# arXiv:hep-th/0210125v2 23 Apr 2003

# Therm alone- and two-graviton G reen's functions in the tem poral gauge

F.T.Brandt, B.Cuadros-Melgar and F.R.Machado

Instituto de F sica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, SP 05315-970, BRAZIL

(D ated: M arch 26, 2024)

The therm al one- and two-graviton G reen's function are computed using a temporal gauge. In order to handle the extra poles which are present in the propagator, we employ an ambiguity-free technique in the imaginary-time form alism. For temperatures T high compared with the external m on entum, we obtain the leading T<sup>4</sup> as well as the subleading T<sup>2</sup> and log (T) contributions to the graviton self-energy. The gauge xing independence of the leading T<sup>4</sup> terms as well as the W and identity relating the self-energy with the one-point function are explicitly veried. We also verify the t H ooff identities for the subleading T<sup>2</sup> terms and show that the logarithm ic part has the same structure as the residue of the ultraviolet pole of the zero tem perature graviton self-energy. We explicitly compute the extra terms generated by the prescription poles and verify that they do not change the behavior of the leading and sub-leading contributions from the hard therm al loop region. We discuss them odi cation of the solutions of the dispersion relations in the graviton plasm a induced by the subleading T<sup>2</sup> contributions.

PACS num bers: 11.15.-q, 11.10.W x

### I. IN TRODUCTION

O ne of the main motivations for the stattem pts to compute the self-energy at nite temperature was the study of dispersion relations of a graviton plasm a and the related interesting phenom ena of anti-dam ping and wave propagation [1, 2]. For temperatures T high compared with the external momentum, but wellbelow the Planck scale, the complete tensor structure of the leading one-loop contributions, proportional to T<sup>4</sup>, was calculated for the structure in Ref. [2]. Later some subleading contributions of order T<sup>2</sup> were computed, including the contributions of therm all scalar matter and radiation [3], and subsequently all term s proportional to T<sup>4</sup>, T<sup>2</sup> and log(T) where computed taking into account therm all loops of gravitons [4].

W hen the internal graviton lines are included, the gauge dependence which arises from the choice of gauge xing in the gravitational action becomes an issue. In Ref. [4] the graviton self-energy was computed employing the Feynm and e D onder gauge with an arbitrary gauge xing parameter. While the subleading contributions are gauge dependent, the leading T<sup>4</sup> contributions to the self-energy as well as to the one-point function are gauge xing independent and satisfy the W and identity. This last property is also true for the contributions from matter and radiation, being consistent with a gauge invariant elective action for hard thermal bops interacting with gravity.

One can go further into the question of gauge dependence by considering a class of non-covariant gauges of the kind that has been employed in gravity at zero temperature [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. At nite temperature non-covariant tem poral gauges would be even m ore appropriate, since the Lorentz covariance is already broken by the heat bath but the rotational invariance is preserved. Despite the other well known advantages of the tem poral gauge, nite tem perature calculations have been perform ed only in Yang-M ills theories both in im aginary and in the real time form alism s [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. This can be partially understood in view of the complexity of the gravitational interaction and so explicit calculations in non-covariant gauges have been restricted to the zero tem perature case. A nother reason for the lack of popularity of the tem poral gauge in gravity is that, in contrast with the situation in Yang-M ills theory, the zero tem perature graviton self-energy is not transverse [7, 8]. However, this should not be a very in portant concern in the nite tem perature case where the transversality property is expected to be violated in general. A more important di culty in the temporal gauge is the problem of spurious singularities arising from the n = 0 term s in the M atsubara sum s [18], which is even m ore severe in the case of gravity in view of the higher powers of n in the denom inator of the tem poral gauge graviton propagator. This situation was in proved after the development of an ambiguity-free technique to perform perturbative calculations at nite tem perature in the tem poral gauge [15, 16]. O riginally this technique was tested using zeta-functions to com pute the M atsubara sum s and later it was applied to the calculation of the gluon self-energy using the standard method of introducing therm ald istributions by replacing the M atsubara frequency sum with a contour integral in the complex plane of the zero component of the internalm om entum [17].

The purpose of the present work is to apply the Leibbrandt's prescription to the calculation of the therm al oneand two-graviton G reen's functions in a class of tem poral gauges. We will show explicitly how this approach leads to a well de ned result which can be expressed in terms of forward scattering am plitudes of therm algravitons [20] plus contributions from prescription poles. We will also show how the ghost interactions electively decouple leaving only therm algravitons in the forward scattering am plitudes. We provide the explicit results for the leading and sub-leading hard them alloop contributions and show that the prescription poles do not change the hard them alloop behavior.

In section II we will present the Lagrangian for the graviton eld and the corresponding Feynman rules in a class of tem poral gauges. We will also illustrate the basic approach with the simplest one-loop calculation, namely the onegraviton function (tadpole). In section III we describe how the therm algraviton self-energy can be split in two parts. The rst part arises from the on-shell poles of therm al graviton, and it is expressed in terms of forward scattering am plitudes, while the second part is generated by poles in the complex energy plane which are characteristic of the tem poral gauge prescription. We obtain from the forward scattering am plitudes the leading T<sup>4</sup> and the subleading T<sup>2</sup> and logT contributions. In section IV we explicitly calculate the contributions from the prescription poles and compare the results with the high temperature limit of the forward scattering expression. In section V we employ the hard therm al loop results, up to the subleading T<sup>2</sup> contributions, to investigate the modi cation of the solutions of the dispersion relations in a gravitational plasma. We will discuss our results in section V I.

### II. LAGRANGIAN, FEYNMAN RULES AND BASIC DEFINITIONS

The gravitoneld, , can be dened as a small perturbation around the at space-time metric, , as follows

$$g(x) = + (x);$$
 <sup>2</sup> = 32 G: (2.1)

Here G is New ton's constant and g is the metric tensor. The Einstein Lagrangian density is given by

$$L = \frac{2}{2} p g R$$
 (2.2)

where R is the Ricci tensor given by

$$R = 0 0 + = \frac{1}{2}g (0 g + 0 g 0 g) (2.3)$$

It is clear from the previous expressions that the E instein Lagrangian is an in nity series in powers of (an in nity number of terms arises both from the inverse metric g and from the determ inant g). Each power <sup>n</sup> will come out multiplied by a combination of tensor scalar products of n tensor elds and two derivatives (e. Performing a system atic expansion in powers of the coupling constant , it is straightforward to obtain the tree-level Feynm an nules corresponding to the terms which are quadratic, cubic, etc [30]. Before we show the explicit form of these vertices, let us recall that the invariance of the E instein action under general coordinate transform ations (gauge transform ations) in ply the existence of W and identities relating all the vertices down to the quadratic term (see the appendix A). The identity given by Eq. (A 10) shows explicitly the usual problem of inverting the free quadratic part of a gauge invariant Lagrangian. Following the standard procedure of introducing a gauge xing condition and ghost elds, we add the follow ing two terms to the E instein Lagrangian [21]

$$L_{fix} = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (n ) (n ) (2.4)

and

$$L_{ghost} = n - ;$$
 (2.5)

where and are the gravitational Faddeev-P opov ghost vector elds, n is the axial vector and is a constant gauge parameter. Using Eq. (A3), we obtain the following explicitly form for the ghost Lagrangian

$$L_{\text{qhost}} = [n \ 0 + n \ 0 + (n \ 0 + n \ 0 + n (0 \ ))] :$$
 (2.6)

Notice that, unlike Y ang-M ills theory, ghosts remain coupled to the gravitons even for the choice = 0. However, our explicit calculation will show that the decoupling occurs when the loop integrations are performed.

