

June, 2000

The $\hat{sl}(2)$ affine Toda model coupled to the matter: solitons and confinement

Harold Blas²

Instituto de Física Teórica
Universidade Estadual Paulista
Rua Pamplona 145,
01405-900 - São Paulo, S.P.
Brazil

ABSTRACT

The so-called conformal affine Toda theory coupled to the matter fields (CATM), associated to the $\hat{sl}(2)$ affine Lie algebra, is studied. The conformal symmetry is fixed by setting a connection to zero, then one defines an o -critical model, the affine Toda model coupled to the matter (ATM). The quantum version of this reduction process is discussed by means of the perturbative Lagrangian viewpoint, showing that the ATM theory is a spontaneously broken and reduced version of the CATM model. We show, using bosonization techniques that the o -critical theory decouples into a sine-Gordon model and a free scalar. Using the "dressing" transformation method we construct the explicit forms of the one and two-soliton classical solutions, and show that a physical bound soliton-antisoliton pair (breather) does not exist. Moreover, we verify that these solutions share some features of the sine-Gordon (massive Thirring) solitons, and satisfy the classical equivalence of topological and Noether currents in the ATM model. Imposing the Noether and topological currents equivalence as a constraint, one can show that the ATM model leads to a bag model like mechanism for the confinement of the $U(1)$ "color" charge inside the sine-Gordon solitons (baryons).

¹Prepared for Hadron Physics 2000 Workshop, Caraguatatuba, SP, Brazil, 10-15 Apr, 2000.

²e-mail: blas@ift.unesp.br

1 Introduction

Two-dimensional field theories have been widely explored in the last years and various phenomena such as dynamical mass generation, asymptotic freedom, and quark confinement, relevant in more realistic models, have been tested. It is well known the relevance of localized classical solutions of non-linear relativistic field equations to the corresponding quantum theories [1]. In particular, solitons can be associated with quantum extended-particle states. This picture will be valid for the 'gauge' field of our model. The relevance of the solitons to non-perturbative phenomena comes, in general, from the fact that their interactions are inversely proportional to the coupling constants governing the dynamics of the fundamental fields appearing in the Lagrangian. Therefore, the solitons are weakly coupled in the strong regime of the theory, and that is the basic fact underlying several duality ideas. The reason is that one can describe the theory in the strong coupling regime, by replacing the fundamental Lagrangian by another one where the excitations of its fields correspond to the solitonic states.

We will be interested in the conformal α -Toda system coupled to the matter fields (CATM) [2]. In [3] the authors have studied the classical solitonic solutions, and one of the remarkable features of the model, the equivalence of the Noether and topological currents, and its consequences for the confinement mechanism were outlined. The first thing to be established is if such equivalence is not spoiled by quantum anomalies the currents may present. Fortunately, that issue can be established exactly by using, instead of perturbative approaches, bosonization techniques following the lines of [4]. By bosonizing the spinor field, it is shown that the reduced theory made of the spinor and "gauge" particles, the so-called α -Toda model coupled to the matter (ATM), is equivalent to a theory of a free massless scalar and a sine-Gordon field. In addition, the condition for the equivalence of the Noether and topological currents is simply the condition that the free massless scalar modes must be forbidden in the physical spectrum. Therefore, by performing a quantum reduction where the excitations of the free scalar are eliminated, we obtain a submodel where the equivalence of those currents holds true exactly at the quantum level, proving that there are no anomalies. Consequently, we show that in such reduced theory the confinement of the spinor particles does take place. An important property of the model is that it possesses another type of spinor particle. That is obtained by fermionizing the sine-Gordon field using the well known equivalence between the sine-Gordon and massive Thirring models [5]. In that scenario the solitons of the sine-Gordon model are interpreted as the spinor particles of the Thirring theory. We are then lead to an interesting analogy with what one expects to happen in QCD. The original spinor particles of our model that get confined inside the solitons play the role of the quarks, and the second spinor particle (Thirring), which are the solitons, play the role of the hadrons. The $U(1)$ Noether charge is also confined and is analogous to color in QCD (however, see a companion paper [6]). In this sense, our model constitute an excellent laboratory to test ideas about confinement, the role of solitons in quantum field theory, and dualities interchanging the role of solitons and fundamental particles.

The construction of the conformal α -Toda system coupled to the matter fields (CATM), in the particular case of the α -Lie algebra $\hat{sl}(2)$; using the parlance of the original reference, will be summarised in the following subsection. In section 2 we will obtain, using

the dressing procedure formalism, the classical solitonic solutions of the model [3]. In this paper we will provide the explicit forms of the two-soliton solutions and compare with the ones of the sine-Gordon theory. In section 3 we will consider some quantum aspects of the model. We use the perturbative Lagrangian point of view to have an insight into the quantum structure of the theory [7]. Moreover, by bosonizing the spinor field, and subsequently performing a quantum reduction, we outline a convenient mechanism present in a special class of models [3].

