Bounds on CPT and Lorentz Violation from Experiments with Kaons

V.Alan Kostelecky ^y

IJHET 411, July 1999

A bstract

Possible signals for indirect CPT violation arising in experiments with neutral kaons are considered in the context of a general CPT – and Lorentz-violating standard-model extension. Certain CPT observables can depend on the meson momentum and exhibit sidereal variations in time. Any leading-order CPT violation would be controlled by four parameters that can be separately constrained in appropriate experiments. Recent experiments bound certain combinations of these parameters at the level of about 10 20 GeV.

Experiments using neutral-meson oscillations can place constraints of remarkable precision on possible violations of CPT invariance. For kaons, recent results [1, 2, 3] bound the CPT gure of merit r_K jm $_K$ m $_{\overline{K}}$ jm $_K$ to less than a part in 10^{18} . O ther experiments [4, 5, 6] are expected to improve this bound in the near future. Experiments with neutral-B mesons [7, 8] have also placed high-precision constraints on possible CPT violation, and the B and charm factories should produce additional bounds on the heavy neutral-meson system s.

A purely phenom enological treatm ent of possible CPT violation in the kaon system has been known for some time [9]. In this approach, a complex phenom enological parameter $_{\rm K}$ allowing for indirect CPT violation is introduced in the standard relationships between the physical meson states and the strong-interaction eigenstates. No information about $_{\rm K}$ itself can be obtained within this framework. However, over the past ten years a plausible theoretical framework allowing the possibility of CPT violation has been developed. It involves the notion of spontaneous breaking of CPT and Lorentz symmetry in a fundamental theory [10], perhaps arising at the Planck scale from e ects in a quantum theory of gravity or string theory,

Invited talk at KAON '99, Chicago, Illinois, June 1999

^yPhysics Department, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, U.S.A.

and it is compatible both with established quantum eld theory and with present experimental constraints. At low energies, a general CPT- and Lorentz-violating standard-model extension emerges that preserves gauge invariance and renormalizability [11, 12] and that provides an underlying basis for the phenom enology of CPT violation in the kaon system. The resulting situation is comparable to that for conventional CP violation, where the nonzero value of the phenom enological parameter $_{\rm K}$ for T violation in the kaon system can in principle be calculated from the usual standard model of particle physics [13, 14].

In this talk, the prim ary interest is in the application of the standardm odel extension to CPT tests with kaons. However, the standard-m odel extension also provides a quantitative m icroscopic fram ework for CPT and Lorentz violation that can be used to evaluate and compare a wide variety of other experiments [15]. These include tests with heavy neutral-meson systems [7, 8, 11, 16, 17], studies of fermions in Penning traps [18, 19, 20, 21, 22], constraints on photon birefringence and radiative QED e ects [12, 23, 24, 25], hydrogen and antihydrogen spectroscopy [26, 27], clockcomparison experiments [28, 29], measurements of muon properties [30], cosm ic-ray and neutrino tests [31], and baryogenesis [32].

D eveloping a plausible theoretical fram ework for CPT violation without radical revisions of established quantum eld theory is a di cult proposition [15, 33]. It is therefore perhaps to be expected that in the context of the standard-model extension the parameter $_{\rm K}$ displays features previously unexpected, including dependence on momentum magnitude and orientation. The implications include, for instance, time variations of the measured value of $_{\rm K}$ with periodicity of one sidereal (not solar) day [17].

F irst, consider som e general theoretical features relevant for oscillations in any neutral-meson system. Denote generically the strong-interaction eigenstate by P^0 , where P^0 is one of K^0 , D^0 , B^0_d , B^0_s , and denote the opposite-avor antiparticle by $\overline{P^0}$. Then a neutral-m eson state is a linear combination of the Schrodinger wave function for P^0 and $\overline{P^0}$. The time evolution of the associated two-component object state is given [9] in term s of a 2 2 e ective ham iltonian by $i\theta_t = .$ The physical propagating states are the eigenstates ${\tt P}_{\rm S}\,$ and ${\tt P}_{\rm L}\,$ of $\,$. They have eigenvalues $\frac{1}{2}i_{S}$ and $L = m_{L} = \frac{1}{2}i_{L}$, respectively, where m_{S} , m_{L} are m_s S the propagating m asses and $_{\rm S}$, $_{\rm L}$ are the associated decay rates. F lavor oscillations between P^0 and $\overline{P^0}$ are controlled by the o -diagonal com ponents of , while indirect CPT violation [34] occurs if and only if the diagonal elements of have a nonzero di erence 11 22 € 0. Writ- $\frac{1}{2}$ i, where M and are herm itian, the condition for ing as М CPT violation becomes M $\frac{1}{2}$ i \neq 0, where M M ₁₁ M ₂₂ and 11 22 •

