Supersymmetry Breaking and Composite Extra Dimensions

Markus A. Luty

Department of Physics, University of Maryland College Park, Maryland 20742, USA mluty@physics.umd.edu

Ram an Sundrum

Department of Physics and Astronom y, Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA sundrum@pha.jhu.edu

A bstract

We study supergravity models in four dimensions where the hidden sector is superconform al and strongly-coupled over several decades of energy below the P lanck scale, before undergoing spontaneous breakdown of scale invariance and supersymmetry. We show that large anom alous dimensions can suppress K ahler contact terms between the hidden and visible sectors, leading to models in which the hidden sector is 'sequestered' and anom alymediated supersymmetry breaking can naturally dominate, thus solving the supersymmetric avor problem. We construct simple, explicit models of the hidden sector based on supersymmetric QCD in the conform alwindow. The present approach can be usefully interpreted as having an extra dimension responsible for sequestering replaced by the many states of a (spontaneously-broken) strongly-coupled superconform al hidden sector, as dictated by the AdS/CFT correspondence.

1 Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence [1] asserts that a gravity theory on 5D antide Sitter space (AdS) is dual' to a 4D conformal eld theory (CFT). The duality takes the form of an equality of generating functionals depending on some 4D elds h_0 that act as boundary values for the gravity elds on AdS and source terms for operators in the CFT:

$${}^{Z}_{d[h]e^{iS_{grav}[h]} = } {}^{D}e^{iR}e^{E}_{CFT} :$$

$${}^{hj_{hdy}=h_0}$$
(1.1)

This correspondence remains at present an unproven conjecture, but in string theory realizations it passes an impressive number of quantitative and qualitative consistency checks, and has proved extremely fruitful in suggesting new connections [2].

It has been argued in Refs. [3, 4, 5] that this duality can be extended to the equivalence between the 5D brane world' scenario of R andall and Sundrum (RS) [6] and a conform all eld theory perturbed by four-dimensional gravity. In this duality the UV brane' in the RS model where gravity is localized is mapped to a UV cuto for the CFT and the redshifted 'IR brane' is mapped to spontaneous breaking of conform all invariance [4, 5], which provides an IR cuto of the CFT. As stressed in Ref. [4], both sides of this perturbed duality are macroscopically 4D theories with a discrete spectrum, and hence the equivalence reduces simply to the statem ent that both theories give identical predictions for all physical quantities such as the S-m atrix. This conjecture also passes several quantitative checks [7] and m any qualitative ones [4, 5].

In this paper, we will study 4D CFT's that can be viewed as being dual to the RS model with supersymmetry (SUSY) [8, 9, 10]. The phenomenological motivation for this class of models is quite di erent from the original RS model, where the redshift factor between the UV and IR branes was used to explain the hierarchy between the Planck and weak scales. In the SUSY RS model, it is SUSY that solves the hierarchy problem. The motivation for the extra dimension comes from the SUSY avor problem. If we assume that there is no avor symmetry at the Planck scale, then the low-energy elective theory necessarily includes contact terms of the form

$$L_{e} = d^{4} \frac{1}{M_{Pl}^{2}} y Q Y Q; \qquad (12)$$

where is the hidden sector eld that breaks SUSY and Q is a visible sector eld. Such terms cannot be forbidden by any symmetry, and give a contribution to the squark masses of order $m_{3=2}$ hF i=M_{P1} that has no reason to be avordiagonal. In hidden sector models where soft SUSY breaking parameters are of order m $_{3=2}$, it is therefore di cult to understand why the squark masses are nearly avor independent, as required by constraints on avor-changing neutral currents. Ref. [11] showed that this problem can be solved if the visible and hidden sectors are localized on di erent branes, separated in an extra dimension. The spatial separation suppresses contact terms between the hidden and visible sectors [11, 12]. In Ref. [11], this was referred to as 'sequestering' the hidden sector. If there are no massless elds in the bulk other than supergravity, SUSY breaking is communicated from the supergravity sector to the visible sector by anomaly mediation [11, 13] (after radion stabilization [12]).¹ A ssum ing that the visible sector contains only the MSSM and assum ing no other couplings between the visible and hidden sectors in plies that slepton mass-squared terms are negative, but by relaxing these assumptions realistic and predictive models have been constructed that preserve the attractive features of the scenario [17].

