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#### Abstract

M inkow skispace can be sliced, outside the lightcone, in term s ofE uclidean A ntide Silter and Lorentzian de Sitter slices. In this paper we investigate w hat happens when we apply holography to each slice separately. This yields a dual description living on two spheres, whidh can be interpreted as the boundary of the light cone. The in nite number of sliges gives rise to a continuum fam ily of operators on the tw o spheres for each separate bulk eld. For a free eld we explain how the G reen's function and (trivial) $S \rightarrow m$ atrix in $M$ inkow ski space can be reconstructed in term $S$ of two-point functions of som e putative conform al eld theory on the two spheres. Based on this we propose a M inkow ski/CFT correspondence which can also be applied to interacting elds. W e com $m$ ent on the interpretation of the conform al sym m etry of the CFT, and on generalizations to curved spaœ.
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## 1 Introduction

Holography is a powerful principle, that after it had been proposed in [l] and [2] has found a concrete and quantitative realization in string theory in term s of the AdS/CFT correspondence [3, 4, 5]. Since then, $m$ any generalizations have been found, but all of these are deform ations of, or lim its of, the AdS/CFT correspondence. There have been attem pts to generalize the AdS/CFT correspondence to de Sitter space (this was rst discussed in [6] and [7]) but unfortunately string theory on de Sitter space, the dual eld theory and the precise form of the correspondence are not well understood.

B esides A nti-de Sitter space and de Silter space, which are solutions of the $E$ instein equationsw ith negative and positive cosm ologicalconstant, there is a third class of spaces, nam ely those w ith zero cosm ological constant. In particular, M inkow ski space belongs to this class, and one $m$ ay wonder what one can say about the existence and nature of a holographic dual description. There are local versions of entropy bounds [8] that also apply to $M$ inkow ski space, but these provide no speci cinsight about any dual description. An altemative approach is to take the decom pacti cation lim it of the AdS/CFT correspondence [9]. Though this is in principle a viable procedure, it has not led to an explicit dual description.

In this paper we will try som ething di erent. M inkow ski space with m etric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=d X_{0}^{2}+d X_{1}^{2}+:::+d X_{d+1}^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has three regions depending on whether $t^{2}<0, t^{2}=0$, or $t^{2}>0$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2} \quad x \quad x=x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+:::+x_{d+1}^{2}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The regions with $t^{2}>0$ and $t^{2}<0$ correspond to the inside and the outside of the light-oone respectively, whereas $t^{2}=0$ is the light-cone itself. For a xed value of $Z$, equation (12) describes a slice of M inkow ski space whose geom etry is either Euclidean anti-de Sitter, at or Lorentzian de Sitter. The idea will be to apply holography to each slice separately, and in this way to e ectively reduce the num ber of dim ensions by one. A ll slices asym ptote to the past or future light-cone, and therefore all dual eld theories live on two d-spheres, which can be thought of as the boundaries of the past and future light-cone. It w ould appear as if we have lost tw o dim ensions instead of one, but because of the in nite number of slices, we get an in nite number of elds on the two spheres, and this e ectively reinstates one of the two lost dim ensions. H ow ever, we cannot sim ply interpret the in nite number of elds as com ing from a $\mathrm{K} K$ reduction of a theory in one dim ension higher. The asym ptotics of the $m$ etric (1.1) suggest that the extra dim ension is a null dim ension. Instead of trying to $m$ ake sense of theories living on a space $w$ ith a degenerate $m$ etric, we $w i l l m$ ostly work directly $w$ th the in nite set of elds itself.

There are som e obvious problem $s$ w ith this procedure. F irst of all, $M$ inkow ski space has de Sitter slices, and a holographic reduction along those slices requires us to apply the ill-understood dS/CFT correspondence. Luckily, for the pupposes in this paper, we will only need som e basic inform ation like the form of two-point functions, and these are alm ost com pletely xed by the sym m etries of de Sitter space. U ltim ately, if this whole procedure tums out to be consistent, one $m$ ay try to reverse the logic and try to use know $n$ properties of $M$ inkow ski space in order to study holography for de Sitter space, but that is beyond the scope of the present paper.

A nother problem is the presence of the light-cone itself. O nly non-interacting $m$ assless elds can spend their entire life inside the light-cone, but there are few if any of such degrees of freedom so it seem s com pletely safe to leave the light-cone itself outside the discussion.

D espite these problem s, we will nd som e encouraging results. For a free eld we explain how the G reen's fiunction and S-m atrix in M inkow ski space can be reconstructed in term softwo-point functions of som e putative conform al eld theory on the tw o spheres. $T$ hat this is at all possible is related to the follow ing fact. $C$ onsider two points $X$ and $Y$ on $M$ inkow ski space, w th $t^{2}=X \quad X$ and $Z=Y \quad Y$. Ofcrucial importance is the distance $d$ between $X$ and $Y$, which is given by

$$
d^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X & Y
\end{array}\right) \quad(X \quad Y):
$$

For simplicity we assume that $t^{2}$ and $u^{2}$ have the same sign. Then $X=X=j j$ and $Y=Y=j u j a r e ~ c o o r d i n a t e s ~ o n ~ t h e ~ s a m e ~(a n t i) d e ~ S i l t e r ~ s p a c e . ~ C ~ l e a r l y, ~$

$$
d^{2}=u^{2} \quad t^{2} \quad 2 j u t x^{r} \quad Y:
$$

N ow the geodesic distance on (anti)de Sitter space is a function of $X \quad Y$ only, and we see that the geodesic distance in M inkow ski space is a function of $t, u$ and the geodesic distance in (anti)de Sitter space only. This fact is essential in relating M inkow ski space quantities to correlation functions in the CFT's.

The em erging picture is rather intriguing. It suggests that it must be possible to com pletely re-form ulate the $Q$ uantum $F$ ield $T$ heory in $M$ inkow ski space entirely in term S of correlation functions of a set of conform al operators associated with the past and future of the light-cone. We consider this as a step tow ards a better understanding of the holography (w ell established in anti-de Sitter spactim e) in asym ptotically at spacetim es.

A $n$ im portant elem ent in form ulation ofa holographic duality is the identi cation ofthe underlying conform al sym $m$ etry. In asym ptotically anti-de Sitter spaces this sym $m$ etry arises as asym ptotic sym $m$ etry near the tim e-like in nity [0]. The class of asym ptotically
at spaces and the respective sym $m$ etries near the null-in nity (where the holographic dual could naively be thought to live) were studied long ago in [11] (see also [12] and [13] for a related discussion of the space-like in nity). The group ofsym $m$ etries is the so-called BM S group, an in nite dim ensional abelian group, which does not contain the relevant conform al group. The idea pushed forw ard in this paper is to look at the in nity of the light-cone and uncover the asym ptotic sym $m$ etries there. T he allowed set of spaces is larger than the set of asym ptotically at spaces, and the group of asym ptotic sym $m$ etries contains the BM S group but also the conform al group. The appearance of the conform al sym metry in this case is quite transparent. It is just an extension of the well-studied conform al symmetry near the boundary of a anti-de Silter slice to a symm etry near the boundary of the light-oone in M inkow ski space. The price to pay for all this is that translation invariance of $M$ inkow ski space is not $m$ anifest, but takes a $m$ uch $m$ ore com plicated form .

The outline of this paper is as follow s . In section 2 we discuss in $m$ ore detail the slicing of M inkow ski space-tim e and its properties. In section 3 we consider free m assless scalar
elds, and their reduction on each of the slices. In section 4 we consider the nite part of the on-shell action for a free scalar eld, and will see that the structure suggests the
presence ofconform alcorrelation functions in $M$ inkow ski space quantities. In section 5 we willm ake the relation between correlation functions in the conform al eld theory and bulk quantities $m$ ore precise, and we use this to reconstruct the $M$ inkow ski space $S-m$ atrix and G reen's function. In section 6 we look at m ore general spaces. W e introduce an appropriate notion of asym ptotically M inkow ski space, analyze the sym m etries that preserve these asym ptotics. W e also exam ine the way conform al sym m etries are im plem ented and whether it is $m$ eaningful to view the in nite set of conform al operators as elds living in a space w th one additional dim ension, and com $m$ ent on the $m$ eaning of translation invariance and the BM S group. In section 7 we study gravity in M inkow ski space, and how the space-tim e $m$ etric is encoded in data on the two spheres. Finally, we present som e conclusions and further speculations.

## 2 S licing M inkow skispace

In this section we consider the foliation of M inkow skispacetim ew ith (positive or negative) constant curvature subspaces that is naturally associated to the light-cone structure of the space-tim e. Let us consider ( $d+2$ )-dim ensional $M$ inkow ski space with coordinates $\mathrm{X}_{0} ; \mathrm{X}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{d}+1}$ and m etric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=d X_{0}^{2}+d X_{1}^{2}+:::+d X_{d+1}^{2}: \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The light-cone de ned as
$C: \quad X_{0}^{2}+X_{1}^{2}+:::+X_{d+1}^{2}=0$
naturally splits the space-tim e in three regions

1. D : $\quad X_{0}^{2}+X_{1}^{2}+:::+X_{d+1}^{2}>0$, the region lying outside the light-cone $C$;
2. A: $X_{0}^{2}+X_{1}^{2}+:::+X_{d+1}^{2}<0 ; X_{0}<0$, the region lying inside the past light-oone C $\left(X_{0}<0\right)$;
3. $A_{+}: \quad X_{0}^{2}+X_{1}^{2}+:::+X_{d+1}^{2}<0 ; X_{0}>0$, the region lying inside the future light-cone $\mathrm{C}_{+}\left(\mathrm{X}_{0}>0\right)$.

In each region $M$ inkow ski space can be foliated with surfaces of constant curvature. In region $A$ (or $A_{+}$) these are the surfaces described by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{2}+X_{1}^{2}+:::+X_{d+1}^{2}=t^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $t$. The values of the time coordinate $t$ can be arranged in such a way that region A is covered by $1<t<0$ and region $\mathrm{A}_{+}$by $0<\mathrm{t}<+1$. As is well known, each of these surfaces w th the $m$ etric induced from (2.1]) is Euclidean anti-de Silter space, which is a $m$ axim ally sym $m$ etric hyperbolic space. T he $m$ etric on A foliated by the surfaces (22) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& d s^{2}=d t^{2}+t^{2} d s_{H_{d+1}}^{2} ; \\
& d s_{H_{d+1}}^{2}=d y^{2}+\sinh ^{2} y d!{ }_{d}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{ds}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}{ }_{d+1}$ is the standard $m$ etric on Euclidean anti-de Sitter space; we adopt coordinates $(y ;)$ on $H_{d+1}$ such that $y$ is the radialcoordinate and $f g$ are the angle coordinates on the unit $d$-sphere $w$ th $m$ etric $d!{ }_{d}^{2}$.

Sim ilarly, outside the light-cone, region D can be sliced with w ith de Sitter hypersurfaces,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}^{2}+X_{1}^{2}+:::+X_{d+1}^{2}=r^{2} ; \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for any constant $r$ is a $m$ axim ally sym $m$ etric Lorentzian space $w$ ith negative constant curvature. U sing r as a new \radial" coordinate we nd that the M inkow skim etric on this foliation takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{ds}^{2}=\mathrm{dr} \\
& \mathrm{ds}^{2}+\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{ds}_{d+1}^{2}=\mathrm{ds}^{2}+\cosh ^{2} \quad \mathrm{~d}!_{d}^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $d s_{d_{S}+1}^{2}$ is the $m$ etric on ( $d+1$ )-dim ensional Lorentzian de Sitter space covered by the globalcoordinates ( ; ), w ith playing the role of tim e and with $f$ g coordinates on the unit $d$-sphere.

Each of the $m$ etrics (2.3) and (2.5) covers only a part of $M$ inkow ski space. In order to cover the whole spactim e we need $m$ etrics on three regions: A , $\mathrm{A}_{+}$and $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{z}}$. It is also possible to introduce a closely related global coordinate system ( $\mathrm{x}_{+}$; x ; ) which covers all of spacetim $e$ and in term $s$ of $w$ hich the $m$ etric takes the well-known form [14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=d x_{+} d x+\frac{1}{4}\left(x_{+} \quad x \quad\right)^{2} d!{ }_{d}^{2}: \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In region A the relation between the coordinates ( $\mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { Y }}$; ) and the global coordinates is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{+} x=t^{2} ; \frac{x}{x_{+}}=e^{2 y}: \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In region $A$ both $x_{+}$and $x$ are negative while in region $A_{+}$they are both positive. In region $D$ the relation between the coordinates ( $r ; z ;$ ) and the coordinates ( $x_{+} ; x ;$ ) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{+} x=r^{2} ; \frac{x_{+}}{x}=e^{2}: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can see that in this region $x_{+} x<0$. The light-cone $C$ is de ned by equation $x_{+} x=0$ so that its component Corresponds to $x_{+}=0$ while the equation for the fiuture light-oone $C_{+}$is $x=0$.

It is interesting to determ ine the boundaries associated to the slicing. $F$ irst of all, the past light cone $C$ has a d-dim ensional sphere $S_{d}$ as a boundary (lying at negative in nite value of the tim ecoordinate $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ ). In term s of new projective coordinates ${ }_{1}=X_{1}=X_{0}, \ldots$, $\mathrm{d}+1=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{d}+1}=\mathrm{X}_{0}$ the equation of the sphere is

$$
{ }_{1}^{2}+:::+{ }_{d+1}^{2} \quad 1=0:
$$

Sim ilarly, the boundary of the fiuture cone $C_{+}$is a sphere $S_{d}^{+}$. The hyperbolic surface (2.2), as is well known and much used in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence,
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Fig. 1: Inside light-cone ( $d+2$ )-dim ensional M inkow ski space-tim e can be sliaed w ith Euclidean anti-de Sitter spaces $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}+1}$ while out-side the light-cone the appropriate slides are Loretzian de Sitter spaces $d S_{d+1}$. The only boundaries of the sliges are either sphere $S_{d}$ or sphere $S_{d}^{+}$lying at in nity of the light-cone.
has a d-dim ensional sphere as a boundary. It is now im portant to note that it is again the sam e sphere $S_{d}$. Indeed, in term $s$ of the coordinates $f_{k} g$ equation (22) becom es

$$
\frac{2}{1}+:::+{ }_{d+1}^{2} \quad 1=\quad t^{2}=X_{0}^{2}
$$

which (for any constant t) approaches the above written equation for $S_{d}$ in the lim it where $X_{0}$ ! 1 . Sim ilarly, we can see that the boundary of a slice (22) in region $A_{+}$is the sphere $S_{d}^{+}$, the boundary of the future cone $C_{+}$. de Silter space de ned by equation (2.4) has two boundaries: a sphere lying in the in nite past and a sphere lying in the in nite future. It is clear that for any slige 2.4) the boundaries are again respectively the spheres $S_{d}$ and $S_{d}^{+}$. Thus the d-spheres $S_{d}$ and $S_{d}^{+}$are the only boundaries that appear in the slicing that we are considering, and they therefore play an im portant role in the rem ainder of the paper. If we look at the initial value problem, we observe that the hypersurfaces (2.2) are not C auchy surfaces. Initialdata on such a surface is not su cient to determ ine the tim e-evolution everyw here in M inkow ski space. A com plete set of in itial data should necessarily also include data on a de Silter hypersurface (2.4). In this paper we shall how ever argue that all su cient inform ation can be actually given on a lower dim ensional subspace:

All inform ation on ( $d+2$ )-dim ensionalM inkow ski space-tim e is holographically described by som e data on the two $d$-spheres $S_{d}$ and $S_{d}^{+}$.
In the follow ing sections we shall give argum ents in support of this statem ent. H ere we just note that this $m$ ay not seem to be too surprising. Indeed, we already know that all inform ation needed for anti-de Sitter space is contained in certain data on its boundary. T he sam e is true for de Sitter space (at least classically), only in this case the boundary has two com ponents, one at past and one at future in nity. In the foliation of M inkow ski
space just described all (anti)-de Sitter hypersurfaces have the sam e boundaries, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $S_{d}^{+}$, and it is natural to expect that this is where all needed inform ation is stored.

Ending this section we note that the isom etry group $O(d+1,1)$ ofM inkow skispacetim e acts on the spheres $S_{d}$ (or $S_{d}^{+}$) as a group of conform al sym m etries. In section 6 we nd explicitly the form of the bulk di eom onphism s generating conform al transform ations on the boundary of the light-cone. The conform al structure associated w ith the boundary of (anti-)de Sitter space then appears to be a particular $m$ anifestation of the conform al structure associated w th the in nity of the light-cone. The relation between a M inkow ski $m$ etric w ith Lorentzian signature, the $m$ etric on Euclidean AdS and the conform al structure on the sphere was earlier studied in [15], a popular reference in the literature on the AdS/CFT correspondence. The relevance of M inkow ski space has how ever not received much attention in subsequent studies.