A perturbative calculation in the general standard-m odel extension pro-

vides the dom inant CPT -violating contributions to [10]. It turns out that the herm iticity of the perturbing ham iltonian enforces = 0 at leading order. The leading-order signal therefore arises in the di erence M, and so the standard gure of merit

$$r_{\rm P} \qquad \frac{jm_{\rm P}}{m_{\rm P}} = \frac{jMj}{m_{\rm P}}$$
(1)

provides a complete description of the magnitude of the dominant CPT-violating e ects. An explicit expression for M in terms of quantities in the standard-model extension is known [11, 17]. For several reasons, its form turns out to be relatively simple,

Here, = $(1; \sim)$ is the four-velocity of the meson state in the observer frame and a is a combination of CPT – and Lorentz-violating coupling constants for the two valence quarks in the P⁰ meson. Note that the oscillation experiments considered here provide the only known sensitivity to a . Note also that the velocity dependence and the corresponding momentum dependence of M is compatible with the anticipated substantial modi cations to standard physics if the CPT theorem is violated.

The experim ental implications of momentum dependence in observables for CPT violation are substantial. E ects can be classi ed according to whether they arise primarily from a dependence on the magnitude of the boost or from the variation with its direction [17]. The dependence on momentum magnitude implies the possibility of increasing the CPT reach by changing the meson boost and even the possibility of increasing sensitivity by restricting attention to a momentum subrange in a given dataset. The dependence on momentum direction implies variation of observables with the beam direction for collimated mesons, variation with the meson angular distribution for other situations, and sidereal e ects arising from the rotation of the Earth relative to the constant 3-vector a. In actual experiments the momentum and angular dependences are frequently used to determ ine detector properties and experim ental system atics, so there is a de nite risk of cancelling or averaging away CPT -violating e ects. How ever, the detection of a momentum dependence in observables would be a unique feature of CPT violation. There are also new possibilities for data analysis. For instance, measurements of an observable can be binned according to sidereal time to search for possible time variations as the Earth rotates.

The above discussion holds for any neutral-meson system. For de – niteness, the remainder of this talk considers the special case of kaons. The parameter $_{\rm K}$, which is electively a phase-independent quantity, can

be de ned through the relationship between the eigenstates of the strong interaction and those of the e ective ham iltonian:

Assuming that all CP violation is small, $_{\rm K}$ is in general given as

$$_{\rm K}$$
 =2 ; (4)

where s_{L} is the eigenvalue di erence of . In term s of the mass and decay-rate di erences m m m_{L} m s_{S} and s_{L} , it follows that = m $\frac{1}{2}i$ = ime $i^{-}=sin^{-}$, where int^{-} tan $i^{-}(2m =)$. In the context of the standard-m odel extension, the above expressions show that a m eson with velocity $\tilde{}$ and corresponding boost factor displays CPT-violating e ects given by

$$_{K} \quad isin e^{i} \quad (a_{0} \quad a) = m \quad : \qquad (5)$$

The conventional gure of $m \operatorname{erit} r_K$ becomes

$$r_{K} \qquad \frac{jm_{K} m_{\overline{K}} j}{m_{K}} \frac{2m}{m_{K} \sin^{2} j_{K} j}$$
$$\frac{ja_{j}}{m_{K}} \qquad (6)$$

A fler substitution for the known experim ental values [35] for m , m $_{\rm K}$, and sin ^, this gives

$$r_{K}$$
 ' 2 10¹⁴ j_K j' 2 $\frac{a}{1 \text{ GeV}}$: (7)

A constraint on $j_{\rm K}$ jof about 10 4 corresponds to a limit on j $\,$ a jof about 10 18 GeV .

