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#### Abstract

We investigate the presence of dom ain walls in m odels described by three real scalar elds. We search for stable defect structures which $m$ in im ize the energy of the static eld con gurations. W e work out explict orbits in eld space and nd several analytical solutions in all BPS sectors, som e of them presenting intemal structure such as the appearence of defects inside defects. We point out explicit applications in high energy physics, and in other branches of nonlinear science.


PACS num bers: $11.27 .+d, 11.30 .2 \mathrm{c}$

This paper deals w ith dom ain walls presenting internal structure that $m$ ay engender nontrivial phenom ena. Speci cally we propose new system s of three coupled real scalar elds whose dynam ics are controlled by superpotentials in the bosonic sector of supersym $m$ etric theories. T he system s allow for a m ultiplicity of solitonic solutions including the presence of defects inside defects, introducing new results that lead to a variety of applications in diverse elds of physics, chem istry and biology.

D om ain walls $m$ ay involve energy scales as di erent as those found in elds ofm agnetic system s [1] 1 rium them odynam ics $\overline{[2}]$ and cosm ology $[\overline{3}]$. U sually, dom ain walls are im m ersions in $(3 ; 1)$ dim ensions of linear kink-like defects living in $(1 ; 1)$ dim ensions. These extended spatial structures are the basic building blocks to describe the coexistence of di erent dom ains or phases, and they are usually m odeled by a set of scalar elds playing the role of order param eters satisfying am plitude equations. They also appear in $m$ odels that include su-
 ticular, a great deal of attention has been given to the restrictions im posed by supersym $m$ etry in the classi cation and solution of the solitonic eld equations \{ in a supersym $m$ etric theory one can categorize the topologicaldefects as BP S and non-BPS states, according to the w ork ofB ogom ol'nyiand ofP rasad and Som m er eld 1101

In models described by a single real scalar eld we $m$ ay distinguish at least tw o classes of system $s$, one that supports a single type of defect, and the other, that supports two or $m$ ore di erent defects. We exem plify this by recalling the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, which supports a single type of defect, the tanh-like kink, and the double sine-G ordon $m$ odel, which $m$ ay support tw o di erent defects, the large and the sm allkinks [11]. O $n$ the other hand, $m$ odels containing tw o orm ore real scalar elds give rise to at least tw o other classes of system $s$ - those that support defects that engender intemal structure $[14,13]$ and, those that
 text, in Ref. ${ }^{[14} 4_{1}^{-1}$, one has investigated the possibility of a regular hexagonalnetw ork ofdefects to spring in a m odel described by tw o real scalar elds. In Ref. [15] the idea of an hexagonal netw ork of defect to be nested inside a
topological defect has been investigated in a m odel described by three real scalar elds. That investigation has show $n$ that when the host dom ain wall is driven to relax to cylindricalor sphericalshape, the nested hexagonal netw ork could give rise to structures resem bling nanotubes or fiulerenes, respectively. Sim ilar ideas have been recently presented in [1]], in the case of a soliton star that entraps a fulerenelike netw ork of dom ain walls, and in $\left[1 \bar{F}_{1}\right]$, where the Skirm em odel is used to show the presence of fulerenelike structures in light nuclei, and also in [ $1{ }^{-}{ }^{\prime}$ ' $]$, in the case of solutions of the E instein equations describing black holes pierced by cosm ic strings, form ing structures that very $m$ uch resem ble the $P$ latonic solids.

