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A bstract
W e discuss the $q$-state $P$ otts $m$ odels for $q \quad 4$, in the scaling regim es close to their critical or tricritical points. Starting from the kink $S-m$ atrix elem ents proposed by Chim and Zam olodchikov, the bootstrap is closed for the scaling regions of all criticalpoints, and for the tricriticalpoints when $4>q \quad 2 . W$ e also note a curious appearance of the extended last line of Freudenthal's m agic square in connection w th the P otts $m$ odels.
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## 1 Introduction

The q-state P otts m odels directly generalise the $m$ ost well-known of all tw o-dim ensional integrable m odels, the Ising m odel. They have been $\mathrm{m} u$ ch studied, both in their own right as interesting statistical-m echanical system s , and because of their relations w ith other $m$ odels \{ the lim it q! 1, for exam ple, describes bond percolation. H ow ever, they are by no $m$ eans com pletely understood.

In this paper and its sequel we shall discuss the treatm ent of these $m$ odels in the fram ew ork of continuum eld theory. Such techniques are expected to be applicable in scaling regim es near to critical points, though for the $q$-state Potts models som e elem ents of the treatm ent w illbe rather form al, re ecting the nonlocalm anner in which the m odels are initially de ned on the lattioe. In this paper we focus on the description of the $m$ odels in term $s$ of the on-shell data provided by an exact $S$-m atrix. A num ber of years ago, Chim and Zam olodchikov proposed a set of am plitudes for the scattering of elem entary kink-like excitations in the low -tem perature phase of the $m$ odel [1]. (A di erent treatm ent had previously been suggested by Sm imov 有, based on quantum group reductions of the Izergin-K orepin S-m atrix. In this article we shall work from the C him -Zam olodchikov form ulation, as it m ore closely re ects the continuous nature of the Fortuin $K$ asteleyn [3] de nition of the theory on a lattice, but we note that the relationsh ip between the two approaches has recently been clari ed, in 4].) The fundam entalS-m atrix elem ents form only part of the on-shell description of the $m$ odel, and in order to com plete the picture it is necessary to nd out if any further asym ptotic states are present. In the exact $S$-m atrix fram ew ork this is com $m$ only achieved by an analysis of the pole structure of all S-m atrix elem ents, a process which is known as closure of the bootstrap. The case of the $q$-state Potts m odels tums out to involve a num ber of subtleties, which we attem pt to highlight and resolve in this paper. Since we have not been entirely successful in this enterprise, we also include som e details of the problem s that we encountered. T hey only arose when continuing the $S$ m atrices far into the regim e of tricritical $m$ odels, but they $m$ ay nonetheless be im portant signals of new behaviour in the bootstrap program $m$ e.

In x 2 , we review Chim and Zam olodchikov's proposal and its background, stressing some of the non-standard features that the $m$ odels exhibit. Then in x3 we close the bootstrap for the scaling regions of all critical models, nding that we need to invoke the Colem an-T hun $m$ echanism in a novelway in order to explain the fullpole structure of all Sm atrix elem ents. A continuation of Chim and Zam oloddhikov's S-m atrix is expected to describe the scaling regions of the tricritical m odels, and this is discussed in $\mathrm{x4}$. Finally, x 5 contains our conclusions and som em ore detailed tables are collected in four appendioes.

In a com panion paper 目], these $m$ odels $w$ ill be discussed from a com plem entary point of view, using nite-size e ects.

## 2 R eview

### 2.1 The m odels on the lattice and their continuum lim its

The standard de nition of the lattice $q$-state $P$ otts $m$ odel is through the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=J_{h x \text { iyi }}^{\Lambda}(x) ;(y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is a coupling strength and the spin ( x ) associated with the lattioe site $\mathrm{x} m$ ay take any of $q$ distinct values. The sum $m$ ation is over all nearest-neighbour pairs of sites hx;yi. In term sof $H$, the partition function at tem perature $T$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\underbrace{X}_{f g} e^{\frac{1}{k T} H}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otioe that $H$ is invariant under the group $S_{q}$ of perm utations of the $q$ possible values of .

This de nition only applies for integer values ofq, but a reform ulation due to Fortuin and $K$ asteleyn [3] allow s the constraint to be lifted. Expanding (2.2) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{Y} & 1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{e}^{\frac{J}{k T}} & 1
\end{array}\right)(\mathrm{x}) ;(\mathrm{y})
\end{array} \\
& \text { f } \mathrm{ghx} \text {; yi }
\end{aligned}
$$

they observed that it could be w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z={\underset{G}{X}}^{X} q^{C} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=e^{\frac{J}{k T}} \quad 1$, and the sum is over all graphs $G$ on the lattioe, $w$ th the num ber of bonds in $G$ and $C$ the num ber of connected com ponents (sets of sites joined by bonds). W hen the $m$ odel is de ned in this way, $q$ is no longer restricted to integer values, and can be taken as a continuous param eter. H ow ever, at general values of $q, Z$ cannot be w ritten in term $s$ of a local $H$ am iltonian, and the precise $m$ eaning of its $S_{q}$ sym $m$ etry is not clear. N evertheless, the partition fiunction is œertainly well-de ned, and we shall see later that $m$ any other features which $m$ ight be thought special to locally-de ned theories also $m$ ake sense.

The m odel undergoes a phase transition at $K=K_{c}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{q}}$, which is second-order for $q$ 4. At these values of $q$ a continuum lim it can be taken, and if the lim it is taken exactly at the critical point, the resulting eld theory is conform al. Param etrising $q$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\overline{\mathrm{q}}=2 \sin }=2 \cos \overline{+1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $0 \quad=2$ and $=(+2)=(2)$, its central charge is $[6]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(q)=1 \quad \frac{6}{(+1)}: \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A generalisation of the basic P otts m odelallow s for the existence of vacancies 7]. In addition to the critical points just discussed, these $m$ odels have tricritical points, $w$ ith the critical and tricritical points coinciding at $q=4 . T$ he exponents for the tricritical points can be obtained from those of the critical points by continuing into the range $=2$ []] (see also [G]). This shifts into the range $1 \ll 3$.

If is rational, the value of $c(q)$ coincides $w$ th the centralcharge $c=1 \quad 6\left(p^{0} p\right)^{2}=p^{0}$ of a $m$ inim alm odel $M p^{0}, p^{0}>p$. M ore precisely, the relationship is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{p}{p^{0} p} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the critical branch, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{p^{0}}{p p^{0}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the tricritical branch. This coincidence does not $m$ ean that the conform al eld theories of the Potts m odels at rational are m inim al models \{ in particular, the relevant partition functions only agree $w$ hen $q$ is an integer [10] \{ but it does $m$ ean that connections $w$ ith $m$ in im alm odels are to be expected at these points.

O ther aspects of the critical and tricritical models, and of their relationships w ith conform al eld theories, are reviewed in 5]. H ow ever in this paper we are $m$ ore concemed w ith the m assive eld theories which arise if a continuum lim it is taken w th the tem perature (and any other param eters) tending to a critical value w th the correlation length held nite in physical units. In $m$ any interesting cases (including the ones currently under discussion [ , $\left._{1}\right]$ ) these eld theories tum out to be integrable [11], allow ing them to be understood in considerable detail. In tum, this allow s the scaling regions of the original lattice $m$ odels near to their second-order transitions to be explored; it is also relevant to the com putation of certain universal characteristics of the transitions them selves 12].

In practioe, the eld theories are usually found directly in the continuum, either by a consideration of the sym $m$ etries that they should inherit from the lattioe, or by starting from the continuum conform al eld theories, and then adding to their actions suitable continuum operators to describe the departure from criticality \{ the basic idea of perturbed conform al eld theory, as put forward by Zam olodchikov [11]. For shifts in tem perature, the operator to add is the local energy density, which corresponds to
21 for the critical P otts models 目], and 12 for the tricritical m odels 13]. W e shall refer to the $m$ assive theories as the scaling Potts $m$ odels. Strictly speaking, they are not pertunbations of $m$ in im al conform al eld theories, except at integer values of $q$. H ow ever, in situations where the in nite-volum e ground states of the q-state P otts and $m$ inim alm odels coincide, we expect the tw o to agree on issues such as mass spectrum and (diagonal) S-m atrix elem ents. W e shall say a little m ore about this issue in later sections and in [6], but since it is not directly relevant to our current discussion of the P otts S-m atrices, we leave it to one side for now.

Irrespective ofw hether the unperturbed c $<1$ theories arem in im alm odels, Z am olodchikov's counting argum ent [11] show s that generic 21 or 12 perturbations preserve
conserved charges $w$ ith spins $s=1 ; 5 ; 7$ and 11. By an argum ent due to $P$ arke [14], the existence of these charges is enough to ensure that, as quantum eld theories de ned in $M$ inkow skispace, the $m$ odels $m$ ust have factorisable $S-m$ atrices, allow ing the fullm ultiparticle $S$ m atrix to be given in term $s$ of the set of tw o-particle scattering am plitudesf. Them ain result of Chim and Zam olodchikov [1] was a con jecture for these am plitudes for certain fundam ental, kink-like excitations. To nish the story, am plitudes for the scattering of all possible bound states of these kinks m ust be found, and this is the $m$ ain goal of the present paper. In the rem ainder of this section, we shall review the assum ptions that went into Chim and Zam olodchikov's in itial proposal.

