On models of gauge eld localization on a brane

S.L.Dubovsky, V.A.Rubakov

Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian A cademy of Sciences, 60th O ctober Anniversary Prospect, 7a, 117312 M oscow, Russia

Abstract

We argue that any viable mechanism of gauge eld localization should autom atically imply charge universality on the brane. We study whether this condition is satis ed in the two known proposals aim ed to localize vector eld in at bulk space. W e construct a simple calculable model with con nement in the bulk and decon nement on the brane, as in the Shifm an {D valiset up. We nd that in ourm odel the 4-dim ensional C oulom b law is indeed reproduced on the brane due to the massless localized photon mode. The charge universality is enforced by the presence of \con ning strings". On the other hand, charge universality condition is not satis ed in another, braneinduced localization mechanism when the number of extra dimensions d is larger than two. We dem onstrate that in the non-Abelian case the gauge elds inside the brane are never four-dimensional and their self-interaction is strong at all distances of interest. Hence this mechanism does not work for d > 2. At d = 2 the charge universality is still a problem, but it holds autom atically at d = 1. At d = 1, however, the bulk gauge elds are strongly coupled in the non-Abelian case.

1 Introduction and Summary

Phenom enological discussions of various \brane world" scenarios are sometim es based on eld theoretic models for localization of matter elds and possibly gravity on a three-dimensional submanifold | brane | embedded in higher dimensional space. A simple mechanism of the localization of ferm ions (and also scalars) makes use of domain walls or topological defects of higher codimension [1, 2]: in the presence of a topological defect, there often exist (chiral) ferm ion zero modes whose wave functions concentrate near the defect [3, 4, 5]. Som ewhat similar mechanism localizes gravitons in the vicinity of a gravitating domain wallem bedded in ve-dimensional space [6, 7]; higher-dimensional generalizations of the latter mechanism have been proposed in Refs. [8, 9, 10] (see, however, Ref. [11]). Gravity of a brane is capable of localizing scalars [12] and gauge elds [13, 14] as well (for a review see, e.g., Ref. [15]).

Some brane world scenarios, notably ADD [16], require non-gravitational mechanisms of matter localization. In this regard, it is of interest to understand possible ways of trapping gauge elds to a brane in at multidimensional space. The simple zero mode mechanism, similar to that localizing fermions and scalars on a defect, is unlikely to work for gauge elds, for the following reason. Suppose that (3 + 1)-dimensional fermions and gauge elds are zero modes of bulk spinors and vectors, respectively, i.e. they are described by the wave functions

$$(x ; z) = (x)_{0} (z)$$

A $(x ; z) = A (x) A (z);$

where x (= 0;1;2;3) and z (= 1;:::;d) are coordinates along the brane and transverse to the brane, respectively, (x) and A (x) are the usual four-dimesional wave functions (say, plane waves) and $_0$ (z) and A (z) are the wave functions in the transverse dimensions. The latter are supposed to correspond to bound states (massless from the four-dimensional point of view), so that $_0$ (z) and A (z) are peaked near the brane, z = 0, and decrease towards jzj! 1 . Multi-dimensional gauge interactions would then induce interactions between fermions and vectors residing on the brane, with the fermion gauge charges in the four-dimensional ective theory being proportional to the overlap integrals

$$d^{d}z \stackrel{Y}{_{0}}(z) A(z) _{_{0}}(z) :$$
 (1)

The problem is that these overlap integrals may take arbitrary values: the shapes of the ferm ion zero mode wave functions depend on the details of the interaction of bulk ferm ions to the defect and are dierent, at least in principle, for dierent ferm ionic species. Hence, the zero mode mechanism would allow for dierent (and arbitrary) values of gauge charges for dierent types of ferm ions, which should not be possible, at least in the non-Abelian case.

Any viable mechanism of gauge eld localization should automatically lead to equal gauge charges of matter elds residing on the brane, i.e., should autom atically ensure charge universality. This property is not inherent in the simple zero mode mechanism in at background, so it has no chance to work¹.

In this paper we discuss two proposals for localizing gauge elds. One of them [17] is based on the assumption that the gauge theory is conning in the bulk, whereas connement is absent on the brane². In Section 2 we substantiate this proposal by modelling this situation in a theory of dual superconductivity. For simplicity, we consider the case of one extra dimension; then this theory contains a two-form eld with the mass parameter (z) depending on the extra coordinate z. For constant this theory [18, 19] possesses the 't Hooft {M and elstam mechanism of connement. We will see that with (z) vanishing on the brane, charges residing on the brane experience four dimensional C oulom b law, and that the zero mode of the gauge eld appears. We will also discuss how charge universality is enforced by connement in the bulk.

A nother proposal [20] is to modify the action of the gauge eld by adding to conventional bulk action a term concentrating on a brane; this proposal is based on earlier discussion of the possibility to localize gravity on a brane in this way [21, 22]. In the lim it of zero brane thickness, the additional term is

7

$$S_{brane} / d^4x d^dz d^dz (z) F^2 (x;z)$$
: (2)

It has been argued that this term m ay be induced by loops involving particles residing on the brane, and that there is a wide range of distances at which the gauge theory on the brane is e ectively four-dimensional [20].

The brane-induced localization mechanism works di erently for one extra dimension, d = 1, and larger number of extra dimensions. At d = 1, the relevant gauge eld propagator is non-singular on the brane, whereas at larger dit has a singularity at z = 0 (if the -function in eq. (2) is not regularized). An interpretation of the latter is that for d > 1, charges placed exactly on the brane and charges slightly displaced from the brane interact in a

¹ It is worth commenting on how the charge universality obstruction is avoided in models where gauge elds are localized by gravity [13, 14]. In these models, the gauge eld zero mode A is independent of z, and yet it is normalizable with appropriate measure determined by the bulk geometry. The overlap integral analogous to (1) coincides then with the norm of $_0$, so gauge charges are in fact universal.

