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#### Abstract

Interactions of virtual photons are analyzed in term s of photon structure. It is argued that the concept of parton distribution functions is phenom enologically very useful even for highly virtual photons involved in hard collisions. This claim is illustrated on leading order expressions for $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{P}^{2} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)$ and e ective parton distribution fiunction $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{P}^{2} ; Q^{2}\right)$ relevant for jet production, as well as with in the next $\{$ to $\{$ leading order $Q C D$ calculations of jet cross\{sections in electron \{proton collisions.


## 1 Introduction

Parton distribution functions (PDF) are, together w ith the colour coupling s, the basic ingredients of perturbative $Q C D$ calculations. It is worth em phasizing that in quantum eld theory it is di cult to distinguish e ects of the \structure" from those of \interactions". W ith in the Standard M odel (SM ) it makes good sense to distinguish fundam ental particles, which correspond to elds in its lagrangian $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{SM}}$ (leptons, quarks and gauge bosons) from com posite particles, which appear in the $m$ ass spectrum but have no corresponding eld in $L_{s M}$. For the latter the use ofPDF to describe their \structure" appears natural, but the concept of PDF tums out to be phenom enologically usefulalso for som e fundam ental particles, in particular the photon. PDF are indispensable for the real photon due to strong interactions betw een the q $\bar{q}$ pair to which it couples electrom agnetically. For $m$ assless quarks this coupling leads to singularities, which must be absorbed in PDF of the photon, sim ilarly as in the case ofhadrons. A though PD F of the realphoton satisfy inhom ogeneous evolution equations, their physicalm eaning rem ains basically the sam e as for hadrons.

For nonzero photon virtualities there is no true singularity associated w ith the coupling ! $q \bar{q}$ and one therefore expects that for su ciently virtual photon its interactions should be calculable perturbatively, w ith no need to introduce PDF. Them ain aim of this paper is to advocate the use of PDF also for virtual photons involved in hard collisions.

Throughout this paperwe shallstay w ithin the conventional approach to evolution equations for PDF of the photon. The reform ulation of the whole fram ew ork for the description of hard collisions involving photons in the in itial state, proposed recently by one of us [i] , a ects the analysis of dijet production in photon \{proton collisions, which is the $m$ ain sub ject of this paper, only $m$ arginally. This stands in sharp contrast to QCD analysis of $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ which is a ected by this reform ulation quite signi cantly.

The paper is organized as follow s. In Section 2 the notation and basic facts conceming the evolution equations for PDF of the realphoton and the properties of their solutions are recalled. In Section 3 the physical content of PD F of the virtual photon is analyzed and its phenom enological relevance illustrated w th in the LO QCD. This is further underlined in Section 4 by detailed analysis of NLO QCD calculations of dijet cross\{sections in ep collisions, followed by the sum $m$ ary and conclusions in Section 5.

## 2 PDF of the real photon

In QCD the coupling of quarks and ghons is characterized by the renorm alized colour coupling (\couplant" for short) $s$ ( ), depending on the renorm alization scale and satisfying the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d s_{s}()}{d \ln ^{2}} \quad(\mathrm{~s}())=\frac{0}{4}{ }_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}() \quad \frac{1}{16^{2}}{ }_{s}^{3}()+ \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, in QCD with $n_{f} m$ assless quark avours, the rst two coe cients, $0=11 \quad 2 n_{f}=3$ and $1=10238 n_{f}=3$, are unique, while all the higher order ones are am biguous. A s we shall stay in this paper within the NLO QCD, only the rst two, unique, term $s$ in (in) $w$ ill be taken into account. H ow ever, even for a given rhs. of ( $\underset{-1}{\overline{1})}$ its solution $s()$ is not a unique function of, because there is an in nite num ber of solutions of ( $\bar{l}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), di ering by the in itial condition. This so called renorm alization schem e (RS) am biguity ${ }_{1-1}^{I_{1}}$ can be param eterized in a num ber of ways. O ne of them $m$ akes use of the fact that in the process of renorm alization another dim ensional param eter, denoted usually , inevitably appears in the theory. This param eter depends on the R S and at the NLO even fiully speci es it. For instance, $s()$ in the fam iliar MS and MS RS are two solutions of the sam e equation ( $\underline{1}_{1}^{-1}$ ), associated w ith di erent RS. At the NLO the variation of both the renorm alization scale and the renorm alization schemeRS frgg is redundant. It su ces to $x$ one of them and vary the other, but we stick to the com $m$ on practioe of considering both of them as free param eters. In this paper we shall work in the standard $\overline{M S} R S$ of the couplant.

The \dressed" ${ }_{n} \mathbb{N}_{1}$ PD F result from the resum $m$ ation of $m$ ultiple parton collinear em ission o the corresponding \bare" parton distributions. As a result of this resum mation PDF acquire dependence on the factorization scale $M$. This scale de nes the upper lim it on som emeasure $t$ of the o \{shellness of partons included in the de nition of $(x ; M)$

$$
D_{i}(x ; M) \quad{ }_{t_{m \text { in }}}^{Z_{M}^{2}} d t d_{i}(x ; t) ; \quad i=q ; \bar{q} ; G ;
$$

where the unintegrated PDF $d_{i}(x ; t)$ describe distributions of partons $w$ th the $m$ om entum fraction $x$ and $x \in d o\left\{\right.$ shellness $t$. Parton virtuality jp $\quad m^{2}$ jortransverse $m a s s m{ }_{T}^{2} \quad p_{1}^{2}+m^{2}$, are tw o standard choiges of such a m easure. Factorization scale dependence of PDF of the photon is determ ined by the system of coupled inhom ogeneous evolution equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d(x ; M)}{d \ln M^{2}} & =k_{q}+P_{q q} \quad+P_{q G} \quad G ;  \tag{3}\\
\frac{d G(x ; M)}{d \ln M^{2}} & =k_{G}+P_{G q} \quad+P_{G G} \quad G ;  \tag{4}\\
\frac{d q_{\mathrm{NS}}(x ; M)}{d \ln M^{2}} & ={ }_{N S} k_{q}+P_{N S} \quad \mathrm{GI} S ; \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x ; M) \quad X^{\mathrm{X}^{f}} \mathrm{q}_{i}^{+}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M}) \quad \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}^{f}} \quad\left[\mathrm{q}_{i}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M})+\overline{\mathrm{q}}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M})\right] \text {; } \\
& i=1 \quad i=1 \\
& \text { x }^{\mathrm{f}} \quad e_{i}^{2} \quad h^{2} i \quad\left(q_{i}(x ; M)+\bar{q}_{i}(x ; M)\right) ; \\
& \mathrm{i}=1
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
N S=6 n_{f} h e^{4} i \quad h h^{2} i^{2} ; \quad=6 n_{f} h e^{2} i: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

To order the splitting functions $P_{i j}$ and $k_{i}$ are given as power expansions in $s(M)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { " \# } \\
& k_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M})=\bar{m}^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{x})+\frac{\mathrm{s}^{(M)}}{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{x})+\frac{\mathrm{s}^{(M)}}{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(2)}(\mathrm{x})+\quad \text {; ; ; ; }  \tag{9}\\
& k_{G}(x ; M)=\frac{\mathrm{s}^{(M)}}{2} k_{G}^{(1)}(\mathrm{x})+\frac{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{M})}{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(2)}(\mathrm{x})+\quad \text {; }  \tag{10}\\
& P_{i j}(x ; M)=\quad \frac{s(M)}{2} P_{i j}^{(0)}(x)+\frac{s^{(M)}}{2}{ }^{2} P_{i j}^{(1)}(x)+\quad ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the leading order splitting functions $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{2}+(1 \quad \mathrm{x})^{2}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{x})$ are unique, while all higher order ones $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(\mathrm{j})} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(\mathrm{j})} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{kl}}^{(\mathrm{j})} ; \mathrm{j} \quad 1$ depend on the choige of the factorization schem e (FS). The equations ( $w$ ith inhom egenous splilting functions $k_{q_{i}}^{(0)}=3 e_{i}^{2} k_{q}^{(0)}$. The photon structure function $F_{2}\left(x ; Q^{2}\right)$, $m$ easured in deep inelastic scattering of electrons on photons is given as a sum of convolutions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{x} F_{2}\left(x ; Q^{2}\right)=q_{N S}(M) \quad C_{q}(Q=M)+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{N S} C+  \tag{12}\\
& h e^{2} i(M) \quad C_{q}(Q=M)+\frac{-h e^{2} i \quad C+h e^{2} i \frac{s}{2} G(M) \quad C_{G}(Q=M), ~(M)}{} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

of photonic PDF and coe cient functions $C q(x) ; C_{G}(x) ; C \quad(x)$ adm itting perturbative expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{q}(x ; Q=M)=(1 \quad x)+\frac{s()}{2} C_{q}^{(1)}(x ; Q=M)+  \tag{14}\\
& C_{G}(x ; Q=M)=  \tag{15}\\
& C(x ; Q=M)=  \tag{16}\\
& \left.C^{2}\right) \\
& C_{G}^{(1)}(x ; Q=M)+
\end{align*}
$$

