## A holographic reduction of M inkow ski space-tim e

Jan de Boer<sup>1</sup> and Sergey N.Solodukhin<sup>2y</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, Vakkenierstraat 65, 1018X E Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>T heoretische Physik, Ludwig-Maxim ilians Universitat, Theresienstrasse 37, D-80333, Munchen, Germany

#### Abstract

M inkow ski space can be sliced, outside the lightcone, in term s of Euclidean Antide Sitter and Lorentzian de Sitter slices. In this paper we investigate what happens when we apply holography to each slice separately. This yields a dual description living on two spheres, which can be interpreted as the boundary of the light cone. The in nite number of slices gives rise to a continuum family of operators on the two spheres for each separate bulk eld. For a free eld we explain how the G reen's function and (trivial) S-m atrix in M inkow ski space can be reconstructed in term s of two-point functions of some putative conform alleld theory on the two spheres. Based on this we propose a M inkow ski/CFT correspondence which can also be applied to interacting elds. We comment on the interpretation of the conform all symmetry of the CFT, and on generalizations to curved space.

jdeboer@science.uva.nl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>soloduk@theorie.physik.uni-muenchen.de

### 1 Introduction

Holography is a powerful principle, that after it had been proposed in [1] and [2] has found a concrete and quantitative realization in string theory in terms of the AdS/CFT correspondence [3, 4, 5]. Since then, many generalizations have been found, but all of these are deformations of, or limits of, the AdS/CFT correspondence. There have been attempts to generalize the AdS/CFT correspondence to de Sitter space (this was rst discussed in [6] and [7]) but unfortunately string theory on de Sitter space, the dual eld theory and the precise form of the correspondence are not well understood.

Besides Anti-de Sitter space and de Sitter space, which are solutions of the E instein equations with negative and positive cosm ological constant, there is a third class of spaces, namely those with zero cosm ological constant. In particular, M inkowski space belongs to this class, and one m ay wonder what one can say about the existence and nature of a holographic dual description. There are local versions of entropy bounds [8] that also apply to M inkowski space, but these provide no speci c insight about any dual description. An alternative approach is to take the decompactic cation limit of the AdS/CFT correspondence [9]. Though this is in principle a viable procedure, it has not led to an explicit dual description.

In this paper we will try som ething di erent. M inkow ski space with m etric

$$ds^{2} = dX_{0}^{2} + dX_{1}^{2} + \dots + dX_{d+1}^{2}$$
(1.1)

has three regions depending on whether  $t^2 < 0$ ,  $t^2 = 0$ , or  $t^2 > 0$ , with

$$t^2 X X = X_0^2 + X_1^2 + \dots + X_{d+1}^2$$
 (1.2)

The regions with  $t^2 > 0$  and  $t^2 < 0$  correspond to the inside and the outside of the light-cone respectively, whereas  $t^2 = 0$  is the light-cone itself. For a xed value of t, equation (1.2) describes a slice of M inkowski space whose geometry is either Euclidean anti-de Sitter, at or Lorentzian de Sitter. The idea will be to apply holography to each slice separately, and in this way to e ectively reduce the number of dimensions by one. All slices asymptote to the past or future light-cone, and therefore all dual eld theories live on two d-spheres, which can be thought of as the boundaries of the past and future light-cone. It would appear as if we have best two dimensions instead of one, but because of the in nite number of slices, we get an in nite number of elds on the two spheres, and this e ectively reinstates one of the two lost dimensions. However, we cannot simply interpret the in nite number of elds as coming from a KK reduction of a theory in one dimension higher. The asymptotics of the metric (1.1) suggest that the extra dimension is a null dimension. Instead of trying to make sense of theories living on a space with a degenerate metric, we will mostly work directly with the in nite set of elds itself.

There are some obvious problem s with this procedure. First of all, M inkowski space has de Sitter slices, and a holographic reduction along those slices requires us to apply the ill-understood dS/CFT correspondence. Luckily, for the purposes in this paper, we will only need some basic information like the form of two-point functions, and these are alm ost completely xed by the symmetries of de Sitter space. Ultimately, if this whole procedure turns out to be consistent, one may try to reverse the logic and try to use known properties of M inkowski space in order to study holography for de Sitter space, but that is beyond the scope of the present paper. A nother problem is the presence of the light-cone itself. Only non-interacting massless elds can spend their entire life inside the light-cone, but there are few if any of such degrees of freedom so it seems completely safe to leave the light-cone itself outside the discussion.

Despite these problems, we will not some encouraging results. For a free eld we explain how the G reen's function and S-m atrix in M inkowski space can be reconstructed in term softwo-point functions of some putative conform all eld theory on the two spheres. That this is at all possible is related to the following fact. Consider two points X and Y on M inkowski space, with  $t^2 = X$  X and  $\hat{t} = Y$  Y. Of crucial importance is the distance d between X and Y, which is given by

$$d^2 = (X Y) (X Y)$$
:

For simplicity we assume that  $t^2$  and  $u^2$  have the same sign. Then X = X = j t j and Y = Y = j t j are coordinates on the same (anti)de Sitter space. Clearly,

$$d^2 = u^2 t^2 2jut X Y$$
:

Now the geodesic distance on (anti)de Sitter space is a function of X  $\Upsilon$  only, and we see that the geodesic distance in M inkowski space is a function of t, u and the geodesic distance in (anti)de Sitter space only. This fact is essential in relating M inkowski space quantities to correlation functions in the CFT's.

The emerging picture is rather intriguing. It suggests that it must be possible to completely re-formulate the Quantum Field Theory in M inkowski space entirely in terms of correlation functions of a set of conformal operators associated with the past and future of the light-cone. We consider this as a step towards a better understanding of the holography (well established in anti-de Sitter spacetime) in asymptotically at spacetimes.

A n important element in form ulation of a holographic duality is the identication of the underlying conform all symmetry. In asymptotically anti-de Sitter spaces this symmetry arises as asymptotic symmetry near the time-like in nity [0]. The class of asymptotically

at spaces and the respective symmetries near the null-in nity (where the holographic dual could naively be thought to live) were studied long ago in [11] (see also [12] and [13] for a related discussion of the space-like in nity). The group of symmetries is the so-called BM S group, an in nite dimensional abelian group, which does not contain the relevant conform al group. The idea pushed forward in this paper is to look at the in nity of the light-cone and uncover the asymptotic symmetries there. The allowed set of spaces is larger than the set of asymptotically at spaces, and the group of asymptotic symmetries contains the BM S group but also the conform al group. The appearance of the conform al symmetry in this case is quite transparent. It is just an extension of the well-studied conform al symmetry near the boundary of a anti-de Sitter slice to a symmetry near the boundary of the light-cone in M inkowski space. The price to pay for all this is that translation invariance of M inkowski space is not manifest, but takes a much more complicated form.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we discuss in more detail the slicing of M inkowski space-time and its properties. In section 3 we consider free massless scalar

elds, and their reduction on each of the slices. In section 4 we consider the nite part of the on-shell action for a free scalar eld, and will see that the structure suggests the

presence of conform alcorrelation functions in M inkow ski space quantities. In section 5 we will make the relation between correlation functions in the conform al eld theory and bulk quantities m ore precise, and we use this to reconstruct the M inkow ski space S-m atrix and G reen's function. In section 6 we look at m ore general spaces. We introduce an appropriate notion of asymptotically M inkow ski space, analyze the symmetries that preserve these asymptotics. We also exam ine the way conform al symmetries are implemented and whether it is meaningful to view the in nite set of conform al operators as elds living in a space with one additional dimension, and comment on the meaning of translation invariance and the BMS group. In section 7 we study gravity in M inkow ski space, and how the space-time metric is encoded in data on the two spheres. Finally, we present som e conclusions and further speculations.

## 2 Slicing M inkowski space

In this section we consider the foliation of M inkow ski spacetim e with (positive or negative) constant curvature subspaces that is naturally associated to the light-cone structure of the space-time. Let us consider (d + 2)-dimensional M inkow ski space with coordinates X<sub>0</sub>; X<sub>1</sub>; ...; X<sub>d+1</sub> and metric

$$ds^{2} = dX_{0}^{2} + dX_{1}^{2} + :::+ dX_{d+1}^{2} :$$
 (2.1)

The light-cone de ned as

C:  $X_0^2 + X_1^2 + \dots + X_{d+1}^2 = 0$ naturally splits the space-time in three regions

1.D:  $X_0^2 + X_1^2 + \dots + X_{d+1}^2 > 0$ , the region lying outside the light-cone C;

2. A :  $X_0^2 + X_1^2 + \dots + X_{d+1}^2 < 0$ ;  $X_0 < 0$ , the region lying inside the past light-cone C ( $X_0 < 0$ );

3.  $A_+$ :  $X_0^2 + X_1^2 + \dots + X_{d+1}^2 < 0$ ;  $X_0 > 0$ , the region lying inside the future light-cone  $C_+$  (X  $_0 > 0$ ).

In each region M inkowski space can be foliated with surfaces of constant curvature. In region A  $(or A_+)$  these are the surfaces described by the equation

$$X_0^2 + X_1^2 + \dots + X_{d+1}^2 = t^2$$
 (2.2)

for a constant t. The values of the time coordinate t can be arranged in such a way that region A is covered by 1 < t < 0 and region A<sub>+</sub> by 0 < t < +1. As is well known, each of these surfaces with the metric induced from (2.1) is Euclidean anti-de Sitter space, which is a maximally symmetric hyperbolic space. The metric on A foliated by the surfaces (2.2) is

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} + t^{2} ds^{2}_{H_{d+1}} ;$$
  

$$ds^{2}_{H_{d+1}} = dy^{2} + \sinh^{2} y d!^{2}_{d}$$
(2.3)

where  $ds^2_{H_{d+1}}$  is the standard metric on Euclidean anti-de Sitter space; we adopt coordinates (y; ) on  $H_{d+1}$  such that y is the radial coordinate and f g are the angle coordinates on the unit d-sphere with metric  $d!_d^2$ .

Sim ilarly, outside the light-cone, region D can be sliced with with de Sitter hypersurfaces,

$$X_0^2 + X_1^2 + \dots + X_{d+1}^2 = r^2$$
; (2.4)

which for any constant r is a maxim ally symmetric Lorentzian space with negative constant curvature. Using r as a new \radial" coordinate we nd that the M inkowskim etric on this foliation takes the form

$$ds^{2} = dr^{2} + r^{2} ds^{2}_{dS_{d+1}} ;$$
  

$$ds^{2}_{dS_{d+1}} = d^{2} + cosh^{2} d!^{2}_{d}$$
(2.5)

where  $ds^2_{dS_{d+1}}$  is the metric on (d+1)-dimensional Lorentzian de Sitter space covered by the global coordinates (;), with playing the role of time and with f g coordinates on the unit d-sphere.

Each of the metrics (2.3) and (2.5) covers only a part of M inkowski space. In order to cover the whole spacetime we need metrics on three regions: A , A<sub>+</sub> and D<sup>z</sup>. It is also possible to introduce a closely related global coordinate system  $(x_+;x_-;x_+)$  which covers all of spacetime and in terms of which the metric takes the well-known form [14]

$$ds^{2} = dx_{+} dx + \frac{1}{4} (x_{+} - x_{-})^{2} d!_{d}^{2} : \qquad (2.6)$$

In region A the relation between the coordinates (t;y; ) and the global coordinates is given by

$$x_{+}x = t^{2}$$
;  $\frac{x}{x_{+}} = e^{2y}$ : (2.7)

In region A both  $x_+$  and x are negative while in region A<sub>+</sub> they are both positive. In region D the relation between the coordinates (r;z; ) and the coordinates ( $x_+$ ;x; ) reads

$$x_{+}x = r^{2}; \frac{x_{+}}{x} = e^{2}:$$
 (2.8)

One can see that in this region  $x_+x < 0$ . The light-cone C is de ned by equation  $x_+x = 0$  so that its component C corresponds to  $x_+ = 0$  while the equation for the future light-cone  $C_+$  is x = 0.

