arXiv:hep-th/0211273v1 28 Nov 2002

Motion on the n-dimensional ellipsoid under the influence of a harmonic force revisited

P. Diţă
Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering,
P.O. Box MG6, Bucharest, Romania

Abstract

The n integrals in involution for the motion on the n-dimensional ellipsoid under the influence of a harmonic force are explicitly found. The classical separation of variables is given by the inverse momentum map. In the quantum case the Schrödinger equation separates into one-dimensional equations that coincide with those obtained from the classical separation of variables. We show that there is a more general orthogonal parametrisation of Jacobi type that depends on two arbitrary real parameters. Also if there is a certain relation between the spring constants and the ellipsoid semiaxes the motion under the influence of such a harmonic potential is equivalent to the free motion on the ellipsoid.

1 Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the motion on a n-dimensional ellipsoid under the influence of a harmonic potential. The problem was first posed by Jacobi in the nineteenth century [1] in the context of explicitly solvable by quadratures differential equations most of them originating in completely integrable Hamiltonian systems. In the last decades the problem was considered mostly by mathematicians obtaining new results including a description of integrals in involution and connection with hyperelliptic curves of genus $g = n$, see [2]-[5]. However in this approach no explicit separation of variables was found. Quite recently we have obtained a new form for the n prime integrals in involution for the free motion on the ellipsoid in the Jacobi parametrisation, form which is very convenient for proving the separation of variables and solving the Hamilton-Jacobi equation [6].

[0]Electronic mail: dita@hera.theory.nipne.ro

The purpose of this paper is to extend our previous results in two directions: to find a generalisation of the Jacobi orthogonal parametrisation and the most general form of the harmonic potential for which the motion is still completely integrable. As unexpected results we obtained a new orthogonal parametrisation that depends on two arbitrary real parameters generalizing the Jacobi one, and if the spring constants k_1 and k_2 along two axes of symmetry satisfy the relation $a_1\,k_1 = a_2\,k_2$, where a_1 and a_2 are the squares of the corresponding semiaxes then the motion under the influence of such a harmonic potential is the free motion on the ellipsoid. In our approach the separation of variables is realized by the inverse of the momentum map that provides an explicit factorisation into Liouville tori. In the standard approach the separation of variables is a rather difficult problem, see e.g. [7] where the separated variables are defined as zeros of the off diagonal elements of the associated Lax matrix.

In Section 2 we define a two-dimensional family of Jacobi elliptic coordinates and in Section 3 we prove explicitly their orthogonality. The integrals in involution for the free motion on the ellipsoid are found in Section 4 and we show that they are a particular case of a more general form. The most general form of the harmonic potential for which the motion is still integrable is given in Section 5 and the classical separation of variables is obtained in Section 6. The separation of the Schrödinger equation is shown in Section 7 and the paper ends with Conclusion. In Appendix are collected a few known properties of the Vandermonde determinant which are used in the paper.

2 Generalized Jacobi's coordinates on the n-dimensional ellipsoid

Usually the n-dimensional ellipsoid is viewed as a surface immersed in a $n+1$-dimensional Euclidean space, defined by the equation

$$\frac{x_1^2}{a_1} + \frac{x_2^2}{a_2} + \cdots + \frac{x_{n+1}^2}{a_{n+1}} = 1$$

where a_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$ are positive numbers, $a_i \in \mathbf{R}_+$, and we suppose that they are ordered such that $a_1 > \cdots > a_{n+1} > 0$. We describe the n-dimensional ellipsoid by intrinsic coordinates and here we slightly generalize the Jacobi's approach by defining the generalized elliptic coordinates as the solutions of the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{b_i\,x_i^2}{a_i(b_i - \lambda)} = 1 \tag{1}$$

with $b_i \in \mathbf{R}^*$, $b_i \neq b_j$, for $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n+1$. We rewrite (1) in the form

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{b_i\, x_i^2}{a_i(\lambda - b_i)} = \frac{\lambda\, Q(\lambda)}{P(\lambda)} \tag{2}$$

where $P(\lambda)$ and $Q(\lambda)$ are the monic polynomials

$$Q(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda - u_i), \quad P(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (\lambda - b_i)$$

Here u_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ are the Jacobi coordinates and the factor λ comes from the fact that $\lambda = 0$ is a solution of (1) when the coordinates x_i lie on the ellipsoid.

Calculating the residues on both left and right sides of equation (2) one gets

$$x_i^2 = a_i \frac{Q(b_i)}{P'(b_i)}, \ i = 1, \ldots, n+1 \tag{3}$$

If $b_i = a_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$, (3) gives the usual Jacobi parametrization of the n-dimensional ellipsoid. For $a_i = r^2$, $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$, the relation (3) gives the orthogonal parametrization of the n-dimensional sphere of radius r and in this case (1) has the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda - b_i} = 0$$

Our approach is sufficiently general and gives the parametrization of any quadric defined by $a_i \in \mathbf{R}^*$.

