existing counterterm $s$, and that this procedure respects the correspondence between PT and BFMFG established in the previous section. In section $V$ we present the general form alism and $m$ ethodology of the absonptive $P T$ construction; this second derivation is com pletely independent of the rst, but at the sam e tim e is deeply connected to it. In this section we rst derive various form ulas which will be used in the next section, and present a thorough overview of the one-loop case. In section V I we present the tw o-loop absonptive derivation. There are two sub-sections: the rst one contains a detailed discussion of the one-loop process qq! gg, and its role in enforcing the unitarity of the individual tw o-loop P T G reen's functions; the second sub-section contains the study of the P T rearranged tree-level process qq! ggg, which dem onstrates the crucial function of this process in realizing the aforem entioned unitarity properties. Finally, in section V II we present our conclusions, and discuss possible connections w ith other work as well as future applications.

## II. OVERV正W OFTHEONE-LOOPCASE

In this section we brie y review the one-loop P T construction and establish som e usefiulnotation.
The fundam ental tree-level three-ghon vertex ${ }^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ is given by the follow ing $m$ an ifestly B ose-sym $m$ etric expression (all m om enta are incom ing, i.e. $\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{2}=0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (0) } \left.\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{q} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \mathrm{g}+\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} \quad \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \mathrm{g}+(\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{p}) \mathrm{q}\right) \mathrm{g}: \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (0) }\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)={ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)+{ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} \quad \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \mathrm{g}+2 \mathrm{qg} \quad 2 \mathrm{qg} \quad \text {; } \\
& { }_{\mathrm{p}}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~g} \text { : } \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The vertex ${ }_{F}^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ is B ose-sym $m$ etric only $w$ ith respect to the and legs. The rst term in ${ }_{F}^{(0)}$ is a convective vertex describing the coupling of a gluon to a scalar eld, whereas the other tw o term soriginate from gluon spin orm agnetic $m$ om ent. ${ }_{F_{-}}^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ coincides $w$ ith the BFM FG bare vertex involving one background ( $q$ ) and tw o quantum ( $p_{1}, p_{2}$ ) ghons $\left.[1] 1\right]$. Evidently the above decom position assigns a special role to the $q$-leg, and allow $s$
${ }_{F}^{(0)} \quad$ to satisfy the $W$ ard identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}\right) \mathrm{g} \quad ; \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right hand-side (RHS) is the di erence of two-inverse propagators in the FG, and vanishes \on shell", i.e. when $p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}=0$. A s has been explained in detail in $[1]$ splitting of ${ }^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ into ${ }_{F}^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ and ${ }_{P}^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ given in Eq. (22i) has a natural intenpretation in the context of the tree-level process $q(P) q\left(P^{0}\right)!g\left(p_{1}\right)+g\left(p_{2}\right)$ (annihilation channel), leading to an interesting connection w th the optical theorem.

C onsider next the $S \rightarrow m$ atrix elem ent for the quark ( $q$ ) -antiquark ( $q$ ) elastic scattering process $q(P) q\left(P^{0}\right)!q(Q) q\left(Q^{0}\right)$ in $Q C D$; we set $q=P^{0} \quad P=Q^{0} \quad Q$, and $s=q^{2}$ is the square of the $m$ om entum transfer. O ne could equally well study the annihilation channel, in which case s would be the centre-ofm ass energy. W e will work in the RFG; this constitutes no loss of generality, as long as one studies the entire gauge-independent process. It is a straightforw ard but tedious exercise to convince one-self that through pinching, i.e. by sim ply exploiting the fundam entalW I of Eq. (2.10.), one can arrive at the set of diagram s of the RFG starting from the set of diagram $s$ at any other value of (see


Let us de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
t \quad(q) & =q^{2} g \quad q q ;  \tag{2.5}\\
d(q) & =\frac{i}{q^{2}} ;  \tag{2.6}\\
S_{0}(p) & =\frac{i}{G m} ;  \tag{2.7}\\
(p) & =S_{0}(p): \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$t(q)$ is the dim ensionful transverse tensor, and $S_{0}(p)$ is the tree-level quark propagator. In what follow $s$ we w ill use the shorthand notation $[d k]=2 \frac{d^{d} k}{(2)^{d}}$ with $d=4 \quad 2$ the dim ension of space-tim e and the 't Hooft $m$ ass. Furtherm ore we de ne the scalar quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1}(q ; k) & =g^{2} C_{A}\left[k^{2}(k+q)^{2}\right]^{1} ; \\
J_{2}(q ; k) & =g^{2} C_{A}\left[k^{4}(k+q)^{2}\right]^{1} ; \\
J_{3}(q ; ` ; k) & =\frac{i}{2} g^{2} C_{A}\left[k^{2}(k+)^{2}(k+, q)^{2}\right]^{1} ; \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $C_{A}$ is the $C$ asim ir eigenvalue of the adjoint representation ( $C_{A}=N$ for $S U(\mathbb{N})$ ). The quantities $J_{2}(q ; k)$ and $J_{3}(q ; ` k) w i l l$ be used in later sections.
$W$ e then im plem ent the vertex decom position of q . (2-2 2 ) inside the non-A belian one-loop quark-ghon vertex graph of F ig.la, to be denoted by ${ }^{(1) ; \mathrm{nab}}\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}^{0}\right)$, where now $\mathrm{p}_{1}=\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{p}_{2}=(\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{q}) . \mathrm{The}{ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)$ term triggers the elem entary $W$ ard identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{K}=(\mathbb{K}+Q \quad \mathrm{~m}) \quad(Q \quad \mathrm{~m}) ; \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, a self-energy like piece is generated (Fig.1c), which is to be alloted to the conventionalself-energy. In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
(1) ; \text { nab }\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)= & b^{(1) ; n a b}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} V_{P}^{(1)} \text { (q) } \\
& +X_{1}^{(1)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)\left(Q^{0} m\right)+(Q \quad m) X_{2}^{(1)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right) ; \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& b(1) ; \mathrm{nab}^{\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)}=\quad[\mathrm{dk}] J_{1}(q ; k){ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0)} \quad(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{q}) \quad\left(\mathrm{Q}^{0}\right) \text {; } \\
& \text { Z } \\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{(1)}(\mathrm{q})=2 \quad\left[\mathrm{dk} \mathrm{~J}_{1}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{g} \quad ;\right. \\
& \text { Z } \\
& X_{1}^{(1)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)=[d k] J_{1}(q ; k) \quad S_{0}\left(Q^{0}\right) \text {; } \\
& X_{2}^{(1)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)=\quad[d k] J_{1}(q ; k) S_{0}\left(Q^{0}\right) \quad: \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

 selfenergy-like contribution $\frac{1}{2} V_{P}^{(1)}(q)$, together $w$ ith another such contribution arising from the $m$ irror vertex (not show $n$ ), after trivialm anipulations gives rise to the dim ensionful quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{P}^{(1)} \quad(q)=V_{P}^{(1)}(q) t \quad \text { (q) : } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }_{P}^{(1)}$ (q) will be added to the conventional one-loop two-point function ${ }^{(1)}(q)$, to give rise to the the P T one-loop ghon self-energy ${ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{(1)}(\mathrm{q})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q})={ }^{(1)}(\mathrm{q})+{ }_{\mathrm{p}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q}): \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, suppressing color indices throughout, we have that
${ }^{(1)}(q)$ is given by the graphs of $F$ ig 2 a and F ig 2 b , nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(1)}(\mathrm{q})=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}}[\mathrm{dk}] J_{1}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{L} \quad(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k}) \text {; } \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L} \quad(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k}) \quad{ }^{(0)}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{q})^{(0)}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{q}) \quad 2 \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q}) ; \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus the PT one-loop ghon selfenergy ${ }^{b^{(1)}}$ (q) (Fig 2) assum es the closed form [3,1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q})=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}}[\mathrm{dk}] \mathrm{J}_{1}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k}) Ð \quad(\mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{k}) \text {; } \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
Ð(q ; k) \quad{ }_{F}^{(0)}(q ; k ; k \quad q) \underset{F}{(0)}(q ; k ; k \quad q) \quad 2(2 k+q)(2 k+q): \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

 way as to give an G FP -independent sum .

In addition, gauge-invariance is encoded in the W I

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{b}^{(1)}}(\mathrm{q})=0: \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The follow ing im portant points have been discussed in detail in the literature (i) b ( q ) is independent of the gauge- xing param eter in any gauge- xing scheme. (ii) As happens in QED for the photon selfenergy ${ }^{[32} 2 \mathbf{l}$, the gluon selfenergy ${ }^{b^{(1)}}$ (q) captures the leading logarithm $s$ of the theory at that order [1]inilil ; therefore the coe cient in front of the single logarithm com ing from $b^{(1)}(q)$, coincides $w$ th the rst coe cient of the $Q C D$ function [3i3],', (iii) $\mathrm{b}^{(1)}$ (q) can be D yson resum ed, follow ing the diagram $m$ atic algorithm presented in [1] $\left.{ }^{[1}\right]$. (iv) $T$ he com bination eff ( q ; ) $\mathrm{g}^{2}()^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{q}=)$, where $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{q}=)=[1 \quad \mathrm{~b}(1)(\mathrm{q}=)]^{1}$ is a renorm alization-group-invariant quantity, and constitutes the non-abelian analogue of the QED concept of an e ective charge [1, the ghon two-point function have been presented in the literature for the case of non-abelian gauge theories w ith H iggsm echan ism [nin m odel [13 [-].

The PT quark-ghon vertex ${ }^{b^{(1)}}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)$ is the sum of the non-abelian and abelian one-loop graphs, shown in F ig. 3 a and F ig 3 b , which we will denote by $\mathrm{b}^{(1) ; \mathrm{nab}}\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}^{0}\right)$ and $\mathrm{b}^{(1) ; \mathrm{ab}}\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}^{0}\right)$, respectively. In addition to being G FP -independent, by virtue of Eq. $\left(2^{2} . \mathrm{A}_{1}^{(1)} \mathrm{b}^{(1)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)\right.$ satis es the follow ing Q ED -like W I

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{b(1)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)=b^{(1)}(Q) \quad b^{(1)}\left(Q^{0}\right) ; \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{(1)}$ is the P T one-loop quark selfenergy, which coincides w ith the conventional one com puted in the RFG. [30 $\left.{ }^{1} 1\right]$.
$\bar{F}$ inally, the P T one-loop n-point functions coincide with those com puted in the BFM FG ( $\backslash$ tilded" quantities) [1] $\left.{ }^{[1]}\right]$ , ie.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{b}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q}) & =\mathrm{e}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{Q}=1)  \tag{2.21}\\
\mathrm{b}(1)\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}^{0}\right) & =\mathrm{e}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}^{0} ; Q=1\right)  \tag{2,22}\\
\mathrm{b}^{(1)}(\mathrm{Q}) & =\mathrm{e}^{(1)}(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}=1)=\quad{ }^{(1)}(\mathrm{Q} ;=1) \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$




H ere we present the full two-loop construction. The basic observation is the follow ing: if one carries out the decom position for the bare three-gluon vertex described in Eq. (2- $\overline{2}$ ) to all extemal vertices (to be de ned in subsection IIIB) appearing in the Feynm an diagram $s$ contributing to the two-loop $S$ m atrix elem ent for the process qq! qq, then two-loop sub-am plitudes will em erge, with precisely the sam e properties as the one-loop P T e ective G reen's functions.