## 3 Scalar Field in $M$ inkow skispace-tim e

C onsider a scalar eld w th equation ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} M^{2}=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on (d+2)-dim ensional M inkow ski spacetim e and use the slicing with constant curvature spaces described in the previous section to see whether the eld can be equivalently described in term s of data living on the slice. F irst we analyze the $m$ assless case and take the region $A \quad w$ ith $m$ etric (2.3), t being the tim e-like coordinate taking negative values. $T$ he analysis for the region $A_{+}$is sim ilar. In term $s$ of the $m$ etric (2.3) the eld equation (3.1) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{d+1} \quad(d+1) t @_{t} \quad t^{2} @_{t}^{2}=0: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can separate variables in this equation by taking of the form ( t ) () ( y ; ) where ( y ; ) is the set of coordinates on the Euclidean hyperbolic space $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}+1}$ (which is the sam e as Euclidean anti-de Sitter space). For a given the functions $(x)$ satisfy the $m$ assive eld equation on $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}+1}$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}+1} & \left.{ }^{2}\right) \quad(\mathrm{X})=0 ; \tag{3.3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the param eter thus plays the role of $m$ ass. The original ( $d+2$ )-dim ensional eld ( $t ; x$ ) reduces to a set ofm assive elds on ( $d+1$ )-dim ensional Euclidean anti-de Sitter space. The relation between and the param eter ( ) can be read from (32) and is given by ${ }^{x}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{d}{2} \quad \overline{2+\frac{d^{2}}{4}}: \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, there are exactly tw o independent solutions, ( $t$ ) ${ }^{+}+(x)+(t) \quad(x) . W$ hen ${ }^{2}+\frac{d^{2}}{4}=0$ the independent solutions are $t^{\frac{d}{2}}$ and $t^{\frac{d}{2}} \ln t$. N orm ally, we w ould choose one of the solutions by im posing appropriate boundary conditions. O ne possible condition is

[^2]regularity at $t=0$ (ie. near the past light-oone C ) which selects only negative values of . Note that at in nity (when tgoes to 1 ) we pick up the m ost divergent solution. This is sim ilar to the usual story in anti-de Sitter space. A nother possible condition is the regularity of the action in a neighbourhood of $t=0$,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
W[]=\sum_{t!0}^{z} t^{d+1}(x ; t) Q_{t}(x ; t): \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

This gives the condition $R e<\frac{d}{2}$. In case Re $=\frac{d}{2}$ the expression (3.5) does not contain grow ing (as t goes to zero) term s but there are oscillating term s . T hese term s are allow ed in quantum eld theory since they correspond to propagating waves, and they will also play an im portant role in the rem ainder of this paper.
$N$ ote that the real part of + is always positive and thus can not provide us w ith a regular solution at $t=0$. For, depending on the value of ${ }^{2}$ in (3.3), we have three di erent cases:

1. ${ }^{2}>0$, then is negative and the $m$ ode $(t)$ is regular at $t=0$.
2. $\frac{d^{2}}{4}<{ }^{2}<0$, is positive while still real. There are no solutions regular at $t=0$ in this case. H ow ever, $<\frac{d}{2}$ and hence the mode ( $t$ ) leads to a regular action (at $t=0$ ).
3. ${ }^{2}<\frac{d^{2}}{4}$, ie. ${ }^{2}=\frac{d^{2}}{4} \quad{ }^{2}$, then both + and are complex: $=\frac{d}{2}$ i. $T$ he action has only oscillating term $s$ at $t=0$ in this case and both $(t)+$ and ( $t$ ) are allow ed.

A coording to the AdS/CFT correspondence, to each eld on anti-de Sitter (w ith mass ) satisfying equation (3.3) and the B reitenlohner Freedm an bound ${ }^{2}>d^{2}=4$ there exists a dual conform al operator living on the boundary of anti-de Sitter space (i.e. on the sphere $S_{d}$ ). The conform aldim ension of the operator is the largest root of the equation $h\left(\begin{array}{ll}h & d\end{array}\right)={ }^{2}$, for positive ${ }^{2}$ it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{+}=\frac{d}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{s}}{\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{4}+2}: \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unitarity requires the conform alweight to be real and that in tum im plies that ${ }^{2}$ has to satisfy the B reitenlohner $F$ reedm an bound. W e thus see that this bound also has a clear $m$ eaning from the higher dim ensional $M$ inkow ski space prospective.
$N$ otioe that the propagating $m$ odes correspond to case 3 and are thus described by complex conform al weights, $h=\frac{d}{2} i$, $w$ ith an arbitrary real param eter. The standard plane wave is described in term sof the $m$ etric (2.1) as $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik} x}$, where $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0$. In the coordinates ft; $y$; $g$ it takes the form $e^{p(y ;) t}$, where $p(y ;)$ is somefunction of the coordinates $y$ and. These $m$ odes can be decom posed in the basis form ed by functions $t$ where is com plex. This is seen from the inverse $M$ ellin type transform [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\text {ipt }}=\frac{1}{2 i}^{z c i 1}{ }_{c+i 1} d t \frac{i}{(p+i)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( ) is the $G$ am m a function. Thus, to describe plane w aves, orm ore generally any solution of the free eld equations which is norm alizable in the sense of plane waves we only need the $m$ odes $w$ ith $=\frac{d}{2} \quad$ i. Norm ally, such values of the conform al weights violate unitarity in a dualCFT.H ow ever, it is im portant to keep in $m$ ind that we are here discussing Euclidean A dS as a building block of a M inkow ski-signature theory, and we do
not intend to analytically continue the results to Lorentzian A dS. T herefore, it is not clear whether the notion of unitarity that is norm ally inherited from Lorentzian AdS has any relation to the notion of unitarity in M inkow ski space. Since M inkow ski space physics carries a unitary representation of the Lorentz group $S O(d+1 ; 1)$, we would actually expect that this is the group that is unitarily represented, and for this group com plex weights are not problem atic, they correspond to the principal series representation. All this is very sim ilar to a corresponding discussion for de Sitter space given in [17]. W e therefore view the results in this paper as providing further $m$ otivation for the claim in [17] that the appropriate notion of unitarity in de Sitter space is one where $S O(d+1 ; 1)$ is unitarily im plem ented, and com plex weights therefore do not violate unitarity.

In the region $D$ the story is essentially sim ilar w ith the coordinate $t$ replaced by the space-like coordinate $r$. Solying equation (3.1) for them etric (2.4) we decom pose solutions in term s of $m$ odes $r \quad m(x)$, where $m(x)$ is now a solution to the eld equation $w$ th m ass m on de Sitter space

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{dS}_{\mathrm{d}+1} & \left.\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}=0:  \tag{3.8}\\
\end{array}\right.
$$

The relation between $m$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2} \quad \overline{\mathrm{~s}} \overline{\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{4} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}}: \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analysis of $m$ odes, regularity conditions and conform al weights are sim ilar to the analysis in A. To a large extent the results can be obtained by analytical continuation of the anti-de Sitter $m$ ass $m^{2}$ to the de Sitter $m$ ass ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2}$. In particular, the $m$ odes $r$ regular on the light cone ( $r=0$ ) are the ones $w$ ith $m^{2}<0$. In all versions of the dS/CFT correspondence that have appeared in the literature the conform alw eight of the corresponding operator on the boundary of de Sitter is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2}+\overline{\mathrm{s}} \overline{\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{4} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}}: \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ odes with $0<\mathrm{m}^{2}<\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{4}$ are not regular at the light-oone but have nite action there. F inally, m odes w ith $\mathrm{m}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{4}+{ }^{2}$ are oscillating at the light-cone. B oth values of the conform alweight, $h_{+}=\frac{d}{2}+i$ and $h=\frac{d}{2} i$, are allowed in this case. $T$ he latter ones are the $m$ odes that are needed to describe planew ave norm alizable solutions of the eld equations.
The $m$ odes described are analytic across the light-cone so that $m$ odes $w$ ith $\mathrm{m}^{2}={ }^{2}$ in region A becom e m odes with $\mathrm{m}^{2}=\quad 2$ in region $D$. In particular, this $m$ eans that the lim iting values $f$ [ $\quad$ lg (f $\left[S^{+}\right] g$ ) of the wave function at $S_{d}\left(S_{d}^{+}\right)$are the same regardless of whether the light-cone is approached from region $D$ or from the region $A$ ( $A_{+}$). To see this explicitly note that in region A close to the boundary at in nity of the past light-cone the m odes behave as ( t ) $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{y}}=(\mathrm{x})$, where x (see 2.7) ) is a coordinate in the coordinate system (2.6) covering all of spacetim e. It approaches
1 as we approach the boundary at in nity of the past light-cone. On the other hand, in the region $D$ the $m$ odes ( $w$ th $m^{2}={ }^{2}$, i.e. $w$ ith the sam e ) behave in the sam $e$ region as $r$ e ( x ), where the equality follow from (2.8). The past light-cone is the surface where $x_{+}=0 . W$ e see that the $m$ odes are analytic across this surface and
describe a wave con guration propagating along the past light-cone. In a sim ilar fashion one nds that the $m$ odes are also analytic across the future light-cone.

Let us now tum on the $m$ ass in equation (3.1). The decom position of the bulk eld over a set ofm assive elds living on the slige is valid also in this case. H ow ever the radial functions in the decom position (we take region $D$ for concreteness)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(r ; x)={ }^{Z} d m \frac{1}{r^{d=2}} K \quad(m) M r\right)_{m}(x) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

are now expressed in term s of the $M$ acd onald function ${ }^{\uparrow} K(M)$ ), where $={ }^{q} \frac{d^{2}}{4} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The integration overm in (3.11) should be understood as sym bolic, the range ofvalues and $m$ easure on that space $m$ ay depend on the class of functions we want to consider. Each $m$ assive eld on the slioe has a holographic description in term $s$ of conform al operators on the boundary of the slice. T he relation between the conform al weight and the m ass $m$ is given by (3.10). In this sense there is no di erence $w$ th the $m$ assless bulk eld considered above. A plane wave solution of the m assive eld equation in the bulk can also be decom posed in term s of the radial functions (3.11). The relevant integral transform is know $n$ in the $m$ athem atical literature as the $K$ antorovich-Lebedev transform . The analog of equation (3.7) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i p r}=\int_{0}^{Z+1} d \quad r^{d=2} K_{i}(M r) f(p ;) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $f(p ;)$ is expressed in term $s$ of a hypergeom etric function as follow $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p ;)=\frac{2}{\frac{3}{2}} \sinh \frac{1}{(2 M)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \frac{\left.\frac{d}{2}+i\right) \frac{d}{2}}{\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)} F\left(\frac{d}{2}+i ; \frac{d}{2} \quad i ; \frac{d+1}{2} ;\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i p}{2 M}\right)\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interestingly, it can be brought to a com pact form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p ;)=\frac{2(2)^{d=2}}{(i)(i)^{M}}{ }^{d=2} D_{\frac{d}{2}+i}\left(i \frac{p}{M}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term sof a de Sitter invariant G reen's fiunction D (z) to be de ned in section 5 ( $z$ in that case w ould be related to the geodesic distance on a de Sitter slice). The appearance of this function in the $K$ antorovioh-Lebedev transform (3.12) is rather $m$ ysterious. Since $m$ assive elds in the bulk do not lead to any im $m$ ediate new conceptual issues but are m ainly technically m ore com plicated, we w ill restrict our attention to m assless elds in the rem ainder of this paper.

Sum $m$ arizing our progress so far, we have found that the scalar ( $m$ assive or $m$ assless) eld propagating in $M$ inkow skispace is equivalently described in term sofan in nite set of $m$ assive elds living (depending on the region ofM inkow skispace) on anti-de Sitter or de Sitter space. The original scalar eld can thus be decom posed in term sofm assive elds on (anti-) de Silter. This is a sort of spectral decom position since it involves an integration over the $m$ ass (or m ), which therefore plays the role of the spectral param eter. The explicit form of this decom position will be studied in section 5.

[^3]Several of the equations and decom positions given in this and later sections have also been obtained in the papers [18, [19], but we are not aw are of any attem pt to use de Sitter and AdS slices to try to nd a holographic dual description of M inkow ski space. Sim ilarly, one can consider $m$ assless higher spin elds, but the generalization is rather straightforw ard and will not be discussed in this paper.

A swe know from the AdS/CFT correspondence any m assive eld on Euclidean anti-de Sitter space is com pletely described by xing $D$ irichlet data on the boundary of the space, which in the present case is the sphere $S_{d}$ (or $S_{d}^{+}$for the region $A_{+}$). O ne has to be a bit careful in applying the standard AdS/CFT form alism, because in our case we have com plex conform alw eights and there is no longer a separation of elds in nom alizable and non-norm alizable $m$ odes. The analog statem ent for de Sitter space is that the initial-tim e data can be xed on either the past or future in nity surface which is again respectively $\$$ or $S_{d}^{+}$. This suggests that the $C$ auchy problem in $M$ inkow ski space becom es the problem of reconstructing the values of a eld everyw here in term $s$ of known data $f$ [ $S$ lg given on the past in nity ( $S_{d}$ ) of the light cone. The explicit form of this reconstruction w ill be given in subsection 52. H aving in $m$ ind the $Q$ uantum $M$ echanical picture we would expect that the quantum in-state should be associated with data on $S_{d}$ while the outstate should be de ned in term $s$ of the data $f\left[S^{+}\right] g$ on the future in nity ( $S_{d}^{+}$) of the light cone. In the next sections we w ill elaborate $m$ ore on this picture.

## 4 Light-cone con form al correlation functions

In this section we show that in a m anner sim ilar to the AdS/CFT correspondence (5] there naturally appear conform al eld theory correlation functions on the boundary of the light-cone in M inkow ski spacetim e. A ctually, the discussion in this section should be taken w ith a grain of salt and be viewed as providing som e inspiration for the results in the rem ainder of the paper.

C onsider the action of the scalar eld on A. If the eld is a solution of the equations ofm otion the action reduces to the boundary term

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\underbrace{z}_{t!\quad}(t)^{d+1} p-\quad(x ; t) Q \quad(x ; t) \quad ; \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{y}\right.$; ) are coordinates on the A nti-de Sitter slice, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}+1}$. W e decom pose elds in term s ofm odes as we did in the previous section,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ;)=\underbrace{x}_{\left(h_{+} ; h\right)}(t)^{h_{+}}(+)(x)+(t)^{h} \quad()(x) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we sum (or, rather, take an integral if h are continuous) over all possible pairs ( $h_{+}$; h ). In term $s$ of the $m$ assive elds ( $w$ th $m$ ass ) on anti-de Sitter space $H_{d+1}$, $h$ are the roots of the equation $h(h \quad d)={ }^{2}\left(h_{+}+h=d,{ }^{2}=h_{+} h\right)$. In this section we do not specify the sign of ${ }^{2}$. In the decom position (42) a priori all possible (both real and com plex) conform al weights should be taken into account, but, as we discussed in the previous section, plane wave norm alizability restricts the conform al weights to $h=\frac{d}{2}$ i. Next, we substitute (4.2) into (4.1) and take the lim itt! 1 . In general the resulting expression has in nite, oscillating and nite term s
where the in nite and oscillating tem s are collected in the second sum. W e are interested in the nite one,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{f} \text { in }}=\mathrm{X}_{(\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{h})}^{\mathrm{X})} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}+1}}^{\mathrm{Z}}{ }^{(+)}(\mathrm{x}) \quad()(\mathrm{x}) \text {; } \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summ ation (integration) runs over all possible pairs ( $h_{+}$;h).
B efore proceeding, we point out tw o problem sw ith the analysis in this section. First, we have to drop som e in nite and oscillating term $s$ in order to get [.3). In the spirit of the usualA dS/C FT correspondence, such a procedure would be view ed as the holographic version of renorm alization, but here such an interpretation is problem atic, because the subleading term $s$ in the $t$ expansion are non-local functionals of the leading term, and therefore the in nities are non-local in nature 20]. H ow ever, what we really want to do is holography in each of the AdS slices and keep the t-dependence exactly, and then this issue will not arise. Besides this, there are problem s evaluating on-shell actions for scalar
elds on de Sitter slices, and probably also for elds on Euclidean anti-de Sitter space w ith com plex conform alw eights, as discussed in [17]. B oth problem swill not arise in the next section where we use an S-m atrix type approach to the M inkow ski/CFT duality, and as we said above, this section should $m$ ainly be viewed as providing som e inspiration.

C ontinuing w th the analysis, we recall that each eld ${ }^{(+)}(\mathrm{x})$ and () $(\mathrm{x})$ is a solution of the scalar eld equation

$$
\left(\quad{ }^{2}\right)^{()}(x)=0
$$

with mass ${ }^{2}=h_{+} h$ on $H_{d+1}$. The coordinates $x=(; y)$ are such that $y=1$ corresponds to the boundary of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}+1}$ and ( ) are the angle coordinates on the asym ptotic sphere $S_{d}$.

