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#### Abstract

W e propose a general form alism to com pute exact correlation functions for C ardy's boundary states. U sing the free- eld construction ofboundary states and apply ing the $C$ ou lom $b$-gas technique, it is show $n$ that charge-neutrality conditions pick up particular linear com binations of conform al blocks. A s an exam ple we study the critical Ising $m$ odelw ith free and xed boundary conditions, and dem onstrate that conventional results are reproduced. This form alism thus directly associates algeb raically constructed boundary states w ith correlation functions w hich are in principle observable or num erically calculable.


PACS num bers: $11.10 \mathrm{Kk}, 11.25 \mathrm{Hf}, 68.35 \mathrm{Rh}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

M odular invariance of partition functions plays extrem ely im portant roles in two-dim ensional conform al eld theory (CFT). The ADE classi cation of modular invariants by C appelli, Itzykson and Zuber [1,2] (see also $3\{5]$ ) is obtained by considering CFT s on the torus. $T$ he classi ed m odular invariants correspond to particular sets of operators, which are supposed to m odelcritical system $s$ in certain universality classes. Sim ilar consideration also applies to CFTs de ned on a manifold with boundary. For a CFT on the cylinder, the constraints from $m$ odular invariance lead to a classi cation ofboundary states. $T$ his $m$ ethod w as invented by $C$ ardy [6] in the eighties and developed by $m$ any in the nineties [712]. Such a classi cation of boundary states w th consistent m odular properties has recently attracted m uch attention along w ith the developm ent of D brane / string theory and various application of boundary CFT to statistical physics.

B oundary states $w$ th consistent $m$ odular properties, or consistent boundary states, are norm ally believed to represent boundary conditions which $m$ ay be physically im posed on $D$-branes or borders of statistical system $s$. In order to understand the behaviour of observables in the presence of such a boundary, we need to nd correlation functions for consistent boundary states which are de ned through $C$ ardy's classi cation. In principle this can be accom plished by operator product expansions (OPEs), that is, by nding boundary operators for a given boundary state, solving the constraints satis ed by coupling constants, and then obtaining the correlation functions by OPEs using the boundary operators. The correlation functions obtained in this way are perturbative, that is, in the form of series expansion. For practical use, we often need to know exact correlation functions and in such a case we norm ally solve di er-
ential equations to nd conform al blocks and $x$ their coe cients by physicalconsiderations [13]. In this di erentialequation $m$ ethod, how ever, the relation to $C$ ardy's classi cation ofboundary states is not quite evident.

In this paper, we present an altemative form alism for nding boundary correlation functions directly using boundary states obtained by C ardy's m ethod. It is well known in string theory that correlation functions are sim ply given by inserting vertex operators within am plitudes (w ith or w thout boundaries). This picture is generalised to non-bosonic ( $c$ (1) CFTs using the Coulomb-gas form alism on arbitrary $R$ iem ann surfaces (w ithout boundaries) [14\{17]. T he punpose of this paper is to present a $m$ ethod to calculate boundary correlation functions based on the C oulom b-gaspicture, by using the free- eld representation ofboundary states developed in [18]. D riven by a sim ilar m otivation, c oulom b-gas system on the halfplane is discussed in [19], w here the Ising m odelconform alblocks are reproduced using the contour integration technique of [20] and insertion of boundary operators. The key ingredient of the form alism proposed in the present paper is boundary states having boundary charges, which account both for the contour integral expression of conform alblocks and for their coe cients. The role of such boundary states has not been fully investigated so far in this context, and our form alism allow s a system atic study on the relation betw een correlation functions and algebraically de ned boundary states. In the follow ing we shallm ainly consider C oulom b-gas system s on the unit disk, where Felder's charged bosonic Fock space (CBFS) construction [14] is readily used. A lthough our m ethod itself is quite general, we shall focus

[^0]on presenting the ideas in sim plest cases and show that it reproduces know $n$ results obtained by the conventional approach.

W e organise the rest of this paper as follow. In the next section we $x$ our notations and review the free- eld construction ofboundary states [18]. W e describe in Sec. III ourm ethod to com pute correlation functions on the disk and on the half plane. In Sec. IV we ilhustrate the $m$ ethod using the Ising $m$ odel and show that it reproduces the results of [13]. Finally in Sec. $V$ we sum $m$ arise and conclude.

## II. BOUNDARY STATES IN COULOM B-GAS FORMALISM

Let us start, for the sake of self-containedness, by sum $m$ arising the $C$ oulom b-gas construction ofboundary states [18]. The idea is to de ne coherent states in the charged bosonic Fock spaces (CBFSs) and nd conditions for their di eom orphism invariance and $m$ odular consistency.

## A. C oulom b-gas and charged bosonic Fock space

In the C oulom b -gas form alism [21], the V irasoro m inim alm odels are realised by the action,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{8}^{Z} d^{2} x^{p} \bar{g}\left(@ \quad @ \quad+2^{p} \overline{2} \text { oi } R\right) \text {; } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scalar eld $(x)$ is assum ed to decouple into tw o chiral sectors, $(z ; z)='(z)+'(z)$. The energym om entum tensor is obtained by the variation of the action (1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{zz}}=\frac{1}{2}: @^{\prime} @^{\prime}:+\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{D}_{0} @^{2 \prime}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The central charge of this system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=1 \quad 24{ }_{0}^{2}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vertex operators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(z)=: e^{\mathrm{i}^{p} \overline{2}{ }^{\prime}(z)}: ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are chiral elds ofconform aldim ensionsh $=20$ : In particular, the prim ary elds r;s $\left(0<r<p^{0}, 0<s<\right.$ p) ofa $m$ inim alm odelare realised by the vertex operators $V_{r ; s}(z) w$ th

$$
\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{r}
\end{array}\right)_{+}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{~s} \tag{5}
\end{array}\right) \quad ;
$$

where $+=p \overline{p=p^{0}}, \quad=\quad \overline{p^{0}=p}$, and $p$ and $p^{0}$ (we assum ep> $p^{0}$ ) are the two coprim e integers characterising the $m$ inim alm odel. The conform aldim ensions of the
operators are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}}=\frac{1}{4}(r++\mathrm{s} \quad)^{2} \quad{ }_{0}^{2} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agree with the K ac form ula.
The holom orphic chiral boson eld is expanded in m odes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime(z)=\prime_{0} \quad i a_{0} \ln z+i_{n \neq 0}^{X} \frac{a_{n}}{n} z^{n} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and likew ise for the antiholom onphic counterpart,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime(z)=\prime_{0} \quad i a_{0} \ln z+i_{n \in 0}^{X} \frac{a_{n}}{n} z^{n}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Aswe try to considerboundary CFT in the C oulom b-gas picture, a subtlety arises in the treatm ent of zero-m ode, since the zero-m ode of $(z ; z)$ does not naturally decouple into the holom onphic and antiholom onphic sectors. In our form alism, we shall sim ply split it into tw o identical and independent copies. In this sense, the boundary theory we shall consider is not exactly a non-chiral theory on a manifold w ith boundary but rather a chiral theory on its Schottky double ${ }^{y}$. W e thus have tw o copies of H eisenberg operators, satisfying the algebra

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[a_{m} ; a_{n}\right]=m m+n ; 0 ;} & {\left[0 ; a_{0}\right]=i ;} \\
{\left[a_{m} ; a_{n}\right]=m m+n ; 0 ;} & {\left[0 ; a_{0}\right]=i ;} \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

w ith no interaction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{m} ; a_{n}\right]=0 ;\left[r_{0} ; a_{0}\right]=\left[r_{0} ; a_{0}\right]=\left[{ }_{0} ; ;^{\prime} 0\right]=0: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term s of the $H$ eisenberg operators, the V irasoro operators are w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{n \in 0}=\frac{1}{2}_{k 2 Z}^{X} a_{n}{ }_{k} a_{k} P_{\overline{2}}{ }_{0}(n+1) a_{n} ;  \tag{11}\\
& L_{0}=X_{k} a_{k} a_{k}+\frac{1}{2} a_{0}^{2} P_{\overline{2}}{ }_{0} a_{0} ; \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

and likew ise for the antinolom orphic counterparts.
The H ibert space of CFT is realised in CBFSS w ith BRST projection [14]. For a chiral theory the CBFS $F$; ois de ned as a space obtained by operating with the creation operators $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}<0$ on the chiral highest w eight state j ; oi (see $[14,18,23]$ ). Since the boundary intertw ines the tw o chiral sectors, we need to construct nonchiralFock spaces F ; ; oin order to describe boundary states. W e denote the M obius invariant non-chiralvacua