Throughout this section we have used the follow ing form ulas, valid in dim ensional regularization:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& \frac{[\mathrm{dk}]}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}=0 \text {; } \\
& \text { Zdk] } \frac{\mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}^{4}}=\frac{1}{42} \quad \frac{\mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}=0 \text {; } \\
& \text { Z } \\
& {[\mathrm{dk}](2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{J}_{1}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k})=0 \text {; }} \\
& \text { Z } \\
& {[\mathrm{dk}] \frac{\ln ^{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}=0 \quad \mathrm{~N}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The last relation guarantees the absence of tadpole and seagull contributions order by order in perturbation theory. In the two-loop calculation presented in this paper only the case $\mathrm{N}=1$ is relevant. N otioe how ever that now here have we used the slightly subtler dim ensional regularization result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z} \frac{[\mathrm{dk}]}{\mathrm{k}^{4}}=0 ; \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is often em ployed in the literature. W e also use the group theoretical identities

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[^{\mathrm{a}} ;{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\right] } & =i f^{\mathrm{abc} \mathrm{c}} ; \\
\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{aex}} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{bex}} & =\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{ab}} ; \\
\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{axm}} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{bmn}} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{cnx}} & =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{abc}} ; \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{a}$ are the gauge group generators in the fundam ental representation; in the case of Q C D ${ }^{a}=\quad a=2$, where ${ }^{a}$ are the $G$ ell-M ann $m$ atrioes.
$T$ he identity

$m$ ay also be found useful at interm ediate steps.
$T$ he tw o-loop integration sym bol

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{k}} \frac{\left.\mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{d}}}{(2)^{\mathrm{d}}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ill be suppressed throughout. W e de ne the follow ing quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{1}=g^{4} C_{A}^{2}\left[^{2}(\checkmark \quad q)^{2} k^{2}(k+)^{2}(k+\cdots q)^{2}\right]^{1} ; \\
& i_{2}=g^{4} C_{A}^{2}\left[{ }^{2}(\checkmark \quad q)^{2} k^{2}(k+q)^{2}\right]^{1} ; \\
& \mathrm{iI}_{3}=\mathrm{g}^{4} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}\left[^{2}(\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{q})^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}(\mathrm{k}+)^{2}\right]^{1} \text {; } \\
& \dot{I}_{4}=g^{4} C_{A}^{2}\left[{ }^{2}{ }^{2}(\checkmark \quad q)^{2} k^{2}(k+)^{2}\right]^{1} ; \\
& \mathrm{iI}_{5}=\mathrm{g}^{4} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q})^{2}\right]^{1} \text {; } \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

which will be used extensively in what follow s.

## A. The one-particle reducible graphs

A s has been explained in detail in [1] ] the resum $m$ ability of the one-loop P T selfenergy requires the conversion of one-particle reducible (1PR) strings of conventional selfenergies ${ }^{(1)}$ into strings contain ing P T selfenergies ${ }^{(1)}$. $T$ he process of converting conventionalstrings into $P T$ strings gives rise to left-overs, which are e ectively one-particle irreducible (1P I), and m ust be alloted to the genuine 1P I tw o-loop structures. Various self-consistency argum ents supporting the validity of this m ethod have been presented in the literature []$\left._{1} \bar{F}_{1}\right]$; as we w ill see at the end of the third sub-section, the extension of the P T to tw o loops provides the ultim ate test for the self-consistency of this procedure. It is straightforw ard to establish that the set of 1 P I graphs ( F ig. $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{F}$ ig. 4 d ) m ay be converted into the equivalent set of 1P IP T graphs ( F ig. $4 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{F}$ ig.4h), up to som em issing pieces:

$$
\begin{align*}
(4 \mathrm{a}) & =(4 \mathrm{e}) \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)}(\mathrm{q})  \tag{3.7}\\
(4 \mathrm{~b})+(4 \mathrm{c})+(4 \mathrm{~d}) & =(4 \mathrm{f})+(4 \mathrm{~g})+(4 \mathrm{~h}) \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}^{0}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
i R_{P}^{(2)}(q) & ={ }^{(1)}(q) V_{P}^{(1)}(q)+\frac{3}{4}{ }_{P}^{(1)}(q) V_{P}^{(1)}(q) ;  \tag{3.9}\\
F_{P}^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right) & ={ }_{P}^{(1)}(q) d(q) b^{(1)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)+Y_{P}^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right) ; \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{P}^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right) \quad X_{1}^{(1)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right) \quad{ }^{(1)}(Q)+X_{2}^{(1)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)^{(1)}\left(Q^{0}\right): \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he above term $s$ originate from carrying out the vertex decom position of q . (2-2) at all conventional 1 P I diagram s .
 one obtains the P T counterpart ofFig. 5 a , nam ely $\mathrm{Fig} .5 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{F}}$, and the graph offig. 5 c , which is part of ig .4 f . T he term s $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)}$ (q) has been derived in detail in [12]. N otice that

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} 
& " & \text { \# } \\
R_{P}^{(2)} & (\mathrm{q})=I_{2} \quad \mathrm{~L} & (\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k})+3 \mathrm{t}
\end{array} \text { (q) : }
$$

## B. The two-loop vertex

In this sub-section we w ill dem onstrate the construction of the tw o-loop P T quark-ghon vertex ${ }^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q{ }^{0}\right)$, which tums out to have the exact sam eproperties as its one-loop counterpart $b^{(1)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)$. At the sam e tim ewew illdeterm ine the tw o-loop propagator-like contributions $V_{P}^{(2)} \quad$, which $w$ illbe subsequently converted into ${ }_{P}^{(2)}$, i.e. the two-loop


The construction proceeds as follow s: The Feynm an graphs contributing to ${ }^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q{ }^{0}\right)$ can be classi ed into two sets. (a) those containing an lextemal" three-ghon vertex i.e. a three-ghon vertex where the $m$ om entum $q$ is incom ing, as shown $F$ ig. 6 and $F$ ig.7, (b) those which do not have an lextemal" three-ghon vertex. This latter set contains either graphs w th no three gluon vertices (abelian-like), or graphs w ith three-gluon vertioes whose all three legs are irrigated by virtualm om enta, i.e. q never enters alone into any of the legs; such would be for exam ple the abelian graph of $F$ ig 3 b , if one was to insert a one-loop selfenergy correction to the intemal ghon line [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathbf{B}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ ). Of course, all three-gluon vertices appearing in the com putation of the one-loop $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix are extemal, and so are those appearing_in the $1 P R$ part of the tw o-loop $S-m$ atrix (see previous section). Then one carries out the decom position of Eq. (22 2 ) to the extemal three-gluon vertex of all graphs belonging to set (a), leaving all their other vertices unchanged, and identi es the propagator-like pieces generated at the end of this procedure.

The calculation is straightforw ard, but lengthy; it is m ore econom ical to identify the sub-structure of the one-loop three-gluon vertex ${ }^{(1)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ ( $F$ ig $K$ ) nested inside the tw o-loop graph, $F$ ig ( N a1), and use the results presented
 rew rite the ${ }_{P}^{(1)}$ of Eq. ( 6.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { " } \\
& \text { (1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { " } \\
& \text { \# } \\
& J_{3}\left[{ }^{(0)}(` \quad q ; k ; k \quad `+q)+k g \quad\right]+\frac{1}{2} d(q) q V_{P}^{(1)} \text { (q) }{ }^{2} \\
& \text { " } \\
& \text { \# } \\
& J_{3}\left[{ }^{(0)}(\imath \mathrm{k}+\cdots \mathrm{k})+\mathrm{kg} \quad\right] \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{q} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{(1)}(\mathrm{q}) \quad(\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{q})^{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have (we om it the extemal spinors, and use $Q^{0}=Q+q$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(6 \mathrm{a}_{1}\right)=\left(6 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q})^{(1) ; \mathrm{nab}}\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}^{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} 2 I_{2} g \quad I_{1}\left[{ }^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{k} ; \quad{ }^{( } \mathrm{k}+\cdots\right)+\mathrm{kg} \quad \mathrm{j}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{q}
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
& +\mathrm{D}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{4}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \left(6 \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)=\left(6 \mathrm{O}_{1}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{D}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{6}  \tag{3.15}\\
& \left(6 \mathrm{C}_{1}\right)^{0}=\left(6 \mathrm{~B}_{1}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{0}+\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{D}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{7}+\mathrm{D}_{8}  \tag{3.16}\\
& \left(6 \mathrm{C}_{1}\right)=\left(6 \mathrm{C}_{1}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{I}_{4} \mathrm{~L} \quad(\stackrel{\mathrm{l}}{\mathrm{k}} \text { ) }  \tag{3.17}\\
& \left.\left(7 r_{i}\right)=\left(7 r_{i}^{F}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \quad_{P}^{(1)}(q) d(q)\right)^{(1) ; a b}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} Y_{P}^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right) \\
& \left(D_{3}+D_{4}+D_{5}+D_{6}+D_{7}+D_{8}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{2}=I_{3}\left[{ }^{(0)}(` ; \quad \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k})+\mathrm{k} \mathrm{~g} \text { ]f } \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{0} \text {, } \mathrm{k}\right) \mathrm{g}\right. \text {; } \\
& D_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{I}_{5} \mathrm{qf} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Y}) \mathrm{g} ; \\
& D_{4}=\frac{1}{2} I_{5} q \mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{0}+\mathrm{V}\right) \mathrm{g} ; \\
& D_{5}=I_{5} f(Q \quad k) S(Q \quad k \quad \text { ) } \quad g \text {; } \\
& D_{6}=I_{5} f \quad S(Q \quad k) S(Q+\text { ) } \quad \text {; } \\
& D_{7}=I_{5} f(Q \quad k \quad \text { ) } S(Q \quad k) \quad g \text {; } \\
& D_{8}=I_{5} f \quad S(Q+\text { ) } S(Q \quad k) \quad g \text {; } \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

B efore we proceed the follow ing com $m$ ents are w arranted:
(i) In the above form ulas appropriate shiftings and relabellings of the integration m om enta have been carried out, in order for the answer to be expressed in term $s$ of the ve basic denom inators $I_{i}$ de ned in Eq. (3. ${ }^{(1)}$ ).
(ii) The topologies of $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{3}, \mathrm{D}_{4}, \mathrm{D}_{5}$, and $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ are shown in F ig. $6 \mathrm{a}_{4}, \mathrm{Fig} .6 \mathrm{a}_{5}, \mathrm{~F}$ ig. $6 \mathrm{a}_{6}, \mathrm{Fig} .6 \mathrm{a}_{7}, \mathrm{~F}$ ig. $6 \mathrm{~b}_{4}$, and Fig. $6 \mathrm{~b}_{5}$, respectively. ( $\left.6 \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)^{0}$ corresponds to the gure obtained from F ig. $6 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ by draw ing the intemal three-gluon vertex on the other leg of the extemal three-ghon vertex, i.e. the leg which hooks onto the extemal spinor carrying $m$ om entum $Q^{0}$ (not show $n$ ); $D_{7}$ (show $n$ in $F i g .7 h$ ) and $D_{8}$ (not show $n$ ) are the analogues of $D_{5}$ and $D_{6}$ for the ( $\left.6 \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)^{0}$ topology. Furthem ore, the second and third term on the RHS of Eq. ( $\overline{3} . \overline{1} \overline{4})$ are depicted in $F$ ig. $6 \mathrm{a}_{2}$ and F ig. $6 \mathrm{a}_{3}$ respectively, the second term on the RHS of Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{15})$ is shown in $F$ ig. $6 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$, the second term on the RHS of Eq. $\left(\overline{3}, \overline{1} \overline{7_{1}}\right)$ is shown in $\mathrm{Fig} .6 \mathrm{c}_{2}$, and the second term on the RHS of Eq. ( $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ 18) in $\mathrm{Fig} .7 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
(iii) The graph in $F$ ig. $7 r_{2}$ is accom panied by the graph with the abelian vertex correction on the other side (not show $n$ ). The graph containing the bare four-gluon vertex is not show either.
(iv) N otioe that the propagator-like part in F ig.7a is connected to the rest of the graph by a factor $g$; since this term will becom e part of the 1PR graph of $F$ ig. 4c it m ust be supplem ented a longitudinal com ponent q $q$. This contribution is proportional to the term S show n in F ig. $7 \mathrm{a}_{6}$ and F ig. $7 \mathrm{a}_{7}$ (rem em ber that the latter are proportional to q ), but notice that the color factors are di erent; the term $S$ show $n$ in $F$ ig. $6 \mathrm{a}_{6}$ and F ig. $6 \mathrm{a}_{7}$ are proportional to $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}$, whereas the term $m$ issing in $F$ ig. ( Sa ) w ill have both $C_{A}^{2}$ and $C_{A} C_{f}$, where $C_{f}$ is the $C$ asim ir for the representation of the (extemal) quarks. It is easy to show that these term $s$ vanish when the extemal ferm ions are on shell, which is our case. In order to prove that one does not have to assum e that $q$ hits a conserved current on the other side of the graph; instead one notioes that the term $s$ in question are proportionalto [ $\left.{ }^{(1)}(Q ; m) \quad{ }^{(1)}\left(Q^{0} ; m\right)\right]$ which vanishes when $Q=Q^{0}=\mathrm{m}$.
(v) N otice the appearance of propagator-like term S ( F ig. $6 \mathrm{a}_{3}, \mathrm{~F}$ ig. $6 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$, and F ig. $6 \mathrm{c}_{2}$ )