In $R$ efs. [14 cum vent issues conceming stability of the nested netw ork of dom ain walls. H ere, how ever, we retum to the basic problem, which concems investigating $m$ odels described by three realscalar elds in the bosonic portion of supersym $m$ etric theories. M odels $w$ ith three real scalar elds have been studied before, for instance in an $O$ (3) m odel which adm its unstable em bedded walls [1" 1 ], and also in [ $\left.20_{0}^{\prime}\right]$, which investigates stability of $k$ inks in a deform ed 0 (3) linear sigm a $m$ odel. O ther $m$ otivations include the possibility ofbuilding spatial junctions ofdefects, instead
 of nding a host dom ain wall that entraps a netw ork of defects $w$ thin the fram ew ork of supersym $m$ etry. To construct spatial junctions of defects one rst recalls the sim pler issue, of contructing planar junctions. A s we know, we can tile the plane w ith regular polygons in three distinct ways: w ith triangles, squares or hexagons. These cases require junctions w ith six, four and three legs, respectively. Thus, the two-eld model should engender discrete $\mathrm{Z}_{6}, \mathrm{Z}_{4}$, or $\mathrm{Z}_{3}$ sym $m$ etry. Interestingly, how ever, we notioe that the triangular lattice is dual to the hexagonal lattice, and vioe versa, and that the squared lattige is self-dual. $T$ his $m$ eans that there is a dual relation between the (triangular, square or hexagonal) disposition of $m$ inim a in the two-eld $m$ odel, and the (hexagonal, square or triangular) lattice $m$ ade $w$ th the junction that spring $w$ th the very sam e $m$ inim $a$. $W$ e take this as a guide to construct spatial junctions of defects in three-
eld $m$ odels, to 11 space $w$ th regular polyhedra. B efore investigating such issue, how ever, let us rst deal with the $m$ ore general problem of nding topological defects in three- eld $m$ odels engendering discrete sym $m$ etry.

In general we are interested in potentials that can be obtained in term s of superpotentials, in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{1}{2} W^{2}+\frac{1}{2} W^{2}+\frac{1}{2} W^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{where}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ @W =@ ir $\mathrm{i}=$; ; The energy density for static solutions that depend on the single spatial coordinate x can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x)=\frac{d W}{d x}+X_{i}^{X} \frac{d i}{d x} \quad W_{i}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be minim ized to give $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ab}}=j \mathrm{j} \mathrm{ab} \dot{j} \mathrm{w}$ th

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{a b}=W_{a} \quad W_{b} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the indiges identify vacuum states, such that $W_{a}=W(a ; a ; a)$ and so forth. This energy is the energy of Bogom ol'nyiP rasad-Som m er eld (BPS) solutions [1], 211$]$. T he BPS states obey the rst order ordinary di erential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}=W \quad ; \quad \frac{d}{d x}=W \quad ; \quad \frac{d}{d x}=W \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

W enow introduce the m odel, given by the supenpotential depending on tw o real param eters, $r$ and $s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{1}{3}^{3} r\left({ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)+r s^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we are using dim ensionless space-tim e coordinates and elds. T he corresponding potential is

$$
\begin{gather*}
V=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{2}+2 r^{2} 2^{2}+\frac{1}{2} r^{2}\left({ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}+ \\
r\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)\left({ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)+2 r^{2}(\quad S)^{2} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

It $m$ ay support tw 0 , four, and six $m$ inim $a$, depending on the values of the two real param eters $r \in 0$ and $s \in 0$. In the case of six $m$ inim $a$, for $r>0$ and $1<s<1 w e$ get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{5} ; 6=\quad \mathrm{s} ; 0 ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s^{2}
\end{array}\right)} \text { ! } \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Them odelengenders the $Z_{2} \quad Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry, corresponding to re ections in the and axes. Thepresence of the s-dependent term in the supenpotential forbids re ection sym m etry in the axis. H ow ever, we notice that the operation ! leads the system to a partner system, in which one changes s! s.

Before studying the topological solutions of this system, it is interesting to analyse the pro jections over diverse axis and planes. The projection of the potential over the axis gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(; 0 ; 0)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is known to produce kink-like dom ain walls that $m$ ay host intemal structure. In the ( ; ) plane we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(; ; 0)= \frac{1}{2}(1 \\
&\left.{ }^{2}\right)^{2}+2 r^{2} \quad 2 \quad 2  \tag{11}\\
& r(1 \\
&\left.{ }^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} r^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

W e see that inside the dom ain wall

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(0 ; ; 0)=\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \quad \frac{1}{r} \quad 2^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that outside the wall

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(1 ; ; 0)=2 r^{2}+\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if the eld gives rise to a dom ain wall, then inside th is wall the eld generates another defect. $N$ otioe that the squared $m$ asses of the eld inside and outside of the dom ain wall are given by $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (in) $=4 \mathrm{r}$ and $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (out) $=$ $4 r^{2}$, show ing that the param eter $r m$ ay be used to control the presence ofelem entary $m$ esons inside or outside the host dom ain wall, according to $r$ being greater or sm aller than unit, respectively.