 $^{^{2}}$ W e note in passing that, to the best of our knowledge, a "microscopic" higherdimensional quantum eld theory possessing these properties, is yet unknown.

quite di erent way. This signalizes for the lack of charge universality, so one suspects that the brane-induced localization may have problem s at d > 1.

In fact, the regularization of the -function in the action (2) is a necessity, otherw ise there are uncontrollable singularities. The simplest regularization is smearing this -function out (cf. Ref. [20], another regularization is proposed in Ref. [23]). In Section 3 we adopt this approach and consider the case d > 2. We nd that, in a sense, the gauge eld inside the brane is never four-dimensional, which is unacceptable in non-Abelian theory. Furtherm ore, in the non-Abelian case the gauge coupling in the theory inside the brane is strong at all distances of interest. We conclude that this model does not adm it sem iclassical treatment, so the mechanism of Ref. [20], as it stands, does not work for non-Abelian gauge elds and d > 2.

W hile at d = 2 the charge universality is still a problem, the situation is di erent at d = 1. This case is also considered in Section 3. We nd that in this case, the charge universality holds automatically in elective fourdimensional theory. In non-Abelian gauge theory, however, there is another problem : if one requires the elective four-dimensional gauge coupling to be roughtly of order one, the original ve-dimensional theory is strongly coupled in the bulk at distance scales of interest.

To conclude this section, let us point out that a gravitational analogue of the charge universality is the equivalence principle. So, it is natural to conjecture that any self-consistent theory (quasi-)localizing graviton on a brane should automatically lead to the four-dimensional equivalence principle. This property is indeed present in the Randall(Sundnum proposal [7], but does not appear to be inherent in the brane-induced localization mechanism of Refs. [21, 22]. We point out in Section 3 that gravity \localized" by the brane-induced mechanism has the same problems at d > 2 as gauge elds, whereas at d = 1 the four-dimensional equivalence principle is ensured. Hence, if it was not for the problem with the tensor structure of the graviton propagator [21] and the mism atch of scales (see Ref. [23] and subsection 3.2), the brane-induced mechanism would be a viable scenario of the localization of gravity in ve at dimensions.

2 Con nement in the bulk, no con nement on the brane

2.1 M odel for dual superconductivity

Let us consider space-time of D \our" dimensions (D 3) and one extra dimension. To construct an explicit model with connement in the bulk and no connement on the brane, we begin with the theory [18] exhibiting the 't Hooff{M and elstam mechanism of connement. For the time being, we consider hom ogeneous (D + 1)-dimensional space-time; we will introduce the brane later on. M icroscopically, one thinks of an Abelian theory with monopoles, and assumes that there is a phase with monopole condensate. Then electric charges are conned. Phenomenologically, this situation may be described by the low energy elective theory involving a two-formeld $!_{ab}$ (a; b = 1;:::; D + 1) which generalizes the M axwell eld strength. The sources are represented by an anti-symmetric tensor T_{ab} related to the usual electrom agnetic current as follows

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{ab}} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{a}} : \tag{3}$$

The action for this model is

$$S = d^{D+1}X + \frac{1}{12^{2}} abc + \frac{1}{4e^{2}}!ab!ab + i!abTab ; \qquad (4)$$

where abc is the eld strength,

$$abc = \varrho_a !_{bc} + \varrho_c !_{ab} + \varrho_b !_{ca} :$$
(5)

W e work in Euclidean (D + 1)-dimensional space-time, hence the factor i in the action (4). The parameter may be interpreted as the energy scale of the monopole condensate, and e is the electric charge. It is implicit in (4) that the theory has a xed ultraviolet cut-o ; we will see shortly that the tension of the string between electric charges depends on this cut-o.

As explained in Ref. [18], this action can be obtained by a certain gauge xing from a more general Abelian action. At D = 3 the latter action is dual to the Abelian Higgs model with frozen vacuum expectation value of the Higgs eld. The two-form eld ! ab is dual to the phase of the Higgs eld.

At D > 3 the action before gauge xing is dual to the generalized Higgs model describing (D 2)-form eld dual to the electrom agnetic eld, and (D 3)-form eld dual to the antysim metric tensor $!_{ab}$. This (D 3)-form is a generalization of the phase of Higgs eld in the four-dimensional A belian Higgs model.

It is straightforward to see that when the monopole condensate vanishes, ! 0, the model (4) reduces to the usual QED. Indeed, niteness of the

action implies that in this limit

$$abc = 0$$
 (6)

and, consequently,

$$!_{ab} = Q_a A_b \qquad Q_b A_a \tag{7}$$

with some vector eld A_a . Then the relation (3) implies that the last two terms in Eq. (4) describe photon eld A_a interacting with electrom agnetic current j_a .

For non-vanishing the situation is not so simple. In the case of two opposite point charges, Eq. (3) in plies that

$$T^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}^{2} d^{2} \qquad \frac{\partial x^{a}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial x^{b}}{\partial \theta} \overset{D+1}{} (x \quad x())$$
(8)

where x() parametrizes a surface bounded by the world-lines of the charges. Hence the action (4) as it stands depends on the choice of this surface. The potential between electric charges in dual supeconductor should, however, depend only on the locations of these charges. The right way to ensure the latter property is to integrate over all surfaces [19]. This integration is interpreted as the integration over world sheets of con ning strings. W e will perform this integration sem iclassically, taking the surface which m inim izes the action. In most cases considered below this surface is uniquely determ ined by symmetries.