$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} F_{P}^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} V_{P}^{(2)}+b^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right) \tag{320}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{P}^{(2)}(q)=I_{4} L \quad(` ; k)+\left(2 I_{2}+I_{3}\right) g \quad I_{1} k g+{ }^{(0)}(k ; \quad ; k+`)(\Upsilon \quad q) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he interpretation of the three term $s$ appearing on the RHS of Eq. ( $\overline{3} \mathbf{2} \overline{\mathrm{q}})$ is as follow s :
(i) The term $\frac{1}{2} F_{P}^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)$ is half of the vertex-like part necessary to cancel the corresponding term appearing in Eq. (3. $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ ), during the conversion of conventionallP R graphs into their P T counterparts. T he other halfw ill com from the $m$ irror vertex (not show $n$ ).
(ii) $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{(2)}$ is the total propagator-like term originating from the tw o-loop quark-gluon vertex; together w ith the equal contribution from the $m$ irror set of tw o-loop vertex graphs (not show $n$ ) $w$ ill give rise to the self-energy term

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{P}^{(2)}(q)=V_{P}^{(2)}(q) t(q) ; \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which will be part of the e ective tw o-loop P T gluon selfenergy, to be constructed in the next sub-section
(iii) ${ }^{b^{(2)}}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)$ is the P T tw o-loop quark-ghon vertex; it coincides w ith the corresponding tw o-loop quark-ghon vertex com puted in the BFM FG, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)=e^{(2)}\left(Q ; Q^{0} ; Q=1\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

as happens in the one-loop case, Eq. $(\underline{2}-\overline{2}-\overline{2})$. E ther by virtue of the above equality and the form al properties of the $B F M$, or by $m$ eans of an explicit diagram $m$ atic calculation where one acts $w$ ith $q$ on individual diagram $s$, one can establish that ${ }^{b^{(2)}}\left(Q ; Q^{0}\right)$ satis es the follow ing Q ED -like W I

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{b}^{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}) \quad \mathrm{b}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{0}\right) ; \tag{324}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the exact tw o-loop analogue of Eq. $\left(\begin{array}{l}-\overline{2}-\overline{0}) . b^{(2)}(Q) \text { is the two-loop PT ferm ion selfenergy which satis es }\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}^{(2)}(\mathrm{Q})=\mathrm{e}^{(2)}(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}=1)={ }^{(2)}(\mathrm{Q} ;=1) \tag{325}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, this is the precise generalization of the one-loop result of Eq. ( $2 \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{3})$. At this point this result com es as no surprise, since all three gluon vertioes appearing in the Feynm an graphs contributing to ${ }^{(2)}(Q$; ) are intemal; therefore, at $=1$ there $w$ illbe no pinching [ $\left.{ }^{3}{ }_{1}^{\prime} 1\right]$ ].

W e em phasize that the above result is non-trivial; indeed, even if one accepts that the appearance of the rst and third tem in Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{-} \overline{0})$, for exam ple, could be forced, there is no a-priory reason why the rem ainder should tum out to be purely selfenergy-like. N otice also that in deriving the above results no integrations (or sub-integrations) over virtualm om enta have been carried out.
C. The tw o-loop self-energy

The construction of $b^{(2)}$ (q) proceeds as follow s: To the conventionaltw o-loop gluon selfenergy ${ }^{(2)}$ (q) we add two additional term $s$; (i) the propagator-like term $\int_{P}^{(2)}$ (q) derived in the previous sub-section, Eq. (12 $\left.2 \overline{2} \overline{2}^{\prime}\right)$, and (ii) the
propagator－like part $R_{P}^{(2)}$（q）given in Eq．$\left(\overline{3} . \overline{-} \overline{-1}_{1}\right)$ ，stem $m$ ing from the conversion of the conventional string into a P T string；this term m ust be rem oved from the $1 P R$ reducible set and be alloted to ${ }^{(2)}(q)$ ，as described in［12］l．Thus， $b^{(2)}$（q）reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}^{(2)}(\mathrm{q})={ }^{(2)}(\mathrm{q})+{ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)}(\mathrm{q}) \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)}(\mathrm{q}): \tag{326}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to $m$ inor notationalm odi cations，this last equation is in fact identical to Eq．（3．5）in the second paper of $[1 / 2 / 1$ except that now we know the exact closed expression for the term $\int_{p}^{(2)}$（q）．

It is a lengthy but relatively straightforw ard exercise to establish that in fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}^{(2)}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{e}^{(2)}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{e}=1) \tag{327}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see that in detail，we sim ply start out with the diagrams contributing to（2）（q）and convert them into the corresponding diagram scontributing to ${ }^{(2)}(q ; Q=1)$ ；in doing so we only need to carry out algebraicm anipulations in the num erators of individual Feynm an diagram $s$ ，and the judicious use of the identity of Eq．（3．4）．The individual diagram s yield：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (8 a)=(9 a)_{n}+(9 m)+(9 n)+(90)+(9 p)+(9 q)+(9 r)+(9 s) \\
& +I_{1}, ~ k^{(0)}\left(q ; k+, ~ q ; k \quad ソ+\left(\checkmark q^{2}\right)(k+, ~ q)\left(\frac{3}{2}, ~ q\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +I_{2} \frac{3}{8} t \quad(q)+\frac{9}{16} q q \quad \frac{9}{2} I_{3} \text {, ( } \mathrm{V}^{2} \quad+\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left({ }^{2}\right) g
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+I_{2} \underset{n}{\llbracket} \quad(q ; k)+2 k \quad(k+q) \quad I_{3} \llbracket \quad(\because ; k)+2 k \quad(k+ソ)\right] \\
& \mathrm{I}_{3} 3^{\prime}, \frac{21}{8} \mathrm{q}^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{qq} \\
& \left.(8 \mathrm{~b})+(8 \mathrm{c})=(9 \mathrm{~b})+(9 \mathrm{c})+\frac{9}{2} \mathrm{I}_{3} \quad \text {, (` } \mathrm{q}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left({ }^{2}\right) g
\end{array}\right. \\
& (8 d)=(9 d)+\frac{21}{8} I_{2} t \quad(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2} 2 k(k+q)+\frac{1}{2} q q+I_{3} 2 k\left(k+\vartheta+\frac{1}{4} q\right. \text {, } \\
& (8 \mathrm{~g})+(8 \mathrm{~h})=(9 \mathrm{~g})+(9 \mathrm{~h})+\mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~L} \quad(\because ; \mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{I}_{4} \mathrm{~L} \quad(\imath ; \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{t} \text { (q) } \\
& (8 i)=(9 i) \quad I_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)(k+, ~ q)\left(\frac{3}{2}, ~ q\right) \quad \frac{1}{16} I_{2} q q+\frac{1}{8} I_{3} q \text {, } \\
& (8 j)+(8 k)=(9 j)+(9 k)+I_{3}\left[3^{`} \text {, } \quad 3^{\prime} q+q q\right] \\
& \left(8^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)=\left(9^{\mathrm{r}}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

A dding the above equations by parts we nd

$$
\text { (2) } \begin{array}{r}
(q)=e^{(2)}(q ; Q=1)+I_{2} L \quad(q ; k)+3 t \quad(q) \quad I_{4} L \quad(\imath ; k) t \quad(q) \\
\#  \tag{3,29}\\
\\
\\
+I_{1} k g
\end{array}
$$

U sing Eq．$(\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ and Eq．$(\underline{3} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{2})$, Eq．$\cdot(\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{9})$ becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(2)}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{e}^{(2)}(\mathrm{q} ; Q=1) \quad{ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)} \quad(\mathrm{q})+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)} \quad(\mathrm{q}): \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. $(\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{0})$ and Eq. $(\overline{3} \overline{2} \bar{G})$ we arrive im $m$ ediately to Eq. $\left(\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{7_{1}}\right)$. A gain, no integrations over virtualm om enta need be carried out, except for identifying vanishing contributions by m eans of the form ulas listed in Eq. (3.1.1).

Since we have used dim ensional regularization throughout and no integrations have been perform ed the results of this section do not depend on the value $d$ of the space-tim e; in particular they are valid for $d=3$, which is of additional eld-theoretical interest $\operatorname{Han}_{1}^{1} 1$. . C learly, when $d!4$ the renorm alization program needs be carried out; this w ill be the sub ject of the next section.

## IV. RENORMALIZATION

In this section we will carry out the renom alization for the two-loop PT G reen's functions constructed in the previous section. There is of course no doubt that if one supplies the correct counterterm s w thin the conventional form ulation, the totalS $m$ atrix $w$ illcontinue being renorm alized, even after the $P T$ rearrangem ent of the (unrenorm alized) tw o-loop Feynm an graphs. The point of this section is to show a stronger version of renorm alizability, i.e. that the new G reen's function constructed through the PT rearrangem ent are individually renorm alizable. The general m ethodology is as follow s: W e start out w ith the counterterm s which are necessary to renom alize individually the conventionalG reen's functions contributing to the two-loop S m atrix. T hen we will show that by sim ply rearranging them, follow ing the P T rules, we w ill arrive at renorm alized tw o-loop P T G reen's functions.
$W$ ew illuse the follow ing notation: $Z_{1}$ is the vertex renorm alization constant for the conventionalquark -ghon vertex
, $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ is the wave-function renorm alization for the (extemal) quarks, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}$ the ghon wave-function renorm alization corresponding to the conventionalghon selfenergy, 雖 the ghon wave-function renorm alization corresponding to the P T ghon selfenergy $\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{Z}_{3}$ is the vertex renorm alization constant for the conventionalone-loop three-ghon vertex
${ }^{(1)}$, and $Z_{3 F}$ the vertex renorm alization constant for the ${ }_{F}^{(1)}, Z_{2}$ is the ghost $w$ ave-function renorm alization, and
$Z_{1}$ the ghost-ghon vertex renorm alization constant. Equivalently, one can carry out the renorm alization program using appropriately de ned counter-term s . T he corresponding counterterm s , which, when added to the above n -loop quantities render them UV nite, are, respectively $K_{1}^{(n)}, K_{2}^{(n)}, K_{A}^{(n)}, \mathrm{ID}_{A}^{(n)}, K_{3}^{(n)}, K_{3 F}^{(n)} K_{2}^{(n)}$, and $K_{1}^{(n)}$. In addition, m ass counterterm s m m ust be supplied ifthe quarks are considered to be m assive. In a m om ent wew illalso introduce the counterterm $K_{P}^{(n)}$, which renders $V_{P}^{(n)}$ ultra-violet nite. $N$ otice that because of the QED-like W I it satis es b becom es ultraviolet nite when the counterterm $K_{2}$ is added to it. T he Z s and the K s are related as follow s :

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{i}=1+\quad K_{i}^{(j)} \quad i=1 ; 2 ; 3 \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{j}=1 \\
\mathrm{X}
\end{array} \\
& Z_{A}=1+{ }^{X}{ }^{(j)} \\
& \stackrel{j}{\mathrm{j}=1} \\
& \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{A}}=1+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{(j)} \\
& \text { X }{ }^{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{1} \\
& Z_{i}=1+{ }^{X}{ }_{i}^{(j)} \quad i=1 ; 2 \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{j}=1 \\
\mathrm{X}
\end{array} \\
& Z_{g}=1+{ }^{X}{ }_{g}^{(j)} \\
& j=1 \\
& m=m^{(j)}  \tag{4.1}\\
& j=1
\end{align*}
$$

 that end we de ne the dim ension-less ghuon selfenergy ${ }^{(1)}$ simply through ${ }^{(1)}={ }^{(1)} t$. In order to renorm alize the extra pieces which $m$ ust be alloted to the conventional1PR graphs in order to convert them into their PT form,
 quantities)

$$
\begin{aligned}
i R_{P}^{(2) R}+F_{P}^{(2) R} & ={ }^{(1) R} V_{P}^{(1) R}+\frac{3}{4} V_{P}^{(1) R} V_{P}^{(1) R}+V_{P}^{(1) R} b(1) R \\
& =\frac{3}{4}\left(K_{P}^{(1)}+V_{P}^{(1) R}\right)^{2}+\left({ }^{(1)} K_{A}^{(1)}\right)\left(V_{P}^{(1)}+K_{P}^{(1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(V_{\mathrm{P}}^{(1)}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(1)}\right)\left(^{(1)}+\mathrm{K}_{2}^{(1)}\right)+\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}^{(1)}+\mathrm{X}_{2}^{(1)}\right) \mathrm{m}^{(1)} \\
= & i R_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)}+\mathrm{U}_{1}^{(2)}+\mathrm{U}_{2}^{(2)} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { " }{ }^{\text {" }}{ }^{(2)}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(1)} \mathrm{K}_{2}^{(1)} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(1)}{ }^{\text {" }}{ }^{(1)}+{ }^{(1)}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(1)}+\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}^{(1)}+\mathrm{X}_{2}^{(1)}\right) \mathrm{m}^{(1)} \\
& \mathrm{U}_{2}^{(2)}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(1)} \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(1)}+\mathrm{K}_{2}^{(1)} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}:
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hus，the term $\mathrm{S}_{1}^{(2)}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{2}^{(2)}$ need be supplied． N otice that the term s contained in $\mathrm{U}_{2}^{(2)}$ are m om entum independent （quadratic in the counterterm $s$ ），whereas those contained in $U_{1}^{(2)}$ orm om entum－dependent（linear in the counterterm $s$ ）． $T$ he latter $w$ ill cancel against parts of the one－loop counterterm $s$ appearing inside the tw o－loop expressions for the conventional selfenergy（ $F$ ig．10）and vertex（ $F$ ig．12），cancelling their sub－divergences．A s for the form er，they will becom e part of the nal renorm alization counterterm of the two－loop P T selfenergy，i．e．the counterterm necessary for cancelling the rem aining divergence，after the sub－divergences have been taken care of．A nother way of say ing this is that，since the extra term $s R_{P}$ and $F_{P} w$ ill be alloted to the PT gluon－self－energy and vertex，so should the counter－term s necessary to renorm alize them ．
$W$ e next show how the term $s$ in $U_{2}^{(2)}$ are to be accounted for，and，at the sam e tim e，derive som e useful relations
 $b^{(2)}$ and ${ }^{(2)}$ ，respectively，im poses the follow ing QED－like relation betw een the renorm alization constants $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ ，up to order $\mathrm{g}^{4}$ ：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{2}: \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition，from the W I ofEq．（ $(\overline{6}-\overline{1} \overline{1})$ ，we have to order $g^{2}$（at least）