N ear the boundary of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}+1}$ there exists a decom position

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (+)(x)=e^{h y(+)}()+e^{h+y}{ }_{+}^{(+)}() \\
& ()(x)=e^{h y}()()+e^{h_{+} y}{ }_{+}^{()}()
\end{aligned}
$$

fam iliar from the AdS/CFT duality [5]. If $h_{+}$and $h$ are real the term $e^{h}{ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ dom inates and the functions ${ }^{(+)}(),{ }^{()}()$are the usual choioes for the D irichlet boundary condition at the boundary of $A d S$ space $H_{d+1}$. If the conform al weights are com plex any function (') ( ) or ${ }_{+}^{\prime}$ ' ( ) can be used as a boundary condition. The solutions of the $m$ assive scalar eld equation on $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Z}}}$ can then chosen to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{(+)}(; y)=z_{z^{s_{d}}}^{d}\left({ }^{0}\right) G(y ; ~ ; ~ \\
&  \tag{4.4}\\
& ()(; y)={ }_{+}^{(+)}\left({ }^{0}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $d()$ is the standard $m$ easure on a unit radius $d$-sphere, and we $w$ ill from now on use the notation ( $;{ }^{0}$ ) for the geodesic distance on a d-sphere betw een points $w$ ith coordinates and ${ }^{0}$. W e will ignore the fact that for com plex weights the elds in (4.4) are generically com plex, and one should really add the complex conjugate elds in the right hand side. A gain, the results here should be taken with a grain of salt. T he kemels

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{h}\left(y ; \quad ;{ }^{0}\right)=\frac{g(h)}{\left(\cosh y \sinh y \cos \left(;^{0}\right)^{h}\right.} ; \\
& g(h)=(4)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{(\mathrm{~h})}{\left(\mathrm{h} \frac{d}{2}\right)} ; \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

can be intenpreted as bulk-boundary propagators on an anti-de Sitter slice. For large y they behave as follow s (see A ppendix A for details)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{y!1} G_{+}\left(y ; \quad ;{ }^{0}\right)=\left(e^{h} y(d)\left(;^{0}\right)+e^{h_{+} y^{\prime}} K_{+}\left(;^{0}\right)\right)\left(1+O\left(e^{2 y}\right)\right) \\
& \lim _{y!1} G\left(y ; \quad ;{ }^{0}\right)=\left(e^{h_{+} y(d)}\left(;^{0}\right)+e^{h}{ }^{y_{K}} K \quad\left(;{ }^{0}\right)\right)\left(1+O\left(e^{2 \mathrm{y}}\right)\right) ; \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{(d)}\left(;{ }^{0}\right)$ is the delta-function on the d-sphere. The kemels $K \quad\left(;{ }^{0}\right)$ and $K_{+}\left(;{ }^{0}\right)$ are de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \quad\left(;{ }^{0}\right)=\frac{2^{h} g(\mathrm{~h})}{\left(1 \quad \cos \left(;^{0}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{h}}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are inverse to each other
z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}() \mathrm{K}\left(;^{0}\right) \mathrm{K}_{+}\left(;^{\infty}\right)={ }^{(\mathrm{d})}\left({ }^{0} ;{ }^{\infty}\right): \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (4.4) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{(1)}()=Z_{z}^{Z} d\left({ }^{0}\right) K\left(;{ }^{0}\right) \quad{ }_{+}^{(1)}\left({ }^{0}\right) \\
& { }_{+}^{()}()=d\left({ }^{0}\right) K+\left(;^{0}\right){ }^{(1)}\left({ }^{0}\right) \text { : } \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The idea is to substitute (42)-(4.4) into the action (4.1), rst take the integration over $y$ and then take the lim it of in nite $t$. N ote, that additionally to the divergences at large $t$ there could be extra divergences when the integration over $y$ is being taken.

A nother im portant point is to observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{d} d \quad\left({ }^{0}\right)^{Z_{1}} d y \sinh ^{d}(y) G_{h}\left(y ;{ }^{0} ;\right) G_{h} 0\left(y ;{ }^{0} ;{ }^{\infty}\right) \quad \text { (d) }\left(;^{\infty}\right) \quad\left(d \quad h \quad h^{0}\right) ; \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assum ed that $h$ and $h^{0}$ take values on the com plex line $d=2+i$, and possibly om itted som e regular term $s$ in the right hand side. $W$ e suspect these regular term s are not there, but have not been able to prove this. The delta-function in (4.10) can be recognized in the asym ptotics (4.6) of the kemels $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathrm{y}\right.$; ; ${ }^{9}$ ) (note, that we also om itted the term s proportionalto ( $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{h}^{0}$ ) which contribute to the oscillating part of the action). W ith the help of this form ula we nd that the nite part of the action, after the integrations over $t$ and $y$ have been perform ed, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{fin}} / \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad()()_{+}^{(+)}() \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

For com plex conform al weights we have $\left.\left[{ }^{( }\right)\right]={ }_{+}^{(+)}$and $\left[{ }_{+}^{()}\right]={ }^{(+)}$so that various com plex conjugates will appear in (4.11). Ifwe were to follow the usualA dS/CFT story, we would interpret the functions $\left.{ }^{( }\right)()$and ${ }^{(+)}()$as souroes coupled to dualconform al operators $\mathrm{O}_{+}^{(1)}(\mathrm{l})$ and $\mathrm{O}_{+}^{(+)}(\mathrm{l})$ respectively (both with conform al weight $\mathrm{h}_{+}$). Equation (4.11) would then indicate that there is a non-trivial correlation function between the
operators $O_{+}^{(+)}()$and $O_{+}^{()}()$and that it takes the form ofa conform altw o-point function on the d-sphere. A gain, this is all suggestive but quite im precise.

A sim ilar analysis can be done in regions A + and $D$. By nally considering the action
 and ${ }_{h}\left[S^{+}\right]$on the two d-spheres $S$ and $S^{+}$respectively. The variation $w$ th respect to ${ }_{h}\left[S\right.$ ] and then with respect to ${ }_{h}\left[S^{+}\right]$is naturally interpreted as a correlation function between operators living on $S^{+}$and $S$. The interesting question arises whether those correlation functions have anything to do w th the $S$-m atrix in $M$ inkow ski space (once we include interactions). W e will retum to this and other questions in the next section, where we will set up a m ore precise version of the $M$ inkow ski/C FT correspondence.

## 5 G reen's functions and $S-m$ atrix in M inkow skispacetim e

### 5.1 P ropagators

Them anifestation of the conform alstructure of correlation finctions associated $w$ ith the asym ptotic boundaries of the light-oone which we studied in the previous section was suggestive. The em ergence of conform al structures becom es how ever clearer and $m$ ore precise if we study the asym ptotic behavior of the propagator in $M$ inkow ski spacetim $e$.

For sim plicity we start w ith the free eld and consider the $H$ adam ard type propagator de ned as

$$
D\left(X ; X^{0}\right)=<0 \text { 迁 }(X) ; \quad\left(X^{0}\right) g j 0>\quad:
$$

In ( $d+2$ )-dim ensionalM inkow ski space it takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(X ; X^{0}\right)=\frac{d}{\left(s^{2}\right)^{d=2}} ; s^{2}=\left(X_{0} \quad X_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(X \quad X^{0}\right)^{2} ; \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s^{2}$ is the space-tim e intervalbetw een two events and $\quad d=\frac{\left.\frac{(1)}{2}\right)}{4 d=2+1}$.
Let both points lie in the region D foliated by de Sitter slices. The foliation is given by coordinates ( $r$; ; ) that are related to the coordinates of $M$ inkow ski space as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=r \sinh \quad ; X=r \cosh n() ; \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{)}$ is d-dim ensional unit vector param etrized by angle coordinates $\mathrm{f} g$. The distance betw een two points $w$ th coordinates $(r ; ~ ;)$ and $\left(1 ;{ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}=r^{2}+r^{Q} \quad 2 r r^{0} \cos \quad ; \tag{5,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the geodesic distance on de Sitter space betw een the points ( ; ) and ( ${ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos =\left(\sinh \sinh ^{0} \cosh \cosh ^{0} \cos \left(;{ }^{0}\right)\right) ; \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(;^{0}\right)$ is the angle betw een the unit vectors $n()$ and $n\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$, which at the same tim $e$ is the geodesic distance on the d-sphere. N ote that ${ }^{2}>0$ for space-like intervals on de Sitter space while ${ }^{2}<0$ for tim e-like intervals. In the latter case cos in (5.3) and (5.4) becom es cosh j j.

Substituting (5.3) into (5.1) and expanding in powers of $r=r^{0}$ we recognize a generating function for $G$ egenbauer polynom ials

$$
\text { (1 } \left.2\left(r=r^{0}\right) \cos +\left(r=r^{0}\right)^{2}\right)={ }_{n=0}^{x^{A}} C_{n}(\cos )\left(r=r^{0}\right)^{n}:
$$

The in nite sum can be represented as a single contour integral so that we have the follow ing useful representation for the $H$ adam ard function

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(X ; X^{0}\right)=\frac{i}{2}{ }^{\left.d^{Z}{ }_{c i 1}^{c+i 1} r \quad r^{0}{ }^{d} C^{d=2}(\cos ) \frac{d}{\sin ( }\right)} \text {; } \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant c should satisfy the condition $0<c<d$. W e can choose $c=d=2$ so that the integral is over $=d=2+i$ where changes from $m$ inus to plus in nity. These values of are natural because they appear in the decom position of plane waves as we saw in section 3.

The propagator is now represented in term s of $G$ egenbauer functions whidh for arbitrary are de ned in term s of the hypergeom etric function as

$$
C^{d=2}(z)=\frac{(d \quad)}{(1 \quad)(d)} F\left(d \quad ; \quad ; \frac{d+1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}(1+z)\right):
$$

W hen two points on a slice approach each other the geodesic distance goes to zero and the argum ent in $G$ egenbauer function approaches -1 . T herefore, the argum ent in the hypergeom etric function goes to +1 . This is the point where the hypergeom etric function has a singularity, $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{i} ;$; z$)(1 \mathrm{z}) \quad$; z ! 1. For the hypergeom etric function in the de nition of Gegenbauer function we have $\quad=(\mathrm{d} 1)=2$. Thus, when approaches zero $G$ egenbauer function in (5.5) has singularity

$$
C^{d=2}(\infty) \frac{1}{d 1}
$$

which depends only on the dimension $d$ but not on . This is exactly the expected behavior of $G$ reen's function. Indeed, the $G$ egenbauer function $C^{d=2}(\cos )$ is related to the de Sitter invariant $G$ reen's function de ned as

$$
D \quad(z)=\frac{()(d}{(4)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)} \text { F }\left(d \quad ; \quad ; \frac{d+1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}(1+z)\right) ;
$$

where $z=\cos$, of the eld operator $\left({ }^{2}\right),{ }^{2}=(\quad d)$. The exact relation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{d=2}(z)=\frac{4^{d=2}}{\left.\frac{d}{2}\right)} \sin (\quad) D \quad(z): \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

O bviously, $D \quad(z)=D_{d} \quad(z)$. Equation (5.5) then takes the especially sim ple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(X ; X^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2 i}^{Z}{ }_{c i 1}^{c+i l} d r r^{0}{ }^{d} D(\cos ) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows how the $G$ reen's function in ( $\mathrm{d}+2$ )-dim ensional M inkow ski spacetim e is decom posed in term $s$ of the in nite set of $G$ reen's functions on the ( $d+1$ )-dim ensional de Silter slice param etrized by .

In region A, inside the light-cone, we choose the coordinate system ( $t$; y; ) as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=\operatorname{tcosh} y ; X=t \sinh y n(): \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The M inkow ski distance in this region takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}=\left(t^{2}+t^{\infty} \quad 2 t t^{0} \cosh \right) ; \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the geodesic distance on Euclidean anti-de Sitter space de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh =\cosh y \cosh y^{0} \quad \sinh y \sinh y^{0} \cos \quad\left(\quad ;^{0}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as before $\left(;^{0}\right)$ is the geodesic distance on the unit $d$-sphere. The propagator in this region has a decom position sim ilar to (5.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(X ; X^{0}\right)={\frac{(i)^{d}}{2 i}}_{c i 1}^{c+i 1} d \quad t t^{0}{ }^{d} D(o 0 \operatorname{sh}) ; \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term $s$ of an in nite set of G reen's functions D (cosh ) on the anti-de Sitter slices.
In Q uantum Field Theory a special role is played by the Feynm an propagator which in $M$ inkow ski space is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{F}\left(X ; X^{0}\right)=d \frac{i}{\left(s^{2}+i\right)^{1}=2} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the appropriate $i$ prescription show ing that the propagator is analytic in the upper half-plane as a function of the invariant distance $s^{2}$. This translates directly into a corresponding prescription for the propagators on the de Silter slioes once we use the decom position (5.5). We nd for the Feynm an-like propagator $D^{F}$ as function of the de-Silter invariance distance that

$$
D^{F}(\cos )=i D(\cos \text { i }):
$$

$T$ his gives the correct Feynm an prescription for going around the $=0$ singularity in the propagator on de Silter spactim e.

It is now our goal to see how the Feynm an propagator in $M$ inkow ski space behaves when the two points approach the boundaries at in nity of the light-cone. Suppose that one of the points approaches the future in nity of the light-cone, i.e. the sphere $S^{+}$, from the side of the region D. In this case we have ${ }^{0}!+1$ and the de Silter geodesic distance behaves in this lim it as

$$
z=\cos \frac{1}{2} e^{0}\left(\sinh +\cosh \cos \left(i^{0}\right)\right):
$$

U sing the know n properties (available in [21]) of the hypergeom etric function when its argum ent approaches in nity we nd that as ${ }^{0}!+1$

$$
\left.D \quad(z) \frac{()}{(4)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \frac{(d+1}{2}}\right)(z) \quad \frac{2}{(\$ d)}+(\$ d)
$$

This expression contains factors ( $\sinh \cosh \cos (i)+i)$. W hen now the second point is taken either to the future in nity ( ! + 1 ) or to the past in nity (! 1 ) of
the light-cone these factors produce non-trivial distributions on the $d$-sphere (see A pendix A for details)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{!+1}\left(\sinh \quad \cosh \cos \left(i^{0}\right)+i\right) \\
= & (4)^{d=2} i^{(d 2)} \frac{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{(1)} e^{(d)} \quad^{(d)}\left(;^{0}\right)+\frac{2 e}{\left(1 \cos \left(;^{0}\right)\right)} \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{1}\left(\sinh \quad \cosh \cos \left(i^{0}\right)+i\right) \\
& =(4)^{d=2}(i)^{d} \frac{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{(1)} e^{(d)}{ }^{(d)}(; \quad 0)+\frac{2(i)^{2} e}{\left(1+\cos \left(;^{0}\right)\right)} ; \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where stands for the spherical coordinates of the anti-podal point of the $d$-sphere, in other words $n()=n()$. The expressions in (5.14) are valid up to term $s$ of order $e^{2}$. U sing (5.14) we nd the form of the Feynm an propagator when both points approach the future in nity of the light-cone $\left(;^{0}!+1\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{0!+1} D_{F}\left(X ; X^{0}\right)={ }^{Z} \frac{d}{2 i^{2}} r^{0(d)} \\
& A() \frac{\left.e^{(0+}\right)}{(1 \cos )}+B()^{(d)}\left(;^{0}\right) e^{(d)} e^{0}+(\$ d) \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integration over goes from $d=2$ il to $d=2+$ il . A sim ilar expression appears when ; ${ }^{0}$ ! 1 .

N otice that the rst term in (5.15) looks like a conform al correlation function on one of the boundaries ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}^{+}$) of the light-cone, sim ilar to the expression we found in the previous section. From a holographic point of view, it is a correlation function between operators associated with modes $r e$ and $r^{(d)} e$. On the other hand, we nd that the correlation functions of the operators associated with them odes $r e^{(d)}$ ) and $r^{(d)}$ 'e produce contact term sproportional to a delta-function on the d-sphere.