### 2.2 The fundam ental $S-m$ atrix elem ents

To begin, we discuss the scaling regions of the critical models. T he scattering theory is $m$ ost easily treated by working in the low -tem perature, ordered phase, in which one would expect to nd qdegenerate vacua. It is then naturalto postulate the existence of a set of particles, or kinks, $K a b()(a ; b=1::: q ; a b)$, dom ain walls which interpolate between di erent vacua. The fact that $q$ is not necessarily an integer $m$ ay appear w orrysom e, but follow ing [1] we can decide to treat $q$ form ally, m otivated at least in part by the fact that this can be given a precise sense on the lattige through the Fortuin$K$ asteleyn trick review ed above. The energy m om entum of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathrm{I}$ ) is param eterized by the rapidity,$p=(m$ cosh $; m \sinh )$, and asym ptotic $n$-particle states intenpolating between vacua $a_{0}$ and $a_{n}$ correspond to the products

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{a_{0} a_{1}}\left({ }_{1}\right) K_{a_{1} a_{2}}(2)::: K_{a_{n 1} a_{n}}\left(n_{n}\right) \quad\left(a_{i} a_{i+1}\right): \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Scattering of these kinks is com pletely described by the tw o-particle am plitudes $S_{a c}^{\text {bd }}$ ( ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ab}}\left(1_{1}\right) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{bc}}(2)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{ac}}^{\mathrm{bd}}() \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ad}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{dc}}(1) ; \quad=1 \quad 2: \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

D ue to the $S_{q}$ sym $m$ etry of the $m$ odel, there are only four independent two-particle am plitudes $S^{n}$ ( ) ( $n=0::: 3$ ). These are represented in gure 1 .
$T$ he $S-m$ atrix $m$ ust be unitary, crossing sym $m$ etric, and satisfy the $Y$ ang Baxter equations. C him and Zam olodchikov found that the latter im plies

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{0}()=\sin () \sin (i) \sin (3+i) R()  \tag{2.11}\\
& S^{1}()=\sin (2) \sin (+i) \sin (3+i) R()  \tag{2.12}\\
& S^{2}()=\sin (2) \sin (i) \sin (2+i) R()  \tag{2.13}\\
& S^{3}()=\sin (3) \sin (+i) \sin (2+i) R() \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where is de ned by $2 \sin ={ }^{P} \bar{q}$ as in 2.5) above, and is an as-yet undeterm ined param eter. C rossing sym $m$ etry requires that $S^{0}$ and $S^{3}$ be unchanged under ! i
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Figure 1: The four independent tw o-particle am plitudes
while $S^{1}$ and $S^{2} s w a p$ over, which implies $=3 \mathrm{mod}$ and $R(i \quad)=R()$. Unitarity then boils dow $n$ to the condition

$$
R() R(\quad)=\left[\sin ^{2}() \sin (2+i \quad) \sin (2 \quad i \quad) \sin (3+i \quad) \sin (3 \quad i \quad)^{2}\right] \text { (2.15) }
$$

Together with crossing, this xes the $S-m$ atrix as a function of and up to a socalled CDD factor, a 2 iperiodic function $f$ satisfying $f() f()=1, f(i \quad)=f()$. To resolve the rem aining am biguities, som e further physical input is required, and this com es from an initial consideration of the pole structure.

In general, it should be possible to explain all S-m atrix poles in the physical strip

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=m \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term sof the bound-state structure of the $m$ odel (though, as we shall see, the $m$ echanism can in som e cases be quite involved). C onversely, the fundam ental S-m atrix elem ents should certainly exhibit poles re ecting the possibility to form an elem entary kink as a bound state of two other such kinks. Taking energy mom entum conservation and vacuum structure into account, direct-channel poles should appear in $S^{\circ}()$ and $S^{1}()$ at $=\frac{2 \text { i }}{3}$, and cross-channel poles in $S^{0}()$ and $S^{2}()$ at $=\frac{i}{3}$. These are illustrated in gures and 3.


Figure 2: D irect channel K K ! K bound states in $S^{0}$ and $S^{1}$


Figure 3: C ross channel $\mathrm{K} K$ ! K bound states in $S^{0}$ and $S^{2}$

A com parison $w$ th 2.11) \{ (2.13) show sthat the com $m$ on factor $R$ ( ) m ust therefore have poles at $\frac{i}{3}$ and $\frac{2 i}{3}$. On the other hand, the pole at $\frac{2 i}{3}$ should be absent from $S^{2}$ and $S^{3}$, and the pole at $\frac{i}{3}$ absent from $S^{1}$ and $S^{3}$. This can only be achieved by cancellations against zeroes from the sine prefactors in 2.12) \{ 2.14), which strengthens the previous condition on to $=3 \mathrm{mod} 3$.


Figure 4: K K ! K bootstrap

T he three-kink coupling leads to a set of bootstrap equations, depicted in gure 4 . In contrast to the Yang-B axter equations, these constraints are felt by the CD D factor. C om bining these conditions w th a prior know ledge of the solutions for $q=2$ and 3 led Chim and Zam olodchikov to the simplest choige $=3$, and a minim al solution for the $S-m$ atrix elem ents whidh, for the discussions to com $e$, it $w i l l$ be $m$ ost conven ient to rew rite in the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{0}()=\frac{\sinh ()}{\sinh \left(\left(\frac{2 i}{3}\right)\right)} S() ;  \tag{2.17}\\
& S^{1}()=\frac{\sin \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\sin \left(\frac{-}{3}\right)} \frac{\sinh \left(\left(\frac{i}{3}\right)\right)}{\sinh \left(\left(\frac{2 i}{3}\right)\right)} S() ;  \tag{2.18}\\
& S^{2}()=\frac{\sin \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\sin \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)} \frac{\sinh (\quad)}{\sinh ((i))} S() ;  \tag{2.19}\\
& S^{3}()=\frac{\sin ()}{\sin \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) \frac{\sinh \left(\left(\frac{i}{3}\right)\right)}{\sinh ((i))} S():} \tag{220}
\end{align*}
$$

T he overall scalar factor $S()$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S()=\frac{\sinh \left(\left(+\frac{i}{3}\right)\right)}{\sinh \left(\left(\frac{i}{3}\right)\right)} e^{A()} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $e^{\text {A ( ) }}$ de ned in term $s$ of the blocks

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a)=\frac{(a \bar{i})}{(a+\bar{i})} \frac{(a++\bar{i})}{(a+\bar{i})}=\exp 2_{0}^{Z} \frac{d x}{x} \sinh (\bar{i} x) e^{a x} \frac{\left(1 e^{x}\right)}{\left(1 e^{x}\right)} \tag{2,22}
\end{equation*}
$$

as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{A}()=\sum_{k=0}^{1^{\sharp}} \frac{(1+2 k)}{((2 k+1))} \frac{\left(1+\left(2 k \frac{1}{3}\right)\right)}{\left(\left(2 k+\frac{4}{3}\right)\right)}: \tag{2,23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the second equality in 222) and the form ula

$$
\frac{\sinh ((+i \quad))}{\sinh \left(\left(\begin{array}{l}
i \tag{2,24}
\end{array}\right)\right.}=\exp 2_{0}^{Z} \frac{d k}{k} \sinh (i k) \frac{\sinh \left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\frac{1}{1} 2\right)\right)^{\#}}{\sinh \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}
$$

it is a sim plem atter to recover integral representations equivalent to those given in 12]:

$$
\begin{align*}
A() & =2_{0}^{Z} \frac{d k}{k} \sinh (i k) \frac{\cosh \left(\frac{k}{6}\right) \sinh \left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\frac{4}{3} \quad \frac{1}{-}\right)\right)}{\cosh \left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \sinh \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} ;  \tag{2,25}\\
\log S()= & 2_{0}^{Z^{1}} \frac{d k}{k} \sinh (i k) \frac{\cosh \left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{4}\right)\right) \sinh \left(\frac{k}{3}\right)}{\cosh \left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \sinh \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}: \tag{226}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, $e^{A()}$ and $S()$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.e^{A( }\right)=e^{A()} ; e^{A(i}\right)=\frac{\sinh ()}{\sinh ((i))} \frac{\sinh \left(\left(+\frac{i}{3}\right)\right)}{\sinh \left(\left(\frac{4 i}{3}\right)\right)} e^{A()} ;  \tag{227}\\
& S(\quad)=1=S() ; S(i \quad)=\frac{\sinh ()}{\sinh ((i))} \frac{\sinh \left(\left(\frac{i}{3}\right)\right)}{\sinh \left(\left(\frac{2 i}{3}\right)\right)} S(): \tag{228}
\end{align*}
$$

The poles and zeroes of these two functions which can appear in the physical strip for $0 \ll 3$ are sum $m$ arised in tables 1 and $0^{1} . N$ ote that $e^{A()}$ has no physical strip poles at all for 1 .

For the criticalmodels, lies betw een 0 and $=2$ and so the $S-m$ atrix param eter $=3=$ should be betw een 0 and $3=2$. A s m entioned above, the exponents of the tricriticalm odels can be obtained by a continuation of into the interval [ $=2$; ], and it is natural to suppose that the sam e should hold at the level of $S$-m atrices. T h is led Chim and Zam olodchikov to con jecture that the $S$ m atrix elem ents 2.17) \{ 2.20) w ith
in the range $[3=2 ; 3]$ should correspond to the scaling tricritical m odels. T hus the general relation betw een $q$ and is

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\overline{\mathrm{q}}}=2 \sin \frac{}{3} \quad ; \quad \text { where } \quad 2 \quad \begin{align*}
& {[0 ; 3=2]} \\
& {[3=2 ; 3]}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { (perturbed criticalm odels); } \\
& \text { (perturbed tricritical m odels) }
\end{align*}
$$

|  | Poles |  |  |  |  |  |  | Zeroes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{i}=$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 |
| Physical <br> strip? | $>\frac{3}{4}$ | $>1$ | $>\frac{3}{2}$ | $>2$ | $>\frac{9}{4}$ | 8 |  |  |  |

Table 1: P hysical stríp poles and zeroes of $\mathrm{e}^{\text {( }}$ ), for $0 \ll 3$

|  | Poles |  |  |  | Zeroes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{i}=$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{1}+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\underline{2}$ | 1 |
| Physical <br> strip? | 8 | $>1$ | $>\frac{3}{2}$ | $>2$ | 8 |

Table 2: Physical strip poles and zeroes of S ( ), for $0 \ll 3$

Recall that $2[0 ; 3=2]$ corresponds to 21 perturbations, and $2[3=2 ; 3]$ to 12 . In all cases the central charge of the unperturbed theory is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=1 \quad \frac{(32)^{2}}{(3+2)}: \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

