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#### Abstract

W e construct lattices $w$ th altemating kinks and anti-kinks. The lattice is shown to be stable in certain models. W e consider the forces betw een kinks and antikinks and nd that the lattige dynam ics is that of a Toda lattice. Such lattices are exotic m etastable states in which the system can get trapped during a phase transition.


PACS num bers: 03.65

D om ain walls are am ong the sim plest topological defects known and have often been used as a test-bed for studying non-perturbative e ects. A $n$ exam ple of a dom ain $w$ allis the $\backslash^{4}$ kink" trivially extended to three spatial dim ensions. This solution is often thought to typify all dom ain walls. H ow ever, it has recently becom e clear that the properties of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{kinks}$ do not sim ply carry over to
 densed $m$ atter system s such as He -3 [1] . Instead a m uch richer structure ofkinks em erges. A swe show in this paper, the enhanced structure of kinks in $S U(N) Z_{2}$ (for odd N ) allows for the construction of lattides of kinks and anti-kinks. In related $m$ odels the kink lattice is also perturbatively stable.

The lattices we are constructing are di erent from other known lattices such as an Abrikosov lattice [ill The total topological charge of an Abrikosov lattioe is non-vanishing. In contrast, the kink lattice we w ill construct w illhave zero topological charge. Thism eans that we can construct the kink lattioe in a box w ith periodic boundary conditions, and also that the lattioe is topologically equivalent to the vacuum . H ence if we start out in an unbroken sym m etry phase, w ith vanishing net topological charge, there is a chance that, after the sym $m$ etry is spontaneously broken, the system will be trapped in the lattice phase instead of the true vacuum. From the lattice phase, the system can then only reach the true vacuum by quantum tunneling.

W e start w ith an $S U(\mathbb{N}) \quad Z_{2}$ eld theory whose Lagrangian is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\operatorname{Tr}(@ \quad)^{2} \quad \mathrm{~V}() \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is an SU(N) adjint andV() is invariant under

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \quad S U(\mathbb{N}) \quad Z_{2}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

N 3 is taken to be odd, and the param eters in $V$ are such that has an expectation value that can be chosen
to be

$$
0=\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{S}  \tag{3}\\
\left.\frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2}\right.} 1\right) & \mathrm{n}) & 0 \\
0 & (\mathrm{n}+1) 1_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array} ;
$$

$w$ here, $n=(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2,1_{p}$ is the $p \quad p$ identity $m$ atrix and is an energy scale determ ined by the $m$ inim a of the potential V . Such an expectation value spontaneously breaks the sym $m$ etry down to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=[S U(n+1) \quad S U(n) \quad U(1)]=Z_{n+1} \quad Z_{n} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e w ill choose V ( ) to be a quartic polynom ial:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}()=\mathrm{m}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left[^{2}\right]+\mathrm{h}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[{ }^{2}\right]\right)^{2}+\operatorname{Tr}\left[^{4}\right]+\mathrm{V}_{0}\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{0}$ is a constant chosen so that the $m$ inim um of the potential has $\mathrm{V}=0$. The Lagrangian is sym m etric under ! and it is the breaking ofthis Z 2 sym m etry that gives rise to topological dom ain wall solutions. W e could also extend the $m$ odel by $m$ aking it locally gauge invariant. T he solutions described below w illstillbe valid w ith the gauge elds set to zero; the stability analysis w ill change.

W hile our analysis can easily be carried out for general $N$, the physics is $m$ ore transparent for a speci c choide of N. H ence we will choose $\mathrm{N}=5$ and, where relevant, rem ark on the case of general $\mathrm{N}^{1}$. Then the desired sym m etry breaking to

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
S U(3) & S U(2) & U(1) \tag{6}
\end{array}\right]\left[Z_{3} \quad Z_{2}\right]
$$

is achieved in the param eter range

[^0]The vacuum expectation value ( VEV ), 0 is (up to any gauge rotation)

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=P \overline{\overline{60}} \operatorname{diag}(2 ; 2 ; 2 ; 3 ; 3) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\quad \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \quad h+{\frac{N^{2}+3}{N\left(N^{2} 1\right)}}_{N=5}=h+\frac{7}{30}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

 dom ain wall solutions in this $m$ odelbut a solution $w$ ith least energy is achieved if ( 1 ) $=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(+1) \quad+=\quad{ }_{\overline{60}} \operatorname{diag}(2 ; 3 ; 3 ; 2 ; 2) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two features of + are worthy of note. $F$ irst, there is a m inus sign in front. T hisputs + and in disconnected parts of the vacuum m anifold. $T$ he second feature is that tw o blocks ofentries of + are perm uted w ith respect to those of . In other words, and + are related by a non-trivial gauge rotation. Furthem ore, the kink solution (or, dom ain wall solution, in $m$ ore than one dim ension) can be w ritten dow n explicitly in the case $w$ hen $\mathrm{h}==3=20$ [11,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\frac{1 \tanh (\mathrm{x})}{2}+\frac{1+\tanh (\mathrm{x})}{2}+ \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=m={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}$. For other values of the coupling constants, the solution has been found num erically [ili].

