# G eom etric Transform ations and NCCS Theory in the Lowest Landau Level

M . E liashvili and G . T sitsishvili

D epartm ent of T heoretical P hysics, A . R azm adze M athem atical Institute, T bilisi 380093 G eorgia sim i@ rm i.acnet.ge

#### A bstract

Chem-Sim ons type gauge eld is generated by the means of the singular area preserving transform ations in the lowest Landau level of electrons forming fractional quantum Hall state. Dynamics is governed by the system of constraints which correspond to the Gauss law in the noncommutative Chem-Sim ons gauge theory and to the lowest Landau level condition in the picture of composite fermions. Physically reasonable solution to this constraints corresponds to the Laughlin state. It is argued that the model leads to the non-commutative Chem-Sim ons theory of the QHE and composite fermions.

#### 1. Introduction

One of the intriguing features of the quantum Halle ect (QHE) (for a comprehensive introduction see Ref. 1) is that it is a simplest physical realization of the non-commutative spatial geometry (see e.g. Ref. 2). Due to the intense orthogonal magnetic eld  $B=(0;0;B_2)$ , electrons are connect to the lowest Landau level (LLL) and their position coordinates do not commute:

$$[\hat{x};\hat{y}] = \frac{i}{B_{2}} \qquad i: \qquad (1)$$

This fact had stim ulated a considerable number of papers, in which quantum Halle ect is examined from the point of view of the non-commutative quantum eld theory [3] [10].

In the Ref. 3 it was shown, that the Laughlin's theory [11] of fractional quantum Halle ect (FQHE) for the odd inverse lling factors  $^1 = 2p + 1$  can be presented as a non-commutative Chem-Sim ons (NCCS) gauge theory. This assertion is formulated in terms of the uid mechanics and is based on the use of hydrodynamical variables introduced in Ref. 12.

It would be interesting to substantiate the above assertion using mechanical variables { electron coordinates and momenta. This could make more transparent a transition to the traditional quantum mechanical description of the electron system. A nother interesting question is how to spatial non-commutativity with a composite fermion (CF) picture [13], according which the fractional quantum Hall states are formed by quasiparticles experiencing the reduced magnetic

eld B  $_?$  = B  $_?$  . Hence one has to take into account that in the CF picture the non-com m utativity param eter will be  $^?$  =  $^1$  .

In the eld theory the composite particle scenario can be introduced by the means of the Chem-Sim ons (CS) gauge theory [14, 15]. Here the central role is played by the Gauss's law { constraint binding the CS magnetic eld to the electron density and providing a ux attachment mechanism. In Ref. 3 it was obtained the Gauss's law for the CS theory based on the group of area preserving dieom orphysms [16] (APD) with a subsequent interpretation of it as a rst order truncation of the corresponding non-commutative theory.

In the present paper we discuss these questions considering electrons as charged particles with zero kinetic momenta, i.e. in the LLL. In Ref. 17 it was argued that the standard CS approach and CF picture can be developed starting with some area preserving singular geometric transformations and considering them in the context of QHE. Below we will show, that in reality the area invariance condition leads to the Gauss's law for CS theory with APD group. We also propose a simple modication of the area transformation rule, which will permit to write down the Gauss's law directly for the full non-linear NCCS theory. A rea transformations induce corresponding changes in the Neparticle Lagrangian and can be interpreted as a transition to the CF picture in the framework of the NCCS theory.

The lay-out of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce transform ations of the electron Lagrangian and relate them to the area preserving transform ations. In remaining sections 3 and 4 the corresponding NCCS theory is considered and the simple solution for the Laughlin state is determined.

N otations:. In the x y plane together with the Cartesian coordinates  $x^i=x_i$  (i = 1;2) we use the complex ones: z=x+iy, z=x iy. In the natural units c=h=1 electrons have a charge e=1, mass m and move in the area in the homogeneous magnetic eld pointing down the  $\hat{z}$  axis:  $B_?=\emptyset_xA_y$   $\emptyset_yA_x=B<0$ . For 2+1 space-time we use coordinates x  $(x^0=t;x^i)$  and metric tensor e=0 diag (1;+1;+1).