W hen one of the points approaches the future in nity of the light-cone and another approaches the past in nity of the light-cone we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{0} D_{F}\left(X ; X^{0}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2 i^{1}} \mathrm{r} r^{0(d)} \\
& D() \frac{\left.e^{( }{ }^{0}\right)}{(1+\cos )}+F()^{(d)}\left(;{ }^{0}\right) e^{(d)} e^{0}+(\$ d) \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

This also takes the form of correlation fiunctions between conform al operators living on $S_{d}$ and $S_{d}^{+}$: the correlation fiunction diverges for antipodal points on $d$-sphere. This is sim ilar to the behavior for tw o point functions in de Sitter space as discussed in [7, 22, 23]. The functions A ( ) , B ( ) , D ( ) and F ( ) are expressed in term $s$ of the $G$ am $m$ a function as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.A()=\frac{2 i}{4^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}() \frac{d}{2} \quad\right) ; B()=\frac{2^{d} i^{(d 2+1)}}{4} \underset{5}{d} \quad\right) \quad\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) ; \\
& \left.\left.D()=\frac{2(i)^{(21)}}{4^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \text { ( ) } \frac{d}{\frac{d}{2}}\right) ; F()=\frac{2^{d}(i)^{(d 1)}}{4} \frac{d}{2}\right)\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

W e can repeat this analysis in the case when either of the in nities of the light-cone is approached from the side of the region $A$. It is usefiul to note that the coordinate system s (52) and (5.8) are related by the analytic transform ation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { D ! } A_{+}: r \text { ! it ; ! y } \frac{i}{2} \text {; ! } \\
& \text { D! A : } \mathrm{r} \text { ! it ; ! } \mathrm{y}+\frac{i}{2} \text {; ! : } \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing the analytic continuation (5.18) between regions A and D one can dem onstrate that the structure of the conform al correlation functions (5.15) and (5.16) is the same no $m$ atter from which side each point approaches the boundary of the light-cone. Thus, the structure of the conform al correlators em erging in (5.15) and (5.16) is an intrinsic property of the boundaries ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}^{+}$) them selves.

Incidentally, in de Sitter space there is a one-param eter fam ily of vacua usually called -vacua, and one may wonder which vacuum state is natural once de Sitter space is em bedded in M inkow ski space. A coording to [19], the natural de Sitter vacuum to use in this context is the Bunch $-D$ avies vacuum or the Euclidean vacuum. $W$ e have not veri ed whether the form of (5.16) is consistent w ith the two-point functions in the Euclidean vacuum as derived in [22, 23], or whether it corresponds to a di erent value of , but clearly it would be interesting to investigate this.

### 5.2 In-and out- elds

The standard decom position of asym ptotic elds in term s of plane waves is

$$
\left(X_{0} ; X\right)={ }^{Z} d k a(k) f_{k}\left(X_{0} ; X\right)+a^{y}(k) f_{k}\left(X_{0} ; X\right) ;
$$

where the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}\left(X_{0} ; X\right)=\frac{1}{\left[(2)^{d+1} 2 k\right]^{1=2}} e^{i\left(k X_{0} k x\right)} \tag{520}
\end{equation*}
$$

form a basis of (positive frequency) asym ptotic elds. The ( $d+1$ )-vectork is param etrized by its length $k=\overline{k k}$ and angles $f{ }_{k} g$ which determ ine the direction of the vector in mom entum space. Hence we have $k=k n(k)$, where $n(k)$ is unit vector providing the direction. The creation and annihilation operators satisfy the usualalgebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a(k) ; a^{y}\left(k^{0}\right)\right]={ }^{(d+1)}\left(k ; k^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{k^{d}} \quad\left(k \quad k^{0}\right) \quad(d)\left(k ; k^{0}\right) ; \tag{521}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{(d)}\left({ }_{k} ; k^{0}\right)$ is the delta-fiunction on the $d$-sphere $w$ ith respect to the standard $m$ easure d (k).

In the region $A$ the coordinates ( $X_{0} ; X$ ) are given by (5.8) so that the argum ent in the exponent in 521) can be written in the form

$$
\left(k X_{0} \quad k X\right)=k t\left(\cosh y \quad \sinh y \cos \quad\left(;_{k}\right)\right):
$$

U sing this expression and form ula 3.7) we obtain a representation of the plane waves
where the i -prescription determ ines the way to go around the $t=0$ singularity. W ith the help of this representation we can obtain an explicit decom position of the asym ptotic eld (5.19) in term s of data on the boundary of the light-cone.
C onsider the region $A_{+}$in which the coordinate $t>0$. W th the help of (522) the asym ptotic eld 5.19) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{y} ;)=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{i}} \underset{\mathrm{~d}=2+\mathrm{i}=21}{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}^{+}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{G}_{(1)}\left(\mathrm{y} ; \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{(\mathrm{d})}(\mathrm{k}) \text {; } \tag{523}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{( }\left(y ; ;{ }_{k}\right)=\frac{g()}{(\cosh y \quad \sinh y \cos (; k))} \tag{524}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the bulk-boundary propagator on Euclidean anti-de Sitter introduced in (4.5). The fam ily of functions (param etrized by )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(d \quad)_{k}\right)=\frac{2^{\frac{d}{2}}\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{Z}}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}} 0_{0}^{1} d k k^{\left(d \quad \frac{1}{2}\right)} e^{i_{\overline{2}}} a(k ; k)+e^{i \overline{2}} a^{y}(k ; k) \tag{525}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be thought of as the boundary data on the boundary $S_{d}^{+}$of the future light-cone. Equation (523) thus gives us a solution to a quite peculiar boundary problem and shows how the eld in ( $d+2$ )-dim ensional space-tim e can be reconstructed from data on a codim ension tw o surface lying at the boundary at in nity of the light-cone.

The algebra (521) induces the algebra of the operators (525) and we obtain for their vacuum expectation values

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left\langle 0 j^{(d)}\right)_{k}\right)^{(d \quad}{ }^{0},\binom{0}{k} j 0\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{2^{d}}{4}\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)\left({ }^{0} \frac{d}{2}\right)\left(\text { i) } i ^ { 0 } 2 i \quad \left(+0 \text { d) }{ }^{(d)}\left({ }_{k} ;{ }_{k}^{0}\right):\right.\right. \tag{526}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing this we can com pute the vacuum expectation value of the asym ptotic elds (5.19) and we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.C()=\frac{2^{\mathrm{d}}}{2} \quad\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{2}\right) \underset{2}{\mathrm{~d}} \quad\right) \cos \left(\frac { - } { 2 } \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} & 2)): \\
\hline
\end{array}\right.\right. \tag{527}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (527) is another representation for the $H$ adam ard function (5.11). C om paring these two expressions we nd a representation for the anti-de Sitter bu $\mathbb{k}-b u \mathbb{k}$ propagator D (cosh ) in term s of the bulk tooundary propagator (524). This representation is rather natural from the point of view of anti-de Sitter space.

In the region A where the coordinate $t<0$ there exists a representation for the asym ptotic eld which is sim ilar to [23]) : one should just sw itch signs appropriately according to the i -prescription in (522).

In region $D$ foliated by de Sitter slices the coordinates ( $X_{0} ; X$ ) are given by (52.) and we have that

$$
\left(k X_{0} \quad k X\right)=k r(\sinh \quad \cosh \cos (i k)):
$$

The expression for the plane waves then reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.e^{i\left(k x_{0} k x\right)}=\frac{1}{2}^{i_{c+i 1}^{c}} d \frac{(i) \quad() k r}{(\sinh } \cosh \cos (i k) \quad i\right) \quad: \tag{528}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kemel appearing in (528) is singular and am biguous. The i in (528) provides the appropriate $w$ ay to deal w th the singularity in the kemel. The asym ptotic behavior of such kemels is given by (5.13) and (5.14) . U sing those asym ptotics we de ne the bulk to boundary propagator near the boundary at future in nity of the light-cone as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\text {out }}\left(; \quad ;{ }^{0}\right)=\frac{g()(i)^{2}}{\left(\sinh \cosh \cos \left(;^{0}\right)+i\right)}: \tag{529}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bulk-boundary propagator near the boundary at past in nity is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{\text {in }}\left(; \quad ;^{0}\right)=\frac{g()(i)^{\mathrm{d}}}{(\sinh +\cosh \cos (;) \quad i)}: \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e should note that the -prescription used to de ne the decom position of the plane waves (see (522) and (528)) is in general not analytic under the transform ation (5.18) between regions A and D.We nd that modes e ${ }^{i k X}$ w ith $k^{0}>0$ are analytic across the future light-cone while m odes $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ikx}} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{k}^{0}>0$ are analytic across the past light-cone.

### 5.3 C on form al operators

The analysis of the previous subsection suggests that one can de ne the conform al operators as associated w th the asym ptotic (possibly, interacting in the bulk) in-and outquantum elds. For the out- eld the representation is

For the in- eld we have a sim ilar representation in term sof the in-operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1}(r ; \quad ;)=\frac{1}{2}^{\text {i } \frac{d}{2} \text { i1 }} \frac{\frac{d}{2}+\mathrm{il}}{} \mathrm{~d} \quad\left(>(r ;)_{\mathrm{in}} \mathrm{O}^{>}()+\left\langle(r ;)_{\mathrm{in}} \mathrm{O}^{<}()\right):\right. \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asym ptotic $m$ odes which are right-m oving as a function of on the (logr; )-plane are labelled by the subscript > while the left-m oving $m$ odes are labelled by < . T he functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
r<(r ;)=N^{1} r e \quad ; \quad r(r ;)=N^{1} i{ }^{d} r e^{(d)} \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

form the basis of out-m odes and the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
>(r ;)=N^{1} r e \quad ; \quad<(r ;)=N^{1} i^{d} r e^{(d)} \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

form the basis of the in m odes on the ( $\log r$; $)$-plane. In the region $A$ the respective m odes are those obtained from (5.33) and (5.34) by m eans of the analytic continuation (5.18) . T he conform aloperators so de ned are associated w th the boundary of the lightcone at in nity and are independent of the side ( $A$ or D ) from which the boundary is approached.

Since plays the role of time in the de Sitter foliation of $M$ inkow ski space it can be used to de ne positive and negative frequencies. Representing $=\frac{d}{2}+i$ where is a real param eter we then nd that the positive frequency modes are ( ), (), ' () and ' ( ), allwith positive. Inversion of the sign of (or equivalently taking the com plex con jugate) then gives the negative-frequency $m$ odes. The constant $N$ is given by

$$
N=2^{\frac{d}{2}} \overline{2} \bar{d}:
$$

The positive frequency $m$ odes then are norm alized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f ; f 0)=2 \text { i }(\quad 0) ; \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $f$ stands for any of the positive frequency modes we de ned above. The inner product is given by the usual $K$ lein-G ordon expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f ; g)=(\text { oosh })^{Z^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} d r r^{d}{ }^{1} f \text { id } g \text {; } \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ! +1 for the out-operators and ! 1 for in-operators.
For the operators de ned in (5.31) and (5.32) we have that $\mathrm{O}^{<}=\left[0^{<}\right.$] and $\mathrm{O}^{>}=$ $\left[0^{>}\right.$]. This implies that the in- and out-operators $O^{<}$and $O^{>}$couple to positivefrequency modes while $O^{>}$and $O^{<}$couple to negative-frequency modes. This de nition of the positive and negative frequencies is di erent from the de nition based on the global tim e coordinate $X_{0}$. The di erence betw een two is rem iniscent of the well-known relation betw een the $R$ indler and $M$ inkow skide nitions of tim e in $R$ indler spacetim e. The corresponding $m$ odes are related by a B ogoliubov transform. A $s$ is seen from (528) the $m$ odes which have positive frequency in the sense of the tim e-like vector $\varrho_{\mathrm{X}_{0}}$ decom pose onto both negative and positive frequency $m$ odes in the sense of de Sitter tim e vector @ . It would be interesting to understand better the im plications of this observation. N ote also that the de nition of the conform aloperators given in (531) and 532) is rem iniscent of the analysis of section 4.
$T$ he operation inverse to the spectral integration in (5.31), (5.32) is the direct $M$ ellin transform

$$
(;)=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d r r^{1}(r ; ~ ; ~)
$$

so that ( ; ) can be thought ofasa eld living on de Sitter space with coordinates ( ; ). On the other hand the kemels $G^{\text {in }(; ~ ; ~}{ }^{\circ}$ ) and $G^{\text {out }(; ~ ; ~}{ }^{\circ}$ ) given in 5.29) and (5.30) can be used to pro ject the eld ( ; ) onto modes asym ptotic to e and e (d ). These two operations can be used to derive the insertion of the in-and out-conform aloperators in term $s$ of the (in general interacting) bulk Feynm an $G$ reen's function in the form of an integral over a hypersurface of constant. For the out-operators it is the surface at
$=+1$ while for the in-operators we should take the surface at $=1$. The insertion prescriptions are

[^4]and
for the in-operators and
and
for the out-operators. Near the boundary ( $S^{+}$or $S$ ) of the light-oone the coordinate $r$ plays the role of a coordinate enum erating the de Sitter slices ending at the surface $S^{+}$ (or S ). Thus, in the above form ulas an insertion e ectively takes place at each of the de Sitter slioes separately, after which one sum s over all de Sitter slioes labelled by r.

These expressions are very sim ilar to the ones introduced in [7, 23] for the insertion of boundary conform al operators in the case of de Sitter space. The eld (; ) obtained from ( $r$; ; ) by the $M$ ellin transform in the variable $r$ describes a eld on de Sitter space w ith $m$ ass equal ( $d$ ), so perhaps this is not too surprising. Nevertheless, it is interesting to observe that com puting the on-shell action (as we attem pted in section 4) is not very satisfactory, whereas the S-m atrix approach in this section gives a concise proposal for a M inkow ski/CFT duality as in (5.41), just as in de Sitter space.

Inside the light-cone, in the region $A$, the insertions can be de ned in a sim ilar way as in (5.38), 5.39, (5.40), 5.41). The appropriate surface is then the boundary at $y=+1$ of each anti-de Sitter slice and one has to integrate over contributions of all such sliges, which translates into an integral over negative $t$ for the in-operators and over positive $t$ for the out-operators. On an anti-de Sitter slice we could use the de nition of the conform al correlation functions built out of bulk-boundary propagators and truncated n-point Feynm an G reen's function on the slice, as fam iliar from AdS/CFT, see [5], [24]. N otice that even on the A nti-de Sitter slioes com puting an on-shell action is not the right approach, because of the com plex values of the conform alw eights, and therefore even on the A dS slices we should resort to an S-m atrix type approach like the one discussed in [25].

The integration over t adds up the contributions of allA dS slices. The explicit form of the insertions in region A can be obtained by applying the analytic transform ation (5.18) to (5.38)-(5.41).

It appears that correlation functions de ned in this way do not depend on the choige of region (inside or outside the light-cone) which is used to de ne the insertions and are therefore an intrinsic property of the boundary of the light-cone. The 2-point correlation functions between out-and in-operators are now found to be equal to

$$
\left\langle 0 \text { jutO }_{1}^{<}(1) \mathrm{inO}_{2}(2) \mathrm{j} 0\right\rangle=2 \text { i }\left(1+2 \text { d) }{ }^{(d)}(1 ; \quad 2) i^{d} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{~F}(2)\right. \text { (5.41) }
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { d) } \\
& \text { (d) }(1 ;  \tag{5.42}\\
& \text { 2) } i^{d} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)(5 \\
& <0 \dot{j}_{\mathrm{ut}} \mathrm{O}^{>}{ }_{1}\left({ }_{1}\right)_{\text {in }} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }_{2}\left({ }_{2}\right) \mathrm{j} 0>=2 \text { i } \quad\left({ }_{1}+2\right.  \tag{5.43}\\
& \text { d) } \frac{i^{d}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{D}\left({ }_{2}\right)}{(1+\cos (1 ; 2))^{2}} \\
& <0 \dot{\text { but }}_{\text {ut }} \mathrm{O}_{1}\left({ }_{1}\right) \text { in }^{<}{ }_{2}(2) \mathrm{j} 0>=2 \text { i } \quad(1+2  \tag{5.44}\\
& \text { d) } \frac{\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)}{\left(1+\cos \left({ }_{1} ;{ }_{2}\right)\right)^{1}} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the set of CFT operators splits into two groups: operators $O^{>}$representing the right-m oving $m$ odes and operators $\mathrm{O}^{<}$representing the left-m oving m odes. T he correlation functions of operators of the sam e group take a standard CFT form (5.43), (5.44) on a d-sphere. On the other hand, operators from di erent groups produce contact term $s$ in the correlators (5.41), (5.42). N ote that contact type correlation functions are also consistent w ith conform al invariance.

### 5.4 S-m atrix

It is well-known that in $M$ inkow ski space the $S-m$ atrix of interacting quantum elds can be reconstructed from bulk correlation functions via the so-called LSZ construction. A natural question arises w hether it is possible to reproduce the $S$ m atrix by m eans of only the light-cone correlation functions of conform aloperators introduced in section 5.3. T hat this $m$ ay be the case is suggested by the analysis $m$ ade in de Sitter space [23] where it $w$ as show $n$ that the de Sitter analog of an $S m$ atrix indeed can be presented in term $s$ of CFT correlation functions betw een operators living at the in nite past and future of de Sitter space. In anti-de Sitter a sim ilar construction was introduced in [25].