The parametrisation (3) depends on $2n+2$ parameters, half of them $b_i \in \mathbf{R}^*$, $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$, being arbitrary real numbers and for this reason the corresponding Jacobi coordinates u_i could not be orthogonal. However it does exist an orthogonal parametrization, different from the usual one, which depends on $n+3$ parameters. In other words there is more than one orthogonal system of coordinates of Jacobi type and this situation could be interpreted as a hidden symmetry of the problem. We found that this symmetry is two-dimensional and the independent parameters in equation (3) leading to orthogonal coordinates may be chosen as a_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n+1, b_1$ and b_2, the last two being arbitrary non-zero real numbers with $b_1 \neq b_2$.

To find the new parametrization we deduce from (3)

$$\frac{\dot{x}_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\dot{u}_l}{u_l - b_i}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \dot{x}_i^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l,m=1}^{n} \dot{u}_l\, \dot{u}_m \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_i^2}{(u_l - b_i)(u_m - b_i)}$$

Taking into account the relation (3), a careful inspection shows that the function

$$c_{lm} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_i^2}{(u_l - b_i)(u_m - b_i)}, \quad l \neq m$$

is a symmetric polynomial with respect to u_i in its $n-2$ variables, having degree equal to $n-2$ and $n-1$ independent coefficients. An important property is that these coefficients do not depend on l and m, i.e. for all $l \neq m$, c_{lm} defines a single function; instead of $n(n-1)/2$ different polynomials we have only one. Imposing now the condition $c_{lm} \equiv 0$ for $l \neq m$ we obtain $n-1$ equations which can be solved with respect to b_i. So the number of parameters of the new parametrization is $2n + 2 - (n-1) = n + 3$.

To see what happens let consider the case $n = 2$; in this case the condition $c_{12} \equiv 0$ is equivalent to the relation

$$b_3 = \frac{a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 + a_3(b_1 - b_2)}{a_1 - a_2}$$

By iteration we obtain for $n = 4$ the additional constraint

$$b_4 = \frac{a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 + a_4(b_1 - b_2)}{a_1 - a_2}$$

Thus we suppose that the general case is given by

$$b_n = \frac{a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 + a_n(b_1 - b_2)}{a_1 - a_2}, \ n = 3, \ldots, n+1 \tag{4}$$

and in the next Section we prove that the parametrisation (3) with b_i, $i = 3, \ldots, n+1$, given by (4) and b_1 and b_2, $b_1 \neq b_2$, arbitrary real non-vanishing numbers furnishes n orthogonal elliptic coorddinates. Before doing that we introduce a more uniform notation by defining two new parameters

$$\alpha = \frac{a_1\, b_2 - a_2\, b_1}{a_1 - a_2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{b_1 - b_2}{a_1 - a_2}$$

Solving with respect to b_1 and b_2 we find

$$b_i = \alpha + \beta\, a_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n+1 \tag{4'}$$

In the next Section we prove that the two-dimensional family of Jacobi coordinates whose parametrisation is given by the relation (3) with b_i as in (4') is orthogonal for all real values of $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{R}^*$.

3 Orthogonality property

For proving the orthogonality of the new coordinates we write equation (2) in another form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_i^2}{a_i(\lambda - b_i)} = \frac{Q(\lambda)}{P(\lambda)}$$

In the last relation we substitute $b_i = \alpha + \beta\, a_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$, and after minor transformation we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_i^2}{\alpha + \beta\, a_i - \lambda} = \frac{1}{\beta}\left(1 - \frac{(\lambda - \alpha)Q(\lambda)}{P(\lambda)}\right) \tag{5}$$

For $l \neq m$ we have

$$c_{lm} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_i^2}{(u_l - b_i)(u_m - b_i)} = \frac{1}{u_l - u_m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_i^2}{u_m - b_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_i^2}{u_l - b_i}\right)$$

Substituting $b_i = \alpha + \beta\, a_i$ in the last relation and using (5) and the property $Q(u_i) = 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we find that $c_{lm} = 0$ for $l \neq m$, i.e. the new coordinates are orthogonal, and our assumption expressed by the relation (4) is true.

For $l = m$ we have

$$c_{ll} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_i^2}{(u_l - b_i)^2} = -\left.\frac{d}{dz} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_i^2}{(z - b_i)}\right|_{z=u_l} \tag{6}$$

and we need a calculation of the last sum. It can be obtained by differentiating (5) with respect to λ. We use this result to write the Lagrangean in the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \dot{x}_i^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j \dot{u}_j^2 \tag{7}$$

where $g_j = -\frac{1}{4\,\beta}\frac{(u_j - \alpha)Q'(u_j)}{P(u_j)}$ and $Q'(u_j) = d\,Q(x)/d\,x|_{x=u_j}$. For $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ one recovers the usual result [3].

Following the standard procedure we find the Hamiltonian of the free motion on the n-dimensional ellipsoid

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j\, u_j - \mathcal{L} = -2\,\beta \sum_{j=1}^{n} g^i\, p_i^2 \tag{8}$$

where $g^i = P(u_i)/(u_i - \alpha)\, Q'(u_i)$ and u_j, p_j are canonical coordinates.