The topological charge of a kink can be de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\stackrel{P \overline{60}}{(R} \quad L) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ and $L$ are the asym ptotic values of the $H$ iggs eld to the right ( R ) and left ( L ) of the kink. (T he rescaling has been done for convenience.) Then the charge of the kink in eq. (11) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{(1)}=\operatorname{diag}(4 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, one can construct kinks with charge $m$ atrices $Q^{(i)}(i=1 ;:: ; 5)$ which have 4 as the ii entry and +1 in the rem aining diagonal entries. H ence there are kink solutions w ith 5 di erent topological charge $m$ atrices. Individually, the kinks can be gauge rotated into one another. But when tw o kinks are present, the di erent charges are physically relevant. This is m ost easily seen by noting that the interaction betw een a kink with charge $Q^{(i)}$ and an antikink with charge $Q^{(j)}=Q^{(j)}$ is proportional to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q^{(i)} Q^{(j)}\right)$ [ $\left.\underline{U}^{(1]}\right]$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q^{(i)} Q^{(j)}\right) & =20 \text { if } i=j \\
& =+5 \text { if } i \not j \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

T he sign of the trace tells us if the force betw een the kink and antikink is attractive ( $m$ inus) or repulsive ( $p l u s$ ).

Hence the force betw een a kink and an antikink with different orientations ( $i \notin j$ ) is repulsive. This observation is key to the construction of $k$ ink lattioes.

In $R$ ef. $\left[\mathbb{L}^{\prime}\right]$, the repulsive potentialbetw een a kink and an antikink at rest was derived. W hen the kink and antikink separation, $R$, is large, the result reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{R})=\frac{4^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}}{} e^{2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2} \mathrm{~m} R} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To construct a kink lattice, we now need to arrange a periodic sequence of kink charges such that the nearest neighbor interactions are repulsive. $K$ inks that are not nearest neighbors but are further apart will also interact, and perhaps even attract each other. H ow ever the forces between kinks and antikinks fallo exponentially fast and just taking nearest-neighbor interactions into account should be su cient, at least for lattice spacing larger than the kink width. So now we can w rite down a sequence of charges that can form a kink lattice. $T$ his is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
::: Q^{(1)} Q^{(5)} Q^{(3)} Q^{(1)} Q^{(5)} Q^{(3)}::: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the sequence just repeats itself. A ltemately, we could have a nite lattice if the kinks w ere in a com pact space, such as a com pact higher dim ension, or the $S^{1}$ that arises in evaluating the partition function in statisticalm echanics.

The sequence listed above is the $m$ in in um sequence for which the nearest neighbor interactions are repulsive. $T$ he repeating length of 6 kinks is independent of $N$ in $S U(\mathbb{N})$ since it is clear that we need at least, and no $m$ ore than, 3 di erent kinds ofkink charges.

A nother way to w rite the kink sequence is to write it as a sequence of H iggs eld expectation values. W ew rite this sequence for the above $m$ in im al lattice:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
:: & +(2 ; 2 ; 2 ; 3 ; 3)! & (2 ; 3 ; 3 ; 2 ; 2) \\
! & +(3 ; 2 ; 2 ; 3 ; 2)! & (2 ; 3 ; 2 ; 2 ; 3) \\
! & +(2 ; 2 ; 3 ; 3 ; 2)! & (3 ; 3 ; 2 ; 2 ; 2) \\
& +(2 ; 2 ; 2 ; 3 ; 3)! & :: & \tag{17}
\end{array}
$$

W e have constructed the solution for the $m$ inim alkink lattice num erically on a space $w$ th periodic boundary conditions. In $F$ ig. '11 we show the total energy of the m in im al lattice as a function of lattice spacing.

The $m$ inim al lattice of 6 kinks is easily generalized to longer sequences. A sequence of 10 kinks in the $\mathrm{N}=5$ case is aesthetic in the sense that it uses all the 5 di erent charge $m$ atrices dem ocratically:

$$
\begin{equation*}
::: Q^{(1)} Q^{(5)} Q^{(3)} Q^{(4)} Q^{(2)} Q^{(1)} Q^{(5)} Q^{(3)} Q^{(4)} Q^{(2)}::: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly one can construct sequences in the general N case.