For fiuture reference, we also record the rational values of, follow ing from 2.1) and 2.8) and the relation $=\frac{3}{2}(1)=(+1)$, at which the 0 -critical Potts $m$ odels are related to 21 and 12 perturbed $m$ inim alm odels $M p^{0}, p^{0}>p$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
=\frac{3 p}{p^{0}} & \frac{3}{2} \\
& (21 \text { perturbations }) ;  \tag{2.32}\\
& =\frac{3 p^{0}}{p}
\end{array} \frac{3}{2} \quad(\text { ( } 12 \text { perturbations }) . ~ \$
$$

## 3 C om pleting the bootstrap for $0 \quad 3=2$

W e now retum to the discussion of the pole structures of the $S$-m atrix elem ents, in order to see if there is any need to introduce further one-particle asym ptotic states into the m odel, beyond the elem entary kinks. It is convenient to phrase the discussion in term $s$ of the variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\bar{i} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

already used im plicitly in tables 1 and 居, so that the segm ent of the im aginary -axis lying in the physical strip corresponds to real values of $t$ between 0 and 1. $t$ will som etim es be referred to as an langle', though strictly speaking the term should be

|  | P oles: $\mathrm{t}=$ |  | P oles: $\mathrm{t}=$ |  | P oles: $\mathrm{t}=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S^{0}():$ | $\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{1}{3}$ | $S^{1}():$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $S^{2}():$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
|  | $\frac{2}{3} \quad \underline{1} \quad \frac{1}{3}+\underline{1}$ |  | $\frac{2}{3} \quad 1$ |  | $\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{}$ |
| $S^{3}():$ | $\underline{1} \quad 1 \quad \underline{1}$ |  | $\underline{1}$ |  | $1 \quad 1$ |
|  | $\underline{2} \quad 1 \quad \underline{2}$ |  | $\underline{2}$ |  | $1 \underline{2}$ |

Table 3: P hysical strip poles of $S^{0}, S^{1}, S^{2}$ and $S^{3}$ for 0
reserved for $t$ rather than $t$. The poles at $t=2=3$ in $S^{0}$ and $S^{1}$, and at $t=1=3$ in $S^{0}$ and $S^{2}$, have already been treated, and are due to the fundam ental kink itself. In [1], Chim and Zam olodchikov noted that extra poles enter the physicalstrip once passes 1. $T$ hese they assigned to a new particle B , a breather-like excitation over a single vacuum , appearing as a bound state in the scattering of two kinks. The associated S -m atrix elem ents $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B} K}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ also contain physical strip poles. Som e of these correspond to the kink and breather already seen, but others were con jectured in [1] to signal the presence of yet further particles. In this section, we re-exam ine this analysis and nd that these further particles do not in fact appear in the spectrum while the critical m odels are considered. The range $3=2<3$, corresponding to perturbations of the tricriticalm odels, tums out to be far m ore com plicated and we postpone its discussion until section 4.

### 3.1 The pole structure of the fundam entalkink am plitudes

Table $\mathrm{B}^{3}$ sum $m$ arises the physical strip pole structure of the fundam ental am plinudes (2.17)-2.20), for in the range 0 3. Supposing each pole to correspond to a bound state particle in either the direct or the crossed channel, a consideration of the pattems of vacua show in gure 1 allows them to be classi ed as follow s. A ll four vacua seen by $S^{0}$ are di erent, so all of its poles $m$ ust correspond to kink type particles. O ne out of each pair of poles in $S^{0}$ appears in $S^{1}$, and the other in $S^{2}$. To be consistent w ith the vacuum structure, the pole also appearing in $S^{1} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be direct channel, and that also in $S^{2}$ cross channel. Sim ilarly, all poles in $S^{3} \mathrm{~m}$ ust correspond to excitations over a single vacuum (breathers). All poles which also appear in $S^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be direct channel, while those which also appear in $S^{1}$ must be cross channel. The resulting particle spectrum is sum $m$ arised in table 4, and the corresponding fusing vertices are

| P ole: $\mathrm{t}=$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3} \quad 1$ | $1 \quad 1$ | $1 \quad \underline{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical strip? | 8 | $>\frac{3}{2}$ | > 1 | > 2 |
| M ass: | m | $2 \mathrm{~m} \cos \overline{3} \quad \overline{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m} \cos \overline{2} \quad \overline{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m} \cos \overline{2}-$ |
| P article: | $\mathrm{K}_{1}(=\mathrm{K})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}(=\mathrm{B})$ | B 2 |

Table 4: D irect channel pole assignm ents for $S^{0}, S^{1}, S^{2}$ and $S^{3}$
depicted in gure 5.

$F$ igure 5: $\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}$ fusing vertioes
Restricting to the range $0 \quad 3=2$, the only bound states signalled in $K_{1} K_{1}$ scattering are the fundam ental kink $K_{1}$ itself, and, for $1<\quad 3=2$, the breather $B_{1}$.

### 3.2 Two particles: $1<\quad 3=2$

For 1, the bootstrap closes on the fundam entalkink alone and there is no $m$ ore to be done, but once increases beyond 1 the breather $B_{1}$ appears. This extra particle brings $w$ ith it two new scattering amplitudes, $S_{B_{1} K_{1}}$ and $S_{B_{1} B_{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}=\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}\right] ;  \tag{32}\\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}}=\left[\frac{2}{3}\right]\left[\frac{1}{-1}\right]\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]: \tag{3,3}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere we have rew ritten the form ulae from [1] using the blocks

$$
[a]=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(a) & (1 \tag{3.4}
\end{array} \quad \text { a); where } \quad(a)=\frac{\sinh \left(\overline{2}+\frac{i a}{2}\right)}{\sinh \left(\overline{2} \frac{i a}{2}\right)}:\right.
$$

The new am plitudes are illustrated in gure 6 .

b

a

F igure 6: $\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}!\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}!\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ scattering

The pole structures of these new Sm atrix elem ents must be exam ined to see if there are any further bound states. It is at this stage that our analysis diverges from that of [1], since it tums out that variants of the $C$ olem an-T hun $m$ echanism [15] allow som e poles to be explained, for certain ranges of , w ithout the need to introduce new particles. $W$ e shall treat the two new am plitudes in tum.

### 3.3 The $\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}$ scattering am plitude

$T$ he relevant $S-m$ atrix elem ent, which is also depicted in appendix D, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}=\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{5}{6} \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he $\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ vertex allow s the poles from the factors $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\right)$ to be identi ed w ith the originalkink $K_{1}$ appearing as a bound state in the direct and crossed channels respectively. A ssum ing that the poles in $\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}\right]$ also correspond to a bound state, we $m$ ake an initial hypothesis that the direct channelpole is at $t=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot T$ he associated particle m ust be an excited kink of som e sort, and calculating its mass we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=2 m \cos \left(\frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right): \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om paring $w$ th the $m$ asses listed in table 4, it is natural to identify this $w$ th the $K_{2}$ state already seen in $\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}$ scattering. T his im plies the existence of a vertex coupling $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $B_{1}$, and the corresponding fusing angles are show $n$ in gure 7 .


Figure 7: the $K_{1} B_{1}!K_{2}$ vertex

Unlike the $K_{2}$ bound state poles in $S^{0}$ and $S^{1}$, which only enter the physical strip for $>\frac{3}{2}$, the $\left(\frac{5}{6} \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ poles in $S_{\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}$ are already in the physicalstrip at $=1$. This suggests that $K_{2}$ should be present in the particle spectrum for all $>1$, and indeed this was proposed in 17]. W e would like to advocate an altemative scenario, which begins w th the observation that, for $<3=2$, one would expect the $B_{1} K_{1}$ scattering am plitude to exhibit an anom alous threshold pole exactly at the location of the wouldbe $K_{2}$ bound state pole, since the on-shell diagram shown in gure 8 is geom etrically possible.


Figure f: $\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ scattering: the pole at $t=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}$, for $<\frac{3}{2}$


F igure 9: $\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ scattering: the pole at $t=\frac{1}{3}$, for $<\frac{3}{2}$

The angle betw een the incom ing particles $K_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ is $t=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}$, and the intemal scattering angle is $=\frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3}$. The diagram closes for $0 \ll \frac{1}{3}$, which translates as $1 \ll \frac{3}{2}$. H ow ever, in two dim ensions a diagram of this sort would usually be expected to give rise to a double pole, while $S_{\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}$ only has a sim ple pole at this value of $t$. The problem is resolved once the contributions of the couplings and S-m atrix elem ents are taken into account. These are com posed of four three-particle couplings multiplying a sum over two-particle amplitudes. This sum, which we shall denote by $C$, can be com puted by considering the possibilities for the intemal vacua in the diagram. T he upper' intemal vacuum must di er from both extemal vacua, and so can take ( $q$ 2) values. O nce this vacuum has been xed, there are again ( $q$ 2) possibilities for the low er intemal vacuum, but they are no longer equivalent. E ther the lower vacuum is equal to the upper one ( 1 possibility), in which case the relevant tw o-particle am plitude needed to evaluate the diagram is $S^{1}$ ( $)$, or else it is di erent (( $q$ 3) possibilities), in which case the am plitude is rather $S^{0}(i \quad)$. A dding everything up, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 2
\end{array}\right) S^{1}(i)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 3
\end{array}\right) S^{0}(i) \\
& =\frac{(q 2) S(i)}{\sin \left(\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right) \sin (\overline{3})}\left[\sin \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \sin \left(\left(\frac{1}{3} \quad\right)+\sin () \sin (\quad)\right]\right. \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been m ade of equation 2.29). Substituting $=\frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3}$, and noting that $S(i \quad)$ does not have any poles or zeroes at this value of , reveals a rem arkable cancellation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{(q 2) S(i \quad)}{\sin \left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \sin (\quad)}\left[\sin \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \sin (\quad)+\sin (\quad) \sin \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right]=0: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he vanishing of $C$ reduces the overall singularity associated $w$ ith the diagram to $a$ sim ple pole. Thus the pole at $t=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}$ in $S_{B_{1} K_{1}}$ can be explained $w$ thout the need to introduce the excited kink $\mathrm{K}_{2}$, at least until $=\frac{3}{2}$. Beyond this point, the scattering process shown in gure 8 is no longer geom etrically possible; and at the sam e tim e, the presence of $K_{2}$ in the spectrum is also signalled by the appearance of its bound state pole in the kink-kink $m$ atrix elem ents $S^{0}$ and $S^{1}$.