Unlike the classical result we have obtained that the Hamiltonian of the geodesic motion is not uniquely defined, it depends continuously and non-trivially

on two arbitrary real parameters α ans β. By changing these parameters one changes the classical state of the system if the latter one is defined as a point in the phase space but the form of the energy does not change. This property could be interpreted as a gauge symmetry of the classical Jacobi problem. Under the transformations

$$x_i^2 \;\to\; a_i \,\frac{Q(b_i)}{P'(b_i)}\,, \quad b_i \to \alpha + \beta\, a_i, \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \beta \in \mathbf{R}^*$$

the Lagrangean $\mathcal{L}$ and the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ remain invariant, and *ipso facto* the equations of motion. Since with given initial conditions the physical motion is unique the only freedom we have in proving the uniqueness is the reparametrization of time. Generally speaking this fact rises a new problem, namely that of finding all the metrics which lead to the same physical motion; in other words how large the hidden symmetry is, or how many geometries describe the same physical process. A solution to this problem could be of interest in the study of more complicated models arising in classical and quantized field theories.

4 Integrals in involution

We introduce now n integrals in involution that are linear independent. With this aim we define the symmetric functions of the polynomials $Q'(u_j) \equiv Q_j(u_j)$

$$Q_j(u_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u_j^k \, S_{n-k-1}^{(j)} \tag{9}$$

where $S_k^{(j)} = (-1)^k \, \sigma_k(u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_n)$ and σ_k is the symmetric polynomial of degree k. By construction the coordinate u_j does not enter the symmetric sum $S_k^{(j)}$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. The following functions

$$H_k = \sum_{l=1}^{n} S_{k-1}^{(l)} \, g^l \, p_l^2, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n \tag{10}$$

with $H_1 = -\mathcal{H}$ up to a numerical factor are n integrals in involution for the geodesic motion on the ellipsoid.

The integrals of motion H_k, $k = 1, \ldots, n$ in the above form were found by us in ref. [6]; for another approach see e.g. Moser [2].

An inspection of (10) shows that for each degree of freedom the contribution to the Hamiltonian H_k is given by a product of two factors. The first one, the "kinematical" factor, depends on a special structure, in our case the Vandermonde structure defined by the ratio $f_1(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = S_{k-1}^{(i)}/Q'(u_i)$, and the second one, the kinetic energy, $f_2(u_i, p_i) = p_i^2 \, P(u_i)/(u_i - \alpha)$ depends on the

"physics", in our case the geometry of the body. The important issue is the factorizatin $f_1(u_1,\dots,u_n)\cdot f_2(u_i,p_i)$ where f_1 has no momentum dependence and f_2 depends only on a pair of canonical variables and nothing else.

Let $g(p,u)=\mathcal{H}(p,u)$ be an arbitrary function depending on the canonical variables p and u which is invertible with respect to the momentum p. As we will see later the invertibility condition is necessary for the separation of variables in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. In particular we may suppose that $\mathcal{H}(p,u)$ is a one-dimensional Hamiltonian. For each $n\in\mathbf{N}$ we define an n-dimensional integrable model by giving its n integrals in involution

$$\mathbf{H}_k(p,u)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{S_{k-1}^{(i)}}{Q'(u_i)}\,g(p_i,u_i),\quad k=1,\dots,n \tag{10'}$$

We will prove the involutive property in the more general case (10′). We have

$$\{\mathbf{H}_k,\mathbf{H}_l\}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial\mathbf{H}_k}{\partial u_j}\frac{\partial\mathbf{H}_l}{\partial p_j}-\frac{\partial\mathbf{H}_k}{\partial p_j}\frac{\partial\mathbf{H}_l}{\partial u_j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial g(p_j,u_j)}{\partial p_j}\left(\frac{S_{l-1}^{(j)}}{Q'(u_j)}\frac{\partial\mathbf{H}_k}{\partial u_j}-\frac{S_{k-1}^{(j)}}{Q'(u_j)}\frac{\partial\mathbf{H}_l}{\partial u_j}\right)=$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{Q'(u_j)}\frac{\partial g(p_j,u_j)}{\partial p_j}\left(S_{l-1}^{(j)}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}\left(g(p_i,u_i)\frac{S_{k-1}^{(i)}}{Q'(u_i)}\right)-S_{k-1}^{(j)}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}\left(g(p_i,u_i)\frac{S_{l-1}^{(i)}}{Q'(u_i)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{Q'(u_j)}\frac{\partial g(p_j,u_j)}{\partial p_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}\left(\frac{g(p_i,u_i)(S_{k-1}^{(i)}S_{l-1}^{(j)}-S_{k-1}^{(j)}S_{l-1}^{(i)})}{Q'(u_i)}\right)$$

The last step was possible because the symmetric functions $S_k^{(j)}$ and $S_l^{(j)}$ do not depend on u_j. Looking at the last expression it is easily seen that the partial derivative with respect to u_j vanishes for $i=j$. For $i\neq j$ we have to show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}\frac{S_{k-1}^{(i)}S_{l-1}^{(j)}-S_{k-1}^{(j)}S_{l-1}^{(i)}}{u_i-u_j}=0$$

but this is a consequence of the following identities

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}S_{k-1}^{(i)}=-S_{k-2}^{(i,j)}\quad\text{and}\quad S_{k-1}^{(i)}-S_{k-1}^{(j)}=(u_i-u_j)S_{k-2}^{(i,j)}$$

where the upper index (i,j) means that the corresponding expression does not depend on both u_i and u_j. In this way we have shown that $\{\mathbf{H}_k,\mathbf{H}_l\}=0$