[^1]with background charge $0 \mathrm{as}^{2} \mathrm{~h} 0 ; 0$; $0 j$ and $j 0 ; 0$; 0 i, and de ne highest weight vectorsh ; ; ojand j ; ; oi as
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& j \text {; ; oi }=e^{i^{p} \overline{2}, ~ o ~} e^{i^{p} \overline{2}, ~ o j 0 ; 0 ; ~ o i: ~} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The state h ; ; ojhas holom orphic and antiholom orphic charges and , respectively. Likew ise, j ; ; oi has holom orphic and antiholom orphic charges and . U sing the H eisenberg algebra (9) it is easy to verify that these states satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& h \text {; ; o } \dot{\mu}_{0}=h \text {; ; } 0{\underset{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}}_{\mathrm{p}} \text {; }  \tag{15}\\
& \text { h ; ; o } \dot{\mu}_{0}=h \text {; ; } 0 \dot{j} \overline{2} \text {; }  \tag{16}\\
& a_{0} j ; \text {; } o^{i}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} \text { j; ; oi; }  \tag{17}\\
& a_{0} j \text {; ; oi= }{ }^{P} \overline{2} j \text {; ; oi: } \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

These highest weight vectors are eigen states of the V irasoro zero-m odes:

The states j; ; oi are annihilated by $a_{n>0}, a_{n}>0, L_{n>0}$, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}>0$, and h ; ; o jare annihilated by $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}<0, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}<0, \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}<0$, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}<0}$. The non-chiralCBFSF; ; is built on the highest weight vector $j$; ; oi by operating $w$ th $a_{n<0}$ and $a_{n}<0$. The dual space $F$; ; $\quad$ is de ned sim ilarly, by acting $w$ th $a_{n>0}$ and $a_{n>0}$ on $h$; ; $0 j$. The non-chiral CBFSs thus de ned are essentially the direct products of chiralCBFSs, F ; ; $=$ F ; 。 F ; o.
$T$ he inner products of highest weight vectors are subject to charge neutrality, i.e. they are non-vanishing only if the net charges in the two sectors are both zero. The norm alisation of the highest weight vectors $m$ ust be in accordance $w$ ith this condition and thus we have
h ; ; oj ; ; oi= ; ; :

In particular, the vacua are norm alised as
h0;0; oj0;0; oi= :

In unitary theories the constant is usually positive and we norm alise it to unity. A s the C oulom b-gas system $m$ ay

[^2]well include non-unitary theories, can be negative. In that case we choose $=1$. Thuswe have
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { uh ; ; oj; ; oíU = ; ; ; }  \tag{23}\\
& { }_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~h} \text {; ; } 0 \text { j ; ; } 0 \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{N}}=\text {; ; : } \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The subscripts $U$ and $N$ stand for unitary and nonunitary sectors, respectively. Those tw o sectors have no intersection.

## B.D i eom orphism invariant boundary states

B oundary states appearing in CFT are di eom onphism invariant in the follow ing sense $[6,24]$. Let us consider a CFT on the upper halfplane Im 0 , where is a com plex coordinate, $=x+i y, x, y 2 R$. The boundary is $\mathrm{y}=0$, or $=$. Since the antiholom orphic coordinate dependence on the upper half plane $m$ ay be $m$ apped into the holom orphic dependence on the lower half plane [13], we often identify with . Now, once the boundary is xed, the conform al sym $m$ etry of the theory should be restricted so that the boundary is kept xed. In other words, as the conform altransform ation is generated by the energy-m om entum tensor, the energym om entum ow across the boundary m ust vanish,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T() T()==0: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $m$ ay be translated into a condition on boundary states by $m$ apping a sem iannular dom ain on the upper half -plane into a full-annulus on the $z-p l a n e ~ b y ~ z=$ $\exp (2 \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{L}), \quad=(\mathrm{T}=) \mathrm{ln}$. The boundary of the plane is mapped to the two concentric circles $\dot{k} j=1$, $\exp (2 \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{L})$ bordering the annulus on the z -plane. Since the $z$-plane allow s radial quantisation, (25) is w ritten as the condition on the boundary states $\beta$ i (on $\dot{\mathcal{Z} j}=1$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{k} \quad L \quad k\right) \beta i=0: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his condition, often called the Ishibashicondition, $m$ ust then be satis ed by any boundary state in CFT .

W e may follow the standard construction of boundary states in open string theory $[25\{27]$ and nd boundary states on CBFS by starting from the coherent state ansatz,

$$
\begin{align*}
& h B ; ; 0 ; j=h ; ; 0 j_{k>0}^{Y} \exp \frac{1}{k} a_{k} a_{k} \text {; } \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

The subscript is either $U$ or $N$, specifying the nom alisation of the vacuum . A swe have expressions of the $V$ irasoro operators (11), (12) w ritten in term $s$ of the H eisenberg operators, one can see how the $V$ irasoro $m$ odes $L_{n}$
and $L{ }_{n}$ operate on the coherent states $-B$; ; o; i by an explicit com putation. It is shown [18] that the condition (26) is satis ed if

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1 ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\quad 20=0: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, we see that $h B$; ; $0 ; j\left(L_{n} \quad L \quad n\right)=0$ as long as (29) and (30). In the follow ing we shallonly consider such $m$ anifestly di eom onphism invariant boundary states satisfying (29) and (30), and for sim plicity we denote,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{hB}(\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{hB} ; 20 \quad ; 0 ;=1 \mathrm{j}  \tag{31}\\
& \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{B} ; 20 \quad ; 0 ;=1 \mathrm{i}: \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

W e note that the sum of the (holom onphic + antiholom onphic) boundary charges agrees w th the topological background charge on the Schottky double. D ue to the condition (30), an inner boundary (on the $z-p l a n e$ ) contributes 20 to the sum of the charges, and an outer boundary contributes 20 . W hen we consider an annulus whose Schottky double is a torus, the sum of the boundary charges is zero ( $2020=0$ ), which coincides w ith the background charge of the torus expected from the G auss B onnet theorem (the E uler num ber of a torus vanishes). For a disk, there is only an outer boundary which gives a charge 20 . This agrees w ith the background charge of a sphere, which is the Schottky double of the disk. Thus, the geom etry ofbulk can be assum ed to be at everyw here, since the curvature of the $m$ an iffld is concentrated on boundary.

## C. Ishibashistates in free- eld representation

As the basis of boundary states in CFT is norm ally spanned by Ishibashi states, we need to construct Ishibashistates in term sofour Fock space representation in order to translate existing results of boundary CFT into $C$ oulom b-gas language. At least for the diagonal m inim alm odels, it is shown [18] that the Ishibashistates are expressible as linear com binations of $\bar{\beta}$ ( )i, as far as partition functions on the cylinder are concemed.