In order to analyze this possibility we consider the one-particle am plitude whose LSZ form is given by a combination of integrating over two surfaces, one lying in the in nite past and another in the in nite future ofM inkow ski space 26],

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <p \dot{q}>=\frac{Z}{P} \frac{d V(X)}{Z}{ }^{Z} \frac{d V(Y)}{P} \frac{Z}{Z} f_{q}(X) r^{!}{ }_{x} D_{F}(Y ; X) r^{2}{ }_{Y} f_{p}(Y) \\
& =Y_{0}!+1 \quad d \quad(Y)_{X_{0}!} \quad d \quad(X) n_{x} f_{q}(X) @ D_{F}(Y ; X) @ f_{p}(Y) n_{Y} ;(5.45)
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ here $Z$ is the standard norm alization of the $w$ ave function and $n_{x}$ is the nom al vector to the hypersurface in the in nite past while $\eta_{y}$ is the norm al to the hypersurface in the in nite future. The functions $f(X)$ are de ned in (520) and form a basis of the set of asym ptotic elds. W e recall that in $m$ om entum space the asym ptotic spatialm om entum $k$ is determ ined by its length $k$ and angles $f k g$ on $\begin{gathered}\text { d-sphere } s o \text { that } k=k n(k) . ~\end{gathered}$

For our purposes it is convenient to choose the asym ptotic hypersurfaces in a di erent way. N am ely, we de ne the asym ptotic future and past hypersurfaces by out $=f A: y=$ const ! 1 (t>0)g[fD : = const! 1 g and in $=\mathrm{fA}: ~ y=$ const ! $1 \quad(t<$ 0)g [ fD : = const ! $1 \mathrm{~g}: \mathrm{W}$ e see that these hypersurfaces are de ned in the vicinity of the boundary of the light-cone and consist of tw o com ponents: one in region $A$ and another in region $D$. As we show in A ppendix $B$ the plane waves $f_{q}(X)$ have the standard norm alization w ith respect to the $K$ lein-G ordon inner product de ned at these hypersurfaces. Thus, out and in can be used to de ne asym ptotic states in the


Fig. 2: The asym ptotic hypersurfaces in and out are de ned in a small vicinity of the past and future in nity of the light-cone respectively.
same fashion as the usual constant $X_{0}$ surfaces. $W$ ith this de nitions and these choiges of asym ptotic surfaces the LSZ form of the one-particle am plitude (5.45) is modi ed into

The asym ptotic functions $f_{q}(X)$ and $f_{p}(Y)$ can be decom posed according to (528) in the region $D$ and (522) in the region A. Inserting this decom position into (5.46) and after some $m$ anipulations we recognize the two-point functions de ned in section 5.3. C onsequently, the one-particle am plitude takes the form
where

$$
H()=P^{2^{\frac{d}{2}}} i \quad\left(\quad \frac{d}{2}\right) \sin \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

and each -integration is from $\frac{d}{2}$ il to $\frac{d}{2}+i 1$. The function $f_{p}(X)$, once expressed in term sof conform al operators, is not analytic across the fiuture light-cone. The jump is $i^{d}{ }^{2} i^{2} d$, which explains the appearance of $\sin \frac{\overline{2}}{}\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & )\end{array}\right)$ in the function $H()$. The same is true for the function $f_{q}(X)$ near the boundary at in nity of the past light-oone. For free elds the light-cone 2 -point function in (5.47) is given by (5.41) and after the integration over ${ }_{1}$ and ${ }_{2}$ the usual expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathrm{p} \dot{\mathrm{q}}\rangle=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{d}} \quad(\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{q})^{(\mathrm{d})}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}) \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

is reproduced. A though this calculation was perform ed for a free eld interaction is not expected to change the form of the conform alcorrelation function in the am plitude (5.47),
but only its nom alization. Therefore the angle part of the am plitude com es out in the sam e way as in (5.48) while the p-dependent pre-factor can bem odi ed. N ote that this is also consistent w ith the restrictions im posed by bulk Lorentz invariance on the structure of the one-particle am plitude.

The generalization of (5.47) to m any-particle am plitudes is straightforw ard. It is im portant to note that the am plitude (5.47) is given in term s of the contact type correlation functions of operators introduced in section 5.3.

In the construction of the scattering am plitudes (5.45) and (5.47) the positive and negative frequency $m$ odes of the asym ptotic elds are de ned with respect to the vector eld $Q_{0}$ which is a global tim e-like vector in M inkow ski space. Instead we could have chosen to use the time on a de Sitter slice to de ne the positive and negative frequency m odes. The basis of asym ptotic elds then is given by the functions (5 34) and (533) . $T$ hese $m$ odes can be used to form incom ing (f) and outgoing ( $g$ ) wavepackets as
where $f^{>}()\left(f^{\wedge}()\right)$ and $g^{?}()\left(g^{〔}()\right)$ are sm ooth functions on the spheres $S_{d}$ and $S_{d}^{+}$ respectively. In order to $m$ ake sure that only positive frequency $m$ odes contribute to the wavepacket we dem and that (provided that $\left.=\frac{d}{2}+i\right) f^{>}()=0$ and $f^{\prime}()=0$ for
$>0$, w ith sim ilar conditions on the envelope functions in the outgoing wavepacket. $T$ he operators $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{f}}^{(k)}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{g}}^{(k)}$ corresponding to these wavepackets are recovered from the asym ptotic free eld (5.31) (or (532) ) by the standard form ulas
$w$ ith sim ilar expressions for the left-m oving $m$ odes. The $S$-m atrix elem ents for an incom ing wavepadket $f^{>}$and an outcom ing wavepacket $g^{>}$are de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left[g^{>} ; f^{>}\right]=\left\langle 0 j_{g} b_{f}^{>} b_{f}^{y} j 0\right\rangle \quad: \tag{5.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hese $m$ atrix elem ents can be re-w ritten in term $s$ of 2 -point correlation functions of the conform al operators $O$ > as

A sim ilar expression exists for the $m$ atrix elem ent $S\left[g^{<} ; \mathrm{f}^{<}\right]$. This form ula relates the $m$ atrix elem ents of the $S-m$ atrix $w$ th the light-cone conform al correlation functions of operators living on the asym ptotic $d$-spheres. A generalization of this form ula to $m$ anyparticle am plitudes is straightforw ard. O ur analysis suggests that the $S-m$ atrix approach to $Q$ uantum $F$ ield $T$ heory in $M$ inkow ski space can be re-form ulated entirely in term $s$ of the correlation functions of conform al operators living on the boundaries of the lightcone. This opens the possibility to nd a truly holographic representation of the physics in $M$ inkow ski space. For such a holographic representation we should also consider, obviously, gravity in M inkow ski space, to which we tum next.

## 6 A sym ptotic light-cone structure and conform al sym m etry

### 6.1 A sym ptotic M inkow ski Spaces

In this section we consider a m ore generalclass ofm etricsw hich are not globally M inkow ski but approach at space in a suitable asym ptotic sense. In particular, we are interested in the asym ptotic light-cone structure of the $m$ etric and the asym ptotic sym $m$ etries associated to this structure.
$F$ irst, we generalize the $M$ inkow skim etric studied in the previous sections. It should approach the $M$ inkow ski $m$ etric asym ptotically in such a way that at in nity a slicing w ith constant curvature surfaces is appropriate. For concreteness we consider only the region A. W e stant w th the follow ing asym ptotic form of the $(d+2)$-m etric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=d t^{2}+t^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{4^{2}}\left(1+t^{1}(t ; \quad ;)\right)+\frac{1}{g_{i j}}(t ; \quad ;) d^{i} d{ }^{j}+2 t^{1} A_{i}(t ; \quad ;) d^{i} d \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where norm alcoordinates, in which the com ponents $G_{t}$ and $G_{t i}$ vanish, have been used. $T$ he $m$ etric in parenthesis in (6.1) is the ( $d+1$ ) m etric on an Euclidean slice of constant $t$. Provided that the $(d+2)$-m etric is $R$ icci at, the $t=$ const slige of the light-oone $m$ etric (6.1) should for large $t$ approach a solution of the $E$ instein equations $w$ ith negative cosm ological constant. Therefore, the choige of the $m$ etric (6.1) to the leading order in $t$ is $m$ otivated by the general form of the negative constant curvature $m$ etric studied in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence [27]. The conform alboundary of a $t=$ const slige is at $=0$. For large $t$ each function in (6.1]) is assum ed to have an expansion in powers of $1=t$ as well as in powers of ${ }^{1=2}$. For instance, the $m$ etric $g_{i j}\left(t_{;} ;\right)$can be expanded as

$$
g_{i j}\left(t_{i} ;\right)=q_{j}^{(0)}(;)+t^{1} g_{i j}^{(1)}(;)+::: ;
$$

which could also include logarithm ic term s of the form $t^{n}(\ln t){ }^{m}$ and each term in the t-expansion should be also expanded in term s of ${ }^{1=2}$, e.g.

$$
g_{i j}^{(n)}(;)=q_{j}^{(n ; 0)}()+q_{i j}^{(n ; 1)}()^{1=2}+::: ;
$$

where $g_{i j}^{(0 ; 0)}$ ( ) is to be interpreted as the $m$ etric on the boundary ${ }_{d}$ of the past lightcone. This boundary $m$ etric should be the sam $e$ for all $t=$ const slioes. Expanding rst in powers of this condition says that the constant term in the expansion should not be a function of $t$, i.e. $g_{i j}\left(t_{i} ; \quad\right)=g_{j}^{(0 ; 0)}()+O\left({ }^{1=2}\right)$. For large $t$ this gives a restriction on the coe cients $g_{i j}^{(n ; 0)}$, nam ely only the one $w$ th $n=0$ should be non-vanishing. $W$ e do the holography along the -direction so that no additional expansion in t-variable should be necessary. It is how ever technically more convenient to work with functions which have certain expansion in powers of $1=\mathrm{t}$. A bonus we get is extra di eom onphism s which generate transform ations in the $t$ directions and change the $m$ etric to $1=$ t order. T he BM S group will be a part of these di eom onphism s.

W hen we analyze the bulk di eom onphism s that preserve the asym ptotic form 6.1) we nd that there is a particular t-independent di eom onphism generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{t}}=0 ; \quad=() ;{ }^{\mathrm{k}}={ }^{\mathrm{k}}(;) ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( ) is som e arbitrary function of , ${ }^{k}$ ( ; ) has to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
@^{k}=\frac{1}{4} g_{(0)}^{k i} @_{i}(,): \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{gather*}
L=() @ 2{ }^{2} A_{k} g_{(0)}^{k i} @_{i}+{ }^{i} @_{i}  \tag{6.4}\\
L g_{i j}=()\left(@_{i j} g_{i j}\right)+\frac{2}{t}\left(A_{i} @_{j}+A_{j} @_{i}\right)+r_{i}^{(g)}{ }_{j}+r_{j}^{(g)}{ }_{i}  \tag{65}\\
L A_{i}=()\left(@ A_{i}+A_{i}\right)+\frac{t}{4}\left(@_{i} \quad g_{k i} g_{(0)}^{k j} @_{j}\right)+{ }^{k} @_{k} A_{i}+@_{i}{ }^{k} A_{k} \tag{6.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

$N$ otioe that although there appears to be a term (the one proportional to $t$ ) in the right hand side of (6.6) which destroys its asym ptotic behavior, this is not really true because $@_{i} \quad g_{k i} g_{(0)}^{k j} @_{j}$ vanishes as $1=t$ in the lim it where $t!1$. O ne can see from (6.5) that the di eom onphism generated by 62) acts on the $m$ etric $g_{i j}^{(0)}(;)$ in the sam e way as the di eom orphism that was found in 28] to generate the asym ptotic conform alstructure of asym ptotically A nti-de Silter space. In particular, it acts on the metric $g_{i j}^{(0 ; 0)}()$, the $m$ etric on the asym ptotic boundary of the light-cone, as a conform altransform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L g_{i j}^{(0 ; 0)}=\quad() q_{j}^{(0 ; 0)}: \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, our analysis dem onstrates that the conform al structure present on each A dS slige extends to the conform alstructure associated w th the boundary of the light-oone of ( $d+2$ )-dim ensional asym ptotically $M$ inkow ski space-tim e.

The analysis done so far was restricted to the region A. A sim ilar analysis can be done in the regions $A_{+}$and $D$. In fact the conform alstructure near the boundary $d$ of the past light-cone is analytic across the light-cone and extends from region A to region D. This can be seen by introducing new light-cone coordinates $u=\frac{t}{1=2}, v=t^{1=2}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u v=t^{2} ; \frac{v}{u}= \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term $s$ of these coordinates the past light-oone is de ned by $v=0$. The boundary $d$ of the light-cone is now at $u=1$. In term $s$ of the new coordinates the metric (6.1) takes the light-cone form (for sim plicity we discard the subleading 1 =t-term $s$ in the $m$ etric (6.1) )

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=d u d v+u^{2} g_{i j}^{(0)}(; v=u) d^{i} d^{j}: \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary of the light-cone is at $u=1$, and the -expansion of the $m$ etric com ponents now becom es an expansion in $v=u$. In the light-cone form (6.9) the m etric is analytic across the past light-cone (at $v=0$ ) and extends from $A$ to $D$ everyw here in the neighbornood of the boundary of the past-light cone.

The di eom onphism 62) now takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{v}() ; \quad \mathrm{u}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{u}() ; \quad{ }^{\mathrm{i}}={ }^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v} ;): \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form of the functions ${ }^{i}(; u ; v)$ is constrained by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{u}{ }^{i}=\frac{v}{4 u^{2}} g_{(0)}^{i k}(; v=u) Q_{k} \quad ; \quad Q_{v}^{i}=\frac{1}{4 u} g_{(0)}^{i k}(; v=u) Q_{k} \quad: \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since these are two equations there exists a consistency condition $\varrho_{u} \varrho_{v}{ }^{i}=\varrho_{v} \varrho_{u}{ }^{i}$. It reduces to a condition $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{u} \varrho_{\mathrm{u}}\right) g_{i j}^{(0)}=0$ which does hold since the m etric com ponents in (6.9) are functions of $v=u$. O ne can see from (6.11) that ${ }^{i}$ is a function of the ratio $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{u}$ of the light-cone coordinates. The rst term ${ }_{(0)}^{\dot{i}}$ in the expansion of ${ }^{i}(; \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{u})$ near the boundary of the light-oone is proportional to $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{u}$ and solving (6.11) to this order we nd that ${ }_{(0)}^{i}=\frac{v}{4 u} g_{(0 ; 0)}^{i k} @_{k} \quad()$.
The com ponents $g_{i j}^{(0)}(; \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{u})$ transform under these di eom onphism s according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L g_{i j}^{(0)}=()\left(v Q_{i j}^{(0)} \quad u^{1} @_{u}\left(u^{2} g_{i j}^{(0)}\right)\right)+r_{i}^{(0)}{ }_{j}+r_{j}^{(0)} i: \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

O f course, equations (6.10), (6.11) and (6.12) are just the light-cone form of the previous equations (62), (6.3) and (6.5) that were written in term $s$ of the coordinates ( $t$; ). H ow ever, the analyticity of the conform al structure across the light-cone is not obvious in those coordinates.

W e conclude that there indeed exists a conform alsym $m$ etry associated $w$ ith the boundary of the light-cone in the $m$ etric (6.1) or (6.9). This sym $m$ etry is generated by a certain bulk di eom onphism 6.10, 6.11) and can be viewed as an extension of the conform al group existing on each EAdS slice to a sym $m$ etry of the bulk.

### 6.2 B M S

It should be noted that the group of all di eom onphism s preserving the structure of the $m$ etric (6.1) is larger than just (62) and inchudes also t-dependent di eom orphism s. The fiull analysis of di eom orphism s of this type is given in A ppendix C. We also nd the generators of the well-known BM S group as a partioular case of these $t$-dependent asym ptotic transform ations. The vector generating these di eom onphism $s$ is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
t=f()^{1=2} ; \\
=\frac{2}{t} f()^{3=2} ; \\
{ }^{i}=\frac{1}{t}{ }^{3=2} g_{(0 ; 0)}^{i j}() @_{j} f(): \tag{6.13}
\end{array}
$$

The BM S group acts at a subleading order in the $1=\mathrm{t}$ expansion and leaves the m etric $g_{i j}^{(0 ; 0)}$ ( ) on d unchanged. The BM S transform ations are the asym ptotic sym $m$ etries of the class ofm etrics which approach $M$ inkow skim etric near null in nity. O ur asym ptotic condition (6.1) is less restrictive and requires only that there is a light-oone structure near the surface $d$, which can be viewed as a single point in the null in nity. T herefore the group of asym ptotic sym m etries in our case is much larger than just BM S and in fact contains it as a subgroup. O f course, one $m$ ay wonder whether the group of asym ptotic sym $m$ etries and in particular the BM S group is actually a sym $m$ etry of the dual theory. To analyze this issue we now tum to a study of the various sym $m$ etries of the system .