5 Harmonic potential

In the following we want to find the most general form of the harmonic potential for which the motion on the ellipsoid under the influence of this potential is still integrable. Moser says that "the motion on an ellipsoid under the influence of a potential $|x|^2$ is also integrable [and] this was shown already by Jacobi [2]". On the other hand Arnold makes a stroger statement: "Jacobi showed that the problem of free motion on an ellipsoid remains integrable if the point is subjected to the action of an elastic force whose direction passes through the center of the ellipsoid [8]", which might be understood as suggesting that the spring constants on different axes are different. We start with the most general form for the harmonic potential

$$\mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_i\, x_i^2$$

with $k_i \neq k_j$ for $i \neq j$ and we look for conditions on k_i for which the motion is integrable. We show that the most general form for $\mathcal{U}$ depends on the semiaxes of the ellipsoid and two arbitrary parameters which can be taken k_1 and k_2. By substitution of the relation (3) in the above formula we get

$$\mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_i\, x_i^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\frac{k_i\, a_i}{P'(b_i)} b_i^k\right) S_{n-k}$$

where S_k are up to a $\pm$ sign the symmetric functions of $u_1, \ldots, u_n$. This means that $\mathcal{U}$ is a polynomial of degree n in the elliptic coordinates defined by the equations (3)-(4′). The motion described by the Hamiltonian $H = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{U}$ is completely integrable iff the coefficients of all the products $u_i \cdots u_l$ vanish such that $\mathcal{U}$ in the new variables should have the form $\mathcal{U} = A + B(u_1 + \cdots + u_n)$. The vanishing of these coefficients leads to the relations

$$k_i = \frac{a_1 a_2(k_1 - k_2) - a_i(a_1 k_1 - a_2 k_2)}{a_i(a_2 - a_1)},\ i = 3, 4, \ldots, n+1$$

which shows that the spring constants do not depend on the previous introduced parameters α and β. Like the preceding case we define two new parameters

$$\gamma = \frac{a_1 a_2(k_1 - k_2)}{a_2 - a_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \frac{a_2 k_2 - a_1 k_1}{a_2 - a_1}, \quad \gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{R}$$

such that k_i has the dependence

$$k_i(a_i) = \frac{\gamma + \delta\, a_1}{a_i},\ i = 1, \ldots, n+1$$

that can be viewed as the action of a special element of $G\, L_2^+(\mathbf{R})$ which transforms the right half-space into itself. For $\gamma = 0$ one recovers the classical result. Thus

we obtained that there is a two-dimensional family of coefficients k_i, which depend also on the semiaxes of the ellipsoid, for which the motion is integrable. Making all the calculation one finds

$$\mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{2}\left(n\,\frac{\alpha\delta}{\beta} + \gamma + \delta(a_1+a_2) + \sum_{k=3}^{n+1} a_k - \frac{\delta}{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \right)$$

which is the most general form of the harmonic potential for which the motion is completely integrable. If $\delta = 0$ or $a_1 k_1 = a_2 k_2$ the harmonic potential in elliptic coordinates reduces to a constant, i.e. the motion is the free geodesic motion, fact which is noticed for the first time.

6 Separation of variables

The Hamiltonian of the problem is $H = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{U}$ and we do not know yet how the other prime integrals look. We shall neglect the constants terms appearing in $\mathcal{U}$ such that

$$H = -2\beta \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \frac{P(u_i)}{(u_i-\alpha)Q'(u_i)}\, p_i^2 - \frac{\delta}{2\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \tag{11}$$

The Hamiltonians H_k, see the relations (10), depend on the symmetric functions $S_k^{(j)}$. A similar sum appears also in the potential, namely $S_1 = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i$, where S_k are defined similarly to equation (9) by the relation

$$Q(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x-u_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} x^k\, S_{n-k} \tag{12}$$

It is easily seen that $dS_k/du_j = -S_{k-1}^{(j)}$. For the other prime integrals we define the potentials $\mathcal{U}_k = \frac{\delta}{2\,\beta}\, S_k$ for $k = 2, \ldots, n$ such that the integrals in involution are given by

$$\mathcal{H}_k = -2\beta \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \frac{P(u_i)}{(u_i-\alpha)Q'(u_i)}\, p_i^2 + \frac{\delta}{2\,\beta} S_k, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n \tag{13}$$

The involution property follows straightforward

$$\{\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{H}_j\} = \{\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{H}_j\} + \{\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{U}_j\} + \{\mathcal{U}_i, \mathcal{H}_j\} + \{\mathcal{U}_i, \mathcal{U}_j\} =$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_i}{\partial u_l}\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_j}{\partial p_l} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_i}{\partial p_l}\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_j}{\partial u_l} \right) = -2\delta \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{P(u_l)\, p_l}{(u_l-\alpha)Q'(u_l)} \left(S_{i-1}^{(l)} S_{j-1}^{(l)} - S_{j-1}^{(l)} S_{i-1}^{(l)} \right) = 0$$

The next important point is the separaton of variables.

