arXiv:hep-th/0211251v1 26 Nov 2002

Soliton Dynamics in a 2D Lattice Model with Nonlinear Interactions

T. Ioannidou[1*], J. Pouget[1] and E. Aifantis[2†]

[1]*Laboratoire de Modélisation en Mécanique (associé au CNRS), Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Tour 66, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cédex 05, France*

[2]*Laboratory of Mechanics and Materials, Polytechnic School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54006, Thessaloniki, Greece*

Abstract

This paper is concerned with a lattice model which is suited to square-rectangle transformations characterized by two strain components. The microscopic model involves nonlinear and competing interactions, which play a key role in the stability of soliton solutions and emerge from interactions as a function of particle pairs and noncentral type or bending forces. Special attention is devoted to the continuum approximation of the two-dimensional discrete system with the view of including the leading discreteness effects at the continuum description. The long time evolution of the localized structures is governed by an asymptotic integrable equation of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili I type which allows the explicit construction of moving multi-solitons on the lattice. Numerical simulation performed at the discrete system investigate the stability and dynamics of multi-soliton in the lattice space.

1 Introduction

A lot of interest has recently been devoted to spatio-temporal patterns as well as the associated defects and dynamics such as standing-wave patterns, localized structures including solitons or oscillating patterns. These structures become fundamental in the study of phase transitions which are usually accompanied by the appearance of defects: dislocation motions, grain boundaries, domain wall structures and twinnings [1]-[3]. One of the aims

*P*ermanent Address:* Institute of Mathematics, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NF, UK

†Center for Mechanics of Materials and Instabilities, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI 49931, USA

of the present research is to understand how solitons arising at the microscale (ie at the level of the lattice model), are able to organize the system at the macroscale and what the selection properties of the nonlinear structures are. The present work is particularly motivated by the existence of solitonic structures occurring in phase transformations in crystalline alloys.

The same lattice model has been successfully used to examine the formation of localized strain patterns decaying in all directions [4]-[6]. Then the corresponding research results in the partial softening of the transverse-acoustic phonon branch at a nonzero wave number; the positive curvature of the dispersion branch at the long wavelength limit; and the shearing motion of the atomic planes along the stacking direction leading to spatially arranged structures made of martensitic twin bands and the existence of strain solitary waves describing the coherent movement of martensitic domains. More precisely, the nonlinear dynamics of the two-dimensional model allows us to examine the stability of the lattice using numerical simulations which have shown the formation of localized strain structures emerging from an instability mechanism [4, 6].

In the present paper we continue the investigation of the properties of the two-dimensional lattice model in order to study the existence, stability and dynamics of lattice multi-soliton configurations. In fact, the study provides the critical values of the coefficients of the lattice model for which lattice solitons exist and move along the plane, using the fact that the continuum limit of the long time evolution of the patterns is governed by an asymptotic equation of the Kadomstev-Petsviashili I type. Recently in [7], the Kadomstev-Petsviashili equation has been derived for quasiplane waves by considering oscillations of a two-dimensional square lattice array of atoms with interactions only between the nearest neighbors.

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we introduce the lattice model, while in section 3 we deal with its continuum approximation and show that it leads to the Kadomstev-Petsviashili I equation for long time evolution. Finally, in section 4 numerical simulations of the discrete model based on the Kadomstev-Petsviashili I multi-solitons are performed and their dynamics are discussed in some detail.

2 Lattice Model

Let us consider an atomic plane made of squares parallel to the i and j directions presented in Figure 1. Such a lattice model can be extracted from the cubic lattice of crystalline alloys (like the fcc symmetry of In-Tl, Fe-Pd and other crystals). The model describes a cubic tetragonal transformation of the lattice. A particle of the lattice plane is located by (i, j). After deformation of the lattice, the particle undergoes a displacement defined by $u_{i,j} = u(i, j)$ along the i and j directions.