The dependence of the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of on M $_{11}$ and M $_{22}$ raises the possibility of leading-orderm on entum dependence in the parameter $_{\rm K}$, in the m asses and decay rates m $_{\rm S}$, m $_{\rm L}$, $_{\rm S}$, $_{\rm L}$, and in various associated quantities such as m, , ^. However, this possible dependence is in fact absent because the CPT-violating contribution from M $_{22}$ is the negative of that from M $_{11}$, and only $_{\rm K}$ is sensitive to M at leading order. Thus, for example, the usual parameter $_{\rm K}$ for indirect T violation is independent of m on entum in the present fram ework [36].

The expressions obtained above can be viewed as de ned in the laboratory frame. To exhibit the time dependence of $_{\rm K}$ arising from the rotation of the Earth, a di erent and nonrotating fram e is useful [29]. A basis $(\hat{X}; \hat{Y}; \hat{Z})$ for this frame can be introduced in terms of celestial equatorial coordinates. The \hat{Z} axis is de ned as the rotation axis of the Earth, while \hat{X} has declination and right ascension 0 and \hat{Y} has declination 0 and right ascension 90. This provides a right-handed orthonorm albasis that is independent of any particular experiment. Denote the spatial basis in the laboratory fram e as $(\hat{x}; \hat{y}; \hat{z})$, where \hat{z} and \hat{Z} dier by a nonzero angle given by $\cos = \hat{z} \cdot \hat{z}$. Then, \hat{z} precesses about \hat{z} with the Earth's sidereal frequency . A convenient choice of \hat{z} axis is often along the beam direction. If the origin of time t = 0 is taken such that $\hat{z}(t = 0)$ is in the rst quadrant of the $\hat{X} - \hat{Z}$ plane and if \hat{x} is dened perpendicular to \hat{z} and lies in the $\hat{z} - \hat{Z}$ plane for all t, then a right-handed orthonorm al basis can be com pleted with $\dot{\gamma} = \dot{z}$ \dot{x} . It follows that $\dot{\gamma}$ lies in the plane of the Earth's equator and is perpendicular to \hat{Z} at all times. D is regarding relativistic elects due to the rotation of the Earth, a nonrelativistic transform ation (given by Eq. (16) of Ref. [29]) provides the conversion between the two bases.

Using the above results, one can obtain in the nonrotating frame an expression for the parameter $_{\rm K}$ in the general case of a kaon with three-velocity $\sim =$ (sin cos; sin sin; cos). Here, and are standard spherical polar coordinates specified in the laboratory frame about the \hat{z} axis. If \hat{z} coincides with the beam axis, the spherical polar coordinates can be taken as the usual polar coordinates for a detector. One nds

where $(p) = \frac{q}{1 + pf} = m_K^2$ and $(p) = pf = m_K^2$ (p), as usual. This expression has direct in plications for experiment. For example, the complex phase of $_K$ is itexp (i[^]), independent of momentum and time. The real and in aginary parts of $_K$ therefore exhibit the same momentum and time dependence, and so Re $_K$ and Im $_K$ scale proportionally when a meson is boosted. Another property of Eq. (8) is the variation of the CPT -violating e ects with the meson boost. For example, if a $_0 = 0$ in the laboratory frame then there is no CPT violation for a meson at rest but e ects appear when the meson is boosted. In contrast, for the case where a = 0 in the laboratory frame, CPT violation is enhanced by the boost factor relative

to a meson at rest. O ther implications follow from the angular dependence in Eq. (8) and from the variation of $_{\rm K}$ with sidereal time t. For example, under some circum stances all CPT violation can average to zero if, as usual, neither angular separation nor time binning are performed.

The momentum and time dependence given by Eq. (8) implies that the experimental setup and data-taking procedure a ect the CPT reach. Space restrictions here preclude consideration of all the dierent classes of scenario realized in practice. Instead, attention is restricted here to a single one, typied by the E773 and KTeV experiments [1, 37]. This class of experiment, which involves highly collimated uncorrelated kaons having nontrivial momentum spectrum and large mean boost, is particularly relevant here because the KTeV collaboration announced at this conference the rst constraints on the sidereal-time dependence of CPT observables in the kaon system [2]. A discussion of some issues relevant to other types of experiment can be found in Ref. [17].