#### 2. Electrons in LLL and Geometric Transform ations

Consider electrons moving in the x { y plane in the presence of the intense orthogonal magnetic eld. In the case of quantum Hall states with the lling factor  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$= \frac{2 \text{ N}}{\text{B}} = \frac{1}{2p+1} \tag{2}$$

one deals with the incompressible quantum  $\,$  uid form ed by LLL electrons occupying the area  $\,$ . In what follows we mainly consider a  $\,$  nite system of electrons of a  $\,$  lim ited spatial extent.

In the sym m etric gauge where A  $_{i}=\frac{1}{2}$ B  $_{ik}x^{k}$ , the N -particle LLL wave function m ust satisfy equations

$$^{\circ}_{z}$$
 (x<sub>1</sub>;:::;x<sub>N</sub>)  $f\hat{p}_{z} + A_{z}$  (r )g (x<sub>1</sub>;::;x<sub>N</sub>) = 0: (3)

Here the locus of particles is described by their position vectors x ( = 1;2;::N). Solution to the Eq.(3) is of the form

$$(x_1; ...; x_N) = F(z_1; ...; z_N) e^{\frac{B}{4}}$$
  $(4)$ 

and the dynam ical inform ation on the system  $% \left( z_{1}\right) =0$  is encapsuled in the holomorphic function F  $(z_{1}\text{;:::;}z_{N})\text{.}$ 

The equation (3) can be viewed as a condition im posed on the physical states by the constraint dynam ics (in the D irac's [18] sense), and at the classical level these constraints lead to the vanishing kinetic momenta:

C onstraints are of the second class with the  $im\ m$  ediate consequence that the electron coordinates do not  $com\ m$  ute:

$$[\hat{x};\hat{y}] = ifx; y g_{D irac} = i :$$
 (6)

Interesting to note that the D irac bracket for the particle densities is also non-zero [10]

f (x); (x<sup>0</sup>)
$$g_{\text{D irac}} = \frac{\theta}{ik \frac{\theta}{\theta x^{i}}}$$
 (x)  $\frac{\theta}{\theta x^{k}}$  (x<sup>0</sup>): (7)

Constraints  $_{\rm i}$  0 are provided by the singular Lagrangian [19]

$$L_{N} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \underline{x}^{i} A_{i}(x) = \frac{B}{2} \underbrace{X^{N}}_{i} \underline{x}^{i} i_{k} x^{k} :$$
 (8)

In the lim it of the strong magnetic eld one can neglect the kinetic term, i.e formally put m=0. In (8) we have not included dierent interaction terms (electron-electron, electron-background etc.) or con nement forces and concentrate on the terms which are of rst order in time derivatives.

The corresponding canonical Ham iltonian vanishes and the quantum dynam ics is completely governed by constraints (5). At the same time one cannot impose operator constraints

because they do not com mute among them selves

$$0 = h_L j[^1, ^2] j_L i = iB + 0:$$

Instead, constraints can vanish only "weakly", i.e.

$$^{\circ}_{z}$$
 j L i = 0; h L j  $^{\circ}_{z}$  = 0:

This is consistent with classical Eqs. (5), as well as the corresponding quantum averages vanish

h 
$$L j_i j L i = 0$$
:

Remark now, that the form alsubstitution B ! B? B, ! amounts to the change of the lling factor ! ? = 1. Referred to above substitution can be expressed in terms of the transform ations of particle coordinates and velocities

$$x^{i} ! p_{-ik} a_{k} (x_{1}; ...; x_{N}) g$$
 (9)

$$\underline{x}^{i} : {}^{p} - f\underline{x}^{i} \qquad {}^{?} {}^{ik}\underline{a}_{k} (x_{1}; ...; x_{N})g$$
 (10)

where  $a_i$  and  $\underline{a_i}$  are yet unspeci ed functions of particle coordinates. Under (9) and (10) Lagrangian (8) is transform ed to

$$L_{N}^{?} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \underline{x}_{i}^{i} A_{i}^{?} (x) + a_{i} (x) + \frac{? X^{N}}{2} a_{i} \underline{a}_{k} :$$
 (11)

In order to specify functions  $a_i$  and  $a_i$  we appeal to the physical properties of the H all uid. First of all, the system of electrons moving in the strong magnetic eld forms a special kind of incompressible quantum uid and incompressibility can be formulated in terms of the area preserving dieomorphisms, which is the symmetry of non-interacting electrons in the magnetic eld [20, 21]. Secondly, according to the CF picture [13] electrons in the quantum Hall state are replaced by the so-called composite particles { fermions (bosons) carrying even (odd) number of elementary magnetic ux quantum. Composite particles experience the electric magnetic eld B? = B and they llup their own lowest Landau level.