The pro jection of the potentialin the ( ; ) plane gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
V(; 0 ;)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{2}+2 r^{2}(\quad s)^{2} 2 \\
r\left(1{ }^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

which takes the follow ing form $s$, inside and outside the wall

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(0 ; 0 ;)=\frac{1}{2}+r\left(2 r s^{2} \quad 1\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} r^{2}  \tag{15}\\
& V(1 ; 0 ;)=2 r^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s)^{2}
\end{array}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{2} r^{2}\right. \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

C learly there is no spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) outside the host dom ain wall, but for $2 r s^{2}<1$ there is SSB inside the host dom ain wall and the system $m$ ay generate dom ain $w a l l s w$ ith intemal structure. $T$ he $m$ ass of the $m$ eson inside the host wall, in this case, reads $m^{2}($ in $)=4 r\left(1 \quad 2 r s^{2}\right)$. O utside the host wall there is an asymmetry in the + and sides which is controlled by the vacua $\mathrm{v}_{1 ; 2}=(1 ; 0 ; 0)$ and the m ass of the $m$ eson is $m^{2}$ (out; ) $=4 r^{2}(1 \quad s)^{2}$. The sparam eter induces the asym $m$ etry for the $m$ eson, since its $m$ ass depends on which side of the wall the $m$ eson is. D espite this asym $m$ etry, the ratio $m^{2}$ (in) $=m^{2}$ (out; ) =
$(1=r)\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 r s^{2}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & s\end{array}\right)^{2}\right]$ show $s$ that for $1=r<1 \quad 2 \dot{j} j+3 s^{2}$ the $m$ esons prefer to live inside the host $w$ all. $W$ e notice that the two conditions $2 r s^{2}<1$ and $1=r<12 j \dot{j}+3 s^{2}$ de ne a large region in param eter space, and for $s$ very sm allwe haver $>1+2 \dot{j} j$. $T$ he specialcase $r^{2}=1$ is also worth $m$ entioning since it de nes an interesting region in param eter space, in w hich there are explicit analyticalsolutions connecting the m inim a $v_{3 ; 4}$ to $v_{5 ; 6}$ by linear orbits (see below ). In this case, as discussed above, the host w all cannot entrap topologicaldefects but the $m$ asses of the m esons can be explicitly com puted to givem ${ }^{2}$ (in) $=2=s^{2}$ inside the host wall and $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (out; ) $=4\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{~s})^{2}=\mathrm{s}^{4} \text { out- }\end{array}\right.$ side. Thus, $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (in) $=\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (out; ) $=(1=2)\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{s}=(1 & \mathrm{s}\end{array}\right]^{2}$, show ing that, even w thout explicit SSB butw ith s su ciently $s m$ all, the $m$ esons prefer to live inside the host wall. $T$ he fact that $m$ esons prefer to live inside the wall is new, it does not appear in the other case, in the plane ( ; ). M ore im portantly, although $s$ induces an asym $m$ etry for the eld, we $m$ ay control both $r$ and $s$ to entrap $m$ esons inside the host $w a l l$, in a way such that they do not perceive the asym $m$ etry the $m$ odelengenders.

The m odel de ned by Eq. (딘) has several topological sectors. A ll the sectors are BP $\bar{S}$, except those connecting the $m$ inim a $v_{3}$ to $v_{4}$ and $v_{5}$ to $v_{6}$, which are of the non BPS type. The energies of the BPS sectors are given as follow s . In the $\mathrm{v}_{1 ; 2} \mathrm{~m}$ in im a sector we have $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BP}}^{1}=4=3$. In the sectors connecting $v_{1 ; 2}$ to $v_{3 ; 4}$ we have $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}^{2}=$ $2=3$. For the connections betw een $v_{1 ; 2}$ and $v_{5 ; 6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{2}{3} \quad \mathrm{~s} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{3} s^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for connections betw een $v_{3 ; 4}$ and $v_{5 ; 6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{B P S}(s)=j \dot{j} 1 \quad \frac{1}{3} s^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e notioe that these energies do not depend on $r$. They are plotted below, to show their behavior w th the param eter s . Eq. (1 $\mathbf{1}_{\text {) }}$ ) show $s$ that the lim it $s$ ! 0 tums to zepo the energy in the pector connecting the $m$ inim a $(0 ; \quad \overline{1=r} ; 0)$ and $\left(s ; 0 ; \quad \overline{\left(1 s^{2}\right)=r}\right)$. This is so because in the lim it $s$ ! 0 these $m$ in im a degenerate to a continuum set ofm inim a, which $m$ ay be connected with no energy cost, according to the Goldstone theorem. It is interesting to see that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BP} \mathrm{S}}^{+}(\mathrm{s}>0) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}(\mathrm{s}>0)$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}(\mathrm{s}<0) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}(\mathrm{s}<0)$ do not depend on $s$, and that there are two speci c values of $s[s=$
$s$, $w$ ith $\left.s^{3} 3 s+1=0,0<s<1\right]$ for which $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}(\mathrm{s})=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}(\mathrm{s})$; for these two values the energies of all the BPS sectors becom e equally spaced, ordered as $E_{B P S}^{1}, E_{B P S}^{+}$for $s>0$ or $E_{B P s}$ for $s<$ $0 ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}^{2}$; and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BPS}}$ for $s>0$ or $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{BP},}^{+}$for $s<0$, $w$ th the values $4=3 ; 1 ; 2=3 ; 1=3$, respectively.