The particles interact via two kinds of interatomic potentials: (i) interactions between first-nearest neighbors considered as functions of the particle pairs in the i and j diagonal directions and (ii) interactions involving noncentral forces or three body interactions between the first-nearest and second-nearest neighbors in the i and j directions. The




Figure 1: Two-dimensional lattice model with the detail of interatomic interactions between the first and second neighbors in the i, j direction.

potential describing the first interactions possesses stable, unstable or metastable states accordingly to a control parameter which is connected with temperature [8]. The latter interactions amount to describing, at the microscopic level, the resistance of the crystalline cell to twisting and bending [4, 5] and thus provide competing interactions [9, 10]. The noncentral interactions are of particular interest for the competing interactions and stability of the nonlinear structures. On using the invariance of the lattice energy under translations and rotations, the following potential functional was introduced in [6]

$$\mathcal{V}=\sum_{(i,j)}\Big[\Phi\,S_{i,j}+\frac{\beta}{2}\,G_{i,j}^2+\frac{\delta}{2}\left\{(\Delta_L^+S_{i,j})^2+(\Delta_T^+G_{i,j})^2\right\} \\ +\frac{\eta}{2}\left(\left\{\Delta_L^+\left[S_{i+1,j}+2S_{i,j}+S_{i-1,j}\right]\right\}^2+\left\{\Delta_T^+\left[G_{i+1,j}+2G_{i,j}+G_{i-1,j}\right]\right\}^2\right)\Big] \quad (2.1)$$

where the discrete deformations are defined as

$$S_{i,j}=u_{i,j}-u_{i-1,j}, \qquad G_{i,j}=u_{i,j}-u_{i,j-1} \quad (2.2)$$

and the potential Φ is given by

$$\Phi(S_{i,j})=\frac{1}{2}\,\alpha_1\,S_{i,j}^2-\frac{1}{3}\,\alpha_2\,S_{i,j}^3. \quad (2.3)$$

For simplicity, the lattice energy (2.1) has been chosen to be dimensionless. The first and second terms in (2.1) represent the nonlinear and linear potentials coming from the particle pair interactions where $(\alpha_1,\ \alpha_2)$ and β are the lattice force coefficients for the longitudinal and shear deformations, respectively. The third and fourth parts of (2.1) hold for the actions of the noncentral interactions in the i and j directions. The interactions are characterized by the parameters δ and η for the actions between first- and second-nearest particles, respectively. On the other hand, the operators Δ_L^+ and Δ_T^+ hold for the forward first-order finite difference in the i and j directions: $\Delta_L^+S_{i,j}=S_{i+1,j}-S_{i,j}$ and $\Delta_T^+G_{i,j}=G_{i,j+1}-G_{i,j}$. *Remark:* Due to (2.2) the noncentral interactions in (2.1)

are of the form $u_{i-1,j} + u_{i+1,j} - 2u_{i,j}$ for the first-nearest neighbor interaction and of the form $u_{i-2,j} + u_{i+2,j} - 2u_{i,j}$ for the second-nearest neighbor interaction (same for the $G_{i,j}$ deformation).

In addition, a one-dimensional version of the model can be obtained, reduced from the complete two-dimensional one, in which the shearing motion of the atomic planes along the stacking direction is modeled by arrays of martensitic and austenitic solitary waves. This reduced system has been examined in detail, and it has been observed that localized structures emerge of arrays of elastic solitary waves [4, 5].

By introducing the kinetic energy associated with the displacement $u_{i,j}$ (for unit mass) as

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{(i,j)} \frac{1}{2}\, \dot{u}_{i,j} \tag{2.4}$$

the corresponding difference-differential equations of motion for $u_{i,j}$, deduced from the Hamiltonian $H = \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{V}$, are given by

$$\ddot{u}_{i,j} = \Delta_L^+ \Sigma_{L_{i,j}} + \Delta_T^+ \Sigma_{T_{i,j}} \tag{2.5}$$

where the discrete stresses are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{L_{i,j}} &= \sigma_{i,j} - \Delta_L^- \chi_{L_{i,j}} && (2.6)\\
\Sigma_{T_{i,j}} &= \beta\, G_{i,j} - \Delta_T^- \chi_{T_{i,j}} && (2.7)\\
\sigma_{i,j} &= \alpha_1\, S_{i,j} - \alpha_2\, S_{i,j}^2 && (2.8)\\
\chi_{L_{i,j}} &= \Delta_L^+ \left\{\delta\, S_{i,j} + \eta\, [S_{i+2,j} + 4S_{i+1,j} + 6S_{i,j} + 4S_{i-1,j} + S_{i-2,j}]\right\} && (2.9)\\
\chi_{T_{i,j}} &= \Delta_T^+ \left\{\delta\, G_{i,j} + \eta\, [G_{i,j+2} + 4G_{i,j+1} + 6G_{i,j} + 4G_{i,j-1} + G_{i,j-2}]\right\}. && (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Equations (2.6-2.7) correspond to the discrete macroscopic stresses due to the fact that the deformations $S_{i,j}$ and $G_{i,j}$ are functions of the discrete displacements $u_{i,j}$. Note that the stress (2.8) which follows from the potential Φ since $\sigma_{i,j} = \partial\Phi/\partial S_{i,j}$ is nonlinear in terms of the strain $S_{i,j}$. Also, the microscopic stresses (2.9-2.10) emerging from the noncentral forces are functions of the discrete variations of the deformations $S_{i,j}$ and $G_{i,j}$ in the i and j direction, respectively.