A very sim ilar phenom enon was observed $m$ any years ago by $C$ olem an and $T$ hun in the Sine-G ordon $m$ odel [15], w here the appearance of new breathers is delayed until their poles are seen in the soliton-soliton Sm atrix (see section 4 of 16] for a detailed review of this story). It has also played a role in the understanding of non self-dual a ne Toda eld theories [[7], and crops up in the analysis ofboundary scattering [4]. A novel feature here is the form al treatm ent of the vacua. W hen working out the com binatorics, $q$ is treated as an integer, and the resulting form ula is then taken to hold for general q. The sam e spirit guided Chim and Zam olodchikov's original form ulation of the Yang-B axter equations for the model; it can be interpreted as a w ay to account for the statistics of the kink states in a w ay that depends sm oothly on q . In principle it should be possible to phrase the discussion entirely $w$ thin the $m$ ore standardly-de ned form ulation of $S m$ imov 元], but since in this approach the vacuum structure depends in $^{\text {n }}$ a discontinuous way on 9 , the argum ents are likely to be considerably m ore involved.

### 3.4 The $B_{1} B_{1}$ scattering am plitude

To com plete the analysis we m ust consider

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}}=\left[\frac{2}{3}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \underline{1} \tag{3.9}
\end{array}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1} \quad \frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{2}{3} \quad 1\right):
$$

The poles from the blocks $\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$ can be identi ed $w$ ith $B_{1}$ bound states in the direct and cross channels respectively. Thepoles from ( $\left.1 \begin{array}{l}1\end{array}\right)$ and (1 $\left.1=1\right) \mathrm{m}$ atch direct and cross channelbound states of $\mathrm{B}_{2}$, while that in ( $1 \frac{1}{3}$ ) is potentially the direct channel pole for a new particle, $B_{3}$, $w$ th $m$ ass

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B}_{3}}=2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{B}_{1}} \cos (\overline{2} \quad \overline{6})=4 \mathrm{~m} \cos \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{2} & \overline{2}) \cos \left(\begin{array}{ll}
- & \overline{6}):
\end{array}\right. \text { : } \tag{3.10}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(T his particle w as denoted $\mathrm{B}^{0}$ in [1].) The poles at $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3}$ are both located in the physical strip for $>1$,when $B_{1}$ rst appears.
$H$ ow ever, the appearance ofboth $B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ can be delayed by invoking the $C$ olem an$T$ hun $m$ echanism. M ost com plicated to treat is the w ould -be $B_{3}$ bound state pole. T he a-priori third order diagram shown in gure 9 has intemal scattering angle $=\frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3}$,
and thus closes for $<\frac{3}{2}$. K eeping track of all possible combinations we nd that the third-order pole m ust be m ultiplied by a factor

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 2
\end{array}\right) S^{1}(i \quad)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 3
\end{array}\right) S^{0}(i \quad)^{2} S^{1}(i 2 \quad)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 3 \tag{3.11}
\end{array}\right) S^{0}(i 2 \quad):
$$

 of $S_{B_{1} K_{1}}$, and a simple calculation show $s$ that the rest of the function is nite and nonzero at this point. T he diagram thus contributes a sim ple pole, and $B_{3}$ need not show up as a bound state until $=\frac{3}{2}$.

Tuming to the pole at $t=\frac{1}{1}$, provisionally assigned to $\mathrm{B}_{2}$, the relevant diagram is shown in gure 10. The intemal scattering angle $=\underline{2} \quad 1$ is positive ( $m$ aking the diagram geom etrically possible) for < 2. C alculating the prefactor $C$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 1
\end{array}\right) S^{3}(i \quad)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 2) S_{1}(i
\end{array}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

At $=\underline{2} \quad 1$, the expression in square brackets vanishes and the overall singularity is reduced from a double to a single pole. This $m$ eans that there is no need to introduce the $B_{2}$ breather until passes 2, at which stage it also appears in the $K_{1} K_{1}$ am plitudes $S^{2}$ and $S^{3}$.


Figure 10: $\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ scattering: $\mathrm{t}=1,<2$

### 3.5 C hecks on the spectrum for $0<\quad 3=2$

To sum $m$ arise, by invoking the $C$ olem an-T hun $m$ echanism we have seen that the only particles forced to appear for $0 \ll \frac{3}{2}$ are the fundam ental kink $K_{1}$, present for all, and the breather $B_{1}$, which appears for $>1$. The two bound states $K_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ proposed by Chim and Zam olodchikov need not appear until $>\frac{3}{2}$. We also saw evidence for a further new particle $\mathrm{B}_{2}$, but its appearance was postponed until $>2$.

W hile we have shown that our proposed spectrum is consistent, strictly speaking the larger spectrum in itially suggested by C him and Zam olodchikov has not been com pletely ruled out \{ genuine bound state poles could be hiding behind the contributions provided by the Colem an-T hun diagram s. Som e reassurance com es from som e work by D el no
and $C$ ardy [12]. T hey calculated a num ber of univensal quantities using the form -factor approach, which is sensitive to the $m$ assive particle spectrum. Som e of these quantities (for exam ple the central charge) can be com pared w th known results. W hile the need to add in the rst breather state at $=1$ is clearly signalled, the data up to $=3=2$, as illustrated by gure 5 of [12], show s no signs of any further $m$ issing particles.

A nother check com es from the lim iting point $=\frac{3}{2}, q=4, w$ here the $S-m$ atrix for the m inim ald 4 related eld theory discussed in 19] should be reproduced. The spectrum of this $m$ odel consists of three light particles $l, l^{0}, l^{\infty} w$ th the sam e $m$ ass $m$, and one particle $h$ of $m$ ass $m_{h}=\overline{3} m_{1}$. The $m$ ass ratio $m_{h}: m_{1}$ equals that of $m_{B_{1}}: m_{K_{1}}$, and $h$ is naturally identi ed with $\mathrm{B}_{1}$. There are three distinct ways of pairing up the four vacua and each of these $m$ ay be associated $w$ th one of the light particles. T h is is shown in gure11, where for exam ple 1 is identi ed w ith dom ain walls 1 \$ 2 and 3 \$ 4 (vacua labeled from 1 to 4). This identi cation is unique up to perm utations of the light particles am ongst them selves | also a property of the $\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{Sm}$ atrix. The two-particle amplifudes $S_{11}(), S_{110}(), S_{1 h}()$ and $S_{h h}()$ then correspond to $S^{3}(), S^{0}(), S_{B_{1} K} K_{1}()$ and $S_{B_{1} B_{1}}()$ respectively. Substituting for , a direct com parison can be $m$ ade $w$ ith the results of 19]. The $S-m$ atrioes $m$ atch, since $S^{1}()$ and $S^{2}()$ vanish for $=\frac{3}{2}$. $N$ otice that the set of spins of conserved charges for the $D_{4}$ related model starts 1,3 , $3,5::$ : and is thus larger than the generic 21 spectrum $s=1 ; 5 ; 7$; $11:::$ reported above. M oving from the kink to the particle picture, the ${ }^{3}$ property of the $S-m$ atrix is lost, and it is this which allow s the en larged set of conserved charges to be represented locally on the m ultiparticle states. In the kink basis, the extra charges are also present for $=3=2$, but they do not act diagonally.


Figure 11: D om ain walls \$ particles at q=4

## 4 P erturbed tricriticalm odels: 3=2 \ll 3

W e now $m$ ove to the region $\frac{3}{2} \quad 3$, suggested in [1] to describe the scaling tricritical q-state Potts models, and related to 12 perturbations of $c<1$ conform al eld theories. The fill $S$ fm atrix becom es extrem ely com plicated as increases, and we have not com pleted our analysis for the whole tricritical range of. For this reason we willbe a little $m$ ore sketchy in our descriptions in this section. Som efurther details are in the appendioes, and in 20].

### 4.1 Four particles: 3=2 <

Two new particles, $K_{2}$ and $B_{3}, \mathrm{~m}$ ust appear once passes $\frac{3}{2}$. W e found that no further particles were needed to explain the pole structure up to $=2$. The new S-m atrix elem ents are as follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{t}\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathrm{t}\left(\frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right) \mathrm{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathrm{t}\left(\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right) S^{\mathrm{a}}\left(+\frac{i}{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\left[\frac{2}{3}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{3}+\underline{1}\right][\underline{1}] \mathrm{S}^{a} \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}=\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{5}{6}\right]\left[\frac{1}{6}+1\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.\frac{1}{6}\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}=\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]^{2}[1]\left[1+\frac{1}{3}\right] \\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}\right]^{3}\left[\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{3}{2} & \left.\frac{1}{3}\right]\left[\frac{3}{2}\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
& S_{B_{3} B_{3}}=\left[\frac{2}{3}\right]^{3}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\end{array}\right]^{3}\left[\frac{4}{3}\right.} & 1
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{3}+1\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{2} & \left.\frac{2}{3}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{[2} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
& S_{B_{3} B_{1}}=\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{7}{6} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & \left.\frac{3}{2}\right]\left[\frac{3}{2}\right. & \left.\frac{1}{6}\right]
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t(a)(t)=\tan (\overline{2}(t+a))$, the blocks [a] were de ned in equation (3.4) above, and $S^{a}$ are the am plitudes for the scattering of the fiundam ental kink $K_{1}$. $(\mathbb{N}$ ote the shift in the argum ent in the rst form ula.) O nce again there are four possible am plitudes corresponding to the four di erent vacuum structures for kink kink scattering. In tables 5 and 6 we sum $m$ arise the physical-strip poles of the new kink $k$ ink am plitudes for 3=2 < 3.