The renorm alization scale, used as argum ent of $s()$ in $\left[\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right)$ is in principle independent of the factorization scale M. N ote that despite the presence of as argum ent of $s$ ( ) in (1] the coe cient functions $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{G}}$ and C , sum m ed to all orders of s , are actually independent of it, because the $\{$ dependence of the expansion param eter $s()$ is cancelled by explicit dependence of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{(\mathrm{i})} ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{G}}{ }^{(\mathrm{i})}$; $\mathrm{C}^{(\mathrm{i})}$;i 2 on . On the other hand, PDF as well as the coe cient fiunctions $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{G}}$ and $C$ do depend on both the factorization scale $M$ and factorization schem e, but in such a correlated $m$ anner that physicalquantities, like $F_{2}$, are independent ofboth $M$ and the $F S$, provided

 both $M$ and FS $m$ akes num erical di erence even for physical quantities. The expressions for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{(1)} ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{G}}{ }^{(1)}$ given in $\left.\underline{\bar{Z}}\right]$ are usually claim ed to correspond to $\backslash \overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ factorization schem e". A s argued in []- $]$, this denom ination is, how ever, incom plete. The adjective $\backslash \overline{\mathrm{MS}} "$ concems exclusively the choice of the RS of the couplant $s$ and has nothing to do $w$ th the choice of the splitting functions $P_{i j}^{(1)}$. The choiges of the renorm alization schem e of the couplant $s$ and of the factorization schem e ofPD F are tw o com pletely independent decisions, conceming tw o di erent and in generalunrelated rede nition procedures. B oth are necessary in order to specify uniquely the results of xed order perturbative calculations, but we may combine any choice of the RS of the couplant w ith any choice of the FS of PDF. The coe cient functions $C{ }_{q} ; C_{G} ; C$ depend on both of them, whereas


F igure 1: D iagram sde ning the pointlike parts ofnonsinglet quark and gluon distribution functions. The resum $m$ ation involves integration over parton virtualities $\quad M^{2}$.
the splitting functions depend only on the latter. The results given in [2]i] correspond to $\overline{\mathrm{MS}} \mathrm{RS}$ of the couplant but to the $\backslash m$ in m al subtraction" FS of PDF call th is full speci cation of the renom alization and factorization schem es as $\backslash \overline{\mathrm{MS}}+\mathrm{M}$ S schem e". A though the phenom enological relevance of treating and $M$ as independent param eters has been dem onstrated [אַ], we shall follow the usual practice of setting $=M$.

### 2.1 Pointlike solutions and their properties

The general solution of the evolution equations ( solution of the fill inhom ogeneous equation and the general solution of the corresponding hom ogeneous one, called hadron ic ${ }_{1}^{14}$ part. A subset of the solutions of full evolution equations resulting from the resum $m$ ation of series of diagram $s$ like those in $F$ ig. 'ī1, which start w ith the pointlike purely QED vertex ! q $\bar{q}$, are called pointlike (PL) solutions. In writing down the expression for the resum $m$ ation of diagram $s$ in $F$ ig. 'ilint there is a freedom in specifying som e sort of boundary condition. It is com $m$ on to $w$ ork $w$ thin a subset of pointlike solutions speci ed by the value of the scale $M_{0}$ at which they vanish. In general, we can thus write ( $(\mathbb{D}=q ; \bar{q} ; G)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(x ; M^{2}\right)=D^{P L}\left(x ; M^{2}\right)+D^{H A D}\left(x ; M^{2}\right): \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

D ue to the fact that there is an in nite num ber of pointlike solutions $q^{p L}\left(x ; M^{2}\right)$, the separation of quark and gluon distribution functions into their pointlike and hadronic parts is, how ever, am -
 num erical aspects of this am biguity for the Schuler\{S jostrand sets of param eterizations [ip $]$.

To se the $m$ ost im portant feature of the pointlike part of quark distribution functions that w ill be crucial for the follow ing analysis, let us consider in detail the case of nonsinglet quark distribution function $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M}$ ), which is explicitly de ned via the series
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Figure 2: Com parison, left in the nonsinglet and right in the singlet channels, of the functions $x k_{i}(x)=x{ }_{i} k_{q}^{(0)} ; i=N S$; with the function (201) and its analogue in the singlet channel.
where $k_{N S}^{(0)}(x)={ }_{N S} k_{q}^{(0)}(x)$. In term $s$ ofm om ents de ned as

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}
$$

this series can be resum $m$ ed in a closed form

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{N S}^{P L}\left(n_{i} M_{0} ; M^{2}\right)=\frac{4}{s^{(M)}}{ }^{4} \quad \frac{s^{(M)}}{s^{(M}\left(M_{0}\right)}{ }^{12 P_{q q}^{(0)}(n)=0_{0}^{3}} 5 a_{N S}(n) ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{NS}}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \frac{}{20} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{NS}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{n})}{1 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(0)}(\mathrm{n})=0}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

 w th the splitting functions $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ including the rst term $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(0)}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{qq}}^{(0)}$ only.

Transform ing (2-1_) to the $x\left\{\right.$ space by $m$ eans of inverse $M$ ellin transform ation we get $a_{N S}(x)$ show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2. The resum $m$ ation softens the $x$ dependence of $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to the rst term in ( $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1} \bar{O}_{1}\right)$, proportional to $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{NS}}(\mathrm{x})$, but does not change the logarithm ic dependence of $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{S}}$ on $M$. In the nonsinglet channel the e ects of gluon radiation on $q_{N}^{P L}$ are signi cant for $x>0: 6$ but sm allelsew here, whereas in the singlet channel such e ects are m arked also for $x<0: 5$ and lead to a steep rise of $x q_{N}^{P} L_{S}$ at very $m$ all $x$. A s em phasized long tim e ago by authors of [id the logarithm ic dependence of $q_{N S}^{P L}$ on $\ln M$ has nothing to do with QCD and results exclusively from integration over the transverse $m$ om enta (virtualities) of quarks com ing from the basic QED ! q $\bar{q}$ splilting. For $M=M_{0}!1$ the second term in brackets of $(\underline{2} \overline{\underline{q}})$ vanishes and therefore all pointlike solutions share the sam e large M behaviour

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{N S}^{P L}\left(x ; M_{0} ; M\right)!\frac{4}{{ }_{s}(M)} a_{N S}(x) \quad \mathbb{A}_{S}^{P}(x ; M) / \ln \frac{M^{2}}{2} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3: The u and c quark and gluon distribution functions of the realphoton for SaS1D (upper solid curves) and SaS2D (upper dotted curves) param eterizations at M ${ }^{2}=100 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. TheVDM and pointlike parts ofboth param eterizations are plotted separately, the latter as solid and dotted curves peaking at large x . For quarks the splitting term $\mathrm{s}(\underline{\overline{2} \overline{3}})$ corresponding to SaS1D and SaS2D (upper and low er dashed curves) are overlayed to show the e ects of resum $m$ ation ( $\overline{1} \overline{-1} \overline{-}$ ).
de ning the so called asym ptotic pointlike solution $q_{N S}^{A P}(x ; M)$ ) ${ }_{10}^{1}, 1$
 m isleading claim s that $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M})=\mathrm{O}(=\mathrm{s})$. In fact, as argued in detail in $[\overline{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{l}], \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M})=\mathrm{O}()$ as suggested by explicit construction in (1d). We shall retum to this point in Section 42 when discussing the factorization scale invariance of nite order approxim ations to dijet cross\{sections.
$T$ he arbitrariness in the choioe of $M_{0}$ re ects the fact that as $M_{0}$ increases, less of the glion radiation e ects is included in the resum $m$ ation $\left.\overline{\underline{2}} \overline{0} \underline{D}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ de ning the pointlike part ofquark distribution function $q^{P L}$ but included in hadronic one. The latter is usually m odelled by the VDM ansatz and w ill therefore be called \VD M " in the follow ing. A s we shall see, the hadronic and pointlike parts have very di erent behaviour as functions of $x$ and $M$.

## 2 .2 P roperties of $S c h u l e r\{S$ jostrand param eterizations

Practical aspects of the am biguity in separating PDF into their VDM and pointlike parts can be
 approach particularly usefulfor ourdiscussion is the fact that it provides separate param eterizations of the VDM and pointlike parts ${ }_{1-1}^{16}$ of

In Fig. ${ }_{3}$ distribution functions $\mathrm{xu}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M}^{2}\right), \mathrm{xc}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{xG}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ as given by SaS1D and SaS2D param eterizations for $M^{2}=100 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ are com pared. To see how m uch the resum $m$ ation of gluon radiation $m$ odi es the rst term in (10) we also plot the corresponding splitting term s

$$
q^{\text {split }}\left(x ; M_{0}^{2} ; M^{2}\right) \quad-3 e_{q}^{2} x^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \tag{23}
\end{array}\right)^{2} \ln \frac{M^{2}}{M_{0}^{2}}:
$$

In Fig. 'īi the scale dependence of VDM and pointlike parts of $u$ and $c$ quark and ghon distribution functions is displayed. In the upper six plots we com pare them as a function of x at $\mathrm{M}^{2}=$ $25 ; 100 ; 1000 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, while in the low er three plots the sam e distributions are rescaled by the factor
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Figure 4: Factorization scale dependence of parton distributions functions $u(x ; M) ; C(x ; M)$ and $G(x ; M)$ of the real photon. D ashed and solid curves correspond, in the order indicated by the arrow s, to pointlike and VDM parts of these distributions at $\mathrm{M}^{2}=25 ; 100$ and $1000 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. In the low er part SaS1D quark distribution functions $x u\left(x ; M^{2} ; P^{2}\right)$ rescaled by $\ln \left(M^{2}=M{ }_{0}^{2}\right)$ for the real photon (left) and by $\ln \left(M^{2}=P^{2}\right)$ for the virtual one, are plotted and com pared to the predictions of the splitting term (23).