1.1 The model

We discuss the example associated with the principal gradation of the untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$. This belongs to a special class of models introduced in [2] possessing a $U(1)$ Noether current depending only on the matter fields. It is then possible, under some circumstances, to choose one solution in each orbit of the conformal group, such that for these solutions, that $U(1)$ current is proportional to a topological current depending only on the (gauge) zero grade fields. The zero curvature condition in light-cone coordinates $x^\pm = t \pm x$ takes the form (for $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$ affine Lie algebra theory, notations and conventions used here, see, for example, an appendix of Ref [8])

$$\partial_+ A_- - \partial_- A_+ + [A_+, A_-] = 0; \quad (1.1)$$

The connections are of the form

$$A_+ = B F^+ B^{-1}; \quad A_- = \partial_- B B^{-1} + F_-; \quad (1.2)$$

where the mapping B is parametrized as

$$B = b e^{Q_s} e^{Q_s} = e^{H^0} e^{bc} e^{Q_s}; \text{ with } b = e^{i\phi^0}; \quad (1.3)$$

and so $b = e^{\frac{1}{2}\phi^0}$: Here Q_s ($s = (1; 1)$) is the grading operator for the principal gradation [9].

The special class of models in which the $U(1)$ Noether current is proportional to a topological current, occur for those models where the first constant terms of the nonvanishing grade potentials $F_\pm$ are equal to N_s ; ($N_s = s_1 + s_2 = 2$) respectively. So, the potentials $F_\pm$ are of the form

$$F_+^+ = E_{N_s} + F_1^+; \quad F_- = E_{-N_s} + F_1^-; \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$F_1^+ = 2 \frac{P}{im} (\partial_- E_+^0 + \partial_+ E_-^1); F_1^- = 2 \frac{P}{im} (\partial_- E_+^{-1} - \partial_+ E_-^0); \quad (1.5)$$

and $E_{N_s} = m H^{-1}$ ($m = \text{constant}$):

Introducing the Dirac fields $T = (\partial_-; \partial_+)$ and substituting the explicit form of the connections b and $F_\pm$ into (1.1), we get the following equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 T^+ &= -4m \partial_-^2 e^{+2\phi^0}; \\ \partial^2 e &= -2m \partial_-^2 (1 - \partial_-^2) e^{+2\phi^0} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 e^2; \\ \partial^2 &= 0; \text{ i } \partial_- = m e^{+2\phi^0}; m \partial_+ = -4m; \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

The corresponding Lagrangian has the form

$$\frac{1}{k}L = \frac{1}{4} \partial_- \phi \partial_+ \phi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_- \phi \partial_- \phi - \frac{1}{8} m^2 e^2 + i \overline{\partial_- \phi} \partial_+ \phi - m \overline{e} e^{+2\phi} : \quad (1.7)$$

It is real (for ϕ = real constant) if e is proportional to the complex conjugate of ϕ ; and if ϕ is pure imaginary. This will be true for the particular solutions of (1.6) such as: the 1-soliton (1-antisoliton), soliton-soliton (antisoliton-antisoliton).

The equations (1.6) are invariant under the conformal transformation

$$x_+ \rightarrow f(x_+); x_- \rightarrow g(x_-); \quad (1.8)$$

with f and g being analytic functions; and with the fields transforming conveniently.

Therefore we can construct two chiral currents

$$J(x_+) = m \partial_+ \phi + m \partial_+ \phi - 2im e_R \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{J}(x_-) = m \partial_- \phi + m \partial_- \phi + 2im e_L; \quad (1.9)$$

satisfying

$$\partial_- J = 0; \quad \partial_+ \overline{J} = 0; \quad (1.10)$$

Defining the current

$$\mathcal{F}_+ = -2im e_R; \quad \mathcal{F}_- = -2im e_L; \quad (1.11)$$

we may check that it is conserved

$$\partial_- \mathcal{F}_+ = 0; \quad (1.12)$$

It is the U(1) Noether current. In addition, this model possesses the current

$$\mathcal{Q}_+ = m \partial_+ \phi; \quad \mathcal{Q}_- = m \partial_- \phi; \quad (1.13)$$

which is a topological current, i.e., it is conserved independently of the equations of motion

$$\partial_- \mathcal{Q}_+ = 0; \quad (1.14)$$

Under the conformal transformations the chiral currents transform as

$$J(x_+) \rightarrow (\ln f'(x_+))^{-1} (J(x_+) - m (\ln f'(x_+))^0); \\ \overline{J}(x_-) \rightarrow (\ln g'(x_-))^{-1} (\overline{J}(x_-) - m (\ln g'(x_-))^0); \quad (1.15)$$

One concludes that, given a solution of the model, one can always map it, under a conformal transformation, into a solution where

$$J(x_+) = \overline{J}(x_-) = 0; \quad (1.16)$$

Such a procedure amounts to a gauge fixing of the conformal symmetry. We are choosing one solution in each orbit of the conformal group. The degree of freedom eliminated corresponds to the field ϕ : So, in the model defined by (1.7) one observes that the Noether current $\mathcal{J}$ and the topological current $\mathcal{Q}$ are equal $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{Q}$; as a result of the field equations.