The AdS/CFT correspondence extended to the SUSY RS set-up asserts that anom aly-mediated models can be realized in a purely 4D theory. The necessary ingredients in the 4D theory are determined simply by following the correspondence. The bulk supergravity modes in the 5D description are mapped to a strongly-coupled superconform all eld theory (SCFT) in the 4D theory. Visible sector elds localized on the UV brane in the 5D description are mapped to elementary elds in the 4D theory that are coupled to the SCFT only through Planck-suppressed operators. Hidden sector elds localized on the IR brane in the 5D description are mapped to composites of the SCFT that arise from the spontaneous breaking of conform al invariance. Stabilization of the extra-dimensional radius is mapped to the stabilization of the modulus in the CFT responsible for spontaneous breakdown of scale invariance. Finally, the condition on the 5D theory that there are no light bulk modes other than supergravity responsible for transmitting supersymmetry breaking is mapped to the condition that only irrelevant SCFT operators couple to the visible elds.

W e will be interested in strongly-coupled SCFT's with no expansion parameters, such as large N or large't Hooff parameter. A dS duals of such theories are not known, presum ably because there is no parametric separation between the string length, the A dS radius, and the P lanck length. In order to achieve sequestering in such a theory by decoupling the elects of massive bulk states, we require an extra dimension that extends over several A dS radii. At the level of elective eld theory, the existence of such strongly warped SUSY models was demonstrated in Refs. [8]. In Ref. [9] it

¹ If the visible sector gauge elds propagate in the bulk, this scenario leads to gaugino m ediated SUSY breaking [14] or radion m ediated SUSY breaking [15]. The dual CFT description of these m echanism will be discussed elsewhere [16].

was shown that radius stabilization and anom aly-mediated SUSY breaking can be realized in this scenario.

The purpose of this paper is to show that sequestering and anom aly mediation are indeed realized in a large class of 4D SUSY theories. The theories can be explicitly constructed and understood from a purely 4D perspective, and demonstrate that sequestering can be realized without positing extra dimensions or branes. However, we nd the dual 5D description, where sequestering has a simple geometrical origin, very illuminating. We therefore refer to this class of models as 'composite extra dimensions.'

Ref. [18] considered SUSY models where the MSSM has superpotential couplings to a strong SCFT and studied in plications for avor and SUSY breaking. Refs. [19] constructed non-SUSY gauge theories whose low energy dynamics minics that of a theory with an extra dimension. We will brie y discuss the relation of these papers to our work in the conclusions.

2 CFT Suppression of Hidden-Visible Contact Terms

The prototype of the kind of SCFT to which our results apply is the strongly coupled xed point of SU(N) SUSY QCD with F avors found by Seiberg [20]. For $\frac{3}{2}$ N < F < 3N, this theory is asymptotically free in the UV but has a nontrivial conform al xed point in the IR.For F ' 3N the IR xed point is weakly coupled [21], and for F ' $\frac{3}{2}$ N the IR xed point has a weakly-coupled dual description [20], but in the middle of the range the IR xed point has no known weakly-coupled lagrangian description. In what follows, we will write our results for the special case N = 2, F = 4 for simplicity. In that case there are 8 SU(2) fundamentals T^J, J = 1;:::;8. We de ne _{CFT} to be the scale below which the theory is in the IR conform al regime, and above which the theory rapidly runs to its asymptotically free regime.

The crucial question is the size of scalar masses induced by avor-violating couplings of the form

$$L_{e} = {}^{Z} d^{4} \frac{c^{j}_{k}}{M_{P1}^{2}} T_{J}^{y} T^{J} Q_{j}^{y} Q^{k}; \qquad (2.1)$$

where T is a hidden sector SUSY QCD eld. Note that we have assumed that the coupling is diagonal in hidden avor, which can be made natural by imposing (discrete and/orgauged) avor symmetries on the hidden sector. In order for anom aly mediation to dominate, we require that this term contribute visible scalar masses m $_{\sigma}^2 \leq 10^7 V_{hid} = M_{Pl}^2$ (see Section 3), where V_{hid} is the SUSY breaking vacuum

energy. W e will discuss the mechanism of this SUSY breaking in the hidden sector in the next section. The suppression factor of 10 7 must arise from the nontrivial CFT scaling of the operator Eq. (2.1).

This term can be viewed as a correction to the kinetic term for the T $\,$ elds in the UV lagrangian

$$L_{UV} = {}^{Z} d^{4} Z_{0} T_{J}^{Y} T^{J} + ; {}_{0} = Z + \frac{c^{j}_{k}}{M_{Pl}^{2}} Q_{j}^{Y} Q^{k} :$$
 (2.2)

This contributes to a perturbation of the physical gauge coupling g^2 , given by [22]

$$\frac{1}{g^2} = \frac{1}{g_{hol}^2} - \frac{N}{8^2} \ln g^2 - \frac{F}{8^2} \ln Z + \text{constant} + O(g^2); \qquad (2.3)$$

where $1=g_{hol}^2$ is the holom orphic gauge coupling that appears as the coe cient of the gauge kinetic term in the lagrangian. Because Eq. (2.2) is a perturbation to the UV gauge coupling, it is necessarily irrelevant near the xed point. This is simply because the theory near a xed point must be insensitive to UV couplings in order to be IR attractive, as Seiberg argued is the case in SUSY QCD.