Figure 5: $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)$ as given by SaS1D (solid curves) and SaSD 2 (dotted curves) param eterizations. T he full results are given by the upper, the pointlike and VDM contributions parts by two lower



Figure 6: $\mathrm{De}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ as given by SaS1D and SaSD 2 param eterizations. Solid curves show the full results, dashed ones the VDM contributions. T he pointlike parts are separated into the contributions of pointlike quarks (dotted curves) and pointlike gluons (dash \{dotted). Thick dashed curves corresp ond to the splilting term (2, $\left.2 \overline{3} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$.
$\ln \left(M^{2}=M{ }_{0}^{2}\right)$. D ividing out this dom inant scale dependence allow $s$ us to com pare the results directly
 e ective parton distribution function $D e, r e l e v a n t$ for jet production in $p$ and collisions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2}\left(x ; Q^{2}\right)= X  \tag{24}\\
& D_{e}\left(x ; M^{2}\right) x_{q}^{q} q\left(x ; Q^{2}\right) ;  \tag{25}\\
& X_{i=1}^{X^{f}} \\
& q_{i}\left(x ; M^{2}\right)+\bar{q}_{i}\left(x ; M^{2}\right)+\frac{9}{4} G\left(x ; M^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

 trate several im portant properties of P D F of the real photon:

There is a huge di erence betw een the im portance of the VDM com ponents of light quark and ghon distribution functions in SaS1D and SaS2D param eterizations: while for SaS2D the VDM com ponent is dom inant up to $x \stackrel{!}{=} 0: 75$, for SaS1D the pointlike one takes over above $\mathrm{x} \stackrel{\text { : }}{=} 0: 1$ !

Factorization scale dependence of VDM and pointlike parts di er substantially. W hile the form er exhibits the pattem of scaling violations typical for hadrons, the latter rises, for quarks as well as ghons, w th $M$ for all x. For pointlike ghons this holds despite the fact that $G^{P L}\left(x ; M^{2}\right)$ satis es at the LO standard hom ogeneous evolution equation and is due to the fact that the evolution of ${ }^{P L}\left(x ; M^{2}\right)$ is driven by the corresponding increase of ${ }^{P L}\left(x ; M^{2}\right)$.
 $m$ entally relevant values ofM and except for x close to 1 the e ects ofm ultiple ghon em ission on pointlike quarks are thus sm all.

A s the factorization scale $M$ increases the VDM parts of both quark and ghon distribution functions decrease relative to the pointlike ones, except in the region of very sm all x.

D espite huge di erences betw een SaS1D and SaS2D param eterizations in the decom position of quark and gluon distributions into their VDM and pointlike parts, their predictions for physical observables $F_{2}$ and $D_{e}$ are much closer.

The $m$ ost prom inent e ects of $m$ ultiple parton em ission on physical quantities appear to be the behaviour of $F_{2}\left(x ; Q^{2}\right)$ at large $x$ and the contribution of pointlike ghons to jet cross\{ sections, approxim ately described by $D e\left(x ; M^{2}\right)$.

## 3 PD F of the virtual photon

For the virtual photon the initial state singularity due to the splitting ! $q \bar{q}$ is shielded o by the nonzero initial photon virtuality $P^{2}$ and therefore in principle the concept of PDF does not have to be introduced. In practice this requires, roughly, $\mathrm{P}^{2}>1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, where perturbative QCD becom es applicable. N evertheless, P D F stilltum out to be phenom enologically very usefulbecause
their pointlike parts include the resum $m$ ation of parts of higher order Q CD corrections,
the hadronic parts, though decreasing rapidly $w$ ith $P^{2}$, are still vital at very $s m$ all $x$.

[^3]B oth of these aspects de ne the \nontrivial" structure of the virtual photon in the sense that they are not included in the splitting term (2픈) and thus are not part of existing N LO unsubtracted direct photon calculations. O ne $m$ ight argue that the calculable e ects of resum $m$ ation should be considered as \interaction" rather than \structure", but their uniqueness $m$ akes it natural to describe them in term s of PDF.

### 3.1 Equivalent photon approxim ation

A 11 the present know ledge of the structure of the photon com es from experim ents at the ep and $e^{+} e$ colliders, where the incom ing leptons act as sources of transverse and longitudinal virtual photons. To order their respective unintegrated uxes are given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)=\frac{\left.1+(1 \quad \mathrm{y})^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{1}{\mathrm{P}^{2}} \frac{2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \mathrm{Y}}{\mathrm{P}^{4}} ;  \tag{26}\\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)=\frac{2(1 \quad \mathrm{Y})}{2} \frac{1}{\mathrm{P}^{2}}: \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

The transverse and longitudinal uxes thus coincide at $y=0$, while at $y=1, f_{L}$ vanishes. The $1=\mathrm{P}^{2}$ dependence of the rst term $s$ in $(\underline{2} \overline{6}=\overline{2} \overline{7})$ results from the fact that in both cases the vertex where photon is em itted is proportionalto $\mathrm{P}^{2}$. This is due to helicity conservation for the transverse photon and gauge invariance for the longitudinal one. The term proportional to $m_{e}^{2}=P^{4}$ in (2-G) results from the fact that the helicity conservation at thee evertex is violated by term sproportional to electron m ass. N o such violation is perm itted in the case of gauge invariance, hence the absence of such tem in $\left[\overline{2}_{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$. N ote that while for $P^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$ the second term in $\left(\overline{2} \bar{\sigma}_{1}\right)$ is negligible w ith respect to the leading $1=P^{2}$ one, close to $P_{m \text { in }}^{2}=m{ }_{e}^{2} y^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & y\end{array}\right)$ their ratio is $n$ ite and approaches $2\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & y\end{array}\right)=\left(1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & y^{3}\end{array}\right)\right.$.

### 3.2 Lessons from QED

The de nition and evaluation of quark distribution functions of the virtual photon in pure QED serves as a usefulguide to parton $m$ odel predictions of virtuality dependence of the pointlike part of quark distribution functions of the virtualphoton. In pure QED and to order the probability of nding inside the photon of virtuality $P^{2}$ a quark $w$ ith $m$ ass $m_{q}$, electric charge $e_{q}$, $m$ om entum fraction $x$ and virtuality $=m_{q}^{2} \quad k^{2} \quad M^{2}$ is de ned as ( $k$ denotes its fourfn om entum )

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{Q E D}\left(x ;{\underset{q}{q}}_{2} ; P^{2} ; M^{2}\right) \quad-3 e_{q}^{2}{ }_{m \text { in }}^{Z} \frac{W\left(x ; m{ }_{q}^{2} ; P^{2}\right)}{2} d ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $W\left(x ; m{ }_{q}^{2} ; \mathrm{P}^{2} ; \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ can in generalbe w ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
W\left(x ; m{ }_{q}^{2} ; P^{2} ; M^{2}\right) & =f(x) \frac{p_{T}^{2}}{1}+g(x) m_{q}^{2}+h(x) P^{2}+ \\
& =f(x)+g(x) \frac{f(x)}{1} x_{x} m_{q}^{2}+(h(x) \quad x f(x)) P^{2}+
\end{aligned}
$$

In the collinear kinem atics, whidh is relevant for nding the low er lim it on , the values ofm $q$; $x$; and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ are related to initial photon virtuality $\mathrm{P}^{2}$ as follow S

$$
\begin{equation*}
=x P^{2}+\frac{m_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}{1 \mathrm{x}} ; \quad, \quad \mathrm{m} \text { in }=x P^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}{1 \mathrm{x}}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $f(x) ; g(x)$ and $h(x)$, which determ ine the term $s$ singular at $s m$ all, are unique functions that have a clear parton m odel interpretation: so long as $M^{2}$ eq. (2, ux of quarks that are alm ost collinear w th the incom ing photon and \live" long w th respect to $1=\mathrm{M}$. On the other hand, the term s indicated in $\left(\underline{2} \overline{\mathrm{~g}}_{1}\right)$ by dots are of the type ${ }^{k+1}=\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{k} \quad 1$, which upon insertion into (2, $\overline{2}_{1}$ ) y ield contributions that are not singular at $=0$ and therefore do not adm it sim ple parton $m$ odel interpretation. In principle we can include in the de nition ( $2 \overline{8} \overline{1}$ ) even part of these nonparton ic contributions, but we prefer not do that. Substituting $(\underline{2} \overline{9})$ into (2q) and perform ing the integration gives, in units of $3 \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { QED }\left(x ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} ; \mathrm{P}^{2} ; \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \ln \frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m} \text { in }}+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})+\frac{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{P}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m} \text { in }} 1 \frac{\mathrm{~m} \text { in }}{M^{2}}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In practical applications the factorization scale $M$ is identi ed $w$ ith some kinem atical variable characterizing hardness of the collision, like $\overline{Q^{2}}$ in $D$ IS or $E_{T}^{\text {jet }}$ in jet production. For $m$ in $M^{2}$ the expression ( $\left.\overline{3}_{2}^{1} \bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ sim pli es to

Forx $(1 \quad x) P^{2} \quad m{ }_{q}^{2}$ this expression reduces further to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{Q E D}\left(x ; 0 ; P^{2} ; M^{2}\right)=f(x) \ln \frac{M^{2}}{x P^{2}} \quad f(x)+\frac{h(x)}{x}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Provided $m{ }_{q}^{2} 0(\underline{3} \overline{2})$ has a nite $\lim$ it for $P^{2}$ ! 0 , corresponding to the real photon