We have now all the basic ingredients to perform perturbative calculations in thermal gravity. The graviton propagator can now be obtained inverting the quadratic term of  $L + L_{fix}$ . Our choice of gauge xing is such that even the bare graviton propagator is already dependent on fourteen independent tensors as shown in table 1 (we will

employ this same basis in order to obtain the tensor structure of the therm alself-energy) [31]. Using this tensor basis it is possible to obtain the following compact form for the graviton propagator

$$D_{i}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}^{2} + \mathbf{i})} I^{1}_{i}, \qquad \frac{1}{D_{i}} I^{2}_{i}, \qquad + \frac{\mathbf{k}^{4}}{n_{0}^{2}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{i})} T^{8}_{i}, \qquad + \frac{\mathbf{k}^{2}}{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{i})} T^{12}_{i}, \qquad T^{11}_{i}, \qquad ; \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$I^{1}; = \frac{1}{4} (d d + d d) (d d + d d);$$
$$I^{2}; = d d d d; d = \frac{k u}{k u};$$

are convenient linear combinations of the tensors in Table I. As we can see the graviton propagator has the usual poles at  $k^2 = 0$  as well as the poles at k = 0.

Τ <sup>1</sup> ;	= +
${\tt T}^{2}$ ;	= uu + uu + uu + uu
Τ <sup>3</sup> ;	= u u u u
Τ <sup>4</sup> ;	=
Τ <sup>5</sup> ;	= uu + uu
Τ <sup>6</sup> ;	$= \frac{1}{k u} [(k + k)u + (k + k)u + (k + k)u + (u + u)k + (u + u)k]$
Τ <sup>7</sup> ;	$= \frac{1}{k u} (k u u u + k u u u + k u u u + k u u u)$
Τ <sup>8</sup> ;	$= \frac{1}{k^2} (k k + k k + k k + k k)$
Т <sup>9</sup> ;	$= \frac{1}{k^2} (k k u u + k k u u)$
T <sup>10</sup> ;	$= \frac{1}{(k u^{2})} [(k u + k u)(k u + k u)]$
T <sup>11</sup> ;	$= \frac{1}{k^2 k u} (u k k k + u k k k + u k k k + u k k k )$
T <sup>12</sup> ;	$= \frac{1}{k^4}k k k k$
T <sup>13</sup> ;	$= \frac{1}{k^2} (k k + k k)$
T <sup>14</sup> ;	$= \frac{1}{k u} [(k u + u k) + (k u + u k)]$

TABLE I: The fourteen independent tensors built from , k and u  $n = n_0$  and satisfying the symmetry conditions  $T^i$ ;  $T^i$ ;

The rst and second order terms in yield the following three and four graviton vertices respectively

$$V^{3}$$
;  $(k_{1};k_{2};k_{3}) = -\frac{1}{4}f(k_{2}-k(())) + 4 ())$ 



FIG.1: D iagram s contributing to the one-graviton function in the one-loop approximation. The curly lines represent gravitons and the dashed lines represent ghosts.

$$V^{4}; ; ; (k_{1};k_{2};k_{3};k_{4}) = \frac{2}{16}f[k_{3}] k((2)) + 2k_{3}(2)) + 2k_{2}(2k_{3}) + k_{3}(2)) + 2k_{2}(k_{3}); (1) + 2k_{$$

W e have veri ed that these vertices are in agreem ent with the W ard identities described in the Appendix A . Finally, the quadratic and the interacting term in the ghost Lagrangian (2.6) yields the ghost propagator

$$D^{\text{ghost}}(\mathbf{k}) = i \frac{1}{2(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{k})^2} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{k}}$$
 (2.10)

and the graviton-ghost-ghost vertex

 $V_{i}^{Ggg}(k_{1};k_{2};k_{3}) = i (n:k_{2} + n:k_{2} + n:k_{1}) + \$;$  (2.11)

respectively.

# A. The one-point function

In order to introduce our notation and the basic method of calculation we will rederive here the result for the therm all one-point function. The one-point function is interesting by itself, since it is directly related to the energy momentum tensor derived from the elective action [2]. It also provides the simplest non-trivial example of a one-bop calculation in gravity. Indeed, in contrast with the zero temperature case, the nite temperature one-point function is non-zero, being exactly proportional to  $T^4$ . For that reason it will play an important rôle in the W ard identities obeyed by the hard therm alloop G reen's functions.

The relevant diagram s are shown in the Fig. I.U sing the imaginary time form alism [18] the Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11) give the following contribution for the ghost loop diagram shown in gure 1(a)

<sup>ghost</sup> = 
$$T \int_{q_0}^{X - 2} \frac{d^{p-1} q}{(2 )^{p-1}}$$
;  $q_0 = 2$  in T;  $n = 0$ ; 1; 2;...: (2.12)

Throughout this work the M atsubara sums like that one in Eq. (2.12) will be computed using the standard and elegant relation [18]

$$T \int_{q_0}^{X} f(q_0) = \int_{i1+\frac{1}{2}}^{Z} \frac{dq_0}{dt} [f(q_0) + f(-q_1)] \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{coth}(\frac{q_0}{2T}) = \int_{i1+\frac{1}{2}}^{Z} \frac{dq_0}{dt} [f(q_0) + f(-q_1)] \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\frac{q_0}{e^T}} (2.13)$$

In general, the vacuum part of the amplitudes (term swhich arise from the factor 1=2 inside the round bracket of Eq. (2.13)) may be divergent in the lim it D ! 4 and so the arbitrary dimension D provides a regulator for the vacuum piece of the therm all G reen's functions as usual [22].

The tadpole diagram provides the simplest example of an elective decoupling of the ghost graviton interaction in the temporal gauge (this is not a trivial property at non-zero temperature). Indeed, substituting (2.13) into Eq. (2.12) we can see that the vacuum piece vanishes as a consequence of the identity

$$Z d^{D-1}q jq f = 0$$
: (2.14)

The therm alpiece also vanishes since we can close the contour in the right hand side of the  $q_0$  plane without enfolding any poles.

The contribution from the graviton loop in gure 1 (b) is a little bit more involved. A fler some straightforward tensor algebra we obtain from Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) the following result

$$= T \sum_{q_0}^{X - 2} \frac{d^{D-1} q}{(2)^{D-1}} \frac{D}{8} - 2(D - 3) \frac{q q}{q^2} \quad (D - 5) \quad : \quad (2.15)$$

It is interesting to notice that the gauge parameter from the graviton propagator has already canceled out at the integrand level.

Let us now compute Eq. (2.15) with the help of formula (2.13). As in the case of the ghost loop diagram, the contribution proportional to vanishes. The dimensional regularized vacuum piece will also vanish and we are left with only the following expression

$$= 2 \int_{i1+\frac{1}{2}}^{Z_{i1+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{dq_0}{2} \frac{1}{ie^{\frac{q_0}{2}}} \frac{1}{1} \int_{(Z_{i1})^3}^{Z_{i1}} \frac{d^3 q}{(Z_{i1})^3} \frac{q}{q^2} \frac{q}{q^2} : \qquad (2.16)$$

C losing the contour in the right hand side plane the pole at  $q_0 = j_0 j_0$  gives the following contribution

=

$$= \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{djgj}{(2)^{3}} \frac{jgj}{e^{\frac{jgj}{T}}} \int_{1}^{Z} dq \dot{q} \dot{q} ; \qquad (2.17)$$

where we have introduced  $\hat{q} = q = jqj$  and d is the integration over all directions of q. F inally, using the form ula [23]

$$\sum_{0}^{Z} \frac{x}{e^{x-T}} \frac{1}{1} dx = () () T$$
(2.18)

we obtain

$$\frac{2 T^{4}}{30} \sum_{q_{0}=jq_{j}}^{Z} \frac{d}{q_{0}} \dot{q}_{q_{0}=jq_{j}} = \frac{2 T^{4}}{90} (4 u u); \qquad (2.19)$$

where we have an ployed the quantity u (1;0;0;0), which coincides with the vector representing the local rest fram e of the plasm a and was introduced in the table I.