The KTeV experiment involves kaons with '1 and average boost factor of order 100. For this case, $\hat{z} = \cos$ '0.6. In all experiments with boosted collimated kaons, Eq. (8) simplies because the kaon three-velocity in the laboratory frame can be taken as ~ = (0;0;). The expression for K becomes

$$_{K} (p;t) = \frac{i \sin^{2} e^{i}}{m} [a_{0} + a_{z} \cos + \sin (a_{y} \sin t + a_{x} \cos t)];$$
(9)

In this equation, each of the four components of a has momentum dependence through the boost factor . However, only the coe cients of a $_X$ and a $_Y$ vary with sidereal time.

To gain insight into the implications of Eq. (9), consider rst a conventional analysis that seeks to constrain the magnitude j_K jbut disregards the momentum and time dependence. A sum ing the experiment is performed over an extended time period, as is typically the case, the relevant quantity is the time and momentum average of Eq. (9):

$$\frac{1}{j_{K}} j = \frac{\sin^{-}}{m} (a_{0} + a_{Z} \cos) ; \qquad (10)$$

where and are appropriate averages of and , respectively, taken over the momentum spectrum of the data. Substitution of the experimental quantities and the current constraint on j_{K} j from this class of experiment perm its the extraction of a bound on a combination of a $_{0}$ and a $_{z}$ [17]:

$$ja_0 + 0:6 a_z j < 10^{20} \text{ GeV}$$
 : (11)

The ratio of this to the kaon m ass compares favorably with the ratio of the kaon m ass to the Planck scale. Note that the CPT reach of this class of

experim ents is some two orders of magnitude greater than m ight be inferred from the bound on $r_{\!K}$, due to the presence of the boost factor $^-$ ' 100.

In experiments with kaon oscillations, the bounds obtained on $_{\rm K}$ are extracted from measurements on other observables including, for instance, the mass difference m, the K $_{\rm S}$ lifetime $_{\rm S}$ = 1= $_{\rm S}$, and the ratios $_{+}$, $_{00}$ of am plitudes for 2 decays. The latter are defined by

$$\frac{A (K_{L}! +)}{A (K_{S}! +)} j_{+} je^{i_{+}} + {}^{0};$$

$$\frac{A (K_{L}! -)}{A (K_{S}! +)} j_{00} je^{i_{00}} 2^{0};$$
(12)

A dopting the W u-Y ang phase convention [38], it follows that $_{\rm K}$ $_{\rm K}$ [39, 40]. Experimentally, it is known that j j' 2 10³ [35] and that j⁰j' 6 10⁶ [41]. Since $_{\rm K}$ is bounded only to about 10⁴ it is acceptable at present to neglect ⁰, equivalent to assuming the hierarchy j_K j> j_K j> j⁰j. Noting that the phases of $_{\rm K}$ and $_{\rm K}$ dier by 90 [42] then gives

$$j_{+} \dot{p}^{i_{+}} j_{00} \dot{p}^{i_{00}} \kappa \kappa$$

 $(j_{\kappa} j_{+} i j_{\kappa}) e^{i_{-}} :$ (13)

This im plies

+

$$j_{+} j j_{00} j j_{K} j(1 + O (j_{K} = {}_{K} j^{2}) ;$$

$$+ 0_{00} + j_{K} = {}_{K} j ;$$
(14)

which shows that leading-order momentum and time dependences in measured quantities appear only in the phases $_{+}$ and $_{00}$. The momentum and time dependences are absent or suppressed in other observables, including j₊ j j $_{00}$ j⁰, m, ^, and $_{\rm S} = 1 = _{\rm S}$.