From now on, unless otherwise stated, we consider the Lagrangian (1.7) with its conformal symmetry gauge fixed by choosing $\phi = \phi_0 = \text{const.}$ Then we define the ϕ -critical model

$$L_{\text{ATM}} = \frac{1}{4} \partial_\mu \phi' \partial^\mu \phi' + i \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{8} m^2 \phi^2; \quad (1.17)$$

which we call a ϕ -Toda model coupled to the matter (ATM).

Now, let us study the symmetries of this Lagrangian. The conservation law (1.12) corresponds to the global $U(1)$ symmetry

$$\psi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \psi; \quad \phi' \rightarrow \phi'; \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha} \bar{\psi}; \quad \text{with the Noether current } J = \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi; \quad (1.18)$$

The fields ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ have charges 1 and -1, respectively; and ϕ' has charge zero. The global chiral $U(1)$ symmetry

$$\psi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \psi; \quad \phi' \rightarrow \phi' - i\alpha; \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha} \bar{\psi}; \quad (1.19)$$

also leaves the action invariant. The relevant conservation law reads

$$\partial_\mu [\bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi'] = 0; \quad (1.20)$$

Let us next see the relationship between the Noether and topological currents. The topological current and charge are

$$j = \frac{1}{2i} \partial_\mu \phi'; \quad Q_{\text{topol}} = \int dx j^0; \quad (1.21)$$

Indeed, the Lagrangian (1.7) has infinitely many degenerate vacua due to the invariance under $\phi' \rightarrow \phi' + \text{in}$:

Combining the chiral current and the vector conservation laws; and applying the arguments presented above we can set $J = \bar{J} = 0$: This gives, altogether,

$$\frac{1}{2i} \partial_\mu \phi' = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi; \quad (1.22)$$

so that the topological and Noether currents are proportional.

The equation (1.22) means that the Noether density is non zero only where $\partial_\mu \phi' \neq 0$; that is inside the solitons. Thus the field is confined inside the solitons. It will hold at the quantum level after a suitable redefinition, we shall come back to this point below.

2 Dressing procedure and classical solitons

At this point the field seems to be a c-number field. It is well known, that in two dimensions the statistics of the fields depends upon the coupling constant; so, we will postpone the study

of their statistics to section 3. Field equations with the replacement of the Fermi fields by a c-number Dirac wave function have often been introduced, *ab initio*, in semiclassical calculations in the literature, particularly in constructing models for hadrons [1].

Therefore, we will examine the classical soliton type solutions to get insight into the quantum spectrum of the model in much the same way as in the remarkable sine-Gordon model. We shall argue that, at the classical level, the solutions for the ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ fields share some features of the sine-Gordon and the massive Thirring theories, respectively.

The dressing transformation procedure provides a powerful way of solving a wide class of nonlinear equations presenting soliton solutions [10]. For example, an application of the method to the vector NLS hierarchies can be found in [11]. We use this method to obtain the 1-soliton and 2-soliton solutions of the model. We want to study the behavior of the solitonic solutions taking care of the reality conditions of the fields ($e_R = \bar{e}_R$; $e_L = \bar{e}_L$ and $\psi = \text{pure imaginary}$ and $\bar{\psi} = \text{constant}$) which make the Lagrangian real.

Let us define $\psi = m H$ and let $j^{b_0} >$ and $j^{b_1} >$ be the highest weight states of two fundamental representations of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{SL}(2)$; respectively the scalar and spinor ones. Then the solutions on the orbit of the vacuum are the same as in the equations (10.31) of [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_R &= \frac{r}{i} \frac{\bar{m}}{i} \frac{01}{0}; & e_R &= \frac{r}{i} \frac{\bar{m}}{i} \frac{12}{1}; \\ \tau_L &= \frac{r}{i} \frac{\bar{m}}{i} \frac{11}{1}; & e_L &= \frac{r}{i} \frac{\bar{m}}{i} \frac{02}{0}; \\ e' &= \frac{1}{0}; & e^{(e_0)} &= 0; \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where the so-called tau functions are given by

$$0_{\pm 1} = \langle j^{b_{0,\pm}} | G | j^{b_{0,\pm}} \rangle; \quad (2.2)$$

$$0_1 = \langle j^{b_0} | j E^1 G | j^{b_0} \rangle; \quad 0_2 = \langle j^{b_0} | j G E_+^{-1} | j^{b_0} \rangle; \quad (2.3)$$

$$1_2 = \langle j^{b_1} | j E_+^0 G | j^{b_1} \rangle; \quad 1_1 = \langle j^{b_1} | j G E^0 | j^{b_1} \rangle; \quad (2.4)$$

with $G = e^{x_+} e^{x_-} e^{x_0}$ being a constant group element of the $\hat{SL}(2)$ Kac-Moody group.