Ishibashistates are de ned for chiralrepresentations of CFT and diagonalise the cylinder am plitudes (overlaps) to give characters,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mij}\left(q^{1=2}\right)^{L_{0}+L_{0}} \quad c=12 \quad \ddot{j} \ddot{i}=i j_{j}(q): \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we are considering a cylinder of length $T$ and circum ference $L$, or equivalently, an annulus on the $z-p l a n e$ with 1 ju $\exp (2 \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{L})$. A s the H am iltonian is w ritten as $H=(2=L)\left(L_{0}+L_{0} \quad c=12\right)$, the left hand side of
(33) is hije ${ }^{T H}$ jiji $w$ ith $q=e^{4 T=L}$. The characters of the $m$ inim alm odels are given by R ocha-C aridi [28],

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{(r ; s)}(q)=T r_{(r ; s)} q^{I} \quad c=24 \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{pr} \mathrm{p}^{0} \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{pp}^{0}\left(\mathrm{)} \quad \mathrm{pr}+\mathrm{p}^{0} \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{pp}^{0}(\mathrm{)}\right.}{(\mathrm{)}} ; \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where ; ( ) and ( ) are the Jacobi theta function and the $D$ edekind eta function, de ned as ; ( )
$\left.k 2 z^{(2 k+}\right)^{2}=4$ and ( ) $q^{=24} \mathrm{Q}_{1}\left(1 \quad q^{n}\right)$, with $q=e^{2 i}$. Thus, the cylinder am plitudes (33) are power series in $q$, divided by ( ) .

A s our boundary states $\beta$ ( )i are de ned in a Fock space representation, we $m$ ay explicitly compute the cylinder am plifudes betw een such states. They are [18],

$$
\begin{align*}
& h B() j^{\mathrm{TH}} \mathcal{B}() i \\
= & h B() j\left(\mathcal{q}^{1=2}\right)^{\mathrm{L} 0+L_{0} \quad c=12}-B() \dot{B} \\
= & \frac{\left.\mathrm{q}^{\prime} \quad 0\right)^{2}}{(\sim)} ;: \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

The am plitudes betw een unitary and non-unitary sectors (e.g. u hB ( ) je ${ }^{\text {TH }}-\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ vanish because these sectors do not intersect. N ote that $\left.\mathrm{q}^{( } 0\right)^{2}=(\mathrm{l}$ is the character
; o(q) of the chiralC BFS F ; 0 . In this sense, the state $B() i m$ ay be regarded as the Ishibashistate of F ; o.

W em ay now com pare the expressions (33) and (35) to nd a possible free- eld representation of the Ishibashi states ofm inim alm odels. De ning

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (r ; s) j={ }_{u} h a_{r} ; \mathrm{j} j+{ }_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{r} ;} \mathrm{s} \dot{j} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{j}(r ; s) \ddot{i}=\dot{\mathfrak{j}}_{r ; s} \dot{\underline{U}}+\dot{\dot{a}}_{r} ; s \dot{i}_{N} ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { u ha } \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{X} \quad \text { uhB }\left(\underset{\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}}{ }+\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{pp}^{0}}\right) \text {; } \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}_{r ; s} i_{U}=X^{k 2 z} B\left(r ; s+k^{p} \overline{p^{0}}\right) i_{U} \text {; } \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where r;s are given by (5), one can show that the states $j(r ; s)$ ii diagonalise the overlaps and give $m$ in im alm odel characters,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (r ; s) j\left(\mathcal{q}^{1=2}\right)^{\mathrm{L} 0+\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{c}=12 j\left(r^{0} ; \mathrm{s}^{0}\right) \text { ii }=r r^{0} \quad s s^{0} \quad(r ; s)(\mathbb{q}): \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The appearance of the non-unitary sector even in unitary CFTs may seem odd, but this is necessary to describe cylinder diagram s where otherw ise unphysical
states would propagate．On the disk the non－unitary sector decouples and does not contribute to correlation functions（Sec．III）．The states（36），（37）are a good candidate for the $m$ inim alm odel Ishibashi states as far as the $m$ odular properties are concemed．$W$ e，how ever， immediately notioe that such Ishibashi＇states are not unique since $j(r ; s) i$ and $j\left(p^{0} \quad r ; p \quad s\right) i$ give rise to the sam e character but are perpendicular to each other．In order to have a unique Ishibashi state for each prim ary eld（ $r$ ；s）（ $\left.p^{0} \quad r ; p \quad s\right)$ ofm inim alm odels，we de ne the sym $m$ etrised states，

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln _{\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}} \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{lh} \mathrm{p}^{0} \mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{p} \mathrm{~s} j \\
& =\frac{P^{1}}{2}\left(\ln (r ; s) j+\ln \left(p^{0} \quad r ; p \quad s\right) j\right. \text {; } \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(j(r ; s) \text { ii }+j\left(p^{0} \quad r ; p \quad s\right) \text { ii }\right) \text {; } \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

and shall regard them as our free－eld realisation of the Ishibashistates．A though this sym $m$ etrisation＇was not considered in［18］，such a prescription to ensure the equiv－ alence of（ $r$ ；s）（ $p^{0} r ; p \quad s$ ）is necessary．

> D. C ardy's con sistent boundary states

Physicalboundary states in CFT are not only di eo－ m orphism invariant，but $m$ ust also satisfy an extra con－ straint called C ardy＇s consistency condition．W e consider a cylinder of length $T$ and circum ference $L$ as before and assume that boundary conditions $\sim$ and $\sim$ are im－ posed on the two boundaries．Then，depending on how we de ne the direction of time，the partition function on this cylinder $m$ ay be written in two di erent ways． W em ay rst regard the cylinder as an open string prop－ agating in the periodic direction of time，with bound－ ary conditions～and $\sim$ im posed at the two ends of the string．The partition function is then a sum of the chi－ ral characters，$Z_{\sim \sim}(q)={ }_{j} n_{\sim}^{j}{ }_{j}(q)$ ，where ${ }_{j}(q)$ is the character for the chiral representation $j$ and $n_{\sim}^{j}{ }_{\sim}^{\text {is }}$ a non－negative integer representing the $m$ ultiplicity of the representations．$W$ e have de ned $q=e^{\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{T}} . \mathrm{We}$ $m$ ay also see the cylinder as a closed string propagat－ ing from one boundary（w ith boundary condition ${ }^{\sim}$ ）to the other（ $w$ ith $\sim$ ）．Then the partition function is sim－ ply the cylinder am plitude betw een the two boundaries， $h \sim j^{\mathrm{TH}} \dot{p}^{\sim} \dot{i}$ ．D ue to the equivalence of the two pictures，
 the consistency condition which needs to be satis ed by the boundary states $h \sim j$ and $j \sim i$ ．

Ifwe have an appropriate basis of the boundary states， the right hand side of the consistency equation $m$ ay be
expanded using the basis states fha $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{f}$ fbig as

$$
\begin{align*}
& j \quad a ; b \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving this equation，the consistent boundary states fj～ig are found as linear com binations of the basis states． It is convenient to use the Ishibashi states for such a basis．For diagonal $m$ inim al models，using the prop－ erty（33）of the Ishibashi states and the $m$ odular trans－ form ation of the characters ${ }_{i}(q)={ }_{j} S_{i j}{ }_{j}(q)$ under
！～＝ $1=$ ，we have，by equating the coe cients of the characters，

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { i } \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

A ssum ing the existence of a state -10 i such that $\mathrm{n}_{\theta \sim}^{i}=$ $\mathrm{n}_{\sim}^{\mathrm{i}}=\stackrel{i}{\sim},(46) \mathrm{w}$ as solved by C ardy［6］as，

N ow，as the m in m al m odel Ishibashi states have been w ritten in the free－eld representation（43）（44），C ardy＇s consistent boundary states（47）can also be expressed using our coherent boundary states by substituting（44） into（47）．

For the convenience of later discussions，let us spell out these in the speci c exam ple of the Ising $m$ odel．The Ising m odel is the sim plest non－trivial m inim al m odel having the central charge $c=1=2$ and is characterised by the tw o coprim e integers $p=4$ and $p^{0}=3$ ．There are three operators，the identity $I$ ，the energy and the spin
，having the conform al dim ensions $0,1=2$ ，and $1=16$ ， respectively，and are identi ed in the K ac table as $\mathrm{I}=$
$1 ; 1=2 ; 3 \mathrm{r}=2 ; 1=1 ; 3$ and $=1 ; 2=2 ; 2$ U sing the $m$ odular transform ation $m$ atrioes for the characters， C ardy＇s boundary states are w ritten as［6］