Let us now exam ine the presence ofdefects in the s $\& 0$ case. The BPS states obey the rst order equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}=1 \quad 2 \quad r\left({ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 1: Energies of the BPS states given by Eqs. (1-1 ) and (18) as functions of the param eter $s$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d x}=2 r  \tag{20}\\
& \frac{d}{d x}=2 r(\quad \text { s }) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

In the sector connecting the $m$ inim $a v_{1 ; 2}$ there are topologicalsolutions that com e from a straight line onbit $w$ ith
$=\quad=0$, which is described by $(x)=\tanh (x)$. This is the one- eld kink solution for the system projected into the axis that generates the dom ain wall hosting the other elds, as we referred to above. For the system pro jected in the ( ; ) plane we get the superpotential

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(;)=\frac{1}{3}^{3} r^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This m odel was rst exam ined in [21] and also in [2] In this case all the orbits in (; ) plane can be obtained explicitly, as it was recently shown in Ref. [25'].

For the system pro jected in the (; ) plane the superpotential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(;)=\frac{1}{3}^{3} r(s)^{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It gives rise to the rst order equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d x}=1 \quad 2 \quad r^{2}  \tag{24}\\
& \frac{d}{d x}=2 r(\quad s) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

To nd solutions that connect the m inim a $v_{1 ; 2}$ to $v_{5 ; 6}$ we follow the approach developed in $R$ ef. [ $[2]$ ]. W W e found straight line orbits connecting these $m$ inim a $w$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad \frac{r}{\frac{1}{r} \frac{1+s}{1 \quad s}}(1, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad \frac{r}{\frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{1+s}}(1+\quad) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the rst tra jectories, Eq. $\left.(\overline{2} \bar{\sigma})^{\prime}\right)$, there are BPS states for $1=r=1 \quad s . T$ hey are

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x)=\frac{1}{2}(1+s)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s
\end{array}\right) \tanh (x)  \tag{28}\\
& (x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s
\end{array}\right)^{p} \overline{1+s}[1 \quad \tanh (x)] \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

They obey ( x ! 1 )! s and $(\mathrm{x}$ ! 1$)$ ! (1 s) ${ }^{1+s}$, and ( $x$ ! 1 ) $\left.p^{!} \frac{1 \text { and }(x!}{} 1\right)!0$. Thus, they connect $\left(s ; 0 ; \quad \overline{\left(1, s^{2}\right)(1 \quad s)}\right)$ to $(1 ; 0 ; 0)$. For the second tra jectories, Eq. (27-1), there are B P S states for $1=r=1+s . T$ hey are

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x)=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad s)+\frac{1}{2}(1+s) \tanh (x)  \tag{30}\\
& (x)=\frac{1}{2}(1+s)^{p} \frac{s}{1}[1+\tanh (x)] \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

They connect $(1 ; 0 ; 0)$ to $\left(s ; 0 ; \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{\left(1 \quad s^{2}\right)(1+s)}\right)$.
$T$ here are other orbits connecting the $m$ inim $a v_{1 ; 2}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{5} ; 6$. We found the follow ing parabolic trajectories

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=\frac{1}{2 r}\left(1 \quad s^{2}\right)(1 \quad) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving rise to BPS states connecting the $m$ inim a for $r=$ $1=4$. They have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x)=\frac{1}{2}(1+s)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s
\end{array}\right) \tanh [x=L \quad(s)] \\
& (x)=\left[2\left(1 \quad s^{2}\right)\right]^{1=2} f 1 \quad \tanh [x=L
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x)=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad s)+\frac{1}{2}(1+s) \tanh \left[x=L^{+}(s)\right] \\
& \left.(x)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s^{2}
\end{array}\right)\right]^{1=2} f 1+\tanh \left[x=L^{+}(s)\right] g^{1=2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where L $\quad(s)=2=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & s\end{array}\right)$ give the w idth of the topological defects.