It is interesting to determ ine the boundaries associated to the slicing. First of all, the past light cone C has a d-dimensional sphere  $S_d$  as a boundary (lying at negative in nite value of the time coordinate  $X_0$ ). In terms of new projective coordinates  $_1 = X_1 = X_0$ , ...,  $_{d+1} = X_{d+1} = X_0$  the equation of the sphere is

$${}^{2}_{1} + :::+ {}^{2}_{d+1} \quad 1 = 0 :$$

Similarly, the boundary of the future cone  $C_+$  is a sphere  $S_d^+$ . The hyperbolic surface (2.2), as is well known and much used in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>z</sup>Strictly speaking, we also need to take the lightcone itself into account. However, this is a subspace of measure zero, and we will therefore ignore it, but it is indirectly present in the form of the boundary conditions we will choose



Fig. 1: Inside light-cone (d+2)-dimensional M inkowski space-time can be sliced with Euclidean anti-de Sitter spaces  $H_{d+1}$  while out-side the light-cone the appropriate slices are Loretzian de Sitter spaces  $dS_{d+1}$ . The only boundaries of the slices are either sphere  $S_d$  or sphere  $S_d^+$  lying at in nity of the light-cone.

has a d-dimensional sphere as a boundary. It is now important to note that it is again the same sphere  $S_d$ . Indeed, in terms of the coordinates f  $_k$ g equation (2.2) becomes

$${}^{2}_{1} + :::+ {}^{2}_{d+1} \quad 1 = t^{2} = X_{0}^{2}$$

which (for any constant t) approaches the above written equation for  $S_d$  in the limit where  $X_0 ! 1$ . Similarly, we can see that the boundary of a slice (2.2) in region  $A_+$  is the sphere  $S_d^+$ , the boundary of the future cone  $C_+$ . de Sitter space de ned by equation (2.4) has two boundaries: a sphere lying in the in nite past and a sphere lying in the in nite future. It is clear that for any slice 2A the boundaries are again respectively the spheres  $S_d$  and  $S_d^+$ . Thus the d-spheres  $S_d$  and  $S_d^+$  are the only boundaries that appear in the slicing that we are considering, and they therefore play an in portant role in the remainder of the paper. If we look at the initial value problem, we observe that the hypersurfaces (2.2) are not C auchy surfaces. Initial data on such a surface is not su cient to determ ine the tim e-evolution everywhere in M inkowski space. A complete set of initial data should necessarily also include data on a de Sitter hypersurface (2.4). In this paper we shall however argue that all su cient inform ation can be actually given on a lower dimensional subspace:

All information on (d+2)-dimensional M inkowski space-time is holographically described by some data on the two d-spheres  $S_d$  and  $S_d^+$ .

In the following sections we shall give arguments in support of this statement. Here we just note that this may not seem to be too surprising. Indeed, we already know that all information needed for anti-de Sitter space is contained in certain data on its boundary. The same is true for de Sitter space (at least classically), only in this case the boundary has two components, one at past and one at future in nity. In the foliation of M inkowski

space just described all (anti)-de Sitter hypersurfaces have the same boundaries,  $S_d$  and  $S_d^+$ , and it is natural to expect that this is where all needed information is stored.

Ending this section we note that the isom etry group O (d+1,1) of M inkow ski spacetime acts on the spheres  $S_d$  (or  $S_d^+$ ) as a group of conform all symmetries. In section 6 we ind explicitly the form of the bulk di econ orphisms generating conform all transform ations on the boundary of the light-cone. The conform all structure associated with the boundary of (anti-)de Sitter space then appears to be a particular manifestation of the conform all structure associated with the in inity of the light-cone. The relation between a M inkow ski m etric with Lorentzian signature, the m etric on Euclidean A dS and the conform all structure on the sphere was earlier studied in [15], a popular reference in the literature on the A dS/CFT correspondence. The relevance of M inkow ski space has how ever not received m uch attention in subsequent studies.

## 3 Scalar Field in M inkowski space-time

Consider a scalar eld with equation of motion

$$r^2 M^2 = 0$$
 (3.1)

on (d+2)-dimensional M inkowski spacetime and use the slicing with constant curvature spaces described in the previous section to see whether the eld can be equivalently described in terms of data living on the slice. First we analyze the massless case and take the region A with metric (2.3), t being the time-like coordinate taking negative values. The analysis for the region A<sub>+</sub> is similar. In terms of the metric (2.3) the eld equation (3.1) reads

$$_{H_{d+1}}$$
 (d+1)t@<sub>t</sub>  $t^2 @_t^2 = 0$ : (3.2)

We can separate variables in this equation by taking of the form (t) () (y; ) where (y; ) is the set of coordinates on the Euclidean hyperbolic space  $H_{d+1}$  (which is the same as Euclidean anti-de Sitter space). For a given the functions (x) satisfy the massive eld equation on  $H_{d+1}$ 

$$(_{H_{d+1}})^2$$
 (x) = 0 ; (3.3)

and the parameter thus plays the role of mass. The original (d + 2)-dimensional eld

(t;x) reduces to a set of massive elds on (d + 1)-dimensional Euclidean anti-de Sitter space. The relation between and the parameter () can be read from (3.2) and is given by<sup>x</sup>

$$= \frac{d}{2} \qquad {}^{2} + \frac{d^{2}}{4} \qquad (3.4)$$

Thus, there are exactly two independent solutions,  $(t)^{+}(x) + (t)$  (x). When  $^{2} + \frac{d^{2}}{4} = 0$  the independent solutions are  $t^{\frac{d}{2}}$  and  $t^{\frac{d}{2}} \ln t$ . Norm ally, we would choose one of the solutions by in posing appropriate boundary conditions. One possible condition is

 $<sup>^{</sup>x}$ N ot surprisingly, the values of are the same as the scaling dimensions of the eld theory operators corresponding to in the AdS/CFT correspondence.

regularity at t = 0 (i.e. near the past light-cone C ) which selects only negative values of . Note that at in nity (when t goes to 1) we pick up the most divergent solution. This is similar to the usual story in anti-de Sitter space. Another possible condition is the regularity of the action in a neighbourhood of t = 0,

$$W [] = \int_{t!0}^{2} t^{d+1} (x;t) \theta_t (x;t) :$$
(3.5)

This gives the condition  $Re < \frac{d}{2}$ . In case  $Re = \frac{d}{2}$  the expression (3.5) does not contain growing (ast goes to zero) terms but there are oscillating terms. These terms are allowed in quantum eld theory since they correspond to propagating waves, and they will also play an important role in the remainder of this paper.

Note that the real part of  $_+$  is always positive and thus can not provide us with a regular solution at t = 0. For  $_-$ , depending on the value of  $_-^2$  in (3.3), we have three di erent cases:

1.  $^2 > 0$ , then is negative and the mode (t) is regular at t = 0.

2.  $\frac{d^2}{4} < {}^2 < 0$ , is positive while still real. There are no solutions regular at t = 0 in this case. However,  $< \frac{d}{2}$  and hence the mode (t) leads to a regular action (at t = 0).

3.  $2 < \frac{d^2}{4}$ , i.e.  $2 = \frac{d^2}{4}$ , 2, then both + and are complex:  $= \frac{d}{2}$  i. The action has only oscillating term s at t = 0 in this case and both (t) + and (t) are allowed.

A coording to the AdS/CFT correspondence, to each eld on anti-de Sitter (with mass ) satisfying equation (3.3) and the Breitenbhner-Frædman bound <sup>2</sup> >  $d^2=4$  there exists a dual conform all operator living on the boundary of anti-de Sitter space (i.e. on the sphere  $S_d$ ). The conform ald in ension of the operator is the largest root of the equation  $h(h = d) = 2^{2}$ , for positive <sup>2</sup> it is

$$h_{+} = \frac{d}{2} + \frac{s}{\frac{d^{2}}{4} + \frac{s}{2}}$$
 (3.6)

Unitarity requires the conform alweight to be real and that in turn in plies that  $^2$  has to satisfy the Breitenlohner-Freedman bound. We thus see that this bound also has a clear meaning from the higher dimensional Minkow ski space prospective.

Notice that the propagating modes correspond to case 3 and are thus described by complex conformal weights,  $h = \frac{d}{2}$  i, with an arbitrary real parameter. The standard plane wave is described in terms of the metric (2.1) as  $e^{ik X}$ , where  $k^2 = 0$ . In the coordinates ft; y; g it takes the form  $e^{ip(y;)t}$ , where p(y;) is some function of the coordinates y and . These modes can be decomposed in the basis form ed by functions t where is complex. This is seen from the inverse M ellin type transform [16]

$$e^{ipt} = \frac{1}{2 i_{c il}} d_{c il} t_{(p+i)} ;$$
 (3.7)

where () is the G am m a function. Thus, to describe plane waves, or m ore generally any solution of the free eld equations which is norm alizable in the sense of plane waves we only need the modes with  $= \frac{d}{2}$  i. Norm ally, such values of the conform alweights violate unitarity in a dual CFT. However, it is important to keep in m ind that we are here discussing Euclidean AdS as a building block of a M inkow ski-signature theory, and we do

not intend to analytically continue the results to Lorentzian AdS. Therefore, it is not clear whether the notion of unitarity that is norm ally inherited from Lorentzian AdS has any relation to the notion of unitarity in M inkowski space. Since M inkowski space physics carries a unitary representation of the Lorentz group SO (d + 1;1), we would actually expect that this is the group that is unitarily represented, and for this group complex weights are not problem atic, they correspond to the principal series representation. All this is very similar to a corresponding discussion for de Sitter space given in [17]. We therefore view the results in this paper as providing further motivation for the claim in [17] that the appropriate notion of unitarity in de Sitter space is one where SO (d + 1;1) is unitarily in plemented, and complex weights therefore do not violate unitarity.

In the region D the story is essentially sim ilar with the coordinate t replaced by the space-like coordinate r. Solving equation (3.1) for them etric (2.4) we decompose solutions in terms of modes r  $_{m}(x)$ , where  $_{m}(x)$  is now a solution to the eld equation with mass m on de Sitter space

$$(_{dS_{d+1}} m^2)_m = 0$$
 : (3.8)

The relation between m and is given by

$$= \frac{d}{2} \quad \frac{s}{\frac{d^2}{4}} \quad m^2 :$$
 (3.9)

The analysis of modes, regularity conditions and conform all weights are similar to the analysis in A . To a large extent the results can be obtained by analytical continuation of the anti-de Sitter mass  $m^2$  to the de Sitter mass  $2 = m^2$ . In particular, the modes r regular on the light cone (r = 0) are the ones with  $m^2 < 0$ . In all versions of the dS/CFT correspondence that have appeared in the literature the conform all weight of the corresponding operator on the boundary of de Sitter is

$$h = \frac{d}{2} + \frac{s}{\frac{d^2}{4}} m^2 :$$
 (3.10)

The modes with  $0 < m^2 < \frac{d^2}{4}$  are not regular at the light-cone but have nite action there. Finally, modes with  $m^2 = \frac{d^2}{4} + 2^2$  are oscillating at the light-cone. Both values of the conform alweight,  $h_+ = \frac{d}{2} + i$  and  $h_- = \frac{d}{2}$  i, are allowed in this case. The latter ones are the modes that are needed to describe plane wave norm alizable solutions of the eld equations.