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { jTi= } 10 i=2^{1=2} \text { 仃ii }+2^{1=2} j \text { ii }+2^{1=4} j \text { ií; }  \tag{48}\\
& \text { ji= } 2^{1=2} \text { 近ii }+2^{1=2} j \text { ii } \quad 2^{1=4} j \text { ii; }  \tag{49}\\
& \text { јi= 㕶 } j \text { ii } \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

It is argued that the rst tw o states correspond to the xed（up and down）boundary conditions since they di er only by the sign of $j$ ii which is associated w th the spin operator．T he last state（50）is then identi ed as the free boundary state．U sing（37）and（44），these states are w ritten in our free－eld representation as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\dot{\beta}_{2 ; 1} \dot{i}_{U}+\dot{\beta}_{2} ; 1 i_{N}+\dot{\beta}_{1} ; 3 \dot{U}_{U}+\dot{\beta}_{1} ; 3 i_{N}\right) \\
& +2^{3=4}\left(\dot{p}_{1 ; 2} \dot{\underline{U}}_{U}+\dot{\beta}_{1} ; 2 \dot{i}_{N}+\dot{\beta}_{2} ; 2 \dot{u}_{U}+\dot{\beta}_{2} ; 2 \dot{i}_{N}\right) ; \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j i=2^{1}\left(\dot{\beta}_{1 ; 1} i_{U}+\dot{\beta}_{1} ; 1 i_{N}+\dot{\beta}_{2 ; 3} i_{U}+\dot{\beta}_{2} ; 3 i_{N}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2^{3=4}\left(\dot{\dot{q}}_{1 ; 2} \dot{i}_{\mathrm{U}}+\dot{\dot{a}}_{1} ; 2 \dot{i}_{\mathrm{N}}+\dot{\dot{\beta}}_{2} ; 2 \dot{i}_{\mathrm{U}}+\dot{\dot{q}}_{2} ; 2 \dot{i}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) ; \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where the states on the right hand sides are de ned by (40), (41). They are supenpositions of countably $m$ any coherent states $w$ ith di erent boundary charges.

## III. BOUNDARYCORRELATION FUNCTIONS

N ow let us discuss how to com pute boundary correlation functions in our Coulom b-gas picture. A fter giving the general form alism, we shall focus on the one point function of $r ; s(z ; z)$ and the tw $o$ point function of $1 ; 2(z ; z)$, and derive their explicit expressions on the unit disk. O nce correlators on the disk are obtained, it is straightforw ard to m ap them on the halfplane. At the end of this section, we com pare our free- eld approach and OPE com putation ofboundary correlation functions.

## A. Screened vertex operators and B R ST states

In the CBFS language, correlation functions on the full plane are described as follow s [14]. W e de ne chiral screened vertex operators $V_{r ; s}^{m ; n}(z)$ as
$w$ here for conciseness we have denoted $V_{r ; s}(z)$ as $V_{r ; s}(z)$ and $V \quad(z)$ as $V$ ( $z$ ), and the integration contours are those of Felder's, all going through $z$ and encircling the origin ( $F$ ig. 1 of [14]). Such an operator is a prim ary eld of conform al dim ension $h_{r ; s}$. W e also denote the chiral CBFS $\mathrm{F}_{r ; s} ; 0$ as $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}}$. The operator $\mathrm{V}_{r ; s}^{m ; n}(z)$ de nes a m ap from one Fock space to another,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{r ; s}^{m ; n}(z): F_{r_{0} ; s_{0}}!F_{r_{0}+r} 2 m 1 ; s_{0}+s 2 n \quad 1: \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The p-point correlator,
is then seen as a sequence ofm appings,

$$
\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}!\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{p}} 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}} 2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}}!
$$

;
and the nalstate $V_{r_{1} ; s_{1}}^{m_{1} ; n_{1}}\left(z_{1}\right) \quad{ }_{r_{p} ; \eta_{p}}^{n_{p}}\left(z_{p}\right) j$; ; im ust belong to $\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}$ in order to have a non-trivialinner product
with h0; oj2 $\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}$ (the dualm odule of $\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}$ ). T he sam e p-point correlator $m$ ay be expressed di erently, as
but this is in fact proportional to (56). A key ob ject in this form alism is the BRST operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{r}={\left.\frac{e^{2 i{ }_{+}^{2} r}}{r\left(e^{2 i^{2}} 1_{+}^{I}\right.}{ }^{I}\right)}_{i=1}^{Y^{r}} d u_{i} V_{+}\left(u_{1}\right) \quad+\left(\left(u_{r}\right) ;\right. \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ aps $F_{r ; s}$ to $F_{r ; s}$. The BRST operator is nilpotent, $Q_{r} Q_{p^{0}}{ }_{r}=0$, and physical states are realised as the cohom ology space, $K$ er $Q_{r}=\operatorname{lm} Q_{p}{ }_{r}$. A $n$ im portant point which is evident in this picture is that all interm ediate states appearing in the correlator are BRST states since the vacuum j0; oi is a BRST state and the screened vertex operators m ap BRST states to BRST states [14].

W e shall com bine the abovem achinery and the boundary states of the previous section to com pute correlation functions on the unit disk. The in-state of the correlators is the non-chiral vacuum (in the unitary sector) at the origin, $j 0 i=j 0 ; 0$; $0 i_{U}$, which is a BRST state. For the out-state, we choose a boundary state $\mathrm{u} h \mathrm{~B}$ ( ) j of (31) w th a xed boundary charge . We only consider the unitary sector since the non-unitary sector does not give non-trivialinner products with the in-state vacuum . The correlators are then obtained by inserting non-chiral screened vertex operators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V_{\left(r_{i} ; s_{i}\right) ;\left(r_{i} ; s_{i}\right)}^{\left(m_{i} ; n_{i}\right) ;\left(m_{i} ; n_{i}\right)}\left(z_{i} ; z_{i}\right)=V_{r_{i} ; s_{i}}^{m} ; n_{i}\left(z_{i}\right) V_{r_{i} ; s_{i}}^{m} ; n_{i}\right) ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

between uhB ( ) j and j0; 0; oiv. As we focus on diagonal theories, the antiholom onphic vertex operators have the sam e conform aldim ensions as the holom onphic countenparts, i.e., either $\left(r_{i} ; s_{i}\right)=\left(r_{i} ; s_{i}\right)$ or $\left(r_{i} ; s_{i}\right)=$ ( $\mathrm{p}^{0} \quad \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ). N ote that, in this construction, all the interm ediate states arem anifestly BRST invariant because no spurious states arise. A ctual boundary p-point correlation functions for physical boundary conditions are obtained by sum $m$ ing the xed boundary-charge correlators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{uhB}(){ }_{i=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{p}}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}}^{\mathrm{m}_{i} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}}^{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}_{i}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) j 0 ; 0 ; \quad 0 \dot{U}_{v} ; \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the boundary charges according to the linear com binations (such as (51) - (53)) given by C ardy'sm ethod. N ote that (61) is non-vanishing only for certain con $g^{-}$ urations of screening charges. The net holom onphic and antiholom onphic charges are respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
+{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{X} \mathrm{~m}_{i+}+{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \text {; } \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

57) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
20+{ }_{i}^{X} r_{r_{i} ; s_{i}}+{ }_{i}^{X} m_{i}+{ }_{i}^{X} n_{i} \quad \text {; } \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

which m ust vanish independently. These charge neutrality conditions associate the allow ed values of $w$ ith the num bers of holom onphic and antiholom onph ic screening operators.