To make this quantitative, let us consider how the operator Eq. (2.2) runs down to the IR in two stages. First, the running down to the scale $_{CFT}$ where the theory becomes strong is the standard logarithm ic running in the far UV, together with an order unity strong-interaction renormalization near $_{CFT}$. $_{CFT}$ is dened such that $g(_{CFT})$ is a xed number close enough to the xed point coupling g that below this scale we can expand about the xed point:

$$= {}^{0} {}^{2}(g {}^{2}g) + ; = {}^{0} {}^{2}(g {}^{2}g) + ; (2.4)$$

where $dg^2=d\ln r$, $d\ln Z=d\ln r$. The anom abus dim ension at the xed point is determined by the (non-anom abus) U $(1)_R$ symmetry to be

$$=\frac{1}{2}$$
: (2.5)

Integrating these renorm alization group equations from CFT down to then gives

$$Z() = Z(_{CFT}) - \frac{1}{_{CFT}} + \frac{0}{_{0}} \frac{h}{g^{2}}(_{CFT}) - \frac{1}{g^{2}} + \frac{0}{_{CFT}} + \frac{1}{_{CFT}} +$$

We can rewrite this using Eq. (2.3) evaluated at $_{CFT}$ and the fact that g_{hol} has exact one-loop running,

Z() = const
$$\frac{1}{j_{holj}}$$
 $(1 + \frac{0}{0} g^2 (CFT) g^2 (CFT) g^2 (TFT) g^$

where

hol
$$e^{4^{-2}=g_{hol}^2()}$$
 (2.8)

is the holom orphic one-loop strong-interaction scale.

Eq. (2.7) is useful because it shows that the leading dependence on Z_0 (contained in $Z_{(CFT})$) has disappeared in the IR below $_{CFT}$. The subleading dependence on Z_0 is implicit in the dependence on $_{CFT}$, which is suppressed by the power $^0 > 0$. (Note that $_{CFT}$ depends on Z_0 through its de nition, $g^2(_{CFT}) = const$, and also Eq. (2.3).) The xed point behavior is cut o at the scale = v_{CFT} where the conform all symmetry is spontaneously broken, so we obtain the required suppression for ($v_{CFT} = {}_{CFT}$) $^0 < 10^7$.

It is crucial that the perturbation Eq. (2.2) is a singlet under the hidden sector avor symmetries. For a non-singlet operator of the form

$$L = \int_{-\infty}^{Z} d^{4} \frac{c^{jJ}{}_{kK}}{M_{Pl}^{2}} T_{J}^{Y} T^{K} Q_{j}^{Y} Q^{k}; \qquad (2.9)$$

with $c^{jJ}_{kJ} = 0$, the contribution to the visible sector scalar m asses is

$$(m_{Q}^{2})_{k}^{j} = \frac{C^{jJ}_{kK}}{M_{P1}^{2}}^{2} d^{4} T_{J}^{Y}T^{K}$$
 (2.10)

This is a matrix element of a conserved current supermultiplet with vanishing anom alous dimension, so there is no CFT suppression of operators of the form Eq. (2.9). A model-building requirement is therefore to insist on enough symmetry in the hidden dynamics to prohibit such operators.

In the SUSY limit the theory above has a moduli space of vacua, and away from the origin of moduli space the conform al symmetry is spontaneously broken. The light elds below the scale v_{CFT} where the conform al symmetry is broken are the moduli, which can be thought of as composites of the CFT. We now consider the e ective eld theory for these moduli. The moduli space can be parameterized by the gauge-invariant holom orphic operators [23]. In the SU (2) gauge theory we are considering, the moduli space is parameterized completely by the meson' invariants

$$M^{JK} = T^{J}T^{K} = M^{KJ}$$
: (2.11)

From Eq. (2.7) we see that the dependence on $_{hol}$ can be eliminated by working in terms of the renormalized elds

$$T^{U} = \frac{T^{J}}{(hol)^{1=4}}$$
: (2.12)

Since hol parametrizes the only explicit scale in the hidden dynamics, and since the new elds eliminate dependence on this scale near the IR xed point, the leading low-energy interactions must be given by

$$L_{e} = d^{4} f(M^{0}; M^{0}) + O(Q^{4}) + O(C_{CFT}); \qquad (2.13)$$

where $M^0 = T^0T^0$ and f is a homogeneous function with its degree determined by dimensional analysis:

$$f(M^{0}; M^{0}) = {}^{4=3}f(M^{0}; M^{0}): \qquad (2.14)$$

The new elds are very convenient later because they have the same canonical dimension as their scaling dimension in superpotential terms, allowing us to simultaneously non-linearly realize the asymptotic (canonical) scale invariance in the UV and the non-trivial asymptotic scale invariance in the IR.