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{3 \mathrm{~F}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}: \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

N otice also that Eq．（ $(\overline{6} \overline{\overline{3}} \overline{\overline{-}})$ ）dictates that the ultraviolet－divergent part of ${ }_{F}^{(1)}$ is proportionalto ${ }_{F}^{(0)}$ rather than
${ }_{F}^{(0)} \quad$ ；had it been the other way around there would be no longitudinal ultraviolet－divergent pieces on the RHS of Eq．（ $(6.36)$ ．A s we will see，this $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ ism atch＂will generate the pieces which in the BFM language give rise to the gauge－xing renom alization of the vertioes（ $F$ ig．10a and $F$ ig．10b）

Furtherm ore，the renorm alization constants before and after the PT rearrangem ents are related to the gauge coupling renorm alization as follow s：

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{g}}^{2} & =\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}^{1} \\
& =\text { 中 }_{1}^{2} 中_{2}^{2} 中_{\mathrm{A}}^{1} \\
& =\text { 中 }_{\mathrm{A}}^{1} ; \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

 pow ers of the coupling constant $g$ we arrive at the follow ing relations for the corresponding counterterm $s$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)} \quad 2\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}^{(1)} \mathrm{K}_{2}^{(1)}\right) \text {; }  \tag{4.7}\\
& \mathrm{IX}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(2)}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(2)} \quad 2\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}^{(2)} \quad \mathrm{K}_{2}^{(2)}\right)+\mathrm{K}_{1}^{(1)}\left[3 \mathrm{~K}_{1}^{(1)} \quad 4 \mathrm{~K}_{2}^{(1)} \quad 2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}\right]+\mathrm{K}_{2}^{(1)}\left[2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}+\mathrm{K}_{2}^{(1)}\right] \text { : } \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}^{(j)}=\frac{1}{2} K_{P}^{(j)}+K_{2}^{(j)} ; \quad j=1 ; 2 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

into the above equations we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(1)} ;  \tag{4.10}\\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(2)}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(2)} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)}+\mathrm{U}_{2}^{(2)} ;  \tag{4.11}\\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}=2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{g}}^{(1)}: \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ otice that if $Z_{1}=Z_{2}$, or equivalently, $K_{P}{ }^{(j)}=0$, then $Z_{A}=$ d $_{A}$, which is sim ply the QED case.
It is now clear what the role of the $U_{2}^{(2)}$ term $s$ is; they $m$ ust be added to the conventional tw o-loop selfenergy counterterm $K_{A}^{(2)}$ and the part of the vertex counterterm corresponding to $V_{P}^{(2)}$; these tw o counterterm $s$ are already present, whereas the term $U_{2}^{(2)} m$ ust be borrowed from som e other part of the $S \rightarrow m$ atrix, in order for the initial equation Eq. ( $(\bar{A}-\overline{1})$ to be enforced.
$W$ e next tum to the $U_{1}^{(2)}$ term s . W e will show that they w ill cancel precisely against term s originating from the rearrangem ent of the graphs show $n$ in $F$ ig. 10 and $F$ ig.12, in order to convert them into the graphs show $n$ in $F$ ig. 11 and F ig.13. T he form er set contains the counterterm s necessary for cancelling the one-loop sub-divergences inside the conventional tw o-loop ghon self-energy and quark-ghion vertex, the latter the counterterm s needed for the tw o-loop PT ghon self-energy and quark-ghon vertex.
W e begin w ith two-loop ghon selfenergy shown in Fig.10. From the Slavnov-Taylor identity [2] we have that [471, 421]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{3}}{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}}=\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{1}}{\mathrm{Z}_{2}} ; \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we obtain [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{3}^{(1)} \quad K_{A}^{(1)}=K_{1}^{(1)} \quad K_{2}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2} K_{P}^{(1)}: \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation is im portant for what follow s.
Then we have for the graphs of Fig.10:

$$
\begin{align*}
(10 a)+(10 b) & =k_{3}^{(1)}[d k] J_{1}(q ; k) \quad(0) \quad(0) \\
(10 c) & =\left(10 c_{1}\right)+\left(10 c_{2}\right) \\
(10 d)+(10 e) & =k_{1}^{(1)}[d k] J_{1}(q ; k) k \quad(k+q) \\
(10 f)+(10 g) & =k_{2}^{(1)}[d k] J_{1}(q ; k) k \quad(k+q) \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(10 c_{1}\right)=K_{A}^{(1)} \quad[d k] J_{1}(q ; k)^{(0)} \quad(0) \\
& \left(10 c_{2}\right)=K_{A}^{(1)} \quad[d k] J_{2}(q ; k) k k^{(0)} \quad \text { (0) } \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(10 a)+(10 b)+\left(10 c_{1}\right)\right]+[(10 d)+(10 f)]+[(10 e)+(10 g)]=K_{P}^{(1)} \quad(1)(q) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ext, we w rite ( $\mathrm{lOc}_{2}$ ) as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(10 c_{2}\right)=(11 \mathrm{a})+(11 \mathrm{~b})+(11 \mathrm{c}) \quad 2 \mathrm{t} \quad(\mathrm{q}) \quad[\mathrm{dk}] \mathrm{J}_{2}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{k} \mathrm{k} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
(11 a)+(11 b) & \left.=K_{A}^{(1)}{ }^{Z}[d k] J_{1}(q ; k)\right)_{P}^{(0)}{ }_{F}^{(0)} \\
(11 c) & =K_{A}^{(1)} \quad[d k] J_{2}(q ; k) k k_{F}^{(0)}{ }_{F}^{(0)}
\end{align*}
$$

W e next convert the renorm alization constants for the conventional two-loop quark-ghon vertex (2) (F ig.12) into those necessary for the P T 2-loop quark-ghon vertex $\mathrm{b}^{(2)}$ nite ( $F$ ig.13). W e have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (12 a)=K_{3}^{(1)} \quad{ }^{(1)}=\left(K_{3 F}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{2} K_{P}^{(1)}\right)^{(1)}=(13 a)+\frac{1}{2} K_{P}^{(1)}  \tag{1}\\
& (12 \mathrm{c})+(12 \mathrm{~d})=\mathrm{K}_{1}^{(1)} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{(1)}+(13 \mathrm{c})+(13 \mathrm{~d}) \\
& (12 e)=\frac{1}{2} K_{2}^{(1)} V_{P}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{2}\left(X_{1}^{(1)}+X_{2}^{(1)}\right) m^{(1)}+(13 e) \\
& (12 \mathrm{~b})=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}[\mathrm{dk}] \mathrm{J}_{2}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k})^{(0)} \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{k}) \quad(\mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{k}) \\
& \text { Z } \\
& =K_{A}^{(1)}[d k]_{2}(q ; k)\left({ }_{F}^{(0)}+{ }_{P}^{(0)}\right) t(k) \quad(Q+k)  \tag{}\\
& \text { Z } \\
& =(13 \mathrm{~b}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)} \quad[\mathrm{dk}] \mathrm{J}_{2}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{t} \quad(\mathrm{k}) \\
& =(13 \mathrm{~b}) \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{A}^{(1)} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(1)}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)} \mathrm{t} \quad \text { (q) } \quad[\mathrm{dk}] \mathrm{J}_{2}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{k} \mathrm{k} \\
& \text { ) } \\
& \text { Z } \\
& \text { (13b) } 2^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(1)} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q}) \quad\left[\mathrm{JJ}_{2}(\mathrm{a} k) k\right. \tag{4,2}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ otice that the last term on the RHS ofEq. ( $(\mathbf{4}-\overline{2} \overline{0})$, togetherw ith an equalterm from the irror vertex graphs ( $n$ not show $n$ ) will cancel against the last term in Eq. (4.18) . U sing that $K_{1}^{(1)} \quad \frac{1}{2} K_{2}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{P}^{(1)}+K_{2}^{(1)}\right)$ we nally arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{(\mathrm{F} \text { ig:10) }}+2 \mathrm{~K}_{(\mathrm{F} \text { ig:12) }}=\mathrm{K}_{(\mathrm{F} \text { ig:11) }}+2 \mathrm{~K}_{(\mathrm{F} \text { ig:13) }}+\mathrm{U}_{1}^{(1)} \tag{421}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the factors of 2 m ultiplying $K_{(F \text { ig: }: 12)}$ and $K_{(F \text { ig:13) }}$ account for the $m$ irror vertex contributions. Evidently, the PT rearrangem ent gives rise to a term $U_{1}^{(1)}$, as announced.
$T$ he counterterm s resulting from the above rearrangem ent are exactly those required to cancel all sub-divergences inside $\mathrm{b}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}^{0}\right)$; the latter coincide of course $w$ th the sub-divergences inside $\mathrm{e}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{Q}^{0} ; Q=1\right)$. To dem onstrate that the counterterm s show n in Fig .13 are in fact identical to those obtained when carrying out the BFM renorm alization program as explained in [9] $[$, i.e. renom alizing only the background ghons, the extemalquarks, the coupling constant $g$, and the GFP ( $\quad$ ), one may proceed as follow s: (i) start $w$ ith graph ( 13 b ), and separate the $t$ (k) into the part proportional to $g$ and the part proportional to $k k$; the second part is sim ply the gauge- xing renor$m$ alization to the selfenergy, as explained in [g]. (ii) H alf of the piece proportional to $g$ ust be added to (13a); the latter is proportional to ${ }^{(0)}$. U sing that $K_{3 F}^{(1)}=K_{A}^{(1)}$ (from Eq. (4. . ${ }^{(1)}$ ), the total contribution is proportional to $K_{A}^{(1)}\left({ }^{(0)}{ }_{F}^{(0)}\right)=K_{A}^{(1)}{ }_{P}^{(0)}$, which is the contribution from the gauge- xing renorm alization of the elem entary BFM three-ghon vertex. (iii) $T$ he rem aining half proportional to $g$ from step (i) must be split equally betw een $(13 c)+\frac{1}{2}$ (13e) and (13d) $+\frac{1}{2}$ (13e). Each of these two combinations will then give a contribution proportional to $\mathrm{K}_{1}^{(1)} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}^{(1)} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}^{(1)}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}^{(1)}$, where Eq. (4.9), Eq. (1.10), and Eq. (4.12) have been used in the last steps. T he w ave-function renorm alization for the extemal ferm ions w ill then cancel $\frac{-1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}^{(1)}$, and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{(1)}$ w ill be re-absonbed into the gauge-coupling renom alization.