W e have also num erically studied the dynam ics of the lattice by giving one of the kinks an initial velocity. We nd that the kink scatters elastically on the neighboring anti-kink, and the $m$ otion propagates dow $n$ the lattioe.


FIG. 1: The energy of the $m$ in im al lattice versus lattice spacing for $\mathrm{h}==3=20,=0: 5$ and $=1$.


F IG . 2: A linear row of barm agnets placed $N$ orth to $N$ orth and South to South has an instability tow ards rotations in the transverse directions as shown.

Indeed, lattioes of $m$ asses interacting via exponentially decaying repulsive forces (see eq. (1-5)) have been studied in the literature and are know $n$ as Toda lattices $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{\underline{9}}\right]$. Hence the kink lattice is a Toda lattice.

W e now discuss the stability of the lattice. A detailed stability analysis show s that the lattice in eq. (1) has three unstable m odes, corresponding to rotations in the 1-3, 1-5, 3-5 blocks. To clarify the instability, we draw an analogy betw een the kink lattioe and a lattice of bar $m$ agnets ( $F$ ig. $\overline{\prime 2}$ ) . E ach barm agnet on its ow $n$ has a zero m ode that corresponds to rotations in three dim ensional space. W hen placed in the lattice shown in Fig. 受, rotational zero $m$ odes tum into unstable $m$ odes. Sim ilarly, an isolated kink has zero modes corresponding to rotations in gauge space \{ for exam ple, a kink with charge $Q_{1}$ can be rotated into the kink with charge $Q_{3} w$ ithout any cost in energy. W hen a kink of charge $Q_{1}$ is placed near an antikink of charge $Q_{3}$, the zero $m$ ode becom es an unstable $m$ ode, $m$ aking it favorable for $Q_{1}$ to rotate into $Q_{3}$ after which the kink and antikink can annihilate.
$T$ his understanding of the instability also suggests a resolution: if the rotational zero $m$ odes are su ciently suppressed or absent, the lattice w ill becom e stable. To suppress the rotational zero modes, we could break the
sym $m$ etry further so that the kink is no longer invariant under rotations. W e can also consider a case where the zero $m$ odes are com pletely absent right from the start. W e will discuss this latter case as it is sim pler to deal w ith and provides an explicit example of a eld theory w th stable kink lattioes.

C onsider the modelw th four real scalar elds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{4}}\left(@ f_{i}\right)^{2}+V\left(f_{1} ; f_{2} ; f_{3} ; f_{4}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
V & =\frac{m^{2}}{2} X_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}^{2}+\frac{h}{4}\left(X_{i=1}^{X^{4}} f_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}+\overline{8}_{a=1}^{X^{3}} f_{a}^{4} \\
& +\frac{7}{30} f_{4}^{4}+f_{1}^{2} f_{2}^{2}+\frac{20}{20}\left[4\left(f_{1}^{2}+f_{2}^{2}\right)+9 f_{3}^{2}\right] f_{4}^{2} \\
& +f_{2} f_{4} f_{1}^{2} \frac{f_{2}^{2}}{3}+\frac{m^{2}}{4} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his $m$ odel has been obtained by truncating the eld occurring in eq. (1il) to its diagonal elem ents. The elds $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ correspond to the diagonalgenerators 3 and 8 of SU (3) (see eq. (G))) in the GellM an basis, $f_{3}$ corresponds to the diagonal generator 3 of $S U(2)$, and $f_{4}$ corresponds to the generator of $U$ (1). Now our four eld $m$ odel does not have the continuous $S U$ (5) sym $m$ etry of the model in eq. [II). The only rem nant of the SU (5) sym $m$ etry corresponds to the perm utation of the ve diagonal entries of . In addition, the $m$ odel also has the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry under which $f_{i}!\quad f_{i}$. H ence the $m$ odel has an $S_{5} \quad Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry.

A vacuum of the $m$ odel is given by $f_{1}=0=f_{2}=$ $f_{3}$ and $f_{4} 0$. This breaks the sym $m$ etry to $S_{3} S_{2}$, corresponding to permutations of in the SU (3) and SU (2) blocks. The vacuum manifold consists of 5! $2=3!2!=20$ discrete points. If we $x$ the vacua at $x=$

1 , this im plies that there are 20 kink solutions in the m odel. A 11 these 20 kink solutions have been described in Ref. ["] $]$ ].