2.1 The 1-soliton solutions

The soliton solutions of the system are constructed as follows. Let us choose

$$\psi = e^{p_{1a+} V_+(z)} e^{p_{1a-} V_-(z)}; \quad (2.5)$$

with $[V_+, V_-] = \delta_{ab} V_a$. The particular factor $e^{p_{1a-} V_-}$ is chosen such that the reality condition will be obeyed with $a_+ = a_-$.

Computing the matrix elements one gets the explicit form of the solutions generated by

$$\psi = 2 \arctan \exp 2m (x - x_0 - vt) = \frac{p}{1 - v^2};$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = e^{i \frac{p}{m}} e^{m(x-x_0-vt) \sqrt{1-v^2}} \\
& = \frac{1}{1+v} \frac{1}{1+ie^{2m(x-x_0-vt) \sqrt{1-v^2}}} \frac{1}{1-v} \frac{1}{1-ie^{2m(x-x_0-vt) \sqrt{1-v^2}}} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+e^{4m(x-x_0-vt) \sqrt{1-v^2}}}{1-e^{4m(x-x_0-vt) \sqrt{1-v^2}}} \\
& + \frac{1}{8} m^2 x_+ x_- ; \\
& = 0;
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and $\bar{\psi}$ is the complex conjugate of ψ : The fermion field solution is similar to the 1-soliton solution of the massive Thirring model [12]. Notice that the ψ solution is of the sine-Gordon type soliton/antisoliton [1].

It can be directly verified that these solutions satisfy the relation (1.22). It is clear from the explicit expressions for the fermion field and the scalar, that ψ vanishes exponentially when $x-x_0 \rightarrow \pm \infty$; so that the Dirac field is confined inside the soliton.

2.2 The 2-soliton solutions

Now let us move to the study of the 2-soliton solutions of the model. As usual in this case consider the following steps:

Let us choose

$$G = e^{x_+} e^{x_-} e^{x_+} e^{x_-}; \tag{2.7}$$

and as the constant group element

$$= e^{b_1 V_+} e^{a_1 V_-} e^{b_2 V_+} e^{a_2 V_-}; \tag{2.8}$$

Defining $A_i = a_i e^{(i)}$; $B_i = b_i e^{(i)}$ and $(z) = 2m(zx_+ - \frac{1}{z}x_-)$; and using the properties of level 1 vertex operators to determine the matrix elements in the tau functions [9, 11], one can obtain the soliton-soliton and the soliton-antisoliton solutions.

By direct substitution, one can verify that these solutions, indeed, satisfy the equation (1.22) without any further restriction. This allows us to conclude that, also, for 2-soliton type solutions the Noether density is non zero only where $\partial' \neq 0$; that is inside the solitons.

Let us choose the parameters conveniently in order to express in the form of a soliton-soliton type solution.

Choosing the following relationships between the parameters $u_1 + u_2 + u_1^{-1} + u_2^{-1} = 0$; $u_1 u_2 = 1$; and defining $u = (\frac{2}{1} - 1) = (\frac{2}{1} + 1)$; one can write

$$\psi_{ss} = 2i = \arctan \frac{8u^3 \sqrt{1-u^2} \sinh(8m(x - \frac{\log \bar{a}}{2u}))}{\cosh(8m(x - \frac{\log \bar{a}}{2u})) \sqrt{1-u^2}}; \tag{2.9}$$

where $\bar{a} = \sqrt{1-u^2}$; $u < 1$:

Its asymptotic behaviour in time can be written as

$$\frac{\theta_{SS}}{2i}(t \rightarrow -1) = \tan^{-1}(e^{8m(x - u(t + \frac{\theta_{SS}}{2}))}) - \tan^{-1}(e^{8m(x + u(t + \frac{\theta_{SS}}{2}))}); \quad (2.10)$$

$$\frac{\theta_{SS}}{2i}(t \rightarrow +1) = \tan^{-1}(e^{8m(x + u(t - \frac{\theta_{SS}}{2}))}) - \tan^{-1}(e^{8m(x - u(t - \frac{\theta_{SS}}{2}))}); \quad (2.11)$$

$$\theta_{SS} = \frac{1}{4mu} \log(8u^2 \frac{1}{1-u^2});$$

Therefore, this solution corresponds to two solitons approaching one another with relative velocity u in the distant past. Notice that the time delay θ_{SS} ; of course, differs from the corresponding soliton-soliton interaction in the sine-Gordon model [1]. We will come back to this point below, when we compare our soliton solutions with the corresponding solitons of the sine-Gordon theory.