### 6.3 Sym m etries of the dual theory

$F$ irst we recall that a solution of the eld equations of a m assless scalar eld adm its on the de Sitter slices near $=+1$ a decom position given in 6.31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!+1}(r ; ~ ; ~)=\frac{1}{2}^{Z}{ }_{\frac{d}{2} \text { il }}^{\frac{d}{2}+i 1} d N^{1} r \quad e \text { out }^{<}()+i^{d} e^{(d)} \text { out } O^{>}():( \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have been applying holography in the -direction, resulting in the in nite set of operators out $\mathrm{O}^{\text {< ( }}$ ) and out $\mathrm{O}^{\text {> ( }}$ ). Instead of working with an in nite set of operators param etrized by the continuous param eter, we could also have decided replace the continuous param eter by an extra coordinate, so that the dual theory seem $s$ to live in $d+1$ dim ensions. O ne way to introduce such an extra coordinate is to de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
<(;)=\frac{1}{2 i}_{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2} \text { il }}^{\frac{d}{2}+\mathrm{il}} \mathrm{dN}^{1} \quad \mathrm{out}^{<} \mathrm{O}^{<}(): \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
>(;)=\frac{1}{2}_{\text {i }}^{\frac{d}{2} \text { il }} \frac{d}{2}+N^{1} \quad i^{d}{ }_{o u t} O^{>}() \text {: } \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the new coordinate arises by doing an inverse $M$ ellin transform over the param eter. In constructing <;> ( ; ) we essentially did nothing but drop the leading exponentialbehavior in in 6.14). Thus <;> ( ; ) describe the boundary behavior of as ! 1 and in that sense it is sim ilar to how one de nes dual elds in AdS.

W e have on purpose called the extra coordinate. It clearly is very sim ilar to r, but to $m$ ake clear that we really want to view it as a new coordinate we gave it a new nam e. It is actually also closely related to $x_{+}$that appears in (2.8). Indeed, $r e=\left(x_{+}\right)$, and therefore $<(;)$ describes the eld as a function of $t x$ if we identify $x_{+}$with . From this perspective it is also tem pting to view the elds ${ }^{\text {<; }}$ (; ) as elds living on null in nity, and to view as the a ne null coordinate of null in nity, so that the dual theory would actually live on null in nity. As we will see, this interpretation is som ew hat problem atic, but it would be interesting to study this fiurther. On the other hand, $r e^{(d)}=\left(x_{+}\right)^{d=2}(x)^{d=2}$ and dropping the overall factor $\left(x_{+}\right)^{d=2}$ the operator > ( ; ) can be considered as function of $x$. Since $x$ is the a ne coordinate along the light-cone this suggests yet another interpretation of and of the dual theory.

W ew ill now rst study the action of the conform algroup, or equivalently, the Lorentz group SO $(d+1 ; 1)$. To write the explicit form of these generators in the de Sitter $\infty 0-$ ordinates ( $; r ;$ ) it is convenient to combine the angles and $r$ in ad $d$ component vectorw ith com ponents $r_{i}$, so that $r^{2}={ }^{P}{ }_{i} r_{i}^{2}$, and so that the angles param etrize the unit sphere at $r=1 . W$ ith this convention, the rotation and boost generators of $M$ inkow ski space are

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{i j} & =r_{i} \frac{@}{@ r_{j}} r_{j} \frac{\varrho}{@ r_{i}} \\
K_{i} & =\frac{r_{i}}{r} \frac{@}{@}+\tanh \quad r \frac{@}{@ r_{i}} \frac{r_{i} x}{r}{ }_{k} r_{k} \frac{@}{@ r_{k}}: \tag{6.17}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to determ ine the action of these generators on <;> ( ; ), the strategy is to rst nd the exact solution of the free eld equation w ith asym ptotic behavior determ ined by <; ( ; ), e.g. using a bulk booundary propagator, to act with the bulk generators 6.17) on the exact solutions and to extract the new asym ptotic behavior ( $\left.{ }^{0}\right)^{<\gg}(;)$. Luckily for the generators (6.17) we can work w th the asym ptotic behavior only and we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{i j}={ }^{i} \frac{@}{@_{j}}{ }^{j} @_{i}^{@} \\
& K_{i}^{<}=-^{i} \frac{\varrho}{@}+\frac{@}{@_{i}}-_{k}^{X}{ }_{k}{ }^{@_{k}} \\
& K_{i}^{>}=i^{i} d \quad \frac{@}{@}+\frac{@^{k}}{@_{i}} \dot{-}_{k}^{X^{k}}{ }_{k}^{@_{k}}{ }^{!} \tag{6.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where as we did above we com bined the angles and into a $d+1$ component vector ${ }^{\text {i }}$ with ${ }^{2}={ }^{P}{ }_{i}^{2}$, and $K_{i}^{<}$describes the action of the boost generators on ${ }^{<}(;)$, and sim ilarly for $K_{i}^{>}$and $>(;)$.

The form of the generators (6.18) is consistent $w$ ith the fact that the operators ( ) are conform al operators w ith conform al weights ord. It is interesting to observe that the generators (6.18) are simple di erential operators when acting on <i> ( ; ). $T$ herefore, they give rise to $W$ ard identities for correlation functions in the dual theory. For exam ple, a two point function of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h<(1 ; 1)<(2 ; 2) i \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

should be annihilated by $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i} ; 1}^{<}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i} ; 2}^{<}$. In conform al eld theory the conform al W ard identities $x$ the form of a two-point function up to norm alization, but here it only xes it up to one unknow $n$ function. Indeed, one can show that the $W$ ard identities im ply that 6.19), up to contact term $s$, is of the form
$w$ ith some unknown function $f_{<}$. In tem s of the operators ( ) the corresponding statem ent is that the two-point function is proportional to ( $1 \quad 2$ ), but with a dependent norm alization, so that also in that form ulation there is a function ofone variable undeterm ined.

Sim ilarly, one nds that (again up to contact term s)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h^{\langle }(1 ; 1)\right\rangle(2 ; 2) i=2^{d} f\left(1_{2}^{1}(1 \text { cos }(1 ; 2))\right) \tag{621}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{>}\left(1_{1} ;\right)^{>}(2 ; 2) i=(12)^{d} f_{>}\left(1_{1}^{1} 2^{1}(1 \quad \cos (1 ; 2))\right): \tag{622}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determ ine the form of the functions $f, f>$ and $f_{<}$one needs further input. A typical form of a two-point function is obtained by for exam ple taking the rst term proportional to $A()$ in (5.15), and by dropping the $e\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ that appears there. The integral over can be done explicitly, using the fact that the inverse $M$ ellin transform of ( $a+z$ ) (b $z$ ) is $(a+b) x^{2}(1+x)^{a b}$ [29]. This results

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hO}^{\langle }\left(1_{1} ;{ }_{1}\right) \mathrm{O}^{\rangle}\left({ }_{2} ; 2_{2}\right) \mathrm{i}=2_{2}^{\mathrm{d}} 1+\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad \cos (1 ; 2))^{!} \mathrm{d}=2 \tag{623}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is very sim ilar to the asym ptotic form of the Feynm an propagator in coordinate space as ; ${ }^{0}$ ! 1 . Sim ilarly, we nd that

$$
\mathrm{hO}^{>}\left(1_{1} ; 1\right) \mathrm{O}^{>}\left({ }_{2} ; 2\right) \mathrm{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{624}
\end{array}\right)^{\mathrm{d}} \frac{\left(1_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{d}=2}}{(1 \cos (1 ; 2))^{\mathrm{d}=2}} \text { : }
$$

$N$ ote, that calculating the correlation function (624) with the help of the inverse $M$ ellin transform of product of two $G$ amm a functions the result would contain the product of the delta function ${ }^{(d)}(1 ; 2)$ and ( 0 ). This product, how ever, w th the help of (A 2) can be recognized as the kemel ( $1 \quad \cos (1 ; 2))^{d=2}$.

The Lorentz or conform al generators (6.17) took a very simple form (6.18) when expressed in the new coordinate. A cnucial ingredient in the derivation of 6.18) was the fact that we were considering a m assless scalar eld. In general we would expect the conform al multiplet and the action of the conform al generators to depend on the
eld under consideration. For exam ple, we can consider a m assive scalar eld with its decom position given in term sofM acD onald functions in (3.11). U nfortunately, there does not seem to be a simple rst order di erential operator $w$ th eigenvalue when acting on $K(M)$. Therefore, the $m$ assive generalization of 6.18$) \mathrm{w}$ ill be very com plicated. The $m$ ode functions $m(x)$ in (3.11) are still solutions of the $m$ assive eld equations on the AdS/dS slices, and the conform al group still acts in a simple way on these modes. $W$ e therefore believe that ultim ately the description in term $s$ of an in nite number of conform al elds is m ore useful than the description in term $s$ of the additional coordinate

Besides Lorentz invariance, the bulk theory is also translationally invariant. Since our construction relies on a choice of light-cone, which is not invariant under translations, we $m$ ay expect that translational invariance is not very $m$ anifest in our fram ew ork. Perhaps this is what is to be expected for a holographic dualdescription ofM inkow skispace, which is inherently non-local and em ploys one dim ension less.

The explicit form of the translation generators, wrilten in the sam e coordinates as used in (6.17), reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{0}=\frac{\cosh }{r} \frac{@}{@} \frac{\sinh }{r}{ }^{x} r_{k} \frac{@}{@ r_{k}} \\
& P^{i}=\frac{r_{i}}{r} \frac{\sinh }{r} \frac{@}{@}+\frac{1}{r \cosh } \quad r \frac{@}{@ r_{i}}+\frac{r_{i} \sinh ^{2}}{r^{2}} \frac{x}{\cosh }{ }_{k}^{r_{k}} \frac{@}{@ r_{k}}: \tag{625}
\end{align*}
$$

C onsider now the rst term in 6.14), i.e. the one involving $O^{<}()$. A cting with $P^{0}$ on this we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{d}{2} \text { il }}{ }_{\frac{d}{2}+i 1}^{d N} N^{1}(\quad) r{ }^{1} e^{(+1)} \text { out }^{<}<(): \tag{626}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we would drop the $\mathrm{e}^{(+1)}$ exponentials, we would from this deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}^{0}<(;)=\frac{\varrho}{@}<(;): \tag{627}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, this answer cannot be correct. For exam ple, any two-point function of the form (620) cannot be annihilated by $P_{1}^{0}+P_{2}^{0}$ unless it vanishes identically. The m istake we
$m$ ade is to work only w ith the asym ptotic form of the elds, neglecting subleading pieces that are necessarily there in the exact solution to the eld equation. Subleading term $s$ can $m$ ix w ith the result in (626), and this changes the action of ${ }^{0}$. W e have not w orked out the fill detailed form of $P^{0}$ and $P^{i}$, but a useful perspective on these operators is obtained by looking at plane waves in the decom position given in (3.7) and in particular in (528). G iven a plane wave with mom entum $k$, we denote the associated ; -dependent elds by $W_{k}^{<\gg}(;) . O n e$ can work out the explicit form of these elds. O ne nds that $W_{k}{ }_{k}(;)$ is some function of $k_{k}$ times ${ }^{(d)}(k ;)$, where as before ${ }_{k}$ indicates the point on the d-sphere given by the $\mathrm{d}+1$ dim ensional unit vector $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{k}_{0}$ (recall that we are still discussing $m$ assless scalar elds here). O $n$ the other hand, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.W_{k}^{<}(;)=\exp \frac{i}{2}\left(k_{0} \quad x_{i} k_{i j i}\right)\right): \tag{628}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his show $s$ that $P^{0}$ cannot be equalto $@=@$. A plane w ave has $P^{0}$ eigenvalue $i k_{0}$, whereas @=@ acting on 628) has eigenvalue

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@} W_{k}^{<}(;)=\frac{i}{2}\left(k_{0} \quad{ }^{x} \quad k_{i} i_{i}\right): \tag{629}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is no sim ple operator for which (628) has eigenvalue $\mathrm{ik}_{0}$. Therefore, the easiest way to study translation invariance would be to rew rite the elds < ( ; ) in term s of m om entum eigenstates such as (628), in tem s of which translation invariance sim ply boils dow $n$ to $m$ om entum conservation. Incidentally, the elds 628) are, up to a factor of two, sim ply the restriction of a plane wave to the light-cone. This suggest yet another intenpretation for , nam ely as a null coordinate along the light-cone. Since all AdS and dS slioes asym ptote to the light cone, this is perhaps the $m$ ost natural interpretation of .

A further input on the possible structure of the translations can be gained from the looking at the explicit form of the conform al operators (525) given in section $52 . \mathrm{We}$ nd that
where in the second line we take explicitly the integral over. U nder the tim e translation $X_{0}!X_{0}+b$ the creation/annihilation operators 5.19) transform as a $(k ; k)$ ! $e^{i k b} a(k ; k), a^{+}\left(k ;{ }_{k}\right)!e^{+}{ }^{i k b} a^{+}\left(k ;{ }_{k}\right)$. This induces a transform ation for the operators > ( ; ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
>(;)!>0(;)=(+1 d)^{2} \quad d=2>(+b ;): \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he two-point function of the operators (6.30) is given by (6.24) and is obviously invariant under (631). A sim ilar analysis for the <-operators gives rise to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
<(;)=\int_{0}^{Z} d k k^{d 1=2^{Z}} d\left({ }_{k}\right)\left(e^{i \frac{k}{2}\left(1 \cos \left(; k_{k}\right)\right)} a_{k}\left(k ;{ }_{k}\right)+e^{i \frac{k}{2}\left(1 \cos \left(; k_{k}\right)\right)} a_{k}^{+}(k ; k)\right) \tag{G.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever the explicit w ay ofhow translations act on the operators 6.32) is not transparent. $T$ he problem of nding this transform ation eventually boils dow $n$ to the above $m$ entioned
problem of nding the operator for which the exponent 628) would have an eigenvalue ik.

B esides translations, there are in nitely $m$ any other operators in the BM S group which are probably also very com plicated; naively they seem to act on elds < ( ; ) as f( ) @, but as the exam ple of $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ dem onstrated, this is not quite correct. In addition the BM S generators are not exact sym $m$ etries of $M$ inkow ski space, and we therefore do not expect them to annihilate the vacuum state of the dual theory, and for the sam e reason we also do not expect them to give rise to new W ard identities. At best they provide a set of spectrum generating operators, but $m$ ore work is needed to verify whether this is indeed the case or not. A ctually, this applies to all asym ptotic symm etries described in the beginning of this section, not just to the BM S operators.

## 7 G ravitational holographic description

### 7.1 G eneral rem arks

In section 4 of this paper we have seen how for a scalar eld in M inkow ski space a dual description em erges by rst reducing the scalar eld to a set of massive elds on a the constant curvature (de Sitter or anti-de Sitter) slices of space-time. In this section we w ill attem pt to m ake a rst step tow ards generalizing this dually to the gravitational eld. The eld equations in this case are the usual non-linear $E$ instein equations (w ith vanishing cosm ological constant)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=0 \text {; } \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which de ne a Ricci- at space-tim $e$, which appears to be the appropriate generalization of at M inkow ski space. Equation 7.1) should be solved sub ject to suitable boundary conditions for the $m$ etric. $W$ e choose so-called norm al coordinates in which the $m$ etric has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=d r^{2}+r^{2} g_{i j}(x ; r) d x^{i} d x^{j}: \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that for concreteness we consider the region analogous to the region $D$ ofM inkow ski space, where the coordinate r plays the role of a radialcoordinate and the m etric $g_{i j}(x ; r)$ is assum ed to have an asym ptotic expansion in powers of $1=r$. The coordinates $f x^{i} g ; i=$ $1 ;:: ; d+1$ are the coordinates on the slices, in the previous section we had $x^{i}=f ; g$. The bulk di eom orphism s preserving the form (2) are generated by a vector eld $=\left({ }^{\mathrm{r}}\right.$; ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
r=(x) ; \quad{ }^{i}={ }^{i}(x ; r) \\
@_{r}{ }^{i}=\frac{1}{r^{2}} g^{i j}(x ; r) @_{j}(x): \tag{7.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$T$ he $m$ etric com ponents change according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L g_{i j}(x ; r)=(x) r^{2} @_{r}\left(r^{2} g_{i j}\right)+r_{i}^{(g)}{ }_{j}+r_{j}^{(g)} i_{i}: \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term $g_{j}^{(0)}(x)$ in the expansion of $g_{i j}(x ; r)$ is the $m$ etric on the asym ptotic space-like boundary of space-time. In analogy w th the AdS/CFT correspondence one
could try to keep the $m$ etric $g_{i j}^{(0)}(x)$ xed and one could try to solve the bulk Einstein equations sub ject to this D irichlet condition. H ow ever, in the present case when the bulk $m$ etric is determ ined by equation (7.1) them etric $g_{i j}^{(0)}(x)$ is not arbitrary but has to satisfy (see [30], [31], [32])

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j}\left[g^{(0)}\right]=d g_{i j}^{(0)}: \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the boundary at space-like in nity is described by an Einstein $m$ etric of constant positive curvature.

