# A diabatic G ravitational Perturbation D uring R eheating

W B.Lin<sup>1</sup>, X H.M eng<sup>2</sup> and X inm in Zhang<sup>3;1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academ y of Science, PO Box 918-4, Beijing 100039, P.R. China;

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, P.R.China;

CCAST (W orld Lab), PO Box 8370, Beijing 100080, P.R.China

We study the possibilities of parametric amplication of the gravitational perturbation during reheating in single-eld in ation models. Our result shows that there is no additional grow the of the super-horizon modes beyond the usual predictions.

## I. IN TRODUCTION

A swas realized in [1] that parametric resonance instability occurs during reheating period when the in aton eld oscillates. Since gravitational perturbation is coupled to the in aton by the E instein equation, it may also experience parametric resonance amplication during this stage. This issue has been studied in Refs [2] and [3], and recently re-exam ined by F inelli and B randenberger [4].

The gravitational potential can be calculated by solving the linearized E instein equation, however, in the case of the adiabatic perturbation with scales far outside the Hubble radius (the wavenum ber k ! 0), it is convenient to work with Bardeen parameter,

$$\frac{2}{3} \frac{+H^{-1}}{1+w} + :$$
 (1)

In Eq.(1) the dot denotes the derivative with respective to time, H is the Hubble expansion rate and w = p = is the ratio of the pressure to the density of the background. In the limit of k ! 0, the Bardeen parameter satis es [5,6]

$$\frac{3}{2}(1+w)H = 0:$$
 (2)

During the stage of reheating, Eq. (2) becomes [6]:

$$-2^{2} -= 0$$
: (3)

Recently Finelli and Brandenberger [4] pointed out that when the in atom eld oscilates, -= 0 occurs periodically, so it is possible to have  $- \in 0$ . If it happens, the cosm ological perturbation will undergo parametric amplication without violating causality. Speci cally, they have considered a in atom model with potential V () = m<sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup>=2 and solved it num erically. They found that is constant in time [4].

In this paper, we extend their work and consider a general single-eld in aton potential. We exam ine the evolution of during the reheating stage, and nd no changes of in time. To begin with, we derive the equation of motion of the Bardeen parameter in perturbation theory, then we will take two species models by numerical calculations to illustrate our general analytical result.

## II. PERTURBATION THEORY AND ANALYTIC ARGUMENT

W orking with the longitudinal gauge, the perturbed metric can be expressed in terms of the gravitational potential

$$ds^{2} = (1+2)dt^{2} a^{2}(t)(1-2)dx_{3}dx^{i};$$
(4)

where a (t) is the scale factor. The perturbed E instein equation gives [4],

+ 3H 
$$-+$$
  $\frac{k^2}{a^2}$  + 2(H + H<sup>2</sup>) = <sup>2</sup>( + H  $-$ ); (5)

+ 3H - + 
$$\frac{k^2}{a^2}$$
 + V<sup>(0)</sup>) = 4-- 2V<sup>(0)</sup>; (6)

$$-+ H = \frac{1}{2}^{2} - ; \qquad (7)$$

where  $^{2} = 8$  G, V is in atom potential, is the perturbation to the eld , and a prime denotes the derivative with respect to .

Inserting (7) into (5) one can obtain the equation of motion for ,

+ (H 2 -) -+ 
$$(\frac{k^2}{a^2}$$
 + 2H 2H -) = 0: (8)

To eliminate the singularities in the equation above when the in atom eld oscillates, one can make use of Sasaki-M ukhanov variable

$$Q + \frac{-}{H}; \qquad (9)$$

then Eq.(8) can be re-written as [2]

$$Q + 3H Q + V^{00} + \frac{k^2}{a^2} + 2 \frac{H}{H} + 3H Q = 0$$
: (10)

1 4

The Bardeen parameter is related to Q by [4]

$$=\frac{H}{-}Q$$
 : (11)

In the expanding universe, the in atom eld satis es the equation of motion,

$$+ 3H -+ V^{0} = 0$$
: (12)

Dierentiating (12) with respect to ln a (note that \_= H), we get

$$H^{1}() + 3 + (V^{0} + 3H_{-})H^{1} = 0;$$
 (13)

where ()

 $\frac{d^3}{dt^3}$ .  $^2-^2=2$  [6], and H =  $^2-$ , we have the following relation Since H\_ =

1

$$H^{2}H_{-} H^{2}H_{-} = 0:$$
 (14)

Substracting (14) from (13), and simplifying it, we can obtain !

$$\frac{-}{H} + 3H \frac{-}{H} + V^{0} + 2 \frac{H}{H} + 3H \frac{-}{H} = 0 ; \qquad (15)$$