### III. THERMAL FORWARD SCATTER ING CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE GRAVITON SELF-ENERGY

The diagram s which contribute to the graviton self-energy are shown in gure 2. The relevant Feynm an rules for the propagators and vertices are all given in the previous section. Let us rst consider the ghost loop diagram shown



FIG. 2: D iagram s contributing to the graviton self-energy. The curly lines represent gravitons and the dashed lines represent ghosts. The external momentum k is inward.

in gure 2(a). As we can see from the structure of the ghost propagator in Eq. (2.10) the integrand will involve a combination of fractions of the following type

$$\frac{1}{(q \quad \text{H}) [(k+q) \quad \text{H}]}; \quad m; n = 0; 1; 2:$$
(3.1)

Before trying to perform the bop momentum integrations explicitly it is convenient to simplify the integrand using well known algebraic identities and change of variables which may reduce the number of terms considerably. Indeed, we have found that using a partial fraction decomposition of the quantities shown in Eq. (3.1) and a shift  $q \mid q \mid k$  in the resulting partial fractions containing powers of  $[(q + k) \quad u]^1$ , leads to the simplest possible result given by

$$g^{h}_{i} = \frac{X - u}{q} \frac{dq^{0} - 1}{(2 - i)^{0} - 1} \frac{k^{2}k}{k} \frac{quu u}{kq} \frac{1}{2} \frac{k^{2}u u qu}{k} \frac{1}{2} \frac{k^{2}u u qu}{kq} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k} \frac{u qu}{kq} \frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k} \frac{quk u}{uq} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k} \frac{quk u}{uq} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{q} \frac{quk u}{u} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{u} \frac{quu u}{q} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{u} \frac{quu u}{q} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{u} \frac{quu u}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k} \frac{quu u}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k} \frac{quu u}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{u} \frac{quu u}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{k}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{k}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{k}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{k}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{k}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{k}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{k}{u} \frac{1}{u} \frac{1}{$$

This procedure (partial fractions and then shifts) has been employed previously in the case of the Yang-M ills theory [17]. In contrast with the present therm all gravity result given by Eq. (3.2), the axial gauge Yang-M ills ghost loop vanishes at the integrand level. Notice that the partial fraction decom position is justiled since the integrands are regularized accordingly.

Let us now consider the diagram s shown in gures 2 (b) and 2 (c). An important di erence between these diagram s and the ghost loop is that while the ghost loop contains only the poles at  $q_0 = 0$ , the structure of the graviton propagator in Eq. (2.7) is such that there are also the usual simple poles in the right hand side plane located at  $q_0 = jqj$  and  $q_0 = jq + Kj$  k<sub>0</sub> for the diagram in gure 2 (b) and at  $q_0 = jqj$  for the diagram in gure 2 (c) (notice that  $k_0$  is an imaginary quantity at this stage of the calculation). In order to use the contour method of integration described in section IIA, we will employ the following prescription for the poles at  $q_0 = 0$  [15]

$$\frac{1}{q_0^r}! \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{q_0^r}{(q_0^2 \ ^2)^r}:$$
(3.3)

W ith this prescription the temporal gauge poles are moved away from the imaginary axis and we are allowed to employ the formula (2.13). The  $q_0$  integral can then be performed closing the contour of integration in the right hand side of the  $q_0$  plane, as we did in the previous section in the case of the one point function. The contributions from the prescription poles located at  $q_0 = w$  ill be analyzed in the next section.

We now follow the steps explained in the Appendix A of Ref. [17]. Basically this consists in the use of Eq. (2.13) taking into account only the contributions from the poles located on the right hand side plane at  $q_0 = \frac{1}{2}$  jand

 $q_0 = jq + kj$  k. Then, in the residues from the poles at jq + kj k we perform the shift q! q k and use the property  $ooth (x + k_0) = ooth (x)$ . This yields the following expression in terms of thermal forward scattering an plitudes

$$; \stackrel{i}{T}_{S} = \frac{1}{(2)^{3}} \stackrel{Z}{=} \frac{d^{3}q}{2jqj} \stackrel{1}{e^{T}} \stackrel{1}{=} \frac{1}{2} \stackrel{g}{:} \stackrel{g}{=} \frac{d^{3}q}{q} \stackrel{1}{=} \frac{1}{q} \stackrel{g}{:} \stackrel{g}{:} \stackrel{g}{=} \frac{d^{3}q}{q} \stackrel{1}{=} \frac{1}{q} \stackrel{g}{:} \stackrel{g}{:}$$

where the factor 1=2 in front of the curly brackets takes into account the symmetry of the graphs in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c). It is understood that the external graviton lines with momentum q are contracted with the tensor given by the curly bracket of Eq. (2.7).

We remark that the gauge parameter dependence of Eq. (3.4) involves only linear terms in . This can be understood since the quadratic powers of which could in principle arise from the propagator in Eq. (2.7) do not have the on-shell poles. A nother interesting property of Eq. (3.4) is that it does not involve therm algorithms.

The forward scattering expression in Eq. (3.4) is very convenient when considering the hard therm alloop contributions which arise from the region where the internal momentum q is of the order of the tem perature T, which is large compared to the external momentum k. In this regime we can expand the denom inators in Eq. (3.4) as follows

$$\frac{1}{k^2 - 2k} = \frac{1}{2k} \frac{k^2}{q} + \frac{k^2}{(2k - q)} + \qquad (3.5)$$

The leading hard therm alloop contribution is obtained by considering all the integrands which are of degree two in the internal momenta q. A fler some straightforward but very tedious algebra we were able to express the leading contribution in the following rather compact form

$$\stackrel{\text{lead}}{;}_{\text{FS}} = \frac{2 \frac{2 T^4}{30}}{30} \frac{d}{4} \frac{1}{2} + k \frac{d}{2} \frac{1}{k} \frac{d}{k} \frac{$$

where we have employed the formula (2.18) and  $\hat{q}$  have the same meaning as in the Eq. (2.19).

7

O ne can easily verify that this leading  $T^4$  contribution is related to the one-graviton function in Eq. (2.19) by the W and identity in Eq. (A.5) (this result is also in agreement with the calculations performed in the Feynman-de D onder gauge [2, 4]). Since we expect that the leading  $T^4$  contributions are generated by a gauge independent elective action, the contributions from the prescription poles in Eq. (3.3) should not modify the leading  $T^4$  behavior. This will be con med by our explicit calculation in the next section.

Let us now consider the subleading contributions which are generated when we expand the integrand of Eq. (3.4) up to term s of degree zero in q. By power counting these will be of order  $T^2$ . In order to obtain the full tensor structure generated by the expression (3.4) it is convenient to use the following tensor decomposition

$$= \int_{1}^{X^4} C_1 T_1 \quad ; \qquad (3.7)$$

where the tensors  $T_1$  ' are given in Table I. The coe cients C  $_1$  are obtained solving the system of 14 equations

where the quantities i are the following projections of the graviton self-energy

$$L = ; T_i ' ; i = 1; ...; 14:$$
 (3.9)