In order to obtain soliton-antisoliton type solution we convert the real parameters into an imaginary ones by making $\theta_1 \rightarrow i\theta_1$; $\theta_2 \rightarrow i\theta_2$, $m \rightarrow im$:

Setting the relationships $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 1$; $\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 = 0$; $\theta_1 \theta_2 = 1$; we may obtain

$$\theta_{SS} = 2i = \arctan \frac{16 \sinh(8m(x - ut + \log \bar{a}))}{u^2 \cosh(8m(x - \log(4 - u^2))) - 2 - u^2}; \quad (2.12)$$

with $\theta = 1 - \frac{1}{u^2}$; $u > 1$.

Even though the parameter complexifications do not modify the purely imaginary character of θ ; the reality condition $\theta = \bar{\theta}$ of the field is lost, converting the Lagrangian into a complex one: Moreover, with this choice of parameters the velocity u becomes greater than the velocity of light, and the mass of the soliton becomes imaginary. Nevertheless, from the mathematical point of view, still we can write the asymptotic behaviour, extracting a 'soliton' and an 'antisoliton' approaching one another with relative velocity u in the distant past and 'time' delay $\theta_{SS} = \frac{1}{2m} \log(u=4)$:

Therefore, we have not obtained a physical bound soliton-antisoliton pair (breather) solution of the model. This fact is quite remarkable if one intends to study the quantum spectrum of the model. A discussion of this point is presented in a companion work [6].

In order to have a better insight into the behaviour of the two-solitons of our model, let us consider some known facts about the sine-Gordon field solitons. The field is related to its corresponding tau functions as $\phi = \ln(\tau)$: The tau functions of the N -soliton solutions of the sine-Gordon equation are given by [13]

$$\tau^{(N)}(z_+; z_-) = \det(1 - V); \quad (2.13)$$

with V a $N \times N$ matrix with elements

$$V_{ij} = 2 \frac{p_i q_j}{i + j} X_i X_j; \text{ where } X_i = a_i e^{2(i z_+ + \frac{1}{i} z_-)}; z_{\pm} = 2m x_{\pm}; \quad (2.14)$$

For the 2-soliton solution the determinant takes a simple form. With a convenient choice of the parameters, one can write for the soliton-soliton and soliton-antisoliton

$$\theta_{SS} = 2 = \tan^{-1} u \frac{\sinh(8m(x))}{\cosh(8m(x - ut))}; \quad \theta_{SS} = 2 = \tan^{-1} \frac{1}{u} \frac{\sinh(8m(x - ut))}{\cosh(8m(x))}; \quad (2.15)$$

with $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-u^2}$; $u < 1$:

If one makes the change $u \rightarrow v$ in (2.15) one gets

$$\gamma v = 2 = \arctan \frac{1 \sinh(16m \gamma vt)}{v \cosh(16m \gamma x)}; \quad (2.16)$$

which still gives a solution of the sine-Gordon equation. It is the doublet or breather solutions, which represents a soliton and antisoliton oscillating about a common center.

Then we can conclude that our solution (2.9) resembles the sine-Gordon soliton-soliton, at least asymptotically; the differences manifest in their time delays, which can be interpreted as the effect of the spinor field on the Toda field, since our model couples those fields. Also, as in the sine-Gordon case, the equation (2.12) can be put asymptotically in the form of the mathematical 'soliton' and 'antisoliton', even though, each of them with imaginary mass. Notice that in the soliton-soliton sector the time delay τ_{SS} can eventually become negative, unlike the corresponding one of the sine-Gordon model, which is always positive, indicating in the latter case a repulsive force [1].

To conclude this section, let us point out that the model possesses at the classical level some solitonic solutions which resemble the sine-Gordon and massive Thirring models, for the scalar and the Dirac fields, respectively. We have verified, up to the 2-soliton solution, the equivalence between the Noether and topological currents. The classical breather solution was not found, and it is expected that it will not appear at the quantum level.

3 Quantum aspects of the model

The aim of the present section is to study some quantum aspects of the model defined by the Lagrangian (1.7).