Let $M^{2n} \simeq T^*(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the canonically symplectic phase space of the dynamical system defined by the Hamilton functions (13). We define the momentum map by

$$\mathcal{E} : M^{2n} \to \mathbf{R}^n : \; M_{\mathbf{h}} = \{(u_i, p_i) : H_i = -h_i, \; i = 1, \ldots, n\}, \; h_i \in \mathbf{R} \tag{14}$$

This application is such that $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(M_{\mathbf{h}})$ realizes the separation of variables giving an explicit factorisation of Liouville's tori into one-dimensional ovals. Our goal is to construct explicitely the application $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(M_{\mathbf{h}})$ and for doing that we write the system (13) in a matrix form. With the notation $f(u,p) = P(u)\, p^2/(u-\alpha)$ (13) is written as

$$2\beta \begin{pmatrix} S_0^{(1)}/Q'(u_1) & S_0^{(2)}/Q'(u_2) & \ldots & S_0^{(n)}/Q'(u_n) \\ S_1^{(1)}/Q'(u_1) & S_1^{(2)}/Q'(u_2) & \ldots & S_1^{(n)}/Q'(u_n) \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ S_{n-1}^{(1)}/Q'(u_1) & S_{n-1}^{(2)}/Q'(u_2) & \ldots & S_{n-1}^{(n)}/Q'(u_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(u_1,p_1) \\ f(u_2,p_2) \\ \ldots \\ f(u_n,p_n) \end{pmatrix} -$$

$$\frac{\delta}{2\beta} \begin{pmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ \ldots \\ S_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \ldots \\ h_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Multiplying to left by the matrix

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^{n-1} & u_1^{n-2} & \ldots & 1 \\ u_2^{n-1} & u_2^{n-2} & \ldots & 1 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ u_n^{n-1} & u_n^{n-2} & \ldots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

we get a diagonal matrix with its non-zero elements equal to unity that multiplies the vector column $(f(u_1,p_1), f(u_2,p_2), \ldots, , f(u_n,p_n))^t$, where t means transpose. In the proof one make use of the relation A2 given in Appendix. The solution is

$$f(u_i,p_i) = -\frac{\delta}{4\beta^2}\, u_i^n + \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k\, u_i^{n-k}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \tag{16}$$

where the first term on the right hand side is a result of the property $Q(u_i) = 0$, see equation (12), written in the form $u_i^n = -\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u_i^k\, S_{n-k}$. The above relations have the classical form [10]

$$\varphi(x_i, p_i, h_1, \ldots, h_n) = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

which for $h_i = c_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ give an explicit parametrization of Liouville's tori.

Taking into account the form of $f(u_i, p_i)$ and defining $R(u_i) = (u_i - \alpha)(-\frac{\delta}{4\beta^2}\, u_i^n + \frac{1}{2\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k\, u_i^{n-k})$ we get

$$p_i = \epsilon_i \sqrt{\frac{R(u_i)}{P(u_i)}}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$. By the substitution $p_i \to \partial S/\partial t_i$ the Hamilton-Jacobi equation separates and the solution has the form

$$S(\mathbf{h}, u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i \int_{u_i^0}^{u_i} \sqrt{\frac{R(w)}{P(w)}}\, dw$$

On the last expression one can see that all the subtleties of the problem are encoded by the hyperellitic curve $y^2 = P(x)R(x)$ whose genus is $g = n$.

The motion described by the prime integrals $(10')$ is also separable and

$$g(u_i, p_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h_{n-k} u_i^k, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

For obtainaing the geodesic equations $g(u, p)$ has to be invertible with respect to p. With the notation $\mathcal{R}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h_{n-k} u_i^k$ the momentum is given by

$$p_i = g^{-1}(\mathcal{R}(u_i))$$

where g^{-1} denotes the inverse of g with respect to p and the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation has now the form

$$S(\mathbf{h}, u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i \int_{u_i^0}^{u_i} g^{-1}(\mathcal{R}(w))\, dw$$

The above formulae allow us to choose new canonical variables [9], and in the last case we may take $\mathcal{Q}_k = \mathcal{H}_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$ and the canonically conjugated variables $\mathcal{P}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The Hamilton equations are

$$\dot{\mathcal{Q}}_i = 0, \ i = 1, \ldots, n$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{P}}_1 = -1, \dot{\mathcal{P}}_i = 0, \ i = 2, \ldots, n$$

and therefore $\mathcal{Q}_i = h_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $\mathcal{P}_1 = -t + g_1$, $\mathcal{P}_k = g_k$, $k = 2, \ldots, n$ with $g_i, h_i \in \mathbf{R}, i = 1, \ldots, n$. Because

$$\mathcal{P}_i = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathcal{Q}_i} = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial h_i} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{u_i^0}^{u_i} (g^{-1})'(\mathcal{R}(w)) w^{n-i}\, dw$$

where $(g^{-1})'(z) = dg^{-1}(z)/dz$ one obtains the system of equations

$$-t\,\delta_{1,j} + b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{u_j^0}^{u_j} (g^{-1})'(\mathcal{R}(t)) t^{n-j}\,dt, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n$$

which represents the implicit form of the geodesics, and shows that the canonical equations are integrable by quadratures. In the above formulae we singled out the first prime integral $\mathcal{H}_1$; if we start with $\mathcal{H}_k$ as Hamiltonian then the change in the above formulae is $\delta_{1j} \to \delta_{kj}$