The solution to the classical eld equations following from the action (4) is

$$!_{ab} = \frac{2^{2}}{m^{2}} T_{ab} = \frac{e^{2}}{m^{2}} (\theta_{a} j_{b} - \theta_{b} j_{a}); \qquad (9)$$

where $_{D+1}$ is (D + 1)-dimensional Euclidian Laplacian, and mass m is equal to

$$m = -e$$

It is straightforward to check that an analogue of the st pair of M axwell's equations is satis ed,

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{a}!_{ab} = \mathbf{i} \mathbf{e}^{2} \mathbf{j}_{b} : \tag{10}$$

This is in accord with the interpretation of !_{ab} as the generalization of the M axwell tensor in the phase with m onopole condensate. Substituting the eld (9) back into the action (4) and integrating by parts one obtains the following expression for the action describing the interaction of electric charges,

$$S = d^{D+1}x T_{ab} \frac{2}{m^2} T_{ab} + \frac{e^2}{2} j_a \frac{1}{m^2} j_a : (11)$$

The second term in Eq. (11) is local and does not depend on the choice of the string world sheet. It reduces to the usual C oulom b term at small charge separation, L m⁻¹ and exponentially decreases at larger distances. The rst term in Eq. (11) is non-local and gives rise to the con ning potential between charges.

To see the property of con nem ent explicitly, let us consider two static charges located at the origin and at the point $X_1 = L, X_2 = \ldots = X_D = 0$. In this case the minimal surface is clearly a at rectangular, in nite in time direction. The non-vanishing components of T_{ab} are

$$T_{01} = T_{10} = {}^{D_{-1}} (X_1) (X_1) (L_X_1)$$
 (12)

where l runs from 2 to D . In this static case, the action (11) determ ines the potential between the charges,

$$S = V (L)T$$
:

The non-local term in Eq. (12) gives the following contribution to the potential (to the leading order in m = 0)

$$V_{conf}(L) = L; \qquad (13)$$

where the string tension explicitly depends³ on the cuto

³ Explicit dependence of the string tension on the cuto scale is due to the divergence of the integral over the coordinates transverse to the string worldsheet. Equation (14) is in agreem ent with the well-known logarithm ic divergence in the energy of the usual (D = 3) A brikosov {N ielsen {O lesen vortex in the limit of in nite Higgs mass.

The Coulomb and con nem ent regimes occur at short and long distances, the transition between the two takes place at the scale where con ning and Coulomb potentials are of the same order,

$$L_c = \frac{e^2}{2} = \frac{1}{p-1} = \frac{e^2}{2p-3} = \frac{1}{p-1}$$
 (15)

Note that $L_c = m^{-1}$ so that in the whole region where the local contribution dom inates, the potential between the charges is indeed of the C oulom b type.

2.2 Potential between charges on the brane

To consider the situation proposed by D vali and Shifm an as a mechanism of the localization of gauge elds [17], we modify the above model and take the scale of con nement to be a non-trivial function in transverse space, which is supposed to vanish on the brane surface. For the sake of sim plicity we consider at co-dimension one brane, i.e. assume that = (z) is a X^{D+1} . The brane is placed at z = 0, and function of one coordinate z symmetry z ! z is assumed. In order to avoid singularities in the action (4) we work with small, but non-vanishing (0). The corresponding mass $m_0 = (0) = e$ is the smallest energy scale in the problem . We will not specify the explicit form of the function (z) for the moment and require only that outside the region of small size z_0 , the con nem ent scale (z) is constant⁴ and large enough, $(z_j > z_0)$ C (0).

The eld equation following from the action (4) with varying has the form

Taking the divergence of this equation we again obtain the rst pair of M axwell's equations, Eq. (10). It is straightforward to solve Eq. (16) for a general source T_{ab} . The result is

$$!_{z} = \frac{1}{D_{T}} \frac{2}{(z)T_{z}} + e^{2}(\theta_{z}j - \theta_{z}j)$$
(17)

 $^{^{4}}$ O ur analysis rem ains valid for (z) growing towards jzj! 1 . In fact, this is the case in a speci c example to be considered later, see Eq. (34).

and

$$! = \frac{i}{D_{L}} {}^{2}(z)T + e^{2}(0j 0j) 2i \frac{0(z)}{(z)}(0!_{z} 0!_{z}) (18)$$

where transverse and longitudial operators D $_{\rm T}\,$ and D $_{\rm L}\,$ are

$$D_{T} = m^{2}(z) \quad Q_{z}^{2}$$
 (19)

and

$$D_{\rm L} = m^2(z) \qquad (g_z^2 + 2 - \frac{0(z)}{(z)}) g_z \qquad (20)$$

Indices , run from 0 to D , and prime denotes di erentiation with respect to z.

Let us consider two static point-like charges located on the brane at distance L from each other. A coording to the qualitative arguments due to D vali and Shifm an, the D -dimensional (rather than (D + 1)-dimensional) C oulom b potential should emerge at large distances in this case. Since we keep (0)

nite, charges on the brane experience con nem ent at very large distances, so this picture does not hold beyond the con nem ent scale on the brane

$$L_{c}(0) = \frac{e^{2}}{\frac{2}{(0)}} \frac{1}{D-3}$$
 (21)

W ewill consider the distances L $L_c(0)$, but still larger than all other scales inherent in the model. Our purpose is to see whether the D-dimensional C oulom b potential between charges on the brane indeed emerges at these distances.