N otice that the correspondence betw een the PT and the BFM FG G reen's functions established in the previous section persists after renom alization; the resulting expressions are the BFM FG renorm alized quantities, as derived

 see how the P T rearrangem ent leads into the interpretation of counterterm S generated from the QCD Lagrangian in


## V.THEABSORPTIVECONSTRUCTION:GENERALFORMALISM

In the next two sections we w ill show in detail how one may construct the two-loop P T e ective G reen's functions
 for the one-loop case, and constitutes a non-trivial self-consistency check for the entire approach. In this section we w ill set up the form alism, and discuss in detail the one-loop case, which will serve as the general paradigm for the tw o-loop generalization, to be presented in the follow ing section. A part from som em inor modi cations, in the next tw o sections we will adopt the notation used in [13].
$T$ he optical theorem for the case of forw ard scattering assum es the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
=m \text { haj } \mathfrak{j} i=\frac{1}{2}_{i}^{\mathrm{X}}(2)^{4}{ }^{(4)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \text { hij jai hij jai; } \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum ${ }_{i}$ ishould be understood to be over the eptire phase space of all allow ed on-shell interm ediate states i. A fter expanding the $T \mathrm{~m}$ atrix in powers of $g$, i.e. $T={ }_{n=2} T^{[n]}$, we have that

In particular, if in the initial states we have a q-q pair, i.e. jai $=$ jqi we have for the rst non-trivial orders, $n=4$ and $n=6$
and
 tively. N ext we introduce the short-hand notation

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{[n]}=m \text { haqj- }{ }^{[n]} \text { qqqi; } \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{[k]} \mathrm{mmg} \mathrm{fr}^{[k]} \dot{q q i} \quad \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{k}=2 ; 3 ;:: \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

T hen, Eq. $(5-3)$ and Eq. $(5.4)$ becom e respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{[4]}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2!}^{!} \quad(\mathrm{dP} \mathrm{~S})_{2 g} \mathrm{~T}_{2}^{[2]} \mathrm{T}_{2}^{[2]} ;  \tag{5.6}\\
& A^{[6]}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!}^{!} \quad(\mathrm{dP} \mathrm{~S})_{3 g} \mathrm{~T}_{3}^{[3]} \mathrm{T}_{3}^{[3]}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2!} \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad(\mathrm{dP} \mathrm{~S})_{2 \mathrm{~g}} 2<e^{\mathrm{T}_{2}}{ }^{[4]} \mathrm{T}_{2}^{[2]} \\
& =A{ }_{3}^{[6]}+A_{2}^{[6]} \text { : } \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities de ned above have the explicit form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{A}^{[4]}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2!}!\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2]}+\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[2]}\right]^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{P} \quad{ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P} \quad{ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2]}+\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[2]}\right]_{0}^{\mathrm{ab}} ;  \tag{5.8}\\
& \mathrm{A}_{3}^{[6]}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!}\left[\mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3]}+\mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3]}\right]^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{P} \quad{ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P} \quad{ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}{ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3]}+\mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3]}\right]_{0}^{\mathrm{abc}} 00 ;  \tag{5.9}\\
& \mathrm{A}_{2}^{[6]}=\frac{1}{2!}<\mathrm{e} \quad\left[\mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[4]}+\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[4]}\right]^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{P} \quad{ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P} \quad{ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[4]}+\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[4]}\right]_{0}^{\mathrm{ab}} 0 ; \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have suppressed the phase space integrations. $P$ is the polarization tensor for $m$ ass-less gluons,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(p ; n ;)=g+\frac{n p+n p}{n p} \frac{p p}{(n p)^{2}} ; \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th n is an arbitrary four-vector, and a gauge param eter.

W e will now study the process $q\left(k_{1}\right) q\left(k_{2}\right)!, g\left(p_{1}\right) g\left(p_{2}\right)$ at tree-level, using the equations derived above. This study $w$ ill further elucidate the role of the Eq. (2 2! ) in enforcing perturbative unitarity at the level of individual G reen's functions, and will set up the stage for the tw o-loop generalization.


$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}^{\mathrm{ab}} & =\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}^{\mathrm{f12g}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \\
\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}^{\mathrm{ab}} & =\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}^{\mathrm{f} 21 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \\
\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}^{\mathrm{ab}} & =0: \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

If we split the am plitude into an $s$-channel and t-channel contribution $\left(s=q^{2}=\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}\right.$, and $\left.t=\left(\begin{array}{ll}k_{1} & p_{1}\end{array}\right)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}k_{2} & p_{2}\end{array}\right)^{2}\right)$, the rst of the identities in Eq. (5.12.) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}+\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{\mathrm{f} 12 \mathrm{~g}}+\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{f} 12 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{p}_{2}: \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rem aining tw o identities are exactly analogous and will be suppressed throughout.
$T$ he P T rearrangem ent of the am plitude am ounts to a special choice for $T_{2 s}$ and $T_{2 t}$, which $w$ ill be denoted by $T_{2 s}^{F}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{F}}$, respectively. A fter de ning these $\backslash$ Feynm an" am plitudes, Eq. (5.13i) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{\mathrm{F}}+\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{\mathrm{Ff12g}}+\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{Ff12g}}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to order k,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[\mathrm{k}] F}+\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[\mathrm{k}] F}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[\mathrm{k}] \mathrm{F} f 12 \mathrm{~g}}+\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[\mathrm{k}] \mathrm{F} 12 \mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{p}_{2}^{\mathrm{ab}}: \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e w ill next study the case $\mathrm{k}=2$ (tree-level, F ig. 14) .
For \on-shell" ghons, i.e. $\mathrm{p}^{2}=0, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{n} ;)$ of Eq . ( $5.1 \overline{1}$ ) satis es the transversality condition $\mathrm{p} P=0$. Thus one $m$ ay im mediately elim inate the ${ }_{P}^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ part of ${ }^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$, which vanishes when contracted $w$ ith the term $P \quad 0\left(p_{1}\right) P \quad 0\left(p_{2}\right)$, and e ectively replace ${ }^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ by ${ }_{F}^{(0)} \quad\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$, as in $F$ ig. $14 a$. O ne then proceeds by recognizing that the longitudinal parts of the $P \quad 0\left(p_{1}\right)$ and $P \quad 0\left(p_{2}\right)$ trigger a fundam ental cancellation [13 sym $m$ etry. In particular, the action of $p_{1}$ or $p_{2}$ on ${ }_{F}^{(0)}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p}_{2} & \mathrm{p}_{1}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{p}_{2}+\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} \quad \mathrm{p}_{2}^{2}\right) g+\mathrm{t} \quad(\mathrm{q}) \\
& p_{2}{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p}_{2} & \mathrm{p}_{1}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{p}_{1}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} & \mathrm{p}_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{g} \quad \mathrm{t} \quad(\mathrm{q}) \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term on the RHS of either equation cancels against an analogous contribution from the t-channel graph, $w$ hereas the second tem $s$ vanish for on-shell ghons. F inally, the tem $s$ proportional to $p_{2}$ and $p_{1}$ ( $F$ ig.14b) are such that all dependence on the unphysical four-vector $n$ and the param eter vanishes, as it should. In addition, a residual ( $s$-dependent) contribution em erges from these latter term s , which m ust be added to the parts stem m ing from the $g \circ g$ o part of the calculation. In particular [13], we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} & =\mathrm{gf}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{gf}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{q}){ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) ; \\
\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}} & =\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[2]} ; \\
\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{~F}}^{[2] \mathrm{F} 12 \mathrm{~g}} & =\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[2] 12 \mathrm{~g}}=0 ; \\
\mathrm{p}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} & =\left(\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F} 12 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{p}_{2}+\left({ }_{2}^{[2] \mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} ; \\
\mathrm{p}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} & =\left({ }_{2}^{[2] \mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} ; \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(_{2_{2}^{[2] F}}^{)^{\mathrm{ab}}}\right. & =g f^{e} g f^{e a b} d(q) t(q) \\
S_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F} 12 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}} & =g f^{e}{ }^{\mathrm{g}} f^{\mathrm{eab}} \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{q})\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}\right) ; \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and $f{ }^{a} g=i g v\left(k_{2}\right)^{a} \quad u\left(k_{1}\right)$.
From the above results follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}}+\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2]}=\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{Ff12g}}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{[4]} & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2!} \quad T_{2 s}^{[2] F}+T_{2 t}^{[2]} \quad T_{2 s}^{[2] F}+T_{2 t}^{[2]} \quad 2 S_{2 s}^{[2] F f 12 g} \quad S_{2 s}^{[2] F f 12 g} \\
& =A_{S}^{[4]}+A_{V}^{[4]}+A_{B}^{[4]} ; \tag{520}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{[4]}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2!} \mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}} \quad 2 \mathrm{~S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F} f 12 \mathrm{~g}} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F} f 12 \mathrm{~g}} \\
& \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{V}}^{[4]}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2!} \quad \mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[2]}+\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[2]} \mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}} ; \\
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{B}}^{[4]}=\frac{1}{2} \\
& \frac{1}{2!} \mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[2]} \mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[2]}: \tag{521}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last step we have de ned selfenergy (S), vertex ( $V$ ) and box (B) -like am plitudes, according to their dependence on the $M$ andelstam variables $s$ and $t$ as in the case of a scalar led theory, or QED; $A_{S}^{[4]}$ depends only on $s, A_{V}^{[4]}$ on $s$ and $t$, and $A_{B}^{[4]}$ only on $t$.
$T$ he next step is to identify these sub-am plitudes as the im aginary parts of the e ective one-loop self-energy, vertex, and box, under construction. For exam ple, for the e ective selfenergy b (q) we will proceed as follow s: rst w rite $A_{S}^{[4]}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{S}^{[4]}=f \quad \operatorname{gd}(q) A_{S}^{[4]} \quad(q) d(q) f \quad g ; \tag{522}
\end{equation*}
$$

then identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{b}^{(1)}}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{[4]} \quad(\mathrm{q}): \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the last equation follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{mb} \quad(\mathrm{q})=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0)} \quad\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0)} \quad\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \quad 2\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \quad \text { : } \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his last equation leads to a well-de ned de nition of ${ }^{(1)}$, w ithout having to resort to an interm ediate one-loop diagram $m$ atic interpretation: after the tw o-body phase space integrations has been carried out using standard results [13in], the realparts $m$ ay be reconstructed by $m$ eans of a once-subtracted dispersion relation. Thus, in the absence of a full (dispersive) one-loop construction, the ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{(1)}$ so generated does not necessarily have to correspond to the im aginary parts of a precisely identi able set of one-loop Feynm an diagram s. O foourse, given that the fiullone-loop construction has been carried out, we know that this is actually the case. Thus, when the one-loop (or n-loop) construction for b exists, Eq. (5.2̄2) reduces into a non-trivial self-consistency check. In particular, one $m$ ust verify that

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
\\
A_{S}^{[4]} & (\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{C}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~b}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{C}_{2} \quad \mathrm{e}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{e}}=1\right) \tag{525}
\end{array}
$$

where $C_{n} f::: g$ is the operator which carries out the $n$-particle $C$ utkosky cuts (for $n=2$ we have two-ghon and tw o-ghost cuts) to the quantity appearing inside the curly bracket ( F ig.15). This is indeed the case [13], as can be directly veri ed from Eq. (2.17). In fact, the residual contributions originating from the term $s$ proportional to $p_{1}$ and $p_{2} m$ entioned above correspond precisely to the $C$ utkosky cuts of the one-loop ghost diagram $s$ ( $F$ ig. 15 b ).

To fully appreciate the subtlety of the above construction the follow ing com m ents are now in order:
(i) $O$ f course, the BRS-driven cancellation for the process qq! gg takes place regardless of the PT rearrangem ent of the am plitude in general, and the PT decom position of ${ }^{(0)}$ in particular. Indeed, if we had not elm inated
${ }^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ but had kept instead the full vertex ${ }^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ (as is usually done), the W I analogous to Eq.


$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{p}_{1} & { }^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{t} & (\mathrm{q}) & \mathrm{t} \\
\mathrm{p}_{2} & \left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right) ;  \tag{526}\\
\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{t} & \left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) & \mathrm{t} & (\mathrm{q}):
\end{array}
$$

The parts which participate in the BRS cancellation, nam ely the parts proportional to $t$ (q) and $t$ (q) are thus una ected. $W$ hat changes after the $P T$ rearrangem ent is the resulting absonptive part of the e ective $n$-point functions under construction. So, if one was to de ne the absonptive part of an e ective selfenergy keeping the full
${ }^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)$, but still exploiting the BRS cancellation in order to ellim inate the longitudial term s , one w ould arrive at the im aginary part of the conventional selfenergy in the RFG, $=m \quad(q)$; the latter, for one thing, does not capture the running of the QCD coupling.
(ii) O ne could de ne the absorptive part of an e ective selfenergy before carrying out the BRS cancellation. In that case one would be led to the absorptive parts of the gluon self-energy in the light-cone gauges; in particular, the nal answer would depend explicitly on the unphysical quantities $n$ and . A dispersion relation would give rise to a pathological quantity, since the gluon selfenergy com puted within the axial gauges is not multiplicatively renorm alizable, due to its dependence on $n$ [4]-1]. Furtherm ore, spurious infrared divergences appear in the Feynm an param eter integrations, which are artifacts and cancel out only when full physicalquantities are com puted [4gi].
$T$ hus, one has to rst carry out the PT rearrangem ent at the level of the $S$ m atrix elem ent, then enforce the BRS cancellation at the level of the cross-section, and, only after these tw o steps, one should de ne self-energy/vertex/box absorptive parts, as one would for a scalar eld theory.