7 Quantisation

For the beginning we consider the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1$ given by equation (13). It is well known that because of the ambiguities concerning the ordering of u and p we must use the Laplace-Beltrami operator [11]. Its general form is $\Delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}(\sqrt{g} g^{ij} p_j)$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, where $g = det(g_{ij})$and g_{ij} is the metric tensor. In our case $g_{ij} = -\frac{1}{4\beta}\frac{(u_i-\alpha)Q'(u_i)}{P(u_i)}\delta_{ij}$ and $g^{ii} = P(u_i)/(u_i - \alpha)Q'(u_i)$. Let V_n denote the determinant of V, Eq.(15), and $V_n^{(j)}$ the determinant of the matrix obtained from V by removing the last row and the j-th column. Using the relations A.3 and A.4 from Appendix we find that up to an inessential numerical factor

$$g = V_n^2 \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{u_i - \alpha}{P(u_i)}$$

and using it the Schrödinger equation generated by $\mathcal{H}_1$ is written, after some simplification, in the form

$$2\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{V_n} \sqrt{\frac{P(u_i)}{u_i - \alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left((-1)^{n-i} V_{n-1}^{(i)} \sqrt{\frac{P(u_i)}{u_i - \alpha}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial u_i} \right) - \left(\frac{\delta}{2\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \right) \Psi = E_1 \Psi$$

Since the factor $V_{n-1}^{(i)}$ does not depend on u_i it can be pulled out of the bracket and the preceding equation takes the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} V_{n-1}^{(i)} \sqrt{\frac{P(u_i)}{u_i - \alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left(\sqrt{\frac{P(u_i)}{u_i - \alpha}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial u_i} \right) - \left(\frac{\delta}{4\beta^2} V_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \right) \Psi = \frac{E_1}{2\beta} V_n \Psi$$

Now we make use of the Jacobi identities A.5 and find

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} V_{n-1}^{(i)} \left[\sqrt{\frac{P(u_i)}{u_i - \alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left(\sqrt{\frac{P(u_i)}{u_i - \alpha}} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial u_i} \right) + \left(-\frac{\delta}{4\beta^2} u_i^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{n-k} u_i^k \right) \Psi \right] = 0$$

which is equivalent to n equations of the form

$$\sqrt{\frac{P(u_i)}{u_i-\alpha}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}\left(\sqrt{\frac{P(u_i)}{u_i-\alpha}}\,\frac{\partial \Psi_i}{\partial u_i}\right)+\left(-\frac{\delta}{4\beta^2}\,u_i^n+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}c_{n-k}u_i^k\right)\Psi_i=0,\quad i=1,\ldots,n \tag{17}$$

Here $c_1=-E_1/2\beta$ and the other c_k are arbitrary.

The direct approach, starting with equation (16), is simpler the problem being one-dimensional and one gets the same equation (17). It has the advantage that the arbitrary coefficients c_k are identified to $c_k=-h_k/2\beta$, i.e. c_k are the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}_k$.

In this way the solving of the Schrödinger equation was reduced to the solving of a Sturm-Liouville equation whose general form is

$$-\frac{d}{dx}\left(p(x)\frac{df(x)}{dx}\right)+v(x)f(x)=\lambda r(x)f(x)$$

and the above equation has to be resolved on an interval $[a,b]$. It is well known that its eigenfunctions will live in a Hilbert space iff $p(x)r(x)>0$ on $[a,b]$. If $p(x)$ has a continuous first derivative and $p(x)r(x)$ a continuous second derivative then by making the following coordinate and function transforms

$$\varphi=\int^u\left(\frac{r(x)}{p(x)}\right)^{1/2}dx,\quad \Phi=(r(u)p(u))^{1/4}f(u) \tag{18}$$

we bring the preceding equation to the standard form

$$-\frac{d^2\Phi}{d\varphi^2}+q(\varphi)\Phi=\lambda\,\Phi$$

where

$$q(\varphi)=\frac{\mu^{''}(\varphi)}{\mu(\varphi)}-\frac{v(u)}{r(u)},\quad \mu(\varphi)=(p(u)r(u))^{1/4}$$

and $u=u(\varphi)$ is the solution of the inverse Abel problem (18).

In our case, Eq.(17), the transformation is

$$\varphi=\int_{u_0}^u\left(\frac{R(u)}{P(u)}\right)^{1/2}du$$

and the Schrödinger equation gets

$$-\frac{d^2\Phi}{d\varphi^2}+\frac{\mu^{''}(\varphi)}{\mu(\varphi)}\Phi=h_1\Phi \tag{19}$$

where $\mu(\varphi)=(R(u(\varphi))^{1/4}$ and in $R(u)$ we made the rescaling $h_k\to h_k/h_1, k=1,\ldots,n$, i.e. the solving of (17) is equivalent to solve (19) which represents the motion of a one-dimensional particle in the potential generated by $R(u(\varphi))$.