From the symmetry z ! z and from the fact that the connement scale (z) is minimal at z = 0 it is clear that the minimal surface in our case is the same as for non-vanishing constant, so the source T_{ab} is again given by Eq. (12). Then, as in the usual electrodynamics, only electric eld is non-vanishing. From Eqs. (17) and (18) we nd

$$E_{z} = \frac{1}{i}!_{z0} = \hat{e} (D_{T} = D)^{-1} (\theta_{z} j_{0})$$
(22)

for the component of the electric eld transverse to the brane, and

$$E_{i} = \frac{1}{i!} = (D_{L} = D)^{-1} = (0)T_{i0} + e^{2}\theta_{i}j_{0} + 2\frac{\theta_{i}(z)}{(z)}\theta_{i}E_{z} ; \quad (23)$$

for the electric eld paralel to the brane $(i = 1; ::: (D \quad 1))$. Equation (10) becomes the (D + 1)-dimensional Gauss' law,

$$Q_z E_z + Q_i E_i = e^2 j_0$$
(24)

The operator (19) entering the expression (22) for the transverse electric eld is a Schrödinger operator with the potential m² (z) having the shape of a well of charteristic width z_0 and characteristic height ($_c=e$)². The mass of the lightest mode corresponding to this operator is of order

$$m_{\rm T}$$
 minfz¹; _c=eg (25)

For sm all enough (0), this mass is much larger than $L_c(0)^{-1}$. Then a range of interm ediate distances L exists, where E_z component of the electric eld is already exponentially sm all but con nem ent on the brane has not yet set in. We are interested precisely in this range of distances between the charges.

At these distances the stringy term, 2 (0)T_{i0}, in the expression (23) for the longitudial part of the electric eld is negligible. The remaining terms in Eq. (23) are local, so it makes sense to consider electric eld of one charge. From Eqs. (22) and (23) it is clear that this eld is symmetric under the spatial rotations on the brane. The eld E_z vanishes as jzj! 1, so eq. (24) in its integral form becomes the D-dimensional G auss' law,

Z
dⁱ E_i⁽¹⁾ =
$$e^2 q$$
 (26)

for the integrated longitudinal eld

$$E_{i}^{(l)} = \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} dz E_{i}(z;x)$$

In eq. (26), integration is performed over a (D = 2)-dimensional sphere of radius L. Now, the longitudinal eld also vanishes at large jzj so eq. (26) in plies

$$E^{(1)} / \frac{1}{L^{D-2}};$$
 (27)

This suggests that the interaction strength between the two charges indeed follows the D-dimensional C oulom blaw.

2.3 Zeromode

In order to substantiate the above sem i-quantitative argument let us study the spectrum of the longitudial operator (20). This operator is Herm itean with the measure 2 (z), and is positive de nite. The existence of the longrange eld (27) in plies that the operator (20) has nearly zero mode with mass

$$m_{light} \cdot L_{c}^{-1}(0)$$
: (28)

To see this explicitly let us make use of the inequality

$$Z = \frac{dz}{\frac{2}{2}(z)} \quad D_{L} > m_{light}^{2} = \frac{dz}{\frac{2}{2}(z)} ; \qquad (29)$$

where the weight is chosen according to the H erm iticity property of D_L . Here (z) is an arbitrary continuous function vanishing at in nity. Let us consider the trial function of the following form

$$(z) = \exp - \frac{1}{e} \int_{0}^{z} dz (z) ;$$
 (30)

where the function (z) is de ned as follows,

$$(z) = sgn(z) (z)$$
: (31)

W ith this trial function, the estim ate for the mass of the lightest mode is

$$m_{light}^{2} = \frac{2 R^{2} dz}{e^{2} \frac{dz}{2(z)}^{2}};$$
 (32)

Now it is clear, that if (0) tends to zero, then the mass of the lightest mode also vanishes. If one introduces parameters z_0 and k⁻¹ which determ ine the widths of the regions near z = 0 where (z) 1 and (z) (0), respectively, then from (32) one has the following estimate (assuming k > z_0^{-1})

$$m_{light}^{2} = \frac{1}{e^{2}} (0)kz_{0}$$
 (33)

from which it follows that the relation (28) is valid.

To illustrate the above general reasoning let us consider a speci c choice of (z) in more detail. Namely, we chose (z) in the form

$$(z) = {}_{c} e^{k (jzj z_{0})} :$$
(34)

W e will assume the following relation between various dimensionful param - eters,

$$(0) = {}_{c} e^{kz_{0}} \qquad z_{0}^{1}; \frac{c}{e} \qquad k$$
(35)

To take the limit of small (0), we keep $_{\rm c}$ and z_0 xed, and take k large. Then z_0 and k are essentially the same parameters that enter our qualitative estimate, eq. (33). The eigenvalue equation for the operator (20) has the following form at z > 0,

⁰⁰ + 2k ⁰ +
$$\frac{c}{e}$$
 ² $e^{2k(z z_0)} = p^2$ (36)

The general bounded at in nity solution to Eq. (36) is

(z) = N
$$e^{kz} K = \frac{c}{ek} e^{k(z - z_0)}$$
; (37)

where N is a normalization factor and the order of the modied Bessel function K is equal to r

$$= 1 \frac{p^2}{k^2}$$

Let us st consider symmetric eigenfunctions. They obey

$$^{0}(0) = 0$$
: (38)

which gives

$$(1 +)K (_{0}) _{0}K _{+1}(_{0}) = 0;$$
 (39)

with

$$_{0} = \frac{c}{ek} e^{kz_{0}} = \frac{(0)}{ek} \quad 1:$$
 (40)

Equation (39) determ ines the eigenvalues p^2 . The lowest one is

$$m_{light}^{2} = 2 \frac{(0)}{e} kz_{0} \ln \frac{c}{ek}$$
; (41)

whereas higher eigenvalues are separated by the gap of order k (in fact, in the lim it $_0$! 0, the next-to-lowest eigenvalue tends precisely to k). The inequality (28) is satis ed and the light mode behaves as massless at distances of interest, L < L_c(0). Clearly, in the lim it (0) ! 0, the light mode becomes exactly massless. It is this massless mode that mediates D - dimensional C oulom b interaction between charges on the brane. Note that the result (41) is in agreement with our previous estimate (33).