Due to the strongly nonlinear nature of the problem, these equations are not managable except for the linear problem which has been examined in Ref. [11]. In this paper, these equations are solved using numerical simulations with appropriate initial and boundary conditions. More precisely, using the continuum approximation a quasi-continuum model has been obtained which includes the leading discreteness effects and allows us to investigate the existence of soliton configurations and study their dynamics.

3 Continuum Approximation

In order to describe the lattice dynamics at the quasi-continuum scale we assume that the discrete functions are slowly varying over the lattice spacing. In fact, both the deformations

(2.2) have been expanded using Taylor's series up to third order, that is $S = hu_x - \frac{h^2}{2}u_{xx} + \frac{h^3}{6}u_{xxx}$ (where h is the lattice spacing); while all other terms have been expanded for long wavelength up to fourth order. After some classical algebras the continuum equations of motion for the deformation $u(x, y, t)$ are

$$u_{tt} = \alpha_1\, u_{xx} - \alpha_2\, (u^2)_{xx} + \delta_L\, u_{xxxx} + \delta_T\, u_{yyyy} + \beta u_{yy} \tag{3.11}$$

for dimensionless space unit, ie rescaling $x \to x/h$ and $y \to y/h$. The coefficients δ_L and δ_T are given in terms of the coefficients of the model, ie

$$\delta_L = \frac{\alpha_1}{12} - \delta - 16\eta, \qquad \delta_T = \frac{\beta}{12} - \delta - 16\eta. \tag{3.12}$$

3.1 Asymptotic Model

In order to understand the evolution of the localized structures over a large scale of time of the order of ε^{-1} and for a weakly nonlinear medium, we consider the asymptotic equation derived from the continuum equation (3.11). By assuming that the contribution of the nonlinear term is weak throughout we rescale the nonlinear and dispersive terms by introducing a small parameter $\varepsilon < 1$ as follows

$$\alpha_2 = \varepsilon\bar{\alpha}, \qquad \delta_L = \varepsilon\bar{\delta}_L, \qquad \delta_T = \varepsilon\bar{\delta}_T. \tag{3.13}$$

Then for large times (of order ε^{-1}), the asymptotic expansion of the displacement field is

$$u(x, y, t) = u_0(\xi, Y, \tau) + \varepsilon u_1(x, y, t) + O(\varepsilon^2) \tag{3.14}$$

where $\xi = x - ct$ is the stretch phase variable, $Y = \varepsilon^{1/2}y$ is the stretch transverse variable and $\tau = \varepsilon t$ is the slow time variable.

Using equations (3.13) and (3.14) and keeping terms of order ε only, equation (3.11) becomes

$$2cu_{0_{\tau\xi}} - \bar{\alpha}\, (u_{0_\xi}^2)_\xi + \bar{\delta}_L\, u_{0_{\xi\xi\xi\xi}} + \beta\, u_{0_{YY}} = u_{1_{tt}} - \alpha_1 u_{1_{xx}} - \beta u_{1_{yy}} \tag{3.15}$$

where we have set the sound velocity in the x direction to be equal to $c = \sqrt{\alpha_1}$ (for $\alpha_1 > 0$).

Then the secularity condition [12] implies that both the left- and right-hand side of (3.15) have to equal zero. Thus, the right-hand side leads to the standard two-dimensional linear wave equation for u_1, while the left-hand side gives the long time evolution of u_0 defined by

$$\left(u_{0_T} + \bar{\alpha}(u_0^2)_\xi + \tilde{\delta}_L u_{0_{\xi\xi\xi}}\right)_\xi = \beta\, u_{0_{YY}}. \tag{3.16}$$

Note that the following change of variables and of parameters have been considered $T = -\tau/2c$ and $\tilde{\delta}_L = -\bar{\delta}_L$ in order that (3.16) transforms into a standard form, and that for specific choices of the parameters $\bar{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\delta}_L$ and $\beta > 0$ equation (3.16) becomes the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili I equation [13] (see later). Note that equation (3.16) was first derived in [6] using Fourier images.