There is another way to derive the absence of Z_0 dependence in the leading term s of the low-energy theory, Eq. (2.13), that may be illuminating. We can regard Z_0 as a background gauge connection for an anomalous U (1)_A symmetry [24]. Because of the anomaly, _{hol} is charged under this symmetry with a charge such that the renormalized elds are uncharged under U (1)_A. The leading terms in the low-energy e ective theory are therefore independent of the U (1)_A gauge connection. By contrast, perturbations of the form Eq. (2.9) can be regarded as background gauge connections for non-anomalous hidden avor symmetries. Therefore _{hol} is uncharged under these gauge connections and cannot cancel their e ects in the low-energy theory, Eq. (2.13).

3 A Realistic M odel

We now show how to construct a realistic 4D model in which SUSY breaking is communicated by anomaly mediation, with the suppression of contact terms explained by the mechanism described above. Our aim is to construct a model which illustrates the issues in constructing a realistic model, and separates these issues as clearly as possible. The model we discuss contains several explicit small superpotential couplings whose origin is not explained. We believe that completely natural models without fundamental small parameters are possible, but the leave their construction for future work.

The hidden sector will be taken to be SU (2) gauge theory with 8 fundamentals T^{J} (J = 1; ...; 8), as discussed in the last section. The classical moduli space of this theory can be parameterized by the m eson' operators M^{JK} (see Eq. (2.11)). M is

an antisymm etric matrix with rank 2, which can be conveniently parameterized by

$$M = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 2 & X & Y^{T} \\ 6 & Y & O & (Y^{2}=X) \end{pmatrix}^{A}; = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{I};$$
(3.1)

where X and Y are unconstrained. Note that this has 13 complex degrees of freedom, as required given the sixteen quark elds and the three D - atness conditions. W e will expand about the vacuum

$$hM i = \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 2 & hX i & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$
(3.2)

It will be convenient to further param eterize

$$Y = \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 & 0 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ A \\ A \end{array} ; \quad tr() = 0: \quad (3.3)$$

Upon adding superpotential term s we will see that SUSY is broken by hF i \neq 0.

The low-energy e ective theory below the scale determ ined by the VEV hM i was described in the previous section. The contribution to the elective K ahler potential is a hom ogeneous function of the meson elds of degree $\frac{4}{3}$, which must also be SU (8) invariant. We will always work in terms of the Yenorm alized' primed elds of the previous section, dropping the primes. The VEV breaks the SU (8) global symmetry to SU (2) SU (6), so expanding in powers of Y gives

$$K_{e} = a_{0} (X ^{y}X)^{2=3} 1 + a_{1} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Y ^{y}Y)}{X ^{y}X} + O (\mathcal{Y} ^{4}=\mathcal{X} ^{2})^{\pi}; \qquad (3.4)$$

where $a_{0,1}$ are unknown strong interaction parameters. It is convenient to work in terms of rede ned elds

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{a}_0^{1=2} \mathbf{X}^{3=2}; \qquad \hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{a}_0^{3=4} \mathbf{a}_1^{1=2} \frac{\mathbf{Y}}{\mathbf{X}^{1=2}};$$
 (3.5)

#

which have a canonical Kahler potential:

$$K_{e} = \hat{X}^{Y}\hat{X} + \hat{Y}^{Y}\hat{Y} + O(\hat{J}\hat{Y}\hat{J} = \hat{J}\hat{X}\hat{J}): \qquad (3.6)$$

As described so far, the model has unbroken SUSY and a moduli space of vacua. We now add superpotential terms that stabilize the moduli and break SUSY.We will take our model, and the superpotential in particular, to respect an SU (2) subgroup of the global SU (8) sym m etry. (This avor SU (2) sym m etry can be weakly gauged but we will not consider this here.) For convenience we give names to the four SU (2) doublets as follow s:

$$P^{1;2} = T^{1;2}; P^{1;2} = T^{3;4}; N^{1;2} = T^{5;6}; N^{1;2} = T^{7;8};$$
 (3.7)

so that

$$X = P^{j}P_{j}; \qquad = P^{j}P_{j}; \qquad (3.8)$$

where j;k = 1;2 are global SU (2) indices, and we have de ned $P_{j}_{k}P^{k}$, etc. In addition to the global SU (2) we in pose the following discrete symmetries:

These symmetries ensure that the only allowed term of the form $T^{y}T$ is (accidentally) SU (8) invariant. Therefore the only Kahler term of the form $T^{y}TQ^{y}Q = M_{Pl}^{2}$ is a singlet of the CFT avor symmetries, and is suppressed by the renormalization group arguments of the previous section.