The modes described are analytic across the light-cone so that modes with  $m^2 = 2$  in region A become modes with  $m^2 = 2$  in region D. In particular, this means that the limiting values f [S ]g (f [S<sup>+</sup>]g) of the wave function at S<sub>d</sub> (S<sup>+</sup><sub>d</sub>) are the same regardless of whether the light-cone is approached from region D or from the region A (A<sub>+</sub>). To see this explicitly note that in region A close to the boundary at in nity of the past light-cone the modes behave as (t) e y = (x), where x (see (2.7)) is a coordinate in the coordinate system (2.6) covering all of spacetime. It approaches 1 as we approach the boundary at in nity of the past light-cone. On the other hand, in the region D the modes (with  $m^2 = 2$ , i.e. with the same ) behave in the same region as r = (x), where the equality follows from (2.8). The past light-cone is the surface where  $x_{+} = 0$ . We see that the modes are analytic across this surface and

describe a wave con guration propagating along the past light-cone. In a similar fashion one nds that the modes are also analytic across the future light-cone.

Let us now turn on the mass in equation (3.1). The decomposition of the bulk eld over a set of massive elds living on the slice is valid also in this case. However the radial functions in the decomposition (we take region D for concreteness)

$$(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{Z} d\mathbf{m} \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}^{d=2}} K_{(m)} (M \mathbf{r})_{m} (\mathbf{x})$$
 (3.11)

are now expressed in terms of the M adD onald function<sup>{</sup> K (M r), where  $= \frac{q}{\frac{d^2}{4}} \frac{d^2}{m^2}$ . The integration overm in (3.11) should be understood as symbolic, the range of values and m easure on that space m ay depend on the class of functions we want to consider. Each m assive eld on the slice has a holographic description in terms of conform all operators on the boundary of the slice. The relation between the conform all weight and the m ass m is given by (3.10). In this sense there is no di erence with the massless bulk eld considered above. A plane wave solution of the massive eld equation in the bulk can also be decomposed in terms of the radial functions (3.11). The relevant integral transform is known in the mathematical literature as the K antorovich-Lebedev transform. The analog of equation (3.7) is

$$e^{ipr} = \int_{0}^{Z_{+1}} dr \, r^{d=2} K_{i} \, (M \, r) f(p; \, )$$
(3.12)

where the function f (p; ) is expressed in term s of a hypergeom etric function as follows

$$f(p; ) = \frac{2}{\frac{3}{2}} \sinh \frac{1}{(2M)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \frac{\frac{d}{2} + i}{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\frac{d}{2} + i}{\frac{d}{2}} F(\frac{d}{2} + i; \frac{d}{2} - i; \frac{d+1}{2}; (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ip}{2M})) (3.13)$$

Interestingly, it can be brought to a com pact form

$$f(p; ) = \frac{2(2)^{d=2}}{(i)(i)} M^{d=2} D_{\frac{d}{2}+i} (i\frac{p}{M})$$
(3.14)

in terms of a de Sitter invariant G reen's function D (z) to be de ned in section 5 (z in that case would be related to the geodesic distance on a de Sitter slice). The appearance of this function in the K antorovich-Lebedev transform (3.12) is rather mysterious. Since massive elds in the bulk do not lead to any immediate new conceptual issues but are mainly technically more complicated, we will restrict our attention to massless elds in the remainder of this paper.

Sum m arizing our progress so far, we have found that the scalar (m assive or m assless) eld propagating in M inkow ski space is equivalently described in term s of an in nite set of m assive elds living (depending on the region of M inkow ski space) on anti-de Sitter or de Sitter space. The original scalar eld can thus be decom posed in term s of m assive elds on (anti-) de Sitter. This is a sort of spectral decom position since it involves an integration over the m ass (or m), which therefore plays the role of the spectral parameter. The explicit form of this decom position will be studied in section 5.

 $<sup>^{\{}</sup>$  Another possible Bessel function (Infeld function I (M r)) is exponentially growing at in nity and thus om itted.

Several of the equations and decom positions given in this and later sections have also been obtained in the papers [18, 19], but we are not aware of any attempt to use de Sitter and AdS slices to try to nd a holographic dual description of M inkowski space. Sim ilarly, one can consider massless higher spin elds, but the generalization is rather straightforward and will not be discussed in this paper.

A swe know from the AdS/CFT correspondence any massive eld on Euclidean anti-de Sitter space is completely described by xing D irichlet data on the boundary of the space, which in the present case is the sphere  $S_d$  (or  $S_d^+$  for the region  $A_+$ ). One has to be a bit careful in applying the standard AdS/CFT form alism, because in our case we have complex conform alweights and there is no longer a separation of elds in normalizable and non-norm alizable modes. The analog statem ent for de Sitter space is that the initial-time data can be xed on either the past or future in nity surface which is again respectively § or  $S_d^+$ . This suggests that the C auchy problem in M inkowski space becomes the problem of reconstructing the values of a eld everywhere in terms of known data f [5] g given on the past in nity ( $S_d$ ) of the light cone. The explicit form of this reconstruction will be given in subsection 5.2. Having in m ind the Q uantum M echanical picture we would expect that the quantum in-state should be associated with data on  $S_d$  while the outstate should be de ned in terms of the data f [5<sup>+</sup>] g on the future in nity ( $S_d^+$ ) of the light cone. In the next sections we will elaborate more on this picture.

## 4 Light-cone conform al correlation functions

In this section we show that in a manner similar to the AdS/CFT correspondence [5] there naturally appear conformal eld theory correlation functions on the boundary of the light-cone in M inkow ski spacetime. A ctually, the discussion in this section should be taken with a grain of salt and be viewed as providing some inspiration for the results in the remainder of the paper.

Consider the action of the scalar eld on A . If the eld is a solution of the equations of motion the action reduces to the boundary term

$$W = \int_{t! 1}^{2} (t)^{d+1} p - (x;t) q_{t}(x;t) ; \qquad (4.1)$$

where x (y; ) are coordinates on the Anti-de Sitter slice,  $H_{d+1}$ . We decompose elds in term s of m odes as we did in the previous section,

$$(x; ) = \int_{(h+jh-j)}^{X} (t)^{h+(j+j)} (x) + (t)^{h-(j+j)} (x) ; \qquad (4.2)$$

where we sum (or, rather, take an integral if h are continuous) over all possible pairs  $(h_+;h_-)$ . In terms of the massive elds (with mass ) on anti-de Sitter space  $H_{d+1}$ , h are the roots of the equation h  $(h_-d) = 2$   $(h_+ + h_-d) = 2$   $(h_+ h_-d)$ . In this section we do not specify the sign of 2. In the decomposition (4.2) a priori all possible (both real and complex) conform alweights should be taken into account, but, as we discussed in the previous section, plane wave norm alizability restricts the conform alweights to  $h_-=\frac{d}{2}$  i. Next, we substitute (4.2) into (4.1) and take the lim it t ! 1. In general the resulting expression has in nite, oscillating and nite terms

$$W = \frac{X X^{2}}{h + h^{0} - d^{H_{d+1}}} h^{0} h^{0}(x) + \frac{X}{R + (d + h^{0}) > 0} h^{0}(x)^{(d + h^{0})} h^{0}(x) + h^{0}(x)^{(d + h^{0})} h^{0}(x)^{(d + h^{0})} h^{0}(x)$$

where the in nite and oscillating terms are collected in the second sum. We are interested in the nite one,

$$W_{fin} = \frac{X_{(h_{+},h_{-})}^{Z}}{d_{(h_{+},h_{-})}^{H_{d+1}}} (x)^{(+)} (x)^{(-)} (x) ; \qquad (4.3)$$

where the sum m ation (integration) runs over all possible pairs (h\_+ ; h\_ ).

Before proceeding, we point out two problem s with the analysis in this section. First, we have to drop some in nite and oscillating terms in order to get (4.3). In the spirit of the usualAdS/CFT correspondence, such a procedure would be viewed as the holographic version of renorm alization, but here such an interpretation is problem atic, because the subleading terms in the t expansion are non-local functionals of the leading term, and therefore the in nities are non-local in nature 20]. However, what we really want to do is holography in each of the AdS slices and keep the t-dependence exactly, and then this issue will not arise. Besides this, there are problem s evaluating on-shell actions for scalar

elds on de Sitter slices, and probably also for elds on Euclidean anti-de Sitter space with complex conform alweights, as discussed in [17]. Both problem swill not arise in the next section where we use an S-m atrix type approach to the M inkow ski/CFT duality, and as we said above, this section should mainly be viewed as providing some inspiration.

Continuing with the analysis, we recall that each eld  $^{(+)}(x)$  and  $^{(-)}(x)$  is a solution of the scalar eld equation

$$^{2})$$
 () (x) = 0

with mass  $^2$  =  $h_+\,h_-$  on H  $_{d+\,1}$ . The coordinates x = ( ;y) are such that y = 1 corresponds to the boundary of H  $_{d+\,1}$  and ( ) are the angle coordinates on the asym ptotic sphere  $S_d$ .

Near the boundary of H  $_{d+1}$  there exists a decom position

fam iliar from the AdS/CFT duality [5]. If  $h_{+}$  and  $h_{-}$  are real the term  $e^{-h_{-}y}$  dom inates and the functions <sup>(+)</sup>(), <sup>()</sup>() are the usual choices for the D irichlet boundary condition at the boundary of AdS space  $H_{d+1}$ . If the conform alweights are complex any function <sup>()</sup>() or <sup>()</sup><sub>+</sub>() can be used as a boundary condition. The solutions of the massive scalar eld equation on  $H_{d+1}$  can then chosen to be

where d () is the standard measure on a unit radius d-sphere, and we will from now on use the notation (;  $^{0}$ ) for the geodesic distance on a d-sphere between points with coordinates and  $^{0}$ . We will ignore the fact that for complex weights the elds in 4.4) are generically complex, and one should really add the complex conjugate elds in the right hand side. Again, the results here should be taken with a grain of salt. The kernels

$$G_{h}(y; ; ^{0}) = \frac{g(h)}{(\cosh y \quad \sinh y \cos (; ^{0}))^{h}};$$
  

$$g(h) = (4)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{(h)}{(h \quad \frac{d}{2})};$$
(4.5)

can be interpreted as bulk-boundary propagators on an anti-de Sitter slice. For large y they behave as follows (see Appendix A for details)

$$\lim_{y! = 1} G_{+}(y; ; {}^{0}) = (e^{h y} (d) (; {}^{0}) + e^{h y}K_{+} (; {}^{0}))(1 + 0 (e^{2y}))$$
$$\lim_{y! = 1} G_{-}(y; ; {}^{0}) = (e^{h y} (d) (; {}^{0}) + e^{h y}K_{-} (; {}^{0}))(1 + 0 (e^{2y})); (4.6)$$

where  $\ ^{(d)}$  ( ;  $\ ^0)$  is the delta-function on the d-sphere. The kernels K  $\$  ( ;  $\ ^0)$  and K  $_+$  ( ;  $\ ^0)$  are de ned as

K (; <sup>0</sup>) = 
$$\frac{2^{h} g(h)}{(1 \cos (; ^{0}))^{h}}$$
 (4.7)

and are inverse to each other

7.

d ()K (; 
$${}^{0}$$
)K + (;  ${}^{0}$ ) = (d) ( ${}^{0}$ ;  ${}^{0}$ ) : (4.8)

Thus, from (4.4) we have that

The idea is to substitute (4.2)-(4.4) into the action (4.1), rst take the integration over y and then take the limit of in nitet. Note, that additionally to the divergences at large t there could be extra divergences when the integration over y is being taken.

A nother in portant point is to observe that

$$\begin{bmatrix} z & & & z \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & &$$

where we assumed that h and  $h^0$  take values on the complex line d=2 + i, and possibly om itted some regular terms in the right hand side. We suspect these regular terms are not there, but have not been able to prove this. The delta-function in (4.10) can be recognized in the asymptotics (4.6) of the kernels  $G_h$  (y; ; <sup>0</sup>) (note, that we also om itted the terms proportional to (h  $h^0$ ) which contribute to the oscillating part of the action). W ith the help of this formula we indicate the nite part of the action, after the integrations over t and y have been performed, is given by

For complex conform alweights we have [ () ] = (+) + and [ (+) ] = (+) + and [ (+) ] = (+) + and [ (+) ] = (+) + and +

operators  $O_{+}^{(+)}()$  and  $O_{+}^{(-)}()$  and that it takes the form of a conform altwo-point function on the d-sphere. Again, this is all suggestive but quite in precise.