The introduction of new particles leads to further bootstrap equations. Here we quickly sketch those for the new kink-kink am plitudes. $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ appears as a bound state in $\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ scattering. This allow $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ to be obtained via a num ber of a-priori distinct bootstrap equations. Consider rst the $K_{1} K_{1}!K_{2}$ fusing. The generalkink bootstrap equation illustrated in gure 7 im plies

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{\mathrm{a}}()=^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}\left(\quad \frac{i}{3}+\frac{i}{2}\right) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}\left(+\frac{i}{3} \quad \frac{i}{2}\right)
$$

where the term $s$ to be sum $m$ ed on the right-hand side depend both on the particular $m$ atrix elem ent being evaluated, and on the choice of vacuum b in gure 4. For exam ple:

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{K_{1} K_{2}}^{0}() & =S^{3} S^{0}+S^{2} S^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 4
\end{array}\right) S^{2} S^{0} \\
& =S^{1} S^{1}+S^{0} S^{3}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 4
\end{array}\right) S^{0} S^{1} \\
& =S^{1} S^{0}+S^{0} S^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 5
\end{array}\right) S^{0} S^{0}: \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

T he com patibility of these form ulae provides constraints on the non-scalar parts of the $\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}$ am plitudes, which tum out to be just the original bootstrap equations, with shifted by $\frac{i}{2}$.

A ltematively, the $\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}$ scattering am plitude could have been found using either the $K_{1} B_{1}$ ! $K_{2}$ fusing:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}() & =\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}}\left(\frac{i}{6}\right) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}\left(+\frac{i}{2}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}}\left(+\frac{i}{6}\right) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

| $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{i}$ | Poles: $t=$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{0}():$ | $\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{1}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}$ $\left(\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{3}+\frac{3}{2}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{1}():$ | $\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2} \\ & \left(\frac{2}{3}\right. \\ & \left.\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{5}{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{2}():$ | $\frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{1}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1}{3}+\frac{3}{2}$ | $1 \quad \frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \quad \frac{3}{2}$ | $1 \quad \frac{5}{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{3}():$ |  | $\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{1}{2}$ |  | $1 \quad \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \quad \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \quad \frac{5}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5: Physical strip poles of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{0}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{3}$ for $3=2<\quad 3$
or the $K_{1} B_{3}!K_{2}$ fusing:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{a}() & =\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{3}}\left(\frac{i}{3}+\frac{i}{2}\right) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}\left(+\frac{3 i}{2}\right) \\
& =S_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{3}}\left(+\frac{i}{3} \frac{i}{2}\right) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}\left(\frac{3 i}{2}\right): \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The equality of the two expressions in (4.4) can be checked using

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(+\frac{i}{}\right)=\frac{\sinh \left(\frac{\overline{2}}{} \frac{i}{2}\right) \sinh \left(\frac{\overline{2}}{} \quad \frac{i}{2}+\frac{i}{2}\right) \sinh \left(\frac{\overline{2}}{} \quad \frac{i}{3}\right) \sinh \left(\frac{\overline{2}}{}+\frac{i}{3}+\frac{i}{2}\right)}{\sinh \left(\frac{\overline{2}}{2}\right) \sinh \left(\overline{2}+\frac{i}{2}\right) \sinh \left(\overline{2}+\frac{i}{6}\right) \sinh \left(\frac{\overline{2}}{2} \frac{i}{6}+\frac{i}{2}\right)} S(): \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hen (4.5) can be rew ritten in a form that can $m$ ore easily be com pared with 4.4) by m aking use of (4.8) and the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{K_{1} K_{1}}^{a}(\quad i \quad)=\frac{S(\quad \underline{i})}{S()} S_{K_{1} K_{1}}^{a}(): \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sa last step, we need to check the com patibility of (4.4) w ith 4.2). Starting from (42) we can use 4.7) and 4.6) to rew rite each $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}\left(+\frac{i}{3} \frac{i}{2}\right)$ as $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}\left(+\frac{i}{3}+\frac{i}{2}\right)$ m ultiplied by a com m on factor. The non-scalar parts of the form ula are then the original


Table 6: P hysical strip poles of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{0}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{3}$ for $3=2<\quad 3$
bootstrap equations for $S_{K_{1} K_{1}}^{a}\left(+\frac{i}{2}\right)$, leaving it to be checked that the extra factor is equal to $S_{K_{1} B_{1}}\left(\frac{i}{6}\right)$. The bootstrap equations for $S_{K_{2} K_{2}}^{a}()$ can be treated in $a$ sim ilar $m$ anner, and all are found to be satis ed.

W hile we om it the fill details here, we have checked that, for $3=2 \ll 2$, all poles in the S-m atrix elem ents have a potential eld-theoretical explanation, often via quite elaborate incamations of the C olem an-T hun m echanism. To give just one exam ple, the triple pole in $S_{B_{3} B_{3}}$ at $t=\frac{1}{1}$ can be associated $w$ ith the diagram show $n$ in gure 12, which closes for $\frac{3}{2} \ll \frac{9}{4}$. The fusing angles needed to verify that the diagram does indeed close as claim ed can be found in appendix B.

$F$ igure 12: $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ scattering: the triple pole at $t=1$, for $\frac{3}{2} \ll \frac{9}{4}$


Figure 13: $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{~K}_{2}$ scattering: the double pole at $t=\frac{1}{6}$, for $2 \ll \frac{9}{4}$

### 4.2 Six particles: $2<\quad 9=4$

For $2 \ll \frac{9}{4}$, the already-advertised $B_{2}$ together w ith a further breather $B_{5}$ enter the spectrum . The scattering am plitudes for the new particles becom e increasingly com plicated, and greater reliance $m$ ust be placed on the $C$ olem an-T hun $m$ echanism to explain the pole structure. T he new S-m atrix elem ents in this region are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{3}{2}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& S_{B_{2} B_{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\frac{2}{3}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2}{3} & \underline{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{1} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{2}}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}=\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{6}\right]\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{-}\right]\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{-}\right] \\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{3}{2}\right]\left[\frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{1}{6}\right] \\
& S_{B_{5} B_{1}}=\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{4}{3} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{1}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-2 & \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-2 & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{~B}_{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{4}{3} & \frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}\right]^{3}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{5}{2} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{5}{2} & \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& S_{\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{~B}_{3}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{3}{2}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{5}{2} & \frac{5}{6}
\end{array}\right]\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right]^{3}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{6}
\end{array}\right]^{3}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{5}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\frac{5}{6} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right]^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{1}{2} & \left.\frac{1}{2}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{5}{6}\right. & \left.\frac{1}{2}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{3}{2}\right]\left[\frac{3}{2}\right. & \left.\frac{1}{6}\right] \\
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}= & {\left[\begin{array}{llll}
{\left[\frac{5}{6}\right.}
\end{array}\right]^{[ }\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{6}\right.} & 1
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{[ }{6}\right. \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{3}\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & \left.\frac{1}{6}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & \left.\frac{1}{2}\right]
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.8}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The complete $m$ ass spectrum is given in appendix A, and the fiull set of fiusings for generic values of $q$ is sum $m$ arised in appendix B. A ppendix $\square$ contains a detailed description of the pole structures of all S-m atrix am plitudes appearing for $\frac{9}{4}$. C losed scattering diagram s , such as the ones show n earlier, have been constructed for all poles not associated with bound states in this region. In gure 13 we show one particularly-elusive exam ple.

### 4.3 P roblem s for $>9=4$

For $>$ 9=4, we have not been able to close the bootstrap. The only exception is the point $=5=2$, for which $q=1$, the kink states decouple, and the breather sector reproduces the $m$ inim alE $_{8}$ S-m atrix. A way from this point, our di culties $m$ ay simply be due to a failure to spot the necessary C olem an-T hun diagram s ; on the other hand, they $m$ ay hint at a genuine breakdow $n$ of the bootstrap program $m e$. In the follow ing we shallm ention som e of the problem s that we encountered, in the hope of contributing to further work on these issues.
$M$ any of the poles in the already-described S-m atrix elem ents can be explained all the way up to $=3$. H ow ever, the C olem an-T hun diagram s for som e poles do not close for $>9=4$, a sign that new particles $m$ ay need to be introduced. C onsider rst the poles in $S_{\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{3}}$ at $\frac{1}{}$, in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}}$ at $\frac{1}{3}$, and in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{5}}$ at $\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}$. O nœe passes $9=4$, the residues of these poles do not change sign, and if all are provisionally associated w ith forw ard-channelbound states, the $m$ asses of these states as calculated from the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{c}^{2}=m_{a}^{2}+m_{b}^{2} \quad 2 m_{a} m_{b} \cos \left(u_{a b}^{c}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $u_{a b}^{c}$ is the fusing angle $t$ at which the pole occurs) all coincide. It is therefore natural to assign these poles to a single new breather state $B_{6}$. From 4.9), its $m$ ass is $4 \mathrm{~m} \cos (\overline{2}-) \cos (\overline{6} \quad \overline{2})$. The other fusing angles for this putative particle follow from 4.9) on perm uting the labels $a, b$ and $c$, and all tum out to have sim ple (constant plus linear) dependencies on 1 ; they are listed explicitly in appendix C.

A sim ilar story can be told for the poles in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{5}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{3}}$ at $\underline{2} \frac{2}{3}$, leading us to introduce a further breather $\mathrm{B}_{7}$ w th m ass $8 \mathrm{~m} \cos \binom{\overline{2}}{2} \cos (\overline{6} \quad \overline{2}) \cos (\overline{3} \quad-)$. H ow ever, the ( fth-order) pole in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{~B}_{5}}$ at 1 , which corresponds to $\mathrm{B}_{8}$ at $=5=2$, is m ore enigm atic. F irst, we note that it overlaps w ith an odd-order pole at = $12=5$, causing its residue to change sign. This $m$ ight suggest that the identi cation of the direct and cross channel poles should be swapped at this point, though since the pole is of higher order it is not possible to say de nitively that this m ust happen (cf. the discussions in 21]). A s m entioned in appendix [1, bound state poles in som e kink scattering am plitudes also have crossovers, but for these the $S$-m atrix contrives to preserve the signs of the residues. It is also worth noticing that som e of the S-m atrix elem ents involving $B_{8}$ have physical-strip zeroes for $<12=5$. There is no a-priori


Figure 14: C losed scattering diagram sw ithout corresponding poles in the S-m atrix
reason why th is should not occur, but it breaks the pattem seen for all other $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{m}$ atrix elem ents up to this value of. These two problem $s$ together $m$ ake our identi cation of the $B_{8}$ particle som ew hat tentative for $\quad 12=5$.