These symmetries allow us to add the following terms to the superpotential:

$$W = W_{stab} + W_{mass} + W_{Polonyi}; \qquad (3.13)$$

where

$$W_{stab} = \frac{1}{2} {}_{1} {}^{h} (P^{j}P_{j})^{2} + (P^{j}P_{j})^{2} + (N^{j}N_{j})^{2} + (N^{j}N_{j})^{2} {}^{i} \qquad (3.14)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} {}_{2} {}^{h} (P^{j}P_{j})^{4} + (P^{j}P_{j})^{4} + (N^{j}N_{j})^{4} + (N^{j}N_{j})^{4} {}^{i}; \qquad (3.14)$$

$$W_{m ass} = c_{1} {}^{X^{3} h} (P^{j a}{}_{j}{}^{k}P_{k})^{2} + (N^{j a}{}_{j}{}^{k}N_{k})^{2} {}^{i}$$

$$+ c_{2} {}^{h} (P^{j}N^{k}) (P_{j}N_{k}) + (P^{j}N^{k}) (P_{j}N_{k})$$

$$+ (P^{j}N^{k}) (P_{j}N_{k}) + (P^{j}N^{k}) (P_{j}N_{k}) {}^{i}; \qquad (3.15)$$

$$+ (P^{j}N^{k}) (P_{j}N_{k}) + (P^{j}N^{k}) (P_{j}N_{k}) {}^{i}; \qquad (3.16)$$

and where ^{1;2;3} are the Paulim atrices for avor SU (2). Gauge-singlet operators are enclosed by parentheses. At the scale $_{CFT}$ the SU (2) gauge interactions become strong and the theory ows to a nontrivial conform all xed point. At this point the scaling of the operators is controlled by the nontrivial xed point. We will assume that

$$_{CFT}$$
 $_{UV}$ 4 M_{P1} ; (3.17)

where $_{UV}$ is the scale where 4D quantum gravity becomes strong. We will work in units where M $_{P1} = 1$.

Below the scale $h\hat{X}$ is the conformal symmetry is spontaneously broken and the electrice degrees of freedom of the CFT are the moduli. Writing the superpotential in terms of the moduli elds de ned in Eqs. (3.1), (3.3), and (3.5) we have

$$W_{stab} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} X^{3} + 0 (^{4} = X) + 0 (^{4} = X)^{i} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} X^{6} + 0 (^{8} = X^{2}) + 0 (^{6} = X^{2})^{i};$$
(3.18)

$$W_{Polonyi} = X^{1=2};$$
 (3.19)

while W_{mass} is a sum of mass terms for every component of and ⁰. In the above, we have absorbed the unknown strong interaction coe cients of the K abler potential into rede nitions of the superpotential couplings (see Eqs. (3.4) and (3.5)).

The CFT running of the superpotential perturbations is autom atically taken into account by our working in terms of the primed elds de ned in the previous section. Our discussion assumes that the superpotential terms can be treated as linear perturbations to the CFT in the energy range from $_{\rm UV}$ to h \hat{X} i. The couplings $_1$, c_1 , and c_2 are marginal and have dimensionless coections small compared to 1. There are nonlinear corrections suppressed by higher powers of these couplings, but these are negligible logarithm ic corrections similar to those found in weak-coupling perturbation theory. The coupling $_2$ is irrelevant, and can therefore also be treated as a perturbation.

We now determ ine the VEV's. The stabilization term Eq. (3.18) gives rise to a local SUSY preserving minimum with

$$h\hat{X}\hat{i}^{3} = -\frac{1}{2}$$
: (3.20)

(W e will consider the e ects of SUSY breaking on \hat{X} below.) This stabilizes the modulus and determ ines the scale of spontaneous breaking of the conform alsymmetry. The mass of the X eld is of order

$$m_{X} _{1}hX$$
 i: (3.21)

Since $_2 < 1$ and we want h \hat{X} i 1 (in Planck units) we must have $_1$ 1, and hence m_X h \hat{X} i. We will take $_2$ 1 in what follow s^2 .