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{Q E D}\left(x ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} ; 0 ; \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \ln \frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}(1 \quad \mathrm{x})}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})(1 \quad \mathrm{x}): \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $s$ in the case of the photon uxes $(\underline{2} \overline{6}-\overline{2} \overline{2})$ the logarithm ic term, dom inant for large $M^{2}$, as well as the \constant" term s, proportional to $f(x) ; g(x)$ and $h(x)$, com e entirely from the integration region close to $m$ in and are therefore unique. At $=m$ in both types of the singular term s, i.e. $1=$ or $1={ }^{2}$, are of the sam e order but the faster fall\{ 0 of the $1={ }^{2}$ term sim plies that for large $M^{2}$ the integral over, which gives $[(3-1)$, is dom inated by the weaker singularity $1=$. In other words, while the logarithm ic term is dom inant at large $M^{2}$, the constant term $s$ resulting from nonzero $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{P}^{2}$ come from the kinem atical con gurations which are even $m$ ore collinear, and thus m ore partonic, than those giving the logarithm ic term. T he analysis of the vertex ! q $\bar{q}$ in collinear kinem atics yields [12ī]

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{T}(x)=x^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x
\end{array}\right)^{2} ; \quad g_{T}(x)=\frac{1}{1 \quad x} ; \quad h_{T}(x)=0 ;  \tag{35}\\
& f_{L}(x)=0 ; \quad g_{L}(x)=0 ; \quad h_{L}(x)=4 x^{2}(1 \quad x):
\end{align*}
$$

The expressions ( $\overline{3} \overline{\overline{1}}+\overline{1}+3 \bar{Z})$ exhibit explicitly the sm ooth transition betw een quark distribution functions of the virtual and real photon. This transition is govemed by the ratio $P^{2}=m^{2}$, which underlines why in QED ferm ion $m$ asses are vital. On the other hand, as $P^{2}$ (or $m$ ore precisely ${ }^{m}$ in ) increases tow ard the factorization scale $M^{2}$, the above expressions for the quark distribution functions of the virtual photon vanish. This property holds not only for the logarithm ic term but also for the \constant" term $s$ and has a clear intuitive content: virtual photon with lifetim e
$1=\mathrm{P} \quad 1=\mathrm{M}$ does not contain partons living long enough to take part in the collision characterized by the interaction tim e $1=\mathrm{M}$.

Forvirtualphoton and $x\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x\end{array}\right) P^{2} \quad m^{2}$ the coe cient functionsC ${ }^{T}\left(x ; P^{2} ; Q=M\right) ; C{ }^{L}\left(x ; P^{2} ; Q=M\right)$ for transverse and longitudinal target photon polarizations are given as [1] $\left.\overline{1} \bar{i}^{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{; T}^{(0)}\left(x ; P^{2} ; 1\right)=3\left(x^{2}+(1 \quad x)^{2}\right) \ln \frac{1}{x^{2}}+8 x\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x
\end{array}\right) \quad 2 ;  \tag{36}\\
& C_{; L}^{(0)}\left(x ; P^{2} ; 1\right)=4 x(1 \quad x) ; \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

whereas for the real photon, i.e. for $P^{2}=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{;}^{(0)}(x ; 0 ; 1)=3\left(x^{2}+(1 \quad x)^{2}\right) \ln \frac{1}{x}+8 x(1 \quad x) \quad 1 ;  \tag{38}\\
& C_{; L}^{(0)}(x ; 0 ; 1)=0 \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

T he origins of the non logarithm ic parts of ${ }^{(0)}$ in $(\overline{3} \overline{6}, \overline{1} \overline{9})$ can then be identi ed as follow s:

The nonpartonic part itself can be separated into two pieces, com ing from the interaction of the transverse target photon w ith transverse and longitudinal probing one

E xøept for a brief com $m$ ent in the next subsection, we shall consider throughout the rest of this paper the contributions of the transverse polarization of the target virtual photon only. The im portance of including in analyses of hard collisions of virtualphotons the contributions of L will be discussed in detail in separate publication [1]

### 3.3 W hat is m easured in D IS on virtual photons?

In experim ents at $e^{+} e$ colliders the structure of the photon has been investigated via standard D IS on the photon w ith sm all but nonzero virtuality $\mathrm{P}^{2}$. T he resulting data w ere used in $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1 \overline{1} \overline{1}]}\end{array}\right.$ to determ ine PDF of the virtual photon. In these analyses $C{ }^{(0)}$ was taken in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{(0)}\left(x ; P^{2} ; 1\right)=3 \quad\left(x^{2}+(1 \quad x)^{2}\right) \ln \frac{1}{x^{2}}+6 x(1 \quad x) \quad 2 ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, how ever, does not correspond to the structure function that is actually m easured in $e^{+} e$ collisions, but to the follow ing com bination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{2 ;}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{P}^{2} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{F}_{2 ; \mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{P}^{2} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{P}^{2} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

of structure functions corresp onding to transverse and longitudinalpolarizations of the target photon. This combination results after averaging over the target photon polarizations by m eans of


Figure 7: The sam e as in $F$ ig. 131 curves displayed for $u$ and $c$ quarks correspond to the splitting term $(\underline{2} \overline{3} \overline{1}) w$ ith $M_{0}^{2}=P^{2}$.
contraction $w$ ith the tensor $g=2$. The expression $2+6 x(1 \quad x)$ follow $s$ also directly from the de nition (43)") and considerations of the previous Section:

Because the uxes $\left(\overline{2} \bar{\sigma}_{1}\left(\underline{D_{-}} \bar{\eta}_{-}\right)\right.$) of transverse and longitudinal photons are di erent functions of $y$, any com plete analysis of experim ental data in term $s$ of the structure functions $F_{2 ; \mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{P}^{2} ; \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)$ and $F_{2 ; L}\left(x ; P^{2} ; Q^{2}\right)$ at xed $x ; P^{2} ; Q^{2}$ requires combining data for dierent $y$. This is in principle possible, but experim entally di cult to accom plish. The situation is simpler at sm all y, $w h e r e f_{T}\left(y ; P^{2}\right) \stackrel{\vdots}{=} f_{L}\left(y ; P^{2}\right)=f\left(y ; P^{2}\right)$, and the data therefore correspond to the convolution of $f\left(y ; P^{2}\right)$ w th the sum $F_{2 ; T}+F_{2 ; L}$. The nonlogarithm ic term in $C{ }^{(0)}$ corresponding to this combination is, how ever, not $2+6 x(1 \quad x)$, as used in $\left.1_{1}^{1}-14\right]$, but $2+12 x(1 \quad x)$, the sum of nonlogarithm ic term s corresponding to transverse and longitudinalphotons. N um erically the di erence betw een these two expressions is quite sizable.

Very recently, the GRS group [ $\left[\overline{1} \bar{S}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ has changed their approach to the treatm ent of the target photon polarizations and argued in favor of neglecting the contribution of $L$ and using even for the virtual photon the sam e form of $C{ }^{(0)}$ as for the real one. W e disagree $w$ th their argum ents, but leave the discussion of this point to future paper [1]

### 3.4 V irtuality dependent P D F

In realistic QCD the nonperturbative e ects connected w th the con nem ent, rather than current or constituent quark $m$ asses, are expected to determ ine the long\{range structure of the photon and hence also the transition from the virtual photon to the real one. For instance, w ithin the SaS param eterizations the role of quark $m$ asses is taken over by vector $m$ eson $m$ asses for the VD M com ponents and by the initial $M$ ofor the pointlike ones. As in the case of the real photon, we recall basic features of SaS param eterizations of the virtual photon, ilhustrated in $F$ igs. ${ }_{1}$
$W$ th increasing $P^{2}$ the relative im portance ofV D M parts ofboth quark and gluon distribution functions $w$ th respect to the corresponding pointlike ones decreases rapidly. For $M^{2}$ \& 25 $\mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ the VDM parts of both SaS1D and SaS2D param eterizations becom e negligible for


Figure 8: T he sam e as in $F$ ig. $\overline{4}$ but for virtual photon $w$ th $P^{2}=3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.


Figure 9: V irtuality dependence of photonic PDF at $M^{2}=100 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. D ashed curves correspond to the pointlike and solid ones to the VDM parts, from above for $\mathrm{P}^{2}=0 ; 1 ; 3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.


Figure 10: The same as in Fig. . ${ }_{-1}^{15}$ but for virtual photon with $P^{2}=3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.


Figure 11: $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{P}^{2} ; \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ as a function of x for $\mathrm{M}^{2}=25 ; 100 ; 1000 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{P}^{2}=3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. $N$ otation as in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime}$ - ${ }_{-1}$. In the splitting term $M{ }_{0}^{2}=P^{2}$.
$\mathrm{P}^{2} \& 2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, except in the region of very sm all x . 0:01. C onsequently, also the am biguity in the separation ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ is practically negligible in this region.