T he w orries about the B 8 particle w ould probably be resolved if other, m ore serious, di culties could be overcom e. A num ber of poles rem ain unexplained for $>9=4$ even after the introduction of $\mathrm{B}_{6}, \mathrm{~B}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{8} . \mathrm{M}$ any have residues which change sign, suggesting that for at least som e range of they will not correspond to bound states. Ofthose which don't, the poles at $\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{3}{2}$ in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}, \frac{1}{6}$ in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}, \frac{1}{1}$ in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}, \frac{1}{6}+\frac{3}{2}$ in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}$, $\frac{1}{6} \quad \frac{1}{6}$ in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}$, and $\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}$ in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}$ give rise to kinks of the sam em ass. C alling this particle $K_{4}$, we could sim ilarly identify another kink $K_{4}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ th the poles at $\frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{1}{6}$ in $S_{B_{5} K_{1}}$ and in $\frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{1}{3}$ in $S_{B_{3} K_{2}}$. H ow ever, the introduction of these new particles is problem atic for a num ber of reasons. M ost fundam entally, and in contrast to the situation for $\mathrm{B}_{6}, \mathrm{~B}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{8}$, som e fusing angles involving $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{4}^{0}$, calculated using 4.9), are not simple functions of $\frac{1}{1}$. A s a result, the inclusion of $K_{4}$ or $K_{4}^{0}$ in closed scattering diagram s for already-introduced particles predicts poles which do not appear in their $S \rightarrow m$ atrix elem ents. For exam ple, the diagram $s$ show $n$ in gure 14 can be drawn if $K_{4}$ is included in the spectrum. In addition, the irrationality of the fiusing angles leads to a breakdow $n$ of the conserved charge bootstrap, forcing higher-spin charges to be zero. Thism akes it highly unlikely that $K_{4}$ and $K_{4}^{0}$ should be added to the spectrum of the $m$ odel.

On the other hand, we have not been able to account for the would-be $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{4}^{0}$ poles in the $m$ anner of $C$ olem an and $T$ hun, using the set of particles and fusings that we have already identi ed. Take the $\frac{2}{3} \frac{3}{2}$ pole in $S_{K_{1} K_{2}}$ as an exam ple. This pole is sim ple, so if the $C$ olem an-T hun m echan ism is to be invoked, the naive order of poles from the relevant on-shell diagram s must be reduced in som e way. O ne tactic is to search for closed scattering diagram s involving only kinks as intemal states, in order to get cancellations in sum s over S-m atrix elem ents of the sort seen in earlier sections.

Such diagram smust have the form shown in gure 15.


Figure15: D i culties in nding C olem an-T hun diagram S for $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}$
A quidk check of the options for $a$ and $b$ show sthat the scattering angle betw een the outerm ost intemal lines $=\frac{4}{3} \quad \frac{3}{2}+a+b$ is alw ays less than zero, and so no closed diagram can be constructed. Sim ilar argum ents hold for other poles, in particular those in the $S_{B_{3} K_{1}}$ and $S_{B_{5} K_{1}}$ am plitudes that w ere $m$ entioned above.

In the absence of a satisfactory explanation for these poles, the closure of the bootstrap is still an open question. There rem ains one further possibility: new particles $m$ ight enter the spectrum which are not sim ple bound states of any of the alreadyexisting particles, so that their $m$ asses would not be im m ediately visible in the existing $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix elem ents. $T$ his would $m$ im ic the situation which would arise in the sine-G ordon m odel if one only knew of the breather particles, and wanted to deduce the presence of the solitons by looking at breather scattering alone. It is very hard to rule this out, but further work will be needed before we can tell whether ill o ers a w ay to escape from the problem s discussed in this section.

### 4.4 O ther work on 12 perturbations

Since our results on 12 perturbations are incom plete, it is particularly im portant to compare them w th other work. W e begin by mentioning the points $=2, \frac{9}{4}$ and $\frac{5}{2}$, which correspond to the $m$ inim al $\mathrm{E}_{6} ; \mathrm{E}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{8} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{m}$ atrioes. These are rather special, as for these values of, q is an integer. N ot only do various poles overlap, but also
 contribution associated w ith a given on-shelldiagram can involve factors of $q$ (integer) (equations 3.7), 3.11) and 3.12) provide som e exam ples of this phenom enon). This $m$ eans that, exceptionally, these poles do not have $C$ olem an- $T$ hun explanations when $q$ hits integer values, and this requires the introduction of exceptional bound states in order to com plete the bootstrap at these points. $T$ he $K_{3}$ kink state at the $E_{7}$ point, and the $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ breather at the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ point, appear to have this evanescent status. In addition, the overlapping of the poles allow s a num ber of extra couplings to appear, and this should be bome in $m$ ind before worrying that the tables of couplings in appendices $B$ and C seem $s \mathrm{sm}$ all in com parison w ith tables for Toda models given in 22]. T hese subtleties aside, the $S-m$ atrices at the exceptional points are perfectly self-consistent. $T$ heir physical-strip pole structures $m$ atch those of a ne Toda eld theories, and the extensive discussions contained in 22,21] can be borrow ed to verify that allhigher poles can be described via the $C$ olem an-T hun $m$ echanism .

A way from integer values of $q$, som e generalaspects of the spectrum of ${ }_{12}$-perturbed m in m alm odels w ere discussed by Sm imov in : 1 , while the particular case ofm ${ }_{56}+{ }_{12}$ was treated by $M$ artins in 23] and by $K$ oubek in 24]. In none of these papers was a com plete analysis of pole structures attem pted, but other aspects perm it com parisons to be $m$ ade. In the papers of $S m$ imov and $K$ oubek, corresponds to - , and ! .

In 2] Z , Sm imov gave an initial description of the spectrum im plied by his $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{m}$ atrix, concentrating m ainly on points related to perturbations of unitary m in m al m odels. The chief di erence between Sm imov's S-m atrix and that of Ch m and Zam olodchikov is a $m$ atter of $k i n k$ structure, so one would expect the tw o to agree on the spectrum of bound states and the diagonal scattering am plitudes. Indeed, while explicit form ulae for the rem aining $S m$ atrix elem ents were not given in 园], Sm imov com $m$ ents that the spectrum for 12 perturbations settles dow $n$ to four particles for (his) $=2$. In our notation this is 2 , and so this regim ef 12 perturbations corresponds to the range $3=2<\quad 2$ discussed in $\sqrt{4.7}$, for which we did indeed nd four particles. Furtherm ore, the $m$ asses are easily checked to agree, and so all is consistent.

Referring to (2.32), the 12 perturbation of M 56 discussed in 23] and 24], should correspond to $=\frac{21}{10}$, which lies in the region where we predicted six particles. In 23], $M$ artins $m$ ade a detailed num erical study of the nite-volum e spectrum of $M \quad{ }_{56}+\quad 12$ using the truncated conform alspace approach. (He also discussed som e subtleties relating to the choice ofm odular invariant for the unperturbed theory; as m entioned above, we do not expect this to a ect the full spectrum of particle $m$ asses when the theories are considered on the in nite line.) H igh mass states were hard to detect, but he was able to predict the presence of ve particles, which in our notation are $K_{1}, B_{1}, K_{2}$, $B_{2}$ and $B_{3}, w$ th num erically-obtained values for the $m$ asses $w$ hidh are consistent $w$ ith predictions from the exact S-m atrix. The rem aining particle, $B_{5}$, has a much higher m ass and so its absence from the num erical data of 23] is no surprise.
$T$ his case was firther exam ined in 247. Translating from our notation to that of 24],
 identi ed in 24] as $B_{3}^{(\text {ref. (24) }}$, is also equal to that of $B_{3}^{(\text {us) }}$, which is already in the spectrum at $<2$. A scan be seen from appendix 因, the other extra breather to enter the spectrum for $>2, \mathrm{~B}_{2}^{\text {(us) }}$, has a $m$ ass less than that of $\mathrm{B}_{3}^{\text {(us) }}$, which perhaps explains why it was missed in 24]. A s a result of this problem, the spectrum and S-m atrix given in 24] are unfortunately incom plete, but insofar as they go and $m$ odulo som e further typos, they are otherw ise consistent w ith our results, specialised to $=\frac{21}{10}$.
$F$ inally, we should $m$ ention that $S-m$ atrioes for 12 perturbations ofm in im alm odels $M_{2 ; 2 n+1}$ were discussed in 25]. These theories have $=3 n$ in our notation, and hence are a long way from the region $2\left[\frac{3}{2} ; 3\right]$ relevant to tricritical scaling P otts models $\{$ in particular, the perturbing operator alw ays has a negative scaling dim ension apart from the som ew hat-trivial case of $n=1$. Perhaps $m$ ore to the point, they also all correspond form ally to $q=0$, and the sim pli cations of the scattering theory at such points 2 b m ake it hard to draw any general lessons. N evertheless, it is interesting that the bootstrap can be closed at least at som e locations beyond the region that we were able to treat.

## 5 C onclusions

In this paperwe have given what to the best of our know ledge is the rst com plete treat$m$ ent of the pole structure and bootstrap for the critical and tricriticalP otts $m$ odels. For all of the criticalm odels, and for the tricriticalm odels w th $4>q>2$, we have found a spectrum of particles such that all S-m atrix poles have potential eld-theoreticalexplanations. This has been achieved by a novel variant of the $C$ olem an- $T$ hun $m$ echanism, taking into account the form al counting of interm ediate vacua at general values of $q$. The cancellations are at tim es extrem ely intricate, and we take this success as o ering retrospective justi cation of our approach, though a rigorous fram ew ork is still lacking.