Below the scale m_X we integrate out X and consider the elective lagrangian of the remaining light degrees of freedom. We chose the couplings in W_{mass} so that all of the modes parameterized by and ⁰ get masses m < m_X . The only remaining degree of freedom below the scale m is then . The elective superpotential is then

$$W_{e} = h\hat{X}i^{1=2} + 0 (2^{2} h\hat{X}i^{5});$$
 (3.22)

where the term s higher order in $\hat{}$ com e from the \hat{X} dependence in Eq. (3.19). The electric K ahler potential is

$$K_{e} = {}^{y} + c \frac{({}^{y})^{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} + ; \qquad (3.23)$$

where c is an order one unknown strong interaction coe cient. If c < 0, this theory has a (local) SUSY breaking minimum with

$$hF_{i} = h^{i} + 0 (^{2} = \hat{X}^{9})^{i}; \quad h^{i} = 0 (= \hat{X}^{7=2}); \quad (3.24)$$

and gets a m ass

m
$$\frac{hF \cdot i}{h\hat{X} \cdot i}$$
: (3.25)

Here we will simply make the dynam ical assumption that c < 0. The condition that the higher order term s make only a small fractional correction to the SUSY breaking order parameter F_{\wedge} is

$$\frac{\mathrm{bF} \cdot \mathrm{i}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{6}}{\mathrm{bX} \cdot \mathrm{i}^{10}} < 1:$$
(3.26)

This discussion assumes that \hat{X} is su ciently heavy that we can integrate it out for purposes of SUSY breaking. We also assumed that SUSY breaking does not signicantly shift the $h\hat{X}$ i away from its SUSY value. It is easily checked that both of these constraints are equivalent to Eq. (3.26).

We are now ready to check the numbers. The most stringent constraints on avorchanging neutral currents arise from K 0 {K 0 m ixing [27]:

$$\frac{m_{\frac{3}{3}}^{2}}{m_{s}^{2}} < (6 \quad 10^{3}) \quad \frac{m_{s}}{1 \text{ TeV}} ; \qquad \text{Im} \quad \frac{m_{\frac{3}{3}}^{2}}{m_{s}^{2}} < (4 \quad 10^{4}) \quad \frac{m_{s}}{1 \text{ TeV}} : (3.27)$$

²This is in fact conservative, since na ve dimensional analysis [26] allows a larger coe cient.

We assume squark masses of order 1 TeV in the following. A nomaly mediation gives a avor-independent mass to squarks of order

$$m_{\rm eq} = 2 - 10^2 \, {\rm F}$$
; (3.28)

where $F = m_{3=2}$ hF^A i=M_{Pl}. This xes

$$hF_{i} = 8 + 10^{2} GeV^{2}$$
: (3.29)

The required suppression of FCNC's is obtained provided

$$\frac{h\hat{X}i}{UV} \stackrel{!}{\sim} < 2 \quad 10^7$$
 (3.30)

using the stronger CP-violating constraint. The constraint Eq. (3.26) then gives

$$h\hat{x}^{1} > 4 \quad 10^{5} \text{ GeV}$$
: (3.31)

The constraint Eq. (3.30) is satis ed provided

$$^{0} > 1:7:$$
 (3.32)

A s discussed above, ⁰ is a non-perturbatively determ ined exponent which we cannot calculate. Naive dimensional analysis [26] tells us that ⁰ 1. Extrapolations using perturbation theory valid for the Banks-Zaks xed points [25], 1 F = (3N) 1, suggests that ⁰ 1 at the self-dual point, F = 2N. In the absence of more rigorous inform ation, we believe that values such as this are very reasonable. In fact we are able to construct models that allow smaller values of ⁰ than Eq. (3.32) by using stabilizing superpotentials with smaller powers of X. In the present model, such powers are forbidden by discrete sym metries, but we can add more elds and couplings that spontaneously break these sym metries and generate low erpowers of X below the breaking scale. The analysis of such models is slightly more involved than our present model and will not be detailed here.

A nother dynam ical assumption required in this model is that the uncalculable strong K ahler corrections have the right sign (c < 0) to give a local SUSY breaking vacuum at $h^{i} = 0$. This dynam ical assumption can avoided by replacing W _{Polonyi} by an O'R aifeartaigh sector with additional singlet elds. Basically, the additional elds in the O'R aifeartaigh sector give larger calculable K ahler corrections than the uncalculable K ahler corrections if these singlets are su ciently light. If some of the additional singlet elds are elementary, one must ensure that they do not get substantialF terms, since (standard m odel avorviolating) contact interactions between

these elds and the visible elds are not suppressed. We have constructed explicit models of this type.