In the computation of the expression (61), $V_{r ; s}(z) V_{r ; s}(z), \quad V_{r ; s}(z) V_{p^{0}}$ r;p $s(z), \quad V_{p^{0}}$ r;p $s(z) V_{r ; s}(z)$ and $V_{p^{0}}$ rip $s(z) V_{p^{0}}$ rip $s(z)$ all correspond to a nonchiral eld $r ; s(z ; z)$ and one $m$ ay use whichever com binations one w ishes to use. This is ensured by the fact that (61) is essentially a chiral $2 p-$ point function where the equivalence of $(r ; s) \$\left(p^{0} \quad r ; p \quad s\right)$ (after the truncation of unphysicalstates) is guaranteed [14,21]. In particular, we are allow ed to use $V_{r ; s}(z)$ as the holom orphic and $V_{p^{0}}$ rip $s(z)$ as the antiholom orphic (mirror in age') part of a single non-chiral operator (the analogy of a $m$ irror and a boundary of CFT is based on the conform alW ard identity [13] which does not distinguish $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r} \text {; }}(\mathrm{z})$ from $V_{p^{0}}$ rip $\left.s(z)\right)$. Due to this equivalence, apparently di erent choices of vertex operators should all lead to a sam e conform alblock function. In practioe, likew ise to the case ofthe C oulom b-gas com putation w thout boundary, we shall choose such vertex operators that the num ber of screening operators is m in m ised. In the follow ing subsections we shallevaluate the expression (61) for particular cases of one and two point functions.

> B. B oundary one point functions

For evaluation of the boundary one point correlator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u} h \mathrm{hB}() \mathrm{JV}_{\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z}) j \mathrm{j} ; 0 \text {; } 0 \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{U}} \text {; } \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is convenient to choose $(r ; s)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}p^{0} & r & p \\ s\end{array}\right)$ (the other choice $(r ; s)=(r ; s)$ should lead to the sam e result but involves com plicated integral expressions). A ccording to (55), the holom onphic part of the CBFS is m apped as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}!\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r} 2 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{s} 2 \mathrm{n}} ; \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the antinolom orphic part is $m$ apped as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}!\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}^{0}} \mathrm{r} 2 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{~s}: \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since uhB ( )j 2 F ; o $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ 。 ; o, the correlator is non-vanishing only when F ; $0=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}} 2 \mathrm{~m}$; 2 n and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ 。 ; $0=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{r} 2 \mathrm{~m}$ p s 2 n , that is,

$$
=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & r+2 m \tag{67}
\end{array}\right)++\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad s+2 n) \quad ;
$$

and
$20=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 p^{0}+r+2 m\right)++\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad p+s+2 n)$
Sum $\frac{m \text { ing (67), (68) and using }+=p \overline{p=p^{0}}, \quad=}{p^{0}=p}$, $=$ $\overline{p^{0}=p}$, we have $(m+m)++(n+n)=0$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
m+m=0 ; n+n=0 ; \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

im plying no screening charges. Then from (67) we have
$=r$ r;s, and the correlator (64) is evaluated as

$$
\mathrm{u} h \mathrm{hB}(\underset{\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}}{ }) \mathrm{JV}_{\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{S}}^{0 ; 0}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}}^{0 ; 0}(\mathrm{z}) j 0 ; 0 ; \quad 0 \dot{i}_{\mathrm{U}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{zz})^{2 \mathrm{~h}} ;(70) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

$w$ here $h={ }_{r ; s}\left(\begin{array}{rl}\text { ris } & 2\end{array}\right)$. A swe shall see later, th is is proportionalto the tw o point correlator on the fullplane.
(a) C onform alblock $I_{I}$.

(b) C onform alblock $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{II}}$.


FIG.1. The two conform alblocks $I_{I}$ and $I_{\text {II }}$ in the boundary two point function of $1 ; 2$ on the disk. The sphere represents the double of the disk, and the upper and low er hem ispheres stand for the holom orphic and antiholom orphic sectors, which are glued on the boundary (the equator). The low er hem isphere coordinates $z_{i}$ are obtained from $z_{i}$ by the doubling $\left(z_{i} ; z_{i}\right)$ ! $\left(z_{i} ; z_{i}\right)$. In the case of $I_{I}$ where the screening operator $Q$ lie in the holom onphic sector, the integration contour can be deform ed into the P ochham $m$ er type around $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. The integral is then proportional to the one from $z_{2}$ to $z_{1}$ (a). Sim ilarly, the screening contour of $I_{\text {II }}$ is in the antiholom onphic sector and the integral is proportional to the one from $z_{2}$ to $z_{1}$ (b).

```
C. B oundary tw o point functions of 1;2
```

As a less trivial case, we consider the two point correlator of the prim ary eld $1 ; 2$. For the convenience of calculation we de ne one of the operators as $1 ; 2\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)=V_{1 ; 2}\left(z_{1}\right) V_{p^{0}} 1 ; p 2\left(z_{1}\right)$ and the other as ${ }_{1 ; 2}\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)=V_{1 ; 2}\left(z_{2}\right) V_{1 ; 2}\left(z_{2}\right)$. The expression (61) then becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{m} 2^{2} \mathrm{n}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{V}_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{m} 2^{2} \mathrm{n}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right) j 0 ; 0 \text {; } 0 \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{U}} \text { : } \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

In the holom orphic and antinolom orphic sectors, the CBFSs are m apped as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}!\mathrm{F}_{1} 2 \mathrm{~m}_{2} ; 22 \mathrm{n}_{2}!\mathrm{F}_{1} 2 \mathrm{~m}_{1} 2 \mathrm{~m}_{2} ; 32 \mathrm{n}_{1} 2 \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \\
& \mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}!\mathrm{F}_{1} 2 \mathrm{~m}_{2} ; 22 \mathrm{n}_{2}!\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}^{0} 2 \mathrm{~m}_{1} 2 \mathrm{~m}_{2}} \text { 1;p2} 2 \mathrm{n}_{1} 2 \mathrm{n}_{2} \text { 1; } \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence, in order that the correlator be non-vanishing we m ust have

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)+\left(n_{1}+n_{2} 1\right) \quad ;  \tag{74}\\
20 \quad=\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+1\right)+\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+1\right) \tag{75}
\end{gather*}
$$

A dding the above tw o expressionswe have $(m+m)++$ $(n+n 1)=0$, wherem $=m_{1}+m_{2}$ and $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$ are the num bers of positive ( + ) and negative ( ) screening operators in the holom onphic sector, and sim ilarly $m=m_{1}+m_{2}$ and $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$ for the antiholom onphic counterparts. T his charge neutrality condition im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
m+m=0 ; n+n=1: \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have tw o possibilities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { (I) } \mathrm{m} & =\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}=0 ; \mathrm{n}=1 ;  \tag{77}\\
\text { (II) } \mathrm{m} & =\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}=0 ; \mathrm{n}=1 \text { : } \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

From (74), we have the boundary charge $={ }_{1 ; 1}=0$ for (I) and $=1 ; 3=$ for (II).