For $n = 1$ and $\delta = 0$ (19) is nothing else than the equation for the one-dimensional rotator

$$\frac{d^2\Psi}{d\varphi^2} + l^2\Psi = 0$$

with the solution $\Psi(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i\,l\,\varphi}, l \in Z$, etc. In all the other cases we have to make use of the theory of hyperelliptic curves and/or θ-functions in order to obtain explicit solutions. This problem will be treated elsewhere.

8 Conclusion

In this paper we have obtained the most general form of the harmonic potential for which the motion of a point on the n-dimensional ellipsoid is completely integrable and we found another form of the integrals in involution. The advantage of our approach is that separation of variables is very easy being an immediate consequence of the Stäckel structure appearing into equations of motion. We have shown that the Vandermonde structure is enough powerful to allow construction of new n-dimensional completly integrable models. Two such models could be given by the one-dimensional Hamiltonians, $g(u,p) = (sin\,u/u)\,p^2$ and $g(u,p) = tg\,u\,e^{\alpha p}$, see $(10')$. These models are interesting since in the first example $g(u,p)$ is a function wich have a denumerable number of zeros and the second one has a denumerable number of zeros and poles, in both cases the hyperelliptic curve being of infinite genus. Thus these models show that the dimension n of the system has no direct connection with the number of zeros and/or poles of the function $g(u,p)$.

Other examples of n-dimensional Hamiltonians are obtained for example from the many-body elliptic Calogero-Moser model [13] or the elliptic Ruijenaars model [14], starting with the one-dimensional Hamiltonians $H_{CM}(u,p) = p^2/2 + \nu^2\mathcal{P}_\tau(u)$ and $H_R(u,p) = cosh(\alpha p)\sqrt{1 - 2(a\nu)^2\mathcal{P}_\tau(u)}$ respectively, where $\mathcal{P}_\tau(u)$ is the Weierstrass function and using the above machinery.

In conclusion we discovered a new method for obtaining n-dimensional completely integrable systems starting with one-dimensional Hamiltonians. Also interesting is the existence of a class of orthogonal metrics à la Jacobi for which the Lagrangean is gauge invariant. This result rises the problem of description of all the metrics that lead to the same physics. A step in this direction could be the revivification of the techniques discovered by Stäckel, Levi-Civita, Painlevé and many others which falled into undeserved oblivion.

A Appendix

Herewith we collect a few known properties of the Vandermonde determinant, the novelty being their presentation in the context of algebraic duality. Let a_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ be n real (complex) numbers. We define the polynomial

$$P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x - a_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} S_k x^{n-k} \tag{A.1}$$

where $S_k = (-1)^k \sigma_k(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and σ_k denotes the elementary symmetric polynomilas of degree k. From A.1 we have

$$P(a_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

i.e. the vectors $X_1 = (S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ and $X_2 = (a^n, \ldots, a, 1)$ are orthogonal $(X_1, X_2) = 0$ under the usual Euclidean scalar product. In order to see a few interesting duality relations we define the polynomials

$$P_j(x) = \frac{P(x)}{x - a_j} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k^{(j)} x^{n-k-1}, \ j = 1, \ldots, n$$

From the property $P_j(a_i) = P'(a_i)\delta_{ij}$ we deduce that the vectors $V_j = (S_0^{(j)}, \ldots, S_{n-1}^{(j)})$ and $U_i = (a_i^{n-1}, \ldots, 1)$ are bi-orthogonal, i.e.

$$(V_j, U_i) = P'(a_i)\delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n \tag{A.2}$$

showing that V_j and U_i are dual each other. By construction V_j does not depend on a_j.

It is easily seen that the Vandermonde determinant has two dual equivalent definitions

$$V_n(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \ldots & a_n \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ a_1^{n-1} & a_2^{n-1} & \ldots & a_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ S_1^{(1)} & S_1^{(2)} & \ldots & S_1^{(n)} \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ S_{n-1}^{(1)} & S_{n-1}^{(2)} & \ldots & S_{n-1}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix} \tag{A.3}$$

Let $V_{n-1}^{(j)}$ be the determinant obtained by removing the jth column and the last row in V_n, then the following relations hold

$$V_{n-1}^{(j)} = \prod_{\substack{1 \le k < l \le n \\ k \ne j \ne l}} (a_l - a_k)$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} V_{n-1}^{(j)} = (V_n)^{n-2} \tag{A.3}$$

$$\frac{V_n}{V_{n-1}^{(j)}} = (-1)^{n-j} P'(a_j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n \tag{A.4}$$

i.e. $V_{n-1}^{(j)}$ is the Vandermonde determinant of the indeterminates $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, but a_j.