So far we have considered the hidden dynamics in at spacetime, showing how to stabilize the moduli and break SUSY.Because the energy scales and VEVs in the hidden sector are much smaller than the Planck scale, the main e ect of coupling the hidden sector to supergravity is that the SUSY breaking contribution to the cosm ological constant can be cancelled in the usual way. Supergravity has a very small e ect on the hidden dynamics and vacuum stabilization. The main e ect of coupling supergravity to the visible sector is that SUSY breaking is communicated to the visible sector by the mechanism of anom aly-mediation.

It is straightforward to adapt proposals in the literature [17] for solving the tachyonic slepton problem and -problem of anomaly mediation to the present fram ework.

Note that the stabilizing superpotential has another supersymmetric solution, X = 0. At this point on the moduli space the theory remains superconformal and supersymmetric, and therefore has lower energy than the local minimum, Eq. (3.20). In other words our supersymmetry-breaking vacuum is only meta-stable. However we have checked that tunneling to the true supersymmetry-preserving vacuum is highly suppressed over cosm ological time scales, just as in the SUSY breaking scenario of Ref. [28].

4 Conclusions

The main result of this paper is that it is possible to construct 4D SUSY eld theories that realize the sequestering of the hidden sector. The original sequestering mechanism of Ref. [11] had its origin in the spatial separation of the visible and hidden sectors in an extra dimension. In the 4-dimensional models considered here the role of the extra dimension is played by the many states of a superconformal eld theory, as dictated by the AdS/CFT correspondence. U sing these ideas we have constructed an explicit realistic 4D model in which anomaly mediation dominates in the visible sector.

The higher-dimensional realization of sequestering is geometric and highly intuitive. However, the local higher-dimensional (N = 2) SUSY is a significant technical complication that makes the construction of explicit models discult. In the 4D models considered here the extra supersymmetry is implicit in the enhanced superconformal symmetry of the xed point, and we only need to keep track of N = 1SUSY for model-building.

There are many interesting further directions to pursue. In future work [16], we

intend to extend the ideas of this paper to study 4D realizations of gaugino m ediation [14] and radion m ediation [15], where the hidden sector has a superconform al regime (dual to having the hidden sector on the IR brane in a SUSY RS set-up). We also wish to consider the important question of constructing fully natural m odels with a dynam ical origin for scale hierarchies.

We end with some comments on related work that has appeared recently. Ref. [18] studied strong SUSY CFT's applied to the avor problem and SUSY breaking. Although the relation to the AdS/CFT correspondence was not discussed in this paper, for purposes of SUSY breaking the models of Ref. [18] can be viewed as the CFT dual of gaugino mediation [14], with the hidden sector localized on the UV brane. There are some important di erences between this work and that of the present paper, beyond the obvious di erence in the SUSY -breaking mediation mechanism. In Ref. [18] the conform al symmetry is broken by relevant operators, and suppressing all soft terms requires avor symmetries in the standard model to be completely broken. W hile the scenario of Ref. [18] im plements a speci c proposal for understanding the structure of Yukawa couplings as well as giving a solution to the SUSY avor problem, our present work is aim ed only at the SUSY avor problem. On the other hand realistic model-building appears to be simpler in the present approach where the hidden sector originates from the CFT (dual to having the SUSY breaking on the IR brane).

Refs. [19] gave a very simple and explicit construction of gauge theories whose low-energy dynamics mimics that of a at extra dimension without gravity. In this approach the many states of the extra dimension arise from having many fourdimensional gauge sectors, while in our approach they arise from the excited states of a simple CFT. In the framework of Refs. [19] sequestering is dicult to realize because it is not clear how to maintain locality in the the extra-dimensional interpretation in the presence of gravity.

A cknow ledgem ents

M A L.was supported by NSF grant PHY -98-02551.

References

- [1] J. Maldaœna, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200;
 S.S. Gubser, IR. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 428 (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109; E. W itten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.
- For a review see O.Aharony, S.S.Gubser, J.M aldacena, H.Ooguri, Y.Oz, Phys. Rept. 323 (2000) 183, hep-th/9905111.
- [3] H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B 580 (2000) 264, hep-th/9906182; J.M aldaœna, unpublished remarks; E.W itten, ITP Santa Barbara conference New D in ensions in Field Theory and String Theory,', http://www.itp.ucsb.edu/online/susy c99/discussion; E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, JHEP 0005 (2000) 034, hep-th/9912018.
- [4] N.ArkaniHamed, M. Porrati, L.Randall, hep-th/0012148.
- [5] R.Rattazzi, A.Za aroni, JHEP 0104 (2001) 021, hep-th/0012248.
- [6] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370, hep-ph/9905221;
 Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4690, hep-th/9906064.
- [7] S. S. Gubser, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 084017, hep-th/9912001; M. Perez-Victoria, hep-th/0105048.
- [8] M. Cvetic, H. Lu, C.N. Pope, hep-th/0002054; R. Altendorfer, J. Bagger, D. Nem eschansky, hep-th/0003117; T. Gherghetta, A. Pom arol, hep-ph/0003129; N. Alonso-Alberca, P. Meessen, T. Ortin, Phys. Lett. B 482 (2000) 400, hep-th/0003248; A. Falkowski, Z. Lalak, S. Pokorski, hep-th/0004093; E. Bergshoe, R. Kallosh, A. Van Proeyen, JHEP 0010 (2000) 033, hep-th/0007044; M. Zucker, hep-th/0009083; H. Nishino, S. Rajpoot, hep-th/0011066.
- [9] M A.Luty, R.Sundrum, hep-th/0012158.
- [10] J.Bagger, D.Nemeschansky, R.-J.Zhang, hep-th/0012163.
- [11] L.Randall, R.Sundrum, Nucl. Phys. B 557 (1999) 79, hep-th/9810155.
- [12] M A.Luty, R.Sundrum, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 035008, hep-th/9910202.