T he generalpattem of scaling violations, ilhustrated in $F$ ig ${ }_{2}^{\prime-1} 8$, rem ains the sam e as for the real photon, but there is a subtle di erence, best visible when com paring in $F$ ig. ' $\overline{-1}$, the rescaled $P D F$ for $P^{2}=0 \mathrm{w}$ th those at $\mathrm{P}^{2}=1 ; 3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} . W$ hile for $\mathrm{P}^{2}=0$, increasing $\mathrm{M}^{2}$ softens the spectrum tow ards the asym ptotic pointlike form (22), for $P^{2} \& 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ quark distribution functions increase w th $M$ for practically all values of $x$. M oreover, while for $P^{2}=0$ the splitting term intersects the SaS1D curves qualitatively as in $F$ ig. 'ín, for $P^{2} \& 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ it is above them for all x. These properties re ect the fact that SaS param eterizations of PD F of the virtual photon do not satisfy the sam e evolution equations as PD F of the real one. This di erence is form ally of pow er correction type and thus legitim ate $w$ ithin the leading tw ist approxim ation we are working in, but num erically nonnegligible.

A s shown in $F$ ig ${ }_{2}^{1} 19$ both VDM and pointlike parts decrease w ith increasing $P^{2}$, but the V D M parts drop m uch faster than the pointlike ones.
$T$ he im plications of these properties for physical quantities $F_{2}\left(x ; P^{2} ; Q^{2}\right)$ and $D e\left(x ; P^{2} ; M^{2}\right)$ are ilhustrated by $F$ igs. 1 are con ned $m$ ainly to the region of large $x$, where they reduce the predictions based on the splitting term $\overline{(2 \overline{3}} \overline{1})$. O bviously, only the pointlike quarks are relevant for this e ect. T he e ects on De $\left(x ; P^{2} ; M^{2}\right)$ worth em phasizing are the follow ing:

For all scales M the contribution of the splilting term is above the one from pointlike quarks, the gap increasing w th increasing $P^{2}$ and decreasing $M^{2}$.

P ointlike quarks dom inate $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{P}^{2} ; \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ at large x , whereas for $\mathrm{x} .0: 3 \mathrm{~m}$ ost of the pointlike contribution com es from the pointlike ghons. In particular, the excess of the pointlike contributions to $D_{e}$ over the contribution of the splitting term, observed at $x$. $0: 5$, com es alm ost entirely from the pointlike ghons!
For x \& 0:6 the full results are clearly below those given by the splitting term (2, $M_{0}^{2}=P^{2}$. In this region one therefore expects the sum of subtracted direct and resolved contributions to jet cross\{sections to be sm aller than the results of unsubtracted direct calculations.

So far no restrictions were im posed on transverse energies and pseudorapidities ${ }_{\frac{1}{18}}^{181}$ of jets produced in $p$ collisions. H ow ever, as discussed in m ore detail in Section 4.3.4, for jet transverse energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}} \& 5 \mathrm{GeV}$, hadronization corrections becom e intolerably large and model dependent in the region . $2: 5$. On the experim ental side the problem $s w$ ith the reconstruction of jets $w$ ith $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}} \& 5 \mathrm{GeV}$ in the forw ard region restrict the accessible region to 0 . The cuts im posed on pseudorapidities ${ }^{(i)} ; i=1 ; 2$ and transverse energies $E_{T}{ }^{(i)}$ of two nal state partons ${ }_{1}{ }_{1}^{19} 1$ in uence strongly the corresponding distribution in the variable x

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \quad \frac{E_{T}^{(1)} e^{(1)}+E_{T}^{(2)} e^{(2)}}{2 E} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

used in analyses of dijet data. At the LO and form assless partons $x$ de ned in (4) ( $\overline{4}$ ) coincides $w$ ith the conventional fraction $x$ appearing as an argum ent of photonic PD F.M C sim ulations show that

[^4]for $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{(\mathrm{i})} \quad 5 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $2: 5$ (i) $0 ; i=1 ; 2, \mathrm{hx} \mathrm{i}^{\prime} 0: 25$, is just the region where pointlike ghons dom inate $D_{e}\left(x ; P^{2} ; M^{2}\right)$. This $m$ akes jet production in the region $P^{2} \& 1$ a prom ising place for identi cation of nontrivial aspects of P D F of virtual photons.

## 4 PDF of the virtual photon in N LO QCD calculations

$M$ ost of the existing inform ation on interactions on virtual photons com es from the m easurem ents
 data on dijet production in the region of virtualities $1 \quad \mathrm{P}^{2} \quad 80 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, and for jet transverse energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {jet }} \quad 5 \mathrm{GeV}$ have been analyzed w ithin the fram ew ork of e ective PDF de ned in (25). $T$ his analysis show $s$ that in the kinem atical range $1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} . \mathrm{P}^{2} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}$ the data agree reasonably w th the expectations based on SaS param eterizations of PDF of the virtual photon. The same data $m$ ay, how ever, be also analyzed using the $N$ LO parton levelM onte\{ $C$ arlo program sill that do not introduce the concept of PD F of the virtual photon. N evertheless, so long as $P^{2} \quad \overline{M^{2}} \quad E_{T}^{2}$, the pointlike parts of PDF incorporate num erically im portant e ects of a part of higher order corrections, nam ely those com ing from collinear em ission of partons in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1} 1 \mathbf{1} 1$. This $m$ akes the concept of PDF very useful phenom enologically even for the virtual photon. To illustrate this
 only N LO parton levelM C program that includesboth the direct and resolved photon contributions and which can thus be used to investigate the im portance of the latter.

### 4.1 Structure of JE TV PP

All the above m entioned parton levelN LO M C program scontain the sam e fullset ofpartonic cross\{ sections for the direct photon contribution up the order $\quad{ }_{s}^{2}$. Exam ples of such diagram sin $1_{-1}^{1 / 1}$ are in
 contain also one\{loop corrections to ( $\quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { s }\end{array}\right)$ tree diagrams. They di er $m$ ainly in the technique used to regularize m ass singularities: M EP JET and JETVIP em ploy the slicing m ethod whereas D ISENT and D ISASTER use the subtraction m ethod. Num erical com parison of JETV $\mathbb{P}$ and the other codes can be found in $\left[\overline{2} \overline{2}_{1}, \overline{2} \overline{2}_{1},\right]$. To go one order of s higher and perform com plete calculation of the direct photon contributions up to order $\int_{s}^{3}$ would require evaluating tree diagram $s$ like those
 to diagram s like in Fig. in ina. So far, such calculations are not available.

In addition to com plete NLO direct photon contribution JETV $\mathbb{P}$ includes also the resolved photon one. O nce the concept of virtual photon structure is introduced, part of the direct photon
 subtracted from the direct contribution (which for the virtualphoton is nonsingular) and included in PDF appearing in the resolved photon contribution. To avoid confiusion we shall henceforth use the term \direct unsubtracted" ( $\mathrm{D} \mathbb{R}_{\text {uns }}$ ) to denote NLO direct photon contributions before this subtraction and reserve the term \direct" for the results after it. In this term inology the com plete calculations is then given by the sum of direct and resolved parts and denoted $D \mathbb{R}+\mathrm{RES}$.

[^5]At the order ${ }_{s}^{2}$ the addition of resolved photon contribution $m$ eans including diagram $s$ like those in Fig. inc-e, which involve convolutions of PDF from both proton and photon sides w ith
$\binom{2}{s}$ tree partonic cross\{sections. For a com plete $O\binom{2}{s}$ calculation this is all that has to be added to the $O\binom{2}{s}$ partonic cross\{sections in direct photon channel. H ow ever, for reasons discussed in detail in the next subsection, JETV P includes also NLO resolved contributions, which involve convolutions of PD F w th com plete ( $\left.\begin{array}{c}3 \\ s\end{array}\right)$ partonic cross\{sections (exam ples of relevant diagram $s$ are in $F$ igs. 1 are included. N evertheless, th is procedurem akes sense precisely because of a clear physicalm eaning of PDF of the virtual photon! N um erically, the inclusion of the $\binom{3}{s}$ resolved term $s$ tums out to be very im portant and in certain parts of the phase space leads to large increase of JE TV $\mathbb{P}$ results com pared to those of D ISENT, M EP JET or D ISA STER.

### 4.2 Factorization $m$ echanism in $p$ interactions

The $m$ ain argum ent for adding $\binom{3}{s}$ partonic cross\{sections in the resolved channel to $O\binom{2}{s}$ ones in the direct and $O\binom{2}{s}$ ones in the resolved channels, is based on speci c way factorization $m$ echanism works for processes involving photons in the in itial state. First, how ever, let us recall how the factorization works in hadronic collisions. Jet cross\{sections start as convolutions of ( $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \mathrm{~s}\end{aligned}$ ) partonic cross\{sections w th PD F ofbeam and target hadrons. T he factorization scale dependence of these PD F is cancelled by explicit factorization scale dependence of higher order parton ic cross $\{$ sections. This cancellation is exact provided all orders of perturbation theory ${ }_{1}^{121}$ are taken into account, but only partial in any nite order approxim ation, like the N LO one used in analyses of jet production at FERM ILAB. In hadronic collisions the inclusion of ( $\left.\begin{array}{l}3 \\ s\end{array}\right)$ partonic cross\{sections is thus vital for com pensation of the factorization scale dependence ofPD F in convolutionsw ith ( ${ }_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}$ ) partonic cross\{sections. The residual factorization scale dependence of such NLO approxim ations is form ally of the order ${ }_{s}^{4}$ and thus one order of $s$ higher than the term $s$ included in the NLO approxim ation.