W e also found three- eld BPS states connecting the m in im a $\mathrm{V}_{3 ; 4}$ to $\mathrm{V}_{5 ; 6}$. This is even harder, because no eld can vanish along any sm ooth orbit connecting $\mathrm{v}_{3 ; 4}$ to $\mathrm{V}_{5} ; 6$. W e rst notice that there are four di erent $w$ ays to connect ( $0 ; \mathrm{P} \overline{1=r} ; 0$ ) and ( $\left.\mathrm{s} ; 0 ; \mathrm{P} \overline{\left(1 \quad s^{2}\right)=r}\right)$. W e found straight line orbits described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{r}} \quad \mathrm{~s}=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\overline{\frac{1}{r}(1} \quad s^{2}\right) \quad s=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

which im ply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{p}{1 s^{2}} \quad \frac{r}{\frac{1}{r}\left(1 \quad s^{2}\right)}=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

These orbits also pro ject as straight line segm ents in each one of the three planes ( ; ), ( ; ) and ( ; ). W e use them to rew rite Eq. (1-1) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}=\frac{2}{s} \quad \frac{1}{s}^{2} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\frac{s}{2}[1+\tanh (x=s)] \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other solutions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x)=\frac{1}{2} r \frac{{ }^{r}}{\frac{1}{r}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \tanh (x=s)] \\
(x) & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r}(1
\end{array} s^{2}\right)[1+\tanh (x=s)] \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hey require that $r s^{2}=1$.
Aswe have shown, we have been able to nd explicit BPS solutions in all the BPS sectors of a m odeldescribed by three real scalar eld $w$ th discrete symmetry. The presence of the param eters restricts the sym $m$ etry of the $m$ odel to $Z_{2} \quad Z_{2}$, since $s \in 0$ forbids re ection sym $m$ etry along the axis. For this reason, now we study the behavior of the system in the $\lim$ it $s!0$. In this case the supenpotential $\stackrel{N}{1}_{1}^{-1}$ ) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{1}{3}^{3} r\left({ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding potential supports the two m inim a $(1 ; 0 ; 0)$, and also ( $0 ; \overline{1=r} \cos ; \overline{1=r} \sin )$, which denes a circle in the ( ; ) plane for $2[0 ; 2)$. The rst order equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d x}=1 \quad 2 \quad r\left({ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)  \tag{45}\\
& \frac{d}{d x}=2 r  \tag{46}\\
& \frac{d}{d x}=2 r \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

There are solutions connecting the $m$ inim a $(1 ; 0 ; 0)$. O ne of them is given by $=\quad=0$ and $(x)=\tanh (x)$. Its energy is $4=3$, and it m ay give rise to a dom ain w all in $(3 ; 1)$ space-tim e dim ensions. There are other solutions connecting the $m$ inim a ( $1 ; 0 ; 0$ ), with the sam energy of the above solution. T hey are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x)=\tanh (2 r x)  \tag{48}\\
& (x)=r \frac{\frac{1}{r} \quad 2}{\frac{\cos }{\cosh (2 r x)}}  \tag{49}\\
& (x)=2 \frac{\sin }{\cosh (2 r x)} \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

They form an elliptical surface, and in Fig. [2] we depict these solutions in the case $r=1=6$ and $==6$.


FIG . 2: The BPS solution described by Eqs. ( $4-1$ $(5-1)$, for $r=1=6$ and $=6$.