Each one of these projections can be expanded using Eq. (3.5). The integrals over the modulus of q can be easily performed using Eq. (2.18) (they yield the  $T^2$  factor) and the angular integrals are all straightforward. Inserting the results for  $_i$  into Eq. (3.8) and solving for  $C_1$ , we obtain

$$C_{1}^{T^{2}} = \frac{k^{4}L(k)}{\kappa^{2}} \frac{1}{12} + \frac{5}{192}\frac{k^{2}}{\kappa^{2}} + \frac{1}{36}\kappa^{2} \frac{5}{576}\frac{k^{4}}{\kappa^{2}} \frac{1}{144}k^{2} \frac{1}{30}\frac{1}{n_{0}^{2}}k^{4} {}^{2}T^{2}$$
$$C_{2}^{T^{2}} = \frac{k^{6}L(k)}{\kappa^{4}} \frac{7}{32} + \frac{25}{192}\frac{k^{2}}{\kappa^{2}} \frac{25}{576}\frac{k^{6}}{\kappa^{4}} \frac{1}{18}\frac{k^{4}}{\kappa^{2}} \frac{1}{36}k^{2} + \frac{4}{45}\frac{1}{n_{0}^{2}}k^{4} {}^{2}T^{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{3}^{T^{2}} &= \frac{k^{2} L \left(k\right)}{R^{6}} \frac{15}{16} + \frac{115}{12} \frac{k^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{15}{576} \frac{15}{R^{6}} \frac{55}{288} \frac{k^{2}}{R^{4}} + \frac{1}{18} \frac{k}{R^{2}} + \frac{1}{9} k^{2} \frac{4}{15} \frac{1}{n_{0}^{2}} k^{4} - {}^{2} T^{2} \\ C_{4}^{T^{2}} &= \frac{1}{16} + \frac{5}{192} \frac{k^{2}}{R^{2}} \frac{k^{4} L \left(k\right)}{R^{2}} - \frac{4}{15} \frac{15}{n_{0}^{5}} \left(k^{4} + k^{2} R^{2} - \frac{1}{12} k^{4}\right) - \frac{5}{576} \frac{k}{R^{2}} + \frac{5}{288} k^{2} - {}^{2} T^{2} \\ C_{5}^{T^{2}} &= \frac{25}{192} \frac{k^{8} L \left(k\right)}{R^{6}} + \frac{1}{18} k^{2} - \frac{25}{576} \frac{k^{6}}{R^{4}} + \frac{5}{288} \frac{k^{4}}{R^{2}} + \frac{1}{9} k^{2} - \frac{1}{15} \frac{1}{n_{0}^{2}} \left(4k^{2} R^{2} + \frac{14}{3} k^{4}\right) - {}^{2} T^{2} \\ C_{6}^{T^{2}} &= k_{0}^{2} - \frac{7}{32} + \frac{25}{192} \frac{k^{2}}{R^{2}} - \frac{k^{4} L \left(k\right)}{R^{4}} + \frac{25}{576} \frac{k^{4}}{R^{4}} + \frac{1}{18} \frac{k^{2}}{R^{2}} - \frac{4}{45} \frac{1}{n_{0}^{2}} k^{2} - {}^{2} T^{2} \\ C_{7}^{T^{2}} &= k_{0}^{2} - \frac{15}{16} + \frac{175 k^{2}}{192 k^{2}} - \frac{k^{6} L \left(k\right)}{R^{4}} + \frac{175 k^{6}}{576 k^{6}} + \frac{55 k^{4}}{18 k^{2}} - \frac{1}{48} \frac{k}{4} \frac{1}{15} \frac{k}{n_{0}^{2}} k^{2} - {}^{2} T^{2} \\ C_{7}^{T^{2}} &= k^{2} - \frac{13}{16} + \frac{31 k^{2}}{192 k^{2}} - \frac{25 k^{4}}{k^{2}} - \frac{k^{2} L \left(k\right)}{R^{2}} - \frac{25 k^{4}}{192 k^{2}} + \frac{175 k^{6}}{k^{4}} - \frac{175 k^{6}}{288 k^{4}} - \frac{11 k^{2}}{148 k^{2}} - \frac{1}{48} + \frac{4}{45} k^{2} + \frac{11}{90} k^{2} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{r^{2}} \\ C_{7}^{T^{2}} &= k^{2} - \frac{15}{16} + \frac{55 k^{2}}{32 k^{2}} + \frac{175 k^{4}}{192 k^{4}} - \frac{k^{2} L \left(k\right)}{R^{4}} - \frac{175 k^{6}}{576 k^{6}} - \frac{65 k^{4}}{144 k^{2}} - \frac{1}{12 k^{2}} + \frac{2}{45 n_{0}^{2}} k^{2} - {}^{2} T^{2} \\ C_{10}^{T^{2}} &= k_{0}^{2} - \frac{23}{32} + \frac{55 k^{2}}{32 k^{2}} + \frac{175 k^{4}}{192 k^{4}} - \frac{k^{2} L \left(k\right)}{R^{4}} - \frac{175 k^{4}}{576 k^{6}} - \frac{65 k^{4}}{144 k^{4}} - \frac{12 k^{2}}{288 k^{2}} + \frac{1}{12} \\ \frac{4}{15 n_{0}^{2}} R^{2} - 2T^{2} \\ C_{11}^{T^{2}} &= k_{0}^{2} - \frac{2}{32} + \frac{35 k^{2}}{32 k^{2}} + \frac{175 k^{4}}{192 k^{4}} - \frac{k^{2} L \left(k\right)}{R^{2}} - \frac{1575 k^{4}}{576 k^{4}} - \frac{35 k^{2}}{288 k^{2}} + \frac{1}{12} \\ \frac{4}{15 n_{0}^{2}} R^{2} - 2T^{2} \\ C_{11}^{T^{2}} &= \frac{k^{2} k^{2}}{k^{2}}$$

1 - - 1 8

-- 16

1 1 4

- 0 - .

- -

10012

where

$$L(k) = \frac{k_0}{2\tilde{r}_j} \log \frac{k_0 + \tilde{r}_j}{k_0 - \tilde{r}_j} \quad 1:$$
(3.11)

There are some properties of the T<sup>2</sup> contributions which are worth stressing. First, the T<sup>2</sup> contributions show their gauge dependence explicitly through the gauge parameter  $\cdot$ . Each of these gauge parameter dependent terms have two powers of momentum relative to the corresponding -independent ones (the correct mass dimension is provided by  $n_0^2$  in the denominators). Secondly, the simple W and identity satis ed by the leading T<sup>4</sup> contributions is no longer true for the sub-leading contributions. In the Appendix B we derive the more general t H ooff identities and we verify that the follow ing identity is satis ed

$${}^{(0)}_{T^2} = {}^{(1)T^2} V^2$$
(3.12)

where  $^{(1)}$  is represented by the diagram shown in Fig. 3. The T<sup>2</sup> contribution to  $^{(1)}$  is computed in detail in the Appendix B.

We have proceed even further with the hard therm alloop expansion of Eq. (3.4) and computed the contributions from the integrands of degree minus 2 in q. After integration these yield the logT terms. We have veriled that the logT contributions of all the projections i, (see Eq. (3.9)) are simply related to the corresponding projections of the ultraviolet divergent part of zero temperature graviton self-energy. The zero temperature results were computed using the gauge choice = 0 in [8]. Setting = 0 in our general result we have veriled that

$$\int_{FS}^{\log} = \log(T) ; ;$$
(3.13)

where ; is the residue of the ultraviolet divergent zero tem perature contribution computed in D = 4 2 dimensions. The veri cation of this property in the case of gravity formulated in the tem poral gauge complements



FIG. 3: The source-ghost diagram. The full/wave line on the left represents the external source.

sim ilar results obtained in the Feynm an-de D onder gauge [4] as well as in the case of the Yang-M ills theory [17, 24]. Since our calculation has been performed for arbitrary values of , we present complete results in the Appendix C.

### IV. THE CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PRESCRIPTION POLES

Let us now consider the term s which arise from the poles located at  $q_0 =$ , where is the quantity introduced in Eq. (3.3). It is convenient to express these contributions directly in term s of the projections de ned by Eq. (3.9). Each one of the fourteen projections can be expressed as follows

$$\sum_{i}^{\text{presc}} = \lim_{\substack{i \\ 0 \\ r=1 \ q_0}} X^4 X X^2 d^{\text{D}-1} q \left( \frac{f_i^r (k_0; \tilde{k} - q_i^2 q_i^2 \tilde{k}^2)}{q^2 (q+k)^2} + g_i^r (k_0; \tilde{k} - q_i^2 q_i^2 \tilde{k}^2) - \frac{(q_0)^r}{(q_0^2 - 2)^r}; \quad i = 1; 2; \dots; 14:$$
(4.1)

where  $f_i^r$  and  $g_i^r$  are polynomials in their arguments, and the denominators  $q_0^r$  have been replaced according to the prescription (3.3). This is the most general form of the integrands from the diagrams with gluon or ghost bops. Notice that, in particular, the ghost bop expression given by Eq. (3.2) yields, after projection, contributions of the kind given by the  $g_i^r$  terms above, which contains no on-shell poles.