In the present paper we argue that the emergence of the Chem-Sim ons gauge eld can be understood considering the area preserving transformations, i.e. CS eld has a geometric origin. In order to clarify this point let us turn to the incompressibility and its geometric manifestation. Particles are restricted to move in the area:

$$= \int_{D}^{Z} d^{2}x = (2p+1)\frac{2}{B}N; \qquad (12)$$

Consider the map

$$x^{i} ! x^{0i} = F^{i}(x);$$
 (13)

which induces the change of the area (12):

! 
$$^{0} = {\overset{Z}{d^{2}}} xJ \frac{@F^{i}}{@x^{k}};$$
 (14)

where

$$J = \frac{gF^{i}}{gx^{k}} = \frac{1}{2} i^{m} g_{m} F^{i} g_{n} F^{k}$$
 (15)

is a Jacobian of the transform ation (13)

Introduce a deform ed multiplication of two functions

$$(f g) = fg i_{\overline{2}} m^n \theta_m f \theta_n g$$
 (16)

where is some parameter. Then the area transform ation can be presented in the following form

$$= \frac{i}{2} Z d^{2}x_{ik} (x^{i} x^{k}) ! 0 = \frac{i}{2} d^{2}x_{ik} (F^{i} F^{k}) ? : (17)$$

Here we adm it, that the map (13) is accompanied by the parameter change  $^{\circ}$ 

The area preservation condition looks as follows

Let

$$F^{i}(x) = s(i)fx^{i}$$
 ?  $ika_{k}(x)q$  (19)

where  $a_k$  (x) is a deform ation eld and s(i)'s are some constants.

Taking into account the de nition of the lling factor (2) one gets

$$\frac{1 + s \cdot 2 \cdot N}{s} = \frac{Z}{r} d^2 x^{ik} D_{i} a_{k}; \qquad (20)$$

Here s = s(1)s(2) and we use the notation

$$D_{i}a_{k} = Q_{i}a_{k} \quad i(a_{i} \quad q_{k}) : \qquad (21)$$

Setting  $s = (1 + 2p)^{-1}$  we end up with the equation

$$Z = d^2 x^{ik} D_i a_k = 4 pN :$$
 (22)

Introduce the local density (x;t), satisfying the condition

$$N = \int_{D}^{Z} d^2x (x;t):$$
 (23)

In (23) we adm it that the density can be time-dependent.

Now the integral relation (22) can be written in the form of local constraint in posed on the deform ation eld  $a_i(x)$ 

$$(x;t) + {}^{ik}D_{i}a_{k} = 0;$$
  $1= 4 p$ : (24)

The last relation resembles the Gauss law known in the Chem-Sim ons gauge eld theory. The main dierence is that in (24) we use the "covariant curl" (21), diering from the usual one by the non-linear term  $^{ik}$  (a<sub>i</sub> a<sub>k</sub>)?

Denote the solution of Eq. (24) by  $a_i(x_i x_1; ...; x_N)$  and form ally de ne

$$a_k = a_k (x \dot{x}_1; ...; x_N) \dot{x}_{-x} \qquad a_k (x)$$
 (25)

and

$$\underline{\mathbf{a}}_{k} = \frac{1}{2p} \frac{\theta}{\theta t} \mathbf{a}_{k} (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{x}_{1}; \dots; \mathbf{x}_{N}) \mathbf{j}_{k=x} \qquad \frac{1}{2p} \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{k} (\mathbf{x}) : \tag{26}$$

Substitution of (25) and (26) into Lagrangian (11) yields

$$L_{N}^{?} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \underline{x}^{i} A_{i}^{?}(x) + a_{i}(x) + \frac{?}{2} \frac{1}{2p} \underline{1}^{X^{N}} \qquad {}^{ik} a_{i}(x) \underline{a}_{k}(x_{a})$$
 (27)

where = 2 = B?.