D i erentiating  $Q = \frac{-}{H}$  with respect to time t, we have

$$Q_{-} = \frac{-}{H} + \frac{-}{H} -;$$
(16)

$$Q = \frac{-}{H} + 2 \frac{-}{H} - + \frac{-}{H} :$$
 (17)

P lugging Q, Q- and Q above into Eq.(10), and making use of Eq.(15), nally we obtain an equation of motion for  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

!

$$\frac{-}{H} + 2\frac{-}{H} + 2\frac{-}{H^2} + 3 - - + \frac{k^2}{a^2} - \frac{-}{H} = 0:$$
(18)

C learly, the solution of Eq.(18) is that -= 0 when -= 0 (note that  $\frac{1}{2}$  0 at the time when -= 0). On the other hand, for -= 0, Eq.(3) gives rise to -= 0.W e conclude that keeps unchanged during reheating. We should point out that the potential V in our analytical proof above is not specied, and our result applies for general single-eld in aton models.

### III.NUMERICALEXAMPLES

To illustrate the analytical result in the last section, we take two speci c models as examples by directly solving the perturbed Einstein equation with numerical calculations. The rst model is V () =  ${}^{4}$ =4, the second one is a massive in atom with self-coupled interaction<sup>1</sup>, V () = m<sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup>=2 +  ${}^{4}$ =4. In the latter model two parameters are introduced. One is the in atom mass m, another is the self-interaction coupling constant . In our numerical calculations, for this model we take m<sup>1</sup> to be the units of the time and leave = m<sup>2</sup> as a free parameter, at the same time, we only choose = m<sup>2</sup> = 1 10<sup>3</sup> m<sup>2</sup><sub>pl</sub>; 11 m<sup>2</sup><sub>pl</sub>; 1 10<sup>3</sup> m<sup>2</sup><sub>pl</sub> (where m<sub>pl</sub> is the Planck mass) as illustrations.

Fig.1 and Fig.2 present the evolution of Q and for these two models, from which we can see that Q does not change over a period of time and does not change during the zero crossing of -in the reheating stage (N ote that Eqs.(2) and (3) hold only for the adiabatic perturbation with the wavenum berk ! 0, and we take k = 0 for simplicity in the num erical calculations.).

#### IV . D ISC U SSIO N

In this paper we have studied the evolution of perturbations in the in ationary cosm ology and found no additional growth of gravitational uctuations due to the oscillating in aton eld during reheating. Our result is valid for any single-eld in aton potential. For the multiple-eld models Bassett et al. [7] recently pointed out that there are possibilities of amplication of long wavelength perturbation. This important open issue deserves further study.

W e thank R obert B randenberger for discussions. This work was supported in part by the N atural N ational Science Fundation of China.

[7] B.A.Bassett, C.Gordon, R.Maartens and D.I.Kaiser, hep-ph/9909482.

J.Traschen and R.Brandenberger, Phys.Rev.D 42, 2491 (1990); L.Kofm an, A.Linde, and A.A.Starobinsky, Phys.Rev. D 56, 3258 (1997)

 <sup>[2]</sup> H.Kodam a and T.Ham azaki, Prog.Theo.Phys. 96, 949 (1996); Y.Nam bu and A.Tanuya, Prog.Theor.Phys. 97, 83 (1997);
 A.Tanuya and Y.Nam bu, Phys.Lett. B 428, 37 (1998).

<sup>[3]</sup> B. Bassett, D. Kaiser and R. Maartens, Phys. Lett. B 428, 37 (1999); M. Parry and R. Easther, Phys. Rev. D 59, 061301 (1999).

<sup>[4]</sup> F.Finelli and R.Brandenberger, Phys.Rev.Lett. 82, 1362 (1999)

<sup>[5]</sup> J. Bardeen, P. Steinhardt and M. Turner, Phys. Rev. D 28, 679 (1983)

<sup>[6]</sup> V.Mukhanov, H.Feldman and R.Brandenberger, Phys. Rep. 215, 203 (1992)

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ The model considered by F inelli and B randenberger [4] corresponds to the limit of ! 0.

Figure Captions

Fig.1 Evolution of Q and as a function of time in the model V () =  $4^{4}$ . The initial condition is chosen as Q = 1 when = 0.2m pl. The solid and dashed lines represent the evolution of Q and respectively. Time is expressed in  $p^{2}_{-1}$  units of (m pl ).

Fig.2 Evolution of Q and as a function of time for a massive in atom V () =  $m^2 = 2 + 4 = 4$ . (a), (b), and (c) correspond to  $=m^2 = 1$   $10^3 m_{pl}^2$ ;  $1m_{pl}^2$ ;  $1 = 10^3 m_{pl}^2$  respectively. Time is in units of  $m^1$ .



Fig. 1



Fig. 2(a)