For the charge con guration (I), we have one screening operator

$$
\mathrm{Q}={ }^{I} \mathrm{dvV} \quad(\mathrm{~V}) ;
$$

in the holom orphic sector and thus the correlator (71) takes the form,

> I
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{u} h B\left({ }_{1 ; 1}\right) \mathrm{j} \mathrm{dvV}_{1 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}^{0}}{ }_{1 ; \mathrm{p}} \quad 2\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \mathrm{V}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{1 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{V}_{1 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right) j 0 ; 0 \text {; o i } \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by an explicit calculation, reduces to

I
$d v\left(1 \quad z_{1} z_{1}\right)^{a}\left(1 \quad z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{b}\left(1 \quad v z_{1}\right)^{c}\left(1 \quad v z_{2}\right)^{d}$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
( & z_{2} z_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{a}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \left.z_{2} z_{2}\right)^{b}\left(z_{1}\right. & v
\end{array}\right)^{d} \\
\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right.  \tag{81}\\
\left.z_{2}\right)^{b}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{v} & \mathrm{z}_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right. \\
\mathrm{z}_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{\mathrm{a}} ;
$$

w th $\mathrm{a}=2_{1 ; 2}\left(2_{0} \quad 1_{1 ; 2}\right), \mathrm{b}=2 \underset{1 ; 2}{2}, \mathrm{c}=2 \quad$ (2 0 $1 ; 2$ ), and $d=2 \quad 1 ; 2$. As this expression is analytic, we $m$ ay deform the integration contour as long as it is closed and non-contractable. In this case the screening operator m ust lie entirely on the holom orphic part and Felder's contour can be deform ed into the P ochham $m$ er type, going around $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. The correlator is then proportional to the integration from $z_{2}$ to $z_{1}$, and is w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{I}=N_{I}\left(1 \quad z_{1} z_{1}\right)^{a}\left(1 \quad z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{b}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{2} z_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{a} \\
& \left.Z_{z_{1}}^{(1} \quad z_{2} z_{2}\right)^{b}\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)^{b}\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)^{a} \\
& d v\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & v z_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{c}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & v z_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{d}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{z}_{1} & \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}\right)^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{v} & \mathrm{z}_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{\mathrm{d}}: \\
& \mathrm{z}_{2} \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $N_{I}$ is a constant arising from the deform ation of the contour. N ote that, at this point, the expression is sim ilar (in fact, proportional) to the integral representation of a chiral four point conform alblock [21] (w thout boundary). O ne $m$ ay then proceed in the standard $m$ anner, nam ely, by xing the pro jective $S L(2 ; C)$ gauge, perform ing the integration, and then recovering the coordinate dependence. $W$ e thus have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{I}=N_{I}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{1} z_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{a}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{2} z_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{a}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(1)
\end{array}\right] \\
& \frac{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \\
(2 & 2^{2}
\end{array}\right)}{F}\left(2 a ; 1 \quad{ }^{2} ; 2 \quad 2^{2} ;\right) \text {; } \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F={ }_{2} F_{1}$ is the hypergeom etric function of the G aussian type, and is de ned as

$$
\left.=\frac{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
z_{1} & z_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(z_{2}\right.}{} z_{1}\right),: ~\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \left.z_{1} z_{1}\right)(1 & \left.z_{2} z_{2}\right) \tag{84}
\end{array}:\right.
$$

T he calculation for (II) goes sim ilarly. A s w e have one screening operator

$$
\mathrm{Q}={ }^{I} \mathrm{dvV} \quad(\mathrm{v}) ;
$$

in the antiholom onphic sector, the correlator is written as

I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1 ; 2}\left(z_{2}\right) V_{1 ; 2}\left(z_{2}\right)-j ; 0 \text {; } 0 i_{U} \\
& =N_{\text {II }}\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)^{b}\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)^{a}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{1} z_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

W e have again deform ed Felder's integration contour (this time in the antinolom onphic sector) into the P ochham $m$ er contour around $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. The resulting integral is proportional to the one from $z_{2}$ to $z_{1}$, and $N_{\text {II }}$ is a constant. P erform ing the integration we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{I}_{\text {II }}=N_{\text {II }}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{1} z_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{a}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z_{2} z_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{a}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
( & 1
\end{array}\right)\right]()^{b a} \\
& \frac{\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)\left(3^{2}\right.}{} \begin{array}{ll}
\left(2^{2}\right)
\end{array} \\
& \text { F ( } \left.{ }^{2} \text {; }{ }^{2} ; 2^{2} ; ~\right): ~ \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

The two correlators $I_{I}$ and $I_{\text {II }}$ with xed boundary charges are represented schem atically (in the Schottky double picture) in Fig.1. They correspond to the two conform alblocks of the chiral four point function.

## D. C orrelation functions on the half plane

Boundary correlation functions obtained on the unit disk arem apped on the halfplane by the globalconform al transform ation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{y}_{0} \frac{\mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{z}+1} ; \quad \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{y}_{0} \frac{\mathrm{z} \frac{1}{\mathrm{z}+1} ;, ~ ; ~}{} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

which takes the unit circle $\dot{z} j=1$ on the $z$-plane to the in nite line $\operatorname{Im} w=0$ on thew-plane, and the origin $z=0$ to the point $w=i y o, ~ y o ~ 2 R . U n d e r ~ t h i s ~ t r a n s f o r m ~ a t i o n ~$ the holom orphic coordinate dependence on the unit disk is $m$ apped on to the upper half $w-p l a n e$, and the antiholom onphic dependence is $m$ apped on to the lower half $\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{plane}$. U sing the transform ation (88), p-point correlation functions on the half plane are w rilten using those on the $z$-plane, i.e. on the disk, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{1}\left(w_{1} ; w_{1}\right) \quad p\left(w_{p} ; w_{p}\right) i_{U_{H}} \\
& =Y_{i=1}^{Y^{p}} \frac{d w_{i}}{d z_{i}} \quad \frac{d w_{i}}{d z_{i}} \quad h_{1}^{h_{i}}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right) \quad p\left(z_{p} ; z_{p}\right) i_{\text {disk }} \\
& =\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{p}} \frac{2 y_{0}}{\left(z_{i}+1\right)\left(z_{i}+1\right)} \quad \stackrel{2 h_{i}}{h_{1}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)} \quad \mathrm{p}\left(z_{p} ; z_{p}\right) i_{\text {disk }} ; \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have assum ed $h_{i}=h_{i}$. The param eter of (84) is $m$ apped under this transform ation as
which is an anharm onic ratio of the four points $w_{1}, w_{2}$, $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}$.

N ow, the boundary one point function of r;s on the upper half plane is easily found by using (70) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{w}) i_{B}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) & =\frac{2 \mathrm{y}_{0}(1 \mathrm{zz})}{(\mathrm{z}+1)(\mathrm{z}+1)}{ }^{2 \mathrm{~h}} \\
& \left.=\left[i^{(\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{w}\right)\right]^{2 \mathrm{~h}} \\
& =(2 \mathrm{y})^{2 \mathrm{~h}} ; \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ hereh $=h_{r ; s}=r_{r ; s}(r ; s \quad 2)$ is the conform aldim ension ofthe operator $r$;s, and $w=x+i y, w=w=x$ iy, $x ; y 2 R . N$ ote that the result is $y_{0}$-independent. $T$ he two point function of $1 ; 2$ on the disk is $m$ apped on to the half plane sim ilarly. For the conform alblock (I) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{h}_{1 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1} ; \mathrm{w}_{1}\right) 1 ; 2\left(\mathrm{w}_{2} ; \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) i_{\mathrm{B}(1 ; 1)} \\
&= \frac{4 y_{0}^{2}}{\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}+1\right)\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}+1\right)\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}+1\right)\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}+1\right)} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}} \\
&=\left.\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right.}{} \frac{\left.\mathrm{w}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}\right.}{} \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) \\
&\left.\frac{\left(\mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{w}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right.}{} \mathrm{w}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right.  \tag{92}\\
&\left.\mathrm{w}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right.\left.\mathrm{w}_{2}\right) \\
&\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 2^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathrm{F}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
4 & 4 \mathrm{~h} ; 1 & 2 & 2^{2} ;
\end{array}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

and for (II) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{h}_{1 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1} ; \mathrm{w}_{1}\right){ }_{1 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2} ; \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{B}}(1 ; 3) \\
& =\frac{4 y_{0}^{2}}{\left(z_{1}+1\right)\left(z_{1}+1\right)\left(z_{2}+1\right)\left(z_{2}+1\right)}{ }^{2 h} I_{\text {II }} \\
& \begin{array}{lllll} 
& \left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right. & \left.\mathrm{w}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}\right. & \left.\mathrm{w}_{2}\right) \\
=\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }} \begin{array} { l l l l l } 
{ } & { ( \mathrm { w } _ { 1 } } & { \mathrm { w } _ { 1 } ) ( \mathrm { w } _ { 2 } } & { \mathrm { w } _ { 2 } ) } \\
{_{1}}
\end{array}{ = \mathrm { N } _ { \text { II } } \begin{array} { l l l l l } 
{ } & { ( \mathrm { w } _ { 1 } } & { \mathrm { w } _ { 1 } ) ( \mathrm { w } _ { 2 } } & { \mathrm { w } _ { 2 } ) } \\
{ _ { 1 } } } & \left.\mathrm{w}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right. & \left.\mathrm{w}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right. & \left.\mathrm{w}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right. & \left.\mathrm{w}_{2}\right)
\end{align*} } \\
{\frac{\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)\left(3^{2} \quad 1\right)}{\left(2^{2}\right)}()^{2 \mathrm{~h}+2^{2}}} \\
{\text { F ( }{ }^{2} ; 1{ }^{2} \text {; }^{2} \text {; } \text { ) ; }}
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{h}_{1 ; 2}=1 ; 2(1 ; 2 \quad 20)$. Physical correlation functions are linear sum s of these conform alblocks where the coe cients are given by C ardy's states.