O ur calculations have proceeded on a case-by case (or rather, pole-by-pole) basis, which becom es increasingly laborious as the num ber of particles increases. It is tem pting to suppose that there m ust be a better way to do all of this, if only the relevant underlying structure could be identi ed. For the much-sim pler exam ples of the ADErelated diagonal scattering theories, a universal understanding of bootstrap closure has been achieved using a construction of the $S$ fm atrix elem ents based on the theory of root system s 26,27], and it w ould be very interesting to have a sim ilar treatm ent for general q -state P otts m odels. At present this seem s to be a long way o , though the results of O ota 28], extending the root system s approach to cover the non sim ply-laced Toda theories, $m$ ay be a sign that things are not com pletely hopeless. (Further discussions of the hidden geom etry of a ne Toda eld theory can be found in $[4,3,3,16,31]$.)

The m ost im portant outstanding question left by our work concems the situation for $>\frac{9}{4}$, where we were unable to com plete the bootstrap. P roblem $s w$ ith bootstrap closure have been encountered a couple of tim es before. In 32], the intricacy of them ass spectrum for general com plex $\mathrm{a}_{2}^{(1)}$ T oda theory is discussed. In Sm imov's approach, the P otts S-m atrioes are associated w ith $a_{2}^{(2)}$; given the relations betw een $a_{2}^{(1)}$ and $a_{2}^{(2)}$ it is reasonable to hope that our results even for $<\frac{9}{4} m$ ay be of som e relevance to these issues. H ow ever, since the situation for $\mathrm{a}_{2}^{(1)}$ is likely to be at least as com plicated as that for $a_{2}^{(2)}$, this $m$ ay not be the best place to look for hints as to how to close the bootstrap for $>\frac{9}{4}$. R ather, it seem $\mathrm{s} m$ ore prom ising to investigate further perturbations of $m$ inim alm odels, for which there are at least techniques such as the T CSA to fall back on. In situations where the Potts ground state (generated by the identity operator) and the $m$ inim alm odel ground state (generally generated by som e negative-dim ension operator) becom e degenerate in in nite volum e, we would expect scaling Potts and perturbed $m$ in im alm odel results to be directly related. D i culties $w$ ith the bootstrap for the models M 3;5 and M 3;7 perturbed by 12 have been rem arked by M ussardo and Takacs 33], though as these theories have $=\frac{7}{2}$ and $\frac{11}{2}$, they are not directly relevant to our current concems. The interval $\frac{9}{4} \ll 3$ corresponds, via (2.32), to $\frac{5}{4}<\frac{p^{0}}{p}<\frac{3}{2}$, and any inform ation on 12 perturbations of these $m$ in im alm odels, aw ay from the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ point $\frac{\mathrm{p}^{0}}{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{4}{3}$, would be extrem ely interesting, as would any indications of extra pathologies in such cases.

W e end w th a curious piece ofnum erology. Recall that as the param eter runs from

0 to 3, we pass rst through the critical and then the tricritical scaling P otts $m$ odels. At rational values of , the theories are also associated w ith 21 or 12 perturbations of $m$ inim alm odels. But som e points are even $m$ ore special, in that their $m$ in im alm odels can be realised as diagonal coset conform al eld theories of the form $g^{(1)} \quad g^{(1)}=g^{(2)}$, w ith eld labelled by ( $1 ; 1 ;$ ad) alw ays being the perturbing operator. (See, for exam ple, chapter 18 of 34] and references therein for $m$ ore on the coset construction.) These points, together w ith the corresponding values of $q$ and $c$, are listed in appendix A, and they suggest that the scaling Potts models provide a structure whidh uni es the follow ing sequence of $L$ ie algebras:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{fA}_{1} ; \mathrm{A}_{2} ; \mathrm{G}_{2} ; \mathrm{D}_{4} ; \mathrm{F}_{4} ; \mathrm{E}_{6} ; \mathrm{E}_{7} ; \mathrm{E}_{8} \mathrm{~g} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rem arkably, the sam e sequence has appeared in the pure $m$ athem atics literature, in the w ork of Vogel, D eligne, C ohen and de M an, C vitanovic and others \{ see 35, 36, 38, 37, 39, 41, 40] for a selection of references. This set of algebras, som etim es referred to as the' exceptional series, is pidked out by a num ber of special properties \{ for exam ple, the tensor products ad ad decom pose in a uniform way (D eligne proposed that this should extend to higher powers ${ }^{k}$ ad, and con jectured that this could be explained by the existence of som e m ore general class of ob jects, depending on a param eter $t$, which specialise to the representations of the $m$ em bers of the exceptional series at certain values of $t$ ). The algebras also $m$ ake up the extended last line of the Freudenthalm agic square (in this context, it is interesting that an appearance of the $m$ agic square has been noted, on a case-by-case basis, in studies of $R$ - and $K-m$ atriges and the Yang-Baxter equation (see for exam ple [42])). H ow ever, the deep sense in which the algebras 5.1) form a fam ily is still ratherm ysterious, and so the fact that they are found in connection $w$ ith the continuous set of Potts $m$ odels seem $s$ to be quite suggestive. Inspired by this coincidence, we can consider an altemative labelling of the P otts m odels, by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
h-()=\frac{6}{3}: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T h$ is param eter coincides $w$ th the dual $C$ oxeter num ber of the relevant algebra at the special points, where it is also related to the param eter a used in 36, 37] by $\mathrm{h}-=1=\mathrm{a}$. Two m ore interesting properties can now be noted - nst, the hatural range for , $[0 ; 3]$, is $m$ apped to the range $[0 ; 1]$ for $h-$. At the $L$ ie algebra related points, $h-$ is in som e senses a $m$ easure of the com plexity of the corresponding scattering theory, so this suggests that $=3 \mathrm{~m}$ ay indeed be som e sort of natural boundary also from the $S \rightarrow m$ atrix point of view. Second, there is one $m$ ore special point for the P otts $m$ odels, labelled $P^{\prime}$ in appendix A and corresponding to $=\frac{1}{2}$, which is $q=1$ on the critical branch \{ the percolation point. It is natural to add this point to our list, as it then gives the special points a sym m etry under ! 3 . O ne m ight worry that this would spoil the nice $m$ atch $w$ ith the exceptional series of $L$ ie algebras, but in 36], D eligne rem arks that it is natural to add the trivial group e to the list 5.1), so long as it is assigned the dual C oxeter num ber $6=5$. T his is precisely the value that the P otts $m$ odels w ould suggest, if the percolation value $=\frac{1}{2}$ is substituted into (5.2).

In fact, the exceptional series has (at least) one further member: in 38], D eligne and de $M$ an rem ark that the superalgebra $\operatorname{OSp}(1-2)$, w ith dual $C$ oxeter num ber $3=2$, can be added betw een e and $A_{1}$. ( $T$ h is to som e degree explains the fact, already noted by Cohen and de $M$ an in 37], that for $h-=3=2$ various dim ension form ulae take integer values.) C an we nd a role for this superalgebra in the P otts story? The answer tums out to be yes. Inverting (5.2), $\mathrm{h}-=3=2$ corresponds to $=3=5, \mathrm{q}=\left(5^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{5}\right)=2$ and $c=8=35$. The construction of diagonal coset $m$ odels based on $L$ ie superalgebras seem s to be relatively unexplored territory, but som e facts about the relevant a ne superalgebras are known. In particular, the levelk OSp(12) central charge is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\operatorname{Osp}(1 \mathfrak{2})^{(k)}\right)=\frac{2 k}{2 k+3} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, for exam ple, 43] and references therein). A ssum ing that no subtleties interfere w ith the usual calculation, we then expect

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \frac{\operatorname{osp}(1 \mathcal{k})^{(1)} \quad \operatorname{osp}(1 \mathcal{k})^{(1)}}{\operatorname{osp}(1 \mathfrak{k})^{(2)}}=\frac{8}{35} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is exactly the required value. N ote that this is the central charge of a nonunitary m in im alm odel, M 7;10. This does not contradict its being realised as a coset, since superalgebras are involved. W hile not all elds of the nonunitary model appear in the corresponding Potts model, the identity and the energy operator are present, and at least in this sense we can claim to have found the superalgebra $\operatorname{OSp}(1 \geqslant 2)$ to be em bedded into the continuous fam ily of q-state P otts m odels.

C ohen and deM an 37] found one furtherpoint at which integers appear in dim ension form ulae: translating into our notations it is $\mathrm{h}-=24,=12=5$. A s far as we are aw are, no group theoretical interp retation of th is point is known, but from the $P$ otts perspective it should be related to the tricriticalm odel at $q=(5 \quad \mathrm{P}=2$, or the 12 perturbation of the m inim alm odelM 10;13 (w ith central charge $38=65$ ). T his is particularly tantalising: lying betw een the $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ points, $\quad=12=5$ is in the region where we have not been $a b l e$ to close the bootstrap, and any new inform ation $m$ ight give us the necessary hint to resolve the problem s described in $x 4$ above. Furtherm ore, there are independent reasons to think that $=12=5 \mathrm{~m}$ ight be special: asmentioned in 47 , for $>12=5$ the S-m atrix elem ents involving $B_{8}$ have no physical-strip zeroes, perhaps signalling that this is the point at which the $\mathrm{B}_{8}$ particle enters the spectrum .

W hile we do not have any explanation as yet for why the link betw een the P otts $m$ odels and the exceptional sequence of $L$ ie (super-)algebras should be so neat, we feel that the coincidences are su ciently striking to $m$ erit further investigation. A better understanding $m$ ight shed light both on the structure of the P otts $m$ odel S m atriges, and on the deeper $m$ eaning of the exceptional series and the D eligne con jecture.
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A Them ass spectrum

$T$ he breather states are labelled so as to be $m$ ass-ordered at the $E_{8}$ point $=\frac{5}{2}$; note also that the states $K_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ are only present in the m odel for $=\frac{9}{4}$ and
$\frac{5}{2}$ respectively, for reasons that were explained in $\sqrt[4.4]{ }$. T he solid dots indicate the $m$ ass spectra of the diagonal scattering theories whidh occur at integer values of $q$. For $>\frac{9}{4}$, the m ass spectrum is alm ost certainly incom plete, save for the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ point $=\frac{5}{2}$; in addition, as discussed in $\sqrt{2} 4$.3, it is possible that the appearance of the B 8 particle should be postponed to $>\frac{12}{5}$. In the list of $m$ odel identi cations, $P^{\prime}$ indicates that the theory at $=\frac{1}{2}$ is related to the percolation problem. The rem aining entries are Lie algebras $g$, and signal that the corresponding $m$ odel is related to a pertunbation of the $g^{(1)} \quad g^{(1)}=g^{(2)}$ coset conform al eld theory by its ( $1 ; 1$;adj) operator.