In p collisions (w hether of real or virtual photon) the situation is di erent due to the presence
 (nonsinglet as well as singlet) the leading term ( $=2$ ) $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}^{(0)}$ in the expansion of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is independent of $s$ and consequently part of the factorization scale dependence of the $\binom{2}{s}$ resolved photon contribution is com pensated at the sam e order ${ }_{s}^{2}$. In further discussions we shall distinguish two factorization scales: one $(M)$ for the photon, and the other $\left(M_{p}\right)$ for the proton. The content
 diagram $s$, up to order ${ }_{s}^{4}$, relevant for our discussion. Som e of these diagram $s$ are connected by solid or dashed arrow S , representing graphically the e ects of variation of factorization scales M and $M_{p}$ respectively. T he vertical dashed arrow s connect diagram $s$ (w th low er blobs representing PDF of the proton, denoted $\left.D_{p}(x ; M p)\right)$ that di er in partonic cross\{sections by one order of $s$, re ecting the fact that the term $s$ on the rh.s. of evolution equation for $D_{p}\left(x ; M_{p}\right)$ start at the order s . For instance, the ( s ) direct photon diagram in Fig. ilia is related by what we call $M_{p}\left\{\right.$ factorization $w$ ith $\binom{2}{s}$ direct photon diagram in $F$ ig. 12,0 . Sim ilarly, the ( ${ }_{s}^{2}$ ) resolved photon diagram in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{1} 12 / d$ is related by $M_{p}\left\{\right.$ factorization to $\binom{\overline{3}}{s}$ resolved photon diagram in $F$ ig. , at order ${ }_{s}^{k+1}$, one w ith quark and the other with ghon com ing from the proton blob. N ote that $M_{p}\{$ factorization operates $w$ thin either direct or resolved contributions separately, never relating one type of term sw ith the other.

[^6]

Figure 12: E xam ples of diagram s related by factorization $m$ echanism. Only powers of $s$ in parton level cross\{sections are counted.

For M \{factorization this cancellation $m$ echanism has a new feature. Sim ilarly as for hadrons, the $\binom{2}{s}$ resolved photon diagram in $F$ ig. factorization to the ( $\left.\begin{array}{l}3 \\ s\end{array}\right)$ resolved photon diagram $s$ in $F$ ig. ${ }_{2}$
 for PDF of the photon im plies additional relation (which we call inhom ogeneous M \{factorization) between direct and resolved photon diagram s at the same order of s, represented by horizontal solid arrows. For instance, the LO resolved contribution com ing from diagram in Fig. .īiz is related not only by hom ogeneous $M$ \{factorization to the $\binom{3}{s}$ resolved photon diagram sill 1 gh, but also by inhom ogeneous M \{factorization to the $\binom{2}{s}$ direct photon diagram in $F$ ig.

$\left(\begin{array}{l}4 \\ \mathrm{~s}\end{array}\right.$ ) resolved term s (not show n ) and by inhom ogeneous M \{factorization to the ( $\begin{array}{l}3 \\ \mathrm{~s}\end{array}$ ) direct diagram in Fig. 1

The argum ent for adding the $\binom{3}{s}$ resolved photon diagram sto the $\binom{2}{s}$ resolved photon ones relies on the fact that w ithin the resulting set ofN LO resolved contributions the hom ogeneous M \{factorization operates in the sam e way as the $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ \{factorization does within the set of NLO direct ones! However, contrary to the hadronic case, the $\binom{3}{s}$ parton level cross\{sections do not constitute after convolutions w ith photonic PD F a com plete set of ${ }_{s}^{3}$ contributions, the rest com ing from the $\binom{3}{s}$ direct ones. A s these $\binom{3}{s}$ direct photon contributions have not yet been calculated, the set of contributions included in JE TVIP does not constitute the com plete O ( $\left.\begin{array}{l}3 \\ \mathrm{~s}\end{array}\right)$ calculation. The lacking term $s$, like that com ing from the diagram '1른, w ould provide cancellation $m$ echanism at the order ${ }_{s}^{3} w$ ith respect to the inhom ogeneous $M$ \{factorization. In the absence of ( $\left.\begin{array}{c}3 \\ s\end{array}\right)$ direct photon calculations, we thus have two options:

To stay with in the fram ew ork of com plete $0\binom{2}{s}$ calculations, including the LO resolved and NLO direct contributions, but w ith no $m$ echanism for the cancellation of the dependence of PDF of the virtual photon on the factorization scale M .

To add to the previous fram ew ork the $\binom{3}{s}$ resolved photon contribution, which provide the necessary cancellation $m$ echan ism $w$ ith respect to hom ogeneous $M$ (factorization, but do not represent a com plete set of $\binom{3}{\mathrm{~s}}$ contributions.

In our view the second strategy, adopted in JTV $\mathbb{P}$, is m ore appropriate. In fact one can look at $\binom{3}{s}$ resolved photon term $s$ as results of approxim ate evaluation of the so far uncalculated $\binom{3}{s}$ direct photon diagram $s$ in the collinear kinem atics. For instance, so long as $P^{2} \quad M^{2}$ taking into account only the pointlike part of $D\left(x ; \mathrm{P}^{2} ; \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ in the upper blob of F ig. ing should be a good approxim ation of the contribution of the direct photon diagram in $F$ ig.
$\binom{3}{s}$ direct photon diagram s that cannot be approxim ated in this way, but we are convinced that it m akes sense to build phenom enology on this fram ew ork.

For the $\binom{2}{s}$ resolved term $s$ the so far unknown $\binom{3}{s}$ direct photon contributions provide the rst chance to generate pointlike gluons inside the photon: the gluon in the upper blob in resolved photon diagram in Fig. iniem ust be radiated by a quark that com es from prim ary ! qq splitting, for instance as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 contributions, for instance in Fig. require evaluating diagram $s$, like that in $F$ ig. 1 the pointlike parts of quark and gluon distribution functions of the virtual photon are in a sense included in higher order perturbative corrections and can therefore be considered as expressions of \interactions" rather than \structure" of the virtualphoton, their uniqueness and phenom enological usefulness de nitely warrant their introduction as well as nam e.

### 4.3 Theoretical uncertainties

NLO calculations of jet cross\{sections are a ected by a num ber of ambiguities caused by the truncation of perturbation expansions as well as by uncertainties related to the input quantities and conversion of parton level quantities to hadron level observables.

### 4.3.1 C hoice of PD F

We have taken CTEQ4M and SAS1D sets of PDF of the proton and photon respectively as our principalchoige. B oth of these sets treat quarks, including the heavy ones, as $m$ assless above their respective $m$ ass thresholds, as required by JETVIP, which uses LO and NLO matrix elem ents of $m$ assless partons. PDF of the proton are fairly well determ ined from global analyses of CTEQ and MRS groups and we have therefore estim ated the residual uncertainty related to the choice of PDF of the proton by com paring the CTEQ 4M results to those obtained with MRS (2R) set. The di erences are very small, between $1 \%$ at $=2: 5$ and $3.5 \%$ at $=0$, independently of $\mathrm{P}^{2}$.

For the photon we took the Schuler-Sjostrand param eterizations for two reasons. First, they provide separate param eterizations of VDM and pointlike com ponents of all PD F, which is crucial for physically transparent interpretation of JE TV IP results. Secondly, they represent the only set of photonic PDF w ith physically wellm otivated virtuality dependence, which is com patible w ith the way JETV IP treats heavy quarks. T he GRS sets 141 , the only other param eterizations w ith built-in virtuality dependence, are incom patible w ith J TV IP because they treat c and b quarks as $m$ assive and, consequently, require calculating their contribution to physical quantities via the boson glion or ghon gluon fusion involving exact $m$ assive $m$ atrix elem ents.

### 4.3.2 The choice of $n_{f}$

JETVIP, as well as other NLO parton calculations of jet cross\{sections, workswith a xed number $n_{f}$ ofm assless quarks, that $m$ ust be chosen accordingly. $T$ his is not a sim ple task, as the num ber of quarks that can be considered e ectively $m$ assless depends on kinem atical variables characterizing the hardness of the collision. C onsequently, the optim al choice of $n_{f} m$ ay not be unique for the whole kinem atical region under consideration. The usual procedure is to run such program $s$ for two (or $m$ ore) relevant values of $n_{f}$ and use the ensuing di erence as an estim ate of theoretical unœertainty related to the approxim ate treatm ent of heavy quark contributions.

The number $n_{f}$ enters NLO calculations in three places: im plicitly in $s()$ and PDF and explicitly in LO and NLO parton level cross\{sections. In our selected region of phase space the appropriate value of $n_{f}$ lies som ew here betw een $n_{f}=4$ and $n_{f}=5, \mathrm{w}$ th the latter value representing the upper bound on the results (so far unavailable) that would take the b-quark mass e ects properly into account. W e have therefore run JETV $\mathbb{P}$ for both $n_{f}=4$ and $n_{f}=5$ and com pared their results. They di er, not suprisingly, very little ${ }_{1}^{n 31}$. Explicit calculations give at most 10\% in the direct channel and $5 \%$ in the resolve done. All the results presented below correspond to $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}=5$.

### 4.3.3 Factorization and renorm alization scale dependence

As mentioned in the previous subsection, proton and photon are associated in principle with di erent factorization scales $M_{p}$ and $M$, but we followed the standard practice of assum ing

[^7]$\mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{M}$ and set $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}$. The factorization scale dependence was quanti ed by perform ing the calculations for $=0: 5 ; 1$ and $=2$.