We give now the most general form of direct and dual Jacobi identities. By replacing the last row of the first form of V_n by the row $(a_1^k, \ldots, a_n^k)$ and expanding over this row we find the identities, see e.g. [12]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-1} a_i^k V_{n-1}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} 0 & k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2 \\ V_n & k = n-1 \\ V_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i & k = n \end{cases}$$

By replacing the last row of the dual form of V_n by $S_k^{(1)}, \ldots, S_k^{(n)}$ we find the dual Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-1} S_k^{(i)} V_{n-1}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} 0 & k = 0, \ldots, n-2 \\ V_n & k = n-1 \end{cases} \tag{A.5}$$

The above formula is a consequence of a more general result. Let A_{ij} be the minor of the (i, j) element of the determinant V_n, i.e.

$$A_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ a_1 & \ldots & a_{j-1} & a_{j+1} & \ldots & a_n \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_1^{i-1} & \ldots & a_{j-1}^{i-1} & a_{j+1}^{i-1} & \ldots & a_n^{i-1} \\ a_1^{i+1} & \ldots & a_{j-1}^{i+1} & a_{j+1}^{i+1} & \ldots & a_n^{i+1} \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_1^{n-1} & \ldots & a_{j-1}^{n-1} & a_{j+1}^{n-1} & \ldots & a_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

From A.2 we get

$$a_i^{n-1} = -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} S_k^{(j)} a_i^{n-k-1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

and substitute it in the last row of A_{ij}. Afterwards we multiply the first row by $S_{n-1}^{(j)}$, the second by $S_{n-2}^{(j)}$, the ith one $S_{n-i}^{(j)}$, etc., and add them to the last row and we get

$$A_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ a_1 & \ldots & a_{j-1} & a_{j+1} & \ldots & a_n \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_1^{i-1} & \ldots & a_{j-1}^{i-1} & a_{j+1}^{i-1} & \ldots & a_n^{i-1} \\ a_1^{i+1} & \ldots & a_{j-1}^{i+1} & a_{j+1}^{i+1} & \ldots & a_n^{i+1} \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ -S_{n-i-1}^{(j)} a_1^i & \ldots & -S_{n-i-1}^{(j)} a_{j-1}^i & -S_{n-i-1}^{(j)} a_{j+1}^i & \ldots & -S_{n-i-1}^{(j)} a_n^i \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (-1)^{n-i-1} S^{(j)}_{n-i-1} V^{(j)}_{n-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_n)$$

where $V^{(j)}_{n-1}$ is the determinant obtained from V_n by deleting the last row and the j-column.

For obtaining a dual result we denote by B_{ij} the corresponding minor obtained from the dual form of V_n. Like the preceding case we use the relation

$$S^{(l)}_{n-1} = -\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} S^{(l)}_k a_j^{n-k-1}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n$$

and substitute it in the last row of B_{ij}. Multiplying the first row by a_j^{n-1}, the second by a_j^{n-2}, etc., and adding to the last row we find

$$B_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ S^{(1)}_1 & \cdots & S^{(j-1)}_1 & S^{(j+1)}_1 & \cdots & S^{(n)}_1 \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ S^{(1)}_{i-1} & \cdots & S^{(j-1)}_{i-1} & S^{(j+1)}_{i-1} & \cdots & S^{(n)}_{i-1} \\ S^{(1)}_{i+1} & \cdots & S^{(j-1)}_{i+1} & S^{(j+1)}_{i+1} & \cdots & S^{(n)}_{i+1} \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ -a_j^{n-i-1} S^{(1)}_i & \cdots & -a_j^{n-i-1} S^{(j-1)}_i & -a_j^{n-i-1} S^{(j+1)}_i & \cdots & -a_j^{n-i-1} S^{(n)}_i \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (-a_j)^{n-i-1} V^{(j)}_{n-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_n)$$

References

[1] C.G.J. Jacobi, *Vorlesungen über Dynamik*, in Gesammelte Werke, Supplementband, Berlin, (1884)

[2] J. Moser in W.Y. Hsiang et al.(eds), *The Chern Symposium*, Springer, (1980)

[3] J. Moser (ed), in *Dynamical Systems* (Bressanone 1978), Liguori, Naples, 233 (1980)

[4] K. Uhlenbeck, in *Lectures Notes in Mathematics*, **949** (1982) 146, Springer, N.Y.

[5] D. Mumford, *Tata Lectures on Theta II*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1984)

[6] P. Dita, hep-th/9911054

[7] E.G. Kalnis, V.B. Kuznetsov, and W. Miller, Jr., J.Math.Phys., **35** (1994) 1710

[8] V.I. Arnold, V.V. Kozlov and A.I. Neishhtadt, in V.I. Arnold (ed.) *Dynamical Systems III; Enciclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 3*, Ch.4, p.128, Berlin, Springer (1993)

[9] V.I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Springer, N.Y. (1978)

[10] E.K. Sklyanin, Progr.Theor.Phys.Suppl. **118** (1995) 35

[11] B. Podolsky, Phys.Rev. **32** (1928) 812

[12] O. Babelon and M. Talon, Nucl.Phys. **B379** (1992) 321

[13] H.W. Braden, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, hep-th/9906240

[14] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, Commun.Math.Phys., **110** (1987) 191