- [13] G F.G iudice, M A.Luty, H.Murayama, R.Rattazzi, JHEP 9812 (1998) 027, hep-ph/9810442.
- [14] D.E. Kaplan, G.D. Kribs, M. Schmaltz, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 035010, hep-ph/9911293; Z.Chacko, M.A.Luty, A.E.Nelson, E.Ponton, JHEP 0001 (2000) 003, hep-ph/9911323.
- [15] Z.Chacko, M A.Luty, hep-ph/0008103.
- [16] M.A.Luty, R.Sundrum, in preparation.
- [17] A. Pom arol and R. Rattazzi, JHEP 9905 (1999) 013, hep-ph/9903448;
 Z. Chacko, M A. Luty, I. Maksymyk, E. Ponton, JHEP 0004 (2000) 001, hep-ph/9905390; E. Katz, Y. Shadmi, Y. Shirm an JHEP 9908 (1999) 015, hep-ph/9906296; K. I. Izawa, Y. Nomura, T. Yanagida, Prog. Theor. Phys. 102 (1999) 1181, hep-ph/9908240; M. Carena, K. Huitu, T. Kobayashi, Nucl. Phys. B 592 (2000) 164, hep-ph/0003187; B.C. Allanach, A. Dedes, JHEP 0006 (2000) 017, hep-ph/0003222; I. Jack, D.R.T. Jones, Phys. Lett. B 491 (2000) 151, hep-ph/0006116; D.E. Kaplan, G.D. Kribs, JHEP 0009 (2000) 048, hep-ph/0009195. N. ArkaniHamed, D.E. Kaplan, H. Murayama, Y. Nomura, JHEP 0102 (2001) 041, hep-ph/0012103.
- [18] A.E. Nelson, M.J. Strassler, hep-ph/0104051; JHEP 0009 (2000) 030, hep-ph/0006251.
- [19] N.ArkaniHamed, A.G.Cohen, H.Georgi, hep-th/0104005; C.T.Hill, S.Pokorski, J.W ang, hep-th/0104035.
- [20] N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B 435 (1995) 129, hep-th/9411149.
- [21] T.Banks, A.Zaks, Nucl. Phys. B 196 (1982) 189.
- [22] V A.Novikov, M A.Shifman, A.I.Vainshtein, V.I.Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 229 (1983) 381; M A.Shifman, A.I.Vainshtein, Nucl. Phys. B 277 (1986) 456; Nucl. Phys. B 359 (1991) 571; N.ArkaniHamed, H.Murayama, JHEP 0006 (2000) 030, hep-th/9707133; N.ArkaniHamed, G.Giudice, M A.Luty, R.Rattazzi, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 115005, hep-ph/9803290.
- [23] M A.Luty, W. Taylor IV, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 3399, hep-th/9506098.
- [24] N.ArkaniHamed, R.Rattazzi, Phys. Lett. B 454 (1999) 290, hep-th/9804068;
 M.A.Luty, R.Rattazzi, JHEP 9911 (1999) 001, hep-th/9908085.

- [25] E.Gardi, G.Grunberg, JHEP 9903 (1999) 024, hep-th/9810192.
- [26] A. Manohar, H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. B 234 (1984) 189; H. Georgi, L. Randall, Nucl. Phys. B 276 (1986) 241.
- [27] F.Gabbiani, E.Gabrielli, A.Masiero, L.Silvestrini, Nucl. Phys. B 477 (1996) 321, hep-ph/9604387.
- [28] S. D in opoulos, G. D vali, R. Rattazzi, G F. G iudice, Nucl. Phys. B 510 (1998) 12, hep-ph/9705307.