3.2 The Kadomstev-Petsviashili Equations

Nonlinear quasi-one dimensional waves (with y much larger that x) in a weakly dispersive medium are described by the dimensionless Kadomstev-Petsviashili (KP) equations

$$\Big(u_t + 3(u^2)_x + u_{xxx}\Big)_x = \pm\, u_{yy} \tag{3.17}$$

where the $\pm$ on the right-hand side of (3.17) is determined by the dispersive property of the system; ie the upper sign is usually refered to as positive dispersion and the corresponding equation is known as KPI (which is the case we study here).

The exact multi-soliton solutions of KPI can be constructed by different methods (see, for example, Refs. [14, 15]). For our purposes it is convenient to write them in the Hirota form, ie

$$u(x,y,t) = 2\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\ln\phi \tag{3.18}$$

where ϕ is the determinant of a $2n\times 2n$ matrix (for n number of solitons) given by

$$\phi = \det\left[\Big(x + p_k y + p_k^2 t + \theta_k\Big)\,\delta_{kl} + (1-\delta_{kl})\,\frac{2\sqrt{3}\,i}{p_k - p_l}\right]. \tag{3.19}$$

Here δ_{kl} is the Kronecker symbol, the indices take values $k,l = 1,2,\cdots,2n$, while the complex constants p_k and θ_k determine the velocity and the phase of each soliton, where $p_{k+n} = \bar{p}_k$ and $\theta_{k+n} = \bar{\theta}_k$.

The one soliton solution given by (3.18-3.19), when $p_1 = i$ and $\theta_1 = 60$, has the simple expression

$$u(x,y,t) = 4\frac{-\,(x-t+60)^2 + y^2 + 3}{\Big[(x-t+60)^2 + y^2 + 3\Big]^2}. \tag{3.20}$$

The corresponding configuration consists of a soliton which for $t = 0$ is situated at the points $(x,y) = (-60,0)$ while for $t \neq 0$ travels along the x-axes without changing its shape and with constant velocities: $(v_x, v_y) = (|p_1|^2, -2\,\Re(p_1))$.

In addition, the corresponding multi-soliton solutions (3.18-3.19) are asymptotically free at $t \to \pm\infty$ and move along their unperturbed trajectories without changing their shapes and initial parameters after collision, ie they scatter trivially. Figure 2 represents snapshots of the two soliton solution (3.18-3.19) for $p_1 = i$, $p_2 = 2i$ and $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0$.

Note that in the framework of plasma physics the classical KP equations suffer transverse instabilities accordingly to the sign of the dispersive terms as well as possessing soliton solutions [16].

4 Numerical Simulations

In this section, we investigate numerically the existence of lattice multi-solitons using the fact that the lattice model asymptotically leads to an integrable equation. We use a




Figure 2: The two soliton solution (3.18-3.19) of the KPI equation at different times with trivial scattering behaviour. The taller soliton passes through the smaller one with no phase shift or radiation.

numerical scheme by directly considering the lattice equations for the displacement $u_{i,j}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{u}_{i,j} = &\alpha_1(u_{i+1,j}+u_{i-1,j}-2u_{i,j})+\alpha_2(2u_{i,j}-u_{i-1,j}-u_{i+1,j})(u_{i+1,j}-u_{i-1,j})+\beta(u_{i,j+1}+u_{i,j-1}-2u_{i,j})\\ &-\delta\left(u_{i+2,j}-4u_{i+1,j}+6u_{i,j}-4u_{i-1,j}+u_{i-2,j}\right)-\delta\left(u_{i,j+2}-4u_{i,j+1}+6u_{i,j}-4u_{i,j-1}+u_{i,j-2}\right)\\ &-\eta\left(u_{i+4,j}-4u_{i+2,j}+6u_{i,j}-4u_{i-2,j}+u_{i-4,j}\right)-\eta\left(u_{i,j+4}-4u_{i,j+2}+6u_{i,j}-4u_{i,j-2}+u_{i,j-4}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

which follow from (2.2) and (2.5). The numerical simulations are performed by employing a Runge-Kutta method of fourth order and by imposing periodic boundary conditions, ie

$$u_{i+N,j}=u_{i,j}, \qquad u_{i,j+N}=u_{i,j} \tag{4.22}$$

where N is the number of particles along the boundaries of the square.