A similar analysis can be done in regions  $A_+$  and D. By nally considering the action on all of M inkowski space we arrive at a functional W fin [  $_h$  [S ];  $_h$  [S<sup>+</sup>]] of data  $_h$  [S ] and  $_h$  [S<sup>+</sup>] on the two d-spheres S and S<sup>+</sup> respectively. The variation with respect to  $_h$  [S ] and then with respect to  $_h$  [S<sup>+</sup>] is naturally interpreted as a correlation function between operators living on S<sup>+</sup> and S . The interesting question arises whether those correlation functions have anything to do with the S-m atrix in M inkowski space (once we include interactions). W e will return to this and other questions in the next section, where we will set up a m ore precise version of the M inkowski/CFT correspondence.

# 5 Green's functions and S-m atrix in M inkowski spacetime

#### 5.1 Propagators

The manifestation of the conformal structure of correlation functions associated with the asymptotic boundaries of the light-cone which we studied in the previous section was suggestive. The emergence of conformal structures becomes however clearer and more precise if we study the asymptotic behavior of the propagator in M inkowski spacetime.

For simplicity we start with the free eld and consider the H adam and type propagator de ned as

$$D(X;X^{0}) = < 0 \pm (X); (X^{0})g \geq :$$

In (d+2)-dimensional M inkowski space it takes the form

D (X; X<sup>0</sup>) = 
$$\frac{d}{(s^2)^{d=2}}$$
;  $s^2 = (X_0 X_0^0)^2 + (X X^0)^2$ ; (5.1)

where  $s^2$  is the space-time interval between two events and  $d = \frac{\frac{d^2}{2}}{4^{d+2+1}}$ .

Let both points lie in the region D foliated by de Sitter slices. The foliation is given by coordinates (r; ; ) that are related to the coordinates of M inkow ski space as follows

$$X_0 = rsinh$$
;  $X = rcosh$  n(); (5.2)

where n () is d-dimensional unit vector parametrized by angle coordinates f g. The distance between two points with coordinates (r; ; ) and (f;  $^{0}$ ;  $^{0}$ ) is

$$s^2 = r^2 + r^{02} - 2rr^0 \cos ;$$
 (5.3)

where is the geodesic distance on de Sitter space between the points (; ) and  $\binom{0}{2}$ 

$$\cos = (\sinh \sinh^0 \cosh \cosh^0 \cos (; ^0)); \qquad (5.4)$$

where  $(;^{0})$  is the angle between the unit vectors n() and n( $^{0}$ ), which at the same time is the geodesic distance on the d-sphere. Note that  $^{2} > 0$  for space-like intervals on de Sitter space while  $^{2} < 0$  for time-like intervals. In the latter case cos in (5.3) and (5.4) becomes cosh j j.

Substituting (5.3) into (5.1) and expanding in powers of  $r=r^0$  we recognize a generating function for G egenbauer polynom ials

$$(1 \quad 2(r=r^{0})\cos + (r=r^{0})^{2}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} C_{n}(\cos )(r=r^{0})^{n}$$
:

The in nite sum can be represented as a single contour integral so that we have the following useful representation for the H adam and function

$$D(X;X^{0}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{c}^{d} \int_{c}^{c+i1} r r^{0} dC^{d=2}(\cos i) \frac{d}{\sin(i)}; \qquad (5.5)$$

where the constant c should satisfy the condition 0 < c < d. We can choose c = d=2 so that the integral is over = d=2+i where changes from m inus to plus in nity. These values of are natural because they appear in the decom position of plane waves as we saw in section 3.

The propagator is now represented in terms of G egenbauer functions which for arbitrary are de ned in terms of the hypergeom etric function as

$$C^{d=2}(z) = \frac{(d)}{(1-z)}F(d); ; ; \frac{d+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}(1+z));$$

W hen two points on a slice approach each other the geodesic distance goes to zero and the argum ent in G egenbauer function approaches -1. Therefore, the argum ent in the hypergeom etric function goes to +1. This is the point where the hypergeom etric function has a singularity, F (;;;z) (1 z) ; z! 1. For the hypergeom etric function in the de nition of G egenbauer function we have = (d 1)=2. Thus, when approaches zero G egenbauer function in (5.5) has singularity

$$C^{d=2}$$
 ( cos )  $\frac{1}{d}$ 

which depends only on the dimension d but not on  $\cdot$ . This is exactly the expected behavior of G reen's function. Indeed, the G eigenbauer function C  $^{d=2}$  (  $\cos$  ) is related to the de Sitter invariant G reen's function de ned as

D (z) = 
$$\frac{() (d)}{(4)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} (\frac{d+1}{2})} F$$
 (d ;  $\frac{d+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}(1+z)$ );

where  $z = \cos \alpha$ , of the eld operator ( <sup>2</sup>), <sup>2</sup> = ( d). The exact relation is

$$C^{d=2}(z) = \frac{4}{\frac{d}{2}} \sin(z) = \frac{4}{\frac{d}{2}} \sin(z) + D(z) = (5.6)$$

Obviously, D (z) =  $D_d$  (z). Equation (5.5) then takes the especially simple form

$$D(X;X^{0}) = \frac{1}{2 i_{c \text{ il}}}^{Z_{c+\text{ il}}} d r r^{0} d D (\cos )$$
(5.7)

which shows how the Green's function in (d + 2)-dimensional M inkowski spacetime is decomposed in terms of the in nite set of Green's functions on the (d + 1)-dimensional de Sitter slice parametrized by .

In region A, inside the light-cone, we choose the coordinate system (t;y; ) as follows

$$X_0 = t\cosh y ; X = t\sinh y n() :$$
 (5.8)

The M inkow ski distance in this region takes the form

$$s^2 = (t^2 + t^2 - 2tt^0 \cosh );$$
 (5.9)

where is the geodesic distance on Euclidean anti-de Sitter space de ned as

$$\cosh = \cosh y \cosh y^0 \quad \sinh y \sinh y^0 \cos (;^0) \tag{5.10}$$

and as before  $(;^{0})$  is the geodesic distance on the unit d-sphere. The propagator in this region has a decomposition similar to (5.7),

$$D(X;X^{0}) = \frac{(i)^{d}}{2i} \int_{cil}^{Z_{c+il}} dt t^{0} dD (\cosh); \qquad (5.11)$$

in terms of an in nite set of Green's functions D (cosh ) on the anti-de Sitter slices.

In Quantum Field Theory a special role is played by the Feynm an propagator which in M inkowski space is given by

$$D_{F}(X;X^{0}) = \frac{i}{(s^{2} + i)^{d=2}}$$
 (5.12)

with the appropriate i -prescription showing that the propagator is analytic in the upper half-plane as a function of the invariant distance  $s^2$ . This translates directly into a corresponding prescription for the propagators on the de Sitter slices once we use the decomposition (5.5). We not for the Feynman-like propagator  $D^F$  as function of the de-Sitter invariance distance that

$$D^{F}(\cos) = iD(\cos i)$$
:

This gives the correct Feynm an prescription for going around the = 0 singularity in the propagator on de Sitter spacetime.

It is now our goal to see how the Feynman propagator in M inkowski space behaves when the two points approach the boundaries at in nity of the light-cone. Suppose that one of the points approaches the future in nity of the light-cone, i.e. the sphere  $S^t$ , from the side of the region D. In this case we have 0! + 1 and the de Sitter geodesic distance behaves in this lim it as

$$z = \cos \frac{1}{2}e^{\circ}(\sinh + \cosh \cos (i))$$
:

Using the known properties (available in [21]) of the hypergeometric function when its argument approaches in nity we not that as  $^{0}$ ! +1

D (z) 
$$\frac{() (d 2)}{(4)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} (\frac{d+1}{2})} \frac{2}{(z)} + ( \$ d ) :$$

This expression contains factors (sinh  $\cosh \cos (i) + i$ ). When now the second point is taken either to the future in nity (! + 1) or to the past in nity (! 1) of

the light-cone these factors produce non-trivial distributions on the d-sphere (see A pendix A for details)

$$\lim_{\substack{l \neq 1 \\ l \neq 1}} (\sinh \cos h \cos (i^{0}) + i) = (4)^{d=2} i^{(d-2)} \frac{(\frac{d}{2})}{(i)} e^{(d-1)} e^{(d)} (i^{0}) + \frac{2e}{(1 \cos (i^{0}))}$$
(5.13)

and

$$\lim_{i \to 1} (\sinh \cos h \cos (i^{0}) + i) = (4)^{d=2} (i)^{d} \frac{(\frac{d}{2})}{(i)} e^{(d-1)} (i) (i^{0}) (i^{0}) + \frac{2(i)^{2} e}{(1 + \cos (i^{0}))} ; (5.14)$$

where stands for the spherical coordinates of the anti-podal point of the d-sphere, in other words n () = n (). The expressions in §.14) are valid up to term s of order e<sup>2</sup>. Using (5.14) we nd the form of the Feynman propagator when both points approach the future in nity of the light-cone ( $;^{0}! + 1$ )

$$\lim_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ i \neq 1}} D_{F}(X;X^{0}) = \frac{Z}{2} \frac{d}{2} r r^{0} r^{(d)}$$

$$A() \frac{e^{(0^{+})}}{(1 \cos)} + B()^{(d)}(;^{0})e^{(d^{-})} e^{-0} + (\$d)$$

$$(5.15)$$

where the integration over goes from d=2 i1 to d=2+i1 . A similar expression appears when ;  $^{0}$ ! 1 .

Notice that the st term in \$.15) looks like a conformal correlation function on one of the boundaries  $(S_d^+)$  of the light-cone, similar to the expression we found in the previous section. From a holographic point of view, it is a correlation function between operators associated with modes  $r = and r^{(d_-)}e$ . On the other hand, we nd that the correlation functions of the operators associated with the modes  $r = (d_-)^{(d_-)}e$  and  $r^{(d_-)}e$  produce contact terms proportional to a delta-function on the d-sphere.

W hen one of the points approaches the future in nity of the light-cone and another approaches the past in nity of the light-cone we nd that

This also takes the form of correlation functions between conform all operators living on  $S_d$  and  $S_d^+$ : the correlation function diverges for antipodal points on d-sphere. This is similar to the behavior for two point functions in de Sitter space as discussed in [7, 22, 23]. The functions A ( ), B ( ), D ( ) and F ( ) are expressed in terms of the G amma function as follows

$$A() = \frac{2i}{4^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}() \frac{d}{2} ); B() = \frac{2^{d}i^{(d-2+1)}}{4} \frac{d}{2} )(-\frac{d}{2});$$
  
$$D() = \frac{2(i)^{(2-1)}}{4^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}() \frac{d}{2} ); F() = \frac{2^{d}(i)^{(d-1)}}{4} \frac{d}{2} )(-\frac{d}{2})(5.17)$$

We can repeat this analysis in the case when either of the in nities of the light-cone is approached from the side of the region A. It is useful to note that the coordinate system s (5.2) and (5.8) are related by the analytic transform ation

D! 
$$A_{+}:r!$$
 it; !  $y = \frac{1}{2};$  !  
D!  $A:r!$  it; !  $y + \frac{1}{2};$  ! : (5.18)

Using the analytic continuation (5.18) between regions A and D one can demonstrate that the structure of the conformal correlation functions (5.15) and (5.16) is the same no matter from which side each point approaches the boundary of the light-cone. Thus, the structure of the conformal correlators emerging in (5.15) and (5.16) is an intrinsic property of the boundaries ( $S_d$  and  $S_d^+$ ) them selves.