H aving set up the form alism and discussed the generalm ethodology, we next proceed $w$ th the tw o-loop absonptive construction.
VI.THETWO-LOOPABSORPTIVECONSTRUCTION

In this section we will show how to extend the methodology established in the previous section to the tw o-loop case. This construction involves two parts: the rst part is the study of the one-loop am plitude for the process $q\left(k_{1}\right) q\left(k_{2}\right)!g\left(p_{1}\right) g\left(p_{2}\right)$; the second is the study of the tree-level process $q\left(k_{1}\right) q\left(k_{2}\right)!g\left(p_{1}\right) g\left(p_{2}\right) g\left(p_{3}\right)$. A s we will see in detail the P T rearrangem ent (at the level of the $S$ m atrix), w ill give rise (at the level of the cross-section) to the correct C utkosky cuts.

$$
\text { A. The one-loop version of }{ }_{F}^{(0)} \text { and }{ }_{P}^{(0)}
$$

In this sub-section we w ill rst study the conventional one-loop three-ghon vertex, and will see how one can arrive at the one-loop generalization of the tree-level vertioes ${ }_{F}^{(0)}$ and ${ }_{P}^{(0)}$, de ned in Eq. $\left[^{2} \cdot 3^{1}\right)$, to be denoted by ${ }_{F}^{(1)}$ and ${ }_{P}^{(1)}$, respectively. Then we will see in detail why casting the one-loop $S$ - $m$ atrix for the process $q\left(k_{1}\right) q\left(k_{2}\right)!g\left(p_{1}\right) g\left(p_{2}\right)$ into its PT form is crucial for enforcing the optical theorem at the level of individual tw oloop P T G reen's functions.

W e start w th the one-loop $S$ m atrix elem ent for $q\left(k_{1}\right) q\left(k_{2}\right)!g\left(p_{1}\right) g\left(p_{2}\right)$.
Then Eq. ( $\left.\overline{(5} . \overline{15})^{\prime}\right)$ yields $(k=4)$
where $\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[4] \mathrm{F}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2 \pm}^{[4] \mathrm{F}}$ are the $\backslash$ Feynm an" versions of $\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[4]}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}$; their exact form w ill be speci ed shortly. $T$ he last two equations in ( $5.17,1)$ becom e

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}\left(T_{2 s}^{[4] F}\right)^{a b}=\left(S_{2 s}^{[4] \mathrm{f} 12 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{p}_{2}+\left({ }_{2}^{[4] \mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} ; \\
& \mathrm{p}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[4] \mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left(\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[4] \mathrm{F} 12 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{p}_{2} \quad\left(\left(_{2}^{[4]}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}:\right. \tag{6,28}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing Eq. $(\overline{5} . \overline{1} \overline{-1})$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{2}^{[6]} & =\frac{1}{2!}<\mathrm{e}^{[4] \mathrm{F}}+\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[4] \mathrm{F}} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}}+\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[2]} \quad 2 \mathrm{~S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[4] \mathrm{F} 12 \mathrm{~g}}+\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{t}}^{[4] \mathrm{F} 12 \mathrm{~g}} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F} f 21 \mathrm{~g}} \\
& =\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~S}}^{[6]}+\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~V}}^{[6]}+\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}^{[6]} ; \tag{6,29}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2 S}^{[6]}=\frac{1}{2!}<e T_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[4] \mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}} \quad 2 \mathrm{~S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[4] \mathrm{F} f 12 \mathrm{~g}} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F} f 21 \mathrm{~g}} \quad \text { \# ; } \\
& \text { " }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2 B}^{[6]}=\frac{1}{2!}<e T_{2 t}^{[4] F} T_{2 t}^{[2]} \quad: \tag{6.30}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2 S}^{[6]}=f \quad g d(q) A_{2 S}^{[6]} \quad \text { (q)d(q)f } \quad g \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $A_{2 S}^{[6]}$ (q) m ust then be such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ( ) ( ) } \\
& A_{2 S}^{[6]}(q)=C_{2} \quad b^{(2)}(q)=C_{2} \quad e^{(2)}(q ; Q=1) \tag{6.32}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he question is what is the correct form of $T_{2 s}^{[4]}$ and $T_{2 t}^{F}$, and the corresponding $S_{2 s}^{[2] F} f 12 \mathrm{~g}$ and $S_{2 s}^{[2] F} f 12 g$; the latter quantities are autom atically determ ined once the form er have been speci ed. In particular, if such a rearrangem ent exist, does it correspond to a structure already known from the one-loop PT analysis ? The natural candidate for this is clearly the P T rearranged one-loop $m$ atrix elem ent for $q\left(k_{1}\right) q\left(k_{2}\right)!g\left(p_{1}\right) g\left(p_{2}\right)$, show $n$ in $F$ ig. 16 (individual Feynm an graphs are show $n$ in $F$ ig.17, $F$ ig.18, and $F$ ig.19); ifthat w ere the case, one w ould begin to discem an iterative pattem. A s we w ill see in detail, this is indeed what happens.

Throughout this section we will suppress the one-loop integration sym bol ${ }^{R}[d k]$, and will use (see Eq. (2.9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{3} \quad J_{3}\left(q ; \quad p_{1} ; k\right)=\frac{i}{2} g^{2} C_{A}\left[k^{2}\left(k \quad p_{1}\right)^{2}\left(k+p_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1}: \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e focus on the part of the process involving the conventional one-loop three-gluon vertex ${ }^{(1)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$, which is diagram $m$ atically show $n$ in $F$ ig. 17 . Let us now carry out the decom position ofE $q$. (22) to the elem entary three-ghon vertex ${ }^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)$ appearing in the diagram sofFig. 17 a and F ig. 17 d ; the parts stem $m$ ing from the ${ }_{p}^{(0)}$ $w$ ill propagate tow ards the rem aining elem entary three-and four ghon vertices, and will trigger further $W$ I of the type show $n$ in Eq. ( 5 2-1) . Reorganizing the term $s$ thusly generated, one can show that the (1) $\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ of $F$ ig. 17 can be w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (1) }\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{P}^{(1)} \quad \text { (q) } d(q){\underset{F}{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)+{ }_{F}^{(1)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)+{ }_{P}^{(1)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right) ; ~}_{\text {( }} \text {; } \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\mathrm{P}}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \mathrm{J}_{3}\left[{ }^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{k} ; \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{~g} \quad\right] \\
& \left.t\left(p_{2}\right) J_{3}{ }^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; k \quad p_{1} ; k\right)+k g \quad\right] \\
& \frac{i}{2} V_{P}^{(1)} \quad{ }_{P}^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} d(q)\left(p_{2}^{2} \quad p_{1}^{2}\right) q V_{P}^{(1)}(q): \tag{6.35}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term is precisely half of the pinch contribution needed for converting (1) (q) into $b{ }^{(1)}$ ( $q$ ), as shown in Fig.16a; the other halfw ill com e from the one-loop quark-gluon vertex show $n$ in $F$ ig. 19d, follow ing the usual one-loop P T procedure presented in section II. This part of the construction has been rst carried out in [491] where the process-independence of the $\mathrm{b}^{(1)}$ (q) w as explicitly dem onstrated.
$T$ he second term, ${ }_{P}^{(1)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$, is B ose-sym m etric $w$ ith respect to $p_{1} \$ p_{2}$. As one can easily verify from Eq. $\left(\underline{6} \overline{3}_{1}^{-1}\right),{ }_{p}^{(1)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ is zero on shell, i.e. $w$ hen contracting $w$ th the polarization tensors and using $p_{1}^{2}=p_{1}^{2}=0$. Thus ${ }_{p}^{(1)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ can be dropped when studying the one-loop process qq ! gg, exactly as ${ }_{p}^{(0)} \quad\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ was dropped in the tree-level case. A s we we have seen in section IIIB, in the o -shell case, ie. $w$ hen ( 1 ) ( $q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}$ ) is inserted into a tw o-loop quark-ghon vertex, the parts proportional to $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ pinch the intemalquark propagator and give propagator-like contributions, w hereas the parts proportional to $p_{1}^{2}$ and $p_{2}^{2}$ cancelexactly against analogous contributions from the rest of the graphs contributing to the two-loop quark-ghon vertex. $F$ inally, (1) ( $q$; $p_{1} ; p_{2}$ ), is exactly the one-loop version of ${ }_{F}^{(0)} \quad\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ : It is the one-loop three-point function involving one background ( $q$ ) and two quantum ( $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}$ ) ghons as incom ing elds, com puted using the BFM FG Feynm an nules. ( F ig.18) . N otice that the special ghost structure characteristic of the B FM (Fig.18e \{ Fig.18h) em erges autom atically, after follow ing the procedure outlined above.

It is straightforw ard to show that ${ }_{F}^{(1)} \quad\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ satis es the follow ing $W$ I

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{F}}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\quad{ }^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \quad \text { (1) }\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right) ; \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the exact one-loop analogue of the tree-levelW ard identity of $E q(2)$; indeed the $R H S$ is the di erence of tw o conventional one-loop selfenergies com puted in the RFG.

In addition, we have for the action of $p_{1}$ on ${ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{(1)} \quad\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)$ when $\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}=\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2}=0$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{1}{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{(1)}=\mathrm{i}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q}) \quad \mathrm{i}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)+{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{q})+\mathrm{s}^{(1)} \mathrm{p}_{2} \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{b}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q})$ is given in E q $\left(\underline{2} . \overline{1} \overline{1}^{1}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{s}^{(1)}=\mathrm{J}_{3} \quad \mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{k} \underset{\mathrm{~F}}{(0)}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \quad \mathrm{p}_{2} \quad(\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{p})\left(2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{p}_{2} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{8} B\left(p_{1}\right)+B\left(p_{2}\right) q \tag{6.39}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith
Z
$B(p) \quad[d k] J_{1}(p ; k):$
 to the one-loop P T three-ghon vertex $b^{(1)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ constructed in $\left[\frac{13}{1}\right]$. N otice also that, unlike the tree-level case, now there will be a t-channel ghost (we will not report its closed expression here) and that the t-channel has been m odi ed also in order to achieve the P T one-loop rearrangem ent.

Thus we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2 s}^{[4] F}=(16 a)+(16 b)+(16 d)+(16 e) \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith (we suppress a comm on factor $g f{ }^{e} g f^{e a b} d(q)$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& (16 \mathrm{~b})={\underset{\mathrm{F}}{(1)} \quad\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) ;}^{(16 \mathrm{a})=\mathrm{b}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q})_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0)} \quad\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) ;} \\
& (16 \mathrm{~d})={\underset{\mathrm{F}}{(0)} \quad\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)}^{(1)} \quad\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right) ; \\
& (16 \mathrm{e})={\underset{\mathrm{F}}{(0)} \quad\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)}^{(1)} \quad\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and so, together $w$ ith the rst equation in (5.17)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[4] \mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}} & =(16 \mathrm{~b})+(16 \mathrm{~d})+(16 \mathrm{e}) \quad \mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}}+(16 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[2] \mathrm{F}} \\
& =(20 \mathrm{a})+(20 \mathrm{~b})+(20 \mathrm{~d})+(20 \mathrm{f})+(20 j)+(20 \mathrm{~g})+(20 \mathrm{~h})+\mathrm{C}_{2 \text { gluons }}(4 \mathrm{e}) \tag{6.43}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. we recover the two-ghon $C$ utkosky cuts of $e^{(2)}(q ; \Omega=1)(F i g 20)$, together $w$ ith the corresponding tw 0 -ghon cuts of the 1PR graph in Fig.4e, as we should. To account for the rem aining two-ghost $C$ utkosky cuts in $F$ ig 20 and F ig.4e we need, in addition to Eq. $(\overline{6}-\overline{3} \overline{7})$, the follow ing results

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p}_{1} & (16 \mathrm{a})=\mathrm{p}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q})+\mathrm{b}^{(1)}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{q})\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \\
\mathrm{p}_{1} & (16 \mathrm{~d})=\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{q}){ }^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{i}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right) ; \\
\mathrm{p}_{1} & (16 \mathrm{e})=0: \tag{6.44}
\end{array}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1} \quad(16 \mathrm{a})+(16 \mathrm{~b})+(16 \mathrm{~d})+(16 \mathrm{e}) \quad=\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{q}) \quad{ }^{(1)}+{ }^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)! \\
& +s^{(1)}+b^{(1)}(q) d(q)\left(p_{2} \quad p_{1}\right) \quad p_{2} \tag{6.45}
\end{align*}
$$