Note that it is not obvious if the solutions of the KPI equation will also be a solution of the lattice model. However, it was shown in [17] that this approach has been successfully considered in a one-dimensional lattice model. Specifically, when a one-dimensional lattice model with long-range interactions was considered (which, in the continuum, keeps its nonlocal behaviour) the long time evolution of the localized waves is governed by an asymptotic integrable equation the so-called Benjamin-Ono equation which allows the explicit construction of moving kinks on the lattice. Accordingly, in this section we investigate the dynamical behaviour of the lattice model (4.21) numerically, using as initial conditions the one and two soliton solution of the KPI integrable equation given in section 3.2.

By comparing the coefficients and the variables of equations (3.16) and (3.17), it is easy to see that, (3.16) transforms to (3.17) when

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\alpha}&=3, & x&\to\xi=x-ct\\ \beta&=1, & y&\to Y=\varepsilon^{1/2}y\\ \bar{\delta}_L&=1, & t&\to T=-\varepsilon t/2c.\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$




Figure 3: The one soliton solution (4.25) for $\varepsilon = 0.1$.

Then it is a matter of simple algebra to observe that, due to (4.23), the parameters of the discrete model given by (3.12-3.13) are equal to

$$\alpha_2 = 3\varepsilon, \qquad \delta = \frac{c^2}{12} - 16\eta - \varepsilon \tag{4.24}$$

and, thus, the only arbitrary parameters of the lattice model are the following three: ε, c and η. Due to numerical simplicity, in all our simulations we have chosen the following fixed values for: (i) the small parameter related with the weak nonlinearity $\varepsilon = 0.1$, (ii) the lattice spacing $h = 1$, (iii) the total number of the lattice points $N = 100$, (vi) the time step $dt = 0.1$ and (vi) the total number of time steps equal to 5000 points. However, different values for the above parameters give qualitatively the same results as long as $\varepsilon < 1$.

• *Dynamics of One Soliton*

Firstly, we investigate the time evolution of a single solution where the configuration given by (3.20) have been considered under the change of variables (4.23). More precisely, the initial conditions for the displacements and the velocities of each lattice particle, in the case of the one soliton (3.20), are given by the analytic expression

$$u(x,y,t)|_{t=0} = 4\frac{-\left(x - ct + \varepsilon t/2c + 60\right)^2 + \varepsilon y^2 + 3}{\left[(x - ct + \varepsilon t/2c + 60)^2 + \varepsilon y^2 + 3\right]^2}\Big|_{t=0} \tag{4.25}$$

and its time derivative, respectively. Figure 3 illustrates snapshot of the displacement $u_{i,j}(t)$ at $t = 0$.

We have run our simulations for different values of the parameters (c, η) and investigate whether the initial lattice soliton relaxes and propagates in the lattice space with small (or no) oscillations. This phenomenon occurs, only, for specific range of values of the aforementioned parameters which give qualitatively the same results. In particular, due to [11], we know that the noncentral interaction parameter have to be negative and small. Our numerical study have shown that for values of the parameter $\eta < 1/60$ and when the

soliton velocity takes values between $1 \leq c \leq 4$ the loss of energy by radiation of small amplitude waves is small.

In figure 4, we display a full three-dimensional plot corresponding to the displacement $u_{i,j}$ at four different times $t = 0, 14.5, 29.5, 50.5$. The initial conditions were created from one soliton placed at the position $x_0 = -60$. Figure 4 shows that the lattice soliton is stable throughout the numerical simulations; more precisely, its size is constant as it moves towards the plane without emitting any radiation. This process continues through several cycles, due to the periodic boundary conditions, without the occurrence of instabilities since the configuration settles to a single soliton which move along the lattice grid.

Qualitatively, similar results have been found and studied in great detail in [17] for one-dimensional lattice kinks which are solutions of a nonlocal discrete model with long-range interactions. In that case, the lattice radiation was inversly proportional to the kink thickness.

- *Two Soliton Scattering*

Next, we discuss the results of a numerical evolution of the full time-dependent lattice equations (4.21), in order to investigate the interaction and scattering of two solitons.

We take two solitons initially located at the origin (represented in Figure 2) and evolve the equations of motion for different values of the soliton velocity c and of the noncentral force parameter η. For values (approximately) between the following range: $4 \leq c \leq 8$ and $-1/30 \leq \eta \leq -1/360$ the numerical simulations give qualitatively the same results, represented in Figure 5.