Incidentally, in de Sitter space there is a one-parameter family of vacua usually called -vacua, and one may wonder which vacuum state is natural once de Sitter space is embedded in M inkowski space. A coording to [19], the natural de Sitter vacuum to use in this context is the Bunch-D avies vacuum or the Euclidean vacuum. We have not veried whether the form of (5.16) is consistent with the two-point functions in the Euclidean vacuum as derived in [22, 23], or whether it corresponds to a dimensional of , but clearly it would be interesting to investigate this.

#### 5.2 In-and out-elds

The standard decomposition of asymptotic elds in terms of plane waves is

$$(X_{0};X) = dk \ a(k)f_{k}(X_{0};X) + a^{Y}(k)f_{k}(X_{0};X) ; \qquad (5.19)$$

where the functions

$$f_{k}(X_{0};X) = \frac{1}{[(2)^{d+1}2k]^{l=2}} e^{i(kX_{0}-kX)}$$
(5.20)

form a basis of (positive frequency) asymptotic elds. The (d+1)-vector k is parametrized by its length  $k = \frac{1}{kk}$  and angles f kg which determ ine the direction of the vector in momentum space. Hence we have k = k n(k), where n(k) is unit vector providing the direction. The creation and annihilation operators satisfy the usual algebra

$$[a(k);a^{Y}(k^{0})] = {}^{(d+1)}(k;k^{0}) = \frac{1}{k^{d}} (k k^{0}) {}^{(d)}(k;k^{0}) ; \qquad (5.21)$$

where  $^{(d)}(k; k_0)$  is the delta-function on the d-sphere with respect to the standard measure d (k).

In the region A the coordinates (X  $_0$ ;X) are given by (5.8) so that the argument in the exponent in (5.21) can be written in the form

$$(kX_0 kX) = kt (\cosh y \sinh y \cos (;_k))$$

U sing this expression and form ula (3.7) we obtain a representation of the plane waves

$$e^{i(kX_{0} kX)} = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{cil}^{Z_{c+il}} d \frac{(i)}{(\cosh y \sinh y \cos (i_{k}))}; \qquad (5.22)$$

where the i -prescription determ ines the way to go around the t = 0 singularity. With the help of this representation we can obtain an explicit decom position of the asymptotic eld (5.19) in terms of data on the boundary of the light-cone.

Consider the region  $A_+$  in which the coordinate t > 0. W ith the help of (5.22) the asymptotic eld 5.19) has the form

$$(t;y;) = \frac{1}{2 \text{ i } d=2 \text{ i i }} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}$$

where

$$G_{()}(y; ; k) = \frac{g()}{(\cosh y \quad \sinh y \cos(; k))}$$
(5.24)

is the bulk-boundary propagator on Euclidean anti-de Sitter introduced in (4.5). The fam ily of functions (parametrized by  $\)$ 

can be thought of as the boundary data on the boundary  $S_d^+$  of the future light-cone. Equation (5.23) thus gives us a solution to a quite peculiar boundary problem and shows how the eld in (d+2)-dimensional space-time can be reconstructed from data on a codimension two surface lying at the boundary at in nity of the light-cone.

The algebra (5.21) induces the algebra of the operators (5.25) and we obtain for their vacuum expectation values

< 0 j <sup>(d)</sup> (<sub>k</sub>) <sup>(d)</sup> (<sup>0</sup><sub>k</sub>) j0 >  
= 
$$\frac{2^{d}}{4}$$
 ( $\frac{d}{2}$ ) (<sup>0</sup>  $\frac{d}{2}$ ) (i) i<sup>0</sup>2 i (+ <sup>0</sup> d) <sup>(d)</sup> (<sub>k</sub>; <sup>0</sup><sub>k</sub>) : (5.26)

U sing this we can compute the vacuum expectation value of the asymptotic elds (5.19) and we nd that

Equation (5.27) is another representation for the H adam and function (5.11). C om paring these two expressions we nd a representation for the anti-de Sitter bulk-bulk propagator D ( $\cosh$ ) in term s of the bulk-boundary propagator (5.24). This representation is rather natural from the point of view of anti-de Sitter space.

In the region A where the coordinate t < 0 there exists a representation for the asymptotic eld which is similar to (5.23): one should just switch signs appropriately according to the i -prescription in (5.22).

In region D foliated by de Sitter slices the coordinates (X  $_0$ ;X ) are given by (5.2) and we have that

$$(kX_0 kX) = kr (sinh cosh cos (i_k))$$
:

The expression for the plane waves then reads

$$e^{i(kX_{0} kX)} = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{cil}^{c+il} d \frac{(i)}{(sinh)} \int_{cosh}^{c} \cos(i) = \frac{1}{k} \int_{cil}^{c+il} d \frac{(i)}{(sinh)} \int_{cosh}^{c} \cos(i) = \frac{1}{k} \int_{cil}^{c} \int_{cil}^{c} \frac{(i)}{(sinh)} \int_{cosh}^{c} \frac{(i)}{(sinh)} \int_{cosh}^$$

The kernel appearing in (5.28) is singular and am biguous. The i in (5.28) provides the appropriate way to deal with the singularity in the kernel. The asymptotic behavior of such kernels is given by (5.13) and (5.14). Using those asymptotics we de ne the bulk to boundary propagator near the boundary at future in nity of the light-cone as follows

$$G^{\text{out}}(;;)^{0} = \frac{g()(i)^{d^{2}}}{(\sinh \cos \cos (i)^{0} + i)} :$$
 (5.29)

The bulk-boundary propagator near the boundary at past in nity is de ned as

$$G^{in}(;;)^{0} = \frac{g()(i)^{d}}{(\sinh + \cosh \cos (i)^{0}) i} : (5.30)$$

We should note that the -prescription used to de ne the decomposition of the plane waves (see (5.22) and (5.28)) is in general not analytic under the transformation (5.18) between regions A and D.We nd that modes  $e^{ikX}$  with  $k^0 > 0$  are analytic across the future light-cone while modes  $e^{ikX}$  with  $k^0 > 0$  are analytic across the past light-cone.

#### 5.3 Conform aloperators

The analysis of the previous subsection suggests that one can de ne the conform al operators as associated with the asymptotic (possibly, interacting in the bulk) in-and outquantum elds. For the out- eld the representation is

$$\lim_{l \to 1} (\mathbf{r}; ; ) = \frac{1}{2 \, i \, \frac{d}{2} \, i1} \, d \, (\prime < (\mathbf{r}; )_{out} O < () + \prime > (\mathbf{r}; )_{out} O > ()) : (5.31)$$

For the in- eld we have a similar representation in terms of the in-operators

$$\lim_{l=1} (\mathbf{r}; ;) = \frac{1}{2 \text{ i} \frac{d}{2} + \text{i}1} d ( (\mathbf{r}; )_{in} O^{>} ( ) + (\mathbf{r}; )_{in} O^{<} ( )) : (5.32)$$

The asymptotic modes which are right-moving as a function of on the ( $\log r_i$ )-plane are labelled by the subscript > while the left-moving modes are labelled by < . The functions

$$'^{<}(\mathbf{r}; ) = N^{-1}\mathbf{r} e ; '^{>}(\mathbf{r}; ) = N^{-1}\mathbf{i}^{-d}\mathbf{r} e^{(d)}$$
 (5.33)

form the basis of out-modes and the functions

$$(r; ) = N^{1}r e ; (r; ) = N^{1}i^{d}r e^{(d)}$$
 (5.34)

form the basis of the in-m odes on the (log r; )-plane. In the region A the respective m odes are those obtained from (5.33) and (5.34) by m eans of the analytic continuation (5.18). The conform aloperators so de ned are associated with the boundary of the light-cone at in nity and are independent of the side (A or D) from which the boundary is approached.

Since plays the role of time in the de Sitter foliation of M inkowski space it can be used to de ne positive and negative frequencies. Representing  $=\frac{d}{2} + i$  where is a real parameter we then nd that the positive frequency modes are  $\stackrel{>}{()}$ ,  $\stackrel{<}{()}$ ,  $\stackrel{<}{()}$ ,  $\stackrel{<}{()}$ , and  $\stackrel{>}{()}$ , all with positive. Inversion of the sign of (or equivalently taking the complex conjugate) then gives the negative-frequency modes. The constant N is given by

$$N = 2 \frac{d^2 p}{2} \frac{d}{2} d :$$

The positive frequency modes then are normalized as

$$(f;f_0) = 2 i ( ^0);$$
 (5.35)

where f stands for any of the positive frequency modes we de ned above. The inner product is given by the usual K lein-G ordon expression

$$(f;g) = (\cosh)^{d} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dr r^{d^{1}} f i g; \qquad (5.36)$$

where ! +1 for the out-operators and ! 1 for in-operators.

For the operators de ned in \$.31) and (5.32) we have that  $0^{<} = [0^{<}]$  and  $0^{>} = [0^{<}]$ . This implies that the in- and out-operators  $0^{<}$  and  $0^{>}$  couple to positive-frequency modes while  $0^{>}$  and  $0^{<}$  couple to negative-frequency modes. This de nition of the positive and negative frequencies is di erent from the de nition based on the global time coordinate X<sub>0</sub>. The di erence between two is reminiscent of the well-known relation between the R indler and M inkow skide nitions of time in R indler spacetime. The corresponding modes are related by a Bogoliubov transform. As is seen from (5.28) the modes which have positive frequency modes in the sense of the time-like vector  $0_{X_0}$  decompose onto both negative and positive frequency modes in the sense of de Sitter time vector 0. It would be interesting to understand better the implications of this observation. Note also that the de nition of the conform alloperators given in §.31) and (5.32) is reminiscent of the analysis of section 4.

The operation inverse to the spectral integration in (5.31), (5.32) is the direct M ellin transform  $\rm Z_{-1}$ 

$$(;) = \int_{0}^{2} dr r^{-1} (r;;)$$

so that (;) can be thought of as a eld living on de Sitter space with coordinates (;). On the other hand the kernels  $G^{in}$  (;;<sup>0</sup>) and  $G^{out}$  (;;<sup>0</sup>) given in (5.29) and (5.30) can be used to project<sup>k</sup> the eld (;) onto modes asymptotic to e and e<sup>(d)</sup>. These two operations can be used to derive the insertion of the in- and out-conform all operators in terms of the (in general interacting) bulk Feynman G reen's function in the form of an integral over a hypersurface of constant . For the out-operators it is the surface at = +1 while for the in-operators we should take the surface at = 1. The insertion

prescriptions are

< 
$$0j::_{Z_{1}^{in}O}^{<}()::jD > = (5.37)$$
  
 $\lim_{I \to 0} drr^{-1}N^{-1}i^{d} = d(^{0})(\cosh )^{d}D_{F}(::;(r;;^{0});::) e^{I}G_{d}^{in}(;;^{0})$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup>There are two terms in the asymptotic expansion of  $G^{\text{in}(\text{out})}$  (;;<sup>0</sup>), see (5.13) and (5.14). In order for the term with the delta-function to dominate we take  $Re = \frac{d}{2} + and take$  to zero afterwards.

and

< 
$$0j::_{jn}O^{>}()::jD>= (5.38)$$
  
 $\lim_{I \to 0} drr^{-1}N^{-1} d(^{0})(\cosh f^{d}D_{F}(::;(r;;^{0});::)e^{I}G^{in}(;;^{0})$ 

for the in-operators and

< 0 j:: 
$$_{Z_{1}}^{utO}$$
 () :: j0 > = (5.39)  

$$\lim_{l \to 1} drr^{-1}N^{-1} d (^{0}) (\cosh )^{d}G^{out} (;;^{0}) (\stackrel{l}{=} D_{F} (::; (r;;^{0});::)$$

and

< 
$$0j::_{Out}O^{>}()::jO>=$$
  
 $\lim_{Z_{1}^{+1}} drr^{1}N^{1}i^{d}$ 
  
 $\int_{S_{d}^{+}}^{Z} d(^{0})(\cosh)^{d}G_{d}^{out}(;;^{0})(e^{2}D_{F}(::;(r;;^{0});::))$ 
  
(5.40)

for the out-operators. Near the boundary  $(S^+ \text{ or } S^-)$  of the light-cone the coordinate r plays the role of a coordinate enumerating the de Sitter slices ending at the surface  $S^+$  (or S\_-). Thus, in the above form ulas an insertion e ectively takes place at each of the de Sitter slices separately, after which one sum s over all de Sitter slices labelled by r.