Provided that a $m$ etric $g_{i j}^{(0)}(x)$ satisfying (7.5) is xed one can try to develop the $1=r$ expansion of the $m$ etric (72) and determ ine the other term $s$ in the expansion of $g_{i j}(x ; r)$. To som e extent this was analyzed in [20] and it was found that the relation between the coe cients in the expansion of $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{ij}}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{r})$ is di erential. This is di erent from what happens in asym ptotically A nti-de Silter space, where the relations are algebraic [27]. This $m$ akes it di cult to solve the recurrence relations and to determ ine the coe cients in the series provided the rst coe cient $q_{j}^{(0)}(x)$ is given.

H ow ever, the holographic point of view we are trying to develop in this paper is not based on xing boundary data at spatialin nity. It isbased on xing an in nite set ofdata near the boundaries of the light-cone, and the holographic reduction takes place along the anti-de Sitter slices, and not along the coordinate $r$. T hus, what we should really try to is to take them etric in the form (6.1), and to study the eld equations for this m etric in this form. Ideally, there should exist som e separation of variables that allow s us to separate the $r$-dependenœ from the dependence on the other coordinates, just as we did for the $m$ assless scalar eld. In view of the non-linearity of the E instein equations it is not clear exactly how we should separate the r-dependence, but assum ing we have achieved this the $r$-dependence $w$ ill be gone and it $w$ ill be replaced by a dependence on som e spectral param eter. The boundary data will then involve quantities such as ; ( ) , gij ( ) and $A_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ ( ). The holographic reconstruction of the $m$ etric now requires us to determ ine the -dependence, and those equations are indeed algebraic. W e leave a m ore detailed study of these issues to future work and lim it ourselves here to the discussion of one exam ple, nam ely the relatively sim ple case of $(2+1)$-dim ensional M inkow ski space.

### 7.2 Exam ple: (2+1)-dim ensionalM inkow skispace-time

In three space-tim e dim ensions the R iem ann tensor is algebraically related to the R icci tensor. This property was used in [33] to nd a general solution to the three-dim ensional $E$ instein equations w ith a negative cosm ological constant.

In the case at hand, the fact that the $R$ ioci tensor vanishes im plies that the $R$ iem ann tensor vanishes as well,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=0 \text {; } \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. space-time is locally at which is of course a well-known fact in three dimensions. $T$ his is a great sim pli cation in solving (7.1) and in fact allow sus to nd an exact solution in a way sim ilar to the analysis given in [33].

By introducing the variable $i_{j j}(x ; r)=r^{2} g_{i j}(x ; r)$ the equation (7.6) for the $m$ etric (72) reduces to the follow ing set of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\infty}=\frac{1}{2} \quad 0 \quad 10 \text {; } \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{likj} j}[]=\frac{1}{4} \begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
\text { ik } & \mathrm{lj}
\end{array} ; \tag{7.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where we denote ${ }^{0}=@_{r}$. D i erentiating equation (7.7) onœ again $w$ ith respect to $r$ we nd that

$$
{ }^{\infty}=0:
$$

H ence is a quadratic function of $r$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; r)=r^{2} g_{(0)}+r g_{(1)}+g_{(2)}: \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting this back into equation (7.7) we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{(2)}=\frac{1}{4} g_{(1)} g_{(0)}^{1} g_{(1)} \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (7.10) can be w ritten as a total square

$$
(x ; r)=r^{2} \quad 1+\frac{1}{2 r} g_{(1)} g_{(0)}^{1} \quad g_{(0)} \quad 1+\frac{1}{2 r} g_{(0)}^{1} g_{(1)} \quad:
$$

Next, to solve equation (7.8) we use the follow ing identity, valid in two dim ensions, that relates the R iem ann tensor to the R ioci scalar,

$$
R_{\text {likj }}[]=\frac{1}{2} R\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{kl}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad \mathrm{jl} \mathrm{ki}
\end{array}\right):
$$

Inserting (7.10) into eq. (7.8), expanding in powers of $1=r$ and using the above identity we nd in the leading order that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left[g_{(0)}\right]=2 ; \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the space at in nity is two-dim ensional de Sitter space. This is a particular m anifestation of the general behavior (7.5) of the asym ptotically at m etric. At the next order in $1=r$ equation (7.8) reduces to a single equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{(0)}^{i} r_{(0)}^{j} g_{(1) i j} \quad r_{(0)}^{2} \operatorname{Trg}_{(1)}=0: \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, equation (7.9) is trivially satis ed to the leading order and in the next order gives rise to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{(0)}^{k} g_{(1) k i}=@_{i} \operatorname{Trg}_{(1)}: \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e see that (7.13) is a consequence of (7.14). The equations (7.12) and 7.14) are the only constraints to be im posed on the term s in the expansion 7.10) .
$N$ otice that equation (7.14) means that the tensor $g_{(1) \mathrm{ij}} \quad g_{(0) \mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{Trg}_{(1)}$, like a stressenergy tensor, is covariantly conserved w ith respect to the metric $g_{(0)} \mathrm{ij}_{\mathrm{j}}$. In fact, acoording

[^5]to the prescription of Brown and Y ork [34] the quasi-local stress-energy tensor of a spacetime is de ned on the boundary (placed at a xed value of rwhich then should be taken to in nity) as a variation of the gravitationalaction $w$ ith respect to the boundary $m$ etric and takes the form
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\text {bound }[]} & =\frac{1}{8 G}\left(\mathbb{K}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{8 G} \quad 2 r g_{i j}+r^{2}\left(@_{r} g_{i j} \quad g_{i j} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g^{1} @_{r} g\right) \quad:\right. \tag{7.15}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

This expression is divergent when $r$ is taken to in nity. This can be thought of as a UV divergence in the theory on de Sitter space. It can be regularized by adding a local counterterm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{ct}}[]=\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{G}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{ij}}: \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total stress tensor de ned as the sum of the two contributions,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}[] & =\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\text {bound }}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{ct}} \\
& =\frac{1}{8 \mathrm{G}}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{(1) \mathrm{ij}} \quad \mathrm{~g}_{(0) \mathrm{ij}_{\mathrm{ij}}} \operatorname{Trg}_{(1)}\right) \tag{7.17}
\end{align*}
$$

then has a nite lim it when $r$ is taken to in nity and this lim it reproduces the expression in the second line of 7.17). W e see that up to a factor the stress tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is exactly the tensor which enters (7.14) and thus should be covariantly conserved with respect to the $m$ etric $g_{i j}^{(0)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{(0)}^{j} T_{i j}=0: \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rem ainder of the discussion we will use units in which $8 \mathrm{G}=1$.
A though em pty $(2+1)$-space-tim e is locally at it becom es curved in the presence of $m$ atter. For instance, a point particle creates -like conical singularity distributed along the particle world line. The stressenergy tensor 7.17) then determ ines the energy and angular $m$ om entum of the $m$ atter as $m$ easured at space-like in nity. In term $s$ of $T_{i j}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j}^{(1)}=T_{i j}+g_{i j}^{(0)} \operatorname{Tr} T: \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (7.10) and (7.11) we see that the boundary stress tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}(\mathrm{x})$ and the boundary $m$ etric $g_{i j}^{(0)}(x)$ sub ject to constraint (7.12) com pletely determ ine the bulk $m$ etric satisfying the $R$ icci- at equation 7.1) in three dim ensions. This is sim ilar to what we had in the case of negative cosm ological constant in three dim ensions [33]. The di erence is that in the latter case the trace of the boundary stress tensor is xed and no constraint on the boundary $m$ etric arises. The stress tensor on the de Sitter boundary of asym ptotically $M$ inkow ski space-tim e should be covariantly conserved $w$ ith respect to the $m$ etric $g_{i j}^{(0)}$ but is otherw ise arbitrary. In particular, there is no restriction on the trace of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}$. This would be quite natural if we were doing a holographic reduction in the radial direction, since then $T_{i j}$ should represent a stress tensor of a boundary theory which is holographically dual to a theory in the bulk. But we em phasize once m ore that this not the type of holographic reduction we are attem pting to do in this paper.

To the leading order the $r$-dependent di eom onphism (7.3) is generated by the vector eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{r}=(x) ; \quad{ }^{i}=\frac{1}{r} g_{(0)}^{\mathrm{ij}} \mathbb{Q}_{j}(x) ; \tag{720}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x)$ is arbitrary function on the de Sitter boundary. The boundary $m$ etric $g_{i j}^{(0)}$ rem ains unchanged under this transform ation while $g_{i j}^{(1)}$ transform s as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L g_{i j}^{(1)}=(x) g_{i j}^{(0)}+r_{i}^{(0)} r_{j}^{(0)}: \tag{721}
\end{equation*}
$$

This transform ation acts as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j}=r_{i}^{(0)} r_{j}^{(0)}+g_{i j}^{(0)} r_{(0)}^{2}+g_{i j}^{(0)} \tag{722}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the stress tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}$. It is easy to check that the r.h.s. in (722) is covariantly conserved on a two-dim ensional space-tim e of constant curvature 7.12). It can be obtained by varying the follow ing \dilaton-gravity" boundary action

$$
\begin{equation*}
W={ }^{z} d^{2} x^{p} \overline{g_{(0)}} \quad(x)\left(R\left[g_{(0)}\right] \quad 2\right) \tag{723}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th respect to the $m$ etric. $N$ ote also that the variation of (723) w ith respect to ( $x$ ) gives rise to the equation (7.12).

Let us now show that on de Silter space the stress tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ can be determ ined by solving (7.18) provided its trace is som e known function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{TrT}=C(x): \tag{724}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e choose the $m$ etric

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ds}^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{z}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{dz}^{2}+\mathrm{d}^{2}\right)=\frac{4}{\left(\mathrm{x}_{+} \quad \mathrm{x}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{dx}_{+} \mathrm{dx} \tag{725}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{+}=+z, x=\quad z$, to describe the two-dim ensional de Sitter space. This coordinate system covers half of de Sitter space, the boundary then being at $z=0$. The trace equation (724) then determ ines the ( + ) com ponent of the stress tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{+}=\frac{1}{\left(x_{+} \times\right)^{2}} C\left(x_{+} ; x\right): \tag{726}
\end{equation*}
$$

From 7.18) we obtain a couple of di erential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { @ } T_{++}=\frac{1}{\left(x_{+} \times\right)^{2}} @_{+} C ; @_{+} T=\frac{1}{\left(x_{+} \times\right)^{2}} @_{+} C: \tag{727}
\end{equation*}
$$

A solution can be easily found and it takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{+}\left(\mathrm{x}_{+} ; \mathrm{x}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{+}\right)^{\mathrm{z} \mathrm{x}} \frac{\mathrm{dv}}{\left(\mathrm{x}_{+} \mathrm{v}\right)^{2}} @_{+} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{x}_{+} ; \mathrm{v}\right) \\
& \mathrm{T} \quad\left(\mathrm{x}_{+} ; \mathrm{x}\right)=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}_{+}} \frac{\mathrm{du}}{(\mathrm{x} u)^{2}} @ C(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{x}) ; \tag{728}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{x}_{+}\right)$are arbitrary functions of x and $\mathrm{x}_{+}$respectively. The boundary stress tensor (726) , (728) and eventually the bulk $m$ etric are determ ined by specifying the functions $f\left(x_{+}\right)$, $h(x)$ and $C\left(x_{+} ; x\right)$. Let usnow retum to the holographic interpretation of this solution. The central idea proposed in this paper is to reduce everything to holographic data on the boundary of the light-cone, which in the present case is a circle located at $\mathrm{z}=0$ and param etrized by the angle coordinate. . Thus, from the point ofview of the holographic reconstruction it is im portant to see what the m inim al data is that we need to $x$ on this circle in order to reconstruct the full $(2+1)$-dim ensional spacetim $e$. $W$ e see that the values of the functions $f\left(\mathrm{x}_{+}\right)$and $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})$ at late tim es z are com pletely determ ined by their values at $\mathrm{z}=0$, i.e. $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{)}$ and $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{)}$. On the other hand, the function $C\left(x_{+} ; x\right)$ seem s to require an in nite set of functions to be speci ed at $z=0$, nam ely all coe cients in the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(x_{+} ; x\right)=x_{n=0}^{x^{Z}} C_{n}() z^{n} \tag{729}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{+}+x\right)$ and $z=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{+} \quad x\right)$. H owever, $m$ ost of this in nite set of functions can be gauged aw ay by the coordinate transform ation (720). As is seen from 722) this transform ation param etrised by

$$
\left(x_{+} ; x\right)=x_{n=0}^{x^{A}}() z^{n}
$$

transform s the function $C$ ( $x_{+} ; x$ ). Speci cally, the coe cients in the expansion 7 29) transform as follow $s$

$$
C_{n}()=\frac{1}{4} \underbrace{\infty}_{n}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
)
\end{array} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 2 \tag{7.30}
\end{array}\right)(n+1)_{n}():\right.
$$

W e see that the param eter function ( $\mathrm{x}_{+} ; \mathrm{x}$ ) contains enough freedom to elm inate al $m$ ost all functions $C_{n}$ ( ) w ith one exception: the sam e param eter $o()$ is used to transform both $C_{0}()$ and $C_{2}()$ so that one can not elim inate both. In fact the com bination

$$
c()=C_{2}() \quad 2 C_{0}^{\infty}()
$$

is invariant under the transform ation, $c()=0$. Thus, the fiunctions $c()$ together $w$ ith $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{)}$ and h ( ) form the only independent and \gauge-invariant" set of data which should be speci ed at the boundary of the light-cone. These data are su cient to holographically reconstruct the whole three-dim ensionalm etric. A ctually, this is a quite subtle statem ent. A sym ptotic di eom orphism s do not in general extend to sm ooth di eom orphism s of the entire space, and acting $w$ th them typically generates singularities. The di eom orphism $s$ used to reduce the in nite set of functions $C_{n}()$ to just one function of are presum ably of this form. Therefore, if we are interested in sm ooth solutions, it is probably better to work with the in nite set $C_{n}()$ instead. For this in nite set the existence of a globally sm ooth solution is obviously also not guaranteed, and $m$ ore work is required to determ ine which sets of $C_{n}()$ correspond to sm ooth solutions.
$W$ e nish this section w ith a com $m$ ent on a RG interpretation of the ( $2+1$ )-dim ensional $m$ etric. A swe have seen above, ifwe view $r$ as the direction in which we do the holograph ic reduction the standard interpretation of the radial position $r$ of the boundary is as a UV
cut-o in the boundary theory. T he dependence of the $m$ etric on $r$ can be view ed as som e kind ofRG - ow. In this respect it is interesting to see whether this ow is irreversible so that som e kind of analog of the $C$ theorem could be found. $R$ em arkably the $o w$, as it is dictated by the bulk E instein equation (7.1), is indeed irreversible. In order to see this, we consider the quasi-local stress tensor (7.15) and exam ine how its trace com puted w ith respect to the induced metric ij changes as a function of r. Using equation (7.7) we nd that

$$
@_{r} \operatorname{Tr} T^{\text {bound }}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 1 \tag{7.31}
\end{array}\right)<0:
$$

H ow ever, 达 is not clear whether the bulk gravitational dynam ics drives the ow to a xed point which would correspond to a conform al eld theory on de Silter boundary of $M$ inkow ski space. In any case this is not the point of view we want to pursue further.

## 8 C onclusion

In this paper we have proposed a holographic reduction ofM inkow ski space. T he central idea was to perform a holographic reduction along the Euclidean anti-de Silter and de Silter slioes in which $M$ inkow ski space is naturally foliated. T he end product is that we obtained an in nite set of conform al operators on the two spheres that form the conform alboundary of the light-cone. For a m assless scalar eld in the bulk we gave a precise de nition of a $M$ inkosw ki/CFT duality which also extends to interacting elds. W e have $m$ ainly considered cases where we took allpoints either inside E uclidean anti-de Silter space, or inside de Silter space, but the results in this paper can be extended to the m ixed case as well.