3.1 Perturbative Lagrangian viewpoint and the CATM $\rightarrow$ ATM reduction

In this subsection we will be interested in verifying how the classical reduction of the CATM to the ATM [14] by setting $\phi = \phi_0 = \text{constant}$ is recovered at the quantum level. This will give us some information about the vacuum of the theory, in particular about the trivial vacuum (non-topological) of the ATM model. We use the perturbative Lagrangian point of view to have an insight into the quantum structure of the theory. Let us begin expanding the action around a simple solution of the classical equations of motion (1.6)

$$\phi = \phi_0 = \text{constant}; \quad \psi = \frac{1}{8} m^2 x_+ x_-; \quad (3.1)$$

Denote collectively all the fields as

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \delta\Phi; \quad (3.2)$$

where ϕ_0 represent the relevant classical solutions (3.1). Let us expand every field around (3.1). Then relabelling the ϕ_0 with the same symbol as the original ones, the action corresponding to (1.7) turns into

$$S = \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu \phi')^2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi'^2 - \frac{1}{8} m^2 \phi'^2 - \frac{1}{4} m^2 \phi'^2 + i \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi - 2 i m \bar{\psi} \psi \right] + \dots; \quad (3.3)$$

where the ellipsis denotes quartic or higher interaction terms in which the field never appears, and $\partial^2 = \partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2$. Next let us perform a Wick rotation and compute the Fourier transformed propagators. They become

$$\langle \phi'; \phi' \rangle = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} k^2}; \quad \langle \psi; \bar{\psi} \rangle = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} k^2}; \quad (3.4)$$

$$\langle \phi; \phi \rangle = \frac{\frac{1}{2} m^2}{\frac{1}{4} k^4}; \quad \langle \bar{\psi}; \psi \rangle = \frac{p + i m}{p^2 + m^2}; \quad (3.5)$$

where $k^2 = k_0^2 + k_x^2$. All the other propagators vanish. Let us observe that the pole at $p^2 = -m^2$ in the spinor field propagator, corresponds to a massive particle of the ATM model. Let us inspect now a scattering process whose external legs consist only of the $(\bar{\psi})$ and ψ particles. From the structure of the propagators, and of the interaction terms it is easy to see that no connected graph relevant for this process will have propagation of other modes than $(\bar{\psi})$ and ψ themselves. That is to say, the other modes decouple. This fact allows us to set the fields ϕ and ϕ' to zero in the action. Therefore, the action becomes exactly the action of the ATM model. In other words, for scattering processes involving massless ψ modes and massive ϕ modes, the theory may be described by the perturbative ATM model.

Then we have derived an effective Lagrangian with $\phi = 0$, $\phi' = \phi_0 = \text{const.}$, this is exactly the off-critical aToda model coupled to the matter (ATM) defined above, Eq. (1.17). Then we can regard the ATM model as a spontaneously broken and reduced version of the CATM.

The symplectic structure of the reduced model (1.17) has recently been studied [14]. It was performed in the context of Faddeev-Jackiw and (constrained) symplectic methods (Wotzasek, Montani and Barcelos-Neto); by imposing the equivalence between the Noether and topological currents, Eq. (1.22), as a constraint, the authors have been able to obtain either, the sine-Gordon model or the massive Thirring model, through a Hamiltonian reduction and gauging the symmetries of the model in two different ways.

3.2 Bosonization procedure and the ATM model

In two-dimensional quantum field theories it is possible to transform Fermi fields into Bose fields, and vice versa (for a complete review of the most important references in the field see, e.g., the second Ref. of [5]). The existence of such a transformation, called bosonization, provided a powerful tool to obtain nonperturbative information in two-dimensional field theories.

Let us consider the ATM Lagrangian (1.17) in a slightly modified form. This modification is undertaken by imposing a convenient reality conditions (e.g. $\phi = \phi^\dagger$, ψ pure imaginary) on the fields and dropping a overall minus sign. See Refs. [6, 14] for discussions concerning the positive-definite character of the kinetic terms of the real Lagrangian submodel, and [3] for the implications of these conditions on the solitonic solutions of the original model. Then let us write the real action in a form which is more convenient for the bosonization of the fermion bilinears

$$\frac{1}{k} S = \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + i \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - m e^{\phi} \left[\frac{(1+\sqrt{5})}{2} e^{2i\psi} + \frac{(1-\sqrt{5})}{2} e^{-2i\psi} \right] \frac{1}{8} m^2 e^{2\phi} g \right]; \quad (3.6)$$

where we have considered the pure imaginary character of the scalar field by making the change $\psi \rightarrow i\psi$ in (1.17).

The model (3.6) was considered in Refs. [3, 4]. Some of the points that follow were discussed in those papers. The model defined by (3.6) possesses a chiral symmetry $U(1)_L \times U(1)_R$. Apparently, if unbroken, the symmetry would prevent the fermions from having a mass. As we will see, however, the symmetry is not broken, but the fermion has a mass.