From Figure 5, we see that the two solitons which initially (at $t = 0$) form a single large soliton travel with opposite velocities away from each other and then, due to the periodic boundary conditions, reappear at the edge of the lattice. Now, the two solitons head towards each other until they merge to form a single soliton again (at $t = 48.5$) while after the collision, they continue their path with no phase shift or emission of radiation, ie they scatter trivially as the KPI solitons. In fact a small amount of energy is radiated during the soliton motion as a result of the highly nonlinear deformation the solitons suffer in adjusting to the lattice grid. This radiation can be dealted with numerically by applying absorbing boundary conditions at the edge of the grid. The radiation emission is eliminated as the soliton velocity c increases. This scattering behaviour is continuous throughout for a long time; specifically, we have run our simulations up to $t_f = 500$ and although the lattice grid is covered from radiation the two solitons are easily distinguished.

To conclude, this approach can be extended to higher soliton numbers and we expect the results to be qualitatively like the ones obtained in the first two cases of the one and two soliton dynamics.




Figure 4: The time evolution of the lattice one soliton for $c = 4$ and $\eta = -1/60$.




Figure 5: Two soliton scattering for $c = 4$ and $\eta = -1/30$.

5 Conlusions

Tha main objective of the present work is to examine the long-time evolution of nonlinear localised waves of soliton type propagating on a two-dimensional lattice model. The starting lattice model involves nonlinear (nonconvex lattice potential) and competing interactions which follow from noncentral interactions and play a key role in the existence and stability of the localised waves. Physically, the model describes the mechanism of micro-twinning of small ferroelastic domains in alloys suffering phase transformations like ferroelastic materials, martensitic transformations in shape memory alloys, etc.

The most interesting point of the study is that, on the basis of a multi-scale technique, an asymptotic model for the long-time evolution of the nonlinear waves has been derived. This model is then governed by Kadomtsev-Pietvishviali I equation which possesses soliton solutions. In order to check the analytical conjecture given by the asymptotic model, some numerical simulations have been performed (directly) on the discrete system. In fact, the numerical results describe the time-evolution of one- and two-soliton solutions. It appears that the soliton solutions thus generated are particular robust and stable which implies that the prediction provided by the asymptotic model is a good approximation of the solution of the discrete system. Therefore, we conclude with the observation that the KPI equation is an efficient asymptotic model to predict the existence of localised objects or nonlinear excitations of soliton type in a rather complex physical system.

Further extensions of the present work can be investigated. In particular, the dynamics of localised waves for a lattice model including higher order nonlinear interatomic terms in the potential (fourth order nonlinear term in discrete deformation, see equation (2.3)) can be considered; while it is worth investigating the influence of applied forces and damping on the nonlinear wave propagation and stability.

Acknowledgements

This work has been performed in the framework of the TMR European Contract **FMRX-CT-960062:** *Spatio-temporal instabilities in deformation and fracture mechanics, material science and nonlinear physics aspects*. TI acknowledges the Nuffield Foundation for a newly appointed lecturer award.

References

[1] A. V. Gaponov-Grekhov and M. I. Rabinovich, Phys. Today 43, 30 (1990).

[2] F. Falo, A. R. Bishop, P. S. Lomdahl and B. Horovitz, Phys. Rev. B 43, 8081 (1991).

[3] K. Parlinski, F. Denoyer and G. Eckold, Phys. Rev. B 43, 8411 (1991).

[4] J. Pouget, Phase Transitions 34, 105 (1991).

[5] J. Pouget, Phys. Rev. B 43, 3582 (1991).

[6] J. Pouget, Phys. Rev. B 46, 10554 (1992).

[7] A.I. Potapov, I.S. Pavlov, K.A Gorshkov and G.A. Maugin, Wave Motion 34, 83 (2001)

[8] J. A. Krumhansl and R. J. Gooding, Phys. Rev. 39, 3047 (19987).

[9] J. A. Krumhansl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2696 (1986).

[10] J. A. Krumhansl, Solid State Comm. 84, 251 (1992).

[11] J. Pouget, Phys. Rev. B 48, 864 (1993).

[12] A. Nayfeh, *Perturbation Methods* (New York: Wiley) 1973.

[13] B. B. Kadomtsev and V. I. Petviashvili, Sov. Phys. Dokl. 15, 539 (1970).

[14] S. V. Manakov, V. E. Zakharov, L. A. Bordag, A. R. Its and V. B. Matveev, Phys. Lett. A 63, 205 (1977).

[15] J. Satsuma and M. J. Ablowitz, J. Math. Phys. 20, 1496 (1979).

[16] E. W. Laedke and K. H. Spatschek, J. Plasma Phys. 28, 469 (1982).

[17] T. Ioannidou, J. Pouget and E. Aifantis, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34, 4269 (2001).