The anti-symmetric eigenfunctions obey

$$(0) = 0$$

A coording to eq. (37), this is possible only for p > k, when is in aginary. So, all eigenvalues but one are large, and the light mode is the only one relevant at large distances.

2.4 Charge universality

Let us now discuss the charge universality in this set up. To this end, let us consider two point-like opposite charges displaced from the brane to the points z_{+} and z_{-} , respectively. C learly, the general case of a continuous charge distribution in z-direction can be straightforwardly obtained from this one.

Naively, one may expect that the following two elects take place. First, the conment length between two charges may appear to be determined now not by (0) as in Eq. (21), but by (z_c) where z_c takes some intermediate value between z_+ and z_- . Second, in the distance interval where conment between the two charges has not set in yet, the long range force is transmitted by the light mode. Then the general argument, described in Introduction, suggests that this force depends on the overlaps between the charge distributions and wave function of the light mode. In other words, one might expect that the force between the two charges is proportional to $_0(z_+) = _0(z_-)$, where $_0(z)$ is the light mode. This would mean the lack of charge universality. However, we will see that neither of these two elects actually occurs.

A sbefore, let us take the distance between the charges along the brane, L, to be much sm aller than the con nem ent length on the brane, L_c (0). The key point is that outside an L-independent neighborhood of each of the charges,



Figure 1: A string (thick line) and electric eld lines for two charges placed in the bulk: a) side view; b) overall picture (electric eld lines are shown on the brane only).

the m inim al string lays on the brane: clearly, any other string con guration has higher energy and action. This con guration is illustrated in Figure 1, where the electric eld lines are also shown.

Then, as in the case of point charges located on the brane, there are two di erent components of the electric eld. The rst one is non-local and originates from the long string running along the brane. It is this component that is responsible for linear potential between the charges, so con nement scale is $L_c(0)$, as before. The second component is (alm ost) local and com es from the regions near the charges; it includes, in particular, the contributions of the parts of the string which connect the charges to the brane. The nonlocal component is suppressed by the small value of the connement scale on the brane, (0) (cf. Eqs. (17) and (18)), and is negligible at the distances between charges smaller than $L_c(0)$, which is the case we consider. For the local component it makes sense to consider electric elds of each of the charges separately. Su ciently far away from the charges, the long range component of this eld is due to the light m ode and has the form

$$E_{i} = \hat{x}_{i} \frac{a}{D_{D} - 2} \frac{O(z)}{L^{D} - 2}; \qquad (42)$$

where L is the distance to the charge, $_{D_2}$ is the area of unit (D 2)dimensional sphere and \hat{x} is unit radius-vector. The coe cient a is determined by the Gauss' law (26),

$$a = \frac{R}{dz} \frac{qe^2}{0} :$$
 (43)

W e see that this coe cient is independent of the position of the charge in transverse direction, and is determ ined by the value of charge q only. Hence, charge universality holds in our model.

To nd the electrice charge q_{eff} in the low-energy electrice theory on the brane, let us calculate the interaction energy of the two charges. As in the usual electrodynamics, the G aussian structure of the action (4) implies that this energy may be calculated as an energy of the two-form eld $!_{0i} = iE_i$ produced by the charges. The contribution into the energy integral that dom inates at large L, comes from the region where both $jx = x_i$ jand $jx = x_j$ are of order L, where x are the longitudinal coordinates of the charges. The regions near the charges contribute into higher multipoles only⁵.

U sing Eq. (42), one obtains for the interaction energy

$$V(L) = \frac{a^2}{4 \frac{2}{D-2}} \quad \frac{d^{D-1}x}{jx + j^{-2}jx + j^{-2}} \quad dz \quad \frac{1}{12^2} (\theta_{z-0})^2 + \frac{1}{4e^2} \theta_{z-0}^2$$

The integral over longitudinal coordinates x gives the D-dimensional C oulom b behavior, V (L) / $1=L^{D-3}$, precisely in the same way as in the usual electrodynamics. Evaluating the integral over z by parts and using the fact that $_{0}$ (z) is an eigenmode of the operator D $_{L}$ with the eigenvalue m $^{2}_{light}$ we obtain

$$q_{eff}^{2} = a^{2}m_{light}^{2} dz \frac{\frac{2}{0}(z)}{\frac{2}{2}(z)}$$

or, making use of Eq. (43),

$$q_{eff}^{2} = q^{2}e^{4}m_{light}^{2} - \frac{R_{dz_{0}^{2}(z)}^{2}}{R_{dz_{0}(z)^{2}}^{2}}$$

Now, recalling the estimate (32) for m_{light} we not that q_{eff} remains nite in the limit (0)! 0. Under the same conditions that lead to eq.(33), the estimate for the elective charge is

$$q_{eff}^2 = \frac{q^2}{z_0}$$
:

To summarize, in the low energy elective theory, the charges are nite and universal.

 $^{^5\,}$ It is interesting to note that higher multipoles are not universal, as they depend on z $\,$.