It is perhaps w orth pausing for a m om ent to see what we have leamt about de Silter space holography from this approach. The rst lesson seem s to be that the natural conform alweights to em erge on de Sitter space are com plex ones. Since a m assless scalar
eld in $M$ inkow ski space describes a unitary theory, and carries a unitary representation of the Lorentz group, the corresponding theory on de Sitter space and its holographic dual should also be unitary. A ll this is evidence in favor of the picture of the dual of de Sitter space advocated in [17]. There, 迆 was proposed that the dual CFT has non-standard herm iticity conditions, such that com plex conform al weights do not violate unitarity, and $S O(d+1 ; 1)$ is unitarily realized. In fact, there are two CFT's, one living on each boundary, and full correlation functions are given by evaluating them in the product theory in a suitable entangled state. T his entangled state is the analogue of the $S-m$ atrix, and the set of correlation functions on each of the spheres at in nity represents the in and out H ilbert spaces.

C learly, it should also be possible to study otherm anifolds that can be slioed in (anti)de Silter sliges, and to study holography in those cases. It would also be interesting to study e.g. anti-de Silter space in the Poincare patch, to view this as a slicing with $M$ inkow ski sliges and to see if the know n holography for anti-de Silter space is consistent w ith our proposed M inkow ski space holography.

A further extension and a crucial test of our idea w ould be to study the Schw arzschild $m$ etric, and to see how the entropy of the Schwarzschild black hole is re ected in the dualCFT. For this, we should rst further develop the extension of our m ethod to the gravitational eld, forwhich only a few rst steps w ere m ade in sections 6,7. Especially, it
is not yet clear exactly which data at in nity we should specify in order to reconstruct the bulk geom etry, and whether this data allow s for an interpretation in tem s of an in nite set of stress-energy tensors.

O ne can im agine $m$ any other extensions. We have only brie y considered $m$ assive scalar elds, and it would be desirably to understand these better. A nother thing that com es to $m$ ind is a supersym $m$ etric generalization (or a generalization to string theory). Since supersym $m$ etries close into translation generators and we already saw that translation sym $m$ etry does not take on a very sim ply form, we suspect that it $w$ illalso be di cult to keep supersym $m$ etry $m$ anifest in the form ulation. T he fact that translation invariance is im plem ented in such a cum bersom e way is perhaps to be expected for a holographic dualdescription ofM inkow ski space, but it w ould be nice to have a clearer understanding of this point.

Even though free m assive particles will not approach the boundary of the light-oone, but rather go to tim elike in nity, we still saw that it is possible to describe them in term s of data on the boundary of the light cone. The situation gets even $m$ ore confusing for strongly interacting degrees of freedom, such as those that appear in con ning gauge theories, and for which the LSZ form alism does not seem appropriate. W e nevertheless still expect that the physics of such degrees of freedom will be captured by suitable data on the tw o spheres that form the boundary of the light-cone.

O fcourse, we are not living in $M$ inkow skispace, and it is an even $m$ ore urgent question to nd a holographic dual description of a realistic tim e-dependent cosm ological solution. N evertheless, M inkow ski space is still a good approxim ation form any physical questions, and understanding $M$ inkow skispace is de nitely a step in the right direction. Incidentally, four-dim ensional M inkow ski space reduces in this philosophy to a theory on two twospheres, which have an in nite dim ensional conform alsym $m$ etry, and this $m$ ay lead to an interesting role of the $V$ irasoro algebra for four-dim ensional physics.
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## A ppendix

## A $K$ ernels and delta-functions

The kemel

$$
\left(\cosh y \quad \sinh y \cos \quad\left(;^{0}\right)\right)
$$

produces a delta-function concentrated at $={ }^{0}$ when y! 1 . In order to see this and get the precise factor we take $\quad{ }^{0}$ and approxim ate cos $\left(;^{0}\right)^{\prime} 1{ }^{2}=2$. In the lim it of large $y$ the kemel takes the form

$$
\frac{2^{2} e^{y}}{\left({ }^{2}+4 e^{2 y}\right)}:
$$

By introducing the sm all param eter $=2 e^{y}$ this can be brought in the form

$$
2^{d} e^{(d) y} \frac{2^{d}}{\left({ }^{2}\left(;^{0}\right)+{ }^{2}\right)}
$$

where the term in the parenthesis generates the delta-function ${ }^{(d)}\left(;^{0}\right)$ on $d$-sphere up to som e norm alization constant. In order to determ ine this constant we consider the integral

$$
\lim _{!0}^{Z} \frac{2^{d d}}{\left(2^{2}\left(;^{0}\right)+{ }^{2}\right)}={\frac{2{ }^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\left.\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{2}\right)}}_{0}^{\left(x^{2}+1\right)} ;
$$

where the rst factor is the area ofa ( $d-1$ )-sphere and in the integration over the azim uthal angle we changed the variable to $x$. The x-integration results in

$$
\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d x x^{d} 1}{\left(x^{2}+1\right)}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left.\frac{l_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{(1)}:
$$

Putting things together we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{y!1}\left(\cosh y \sinh y \cos \left(;^{0}\right)\right)=(2)^{\frac{d}{2}} 2^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{(1)} e^{(d) y(d)}\left(;^{0}\right): \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sim ilar analysis can be done for the kemels

$$
\left(\sinh \quad \cosh \cos \left(i^{0}\right)+i\right)
$$

in the lim its ! +1 or ! 1 . The integration over the azim uthalangle in this case is

$$
\int_{0}^{z_{1}} \frac{d x x^{d 1}}{\left(x^{2} 1+i\right)}=Z_{0}^{z_{+} i 1} \frac{d z z^{d} 1}{\left(z^{2} 1\right)}=i^{d} 2_{0}^{z_{1}} \frac{d y y^{d} 1}{\left(y^{2}+1\right)} \text {; }
$$

where we rst deform ed the integration contour to the positive im aginary axis (the possible integralover the quarter-circle at in nity vanishes provided $\operatorname{Re}(2 \quad d)$ is slightly positive) and then changed variables z! iy that brings usback to the already know n integralgiven above. Thus, we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{!+1}\left(\sinh \quad \cosh \cos \left(i^{0}\right)+i\right) \\
& =(2)^{d=2} 2^{\frac{d}{2}} i^{(d 2)} \frac{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{(1)} e^{(d)}{ }^{(d)}\left(;^{0}\right): \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

W hen ! 1 the kemel diverges at $\left(;^{0}\right)=$ and hence delta-function that is obtained in this lim it

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{1}(\sinh \quad \cosh \cos (i) \text { i }) \\
& =(2)^{d=2} 2^{\frac{d}{2}}(i)^{d} \frac{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{(1)} e^{(d)} \quad \text { (d) }(; \quad \text {; }) \tag{A,3}
\end{align*}
$$

is concentrated at the anti-podalpoints on the $d$-sphere.

## B P lane w ave norm alization

The plane waves

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}\left(X_{0} ; X\right)=\frac{1}{\left[(2)^{d+1} 2 k\right]^{1=2}} e^{i\left(k X_{0} k x\right)} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are delta-function norm alized

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{k} ; f_{p}\right)=(d+1)(k ; p)=k^{d} \quad(k \quad p)^{(d)}(k ; p) \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith respect to the standard $K$ lein-G ordon scalar product which is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{~d} \quad\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}} @_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad @_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided the hypersurface (w ith the nom alvectorn) is a surface of constant $M$ inkow ski time $X_{0}$.

H ow ever, for our purposes we would like to choose a hypersurface associated w ith the boundary of the light-cone. M ore speci cally we de ne out as a hypersurface consisting of tw o com ponents: a surface $=+1$ in the region $D$ and a surface $y=+1$ ( $t>0$ ) in the region A. The hypersurface out thus surrounds the boundary $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}^{+}$of the light-cone. Sím ilarly we de ne in $=f D:=1 \mathrm{~g}\left[\mathrm{fA}: \mathrm{y}=+1\right.$ ( $\mathrm{t}<0$ ) g near the boundary $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}$.

Here we show that sam e norm alization (B2) still holds if we choose out (or in) in the scalar product (B3). W e dem onstrate this explicitly for the surface out. The nonvanishing com ponents of the norm al vector $n$ are $n=r^{1}$ (in region $D$ ) and $n^{y}=t^{1}$ (in region A ). H ence each com ponent gives
in the scalar product (B.3). A sy! + 1 the plane wave asym ptotically behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i(k X 0 k X)}=\frac{2^{d \frac{d}{2} Z}}{2 i} d \quad(i) \quad\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) k \quad t e^{(d)} y^{\text {(d) }}(; k) ; \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we again assum ed that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)$ is slightly positive which allows us to drop the term proportional to $e^{y}$. Sim ilarly in region $D$ the plane wave asym ptotically behaves for ! +1 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i(k X 0 k x)}=\frac{2^{d} \frac{d}{2} Z}{2 i} d \quad(i)^{d} \quad\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) k \quad r e^{(d)}{ }^{(d)}\left(i_{k}\right): \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting these into the de nition of the scalar product $\mathbb{B}$ 3) - B . 4 ) and taking into account that

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{dr} \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{d}} \quad{ }^{0} 1=2 \text { i } \quad(+0 \quad d)
$$

we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{k} ; f_{p}\right)_{\text {out }}=\frac{(2)^{1}}{\frac{p}{k p}} p^{d}{ }^{(d)}(k ; p) \\
& \left.\frac{1}{2}{ }^{z} d \sin \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
d & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
d & 2 & ( \\
2
\end{array}\right) \quad \frac{d}{2}\right) \tag{B.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for the scalar product over out • N otice that the pow ers of icom ing from the contributions of each com ponent nigely combine into $i^{d} \quad i^{2} \quad d=2 i \sin \frac{1}{2}(d \quad 2)$. U sing that the Gammafunction satis es

$$
\left.\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) \stackrel{d}{5}\right)=\frac{2}{(d \quad 2) \sin -(d \quad 2)}
$$

the -integration in (B.7) reduces to a sim ple integral

$$
\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{i}}{ }_{\frac{d}{2} \text { il }}^{\frac{d}{2}} \mathrm{~d} \quad \frac{k}{p}^{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{k} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k} & \mathrm{p})
\end{array}:\right.
$$

Thus, equation (B.7) is indeed identical to (B 2).
$N$ ote that this result could have been anticipated. Indeed, suppose that we add to the hypersurface out the surface $t=+1$ in the region $A$. The resulting hypersurface can be thought of as a deform ation of the surface $X_{0}=+1$ forw hich the standard norm alization (B 2) holds. N ow, the $K$ lein-G ordon scalar product B .3) should not change under any deform ation of the surface. O $n$ the other hand, the contribution of the surface $t=+1$ to the scalar product vanishes provided $\operatorname{Re}(2 \mathrm{~d})$ is $s m$ all but positive. H ence, the whole contribution to the scalar product should com e entirely from the hypersurface out . We how ever found it useful to give an explicit calculation of the scalar product over out • T his serves both as an ilhustration of our techniques as well as a consistency check.

## C D i eom orphism s

H ere we perform analysis of the di eom orphism s preserving the asym ptotic structure of the metric (6.1). Suppose that the di eom onphism is generated by a vector eld = ( ${ }^{t}$; ; ${ }^{i}$ ). By requiring that $L G_{t t}=0$ we obtain $\varrho_{t}{ }^{t}=0$ from which we nd that the t-com ponent of the vector does not depend on $t s o$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{t}=(;) ; \tag{C..1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( ; ) is arbitrary function of and .
The condition $L G_{t}=0$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}=\frac{4^{2}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)} t^{2} @ \quad t^{1} A_{i} @_{t}^{i}: \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from the condition $L G_{t i}=0$ we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{t}{ }^{i}=t^{2} g^{j \dot{j}} @_{i} \quad t^{1} g^{j i} A_{i} \varrho_{t} \quad: \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ultiplying equation (C.3) by $A_{j}$ and inserting the result into equation (C 2) we nd the equations for the $t$-derivatives of and ${ }^{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{t}=\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{1}{t} \quad \frac{4^{3}}{t^{2}} \mathrm{~A}^{2}\right)} \frac{4^{2}}{\mathrm{t}^{2}} @ \quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad:  \tag{C.4}\\
& @_{t}{ }^{i}=\frac{t^{2}}{} g^{j \dot{j}} @_{i} \quad \frac{4^{3}}{t^{3}} \frac{g^{j i} A_{i}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{t} \quad \frac{4^{3}}{t^{2}} \mathrm{~A}^{2}\right)}\left(@ \quad \bar{t}^{\left.\mathrm{A}^{i} @_{i}\right) ; ~}\right. \tag{C.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where we introduced $A^{2}=A_{i} 9^{i j} A_{j}$ and all i-indexes are raised w ith help of the $m$ etric $g_{i j}\left(t_{i} ;\right)$.

N ow, let us m ake a substitution

$$
\begin{align*}
& =(;)+\frac{1}{t}(t ; ~ ; ~) \\
i^{i} & ={ }_{(0)}^{i}(;)+\frac{1}{t}_{(1)}^{i}(;)+:: \tag{C.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Thet term in the $G$ component of the $m$ etric is $x e d$ and should not be changed under the di eom orphism. This implies @ ( ; ) ( ; ) = 0 which in tum leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(;)=() ; \tag{C..7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( ) is an anbitrary function. Sim ilarly, the $t$ term in $G_{i}$ of the $m$ etric (6.1) vanishes. In order to preserve this condition the $t$-independent part of ${ }^{i}$ should satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{(0)}^{j}=\frac{1}{4} g_{(0)}^{j i} @_{j}(): \tag{C.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The di eom onphism generated by the vector eld

$$
=0 ; \quad() ;{ }_{(0)}^{j}(;)
$$

is the only $t$-independent di eom onphism that preserves the form 6.1) .
A sfor the $t$-dependent parts of the functions, ( ; ) plays the role of the param eters of the transform ation. Equations (C.4) and C.5) determ ine ( $t$; ; ) and ${ }_{(k>0)}^{i}(;)$ in term s of ( ; ). For the rst term $s$ in the t-expansion of these functions we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (0)(;)=4^{2} @ \quad(;) ; \\
& (1)=2^{2} \quad(0) @+A_{(0)}^{\mathrm{i}} @_{i} \tag{C.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{(1)}^{i}=g_{(0)}^{i j} \mathbb{Q}_{j}(;): \tag{C.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subleading term $s$ in $G$ and $G_{i}$ change under the di eom orphism $s$ and will give us the transform ation law for the functions ( $\mathrm{t} ;$; ) and $A_{i}(\mathrm{t} ;$; ) but we will not give
the explicit form here. A lso, by acting on the $G_{i j}$ part of the $m$ etric the di eom orphism provides the transform ation law for $g_{i j}\left(t_{i} ;\right.$ ), nam ely

$$
\begin{align*}
& L g_{i j}=(;)\left(@_{i}+\frac{2}{t} g_{i j}\right)+\left(@_{i j} \frac{1}{-} g_{i j}\right) \\
& +\frac{-}{t}\left(A_{i} Q_{j}+A_{j} Q_{i}\right)+r_{i}^{(g)}+r_{j}^{(g)} i ; \tag{C.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where takes the form (C.6). The transform ations generated by the $t$-independent part of the di eom onphism s are given in (6.4), (6.6) and (6.5) in the $m$ ain text.

It is interesting to note that the BMS transform ation are a particular case of the $t$ dependent di eom onphism s. Speci cally, they are determ ined by the function (; )= $f()^{1=2}$ where $f()$ is an arbitrary fiunction of the angle coordinate on the boundary of the light-cone. The vector generating these di eom onphism $s$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{f}()^{1=2} \text {; } \\
& =\frac{2}{t} f()^{3=2} \text {; } \\
& { }^{i}=\frac{1}{t}{ }^{3=2} g_{(0)}^{i j} @_{j} f() \quad: \tag{C.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The BM S transform ations are known to be the transform ations at null in nity of asym ptotically M inkow ski spacetime (w ith a suitable de nition of the notion \asym ptotically M inkow ski.") It should be stressed that our conditions for the asym ptotic $m$ etric (6.1) are $m$ ore general than that ofBM $S$ and thus the asym ptotic di eom onphism $s$ contain the BM S group as a subgroup.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Strictly speaking, we also need to take the lightcone itself into account. H ow ever, this is a subspace ofm easure zero, and we w ill therefore ignore it, but it is indirectly present in the form of the boundary conditions we will choose

[^2]:    ${ }^{x_{N}}$ ot surprisingly, the values of are the sam e as the scaling dim ensions of the eld theory operators corresponding to in the AdS/CFT correspondence.

[^3]:     thus om itted.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ T here are two term s in the asym ptotic expansion of ${ }^{\text {in (out) }}\left(;{ }^{\circ}\right)$, see (5.13) and (5.14). In order for the term $w$ th the delta-function to dom inate we take $R e=\frac{d}{2}+$ and take to zero afterwards.

[^5]:    H ereafter we use $g_{(0)}$ to de ne the trace, e.g. $\operatorname{Trg} g_{(1)}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{(0)}{ }^{1} g_{(1)}\right)$.