W e notice that this three- eld solution $m$ ay be used to describe three-w ave param etric solitons in quadratic nonlinearm edia ${ }_{2}^{2}$ ]. M oreover, the fact that and are non-vanishing for $\bar{x}$ ! 0 indicates that the w allgenerated by the eld m ay_entrap both and in its interior \{ see Refs. $[12,1]$

There are ofher solutipns, connecting the $m$ inim a ( $1 ; 0 ; 0$ ) to $(0 ; 1=r \cos ; 1=r \sin )$ through parabolic surfaces. Some of them are, for $r=1=4$, and for ${ }^{2}+{ }^{2}=(1=4)(1 \quad)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x)=\frac{1}{2}(1+\tanh (x=2))  \tag{51}\\
& \left.(x)=\cos p \frac{\tanh (x=2))}{2(1} \frac{\tanh }{2(1} \tanh (x=2)\right) \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

and also, for ${ }^{2}+{ }^{2}=(1=4)(1+\quad)$
$(x)=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad \tanh (x=2))$
$(x)=\quad \cos p \overline{p(1+\tanh (x=2))}$
$(x)=\sin ^{\rho} \overline{2(1+\tanh (x=2))}$
These solutions have the sam e energy, given by the value $2=3$. $T$ his is one-halfthe value of the energy in the form er sector, de ned by the $m$ inim a $(1 ; 0 ; 0)$.

W e notioe that the potential generated by W ( ; ; ) as in Eq. ( 4 - $_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(0 ; ;)=\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \quad \frac{1}{r} \quad 2^{2} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

It shows that the dom ain wall generated by the eld $m$ ay entrap the other two elds in its interior, giving rise to a m odel where one can have SSB of the continuum, globalu (1) sym m etry. In Eqs. (4)
change ! cos and ! cos, for constant we get to the equations $d=d x=1 \quad 2 \quad r^{2}$ and $d=d x=$
$2 r$, which are sim ilar to the rst orderequations that appear in the m odel represented by E q. (221), thus we can follow R ef. $\left.\overline{[2} \overline{5}_{1}^{1}\right]$ to nd all the BPS states of the m odel.
$T$ he continuum, globalU (1) sym $m$ etry that appear for $s=0$ can be further explored. For instance, we notioe that in $(3 ; 1)$ space-tim e dim ensions the host wall ( $x$ ) depends only on $x$, and $m$ ay entrap the other two elds in two spatial dim ensions. $N$ ow, if we let the continuum sym $m$ etry that appear in the ( ; ) plane to becom e local, and if we start w ith all the needed physical ingredients, the m odel gives rise to a host dom ain wall that entraps a planar system, driving us for instance to the scenario where the so-called $C$ allan $H$ arvey e ect [23.] $m$ ay spring very naturally. W e recall that the C allan H arvey e ect concems anom aly cancelation in $(2 ; 1)$ space-tim e dim ensions due to the presence of a dom ain wall mass to a ferm ionic eld, and we quote Ref. [24] for an A belian version of this e ect. This issue is presently under investigation, and we hope to report on it in the near future.

A nother line of investigation concems the high tem perature e ects, which $m$ ay drive the system to phase transitions. This line $m$ ay follow the two last work in R ef. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[13} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$, which dealw ith the them ale ects in the case oftwo realscalar elds. Furtherm ore, since the high tem perature e ects are obtained a la M atsubara, which is a com pacti cation process, we can also considerm ore generalpossibilities $\left[28_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, in which one investigates the e ective potential in the case two or $m$ ore dim ensions of the original space-tim e are com pacti ed. Sim ilar ideas have been introduced in $\left.{ }^{2} \underline{2}_{1}^{1}\right]$ for bosons and ferm ions, exam ining the tem perature inversion sym $m$ etry. $T$ his direction brings other issues since together w ith the usual them al e ects, we are also investigating e ects of com pacti cation of one or $m$ ore spatial dim ensions.

The presence of topological sectors depends on the $m$ anifold of vacuum states of the system, and this indicates that our investigations are $m$ odel-dependent. T hus we can build other three- eld models, exploring the possibility of nding spatial junctions of defects, which $m$ ay allow that we llspace w ith solid structures, generalizing the idea of tiling the plane w ith regular poligons. In the plane there are an in nity of regular polygons, although only three of them are capable of tiling the plane. In space, how ever, there are only ve regular polyhedra \{ the ve P latonic solids \{ and the issue is then which are capable of lling space, and how we can generate them w ithin the context of three- eld m odels. $T$ hese and other related issues are presently under consideration, and we hope to report on them in the near future.
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