Substituting for $_{\rm K}$ in $_+$ and $_{00}$, yields expressions displaying explicitly the time and momentum dependences:

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \uparrow + \frac{\sin^2}{j_+ jm} \left[a_0 + a_z \cos \right] \\ & & + \sin^2 \left(a_y \sin t + a_x \cos t \right) \right]; \quad (15) \end{array}$$

Since the coe cients of each of the four components a_0 , a_X , a_Y , a_Z are all distinct, this equation shows that in principle each component can be independently bounded in the class of experiments involving collimated kaons with a nontrivial momentum spectrum. Thus, binning in time and tting to sine and cosine terms would allow independent constraints on a_X and a_Y , while a time-averaged analysis would permit the extraction of a_0 and a_Z provided the momentum spectrum includes a signi cant

range of \sim . Note, however, that the latter separation is unlikely to be possible at experiments with high mean boost because then ' 1 over much of the momentum range.

A constraint $A_+ < 0.5$ on the amplitude A_+ of time variations of the phase $_+$ with sidereal periodicity was announced at this conference [2]. Equation (15) shows that A_+ is given by

$$A_{+} = \frac{\sin^{2} \sin^{2} q}{j_{+} jm} (a_{X})^{2} + (a_{X})^{2} : \qquad (16)$$

Substitution for known quantities and for the experimental constraint on $A_{+} \quad \text{places the bound}$

$$q \frac{1}{(a_x)^2 + (a_y)^2} < 10^{20} \text{ GeV}$$
 (17)

on the relevant parameters for CPT violation. Like the bound (11), the ratio of this bound to the kaon m ass compares favorably with the ratio of the kaon m ass to the Planck scale. Note that the bounds (11) and (17) represent independent constraints on possible CPT violation. Note also that in principle a constraint on the phase of the sidereal variations of $_{+}$, determined by the ratio $a_{\rm Y} = a_{\rm X}$, would permit the separation of $a_{\rm X}$ and $a_{\rm Y}$.

The examples discussed in this talk show that the study of momentum and time dependence in CPT observables is necessary to obtain the fullCPT reach in a given experiment. A dditional interesting results would emerge from careful analyses for experiments other than the ones considered here. Moreover, although emphasis has been given to the kaon system, related analyses in other neutral-meson systems would be well worth pursuing.

References

- E 773 collaboration, B. Schwingenheuer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 4376. See also E 731 collaboration, L K. Gibbons et al., Phys. Rev.D 55 (1997) 6625; R. Carosi et al., Phys. Lett. B 237 (1990) 303.
- [2] K TeV collaboration, presented by Y B. H siung, these proceedings
- [3] CPLEAR collaboration, presented by P.Bloch, these proceedings.
- [4] P. Franzini, in G. Diambrini-Palazzi, C. Cosmelli, L. Zanello, eds., Phenomenology of Unication from Present to Future, World Scientic, Singapore, 1998; P. Franzini and J. Lee Franzini, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 71 (1999) 478.

- [5] KLOE collaboration, presented by A. Antonelli, these proceedings; presented by S.DiFalco, these proceedings.
- [6] See, for example, C. Bhat et al., preprint FERM ILAB-P-0894 (1998).
- [7] OPAL Collaboration, R. Ackersta et al., Z. Phys. C 76 (1997) 401.
- [8] DELPHI Collaboration, M. Feindt et al., preprint DELPHI 97-98 CONF 80 (1997).
- [9] See, for example, T D. Lee and C S. W u, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 16 (1966) 511.
- [10] V A.Kostelecky and S.Samuel, Phys.Rev.Lett. 63 (1989) 224; ibid.,
 66 (1991) 1811; Phys.Rev.D 39 (1989) 683; ibid., 40 (1989) 1886;
 V A.Kostelecky and R.Potting, Nucl.Phys.B 359 (1991) 545; Phys.
 Lett.B 381 (1996) 89.
- [11] V A.Kostelecky and R.Potting, Phys.Rev.D 51 (1995) 3923.
- [12] D.Colladay and V A.Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 6760; ibid., 58 (1998) 116002.
- [13] The discrete symmetries C, P, T and their combinations are discussed in, for example, R G. Sachs, The Physics of T im e Reversal, University of Chicago P ress, Chicago, 1987.
- [14] For a review, see B.W instein and L.W olfenstein, Rev.M od.Phys.65 (1993) 1113.
- [15] See, for example, V A. Kostelecky, ed., CPT and Lorentz Symmetry, W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1999.
- [16] V A. Kostelecky and R. Potting, in D B. Cline, ed., Gamma Ray{ Neutrino Cosmology and Planck Scale Physics (World Scientic, Singapore, 1993) (hep-th/9211116); D. Colladay and V A. Kostelecky, Phys. Lett. B 344 (1995) 259; Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 6224; V A. Kostelecky and R. Van Kooten, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 5585.
- [17] V A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 1818; Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 16002.
- [18] P.B. Schwinberg, R.S. Van Dyck, Jr., and H.G. Dehm elt, Phys. Lett.
 A 81 (1981) 119; Phys. Rev. D 34 (1986) 722; L.S. Brown and G.
 Gabrielse, Rev. M od. Phys. 58 (1986) 233; R.S. Van Dyck, Jr., P.B.
 Schwinberg, and H.G. Dehm elt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 26; G.
 Gabrielse et al., ibid., 74 (1995) 3544.