The construction of $k$ ink lattices proceeds exactly as in the $S U$ (5) case above because the o -diagonalcom ponents of vanish there. H ence the $S_{5} \quad Z_{2}$ m odel contains kink lattice solutions. Furtherm ore, these lattices are stable because the dangerous rotationalperturbations are absent by the very construction of the $m$ odel.

The occurrence of stable kink lattices w ith net vanishing topological charge im plies that there are m etastable states in the eld theory. Generally m etastable states are present in eld theories due to features in the potential. H ere, how ever, the $m$ etastable states are nonperturbative features of the $m$ odel.
$T$ he existence of dom ain wall lattices is of interest in the context of phase transitions. W hat is the probabil-斗y that a dom ain wall lattice will form during a phase transition? The answ er depends on the com plicated dynam ics of a dom ain wall netw ork in three spatialdim ensions. For exam ple, the $m$ odel adm its wall junctions of


FIG. 3: The distribution of H iggs expectation values in three dom ains can lead to a wall junction [3]. In the SU (5) m odel, the dashed line is a non-topological wall [3] . In the $S_{5} \mathrm{~m}$ odel the dashed line denotes a topological wall but w thout $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ charge.
the kind shown in Fig. . di erent tensions. A sim pler situation to consider is the form ation in one spatialdim ension for the $S_{5} \mathrm{~m}$ odel. W e rst note that the kinks with charge given in eq. (131) (and perm utations thereof) are the lightest kinks in the system having $Z_{2}$ topology. O ther kinks will decay into these kinks upon evolution. So we can restrict our attention to a sequence of kinks w ith charges given in eq. (13) and perm utations. $N$ ow let us assum e that we have a kink w th charge $Q_{1}$. A neigboring antikink can have charge $Q_{1}, Q_{4}$ or $Q_{5}$ (see eq. (171)). Of these only the rst is unsuitable for a lattice and has a probability $1 / 3$. $T$ herefore if the phase transition produces $2 n$ kinks, then the probability of having exactly $2 j$ kinks that annihilate and $2 \mathrm{n} \quad 2 j$ survive to form a lattice is derived by nding the num ber of $w$ ays of choosing the $j$ annihilating pairs and $n \quad j$ surviving pairs and $m$ ultiplying by the probability of annihilation $(1 / 3)$ and survival $(2 / 3)$. The result is that the probability of exactly $j$ pairs annihilating is: ${ }^{n} C_{j}(1=3)^{j}(2=3)^{n}{ }^{j}$. Sum $m$ ing this expression from $j=0$ to $n \quad 3$ gives the total probability for obtaining a lattice provided we have 2 n kinks. T he sum can easily be evaluated. T he interesting lim it is when $2 n$ is large. In that case, the probability tends to unity. H ence a kink lattice is certain to form if there are a large num ber of kinks. Further, the num ber of kinks is large if a large num ber of correlation dom ains are produced during the
phase transition.
It would be interesting to test these ideas in a laboratory system $s$ in which a kink lattice can exist. Periodic boundary conditions could be achieved if a toroidalsam ple were to undergo a phase transition.

Finally we mention the im plications of a dom ain wall lattice produced during a cosm ological phase transition. If spacetim $e$ is $R^{4} \quad S^{1}$ and the $w$ all lattice resides in the ( sm all) com pact dim ension, there will be an e ective cosm ological constant in the $R^{4}$ due to invariance
 tive cosm ological constant $m$ ay be tim e dependent if the coupling constant were to run with energy scale, or to depend on the dynam ics of the spacetim e, or on another eld. Y et another source of tim e dependence can come via the num ber ofw alls in the lattice since the wall lattice is not protected by topology or any conserved num ber. So the num ber of walls in the lattice can cascade down and eventually becom e zero. The di culty w ith this cosm ological scenario is that the extra com pact dim ension w ill not be static and will lead to an e ective $N$ ew ton's gravitational constant that is tim e dependent. Since the $m$ etric of the system is not yet known, it is not possible to say if the tim e variation can be slow enough for the scenario to be viable.

In conclusion, we have shown that stable lattices of dom ain walls can exist in a wide class of eld theories. $T$ hese are exotic $m$ etastable states in which the system can get trapped w ith high probability during a phase transition.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The corresponding quartic m odel w ith $\mathrm{N}=3$ has an accidental So (8) sym m etry. W e could consider $\mathrm{N}=3$ if $\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{3}\right)\right)^{2}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{6}\right)$ term s were added to the potential. W e have chosen to work $w$ ith quartic potentials and $w$ ith the larger value of $N$.