The parameter regulates the originally ill de ned sum s and also makes possible the use of the formula (2.13), since now the integrand is regular along the imaginary  $q_0$  axis. Hence (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$\prod_{i}^{\text{presc}} = \lim_{\substack{i \\ j \\ i}} \frac{X^4 \quad Z \quad i1 + }{_{i1} + \frac{dq_0}{2}} \frac{Z}{i} \quad d^{\text{D}} \quad \frac{1}{q_2^2} \text{ coth } \frac{q_0}{2T} \quad \frac{(q_0)^r}{(q_0^2 \quad 2)^r} \quad \frac{f_i^r (k_0; \mathbf{\tilde{k}} \quad q; \mathbf{\tilde{q}}; \mathbf{\tilde{k}}^2)}{q^2 (q+k)^2} + q \ (4.2)$$

We have employed Eq. (2.14) and so the dimensionally regularized integration of the  $g_i^r$  terms has vanished. This important property shows how the ghosts are electively decoupled at nite temperature.

Performing the  $q_0$  integration by closing the integration contour at right-hand side plane, we obtain from (4.2)

$$\sum_{i}^{\text{presc}} = \lim_{i \to 0} \sum_{r=1}^{X^4} \frac{d^{r-1}}{d^{r-1}} \operatorname{coth} \frac{Z}{2T} \quad d^{D-1}q G^r(;k_0;\tilde{k} = q;\tilde{q};\tilde{k}^2):$$
(4.3)

In order to obtain the lim it ! 0, we need the following expansions of the coth and its derivatives

$$\operatorname{coth} \frac{1}{2T} = \frac{2T}{2} + 0(); \qquad \frac{d}{d} \operatorname{coth} \frac{1}{2T} = \frac{2T}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{T} + 0(^{2})$$

$$\frac{d^{2}}{d^{2}} \operatorname{coth} \frac{1}{2T} = \frac{4T}{3} + 0(); \qquad \frac{d^{3}}{d^{3}} \operatorname{coth} \frac{1}{2T} = \frac{12T}{4} - \frac{1}{60}\frac{1}{T^{3}} + 0(^{2}); \qquad (4.4)$$

An important property of the contribution of the prescription poles is that all the tem perature dependence arises only from the expansions of the hyperbolic cotangent shown above as odd powers of T. Therefore, the results obtained in the previous section for the leading T<sup>4</sup> and sub-leading T<sup>2</sup> and log(T) are not modiled by the tem poral gauge prescription.

It remains to be veried that the limit ! 0 is well de ned. Our explicit calculations show that the results for all projections do not involve inverse powers of . Using the symmetry of the angular integrals all the inverse powers of

cancel and we obtain nite results given by

$$\begin{split} P^{\text{presc}}_{i} &= T \quad d^{D} \quad {}^{1}\mathbf{q} \frac{\mathbf{F}_{i}^{1} (\mathbf{k}_{0}; \mathbf{\tilde{k}} - \mathbf{q}_{i}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{i}^{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}})}{\mathbf{q}^{6} [ \mathbf{k}_{0}^{2} + (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{\tilde{k}})^{2} ]^{5} [ \mathbf{k}_{0}^{2} + (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{\tilde{k}})^{2} ]^{5}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{T} \quad d^{D} \quad {}^{1}\mathbf{q} \frac{\mathbf{F}_{i}^{2} (\mathbf{k}_{0}; \mathbf{\tilde{k}} - \mathbf{q}_{i}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{i}^{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}})}{\mathbf{q}^{4} [ \mathbf{k}_{0}^{2} + (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{\tilde{k}})^{2} ]^{3} [ \mathbf{k}_{0}^{2} + (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{\tilde{k}})^{2} ]^{3}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{T^{3}} \quad d^{D} \quad {}^{1}\mathbf{q} \frac{\mathbf{F}_{i}^{3} (\mathbf{k}_{0}; \mathbf{\tilde{k}} - \mathbf{q}_{i}^{2} \mathbf{q}_{i}^{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}})}{\mathbf{q}^{4} [ \mathbf{k}_{0}^{2} + (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{\tilde{k}})^{2} ]^{3} [ \mathbf{k}_{0}^{2} + (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{\tilde{k}})^{2} ]^{3}} \end{split}$$

$$(4.5)$$

All these integrals are regular and can be done. In the Appendix D we show in an explicit example a closed form result. For i = 8;10;11;12 we obtain  $\sum_{i}^{\text{presc}} = 0$ , which is in agreement with the tHooft identity given by Eq. (B5). We also show that  $\sum_{i}^{\text{presc}} = 0$  for i = 7;9;13;14. Though the non-vanishing integrals (i = 1;2;3;4;5;6) introduce an extra temperature dependence, it is clear that they do not change the behavior of the hard therm alloop expressions obtained in the previous section.

We remark that these non-vanishing integrals include both the therm all and the zero temperature contributions (notice that the integrands contains a coth instead of the purely therm alpart involving the B ose-E instein distribution). Had we computed the therm alpart separately we would be left with contributions which are divergent when ! 0 as well as the inverse powers of T. Since the dimensional regularization is employed only for the space part of the integrals, the vacuum part also contains inverse powers of (only the fully dimensionally regularized zero temperature calculation is well de ned in the limit ! 0 [15]). It is remarkable that the inverse powers of in the therm alpart are exactly canceled by the corresponding ones in the vacuum part and we are left only with the inverse powers of the temperature. This property indicates that some of the ill-de ned inverse powers of have been replaced by a therm al regulated expression. In order to understand why these prescription dependent parts are not well de ned when T ! 0, one should notice that is a dimensionfull parameter which was made \sm all" in the sense that << T. Therefore, the prescription-dependent results cannot be extended to the region T ! 0.

# V. DISPERSION RELATIONS IN A GRAVITON PLASMA

The sub-leading hard therm al loop contributions proportional to  $T^2$  will produce modi cations in the solution of the dispersion relations describing the wave propagation in a graviton plasma. The dispersion relations were carefully investigated in the case of the leading T<sup>4</sup> contributions [2]. The inclusion of sub-leading contributions has been considered in the Feynm an-de Donder gauge [4]. Although the sub-leading modi cation of the solutions of the dispersion relations are suppressed by a factor G T $^2$ 1, in relation to the order one part arising from the T<sup>4</sup> contributions, one may be interested to know how the gauge dependence of the graviton self-energy will a ect these solutions (the one-graviton function, which also contributes to the dispersion relations, has no sub-leading gauge dependent contributions at the one-loop order considered here). In Yang-M ills theories, the problem of gauge-(in)dependence is well understood since a theorem was proved by Kobes, Kunstatter and Rebhan (KKR) [25]. In an one-loop calculation, the gauge dependences of the location of the poles of the gluon propagator are explained in term softhe KKR identities. A wellknown example of this problem is the gauge dependence of the plasm on damping constant (see [26] for a recent review) and its solution by the Braaten and Pisarski resum mation scheme [27]. As far as we know, a complete analysis of this problem, in the case of gravity, is still missing. Therefore, we believe that it is in portant to investigate how gauge dependent the graviton propagator is and whether it is possible to extract gauge independent information. In this regard, it is remarkable that the one-loop calculations of the QCD damping constant, in the axial gauge, though incom plete, satisfy som e of the necessary conditions required by any physical quantity, being both gauge independent and positive [12].