If we suppose that the sum in (27) may be replaced by the integral, i.e.

$$X^{N}$$

$${}^{ik} \qquad {}^{ik} a_{i}(x) \underline{a}_{k}(x_{a}) ! \qquad d^{2}x {}^{ik} a_{i}(x;t) \underline{\theta}_{t} a_{k}(x;t)$$

$$= 1$$

$$(28)$$

we arrive at the Lagrangian

$$L^{?} = d^{2}x j^{i}(x;t) [A_{i}^{?}(x) + a_{i}(x;t)] + \frac{1}{2} a_{i}(x;t) (a_{t}a_{k}(x;t) : (29))$$

Validity of the substitution (28) can be corroborated by the fact that  $\,$  is an elementary area occupied by the CF in the magnetic  $\,$  eld B  $^{?}$ .

In the Lagrangian (29)

$$j^{i}(\mathbf{x};t) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{i}} (t) (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{x})$$
(30)

is a 2-current and the eld  $a_i(x;t)$  is subject to the G auss law (24)

$$(x;t) + {}^{ik}D_ia_k = 0$$
 (31)

Rem ark that the constraint (31) is invariant under the in nitesimal gauge transformations

$$a_{i}(x;t) = \theta_{i} + if(a_{i}) \cdot (a_{i}) \cdot g$$
 (32)

$$(x;t) = if( ) ? ( ) ? q$$
 (33)

The Gauss law = 0 can be taken into account considering Lagrangian

$$L = \int_{D}^{2} d^{2}x \qquad j^{i}(x;t) (A_{i}^{?}(x) + a_{i}(x;t)) + \frac{1}{2} a_{i}(x;t) (a_{t}^{?}(x;t) + a_{i}^{?}(x;t)) +$$

Variation with respect to the Lagrange multiplier eld  $a_0$  in poses the constraint (31).

A ssum ing that on the boundary  $a_0$  (x)  $j_{ED}=0$  the corresponding Lagrangian density can be presented in the following form

$$L = J (A^? + a) - u a (a a + i u) (a a a) ? (35)$$

Here J (x) is a 3-current consisting of the density and 2-current  $j^{i}$ .

Lagrangian (35) is equivalent to the one given in Ref. 3, but is based on the use of mechanical variables and as it is claimed in Ref. 3, this Lagrangian can be considered as an approximation to the NCCS Lagrangian.

Transition to NCCS theory can be performed simply substituting the deformed product (16) by the Moyal-Weylstar product

$$(f(x)?g(x)) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}^{-ik}\theta_{i}\theta_{k}}f(x+) g(x+)_{\frac{1}{2}=0}$$
$$= (f(x) g(x)) + O(^{2})$$
(36)

This step can be accomplished, taking into account that the LLL condition forces the area  $\,$  to be a part of a noncommutative  $\,$ x  $\,$ y plane. The expression

$$\frac{i}{-} \sum_{k=0}^{Z} d^{2}x_{ik} (x^{i} ? x^{k}) =$$
 (37)

can be used for an heuristic de nition of the area and its transform ation under the map (13) accompanied by the change of the non-commutativity parameter  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

! 
$$^{0}_{?} = \frac{i}{?} Z d^{2}x_{ik} (F^{i}(x) ? F^{k}(x)) ?$$
 (38)

A ll the consideration given above can be repeated with the m inor m odi cation of the product: (f g)? ! (f?g)? . For exam ple for the "covariant curl" one must take

$$D_{i}^{?}a_{k} = Q_{i}a_{k} \quad i(a_{i}?a_{k})$$
 (39)

instead of (21).

Proceeding in this way we arrive at the NCCS Lagrangian

$$L_{\text{NCCS}} = J (A^? + a) - \frac{\pi}{2} a ? @ a i - \frac{2}{3} ? a :$$
 (40)

In this expression and hereafter we use the star product with parameter  $^{?}$  (f?g (f?g).