The dependence of nite order perturbative calculations on the renorm alization scale is in principle a separate ambiguity, but we have again followed the com m on practice of identifying these two scales $=$ M . To re ect this identi cation, we shall in the follow ing use the term \scale dependence" to describe the dependence on this com m on scale.

### 4.3.4 H adronization corrections

JE TVIP, as a well as other NLO codes evaluate jet cross\{sections at the parton level. For a m eaningful com parison w ith experim ental data they $m$ ust therefore be corrected for e ects describing the conversion of partons to observable hadrons. T hese so called hadronization corrections are not sim ple to de ne, but adopting the de nition used by experim entalists $\overline{\underline{2}} \overline{-} \overline{1} 1$ we have found that they depended sensitively and in a correlated $m$ anner on transverse energies and pseudorapidities of jets. In order to avoid regions of phase space where they becom e large we im posed on both jets the condition $2: 5$ (i) 0 . In this region hadronization corrections are at in and do not exceed $10 \%$, whereas for $=2: 5$ they steeply rise $w$ ith decreasing. This by itself would not require excluding this region, the problem is that in this region hadronization corrections becom e also very $\mathrm{m} u \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{m}$ odel dependent and therefore im possible to estim ate reliably. D etailed analysis of various aspects of estim ating these hadronization, with particular em phasize on their im plication for jet production at HERA, is contained in [2] ${ }_{1} \mathbf{I}_{1}$.

### 4.3.5 Lim itation s of JE TV IP calculations

D espite its undisputable advantage over the calculations that do not introduce the concept of virtual photon structure, also JETVIP has a draw back because it does not represent a com plete N LO Q CD calculation of jets cross\{sections. This is true in the conventional approach to photon ic interactions, and even $m$ ore in the reform ulation suggested by one of us in [六]. In the conventional approach the incom pletness is related to the fact that there is no NLO param eterization of PDF of the virtual photon com patible w th JETVIP treatm ent of heavy quarks. N ote that in the standard approach the inclusion of $\binom{3}{s}$ partonic cross\{sections in the resolved photon channel is justi ed by the claim that their convolution w ith photonic PDF are of the sam e order ${ }_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}$ as the direct photon ones. In the reform ulation [1] [his incom pletness has deeper causes. It re ects the lack of appropriate input PDF but also the fact that a com plete NLO approxim ation requires the inclusion of direct photon contribution of the order ${ }_{s}^{3}$, which is so far not available. N evertheless, we reiterate that it m akes sense to build phenom enology upon the current JETVIP fram ew ork and the concept of PDF of the virtual photon is just the necessary tool for accom plishing it.

### 4.4 D ijet production at HERA

W e shall now discuss the $m$ ain features of dijet cross\{sections calculated by means of JE TVIP.To $m$ ake our conclusions potentially relevant for ongoing analyses of HERA data we have chosen the follow ing kinem atical region

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{c}}+; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\stackrel{(2)}{ } \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{c}} ; \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{c}}=5 \mathrm{GeV} ; \quad=2 \mathrm{GeV}} \begin{array}{c}
2: 5 \\
\text { (i) } \quad 0 ; i=1 ; 2
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

in four w indow s of photon virtuality

$$
1: 4 \quad \mathrm{P}^{2} \quad 2: 4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} ; 2: 4 \quad \mathrm{P}^{2} \quad 4: 4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} ; 4: 4 \quad \mathrm{P}^{2} \quad 10 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} ; 10 \quad \mathrm{P}^{2} \quad 25 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}
$$

The cuts on $E_{T}$ were chosen in such a way that throughout the region $P^{2} \quad E_{T}^{2}$, thereby ensuring that the virtual photon lives long enough for its \structure" to develop before the hard scattering takes place. The asym $m$ etric cut option is appropriate for our decision to plot the sum $S$ of $E_{T}$ and distributions of the jets $w$ ith highest and second highest $E_{T}$. The choige of $=2 \mathrm{GeV}$, based on a detailed investigation [2] [2] of the dependence of the integral over the selected region on , avoids the region where th is dependence possesses unphysical features.

In our analysis jets are de ned by means of the cone algorithm. At NLO parton level all jet algorithm s are essentially equivalent to the cone one, supplem ented with the param eter $\mathrm{R}_{\text {sep }}$, introduced in $[\underline{3} \overline{0} \overline{0}]$ in order to bridge the gap betw een the application of the cone algorithm to NLO parton level calculations and to hadronic system (from data or M C), where one encounters ambiguities related to seed selection and jet merging. In a general cone algorithm two objects (partons, hadrons or calorim etric cells) belong to a jet if they are within the distance $R$ from the jet center. T heir relative distance satis es, how ever, a weaker condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j}=\frac{q}{(i j)^{2}+(i j)^{2}} \frac{E_{T_{i}}+E_{T_{j}}}{\max \left(E_{T_{i}} ; E_{T_{j}}\right)} R: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he param eter $R_{\text {sep }}$ govems the $m$ axim aldistance betw een two partons $w$ ithin a single jet, i.e. two partons form a jet only if their relative distance $R_{i j}$ satis es the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j} \quad m \text { in } \frac{E_{T_{i}}+E_{T_{j}}}{\max \left(E_{T_{i}} ; E_{T_{j}}\right)} R_{i} R_{\text {sep }} \text { : } \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The question which value of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {sep }}$ to choose for the com parison of NLO parton level calculations $w$ ith the results of the cone algorithm at the hadron level is nontrivialand we shalltherefore present $N$ LO results for both extrem e choioes $R_{\text {sep }}=R$ and $R_{\text {sep }}=2 R$. To de nem om enta of jets JETVIP uses the standard $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}$ \{w eighting recom bination procedure, which leads to m assless jets.

### 4.5 R esults

To asses phenom enological im portance of the concept of PD F of virtual photons we now com pare JE TVIP results obtained in the $D \mathbb{R}_{\text {uns }} m$ ode, where this concept is not introduced at all, w ith those of the $D \mathbb{R}+$ RES one, in which the contribution of the resolved photon is added to the subtracted direct one. $T$ he di erence betw een these tw o results $m$ easures the nontrivial aspects of PDF of the virtual photon.
$W$ e start by plotting in $F$ ig. 1 1:4 $\quad P^{2} \quad 2: 4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. All curves correspond to $\mathrm{R}_{\text {sep }}=2$. T he di erence betw een the solid and dashed curves is signi cant in the whole range of , but becom es truly large close to the upper edge
$=0$, where the $D \mathbb{R}+$ RES results exceed the $D \mathbb{R}_{\text {uns }}$ ones by a factor of about 3 ! In $d=d E_{T}$ distributions this di erence com es predom inantly from the region of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}$ close to the low er cut\{o $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{C}}+=7 \mathrm{GeV} . \mathrm{F}$ ig. $11_{-1}^{-1} \mathrm{also}$ show s that the scale dependence is nonnegligible for both $\mathrm{D} \mathbb{R}$ uns and $D \mathbb{R}+R E S$ results, but does not invalidate the $m$ ain conclusion draw $n$ from this com parison. Interestingly, the scale dependence is weaker for the $D \mathbb{R}+R E S$ results than for the $D \mathbb{R}$ uns ones. $F$ ig. 114 docum ents that the above results depend only very weakly on $R$ sep .

To track down the origins of the observed large di erences betw een $D \mathbb{R}+R E S$ and $D \mathbb{R}$ uns results we com pare in Fig. 1 (denoted $D \mathbb{R}$ ). The di erence betw een the $D \mathbb{R}+\operatorname{RES}$ and $D \mathbb{R}$ curves, giving the resolved photon contribution $d$ res=d, is further split into the follow ing contributions:

VDM part of photonic PDF convoluted w ith com plete NLO parton level cross\{sections (denoted NLO VDM).

$F$ igure 13: Scale dependence of the distributions $d=d$ and $d=d E_{T}$ at the NLO. A $1 l$ curves correspond to $R_{\text {sep }}=2 R$.

$F$ igure 14: $R_{\text {sep }}$ dependence of $d=d$ and $d=d E_{T}$ distributions. Allcurves correspond to $=E_{T}$.


Figure 15: C om parison of $D \mathbb{R}+\mathrm{RES}, \mathrm{D} \mathbb{R}_{\text {uns }}$ and $D \mathbb{R}$ results for $d=d \quad$ (left plots) and individual contributions to $d{ }^{\text {res }}=\mathrm{d}$, described in the text (right plots). The thin solid curve corresponds to convolution of the splltting term $(\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ in $)$ ith $\underset{q}{\text { res }}\binom{2}{s}$ parton level cross\{sections.


Figure 16: Fractional contributions to $d^{\text {res }}=\mathrm{d}$. U pper and low er dotted (dashed \{dotted) curves correspond to pointlike quarks (ghons) convoluted with O ( $\left.\begin{array}{c}3 \\ s\end{array}\right)$ and O ( $\left.\begin{array}{l}2 \\ s\end{array}\right)$ partonic cross\{sections. $T$ he dashed curve in a) corresponds to the NLO VDM contribution. The solid curves denote the ratio $D \mathbb{R}_{\text {uns }}=D \mathbb{R}+R E S$.