## E.Boundary states and conform alblocks

Before illustrating in speci c exam ples, we mention how the above description ofboundary correlation functions ts into the conventionaldiscussion of $[7,8]$, and see the validity and lim itation of the C oulom b-gas approach.

The charge neutrality conditions (67) (68) for a onepoint function pick up the coe cient of the corresponding Ishibashi state from a C ardy state, since $=r$;s is the only boundary charge which gives a non-vanishing term . $T$ his agrees $w$ ith our understanding that the coe cients of C ardy's state are essentially the one-point coupling constants of bulk (closed string vertex) operators to the boundary (brane) [7,8]. O nce one-point coupling constants are known, it is in principle possible to com pute boundary m ultipoint fiunctions since they reduce to one point functions after repeated use ofbulk OPEs (Fig 2a). In particular, we may start such a procedure from the
farthest point from the boundary (in the radial ordering sense), approaching the boundary by perform ing OPE w th the farthest rem aining point one by one. Due to naturality of CFT, such OPES are translated into the fusions of operators,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { [1] [2] }=\left[\dot{j}_{1}\right] ; \\
& {\left[\mathfrak{j}_{1}\right] \quad[3]=\left[j_{2}\right] ;} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
j \mathrm{j} & 2
\end{array}\right] \quad[\mathrm{p}]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
j \mathrm{j} & 1
\end{array}\right] ;} \tag{94}
\end{align*}
$$

which de ne a conform al block with no subchains ( F ig 2b) . A s the fusion of prim ary operators is equivalent to the $m$ ap (55) betw een CBFSs restricted to BRST subspaces [14], the conform alblock of $F$ ig 2 b is represented by our xed boundary-charge correlator (61) w ith corresponding to $\left[\begin{array}{ll}j & 1\end{array}\right]$. The Ishibashistate $\mathrm{m} j_{p} \quad 1$ jacts as a lter (or a halfm irror) transm itting only the V irasoro representation $\left[\begin{array}{ll}j_{p} & 1\end{array}\right]$. This property of Ishibashistates is captured by boundary charges and charge neutrality.

D ue to the absence of intemalchannels, tw o point functions on the disk (or half plane) are com pletely deter$m$ ined by a boundary state, apart from the norm alisation of conform alblocks. In the case ofp-point functions $w$ ith p 3, how ever, states in the intemal channels $j_{i<p} \quad 1$ cannot in generalbe determ ined uniquely even if the state in the boundary channel $j_{p} 1$ is xed (an example is the spin three point function of the Ising $m$ odelw ith $j_{2}=$, where $j_{1}$ can be I or ). C orresponding to this, the contours of (61) w ith p 3 m ay be deform ed in several di erent ways to give independent convergent functions which are expected to reproduce conform alblocks w ith di erent intemal states. T he relative coe cients of such conform alblocks in a boundary correlation function cannot be determ ined by the boundary state (as these conform al blocks belong to the same Ishibashi state) but should be constrained by inform ation of the bulk.


FIG.2. OPE and conform al blocks of boundary p-point functions. Repeating OPEs in the bulk, the boundary correlation function reduces to one point functions (a). $T$ his can also be seen as conform alblocksw ith intemalchannels $j_{i<} p 1$ and boundary channel $j_{p} 1$ (b).
IV. IS IN G M O D EL
$W$ e shall illustrate the $m$ ethod presented in the previous section in the exam ple of the critical Ising model. Before starting actual calculations we notioe from the discussions of the previous section that the non-unitary sector and the boundary states $w$ ith charges outside the K ac table do not contribute to correlation functions. Ne glecting such unnecessary term $s$ in (51) - (53), for the bra-boundary states of the Ising $m$ odel we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& +_{U} h B(2 ; 1) j+{ }_{U} h B(1 ; 3) j \\
& +2^{3=4}\left(\mathrm{U} \mathrm{hB}(1 ; 2) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{hB}(2 ; 2) \mathrm{J}\right. \text {; }  \tag{95}\\
& h \sim j \quad 2^{1}\left(\mathrm{GhB}(1 ; 1) j+_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{hB}(2 ; 3) j\right. \\
& \left.+_{U} h B(2 ; 1) j+{ }_{U} h B(1 ; 3) j\right) \\
& 2^{3=4}(\mathrm{uhB}(1 ; 2) j+\mathrm{UhB}(2 ; 2) j \text {; }  \tag{96}\\
& h \sim j \quad 2^{1=2}(\mathrm{GHB}(1 ; 1) j+\mathrm{UhB}(2 ; 3) j \\
& \text { uhB ( } 2 ; 1 \text { ) j uhB ( } 1 ; 3 \text { ) }) \text { : } \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

Since these are linear combinations of xed boundarycharge states $\mathrm{U} \mathrm{hB}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}) j$, the correlation functions on the disk w ith physical boundary conditions I, $\sim$ and $\sim$ are given by linear combinations of xed boundary-charge correlators (61). U sing the global conform altransform ation explained in the last section, we shallobtain correlation functions on the halfplane and com pare them with existing results.

## A. O ne point functions of spin and energy operators

Let us rst consider the spin one point function. We $m$ ay choose either $=1 ; 2$ or $=2 ; 2$. Let, for definiteness, $(r ; s)=(1 ; 2)$ in the holom orphic part and in order to use the result of the last section, $(r ; s)=(2 ; 2)$ in the antiholom onphic part. From (70) we im m ediately notice that only the state $\mathrm{U} \mathrm{hB}(1 ; 2)$ j contributes to the one point function, all other states giving vanishing correlators. For the boundary condition $I$, the one point function on the disk is

$$
\begin{align*}
& h \Gamma j \quad(z ; z) j 0 i \\
& =h \tau V_{1 ; 2}(z) V_{2 ; 2}(z) j 0 ; 0 \text {; } 0 i_{U} \\
& =2{ }^{3=4} \mathrm{hB}(1 ; 2) \mathrm{JV}_{1 ; 2}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{V}_{2 ; 2}(\mathrm{z}) j 0 ; 0 \text {; } 0 \dot{U}_{\mathrm{U}} \\
& =2{ }^{3=4}(1 \quad z z)^{1=8} \text { : } \tag{98}
\end{align*}
$$

P roperly norm alised one point function is then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h \mathcal{T} j \quad(z ; z) j 0 i}{h \mathcal{T}-j i}=2^{1=4}(1 \quad z z)^{1=8}: \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is $m$ apped on to the halfplane by using the confor$m$ al transform ation (91), as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(w ; w) i_{\mathrm{r}}=h(y) i_{\mathrm{r}}=2^{1=4}(2 y)^{1=8} ; \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $y$ is the distance from the boundary. Likew ise, boundary spin correlation functions for the conditions ~ and $\sim$ are obtained sim ply by picking up the coe cients of $\mathrm{f} \mathrm{hB}(1 ; 2) j$ in (96) and (97), and are norm alised using $h \sim j 0 i=1=2$ and $h \sim j 0 i=1=\overline{2}$. On the half plane, they are

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(w ; w) i=h(y) i_{n}=2^{1=4}(2 y)^{1=8} ; \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(w ; w) i_{\sim}=h(y) i_{\sim}=0: \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $I$ and $\sim$ are indeed the xed (up and down) and $\sim$ is the free boundary condition, as is stated in $[6,7]$. In our C oulom b-gas form alism the relation betw een one point functions and the coe cients of Ishibashistates is explained by the neutrality of charges.