W ith this motivation, let us apply our axial gauge results in the dispersion relations associated with the transverse traceless components of the Jacobi equation for small disturbances in the graviton plasma [2]. Proceeding as in reference [4], the results given in Eq. (3.10) (as well as the corresponding leading T<sup>4</sup> contributions) yields the following dispersion relations for the three transverse traceless modes

$$\begin{aligned} k^{2} & 1 + \frac{16 \ G \ T^{2} k^{2}}{15 \ n_{0}^{2}} = 16 \ G & \frac{5}{9} + \frac{1}{2} r^{4} L & \frac{1}{6} r^{2} \\ & + \frac{5k^{2}}{2T^{2}} \ r^{2} L + \frac{5}{16} r^{4} L & \frac{5}{48} r^{2} & \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \\ k^{2} & 1 + \frac{16 \ G \ T^{2} k^{2}}{15 \ n_{0}^{2}} \ 1 + \frac{8}{3} \frac{1}{r^{2}} = 16 \ G & \frac{2}{9} \ 2r^{4} L + \frac{2}{3} r^{2} + \frac{10}{9} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{5k^{2}}{^{2}T^{2}} - \frac{13}{8}r^{2}L - \frac{5}{4}r^{4}L + \frac{5}{12}r^{2} + \frac{7}{12} + \frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{r^{2}}$$

$$k^{2} - 1 + \frac{16}{15}\frac{GT^{2}k^{2}}{n_{0}^{2}} - 1 + \frac{8}{3}\frac{1}{r^{4}} + \frac{32}{9}\frac{1}{r^{2}} = 16 - G - \frac{8}{9} + 3r^{4}L - r^{2} + \frac{28}{27}\frac{1}{r^{2}}$$

$$+ \frac{5k^{2}}{^{2}T^{2}} - \frac{5}{4}r^{2}L + \frac{15}{8}r^{4}L - \frac{5}{8}r^{2} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} + \frac{4}{9}\frac{1}{r^{4}} - r^{2} - \frac{k^{2}}{r^{2}}$$
(5.1)

where L (k) is given by Eq. (3.11).

Let us now solve these relations in the region of real values of  $k_0$  and  $\tilde{k}$ , which is relevant for the propagation of waves, and then compare with the corresponding solutions previously obtained in the Feynman-de D onder gauge. It is convenient to introduce the dimensionless quantities  $k^2$   $\frac{\pi}{2} = (16 \text{ G})$ ,  $!^2$   $!^2 = (16 \text{ G})$  and  $r_0^2$   $r_0^2 = (16 \text{ G})$ . We will also choose  $n_0^2 = !^2$  so that the scale of the gauge xing is compatible with the momentum scale. For intermediate values of k and !, the dispersion relations have to be solved numerically and the results are qualitatively similar to the ones shown in Fig. 3 of reference [4]. In order to discuss the speci c issue of gauge dependence in terms of well de ned analytic expressions, we will consider the asymptotic regions of very sm all and very large values of k; !. In the limit k ! 0 the solution of the dispersion relations (5.1) gives the follow ing result for the plasm a frequency (this is the minimum frequency above which propagating waves are supported by the plasm a)

$$(!_{p1}^{axial})^2 = \frac{22}{45} 1 + \frac{25}{6} 8 \frac{2 \text{ GT}^2}{15}$$
; (5.2)

where we have neglected higher powers of GT<sup>2</sup>. From Eqs. (4.15) of reference [4] the same lim it k ! 0 yields

$$(!_{p1}^{cov}:)^2 = \frac{22}{45} \ 1 \qquad \frac{14}{5} + (1 \qquad) \frac{32 \ \text{GT}^2}{15} ;$$
 (5.3)

were is the gauge parameter in the class of covariant gauges and = 1 de nes the Feynm an-de D onder gauge employed in reference [4]. An important property of these results is that, in both classes of gauges, there is the same strong dependence on the gauge parameter. In order to understand this behavior, let us reintroduce the dimensionfull parameter 16 G  $= (8=15)^{3} \text{GT}^{4}$ . Then, in both classes of gauges, one can see that the gauge dependent subleading correction is of order (GT<sup>4</sup>) (GT<sup>2</sup>) which is of the same order as the two-loop corrections, not included in this calculation. Therefore, the subleading contributions to the plasm a frequency constitute only a partial result at the one-loop order.

In the lim it of high frequencies,  $\binom{1}{2}$   $k^2$  1 the asymptotic behavior of the solutions is described by the therm al masses m<sup>2</sup><sub>I</sub>  $\binom{1}{I}$   $k^2_{I}$  (I = A;B;C). Using Eqs. (5.1) it is straightforward to obtain the following results

$$(m_A^{\text{axial}})^2 = \frac{5}{9} 1 + \frac{8}{5}k^2 \text{ GT}^2$$
; (5.4)

$$(m_B^{axial})^2 = \frac{p_{10}}{3} 1 + \frac{p_{10}}{10} k \frac{4 G T^2}{15} k$$
 (5.5)

and

$$(m_{C}^{axial})^{2} = \frac{2^{p} \overline{21}}{9} 1 + \frac{4}{7}k^{2} \text{ GT}^{2} k:$$
 (5.6)

W hen the sam e derivation is perform ed using Eqs. (4.15) of reference [4], for arbitrary values of the gauge param eter , one obtains the following results

$$(m_{\rm A}^{\rm cov})^2 = \frac{5}{9} \ 1 \ (9 \ \frac{32 \ {\rm G} \ {\rm T}^2}{15} ;$$
 (5.7)

$$(m_B^{\text{cov}})^2 = \frac{p_{\overline{10}}}{3} 1 (1 \frac{16 \text{ G} \text{T}^2}{15} \text{ k}$$
 (5.8)

and

$$(m_{\rm C}^{\rm cov:})^2 = \frac{2^{\rm P} \overline{21}}{9} 1 + \frac{16 \ {\rm G} \, {\rm T}^2}{15} \ {\rm k}:$$
 (5.9)

All these explicit examples clearly show the main di erences between these two distinct classes of gauges. It is remarkable that the axial gauge subleading contributions contain extra powers of k which makes then larger than the corresponding corrections in the covariant gauges, of order (G T<sup>4</sup>) (G T<sup>2</sup>). Notice how ever, that the hard therm all loop condition,  $k^2 = T^2$ , implies that  $k^2 G T^2 = 1$  so that the subleading contributions will not exceed the leading ones. As far as the gauge dependences are concerned, we remark that there are no gauge parameter dependences in the axial gauge results (for the choice  $n_0^2 = \frac{1}{2}$  (jkj). While this property is consistent with the necessary requirement that any physical quantity should satisfy, the same is not true when the masses m<sub>I</sub> (I = A;B;C) are computed in the covariant gauges.

# VI. DISCUSSION

In this article we have explicitly computed the therm alone- and two-graviton functions in the temporal gauge. We have applied Leibbrandt's [15] prescription to deal with the temporal gauge poles at nite temperature. This calculation provides a rather non-trivial explicit veri cation of the gauge invariance properties of the hard therm al loop contributions. Indeed, the leading  $T^4$  behavior is in agreement with previous calculations in covariant gauges. The subleading contributions of order  $T^2$  have a gauge dependence in agreement with the tHooft identities. We have also compared our logT contributions with the residue of the ultraviolet pole of the dimensionally regularized zero temperature graviton self energy, given in Ref. [8], and found that they are the same (this property has also been veri ed in the Feynman-de D onder gauge [4]). Our results include also the full gauge parameter dependence, as shown in the Appendix C.

O ur explicit calculation indicates that the tem poral gauge m ay be consistently employed even in the highly non-trivial case of them algravity. The form of the prescription poles in Eq. (3.3) do not change the hard them all loop behavior of our main result given by Eq. (3.4). An important property of this forward scattering am plitude is that, as opposite to the covariant gauges, it does not involve them alghosts and the gauge parameter dependence is linear in  $\cdot$ .