Following [5] one can use the boson representation of fermions as

$$\bar{\psi} \psi = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2; \quad (3.7)$$

$$e^{i\phi} = \exp(i\sqrt{\frac{k}{4}} \phi); \quad (3.8)$$

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \partial_\mu \phi; \quad (3.9)$$

Then the action now becomes

$$S_B = \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m \left[\exp i \left[\sqrt{\frac{k}{4}} \phi + \sqrt{\frac{k}{4}} \phi \right] + \exp i \left[\sqrt{\frac{k}{4}} \phi + \sqrt{\frac{k}{4}} \phi \right] \right] g \right]; \quad (3.10)$$

Introducing new fields

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left(\phi + \sqrt{\frac{k}{4}} \phi \right); \quad \psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left(\phi + \sqrt{\frac{k}{4}} \phi \right); \quad (3.11)$$

the action takes the form

$$S_{SG+\psi} = \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + m \cos \left(\sqrt{\frac{k}{4}} \phi + \sqrt{\frac{k}{4}} \phi \right) \right]; \quad (3.12)$$

where $a^2 = k/8$.

Therefore we obtain a sine-Gordon model for the field ϕ and a free, massless scalar field.

Why do the fermion and antifermion acquire masses despite chiral symmetry? To answer this issue, we should ask what form the original chiral current takes in terms of ψ and $\bar{\psi}$. The chiral current defined in section 1 is

$$A = i\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi + \frac{i}{2}\partial_\mu\phi^2 \quad (3.13)$$

In terms of the new variables, one finds

$$A = \frac{i}{2}\partial_\mu\phi^2 \quad (3.14)$$

Thus the chiral current involves only ϕ and not ψ : It is conserved at the quantum level. This means that the field ϕ , and therefore also the physical fermion and antifermion associated with this field, are neutral under chirality. Thus, even though the elementary fermion field has nonzero chirality, the physical fermion particle has zero chirality.

The presence of the physical fermions (zero chirality particles) can be clarified introducing a new fermion field that has the quantum numbers of the physical particles. We simply introduce a new fermion field ψ with

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi^2 = \bar{\psi}\psi \quad (3.15)$$

According to the standard rules which identify the sine-Gordon theory to the charge-zero sector of the massive Thirring model [5, 15], the action (3.12) can now be written as

$$S = \int d^2x [\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\partial_\mu\psi - m_F\bar{\psi}\psi - \frac{1}{2}g(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2] \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\frac{4 + 1/a^2}{4} = \frac{1}{1 + g} \quad (3.17)$$

Then, we observe that our theory consists of a massive fermion with self-interaction, and a free, massless scalar.

We now discuss the quantum version of the reduction (1.16). The chiral currents become

$$J = i/2 \partial_+ \phi; \quad \bar{J} = i/2 \partial_- \phi \quad (3.18)$$

Therefore, one can argue that semiclassically, the constraints (1.16) are equivalent to $\phi = \text{const.}$, and consequently the degree of freedom eliminated by them corresponds to the ϕ field.

The reduction at the quantum level can be realized as follows. Since the scalar field ϕ is decoupled from all other fields in the theory (3.12), we can denote the space of states as $H = H_\phi \otimes H_0$, where H_ϕ is the Fock space of the free massless scalar ϕ , and H_0 carries the states of the rest of the theory. We shall denote $\phi = \phi^{(+)} + \phi^{(-)}$, where $\phi^{(+)}$ ($\phi^{(-)}$) corresponds to the part containing annihilation (creation) operators in its expansion on plane waves.

The reduction corresponds to the restriction of the theory to those states satisfying

$$\partial_- e^{(+)} j_- i = 0; \quad (3.19)$$

By taking the complex conjugate one gets

$$h_- j_- \partial_- e^{(+)} = 0; \quad (3.20)$$

and consequently the expectation value of $\partial_- e$ vanishes on such states. Indeed, if $j_- i$ and $j_-^0 i$ are two states satisfying (3.19), then

$$h_-^0 j_- \partial_- e j_- i = h_-^0 j_- [\partial_- e^{(+)} + \partial_- e^{(-)}] j_- i = 0; \quad (3.21)$$

That provides the correspondence with the classical constraints (1.16). Therefore, the Hilbert space of the reduced theory is $H_c = j_- i \in H_0$.

For the theory described by H_c , the equivalence between Noether and topological currents, given by (1.22), holds true at the quantum level, since as we have shown before, (1.22) is equivalent to the vanishing of the currents J_- and J_+ . Notice that such quantum equivalence is exact, since we have not used perturbative or semiclassical methods.

One of the consequences of that quantum equivalence is that in the states of H_c , like the one-soliton (2.6), where the space derivative of ψ is localized, one has that the spinor ψ is confined, since from (1.22), $h_- \partial_x \psi = h_- \psi$. Therefore, we have shown that the confinement of ψ does take place in the quantum theory.