Putting, say, $(r ; s)=(2 ; 1)$ and $(r ; s)=(1 ; 3)$, the energy one point function is obtained sim ilarly. On the half plane we have,
$h(y) i=h(y) i=(2 y)^{1} ;$
$h(y) i=(2 y)^{1}:$

## B. Spin two point function

N ext, let us consider the spin tw o point function. Since
$=1 ; 2$, we can use the result of Subsec.C of the preceding section. There are only two values of boundary charges, $1 ; 1$ and $1 ; 3$, which give non-trivial contributions to the correlator. $T$ he two corresponding states ${ }_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{hB}(1 ; 1)$ jand $_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{hB}(1 ; 3)$ jgive rise to the tw o conform al blocks $I_{I}$ and $I_{\text {II }}$, respectively, and the correlation function is a linear combination of these conform al blocks w ith coe cients given by Cardy's states (95) - (97). Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{h \tau j\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right) j 0 i}{h \tau j 0 i} & =\frac{h \sim j\left(z ; z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right) j 0 i}{h \sim j 0 i} \\
& =I_{I}+I_{I I} ;  \tag{105}\\
\frac{h \sim j\left(z_{1} ; z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2} ; z_{2}\right) j 0 i}{h \sim j 0 i} & =I_{I} \quad I_{I I} ; \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

where the actual form sof $I_{I}$ and $I_{\text {II }}$ are given by (83) and (87), w ith $a=1=8, b=3=8$ and ${ }^{2}=3=4$. In this case the hypergeom etric functions reduce to,

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{2} ;\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{P}}{1+\overline{1}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\overline{2}}} ;  \tag{107}\\
& { }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\frac{3}{4} ; \frac{1}{4} ; \frac{3}{2} ;\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{2\left(1 \frac{P^{1}}{}\right)} \tag{108}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing (107), (108) and the conform al transform ation (88), we nd the two point functions on the half plane,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(w_{1} ; w_{1}\right) \\
= & \left(w_{2} ; w_{2}\right) i_{r} \\
= & \left(w_{1} ; w_{1}\right) \quad\left(w_{2} ; w_{2}\right) i_{\sim}
\end{aligned}
$$

h ( $\mathrm{w}_{1} ; \mathrm{w}_{1}$ ) $\left(\mathrm{w}_{2} ; \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$
where $N^{\sim}=(1=4)^{2}=(1=2)$. Studying the behaviours aw ay from the boundary (w e accept the convention that two point functions of bulk operators are norm alised as $\left.\left.h_{i}\left(w_{1}\right)_{j}\left(w_{2}\right) i=i j^{\left(w_{1}\right.} \quad w_{2}\right)^{2 h_{i}}\right)$ and comparing the leading term $s$ w th the OPE coe cients of [8], the norm alisation of the conform alblocks are xed as $N_{\text {I }}=N_{\text {II }}=\quad(1=2)=(1=4)^{2}$.

This result was obtained long tim e ago [13], by solving a di erential equation to nd the two conform al blocks $I_{I}, I_{\text {II }}$, and then xing the coe cients by considering asym ptotic behaviours of the correlation function. The (relative) coe cients of the conform alblocks are now attributed to the coe cients of C ardy's states in our C oulom b-gas approach, although we have used the asym ptotic behaviours to $x$ the norm alisation of each conform alblock.

## C.Energy two point function

Finally we derive the energy tw o point function in our form alism. As $=2 ; 1$, the calculation is parallel to the case of the spin tw o point function. From the charge neutrality condition we nd that non-vanishing correlators arise from the states $\mathrm{U} \mathrm{hB}(1 ; 1) \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{an}}^{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{hB}(3 ; 1) \mathrm{j}$. H ow ever, as none of the boundary states (95) - (97) contain u hB ( $3 ; 1$ ) j only uhB ( $1 ; 1$ ) j gives non-trivial contribution to the correlation function. Hence, the energy two point function does not depend on boundary conditions. A fter a sim ple calculation we nd, on the half plane,

The norm alisation constant N is determ ined as $\mathrm{N}=$ $(2=3)=(1=3)^{2}$, by considering the o booundary behaviour. The hypergeom etric function tums out to be an

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h }\left(\mathrm{w}_{1} ; \mathrm{w}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{2} ; \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) i_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r} \sim ; \sim}} \\
& \left.=\mathrm{N} \frac{\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right.}{} \begin{array}{llll} 
& \left.\mathrm{w}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}\right. & \left.\mathrm{w}_{2}\right) \\
\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right. & \left.\mathrm{w}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right. & \left.\mathrm{w}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right. & \left.\mathrm{w}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right. \\
\mathrm{w}_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \frac{(1=3)^{2}}{(2=3)} F(2 ; \quad 1=3 ; \quad 2=3 ; \quad) \text { : } \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

algebraic function $F(2 ; 1=3 ; 2=3 ; \quad)=1 \quad+{ }^{2}$, and using the coordinates $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+i y_{i}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad$ iy $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ( $x_{i}, y_{i} 2 R$ ), the correlation function (111) is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(x_{1} ; y_{1}\right)\left(x_{2} ; y_{2}\right) i_{1 ; \sim ; \sim} \\
& =\frac{4 y_{1} y_{2}}{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\left(x_{1}\right. & \left.x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y_{1} & \left.\left.y_{2}\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(x_{1}\right.\right. \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& +\frac{1}{4 y_{1} y_{2}}: \tag{112}
\end{align*}
$$

This agrees $w$ ith the result in [13].
V.SUMMARY

In this paper we have described a novelm ethod to calculate correlation functions of two dim ensionalCFT on the disk and on the halfplane. W e have used the free- eld construction of boundary states developed in [18], and derived boundary correlation functions for the boundary states classi ed by $C$ ardy'sm ethod. T he key feature of our form alism is the neutrality of bulk and boundary charges, which associates the coe cients in C ardy's boundary states directly $w$ th the linear com binations of conform alblocks. T huswe could unify the tw o im portant pieces of boundary CFT, nam ely, boundary correlation functions [13] and consistent boundary states of $C$ ardy [6], by using the C oulom b-gas picture. W e have checked the form alism in the Ising $m$ odel, and shown that our $m$ ethod reproduces the know $n$ results.

C ardy's classi cation ofboundary states has been generalised by Lew ellen [8] and P radisi, Sagnotti, Stanev [9] beyond the diagonal m odels, and the Coulom b-gas technique is also know $n$ to be applicable to $m$ ore general CFTS, such as W ZNW models [29] and CFTSwith W algebra $30\{32]$. W e therefore expect that the $m$ ethod discussed in this paperm ay be applied to such C F T s relatively easily. In particular, from a string theory point of view, application to W ZNW theories seem s to be quite fruitful since it would provide an altemative m ethod to nd correlation functionsw ith D -branes on a group $m$ anifold. W e shalldiscuss such issues in separate publications [33].
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[^0]:    W e use this term for correlation functions of bulk operators in the presence of boundary.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ T he Schottky double is a R iem ann surface obtained by doubling the $m$ anifold except for boundaries. See, e.g., [22].

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ H ere we give the sam e background charge 0 to both sectors. Even if we relax this condition and start by allocating di erent background charges 0 and 0 to holom orphic and antiholom orphic sectors, respectively, the condition (26) restricts either $0=0$. For $0=0$ we have $=1$ and
    $20=0$ instead of (29) and (30). This merely ips the sign of all antiholom onphic charges and thus does not give any new results.