## 3. Chern-Sim ons Theory in LLL

Up to now we have considered area preserving geometric transform ations, which are supposed to satisfy the constraint equation

$$(x) + i^{k} (\theta_{i}a_{k} ia_{i} ? a_{k}) = 0$$
: (41)

This constraint have been related to the eld theory Lagrangian (40). Up to the surface terms this Lagrangian density is equivalent to

$$L = J^{i}(A_{i}^{?} + a_{i}) + a_{0}fJ_{0} \qquad (^{ik}\theta_{i}a_{k} \quad i^{ik}a_{i}?a_{k})g + \frac{1}{2}^{ik}a_{i}\underline{a}_{k}:$$
 (42)

For the interior points of the area the Euler-Lagrange equation for the gauge eld a reads

$$J = f'' @ a i'' a ? a g$$
 (43)

and in particular leads to the constraint

$$_{0} = J_{0} + f^{ik} \theta_{i} a_{k} \quad i^{ik} a_{i} ? a_{k} g \quad 0:$$
 (44)

The 3-current is not conserved in the usual sense as well as

$$@J = i" @ a ?a :$$
 (45)

Rem ind, that the 3-current is given by

$$J^{0}(x) = (x;t)$$
  $J^{i}(x) = \frac{x^{i}}{x} (x x (t)):$  (46)

The eld  $a_0$  is Lagrange multiplier providing constraint (44) and one can set  $a_0=0$ . In the complex coordinates Lagrangian reads

and the Gauss law (44) is given by

$$(x;t) + 2i (@_z a_z @_z a_z) 4 a_z ? a_z = 0:$$
 (48)

Lagrangian (47) is a rst order in particle velocities and generates the system of second-class constraints

$$z = p_z + A_z^2 (x) + a_z (x_a) = 0;$$
 (49)

$$_{z} = p_{z} + A_{z}^{?}(x) + a_{z}(x)$$
 (50)

Chem-Sim ons  $% \left( x\right) =0$  ed a  $\left( x\right) =0$  must be quantized. The equal time canonical commutation relation reads

$$[\hat{a}_z(x); \hat{a}_z(x^0)] = \frac{1}{2} (x x^0);$$
 (51)

Choosing the holomorphic polarization [22] we set

$$\hat{a}_{z}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{a_{z}(x)}$$
: (52)

The quantum state vector must satisfy the Gauss law (48)

$$^{\circ}_{0}(x) [a_{z}; x_{1}; :::x_{N}] = 0$$
 (53)

w here

$$\hat{a}_{0}(x) = \hat{J}^{0}(x) + 2i \quad e_{z} a_{z}(x) \quad \frac{1}{2} e_{z} \frac{1}{a_{z}(x)} \quad 2a_{z}(x) ? \frac{1}{a_{z}(x)}$$
 (54)

Together with (53) the state vector is subjected to the constraint (50)

$$\hat{z} = \hat{p}_z + A_z^2 (x) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{a_z(x)} = 0$$
: (55)

Equation (45) gives

$$\hat{Q} \hat{J} (x) \quad \hat{Q}_t \ a_z (x) ? \frac{1}{a_z (x)} = 0;$$
 (56)

Consider a simplest case of constant (az-independent) functionals

$$\frac{\phantom{a_z(x)}}{a_z(x)} \quad 0 = 0 \tag{57}$$

Remark, that in the subspace of constant wave functionals current is conserved

$$(58)$$

and one can set ^(x)  $\hat{J}^0$  (x) =  $\frac{P}{m}$  (x x ). Now the G auss law is reduced to the equation

$$X^{N}$$
 (x  $x_a$ ) + 2i@ $_z a_z$  0 = 0 (59)

Solving the last equation one gets

$$a_z(x) = \frac{i}{2} \frac{x^N}{z} \frac{1}{z - z}$$
: (60)

This is a complex connection [23] used in the holom orphic gauge quantization of the non-Abelian CS elds (see e.g. Ref. 24). Remark, that the use of this non-Herm itian connection requires introduction of compensating measure in scalar products [25, 26].

A comment is in order here. It regards the status of the variables z and z in the transform ation (9). The proper approach is to handle coordinates z and z as independent and in pose the reality condition  $z^2 = z$  at the end of calculations.

The wave function  $_0$  depends on the particle coordinates, and this dependence can be read out from the LLL condition (55):

$$fp_z^2 + A_z^2(x)g_0 = 0$$
: (61)

The corresponding solution looks as follows

$$_{0}(x_{1};:::;x_{N}) F(z_{1};:::;z_{N}) e^{\frac{B^{2}}{4}P_{x_{-1}}\dot{y}_{-1}\dot{y}_{-1}\dot{y}_{-1}\dot{y}_{-1}}$$
 (62)

with a holomorphic function F.