## B Fusings and fusing angles for $<9=4$

This table sum $m$ arises the fusings and fusing angles between particles in the region where we have been able to close the bootstrap. Each entry show s the particles which can be found as bound states of the particles listed along the top and left of the table, together w th the angle tatwhich each fiusing occurs. D epending on the value of , som e of the bound states $m$ ay be absent from the spectrum. In such cases, the corresponding pole is either o the physical strip, or else has a C olem an-T hun explanation.

|  | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | B 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline \mathrm{K}_{1} & \frac{2}{3} & \\ \mathrm{~B}_{1} & 1 & \underline{1} \\ \mathrm{~K}_{2} & \frac{2}{3} & \underline{1} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{2} & 1 & \underline{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{K}_{1} & \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \\ \mathrm{~K}_{2} & \frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline \mathrm{K}_{1} & \frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{1} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{3} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \quad \underline{1}+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1} \quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| $B_{1}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{B}_{1} & \frac{2}{3} & \\ \mathrm{~B}_{3} & \underline{1} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{2} & \underline{1} & \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1} \quad \frac{5}{6}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{B}_{1} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{2} & \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{5} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{B}_{1} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \quad \frac{4}{3} \quad \underline{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{K}_{2} & \frac{2}{3} & \\ \mathrm{~B}_{5} & 1 & \underline{2} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1} \quad \frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{}$ |
| $B_{3}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \quad \frac{2}{3}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1} \quad \frac{5}{6}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}B_{1} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{B}_{2} & \frac{2}{3} & \\ & \frac{2}{3} & \underline{1} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{5} & \frac{2}{3} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \quad \frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| B 5 |  |  |  |  |  | B $_{5} \quad \frac{2}{3}$ |

A smentioned in $\sqrt{4.4}$, exceptional couplings appear at the points $=2 ; \frac{9}{4} ; \frac{5}{2}$. R ather than tabulate them here, we refer the reader to 22] for com plete listings.

## C Extra fusings and fusing angles for $>$ 9=4

O ur results are incomplete for > 9=4, and we cannot be sure that the bootstrap closes at all. H ow ever, there is good evidence for the existence of at least three further particles, nam ely $\mathrm{B}_{6}, \mathrm{~B}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{8}$. The rst two we expect to be present for all > 9=4, while $B_{8} \mathrm{~m}$ ay only enter the spectrum for $>12=5$. The follow ing table sum $m$ arises the additional fusings and fusing angles involving these new particles. Further particles cannot be ruled out (indeed, they are $m$ ost probably required if the bootstrap is to close) and so we do not claim that this list is exhaustive.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | B 2 | B 5 | B 6 | B 7 | B 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ |  |  | $B_{7} \quad \underline{2} \quad \frac{2}{3}$ |  | B $50^{2} \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{3}{2}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{B}_{6} & \underline{1} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{7} & \underline{2} & \frac{2}{3} \end{array}$ |  |  | B $_{3} 11 \frac{1}{2}$ | B $3 \quad \frac{4}{3} \quad \underline{1}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ |  | $B_{6} \quad \underline{1} \quad \frac{1}{3}$ | B6 $\quad \frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{B}_{2} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{5} & \frac{5}{6} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{8} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ |  | $B_{6} \quad \frac{4}{3} \quad \underline{1}$ |
| B 5 |  |  | B $8 \quad \underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \frac{5}{6}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}B_{1} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ | $B_{5} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2}$ |
| B 6 |  |  |  | B6 ${ }_{6} \frac{2}{3}$ |  | $\begin{array}{llll}B_{2} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{7}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{7} \quad \frac{2}{3}$ |  |
| B 8 |  |  |  |  |  | B $8 \quad \frac{2}{3}$ |

## D B ound state poles of the $S-m$ atrix for $<9=4$

In this appendix we sum $m$ arise the bound states of the scattering am plitudes which appear for $\quad \frac{9}{4}$. For the kink-kink am plitudes, the physical strip pole contents of each separate am plitude are given in tables 3 , 吾 and 6 of the m ain text; here we show their locations (though not their orders) w ith a sym bol bxc, indicating that the com bined set of am plitudes has poles at $t=x$ and at $t=1 \mathrm{x}$. The poles of the other $S$-m atrix elem ents can be read o quite easily from their explicit form ulae \{ in the follow ing, we add a subscript a to a block [x] to signify that a appears as forw ard-channel bound state of that scattering process, at $=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{x}$. A question m ark indicates that, at least for som e range of $2\left(\frac{9}{4} ; 3\right]$, the corresponding pole is currently unexplained. In spite of the problem s in com pleting the bootstrap in the interval $\frac{9}{4} \ll \frac{5}{2}$, at $=\frac{5}{2}$ (ie.
$q=1)$ the $S-m$ atrix is well behaved and reduces to that of the $E_{8}$ related $m$ odel.
In the plots, $t=\bar{i}$ as in the $m$ ain text, and $l=$. D ouble lines denote poles of even order. G rey shading denotes presence of a higher order scattering process for that pole. In general, direct channel poles have solid lines and cross channel poles have dashed lines - for poles w ith no associated bound states the choige is arbitrary. Forw ard-channelbound state poles are identi ed on the graphs by the relevant particle, while dotted grey shading indicates poles for which we have yet to nd a satisfactory explanation. The crossingsover of poles in the $K_{1} K_{1}$ and $K_{1} K_{2}$ scattering am plitudes cause no problem s for the assignm ent of forw ard and crossed channels, as the am plitudes a ected $\left\{S_{1}\right.$ and $S_{2}$ at $=\frac{3}{2}$, and $S_{3}$ at $=2\{$ vanish at these points. $T$ his extra zero in the non-scalar factors changes the analytic continuation, preserving the signs of the residues.

In each graph, the value $=\frac{9}{4}$ is indicated by a vertical line. B eyond this point the problem sm entioned in 4.3 set in, and our results $m$ ust be considered to be incom plete.

## D . $1 \quad \mathrm{~K}$ ink-kink $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{m}$ atrix elem ents



B ound state poles of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{0}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{3}$



B ound state poles of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{0}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}^{3}$ P ole locations: $\mathrm{b}_{3} \frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{K}_{1}} \mathrm{~b} 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}_{1}} \mathrm{~b} 1 \quad \frac{3}{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}_{3}} \mathrm{~b} \frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Cb} \frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{3}{2} \mathrm{C}_{?} \mathrm{~b} 1 \frac{5}{2} \mathrm{C}_{\text {? }}$


B ound state poles of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{0}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}^{3}$

$$
\text { P ole locations: } \mathrm{b} \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{K}_{2}} \mathrm{~b} 1 \quad \stackrel{2}{-\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{B}_{5}} \mathrm{~b} \frac{2}{3}} \quad \underline{1} \mathrm{cb} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \mathrm{c}
$$

D . 2 K ink-breather and breather-breather $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{m}$ atrix elem ents, $1<\quad \frac{3}{2}$



D . 3 A dditionalkink-breather and breather-breather $S-m$ atrix elem ents for $\frac{3}{2}<2$





D . 4 A dditional $k$ in $k \nrightarrow$ reather and breather-breather $S-m$ atrix elem ents for $2<\quad \frac{9}{4}$


t


$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{1}}=\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{6}\right]_{?}\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{-}\right]_{\mathrm{K}_{1}}\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{-}\right]
$$



$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~K} 2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{3}{2}\right]\left[\frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{1}{6}\right]
$$



$$
S_{B_{1} B_{5}}=\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{4}{3} & 1
\end{array}\right]_{B_{3}}\left[\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4}\right]_{?}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right]_{B_{7}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-2 & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right]_{?}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{2}
$$



$$
S_{B_{2} B_{5}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{4}{3} & \frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}\right]_{B_{2}}\left[\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}\right]_{B_{6}}^{3}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{5}{2} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right]_{?}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{5}{2} & \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right]_{?}
$$


$S_{B_{3} B_{5}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\frac{7}{6} & \left.\frac{1}{2}\right]_{B_{1}}\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{3}{2}\right.\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}\frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{2}\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{5}{2} \quad \frac{5}{6}\right]_{?}\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right]_{?}^{3}\left[\begin{array}{lll}\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{6}\end{array}\right]_{?}^{3}\left[\frac{5}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right]_{?}\left[\frac{5}{6} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right]^{4}$


$$
S_{B_{5} B_{5}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{5}{3} & \underline{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{2}{3}\right]_{B_{5}}^{5}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-3 & 1
\end{array}\right]_{?}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
3 & \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right]_{?}\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]_{?}^{5}\left[\frac{1}{3}+1\right]^{3}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{4}{3} & \underline{2}
\end{array}\right]^{3}\left[\frac{2}{[ }\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{4}{3} & 1
\end{array}\right]^{2}
$$



$$
S_{B_{5} K_{1}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{5}{6} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{3}{2}\right]_{?}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{6}
\end{array}\right]_{?}
$$



$$
S_{\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}=\left[[ \frac { 5 } { 6 } ] ^ { 2 } [ \frac { 1 } { 2 } ] ^ { 2 } [ \begin{array} { l l l } 
{ \frac { 7 } { 6 } } & { 1 }
\end{array} ] ^ { 2 } [ \frac { 5 } { 6 } \quad 1 ] ^ { 3 } [ \frac { 1 } { 2 } + \frac { 1 } { - 1 } ] _ { \mathrm { K } _ { 2 } } [ \begin{array} { l l l } 
{ [ } & { \frac { 1 } { 6 } }
\end{array} ] _ { ? } \left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & \left.\frac{1}{2}\right]_{?}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$
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[^1]:    In all probability the $m$ odels actually have conserved charges at all integer spins not $m$ ultiples of 2 or 3, but just two w ith spins > 1 are enough for Parke's argum ent to go through .