2.5 Discussion

In our model, the d-dimensional C oulom b law between charges placed on or near the brane comes together with the zero mode of the gauge eld. This is in accord with the general rule of the existence of a massless particle, photon, travelling along the brane, in theories exhibiting the C oulom b law on the brane. Another important ingredient are thin strings between the charges. These strings are invisible in the low energy elective theory (for (0)! 0), as they lay on the brane, but play a key role in ensuring charge universality. For charges displaced from the brane (or non-trivial charge distributions in the transverse direction), the short string connecting the charge to the brane should contribute to the self-energy of the charge. There m ay be other low – energy elects of these short strings, such as polarizability of charged particles whose wave functions have nite spread in the transverse direction.

The model discussed in this section has exotic modi cations. For instance one may consider several branes parallel to each other as in R ef. [24]. A nother modi cation with potentially interesting phenom enological consequences is as follows. Suppose that the con nement scale on our brane is nite, as it happens in QCD, and that (z) has a local minimum on our brane. Suppose further that (z) has a global minimum on some other brane or in the bulk, and that = 0 there. Then there should exist "free quarks" whose electric eld is shown in Figure 2. These objects will be colorless, but otherwise have quantum numbers of a quark. Their masses will be determined by the properties of the ux tube, extending into extra dimensions and connecting the free quarks to the region with = 0; this mass may naturally be quite large.

3 Brane-induced localization

Let us now turn to another proposal [20]. Consider (4 + d)-dimensional at space-time with coordinates x (= 0;1;2;3) and z (= 1;:::;d), and assume that there is a brane at z = 0. It has been proposed to choose the action for the gauge eld in the following form,

$$S = \frac{1}{g_{(4+d)}^2} d^4 x d^d z - \frac{1}{4} F_{ab}^2 + \frac{1}{4} C^{2-d} (z) F^2$$
(44)



Figure 2: Color-electric eld lines of a \free quark"

where F_{ab} is the (4+ d)-dimensional eld strength, F are its four-dimensional components, C is some constant of dimension M $^{d=2}$; we work in Euclidean space-time for convenience. The second term in eq. (44) concentrates on the brane; the discussion of how the term of this type m ay be generated is given in Refs. [21, 22, 20].

3.1 More than two extra dim ensions

To be specific, let us instructions on the brane experience the four-dimensional C oulom b law is as follows (cf. Ref. [22]). Om itting indices, and ignoring complications due to gauge xing, one writes the equation for the propagator,

$$2^{(4+d)} + C^{2-d}(z)2^{(4)} G(x;x^{0};z;z^{0}) = {}^{4}(x x^{0})^{d}(z z^{0})$$
(45)

P lacing the source on the brane, i.e. setting $z^0 = 0$, and using the fourdimensional momentum representation, one has

$$2^{(d)} p^2 C^2 p^{2 d} (z) G (p;z) = d(z)$$
 (46)

where p is the four-m om entum. A form al solution to this equation is

$$G(p;z) = \frac{D(p;z)}{1 + C^2 p^2 D(p;0)}$$
(47)

where D (p;z) is the free (4 + d)-dimensional propagator in a space-time without brane, which obeys

$$2^{(d)} p^2 D(p;z) = d(z)$$
 (48)

Now, at d > 2, the free propagator D (p;z) is nite at nite z and diverges as z ! 0. So, one argues that G (p;z) = 0 at z \in 0, and

$$G(p;0) = \frac{1}{C^2 p^2}$$
 at $z = 0$ (49)

This quantity is proportional to the four-dimensional propagator, so one argues that the charges on the brane experience the four-dimensional C oulom b law. The elective four-dimensional gauge coupling would then be equal to

$$g_{(4)} = \frac{g_{(4+d)}}{C}$$
(50)

where $g_{(4+d)}$ is the gauge coupling in the original theory.

Of course, this argument is far from being rigorous [23]. The right hand side of eq. (47) does not exist (even in distributional sense), as D (p;0) is in nite. The -function in the action (44) has to be regularized. One way to do this is to smear this -function over a spherical region of sm all size z_0 ; it is precisely this regularization that we use in this paper.

A spointed out in Introduction, it is natural to suspect that this proposal has problem s with charge universality. The above arguments imply that only that part of the charge which is contained in the region jzj . The zero modes of matter elds may, however, spread over larger distances in transverse dimensions, so the four-dimensional charges of matter elds may depend on the shapes of their zero modes.

To see what the above set up actually corresponds to, let us consider the action Z

$$S = \frac{1}{g_{(4+d)}^2} d^4 x d^d z - \frac{1}{4} F_{ab}^2 + \frac{1}{4} f^2 (z) F^2$$
(51)

where f(z) is a step function equal to a constant f_0 at $jzj < z_0$ and zero at $jzj > z_0$. Om itting factors of order unity, we have a relation between parameters entering eqs. (44) and (51),

$$z_0^{\frac{d}{2}} f_0 = C$$
 (52)

C learly, f_0 is large for sm all z_0 .

Let us assume for simplicity that matter currents have components, transverse to the brane, equal to zero. In this case we can set the transverse components of the gauge eld equal to zero, A = 0, and write the action explicitly as follows,

$$S = \frac{1}{g_{(4+d)}^2} d^4 x d^d z - \frac{1}{2} (\theta_z A)^2 + \frac{1}{4} f^2 (z) F^2$$
(53)

where $\hat{f}^2(z) = 1 + f^2(z)$. Let us consider the region $jzj < z_0$, in which $\hat{f}^2 = const = 1 + f^2$ \hat{f}_0^2 . Since f_0 1, we will not distinguish between the constants \hat{f}_0 and f_0 in what follows. The propagator in this region is⁶ (again om itting indices)

$$G(x;x^{0};z;z^{0}) = \frac{Z}{\frac{d^{4}p d^{d}q}{(2)^{4+d}}} \frac{e^{iq(z-z^{0})}e^{ip(x-x^{0})}}{q^{2} + f_{0}^{2}p^{2}}$$
(54)