In the analysis of the dispersion relations we have included the hard them alloop subleading contributions proportional to  $T^2$  and compared the structure of the gauge dependence with similar calculations which were performed earlier in the Feynman gauge. As expected from general formal arguments there are gauge dependent contributions which arises from the subleading  $T^2$  terms in the graviton self-energy. By power counting, some of the gauge dependent terms are of the same order as the two-loop contributions. However, the subleading terms, when computed in the axial gauge, are such that their contributions to the asymptotic masses are enhanced by extra powers of k and have a weaker gauge dependence. This behavior is analogous to what happens in QCD, where the plasm on damping constant (which also is subleading in the temperature) has a weaker gauge dependence when computed in non-covariant gauges.

### A cknow ledgm ents

F.T.B. would like to thank CNPq for nancial support and Prof. J. Frenkel form any helpful discussions. F.R.M and B.C.-M. would like to thank Fapesp for providing the nancial support for their graduate program.

# APPENDIX A:WARD IDENTITIES

In this appendix we derive the identities which must be satis ed by the vertex functions generated from an action which is invariant under coordinate transform ations. These identities provide an important consistency check of the gravitational Feynman rules as well as the leading high tem perature therm al G reen's functions.

The invariance of an action S can be expressed as follows

$$S = d^4 x - \frac{L(x)}{(x)}$$
 (x) = 0: (A1)

Let us choose the following coordinate transform ation with an in nitesim alparameter "(x)

$$x^{0} = x + "(x):$$

Perform ing the transform ation in the metric

7.

1

$$g^{0} (x^{0}) = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x^{0}} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x^{0}} g = g (x) g (x) \partial u g (x) \partial u u g (x) \partial$$

we obtain

$$g^{0}(x) g(x) g = g @ " g @ " " @ g$$
  
= @ " @ " (@ ")+ (@ ")+ "(@ ); (A 3)

where we have used Eq. (2.1). Inserting (A 3) into (A 1) and using integration by parts, we obtain

$$d^{4}x$$
 " ( 0 + 0 )  $\frac{L}{d^{4}x}$  =  $d^{4}x$  " (0 + 0 + (0 ))  $\frac{L}{d^{4}x}$  (A 4)

Taking functional derivatives of Eq. (A4) one obtains the following W ard identities in m om entum space

$$\frac{1}{2} \quad 0 \quad (k_1) \vee^2 \quad (k_1; k_2) = 1 \quad (k_1; k_2) \vee^1 \quad (k_1 + k_2 = 0)$$
 (A5)

$$\frac{1}{2} \circ (k_1) \vee^3 (k_1; k_2; k_3) = \frac{1}{2} (k_1; k_2) \vee^2 (k_1 + k_2; k_3)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (k_1; k_3) \vee^2 (k_1 + k_3; k_2)$$
(A 6)

$$\frac{1}{2} \circ (k_{1}) \vee (k_{1}; k_{2}; k_{3}; k_{4}) = \frac{1}{2} \circ (k_{1}; k_{2}) \vee (k_{1} + k_{2}; k_{3}; k_{4})$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \circ (k_{1}; k_{3}) \vee (k_{1} + k_{3}; k_{2}; k_{4})$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \circ (k_{1}; k_{4}) \vee (k_{1} + k_{4}; k_{2}; k_{3}); \quad (A7)$$

where

$${}^{0}$$
  $(k_{1}) = k_{1} + k_{1}$  (A8)

$$(k_1;k_2) = k_1 + k_1 + k_2 ;$$
 (A 9)

and the vertices  $V^n$  are the momentum space expressions for the n-th functional derivatives computed at = 0 (momentum conservation is understood in all identities).

In the case of a tree-level action there is no one-point vertex  $V^1$  and so the quadratic term satisfies the transversality condition

$$^{0}$$
  $(k_{1})V^{2}$   $(k_{1};k_{2}) = 0:$  (A 10)

This may not be the case for an elective gauge invariant Lagrangian. Indeed, it is well know that the one-graviton function is non-zero at nite temperature.

### APPENDIX B: GRAVITATIONAL THOOFT IDENTITIES

The imaginary time formalism at nite temperature follows closely the corresponding formalism at T = 0. Consequently, the tHooft identities at nite T would be identical to the ones at T = 0, if there were no 1-particle tadpole contributions (such terms vanish at T = 0 in the dimensional regularization scheme). However, since the tadpole is exactly proportional to  $T^4$ , it will not a ect the identities involving the sub-leading contributions. To derive these, we start from the action

$$Z = d^{4}xd^{4}y \quad (x)S_{sub} \quad (x \quad y) \quad (y) + d^{4}xd^{4}yJ \quad (x)X \quad (x \quad y) \quad (y) + \qquad : \qquad (B1)$$

Here S<sub>sub</sub> denotes the tree order quadratic term plus the sub-leading contributions to the graviton 2-point function and X represents the tensor generated by a gauge transform ation of the graviton eld which is given to low est order, in the momentum space, by Eq. (A8). J is an external source, represents the ghost eld and stand for term s which are not relevant for our purpose. The tHooft identity involving the graviton self-energy function is a consequence of the BRST invariance of the action I:

$$d^{4}x \frac{I}{J(x)} \frac{I}{(x)} = 0$$
(B2)

In general, Eq. (B2) in plies the tHooft identity

$$X \qquad S_{sub} = 0; \tag{B3}$$

which can be written to second order as

$$X^{(0)}_{sub} = X^{(1)} V^2$$
; (B4)

where  $V^2$  satisfies the identity (A 10). Using Eq. (A 10) we see that (B 4) leads immediately to the tHooft identity

It is straightforward to show that the identity (B 5) in plies that  $_{sub8} = _{sub10} = _{sub11} = _{sub12} = 0$  and so these projections have a tem perature behavior which is at most proportional to T<sup>4</sup>.

In order to verify (3.12) we need to calculate the tensor <sup>(1)</sup> which appears in (B4). In this way we need the source-graviton-ghost vertex which can be obtained from the Lagrangian [28]

$$L_{S} = J D \tag{B6}$$

U sing the transform ation g = D we obtain

$$\frac{k_2 \lambda}{\mu \nu} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad k_2 + \qquad k_3 + \qquad (B7)$$

The diagram in Fig. 3 can be now calculated using vertex (B7) and Feynman rules (2.7), (2.10) and (2.11). Expanding  $^{(1)}$  in the base shown in Table II and using the forward scattering method as we did for the one- and

TABLE II: 10 independent tensors base

two-graviton functions, we obtain the following leading T  $^2$  contribution for  $^{(1)}$ 

$${}^{(1)} = \frac{T^2}{18} k_0 [u + u 2u u u]$$
(B8)

Contracting (B8) with  $V^2$  yields

<sup>(1)</sup> 
$$\nabla^2 = \frac{T^2}{18} k_0 f 2k u (ku + ku) + k u (2 k k k)$$
  
+  $k^2 (u + u 2uuu) k (ku + ku) 2k^2 u u$  (B9)

U sing the result for  $T^2$ , which can be obtained inserting Eq. (3.10) into (3.7), we have veri ed that the contraction with  $^{(0)}$  yields Eq. (B.9) with opposite sign in complete agreement with Eq. (3.12).