From the above results one $m$ ay im $m$ ediately deduce the closed form of $\left({ }_{2}^{[4] F}\right)^{a b}$ and $S_{2 s}^{[4] F} f 12 \mathrm{~g} \quad$ ab appearing in Eq. $(\overline{6} 2 \overline{2})$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{2 s}^{[4] F f 12 g^{a b}}=g f^{e} g f^{e a b} d(q) s^{(1)}+b^{(1)}(q) d(q)\left(p_{2} \quad p_{1}\right) \\
& \left({ }_{2}^{[4] F}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\quad \operatorname{igf}{ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{gf} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{eab}} \quad{ }^{(1)}+{ }^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \tag{6.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 S_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[4] \mathrm{F} 12 \mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{~S}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{[4] \mathrm{f} 21 \mathrm{~g}} & =2 \mathrm{~s}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}\right)+2^{\mathrm{b}(1)}(\mathrm{q})\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right. & \left.\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right. \\
\mathrm{p}_{1}
\end{array}\right) \quad! \\
& =(20 \mathrm{i})+(20 \mathrm{e})+(20 \mathrm{~m})+(20 \mathrm{n})+(20 \mathrm{q})+(20 \mathrm{r})+\mathrm{C}_{2 g h o s t s} \tag{6.47}
\end{align*}
$$

which concludes the proof of Eq ( $\overline{6} \overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{2})$.

$$
\text { B. T ree-level } q\left(k_{1}\right) q\left(k_{2}\right)!g\left(p_{1}\right) g\left(p_{2}\right) g\left(p_{3}\right) \text {, and the }{ }_{F}^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)
$$

$N$ ext we consider the tree-level am plitude for the process $q\left(k_{1}\right) q\left(k_{2}\right)!g\left(p_{1}\right) g\left(p_{2}\right) g\left(p_{3}\right)$, shown in $F$ ig 21 . This am plitude $m$ ust be appropriately rearranged, and it $w$ ill eventually fumish the im aginary parts corresponding to the three-particle C utcosky cuts of ${ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{(2)}$ (q) .
$W$ e start by presenting som e generalproperties of this am plitude. It is straightforw ard to verify that $T{ }^{\text {abc }}$ satis es the follow ing identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{3}^{\mathrm{abc}}=\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}^{£ 12 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{p}_{2}+\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}^{£ 13 g}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{p}_{3} ; \\
& \mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{3}^{\mathrm{abc}}=\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}^{£ 21 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{p}_{1}+\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}^{\mathrm{23g}}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{p}_{3} ; \\
& \mathrm{p}_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{3}^{\mathrm{abc}}=\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}^{£ 31 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{p}_{1}+\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}^{£ 32 g}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{p}_{2}: \tag{6.48}
\end{align*}
$$

B ose sym $m$ etry im poses the follow ing relations am ong the $S_{3}^{\text {fijg }}$ am plitudes $\left[\overline{3} \overline{5}_{1}^{1}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(S_{3}^{f i j g}\right)^{a_{i} a_{j} a \cdot}\left(p_{i} ; p_{j} ; p_{\bullet}\right)=\left(S_{3}^{f j i g}\right)^{a_{j} a_{i} a v}\left(p_{j} ; p_{i} ; p_{\bullet}\right) \\
& \left(S_{3}^{f i j g}\right)^{a_{i} a_{j} a v}\left(p_{i} ; p_{j} ; p_{\bullet}\right)=\left(S_{3}^{f i \cdot g}\right)^{a_{i} a \cdot a_{j}}\left(p_{i} ; p_{l} ; p_{j}\right) ; \tag{6.49}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}\left(S^{f j ` g}\right)^{a b c}=p_{j}\left(S^{f i} g\right)^{a b c} ; \quad \text { íj: } \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

These sets of identities guarantee that all dependence on the unphysical four-vector $n$ and the gauge param eter appearing in the polarization tensors will disappear from the nalexpression for $A{ }_{3}^{[6]}$.

The am plitude rearrangem ent is as follows ( F ig 21) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] F}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}}=g^{2} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{e} ;} \mathrm{gd}(\mathrm{q})_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0) \text { eabc }}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3}\right) \\
& \left(\mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3] \mathrm{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}}=\left(\mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3]}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \tag{6.51}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{F}^{(0) e a b c}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)=f^{e c x} f^{a b x} d\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)_{F}^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{3} ; p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{1} p_{2}\right) \\
& +f^{e b x} f^{a c x} d\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right){ }_{F}^{(0)}\left(q ; p_{2} ; p_{1}+p_{3}\right)^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{3} ; p_{1} p_{3}\right) \\
& +f^{e a x} f^{b c x} d\left(k_{2}+k_{3}\right)_{F}^{(0)} \quad\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}+p_{3}\right)^{(0)}\left(p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{2} p_{3}\right) \\
& +(0) \text { eabc } \tag{6.52}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to verify now that ${ }_{F}^{(0) \text { eabc }}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ is the analogue of ${ }_{F}^{(0) a b c}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ appearing in the rst equation of (5.17) for the case of three on-shellgluons. In particular, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
q \quad{ }_{F}^{(0) e a b c}\left(q ; p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)= & f^{e c x} f^{a b x} p_{3}^{2} d\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right){ }^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{1} p_{2}\right) \\
& f^{e b x} f^{a c x} p_{2}^{2} d\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right){ }^{(0)}\left(p_{1} ; p_{3} ; p_{1}\right. \\
& \left.f^{e a x} f_{3}\right)  \tag{6.53}\\
& f^{\text {bcx }} p_{1}^{2} d\left(k_{2}+k_{3}\right){ }^{(0)}\left(p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{2}\right. \\
& \left.p_{3}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

 of Eq. (2. $\mathbf{A}_{4}^{2}$ ). C learly, when $\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}=\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2}=\mathrm{p}_{3}^{2}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0) \text { eabc }}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3}\right)=0 ; \tag{6.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

exactly as happens in Eq. $(2.4)$.
In addition,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{p}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] F}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} & =\left(S_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] F 12 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{p}_{2}+\left(\mathrm{S}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] f 13 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{p}_{3}+\left({ }_{3}^{[3] F}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} ; \\
\mathrm{p}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3]}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} & =\left(\mathrm{S}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3] £ 12 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{p}_{2}+\left(\mathrm{S}_{3 t}^{[3] f 13 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{p}_{3} \quad\left({ }_{3}^{[3] F}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}} \tag{6.55}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{S}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] \mathrm{F} f 12 \mathrm{~g}}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}}=\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{m} ;} \operatorname{gd}(\mathrm{q})\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{mabc}}+\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{mabc}}+\left(\mathrm{Z}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{m} a b c} \tag{6.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(Z_{1}\right)^{m a b c}=g^{2} f^{m c x} f^{a b x} d\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) p_{2}{\underset{F}{(0)}\left(q ; p_{3} ; p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}_{\left(Z_{2}\right)^{m a b c}=g^{2} f^{m b x} f^{a c x} d\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)\left(2 p_{2}+q\right)\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right) \quad g}^{\left(Z_{3}\right)^{m a b c}=g^{2} f^{m a x} f^{b c x} d\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)\left(2 p_{1}+q\right) p_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left(S_{3 t}^{[3] f 12 g}\right)^{\text {abc }}=g^{3} v\left(k_{2}\right) \quad{ }^{c} S\left(k_{2}+p_{3}\right)^{e}+e \quad S\left(k_{1}+p_{3}\right) \quad{ }^{c} u\left(k_{1}\right) f^{e a b} d\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) p_{2}:
$$

A $m$ ed $w$ ith the above relations, it is then straightforw ard to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{[6]} & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} \quad \mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] F}+\mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3]} \quad \mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] F}+\mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3]} \quad 6 \mathrm{~S}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] F f 12 \mathrm{~g}}+\mathrm{S}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3] f 12 \mathrm{~g}} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] F f 21 \mathrm{~g}}+\mathrm{S}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3] f 21 \mathrm{~g}} \\
& =\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{[6]}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V}}^{[6]}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{B}}^{[6]} ; \tag{6.59}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

W e are now in position to prove that, indeed,

$$
(\quad) \quad(\quad)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{[6]}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{C}_{3} \quad \mathrm{~b}^{(2)}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{C}_{3} \quad \mathrm{e}^{(2)}(\mathrm{q} ; Q=1) ; \tag{6.61}
\end{equation*}
$$


To begin w th,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{12} \mathrm{~T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] F} \mathrm{~T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] \mathrm{F}}=(22 \mathrm{a})_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}+(22 \mathrm{a})_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}+(22 \mathrm{~b})+(22 \mathrm{c})+(22 \mathrm{~g})+(22 \mathrm{y}): \tag{6.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

 by virtue of the relations given in Eq. ( $6.4-1$ ). In particular,

Then it is straightforw ard to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{Z}_{1}^{0}=(22 \mathrm{~h}) ; \\
& \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{0}=(22 \mathrm{e})_{\mathrm{c}_{2}}+(22 \mathrm{k}) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(Z_{2}^{0}\right)^{\text {nabc }}=g^{2} f^{\text {nar }} f^{b c r} d\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)\left(2 p_{1}+q\right)\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right) \quad g \\
& \left(Z_{3}^{0}\right)^{\text {nabc }}=g^{2} f^{\mathrm{nbr}} f^{a c r} d\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{3}\right)\left(2 \mathrm{p}_{2}+\mathrm{q}\right) \mathrm{p}_{1}: \tag{6.63}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{[6]}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} \quad \mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] \mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] \mathrm{F}} \quad 6 \mathrm{~S}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] \mathrm{F} f 12 \mathrm{~g}} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] \mathrm{F} f 21 \mathrm{~g}} \quad \text {; } ; \\
& A_{V}^{[6]}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!}{ }_{\|} \mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] \mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~T}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3]} \quad 6 \mathrm{~S}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] \mathrm{F} f 12 \mathrm{~g}} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3] f 21 \mathrm{~g}} \underset{\#}{\#}+\mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3]} \mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] \mathrm{F}} \quad 6 \mathrm{~S}_{3 \mathrm{t}}^{[3] £ 12 \mathrm{~g}} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}^{[3] \mathrm{F} f 21 \mathrm{~g}} \quad \text {; } \quad \text {; } \\
& A_{B}^{[6]}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} \quad T_{3 t}^{[3]} T_{3 t}^{[3]} \quad 6 \quad S_{3 t}^{[3] F} f 12 g \quad S_{3 t}^{[3] f 21 g} \quad ; \tag{6.60}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{Z}_{3}^{0}=(22 \mathrm{e})_{\mathrm{C}_{1}} ; \\
& \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Z}_{2} \mathrm{Z}_{1}^{0}=(22 \mathrm{f})_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}+(22 \mathrm{j}) ; \\
& \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Z}_{2} \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{0}=(22 \mathrm{i})_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}+(22 \mathrm{~s})+(22 \mathrm{n})+(22 \mathrm{o}) ; \\
& \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Z}_{2} \mathrm{Z}_{3}^{0}=(22 \mathrm{q})+(22 \mathrm{~m}) ; \\
& \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Z}_{3} \mathrm{Z}_{1}^{0}=(22 \mathrm{f})_{\mathrm{C}_{2}} ; \\
& \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Z}_{3} \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{0}=(22 \mathrm{r})+(22 \mathrm{p}) ; \\
& \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Z}_{3} \mathrm{Z}_{3}^{0}=(22 \mathrm{i})_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}: \tag{6.64}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have accounted for all three-particle C utkosky cuts appearing in F ig 22 .
VII. D ISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have presented the generalization of the PT to two-loops for the case of $m$ ass-less $Y$ ang -M ills theories. T wo di erent, but com plem entary derivations have been presented. In the rst derivation we have follow ed the diagram $m$ atic approach em ployed in the one-loop case $\overline{1]}\{[]$, and have show $n$ how the $P$ properties are replicated in the next order. In the second we have pursued the dispersive P T construction established in [13, 12, and have show $n$ that the resulting structures are consistent $w$ ith those derived $w$ ith the rst $m$ ethod. $W e$ em phasize that throughout this entire analysis we have $m$ aintained a diagram $m$ atic intenpretation of the various contributions. In particular, no sub-integrations had to be carried out. This additional feature renders the $m$ ethod all the $m$ ore pow erfiul, because unitarity is $m$ anifest, and can be easily veri ed by $m$ eans of the $C$ utkosky cuts. The com bination of the tw o $m$ ethods constrains signi cantly the PT construction presented here, and restricts severely any possible deviations from it. $T$ he reader should be able to recognize, for exam ple, that any rearrangem ent of the (intemal) vertioes of tw o-loop box-diagram s (for exam ple, of the so-called $\backslash H$-diagram " discussed in the second paper of $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ ) cannot be reconciled w ith the argum ents of the sim ultaneous tw o- and three-particle C utkosky cuts presented in section VI. W hereas no strict proof has been given here that the PT construction developed in this paper is $m$ athem atically unique, we consider that as a very plausible possibility. N otice also that the appearance of characteristic one-loop structures inside the tw o-loop P T G reen's functions suggests the onset of an iterative pattem, which $m$ ay provide clues leading to the generalization of the PT algorithm to allorders in perturbation theory.
$T$ he generalization of the tw o-loop P T construction to the case of $Y$ ang -M ills theories $w$ ith spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking (H iggsm echanism) in general, and the electrow eak sector of the Standard M odel in particular, should proceed precisely according to the $m$ ethodology presented in this paper. E xcept for the additionalbook-keeping com plications stem $m$ ing from the presence of gauge-boson $m$ asses ( $m$ odi cations of $W$ I, appearance of seagull and tadpole term $s$, diagram s w ith w ould-be G oldstone bosons), no additional conceptual obstacles are expected.
$T$ he results of this paper clearly prove that the correspondence between PT and BFM FG [1] [1] persists at twoloops. N otioe that this proof is based on an a-posteriori com parison w ith a result established through the system atic diagram $m$ atic rearrangem ent of the physical $S-m$ atrix com puted in the renorm alizable gauges, rather than on an aprioriform alderivation at the levelof the B FM generating finctional. It w ould be clearly im portant to reach a deeper understanding of what singles out the value $\rho=1$. O ne possibility would be to look for special properties of the $B F M$ action at $Q=1$ " 5 d]. In such a case one could choose to avoid the com plications arising from renorm alization, since the correspondence is valid also for the super-renorm alizable 3-d QCD.