These expressions are very similar to the ones introduced in [7, 23] for the insertion of boundary conform all operators in the case of de Sitter space. The eld (;) obtained from (r;;) by the M ellin transform in the variable r describes a eld on de Sitter space with mass equal (d), so perhaps this is not too surprising. Nevertheless, it is interesting to observe that computing the on-shell action (as we attempted in section 4) is not very satisfactory, whereas the S-matrix approach in this section gives a concise proposal for a M inkow ski/CFT duality as in (5.41), just as in de Sitter space.

Inside the light-cone, in the region A, the insertions can be de ned in a similar way as in (5.38), (5.39), (5.40), (5.41). The appropriate surface is then the boundary at y = +1of each anti-de Sitter slice and one has to integrate over contributions of all such slices, which translates into an integral over negative t for the in-operators and over positive t for the out-operators. On an anti-de Sitter slice we could use the de nition of the conform al correlation functions built out of bulk-boundary propagators and truncated n-point Feynm an G reen's function on the slice, as fam iliar from AdS/CFT, see [5], [24]. Notice that even on the Anti-de Sitter slices com puting an on-shell action is not the right approach, because of the com plex values of the conform alweights, and therefore even on the AdS slices we should resort to an S-m atrix type approach like the one discussed in [25].

The integration over t adds up the contributions of all AdS slices. The explicit form of the insertions in region A can be obtained by applying the analytic transform ation (5.18) to (5.38)-(5.41).

It appears that correlation functions de ned in this way do not depend on the choice of region (inside or outside the light-cone) which is used to de ne the insertions and are therefore an intrinsic property of the boundary of the light-cone. The 2-point correlation functions between out- and in-operators are now found to be equal to

$$< 0j_{ut}O_{1}^{<}(1)i_{n}O_{2}^{>}(2)j_{0}> = 2 i (1 + 2 d)^{(d)}(1; 2)i_{0}^{d}N_{1}N_{2}F(2)(5.41)$$

$$< 0j_{out}O_{1}^{>}(1)i_{0}O_{2}^{<}(2)J > = 2 i (1 + 2 d)^{(d)}(1; 2)i^{d}N_{1}N_{2}F(1)(5.42)$$

$$<0_{jout}O_{1}^{(1)}(1)_{in}O_{2}^{(2)}(2)_{j}D>=2 \quad i \quad (1+2) \quad d) \quad \frac{i^{d} (2N_{1}N_{2})_{j}D_{2}(2)_{j}}{(1+\cos((1+2)))^{2}} \quad (5.43)$$

$$< 0 j_{\text{out}} O_{1}^{<}(1) in O_{2}^{<}(2) j > = 2 i (1 + 2 d) \frac{i^{d} N_{1} N_{2} D(1)}{(1 + \cos(1; 2))^{1}} : (5.44)$$

Thus, the set of CFT operators splits into two groups: operators  $0^{>}$  representing the right-m oving m odes and operators  $0^{<}$  representing the left-m oving m odes. The correlation functions of operators of the same group take a standard CFT form (5.43), (5.44) on a d-sphere. On the other hand, operators from di erent groups produce contact term s in the correlators (5.41), (5.42). Note that contact type correlation functions are also consistent with conform al invariance.

#### 5.4 S-m atrix

It is well-known that in M inkowski space the S-m atrix of interacting quantum elds can be reconstructed from bulk correlation functions via the so-called LSZ construction. A natural question arises whether it is possible to reproduce the S-m atrix by m eans of only the light-cone correlation functions of conform alloperators introduced in section 5.3. That this m ay be the case is suggested by the analysis m ade in de Sitter space [23] where it was shown that the de Sitter analog of an S-m atrix indeed can be presented in terms of CFT correlation functions between operators living at the in nite past and future of de Sitter space. In anti-de Sitter a sim ilar construction was introduced in [25].

In order to analyze this possibility we consider the one-particle amplitude whose LSZ form is given by a combination of integrating over two surfaces, one lying in the in nite past and another in the in nite future of M inkowski space 26],

$$\langle pjq \rangle = \frac{Z}{Z} \frac{dV(X)}{PZ} \int_{Z}^{Z} \frac{dV(Y)}{PZ} f_{q}(X) r_{X}^{2} D_{F}(Y;X) r_{Y}^{2} f_{p}(Y)$$

$$= \int_{Y_{0}! + 1}^{Z} d(Y) \int_{X_{0}! - 1}^{Z} d(X) n_{x} f_{q}(X) (P_{T}(Y;X)) r_{Y}^{2} f_{p}(Y) n_{y} ; (5.45)$$

where Z is the standard norm alization of the wave function and  $n_x$  is the norm al vector to the hypersurface in the in nite past while  $n_y$  is the norm alto the hypersurface in the in nite future. The functions  $f_k(X)$  are dened in §20) and form a basis of the set of asymptotic elds. We recall that in momentum space the asymptotic spatial momentum k is determined by its length k and angles f kg on a d-sphere so that k = k n (k).

For our purposes it is convenient to choose the asymptotic hypersurfaces in a dimensional error way. Namely, we denote the asymptotic future and past hypersurfaces by  $_{out} = fA : y = const ! 1 (t > 0)g [fD : = const ! 1 g and _{in} = fA : y = const ! 1 (t < 0)g [fD : = const ! 1 g : We see that these hypersurfaces are denoted in the vicinity of the boundary of the light-cone and consist of two components: one in region A and another in region D. As we show in Appendix B the plane waves <math display="inline">f_q(X)$  have the standard normalization with respect to the K lein-G ordon inner product denot at these hypersurfaces. Thus, out and in can be used to denot asymptotic states in the



Fig. 2: The asymptotic hypersurfaces in and out are de ned in a small vicinity of the past and future in nity of the light-cone respectively.

same fashion as the usual constant  $X_0$  surfaces. W ith this de nitions and these choices of asymptotic surfaces the LSZ form of the one-particle amplitude (5.45) is modiled into

$$\langle pjq \rangle = \int_{out}^{Z} \frac{d}{2} \int_{in}^{Z} \frac{d}{p} \int_{Z}^{0} f_{p}(X) (a_{n}^{!} D_{F}(X; X^{0})) (a_{n}^{!} f_{q}(X^{0})) :$$
 (5.46)

The asymptotic functions  $f_q(X)$  and  $f_p(Y)$  can be decomposed according to (5.28) in the region D and (5.22) in the region A. Inserting this decomposition into (5.46) and after some manipulations we recognize the two-point functions de ned in section 5.3. Consequently, the one-particle amplitude takes the form

$$\langle p \dot{p} q \rangle = \frac{p \overline{p q}}{(2 i)^2} \sum_{p \overline{2}}^{Z} \frac{d_1}{p \overline{2}} \sum_{p \overline{2}}^{Z} p^{-1} q^{-2} H(_1) H(_2)$$

$$\langle 0 \dot{j}_{out} O_{d_{-1}}^{<}(_p)_{in} O_{d_{-2}}^{>}(_{-q}) D \rangle ; \qquad (5.47)$$

where

H () = 
$$\frac{2^{\frac{d}{2}}}{p}$$
 i ( $\frac{d}{2}$ )  $\sin \frac{d}{2}$  (d 2)

and each -integration is from  $\frac{d}{2}$  il to  $\frac{d}{2}$  + il. The function  $f_p(X)$ , once expressed in terms of conform all operators, is not analytic across the future light-cone. The jump is  $i^{d-2} = i^{2-d}$ , which explains the appearance of  $\sin \frac{1}{2}$  (d = 2) in the function H (). The same is true for the function  $f_q(X)$  near the boundary at in nity of the past light-cone. For free elds the light-cone 2-point function in \$.47) is given by (5.41) and after the integration over  $_1$  and  $_2$  the usual expression

$$< pjq > = p^{d} (p q)^{(d)} (p; q)$$
 (5.48)

is reproduced. A lthough this calculation was performed for a free eld interaction is not expected to change the form of the conform alcorrelation function in the amplitude (5.47),

but only its norm alization. Therefore the angle part of the amplitude com es out in the same way as in (5.48) while the p-dependent pre-factor can be modiled. Note that this is also consistent with the restrictions in posed by bulk Lorentz invariance on the structure of the one-particle amplitude.

The generalization of (5.47) to m any-particle amplitudes is straightforward. It is im – portant to note that the amplitude (5.47) is given in terms of the contact type correlation functions of operators introduced in section 5.3.

In the construction of the scattering amplitudes (5.45) and (5.47) the positive and negative frequency modes of the asymptotic elds are de ned with respect to the vector

eld  $Q_0$  which is a global time-like vector in M inkowski space. Instead we could have chosen to use the time on a de Sitter slice to de ne the positive and negative frequency modes. The basis of asymptotic elds then is given by the functions (5.34) and (5.33). These modes can be used to form incoming (f) and outgoing (g) wavepackets as

$$f^{>}(\mathbf{r}; ;) = \frac{1}{2 i} d^{>}(\mathbf{r}; ;) f^{<}(\mathbf{r}; ;) = \frac{1}{2 i} d^{<}(\mathbf{r}; ;) f^{<}(\mathbf{r}; ;) = \frac{1}{2 i} d^{<}(\mathbf{r}; ;) f^{<}(\mathbf{r}; ;) f^{<}(\mathbf{r}; ;) = \frac{1}{2 i} d^{<}(\mathbf{r}; ;) f^{<}(\mathbf{r}; ;) f$$

where  $f^{>}$  ( ) (f^{<} ( )) and  $g^{>}$  ( ) (g^{<} ( )) are smooth functions on the spheres  $S_d$  and  $S_d^+$  respectively. In order to make sure that only positive frequency modes contribute to the wavepacket we demand that (provided that  $=\frac{d}{2}+i$ )  $f^{>}$  ( ) = 0 and  $f^{<}$  ( ) = 0 for > 0, with similar conditions on the envelope functions in the outgoing wavepacket. The operators  $b_f^{>}$  (<) and  $b_q^{>}$  (<) corresponding to these wavepackets are recovered from the

asymptotic free eld (5.31) (or (5.32)) by the standard form ulas

$$b_{f}^{2} = \int_{0}^{2} dr r^{d_{1}} d(r) (\cosh^{d} [f^{2}(r; r; r)]i(\theta_{in}^{2}(r; r; r)]i(\theta_{in}^{2}(r; r; r))]i(\theta_{in}^{2}(r; r))]i(\theta_{in}^{2}(r; r))]i(\theta_{in}^{2}(r; r))]i(\theta_{in}^{2}(r; r))]i(\theta_{in}^{2}(r; r))]i(\theta_{in}^{2}(r; r))]i(\theta_{in}^{2}(r; r))]i(\theta_{in}^{2}(r; r))]i(\theta_{$$

with similar expressions for the left-moving modes. The S-matrix elements for an incoming wavepacket  $f^{>}$  and an outcoming wavepacket  $g^{>}$  are dened by

$$S[g^{>};f^{>}] = \langle 0j_{g}^{>}b_{f}^{>y}j\rangle > :$$
 (5.51)

These matrix elements can be re-written in terms of 2-point correlation functions of the conform aloperators  $0^{>}$  as

$$S[g^{>};f^{>}] = \frac{1}{(2i)^{2}} \int_{a}^{z} \frac{d}{p} \frac{d}{z} \int_{a}^{a} \frac{d}{p} \frac{d}{z} \int_{a}^{a} \frac{d}{p} \frac{d}{z} \int_{a}^{a} \frac{d}{p} \int_{a}^{a} \frac{d}{p} \int_{a}^{a} \frac{d}{p} \int_{a}^{a} \frac{d}{p} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{d}{p} \int_{a}^{a} \frac{d}{p} \int_{a}^{a$$

A similar expression exists for the matrix element S  $[g^{<}; f^{<}]$ . This formula relates the matrix elements of the S-matrix with the light-cone conformal correlation functions of operators living on the asymptotic d-spheres. A generalization of this formula to many-particle amplitudes is straightforward. Our analysis suggests that the S-matrix approach to Q uantum Field Theory in M inkowski space can be re-formulated entirely in terms of the correlation functions of conformal operators living on the boundaries of the light-cone. This opens the possibility to md a truly holographic representation of the physics in M inkowski space. For such a holographic representation we should also consider, obviously, gravity in M inkowski space, to which we turn next.