# 4. Laughlin W ave Function

W ave function of the system of electrons satis es LLL condition (3). The wave function (62) also belongs to the LLL, but with respect to the reduced magnetic eld B $^2$  = B.One of the principal assertions of CF approach is that function F in (62) gives the holomorphic part of the total LLL wave function (4)

$$(x_1; ...; x_N) = e^{2p\frac{B^2}{4}} e^{p\frac{D}{4}} c_F :$$
 (63)

For the electrons in the LLL the lling factor can be de ned by the ratio

$$=\frac{N(N-1)}{2J}=\frac{J^?}{J}:$$
 (64)

where J is a total angular momentum of the system of electrons. Strictly speaking de nition (64) is valid in the thermodynamical limit, but we suppose its validity in our case.

W rite down the operator equation

$$\hat{J} = (2p+1)\hat{J}^?;$$
 (65)

which corresponds to the fact, that the CF in the magnetic eld B  $^{?}$  occupies the site, which is (2p+1) times larger than Landau site for the original electron in the magnetic eld B .

Angularm om entum operator for electrons in LLL is given by

$$\hat{J} = \frac{2}{R} X \qquad [\hat{p}_z \quad A_z(x)][\hat{p}_z \quad A_z(x_a)]; \tag{66}$$

and classically on the constrained manifold

$$J(_{i}^{a} = 0) = \frac{B}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \dot{j}; \qquad (67)$$

One easily veries that analogous expression for the  $\cos$ m posite particles is given by

$$J^{?}(_{i}^{a} = 0) = \frac{2}{B^{?}} \sum_{z=1}^{X^{N}} [p_{z} \quad A_{z}^{?}(x) + a_{z}(x)][p_{z} \quad A_{z}^{?}(x) + a_{z}(x)]$$

$$= \frac{B^{?}X^{N}}{2} \dot{z}^{?} \dot{z}^{?} : \qquad (68)$$

U sing this observation and Eq.(60) we write down the angular m om entum for the system of composite particles

$$\hat{J}^? = \frac{2}{B?} \sum_{z=1}^{X^N} \hat{p}_z \quad A_z^? (x) \quad \hat{p}_z \quad A_z^? (x) + 2ip \quad \frac{X^N}{z} \quad \frac{1}{z} \quad z \quad (69)$$

The sought for LLL composite ferm ion wave function is the angular momentum eigenstate

$$\hat{J}^?$$
 cf =  $\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$  cf; (70)

and satis es the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov [27] equation

$$\hat{p}_{z} = A_{z}^{?} + 2\hat{p} = \frac{X^{N}}{z} = \frac{1}{z} = 0 \quad \text{CF} = \hat{p}_{z} = 1.6 \quad \frac{Z}{z} = 0.5 \quad \text{CF} : \quad (71)$$

The corresponding solution is given by

$$_{CF} = {\stackrel{Y}{(z z)^{2p+1}}} e^{\frac{B^{?}}{4} P_{N_{=1}; z ; z}}$$
 (72)

yielding the nal result { Laughlin wave function

$$= (z z)^{2p+1} e^{\frac{B}{4} - 1} \dot{z}^{j}$$
 (73)

## 5. Conclusions

In the present paper we have considered a system of electrons in the lowest Landau level with the aim to obtain the non-commutative version of the CS description of quantum Halle ect in terms of particle variables. We have introduced the area preserving singular geometric transformations and conclude that the area preservation condition when interpreted in terms of the QHE lling factor yields the Gauss law in CS theory with APD as a gauge group. Geometric transformations are generated by the gauge elds and the Gauss law is invariant

with respect to generalized gauge transform ations. In that part we reproduce corresponding conclusions given in Ref. 3.

As a further step we have proposed the modi cation of the area transformation rule. This modi cation is presented as an heuristic tool without any special justication. Despite of that, this Ansatz leads to the Gauss law in the form adopted in the NCCS theory and permits to write down corresponding Lagrangian. This Lagrangian describes particles in the elective (reduced) magnetic eld and interacting with the non-commutative CS eld. Developed scheme corresponds to the composite ferm ion picture in the non-commutative CS theory.