# APPEND IX C: THE LOGT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR ARBITRARY VALUES OF

In this appendix we complement the result presented in Eq. (3.13) and include the contributions proportional to the gauge parameter . We have obtained the following results for the 14 projections (see Eq. (3.9))

where we are using the quantity  $y = \frac{k_0^2}{k^2}$  in order to compare with the zero tem perature results of reference [8]. In terms of these projections, the coecients of the transverse traceless components of the graviton self-energy, as

de ned for instance in reference [2], can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} c_{A} &= \frac{{}^{2} k^{4}}{15 {}^{2}} \log \left(T\right) \frac{5}{2} y^{2} + 2y + \frac{19}{64} \qquad \frac{k_{0}^{2}}{n_{0}^{2}} \frac{24}{7} + \frac{39}{56} \frac{1}{y} \\ c_{B} &= \frac{{}^{2} k^{4}}{15 {}^{2}} \log \left(T\right) 5y^{2} + \frac{1}{8} y \quad \frac{181}{64} + \frac{k_{0}^{2}}{n_{0}^{2}} \frac{135}{7} + \frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{y} \quad \frac{333y}{14} \\ c_{C} &= \frac{{}^{2} k^{4}}{15 {}^{2}} \log \left(T\right) \frac{11}{6} y^{2} \quad \frac{25}{6} y \quad \frac{71}{192} + \frac{k_{0}^{2}}{n_{0}^{2}} \frac{53}{21} \quad \frac{168}{56} \frac{1}{y} + \frac{115}{6} y \quad \frac{542}{21} y^{2} \quad ; \end{aligned}$$

$$(C2)$$

These expressions show that the dispersion relations associated with the transverse traceless modes will, in general, be gauge dependent at this order of perturbation theory.

## APPENDIX D

As an example of the calculation shown in subsection  $42 \text{ we will calculate a contribution of the prescription poles} for the projection <math>\frac{p \text{ resc}}{6}$ . This contribution can be written as

U sing the prescription (3.3) and Eq. (2.13) we obtain

$$\overset{\text{presc}}{_{6}} = \frac{2}{\overset{\text{Z}}{_{6}}} \underbrace{\underset{1}{^{\circ}}_{1}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\underset{1}{^{\circ}}_{1}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{dq_{\text{D}}}{4}}_{\text{L}} \underbrace{\frac{d^{\text{D}}{^{-1}}q}{(2 \text{ i})^{\text{D}-1}} \operatorname{och} \frac{q_{\text{D}}}{2\text{T}} \underbrace{\frac{D}{2}}_{\text{T}} \underbrace{\frac{(\text{D} - 3)}{2}}_{\text{D} - 2} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{Q} - 2} \underbrace{\frac{9}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{q_{\text{P}}^{4}}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{q_{\text{P}}^{4}}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{q_{\text{P}}^{4}}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{q_{\text{P}}^{2}}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{9}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{9}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{9}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{9}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{9}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{9}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{9}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace{\frac{9}}_{\text{R}} \underbrace$$

C losing the integration contour at right-hand side plane and expanding in terms of a power series in we obtain

$${}^{\text{presc}}_{6} = {}^{2} \text{T} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{d^{D}}{(2 + i)^{D}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{D}{D} \frac{(D - 3)}{2} \frac{8}{2} \\ \frac{d^{D}}{(2 + i)^{D}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{D}{D} \frac{(D - 3)}{2} \frac{8}{2} \\ \frac{2}{(2 + i)^{D}} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{D} \frac{(2 + i)^{2}}{2} \frac{(2 - i)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2 - i)^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2 - i)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}}{(2 + i)^{2}} + i \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2} \\ \frac{2}{(2 - i)^{D}} \frac{2}{2} \frac{(2 - i)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2 - i)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2 - i)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{$$

where  $k_4 = ik_0$  and we have performed a shift  $q ! q \tilde{k}$ . In the lim it D ! 4 we obtain

$${}_{6}^{\text{presc}} = \frac{2 {}^{2} \mathrm{T}}{1} \dot{k}_{4} j (\dot{k}_{4} j^{2} \tilde{k}^{2})$$
 (D 4)

The contributions to the projections  $\begin{array}{c} presc\\ 7\end{array}$ ,  $\begin{array}{c} presc\\ 9\end{array}$ ,  $\begin{array}{c} presc\\ 1\end{array}$ ,  $\begin{array}{c} presc\\ 2\end{array}$ ,  $\begin{array}{c} prer$ 

- [1] D.J.Gross, M.J.Perry, and L.G.Ya e, Phys.Rev.D 25, 330 (1982);
  - Y.Kikuchi, T.Moriya, and H.Tsukahara, Phys. Rev. D 29, 2220 (1984);
  - P.S.G ribosky, J.F.D onoghue, and B.R.Holstein, Ann.Phys. 190, 149 (1989).
- [2] A.Rebhan, Nucl. Phys. B 351, 706 (1991).
- [3] A.P. de Almeida, F.T. Brandt, and J. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. D 49, 4196 (1994).
- [4] F.T.Brandt and J.Frenkel, Phys.Rev.D 58, 085012 (1998).
- [5] T.Matsuki, Phys. Rev. D 19, 2879 (1979).
- [6] R.Delbourgo, J.Phys. A 14, L235 (1981).
- [7] R.Delbourgo, J.Phys. A 14, 3123 (1981).
- [8] D.M.Capper and G.Leibbrandt, Phys.Rev.D 25, 1009 (1982).
- [9] D.M.Capper and G.Leibbrandt, Phys. Rev. D 25, 2211 (1982).
- [10] T.Matsuki, Phys.Rev.D 32, 3164 (1985).
- [11] K.Kajantie and J.Kapusta, Ann. Phys. 160, 477 (1985).
- [12] R.Kobes and G.Kunstatter, PRINT-89-0930 (WINNIPEG) Invited talk given at the Workshop on Physical and Non-Covariant Gauges, Vienna, Austria, Sep 19-23, 1989
- [13] R.Kobes, G.Kunstatter, and K.W.Mak, Z.Phys.C 45, 129 (1989).
- [14] K.A. Jam es and P.V. Landsho, Phys. Lett. B 251, 167 (1990);
  - K.A.James, Z.Phys.C 48, 169 (1990);
  - K.A.James, Z.Phys.C 49, 115 (1991).
- [15] G.Leibbrandt and M. Staley, Nucl. Phys. B 428, 469 (1994).
- $[16]\ G$  .Leibbrandt and M .Staley, Nucl. Phys. B 461, 259 (1996).
- [17] F.T.Brandt, J.Frenkel, and F.R.M achado, Phys.Rev.D 61, 125014 (2000).
- [18] J. I. Kapusta, Finite Tem perature Field Theory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1989);
   M. L. Bellac, Them al Field Theory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1996);
  - A.Das, Finite Tem perature Field Theory (W orld Scienti c, NY, 1997).
- [19] F.T.Brandt, J.Frenkel, and J.C.Taylor, Phys. Rev. D 44, 1801 (1991).
- [20] J.Frenkel and J.C.Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B 374, 156 (1992);
   F.T.Brandt and J.Frenkel, Phys. Rev. D 56, 2453 (1997).
- [21] G.Leibbrandt, Rev.M od. Phys. 59, 1067 (1987).
- [22] G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltm an, Nucl. Phys. B 44, 189 (1972);
- C.G.Bollini and J.J.Giam biagi, Nuovo Cim. B 12, 20 (1972).
- [23] I.S.G radshteyn and M.Ryzhik, Tables of Integral Series and Products (A cademic, New York, 1980).
- [24] F.T.Brandt and J.Frenkel, Phys.Rev.D 60, 107701 (1999).
- [25] R.Kobes, G.Kunstatter and A.Rebhan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 2992; Nucl. Phys. B 355 (1991) 1;
- [26] A.Rebhan, Lect. Notes Phys. 583, 161 (2002).
- [27] E.Braaten and R.D.Pisarski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 1338; Nucl. Phys. B 337 (1990) 569.
- [28] R. Delbourgo and T. Matsuki, Phys. Rev. D 32, 2579 (1985).
- [29] A.H sieh and E.Yehudai, Com put. Phys. 6, 253 (1992).
- [30] The derivation of the momentum space Feynman rules as well as the subsequent calculations of diagram swere all perform ed using the mapleV 3 version of the computer algebra package H IP [29].
- [31] These tensors, which have been employed in Ref. [2] in them algravity, were introduced in Ref. [5] in the context of gravity at zero temperature formulated in the axial gauge. In the present paper we employ the same sorting of Ref. [2], but we normalize the tensors in such a way that they are all dimensionless.