## 6 A sym ptotic light-cone structure and conform al sym m etry

#### 6.1 A sym ptotic M inkow ski Spaces

In this section we consider a more general class of metrics which are not globally M inkowski but approach at space in a suitable asymptotic sense. In particular, we are interested in the asymptotic light-cone structure of the metric and the asymptotic symmetries associated to this structure.

First, we generalize the M inkowskim etric studied in the previous sections. It should approach the M inkowskim etric asymptotically in such a way that at in nity a slicing with constant curvature surfaces is appropriate. For concreteness we consider only the region A  $\cdot$  W e start with the following asymptotic form of the (d+2)-m etric

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} + t^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{4^{2}} (1 + t^{1} (t; ; )) + \frac{1}{g_{ij}} (t; ; )d^{i}d^{j} + 2t^{1}A_{i}(t; ; )d^{i}d^{i}$$
(6.1)

where norm al coordinates, in which the components  $G_t$  and  $G_{ti}$  vanish, have been used. The metric in parenthesis in (6.1) is the (d + 1)-metric on an Euclidean slice of constant t. Provided that the (d + 2)-metric is Ricci at, the t = const slice of the light-cone metric (6.1) should for large t approach a solution of the Einstein equations with negative cosm ological constant. Therefore, the choice of the metric (6.1) to the leading order in t is motivated by the general form of the negative constant curvature metric studied in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence [27]. The conform alloundary of a t = const slice is at = 0. For large t each function in (6.1) is assumed to have an expansion in powers of 1=t as well as in powers of <sup>1=2</sup>. For instance, the metric  $g_{ij}$  (t; ; ) can be expanded as

$$g_{ij}(t; ;) = q_{j}^{(0)}(;) + t^{1}g_{ij}^{(1)}(;) + ...;$$

which could also include logarithm ic terms of the form t  $^{n}$  (lnt)  $^{m}$  and each term in the t-expansion should be also expanded in terms of  $^{1=2}$ , e.g.

$$g_{ij}^{(\!n\,)}\left( \ \ ; \ \ \right)=\ g_{j}^{(\!n\,;0)}\left( \ \ \right)+\ g_{ij}^{(\!n\,;1)}\left( \ \ \right)^{1=2}+\ \ \ ; \ \ ;$$

where  $g_{ij}^{(0,0)}()$  is to be interpreted as the metric on the boundary d of the past lightcone. This boundary metric should be the same for all t = const slices. Expanding inst in powers of this condition says that the constant term in the expansion should not be a function of t, i.e.  $g_{ij}(t; ;) = g_{j}^{(0,0)}() + O(1^{1-2})$ . For large t this gives a restriction on the coe cients  $g_{ij}^{(n,0)}$ , namely only the one with n = 0 should be non-vanishing. We do the holography along the indirection so that no additional expansion in t-variable should be necessary. It is however technically more convenient to work with functions which have certain expansion in powers of 1=t. A bonus we get is extra di is expansion so which generate transform ations in the tild directions and change the metric to 1=t order. The BM S group will be a part of these di is expansion is.

W hen we analyze the bulk di eom orphisms that preserve the asymptotic form (6.1) we discrete the matrix of t

$$k^{k} = 0 ; = () ; k^{k} = k (; ) ;$$
 (6.2)

where () is some arbitrary function of , k (; ) has to satisfy

$$Q^{k} = \frac{1}{4}g_{(0)}^{ki}Q_{i} \quad () \quad : \qquad (6.3)$$

The action of this dieomorphism on (t; ;), g(t; ;) and  $A_i(t; ;)$  is then given by

$$L = () @ 22A_k g_{(0)}^{ki} @_i + {}^{i}@_i$$
(6.4)

L 
$$g_{ij} = ()(@g_{ij} g_{ij}) + \frac{2}{t}(A_i@_j + A_j@_i) + r_i^{(g)}_j + r_j^{(g)}_i$$
 (6.5)

L 
$$A_{i} = () ( QA_{i} + A_{i}) + \frac{t}{4} (Q_{i} - q_{ki}g_{(0)}^{kj}Q_{j}) + {}^{k}Q_{k}A_{i} + Q_{i}{}^{k}A_{k}$$
 (6.6)

Notice that although there appears to be a term (the one proportional to t) in the right hand side of (6.6) which destroys its asymptotic behavior, this is not really true because  $\mathfrak{g}_{i} \quad \mathfrak{g}_{i}\mathfrak{g}_{(0)}^{kj}\mathfrak{g}_{j}$  vanishes as 1=t in the limit where t ! 1 . One can see from (6.5) that the di econ orphism generated by 6.2) acts on the metric  $\mathfrak{g}_{ij}^{(0)}$  (; ) in the same way as the di econ orphism that was found in 28] to generate the asymptotic conform al structure of asymptotically Anti-de Sitter space. In particular, it acts on the metric  $\mathfrak{g}_{ij}^{(0,0)}$  (), the metric on the asymptotic boundary of the light-cone, as a conform al transform ation

L 
$$g_{ij}^{(0;0)} =$$
 () $g_{jj}^{(0;0)}$ : (6.7)

Thus, our analysis demonstrates that the conform all structure present on each AdS slice extends to the conform all structure associated with the boundary of the light-cone of (d + 2)-dimensional asymptotically M inkowski space-time.

The analysis done so far was restricted to the region A . A similar analysis can be done in the regions A<sub>+</sub> and D. In fact the conform all structure near the boundary  $_{\rm d}$  of the past light-cone is analytic across the light-cone and extends from region A to region D. This can be seen by introducing new light-cone coordinates  $u = \frac{t}{1-2}$ ,  $v = t^{1-2}$  so that

$$uv = t^2$$
;  $\frac{v}{u} =$  : (6.8)

In terms of these coordinates the past light-cone is dened by v = 0. The boundary d of the light-cone is now at u = 1. In terms of the new coordinates the metric (6.1) takes the light-cone form (for simplicity we discard the subleading 1=t-terms in the metric (6.1))

$$ds^{2} = dudv + u^{2}g_{ij}^{(0)}$$
 (;v=u)d<sup>i</sup>d<sup>j</sup> : (6.9)

The boundary of the light-cone is at u = 1, and the -expansion of the metric com - ponents now becomes an expansion in v=u. In the light-cone form (6.9) the metric is analytic across the past light-cone (at v = 0) and extends from A to D everywhere in the neighborhood of the boundary of the past-light cone.

The di com orphism 62 now takes the form

$$v = \frac{1}{2}v$$
 ();  $u = \frac{1}{2}u$  ();  $i = i(u;v;)$ : (6.10)

The form of the functions i(;u;v) is constrained by the equations

$$Q_{u}^{i} = \frac{v}{4u^{2}}g_{(0)}^{ik} (;v=u)Q_{k} ; Q_{v}^{i} = \frac{1}{4u}g_{(0)}^{ik} (;v=u)Q_{k} :$$
(6.11)

Since these are two equations there exists a consistency condition  $Q_u Q_v^{i} = Q_v Q_u^{i}$ . It reduces to a condition  $(vQ_v + uQ_u)g_{ij}^{(0)} = 0$  which does hold since the metric components in (6.9) are functions of v=u. One can see from (6.11) that <sup>i</sup> is a function of the ratio v=u of the light-cone coordinates. The set term <sup>i</sup><sub>(0)</sub> in the expansion of <sup>i</sup>(;v=u) near the boundary of the light-cone is proportional to v=u and solving (6.11) to this order we

nd that  $_{(0)}^{i}=\ \frac{v}{4u}g_{(0;0)}^{ik}{\tt Q}_{k}$  ( ).

The components  $g_{ij}^{(0)}$  (;v=u) transform under these di comorphisms according to

L 
$$g_{ij}^{(0)} =$$
 ()  $(v Q_{ij} g_{ij}^{(0)} u^{1} Q_{i} (u^{2} g_{ij}^{(0)})) + r_{i}^{(0)} j + r_{j}^{(0)} i$  (6.12)

Of course, equations (6.10), (6.11) and (6.12) are just the light-cone form of the previous equations (6.2), (6.3) and (6.5) that were written in terms of the coordinates (t; ). However, the analyticity of the conform al structure across the light-cone is not obvious in those coordinates.

W e conclude that there indeed exists a conform alsym m etry associated with the boundary of the light-cone in the m etric (6.1) or (6.9). This sym m etry is generated by a certain bulk di eom orphism 6.10), (6.11) and can be viewed as an extension of the conform al group existing on each EAdS slice to a sym m etry of the bulk.

#### 6.2 BM S

It should be noted that the group of all di eom orphism's preserving the structure of the metric (6.1) is larger than just (6.2) and includes also t-dependent di eom orphism's. The full analysis of di eom orphism's of this type is given in Appendix C.We also nd the generators of the well-known BMS group as a particular case of these t-dependent asymptotic transform ations. The vector generating these di eom orphism's is

$$t = f()^{1=2};$$

$$= \frac{2}{t}f()^{3=2};$$

$$i = \frac{1}{t}^{3=2}g_{(0;0)}^{ij}()@_{j}f():$$
(6.13)

The BM S group acts at a subleading order in the 1=t expansion and leaves the metric  $g_{ij}^{(0;0)}$  () on d unchanged. The BM S transform ations are the asymptotic symmetries of the class of metrics which approach M inkow skimetric near null in nity. Our asymptotic condition (6.1) is less restrictive and requires only that there is a light-cone structure near the surface d, which can be viewed as a single point in the null in nity. Therefore the group of asymptotic symmetries in our case is much larger than just BM S and in fact contains it as a subgroup. O f course, one may wonder whether the group of asymptotic symmetries and in particular the BM S group is actually a symmetries of the system.

#### 6.3 Symmetries of the dual theory

First we recall that a solution of the eld equations of a massless scalar eld admits on the de Sitter slices near = +1 a decomposition given in 5.31)

$$\lim_{l \to 1} (r;;) = \frac{1}{2 i \frac{d}{2} i l} d N^{-1}r e_{out} O^{<}() + i^{d}e^{(d)}_{out} O^{>}() :(6.14)$$

We have been applying holography in the -direction, resulting in the in nite set of operators  $_{out}O^{<}()$  and  $_{out}O^{>}()$ . Instead of working with an in nite set of operators parametrized by the continuous parameter , we could also have decided replace the continuous parameter by an extra coordinate, so that the dual theory seems to live in d+1 dimensions. One way to introduce such an extra coordinate is to de ne

(;) = 
$$\frac{1}{2 \text{ i}} \frac{Z \frac{d}{2} + iI}{Z \frac{d}{2} \frac{d}{2} + iI} = \frac{1}{2 \text{ out}} O^{<}$$
 (): (6.15)

and sim ilarly

> (;) = 
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{d}{2} + i1}{\frac{d}{2} - i1} d N^{-1} = i^{-d} out O^{-1}$$

(6.16)

In other words, the new coordinate arises by doing an inverse M ellin transform over the parameter . In constructing < p (;) we essentially did nothing but drop the leading exponential behavior in (6.14). Thus < p (;) describe the boundary behavior of as ! 1 and in that sense it is similar to how one de nes dual elds in AdS.