Just as happened in the one-loop case, the tw o-loop P T selfenergy de ned here lends itself as an essentialingredient for the extension of the notion of the QCD e ective charge $[\underline{W}$ one-loop case see [[] $]_{1}$ ), since it has precisely the sam e properties as the corresponding Q ED quantity, i.e. the vacuum polarization of the photon. First of all, the tw o-loop P T self-energy captures the leading logarithm sof the theory, ie. the prefactor of the logarithm is the second coe cient of the QCD function. Second, by virtue of the the QED like W I given in Eq. (4. 4 ) the com bination eff $(\mathrm{q} ; ~) \quad \mathrm{g}^{2}()^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{q}=)$, where $\left.\mathrm{b}_{(\mathrm{q}=1}\right)=\left[1 \quad \mathrm{~b}{ }^{(1)}(\mathrm{q}=) \quad \mathrm{b}(2)(\mathrm{q}=)\right]^{1}$ is a renorm alization group invariant quantity. Third, it has by construction the correct unitarity structure [14]. W hile the eff de ned above appears as the obvious candidate, a detailed study needs be carried out in order to determ ine
whether or not there are any eld-theoretical obstructions [52'] which would prevent the realization of the two-loop construction corresponding to the QCD e ective charge.

In this context notice also that the construction presented here determ ines uniquely the constant term of the tw oloop e ective charge (w ithin a given renorm alization schem e) [53']. To determ ine its actual value one should go beyond the two-loop calculation presented in [9] , and com pute the Feynm an diagram sof F ig. 9 keeping also constant term s [ $54{ }_{2}^{\prime}$ ']. K now ledge of this constant term is im portant when the QCD e ective charge is used in the eld of renorm alon calculus; in particular, it w ould be free of the am biguities infesting the estim ates of the renorm alon contributions to physical observables [17,

In addition, it is well-known [5] [ BFM keeping $\&$ arbitrary, the resulting $Q$-dependent term would be a constant, i.e. it would not a ect the coe cient of the logarithm. W hile in such a case the residualgauge-dependence could be thought of as a renorm alization-schem e am biguity, i.e. it could be re-absorbed in the wave-fiunction renorm alization of the (background) gluon, this is not possible when the gauge elds are $m$ assive. In that case unitarity is even $m$ ore constraining; as is known from the studies on the one-loop electro-w eak e ective charges structure of the answ er, giving rise to unphysical thresholds.
$T$ he calculations presented in section V I constitute the rst dispersive derivation of the tw o-loop QCD function. In this analysis we have $m$ ade use of the one-to-one correspondence betw een the physical $\mathrm{S} m$ atrix elem ents and the C utkosky cuts of the tw o-loop P T self-energy. This construction involves a very particular com bination of one-loop (section $V \mathbb{I A}$ ) and tree-levelgraphs (section $V \mathbb{B}$ ); in addition to fiumishing the correct function coe cient, a subtle cancellation of infrared divergences also takes place: while both sets of graphs are infrared divergent, they com bine to give a infrared nite answer. This can be directly inferred from the sim ple observation that the Cutkosky cutting procedure we have em ployed am ounts nally to the determ ination of the im aginary part of a single logarithm, nam ely that of the two-loop selfenergy; the latter is infrared nite $\left.[5]_{1}^{\prime}, 581\right]$. W hile the $C$ utkosky form alism fumishes an intuitive diagram $m$ atic understanding and a valuable calculational short-cut, it would be interesting to reproduce the results of section IV w ithout resorting to it. In particular one could study the precise cancellation mechanism of the infrared divergences using a proper infrared regularization schem e, and explicit expressions for the two-and three-gluon phase-space, which we have not needed here. In addition, it would be interesting to attem pt a sim ilar tw o-loop derivation using the form alism developed in $\left[{ }_{5}^{5}{ }_{1}^{1}\right]$, and study possible connections.

It has been often advocated that the non-perturbative $Q C D$ e ects can be reliably captured at an inclusive levellby $m$ eans of an infrared nite quantity, which would constitute the extension of the perturbative $Q C D$ running coupling to low energy scales [ $[6 \bar{d}]$ E arly results by $C$ omw allb based on the study of gauge invariant Schw inger-D yson equations $[\underline{B}]$ involving this quantity suggest that such a description can in fact be derived from rst principles. A ccording to this analysis, the self-interaction of ghons give rise to a dynam icalghon $m$ ass, while preserving at the sam e tim e the local gauge sym $m$ etry of the theory. The presence of the ghon $m$ ass saturates the running of the Q CD coupling; so, instead of increasing inde nitely in the infrared as perturbation theory predicts, it \freezes" at a nite value [3N. It would be interesting to revisit this issue in the light of the results derived in the present paper. For exam ple, one could study the structure of the gauge-invariant Schw inger-D yson equation for the PT gluon selfenergy, and in particular the way the PT three-ghon vertex ${ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{(1)}$ enters in the PT gluon selfenergy, using the two loop results as a guidance. In doing so one could hope to system atically im prove on the analysis of $\left.\bar{p}_{1}^{1}\right]$, where the gauge-technique
 inside the tw o-loop P T ghon selfenergy in term s of ${ }^{(1)}$; one should then intenpret the em erging residual term $s$ as parts of the tw o-loop selfenergy, even though they appear to be pinch-like [ $[62 \mathrm{Z}$ ] $]$, i.e. once the $\mathrm{P} T$ selfenergy has been xed it $m$ ay be recast into a di erent form, but no pieces should be re-assigned to vertices or boxes.
F inally it w ould be interesting to pursue a connection with other eld-or string-theoreticalm ethods [63 in order to acquire a m ore form al understanding of the P $T$, or in order to com bine various attem pts into a coherent fram ew ork.
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## $F$ igure $C$ aptions

Fig.1: C arrying out the fundam ental vertex decom position inside the non-abelian Feynm an graph contributing to ${ }^{(2)}$ (a), gives rise to a genuine vertex (b) and a self-enery-like contribution (c).

Fig. 2: The diagram matic representation of $T$ one-loop selfenergy ${ }^{(1)}$, as the sum of the conventionalself-energy ${ }^{(1)}$, graphs (a) and (b), and the pinch contributions com ing from the vertices (c).

Fig. 3: The P T one-loop vertex ${ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{(1)}$.

Fig.4: T he one-particle reducible graphsbefore $[(\mathrm{a}),(\mathrm{b}),(\mathrm{c}),(\mathrm{d})]$ and after $[(\mathrm{e}),(\mathrm{f}),(\mathrm{g}),(\mathrm{h})]$ the P T rearrangem ent. $G^{(1)}$ and $b^{(1)}$ denote respectively the conventional and P T one-loop vertioes $w$ ith one-loop self-energy corrections to the extemal ferm ions included.

Fig.5: The P T rearrangem ent of typical one-particle reducible graph (a), giving rise to its PT counterpart ( $a^{\mathrm{P}}$ ), and to contributions to the rst term of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)}$ (c) and to $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{P}}^{(2)}$ (b).

Fig.6: The result of enforcing the P T decom position on the extemal vertices of som e of the tw o-loop Feynm an diagram s contributing the conventional tw o-loop quark-ghon vertex ${ }^{(2)}$.

Fig.7: The result of enforcing the P T decom position on the extemal vertices of som e of the rem aining two-loop vertex graphs.

Fig. $8:$ The Feynm an diagram s contributing to the conventionaltwo-loop ghon selfenergy ${ }^{(2)}$, in the $R$ gauges.
Fig.9: The Feynm an diagram scontributing to the BFM two-loop ghon self-energy ${ }^{(2)}$.

F ig. 10 : The one-loop counterterm s contributing to the conventional tw o-loop ghon self-energy ${ }^{(2)}$ :

Fig.11: The one-loop counterterm s necessary for the tw o-loop ghon selfenergy $\mathrm{b}^{(2)}$; they are identical to those needed for the BFM two-loop ghon selfenergy $\mathrm{e}^{(2)}$.

Fig. 12 : The one-loop counterterm s necessary to cancell the sub-divergences inside the conventionaltw o-loop quarkghon vertex ${ }^{(2)}$. In the case ofm assive ferm ions the wave-function counterterm $K_{2}^{(1)}$ should be accom panied by the appropriate $m$ ass counterterm (not show $n$ ).

Fig.13: The one-loop counterterm s necessary to cancell the sub-divergences inside the P T tw o-loop quark-gluon vertex $\mathrm{b}^{(2)}$; they are identical to those neede for the BFM tw o-loop quark-gluon vertex ${ }^{(2)}$.

Fig.14: The fundam entalBR S-enforced cancellation of $s$-channel (a) and t-channel $\left[\left(d_{1}\right)\right.$ and $\left.\left(d_{2}\right)\right]$ contributions, instrum ental for the absorptive $\operatorname{PT}$ construction. G raph (b) gives rise to the correct ghost-structure.

Fig. 15: T he Cutkosky cuts of the P T (and BFM FG) one-loop ghon selfenergy.
Fig.16: The one-loop am plitude for the process $q\left(k_{1}\right) q\left(k_{2}\right)!g\left(p_{1}\right) g\left(p_{2}\right)$, after the $P T$ rearrangem ent.
Fig.17: T he Feynm an diagrans contributing to the conventionalone-loop three-gluon vertex (1)

Fig.18: The diagram $m$ atic representation of the one-loop three-ghon vertex ${ }_{F}^{(1)}$.

Fig.19: Som e of the one-loop t-channelgraphs contributing to $q\left(k_{1}\right) q\left(k_{2}\right)!g\left(p_{1}\right) g\left(p_{2}\right)$.
Fig.20: The two-particle C utkosky cuts of the PT (and BFM FG) two-loop ghon selfenergy. W e have used the sam e labelling of individual diagram sas in Fig. 9. T he tw o upper (low er) row show graphs where two ghon (ghost) lines have been cut.

Fig.21: The tree-level graphs contributing to the process $q\left(k_{1}\right) q\left(k_{2}\right)!g\left(p_{1}\right) g\left(p_{2}\right) g\left(p_{3}\right)$, after the P T rearrangem ent.

Fig. 22 : The three-particle C utkosky cuts of the P T (and BFM FG) tw o-loop ghon selfenergy. W e have used the sam e labelling of individual diagram $s$ as in $F$ ig. 9. T he rst ve graphs have three-ghon cuts, the next tw o have tw o-gluon-one-ghost cuts, while the rem aining ones have one-gluon-two-ghost cuts.
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