The quantum state vector depends on the gauge eld con gurations and particle coordinates. D ynam ics is governed by the system of constraints in posed on the state vector. These conditions are G auss law and constraints expressing vanishing of kinetic m omenta of particles in the LLL. We have examined the self-consistent solution corresponding to the constant (gauge eld independent) wave functional. In that case one may identify the particle density as a time component of the conserved local 3-current and consideration is reduced to the linear CS theory in the holomorphic gauge.

The detailed form of the wave function was determ ined considering the total angular momentum of the system of composite fermions. This permitted to express the corresponding solution in the form of the Laughlin wave function.

The proposed scheme seems to be equivalent to the hydrodynamical form ulation, but the use of mechanical variables permits to reconstruct the structure of the many-electron wave function in the composite fermion approach.

#### A cknow ledgem ents

A uthors thank P. Sorba and A. Tavkhelidze for the interest and encouraging remarks. M. E. is grateful to P. Sorba for his hospitality at LAPTH (Annecy), where the part of the present work was done. Work was supported in part by the grant INTAS-GEORGIA 97-1340 and by SCOPES under grant 7GEP J62379.

# R eferences

- [1] Z.F.Ezawa, Quantum HallE ects: Field Theoretical Approach and Related Topics, (World Scientic, Singapore, 2000).
- [2] R. Jackiw, Physical instances of noncommuting coordinates, hep-th/0110057.
- [3] L. Susskind, The quantum Hall uid and non-commutative Chem-Simons theory, hep-th/0101029.
- [4] S. Hellerm an and M. van Raam sdonk, Quantum Hallphysics equals non-commutative eld theory, hep-th/0103179.

- [5] A .P.Polychronakos, Quantum Hall states as matrix Chem-Sim on stheory, hep-th/0103013.
- [6] C.Duvaland P.A. Horvathy, Exotic Galilean symmetry in the noncommutative plane and quantum Halle ect, hep-th/0106089.
- [7] V. Pasquier, Skyrm ions in the quantum Halle ect and noncommutative solitons, hep-th/0007176.
- [8] A .P.Polychronakos, Quantum Hall states on the cylinder as unitary matrix Chem-Simons theory, hep-th/0106011.
- [9] B.Moraiu and A.P.Polychronakos, Finite noncommutative Chem-Simons with a Wilson line and the quantum Halle ect, hep-th/0106072.
- [10] Z. Guralnik, R. Jackiw, S.-Y. Pi and A. P. Polychronakos, Testing non-commutative QED, constructing non-commutative MHD, hep-th/0106044.
- [11] R.B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1395 (1983).
- [12] S.Bahcall and L. Susskind, Int. J. M od. Phys. B 5, 2735 (1991).
- [13] J.K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 199 (1989).
- [14] A. Lopez and E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B 44, 5246 (1991).
- [15] S.C. Zhang, Int. J. M od. Phys. B 6, 25 (1992).
- [16] R.M anvelyan and R.M krtchyan, Phys. Lett. B 327, 47 (1994).
- [17] M. Eliashvili and G. Tsitsishvili, Int. J. M. od. Phys. B 14, 1429 (2000).
- [18] P.D irac, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics (Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University, New York, 1964),
- [19] G.V.Dunne, R. Jackiw and C.A. Trugenberger, Phys. Rev. D 41, 661 (1990).
- [20] A. Cappelli, C. Trugenberger and G. Zemba, Nucl. Phys. B 396, 465 (1993).
- [21] D.Karabali, Nucl. Phys. B 419, 437 (1994).
- [22] M. Bos and V. P. Nair, Int. J. Mcd. Phys. A 5, 959 (1990).
- [23] T.Kohno, Ann. Inst. Fourrier (Grenoble) 37.4, 139 (1987).
- [24] T.Lee and P.Oh, Ann. Phys. (N.Y) 235, 413 (1994).
- [25] E. Verlinde, A note on braid statistics and the non-abelian Aharonov-Bohm e ect, in Modern Quantum Field Theory ed. A. Dasatal. (World Scientic, Singapore 1991)
- [26] M .Flohr and R .Vamhagen, Joum. of Phys. A 27, 3999 (1994).
- [27] V.Knizhnik and A.Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 247, 139 (1984)