The properties of the theory (3.6) at the quantum level are quite remarkable. In the weak coupling regime, i.e. small k , the excitations around the vacuum correspond to the spinor and the "gauge" particle ψ . The $U(1)$ symmetry (1.19) is not broken and the charged states correspond to the ψ particles. Consider now those states satisfying (3.19) and look for the fluctuations around the state corresponding, for instance, to the one-soliton solution (2.6). The ψ particles disappear from the spectrum since they are confined inside the soliton. The ψ particles can live outside the soliton only in bound states with vanishing $U(1)$ charge. The theory, however, presents another spinor particle corresponding to the excitations of the Thirring field χ , which have zero $U(1)$ charge. However, according to Coleman's interpretation of the sine-Gordon/Thirring equivalence, such excitations correspond to the solitons themselves. Therefore, we can make an analogy with what is expected to happen in QCD. The ψ and χ particles are like the quarks and hadrons respectively. The $U(1)$ charge is analogous to color in QCD, since it is also confined. For the rigorous treatment of the dynamical flavor and color symmetries of the ATM model, as well as their relevant realizations, and the role played in a topological confinement mechanism, see a companion paper [6]. Then, our model can be considered as a one dimensional bag model for QCD [3, 16].

It could be interesting to compute the quantum corrections to the mass of the solitons associated to the ψ field of the ATM model, for example, in the lines of Refs. [17]. In our case, the quantum fluctuations of the spinor fields, of course, must be taken into account.

A Appendix: Notations and Conventions

We use the following conventions in two dimensions. The metric tensor is $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1; -1)$ and the antisymmetric tensor $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is defined so that $\epsilon_{01} = \epsilon^{01} = 1$. ∂_μ are derivatives

w.r.t. to the light cone variables $x^\pm = t \pm x$. The gamma matrices are in the following representation:

$$\gamma_0 = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \gamma_1 = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \gamma_5 = \gamma_0 \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (A.1)$$

satisfying anticommutation relations

$$\{ \gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu \} = 2g_{\mu\nu}; \quad (A.2)$$

so the spinors u and v are of the form

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} u_R \\ u_L \end{pmatrix}; \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} v_R \\ v_L \end{pmatrix}; \quad \bar{u} = u_R^\dagger \quad \bar{v} = v_L^\dagger \quad (A.3)$$

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Professor L.A. Ferreira for his collaboration on parts of this work, and Professors G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman for valuable discussions. R. Bentzen and C. Tello are also acknowledged for interesting conversations. I am grateful to Professor H.G. Valqui for introducing me to the study of non-linear phenomena and solitons. Thanks are also due to the organizers of the VII Hadron Physics 2000 for a very enjoyable Workshop. This work has been supported by FAPESP.

References

- [1] R. Rajaraman; Solitons and Instantons, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [2] L.A. Ferreira, J-L Gervais, J. Sanchez Guillen and M.V. Saveliev, Nucl. Phys. B 470 (1996) 236.
- [3] H.S. Blas and L.A. Ferreira, Nucl. Phys. B 571 (2000) 607.
- [4] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 145 (1978) 110.
- [5] S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 2088;
M. Stone, Bosonization, World Scientific, 1994.
- [6] H. Blas, "Reduction of a new two-loop WZNW model, the Toda system coupled to the matter and topological content", hep-th/0005130.
- [7] O. Babelon and L. Bonora, Phys. Lett. 267B (1991) 71; L. Bonora, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 6 (1992) 2015.
- [8] L.A. Ferreira, J. Luis Miramontes and J. Sanchez Guillen, Nucl. Phys. B 449 (1995) 631.

- [9] V. G. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, Third Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).
- [10] L. A. Ferreira, J. Luis Miramontes and J. Sanchez Guillen, J. Math. Phys. 38 (1997) 882.
- [11] H. S. Blas, "Vector NLS hierarchy solitons revisited: dressing transformation and tau function approach", solv-int/9912015.
- [12] S. J. Orfanidis and R. Wang, Phys. Lett. 57B (1975) 281;
S. J. Orfanidis, Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 472.
- [13] O. Babelon and D. Bernard, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 8 (1993) 507;
L. D. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtajan, Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons, Springer(1986).
- [14] H. S. Blas and B. M. Pimentel, Ann. of Phys. 282 (2000) 67
- [15] C. M. Naon, Phys. Rev. D 31 (1985) 2035.
- [16] M. A. Nowak, M. Rho and I. Zahed, Chiral Nuclear Dynamics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996;
A. Chodos, E. Hadjimichael, C. Tze, Solitons in Nuclear and Elementary Particle Physics, Proceedings of the Lewes Workshop, 1984, World Scientific.
- [17] R. F. Dashen, B. Hasslacher and A. Neveu, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 3424;
V. Fateev, Phys. Lett. 324B (1994) 45; Phys. Lett. B 324 (1994) 45;
A. L. Zamolodchikov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 10 (1995) 1125.