- [19] R.Bluhm, VA.Kostelecky and N.Russell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 1432; Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 3932.
- [20] G.Gabrielse et al., in Ref. [15]; Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 3198.
- [21] H.Dehmelt et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4694.
- [22] R.M ittlem an, I. Ioannou, and H.Dehm elt, in Ref. [15]; R.M ittlem an et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 2116.
- [23] SM. Carroll, GB. Field, and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 1231.
- [24] R. Jackiw and V.A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 3572.
- [25] M.Perez-Victoria, Phys.Rev.Lett.83 (1999) 2518; JM.Chung, Phys. Lett. B 461 (1999) 138.
- [26] M. Charlton et al., Phys. Rep. 241 (1994) 65; J. Eades, ed., Antihydrogen, J.C. Baltzer, Geneva, 1993.
- [27] R.Bluhm, V.A.Kostelecky and N.Russell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2254.
- [28] V W .Hughes, H G. Robinson, and V.Beltran-Lopez, Phys.Rev.Lett.
 4 (1960) 342; R W P.D rever, Philos.M ag.6 (1961) 683; JD.P restage et al., Phys. Rev.Lett. 54 (1985) 2387; SK.Lam oreaux et al., Phys. Rev.Lett. 57 (1986) 3125; Phys.Rev.A 39 (1989) 1082; T E.Chupp et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 1541; C J.Berglund et al., Phys. Rev.Lett. 75 (1995) 1879.
- [29] V A. Kostelecky and C D. Lane, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 116010; J. M ath. Phys. 40 (1999) 6245.
- [30] R.Bluhm, VA.Kostelecky and CD.Lane, Phys.Rev.Lett., in press, hep-ph/9912451.
- [31] S.Colem an and S.G lashow, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 116008.
- [32] O.Bertolamietal, Phys. Lett. B 395 (1997) 178.
- [33] The possibility that unconventional quantum mechanics in the kaon system might generate CPT violation is discussed in J.Ellis et al., Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 3846, where it is shown that the resulting e ects can be separated from $_{\rm K}$.
- [34] D irect CPT violation in the decay am plitudes is neglected in this talk because it is expected to be unobservable in the standard-m odel extension [11].

- [35] Review of Particle Properties, Eur. Phys. J.C 3 (1998) 1.
- [36] The possibility of a relatively large momentum dependence for T violation is considered in, for example, J.S. Bell and J.K. Perring, Phys. Rev.Lett.13 (1964) 348; S.H. A ronson et al., Phys. Rev.D 28 (1983) 495.
- [37] J.Adam set al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 4093.
- [38] T.T.Wu and C.N.Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 380.
- [39] V.V.Barm in et al, Nucl. Phys. B 247 (1984) 293.
- [40] N W . Tanner and R H . D alitz, Ann. Phys. 171 (1986) 463.
- [41] K TeV collaboration, A.A laviH aratiet al., preprint EFI 99-25 (1999).
 See also NA 31 collaboration, G D.Barretal, Phys.Lett.B 317 (1993)
 233; E 731 collaboration, L K. G ibbons et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 1203.
- [42] See, for example, C D. Buchanan et al., Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 4088.