Figure 17：$N$ ontriviality fractions $R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$ as functions of and $P^{2}$ ．
 displayed separately in the upper right plot off ig．i＇5．The fulln LO resolved photon contribution is given as the sum

Fractional contributions of LO and NLO term sto res com ing separately from pointlike quarks and ghons are plotted in Fig．inga as functions of ．Several conclusions can be draw n from $F$ igs．高家们㤩：

The contribution of the VDM part of photonic PDF is very sm all and perceptible only close to $=0$ ．Integrally it am ounts to about $3 \%$ ．U sing SaS2D param eterizations would roughly double this num ber．

The inclusion of $\begin{aligned} \text { res }\end{aligned}\binom{3}{\mathrm{~s}}$ parton level crossfsections in the resolved photon channel is num er－ ically very im portant throughout the range $2: 5 \quad 0$ ．Interestingly，the $e_{i}^{\text {res }}\binom{3}{s}$ results are close，particularly for the pointlike quarks，to the ${ }_{i}^{\text {res }}\binom{2}{s}$ ones．

At both ${ }_{s}^{2}$ and ${ }_{s}^{3}$ orders pointlike quarks dom inate $d{ }^{\text {res }}=\mathrm{d}$ at large negative ，whereas as ！ 0 the fraction of ${ }^{\text {res }}=\mathrm{d}$ com ing from pointlike ghons increases tow ards $40 \%$ at $=0$ ．

We em phasize that pointlike gluons carry nontrivial inform ation already in convolutions with res（ $\left.\begin{array}{l}2 \\ s\end{array}\right)$ partonic cross\｛sections because in unsubtracted direct calculations such contributions
 w ith res（ $\left.\begin{array}{l}3 \\ \mathrm{~s}\end{array}\right)$ parton ic cross ${ }^{2}$ sections w ould be included in direct unsubtracted calculations starting also at the order ${ }_{s}^{3}$ ，whereas for pointlike gluons th is w ould require evaluating the unsubtracted

[^8]direct term s of even higher order $\quad{ }_{s}^{4}$ ! For instance, the contribution of diagram in $F$ ig. 'iरig would be included in the contribution of diagram in $F$ ig. .izif. Sim ilarly, the results of diagram in $F$ ig. , $\overline{1} \overline{2} h$ w ould come as part of the results of evaluating the diagram in Fig. in m .

In JETVIP the nontrivial aspects of taking into account the $\underset{i}{\text { res }}\binom{3}{\mathrm{~s}}$ resolved photon contributions can be characterized ${ }_{-1}^{1-1}$ by the \nontriviality fractions" $R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$
which quantify the fractions of res that are not included in NLO unsubtracted direct calculations. $T$ hese fractions are plotted as functions of and $P^{2}$ in Fig . $\mathrm{i}_{1}$. N ote that at $=0 \mathrm{alm}$ ost 70\% of
${ }^{\text {res }}$ com es from these origins. This fraction rises even further in the region $>0$, which, how ever, is experim entally di cult to access.

So far we have discussed the situation in the rst w indow of photon virtuality, i.e. for 1:4 $P^{2} \quad 2: 4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. As $\mathrm{P}^{2}$ increases the pattems of scale and $\mathrm{R}_{\text {sep }}$ dependencies change very little.

$T$ he $D \mathbb{R}_{\text {ns }}$ contributions represent an increasing fractions of the $D \mathbb{R}+R E S$ results.
The relative contribution of pointlike glions w ith respect to pointlike quarks decreases.
$T$ he nontriviality factor P (which com es entirely from pointlike gluons) decreases, whereas $R_{3}$, which is dom inated by pointlike quarks and at in, is alm ost independent of $P^{2}$.

All these features of $\operatorname{JTV} \mathbb{P}$ results re ect the fundam ental fact that as $\mathrm{P}^{2}$ rises tow ards the factorizations scale $M^{2} \quad E_{T}^{2}$ the higher order e ects inconporated in pointlike parts of photon ic PDF vanish and consequently the unsubtracted direct results approach the D $\mathbb{R}+$ RES ones. The crucial point is that for pointlike quarks and gluons this approach is govemed by the ratio $P^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}$ appearing in the multiplicative factor ( $1 \quad \mathrm{P}^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}$ ). The nontrivial e ects included in PDF of the virtual photon $w$ ill thus persist for anbitrarily large $P^{2}$, provided we stay in the region where $P^{2} \quad M^{2}$. M oreover, they are so large, that they should be visible already in existing HERA data. Provided the basic ideas behind the Schuler\{Sjostrand param eterizations of PDF of the virtual photon are correct, our analysis shows that the calculations that do not introduce the concept of virtual photon structure should signi cantly undershoot the available HERA data on dijet production in the kinem atical region $1 . \mathrm{P}^{2} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}} .5 \mathrm{GeV}, 2: 5 \quad 0$, in particular for ' $0 . P$ ublished as well prelm inary data discussed in

## 5 Sum $m$ ary and conclusions

W e have analyzed the physicalcontent of parton distribution functions of the virtualphoton $w$ ith in the fram ew ork form ulated by Schuler and $S$ jostrand, which provides physically m otivated separation of quark and gluon distribution functions into their hadronic (VDM) and pointlike parts. W e have show $n$ that the inherent am biguity of th is separation, num erically large for the realphoton, becom es phenom enologically largely irrelevant for virtual photons with $P^{2} \& 23 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. In this region quark and gluon distribution functions of the virtual photon are dom inated by their (reasonably unique) pointlike parts, which have clear physicalorigins. W e have analyzed the nontrivial aspects of these pointlike distribution fiunctions and, in particular, pointed out the role of pointlike ghons in leading order calculations of jet cross\{section at HERA.

[^9]The conclusions $m$ ade $w$ thin the fram ew ork of LO QCD have been con $m$ ed, and in a sense even strengthened, in our analysis of N LO parton level calculations using JETV $\mathbb{P} . W$ e have found a signi cant di erence betw een JETVIP results in approaches w ith and w thout the concept of virtual photon structure. W hile for the real photon analogous di erence is in part ascribed to the VDM part of photonic PDF, for m oderately virtual photons it com es alm ost entirely from the pointlike parts of quark and gluon distribution functions. A though their contributions are in principle contained in higher order calculations which do not use the concept of PDF, in practige this would require calculating at least $\binom{3}{s}$ and $\binom{4}{s}$ unsubtracted direct contributions. In the absence of such calculations the concept of PD F of the virtual photon is therefore very useful phenom enologically and, indeed, indispensable for satisfactory description of existing data.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In higher orders this am biguity includes also the arbitrariness of the coe cients i;i 2 .
    ${ }^{2}$ In the follow ing the adjective \dressed" w illbe dropped, and if not stated otherw ise, allPD F w ill be understood to pertain to the photon.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Section 2.6 of $\left[\overline{1_{1}}\right]$, in particular eq. (2 31 ), for discussion of this point.
    ${ }^{4}$ Som etim es also called \VD M part" because it is usually m odelled by PD F of vector m esons.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ The properties of SaS1M and SaS2M param eterizations are sim ilar.
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{C}$ alled for short \pointlike quarks" and \pointlike gluons" in the follow ing.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~T}$ he fact that for the $\mathrm{c}\left\{\right.$ quark the SaS2D param eterization is close to the curve corresponding to $q^{\text {sp } 1 \mathrm{lt}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{M}{ }_{0}^{2} ; \mathrm{M}^{2}\right.$ ), whereas SaS1D lies substantially below, re ects the fact that $M_{0}>m_{c}$ for $S a S 2 D$, but $M_{0}<m_{c}$ for SaS1D

[^4]:    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{All}$ quantities correspond to pam .
    ${ }^{9}$ In realistic $Q C D$ analyses of tw $\circ$ jets $w$ ith highest and second highest $E_{T}$. Jets $w$ ith highest and second highest $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}$ are labelled $\backslash 1 "$ and $\backslash 2 "$.

[^5]:    
    ${ }^{11}$ In th is subsection the various term $s$ considered $w$ illbe characterized by the powers of and $s$ that appear in hard scattering cross\{sections. In the corresponding Feynm an diagram s of $F$ ig. '12' these powers are given by the num ber of electrom agnetic and strong vertices. W riting ( $\left.\begin{array}{cc}j & k \\ s\end{array}\right) w$ ill thus m ean pärton level cross\{sections proportional to
    ${ }_{j}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{s}$, not term s up to this order! For the latter we shallem ploy the standard symbolo ( $\left.\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{k} \\ \mathrm{s}\end{array}\right)$. Because PD F of the photon are proportional to , their convolutions in the resolved channelw ith partonic cross\{sections ( $\left.\begin{array}{c}k \\ s\end{array}\right)$ are of the sam e order as parton ic cross\{sections ( $\left.\begin{array}{l}k \\ s\end{array}\right)$ in the direct channel. For approxim ations taking into account the rst tw o or three powers of $s$, in either direct or resolved channel, the denom ination NLO and NNLO willbe used.

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ In perturbation expansions of parton ic cross\{sections as well as splitting functions.

[^7]:    ${ }^{13}$ A s the contribution of the b-quark in both direct and resolved channels is proportional to its charge, we except it to am ount to about $e_{b}^{2}=\left(e_{u}^{2}+e_{c}^{2}+e_{d}^{2}+e_{s}^{2}\right)=0: 1$ of the sum of light quark ones.

[^8]:    ${ }^{14}$ For instance，the resolved photon diagram in Fig．＇ilie would com e as part of the of evaluating the unsubtracted direct diagram in F ig． $1 \mathbf{1} 2 \mathrm{k}$ ．
    ${ }^{15}$ That is，the one given by the splitting term（23）．

[^9]:    ${ }^{16}$ D isregarding the VDM part of resolved contribution which is tiny in our region of photon virtualities.