Now, the typical transverse momenta are of order q = 1=2, whereas the four-dimensional momenta are of order p = 1=r where r is the distance along the brane. So, there is a characteristic distance scale

$$r_{c} = f_{0} z_{0} - \frac{C}{z_{0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}$$
 (55)

where we made use of eq. (52). This scale is large at sm all z_0 (recall that we consider the case d > 2). Below this scale, the transverse momentum q in the denominator in eq. (54) is negligible, and the propagator is

G
$$(x;x^{0};z;z^{0}) = \frac{1}{f_{0}^{2}}D^{(4)}(x x^{0})(z z^{0})$$
 (56)

⁶ In fact, the correct expression for the propagator should be obtained by nding the solutions inside $(j_2j < z_0)$ and outside $(j_2j > z_0)$ the brane, and matching them at $j_2j = z_0$. This leads to corrections to the expression (54). For sources which are spherically sym metric in transverse dimensions, the propagator can be found in explicit form. In this way one can show that corrections to eq. (54) are negligible at distances of interest.

where D ⁽⁴⁾ is the conventionalm assless four-dimensional propagator. This is how the expectation concerning the four-dimensional C oulomb law [22, 20] is conmed. The propagator has four-dimensional behaviour at r_c , while extra dimensions "open up" at r_c .

To see how the relation (50) emerges, let us consider two static charge distributions (z) and $^{0}(z)$ spreading over the region $jzj < z_{0}$ and separated by a distance r r_{c} along the brane. A coording to eq. (56), the potential between them is

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{g_{(4+d)}^2}{4 \mathbf{r}} \frac{1}{f_0^2} d^d z (z)^{-0}(z)$$
(57)

Now, $q=d^{d}$, $q=d^{d}$, where q and q^{0} are the total charges, so

V (r)
$$\frac{g_{(4+d)}^2}{f_0^2 z_0^d} \frac{qq^0}{4 r}$$
 (58)

Taking into account eq. (52), one indeed obtains the relation (50).

The result (57) is alarming, however. Not only the charge universality does not hold, but also the interaction between the sources is ultra-local in z. This calls for further analysis of this model.

Let us again consider the action (53) inside the region $jz\,j<\,z_0$ and change variables from z to

$$y = f_0 z$$

In terms of these variables, the action (53) becomes

$$S = \frac{1}{g_{(4+d)}^2 f_0^{d-2}} d^4 x d^d y [((\theta_y A))^2 + F^2]$$
(59)

This is the standard (4 + d)-dimensional action for (-components of) the gauge eld. Now it is clear why the interaction (57) is ultra-local: in terms of the new variables, the charge distributions are huge pancakes of the transverse size

$$y_0 = f_0 z_0 \qquad r_e$$

whereas the gauge elds propagate with the speed of light in all directions. At $r = r_c$, i.e., when the distance between the charged pancakes is much smaller than their size, the interaction occurs between the pieces of pancakes sitting in front of each other, hence the ultra-locality.

From the point of view of gauge elds them selves, space-time inside the brane, $jyj < y_0$, is (4 + d)-dimensional, at and has the transverse size of order r_c . This is unacceptable, at least in the non-Abelian case. In the rst place, there are many more degrees of freedom than in the four-dimensional theory. Furthermore, according to eq. (59), the elective (4 + d)-dimensional self-coupling of the gauge elds is

$$g_{(4+d)}^{\text{eff}} = g_{(4+d)} f_0^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \qquad g_{(4)} r_c^{\frac{d}{2}}$$
(60)

where we made use of eqs. (52) and (55). Thus, for $g_{(4)}$ roughly of the order of unity, the gauge theory inside the brane becomes strongly coupled at distances (in all directions) just below r_c . This situation cannot be treated sem iclassically, contrary to what has been in plicitly assumed throughout the whole discussion.

The discussion of this subsection applies, word for word, to brane-localized gravity in more than two extra dimensions, with the obvious substitution

$$g_{(4+d)}^{2} \quad ! \quad L_{(4+d)}^{2+d} \quad \frac{1}{M^{2+d}}$$

$$g_{(4)}^{2} \quad ! \quad L_{P1}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{M_{P1}^{2}} \quad (61)$$

where M is the gravity scale in the underlying (4+d)-dimensional theory and M_{P1} is the four-dimensional Planck mass. Gravity inside the brane ceases to be four-dimensional, and becomes strong at distances below the length scale obtained from eq. (60),

$$L_{(4+d)}^{eff} = (L_{Pl}^2 r_c^d)^{\frac{1}{2+d}}$$

For d = 3 and r_c 10 kpc, this e ective scale is of order 1 cm, which is unacceptably large. The scale $L_{(4+d)}^{eff}$ is even larger at d > 3.

3.2 One extra dim ension

The cases d = 1 and d = 2 are special. Brane-induced localization in two extra dimensions has similar problems as in the case d > 2: at d = 2, the free propagator D (p;z) is again singular at z = 0, so the discussion of the beginning of the previous subsection applies to the case d = 2 as well. The case d = 1 is di event. Let us consider the latter case in some detail. Let us assume for simplicity that charge distributions are symmetric under z ! z, and consider the symmetric part of the propagator G ($x;x^{2};z;z^{0}$). At $z;z^{0} > 0$, the solution to eq. (45) with d = 1 is (in four-dimensional momentum representation)

$$G(p;z;z^{0}) = \frac{1}{2(r_{c}p^{2}+p)} h_{c}p e^{p;z \cdot z^{0}j} e^{p(z+z^{0})} + e^{p;z \cdot z^{0}j} + e^{p(z+z^{0})}$$
(62)

where

$$r_c \qquad C^2 = \frac{g_{(5)}^2}{g_{(4)}^2}$$

This propagator is nite at z = 0 and/or $z^0 = 0$, so one does not need to regularize the -function in the action (44). For sources on the brane, $z = z^0 = 0$, the propagator (62) agrees with the expression given in Ref. [21],

$$G(p;0) = \frac{1}{r_{c}p^{2} + p}$$
(63)

C harges placed on the brane at distance r $$r_{\rm c}$$ apart, experience the four-dimensional C oulom below .

Charge distributions of width z_0 in transverse direction also experience the four-dimensional C oulomb law, provided the rst term in square brackets in eq. (62) is sm all compared to the second term. The latter requirement gives

$$rac{r_c z_0}{r^2}$$
 1

This autom atically in plies that $z_0 p = z = r = 1$, so in this regime the propagator is independent of z and z^0 and has the form $R^{(63)}$. This means that the interaction between the charges involves integrals dz (z;x), so the charge universality holds autom atically in the elective four-dimensional theory.

In non-Abelian case, gauge eld self-interaction in the bulk occurs with the coupling

$$g_{(5)} = \frac{p_{\overline{r_c}}}{r_c}g_{(4)}$$

W ith $g_{(4)}$ roughly of order one, the gauge theory in the bulk is strongly coupled at all distances of interest, so the brane-induced mechanism is not suitable for localizing non-Abelian gauge elds.

Translating to gravity, we nd that the e ective four-dimensional theory obeys the equivalence principle, and the four-dimensional New ton's law between sm ooth sources is valid at distances

$$r_c r \frac{p_{r_c}z_0}{r_cz_0}$$
 (64)

where

$$r_{c} = \frac{L_{(5)}^{3}}{L_{Pl}^{2}}$$

The interval (64) is large enough if z_0 is su ciently small. Requiring $r_c > 10 \text{ kpc}$ and $p \frac{p}{r_c z_0} > 0.1 \text{ mm}$, we nd

$$z_0 < 10^{-26} \text{ cm}$$
 (65)

There are two problems with this scenario. One is the scalar-tensor structure of the four-dimensional graviton propagator [21]. Another stems from the argument of Ref. [23] that one can trust the calculations leading to eq. (62) only if the transverse distances are larger than $L_{(5)}$. For $r_c = 10$ kpc one has $L_{(5)} = 10^{15}$ cm, and from (65) we nd that $z_0 = L_{(5)}$, in conject with the latter argument. It remains to be understood how serious these two problems are; one approach to get around at least some of these problems is suggested in Ref. [25].

W e are indebted to C D e ayet, J.Lykken, M Shaposhnikov, S Sibiryakov, P.T inyakov, S.T roitsky, and M Voloshin for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by RFBR grant 99-01-18410, by the Council for P residential G rants and State Support of Leading Scienti c Schools, grant 00-15-96626, by Sw iss Science Foundation grant 7SUPJ062239 and by CRDF grant (aw ard RP1-2103).

References

- [1] V.A.Rubakov and M.E.Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B 125, 136 (1983).
- [2] K.Akama, Lect. Notes Phys. 176, 267 (1982) [hep-th/0001113].
- [3] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D 13, 3398 (1976).
- [4] R. Jackiw and P. Rossi, Nucl. Phys. B 190 (1981) 681.

- [5] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 3432.
- [6] M. Visser, Phys. Lett. B 159, 22 (1985) [hep-th/9910093].
- [7] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4690 (1999) [hepth/9906064].
- [8] T. Gherghetta and M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 240 (2000) [hep-th/0004014].
- [9] T.Gherghetta, E.Roessland M.Shaposhnikov, Phys.Lett.B 491, 353 (2000) [hep-th/0006251].
- [10] S.Randjbar-Daemiand M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B 491 (2000) 329 [hep-th/0008087].
- [11] E.Ponton and E.Poppitz, JHEP 0102, 042 (2001) [hep-th/0012033].
- [12] B. Bajc and G. Gabadadze, Phys. Lett. B 474, 282 (2000) [hepth/9912232].
- [13] I.Oda, Phys. Lett. B 496, 113 (2000) [hep-th/0006203].
- [14] S.L.Dubovsky, V.A.Rubakov and P.G.Tinyakov, JHEP 0008, 041 (2000) [hep-ph/0007179].
- [15] V.A.Rubakov, hep-ph/0104152.
- [16] N.ArkaniHamed, S.D in opoulos and G.D vali, Phys. Lett. B 429, 263 (1998) [hep-ph/9803315].
- [17] G.Dvali and M.Shifman, Phys.Lett.B 396, 64 (1997) Erratum -ibid.
 B 407, 452 (1997)] [hep-th/9612128].
- [18] F. Quevedo and C. A. Trugenberger, Nucl. Phys. B 501, 143 (1997) [hep-th/9604196].
- [19] A.M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B 486, 23 (1997) [hep-th/9607049].
- [20] G.D vali, G.G abadadze and M.Shifm an, Phys.Lett.B 497, 271 (2001) [hep-th/0010071].

- [21] G.Dvali, G.Gabadadze and M.Porrati, Phys.Lett.B 485, 208 (2000) [hep-th/0005016].
- [22] G. Dvali and G. Gabadadze, Phys. Rev. D 63, 065007 (2001) [hepth/0008054].
- [23] M. Carena, A. Delgado, J. Lykken, S. Pokorski, M. Quiros and C.E.Wagner, hep-ph/0102172.
- [24] N.ArkaniHam ed and M.Schmaltz, Phys.Lett.B 450, 92 (1999) [hepth/9812010].
- [25] G.Dvali, G.Gabadadze, M.Kolanovic and F.Nitti, hep-ph/0102216.