We have on purpose called the extra coordinate . It clearly is very similar to r, but to make clear that we really want to view it as a new coordinate we gave it a new name. It is actually also closely related to  $x_+$  that appears in (2.8). Indeed,  $r = (x_+)$ , and therefore < (;) describes the eld as a function of x if we identify  $x_+$  with . From this perspective it is also tempting to view the elds  $< i^>$  (;) as elds living on null in nity, and to view as the a ne null coordinate of null in nity, so that the dual theory would actually live on null in nity. As we will see, this interpretation is somewhat problem atic, but it would be interesting to study this further. On the other hand,  $r = (d - ) = (x_+) d^{d-2} (-x_-)^{d-2}$  and dropping the overall factor  $(x_+) d^{d-2}$  the operator > (;) can be considered as function of x. Since x is the a ne coordinate along the light-cone this suggests yet another interpretation of and of the dual theory.

We will now is study the action of the conform algroup, or equivalently, the Lorentz group SO (d + 1;1). To write the explicit form of these generators in the de Sitter coordinates (;r; ) it is convenient to combine the angles and r in a d + 1 component vector with components  $r_i$ , so that  $r^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{P} r_i^2$ , and so that the angles parametrize the unit sphere at r = 1. W ith this convention, the rotation and boost generators of M inkow ski space are

$$M_{ij} = r_{i}\frac{\theta}{\theta r_{j}} r_{j}\frac{\theta}{\theta r_{i}}$$

$$K_{i} = \frac{r_{i}}{r}\frac{\theta}{\theta} + \tanh r\frac{\theta}{\theta r_{i}} \frac{r_{i}}{r} r_{k} r_{k}\frac{\theta}{\theta r_{k}}$$
(6.17)

In order to determ ine the action of these generators on  $< \stackrel{\scriptstyle >}{
ightarrow}$  (; ), the strategy is to  $\;$ rst

nd the exact solution of the free eld equation with asymptotic behavior determined by  $<^{\flat}$  (;), e.g. using a bulk-boundary propagator, to act with the bulk generators (6.17) on the exact solutions and to extract the new asymptotic behavior ( $^{\circ}$ ) (;). Luckily for the generators (6.17) we can work with the asymptotic behavior only and we do nd that

$$M_{ij} = \frac{\dot{\theta}_{j}}{\dot{\theta}_{j}} \frac{\dot{\theta}_{i}}{\dot{\theta}_{i}}$$

$$K_{i}^{<} = -\frac{i}{\theta} \frac{\dot{\theta}_{i}}{\theta} + \frac{\dot{\theta}_{i}}{\theta} - \frac{i}{\kappa} \frac{\chi}{\kappa} \frac{\dot{\theta}_{i}}{\theta}$$

$$K_{i}^{>} = -\frac{i}{\theta} \frac{d}{\theta} \frac{\dot{\theta}_{i}}{\theta} + \frac{\dot{\theta}_{i}}{\theta} - \frac{i}{\kappa} \frac{\chi}{\kappa} \frac{\dot{\theta}_{i}}{\theta}$$
(6.18)

where as we did above we combined the angles and into a d + 1 component vector  $^{i}$  with  $^{2} = ^{P}_{i}$ , and K  $_{i}^{<}$  describes the action of the boost generators on  $^{<}$  (;), and similarly for K  $_{i}^{>}$  and  $^{>}$  (;).

The form of the generators (6.18) is consistent with the fact that the operators () are conform all operators with conform all weights or d . It is interesting to observe that the generators (6.18) are simple di erential operators when acting on  $\langle i \rangle$  (; ). Therefore, they give rise to W ard identities for correlation functions in the dual theory. For example, a two point function of the form

$$h^{<}(_{1};_{1})^{<}(_{2};_{2})i$$
 (6.19)

should be annihilated by  $K_{i;1}^{<} + K_{i;2}^{<}$ . In conformal eld theory the conformal W ard identities x the form of a two-point function up to normalization, but here it only xes it up to one unknown function. Indeed, one can show that the W ard identities in ply that (6.19), up to contact term s, is of the form

$$h^{<}(_{1};_{1})^{<}(_{2};_{2})i = f_{<}(_{12}) i = f_{<}(_{12}) f_{<}(_{12}(1 \cos (_{1};_{2})))$$
(6.20)

with some unknown function  $f_{<}$ . In terms of the operators () the corresponding statement is that the two-point function is proportional to  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , but with a -dependent normalization, so that also in that formulation there is a function of one variable undetermined.

Similarly, one nds that (again up to contact term s)

$$h^{<}(_{1;1})^{>}(_{2;2})i = _{2}^{d}f(_{12}^{1}(1 \cos (_{1;2})))$$
(6.21)

and

$$h^{>}(_{1};_{1})^{>}(_{2};_{2})i=(_{1}_{2})^{d}f_{>}(_{1}^{1}_{2})^{1}(1 \cos (_{1};_{2}))):$$
 (6.22)

To determ ine the form of the functions f,  $f_{>}$  and  $f_{<}$  one needs further input. A typical form of a two-point function is obtained by for example taking the st term proportional to A () in (5.15), and by dropping the e (+ 0) that appears there. The integral over can be done explicitly, using the fact that the inverse M ellin transform of (a + z) (b z) is  $(a + b)x^{a}(1 + x)^{a}b$  [29]. This results

$$b0^{<}(_{1};_{1})0^{>}(_{2};_{2})i=_{2}^{d}1+\frac{1}{2}(1\cos(_{1};_{2}))$$
(6.23)

which is very similar to the asymptotic form of the Feynman propagator in coordinate space as  $;^{0}! 1$ . Similarly, we not that

$$h0^{>}(_{1};_{1})0^{>}(_{2};_{2})i=(_{1}_{2})^{d}\frac{(_{1}_{2})^{d=2}}{(1 \cos (_{1};_{2}))^{d=2}}:$$
(6.24)

Note, that calculating the correlation function (6.24) with the help of the inverse M ellin transform of product of two G am m a functions the result would contain the product of the delta function  $^{(d)}(_{1};_{2})$  and (0). This product, how ever, with the help of A 2) can be recognized as the kernel (1  $\cos(_{1};_{2}))^{d=2}$ .

The Lorentz or conform algenerators (6.17) took a very simple form (6.18) when expressed in the new coordinate . A crucial ingredient in the derivation of 6.18) was the fact that we were considering a massless scalar eld. In general we would expect the conform almultiplet and the action of the conform algenerators to depend on the

eld under consideration. For example, we can consider a massive scalar eld with its decomposition given in term s of M acD on ald functions in (3.11). Unfortunately, there does not seem to be a simple rst order di erential operator with eigenvalue when acting on K (M). Therefore, the massive generalization of (6.18) will be very complicated. The mode functions  $_{\rm m}$  (x) in (3.11) are still solutions of the massive eld equations on the AdS/dS slices, and the conform all group still acts in a simple way on these modes. We therefore believe that ultimately the description in terms of an in nite number of conform all elds is more useful than the description in terms of the additional coordinate

Besides Lorentz invariance, the bulk theory is also translationally invariant. Since our construction relies on a choice of light-cone, which is not invariant under translations, we may expect that translational invariance is not very manifest in our fram ework. Perhaps this is what is to be expected for a holographic dual description of M inkow ski space, which is inherently non-local and employs one dimension less.

The explicit form of the translation generators, written in the same coordinates as used in (6.17), reads

$$P^{0} = \frac{\cosh}{r} \frac{\theta}{\theta} - \frac{\sinh}{r} \frac{X}{r} r_{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta r_{k}}$$

$$P^{i} = \frac{r_{i}}{r} \frac{\sinh}{r} \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \frac{1}{r \cosh} r \frac{\theta}{\theta r_{i}} + \frac{r_{i}}{r^{2}} \frac{\sinh^{2}}{\cosh} \frac{X}{r} r_{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta r_{k}}; \quad (6.25)$$

Consider now the first term in 6.14), i.e. the one involving O  $^<$  ( ). Acting with P  $^0$  on this we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2 \text{ i} \frac{d}{2} \text{ i}} d \text{ N}^{-1} () \text{ r}^{-1} \text{ e}^{(+1)} \text{ out} \text{ O}^{<} ():$$
(6.26)

If we would drop the e (+1) exponentials, we would from this deduce that

$$P^{0} < (;) = \frac{\theta}{\theta} < (;):$$
 (6.27)

However, this answer cannot be correct. For example, any two-point function of the form (6.20) cannot be annihilated by  $P_1^0 + P_2^0$  unless it vanishes identically. The m istake we

m ade is to work only with the asymptotic form of the elds, neglecting subleading pieces that are necessarily there in the exact solution to the eld equation. Subleading terms can m ix with the result in (6.26), and this changes the action of  $P^0$ . We have not worked out the full detailed form of  $P^0$  and  $P^i$ , but a useful perspective on these operators is obtained by looking at plane waves in the decom position given in (3.7) and in particular in (5.28). Given a plane wave with m om entum k, we denote the associated ; -dependent

elds by  $W_k^{<,>}$  (;). One can work out the explicit form of these elds. One nds that  $W_k^>$  (;) is some function of  $k_k$  times <sup>(d)</sup> ( $_k$ ;), where as before  $_k$  indicates the point on the d-sphere given by the d + 1 dimensional unit vector  $k_i = k_0$  (recall that we are still discussing massless scalar elds here). On the other hand, we have that

$$W_{k}^{<}(;) = \exp \frac{i}{2} (k_{0} \qquad X_{i i}):$$
 (6.28)

This shows that P<sup>0</sup> cannot be equal to 0=0 . A plane wave has P<sup>0</sup> eigenvalue ik<sub>0</sub>, whereas 0=0 acting on 6.28) has eigenvalue

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta} W_{k}^{<} (;) = \frac{1}{2} (k_{0} \qquad X_{k \perp i}):$$
(6.29)

There is no simple operator for which (628) has eigenvalue  $ik_0$ . Therefore, the easiest way to study translation invariance would be to rewrite the elds < (; ) in terms of momentum eigenstates such as (628), in terms of which translation invariance simply boils down to momentum conservation. Incidentally, the elds 628) are, up to a factor of two, simply the restriction of a plane wave to the light-cone. This suggest yet another interpretation for , namely as a null coordinate along the light-cone. Since all AdS and dS slices asymptote to the light cone, this is perhaps the most natural interpretation of .

A further input on the possible structure of the translations can be gained from the looking at the explicit form of the conform all operators (5.25) given in section 5.2. We not that

where in the second line we take explicitly the integral over . Under the time translation  $X_0 \, ! \, X_0 + b$  the creation/annihilation operators (5.19) transform as a (k; \_k)  $! e^{ikb}a(k; _k), a^+(k; _k) ! e^{ikb}a^+(k; _k)$ . This induces a transform ation for the operators  $^{>}(;;),$ 

$$(;)! > (;) = (+b) = (+b) = (+b;) : (6.31)$$

The two-point function of the operators (6.30) is given by (6.24) and is obviously invariant under (6.31). A sim ilar analysis for the <-operators gives rise to the form

$$< (;) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dk k^{d_{1}=2} d_{k}(k) \left( e^{\frac{ik_{2}}{2}(1 \cos(k))} a_{k}(k; k) + e^{\frac{ik_{2}}{2}(1 \cos(k))} a_{k}^{*}(k; k) \right) (6.32)$$

However the explicit way of how translations act on the operators (6.32) is not transparent. The problem of nding this transform ation eventually boils down to the above mentioned problem of nding the operator for which the exponent 6.28) would have an eigenvalue ik.

Besides translations, there are in nitely m any other operators in the BM S group which are probably also very complicated; naively they seem to act on elds < (; ) as f ()0, but as the example of P<sup>0</sup> demonstrated, this is not quite correct. In addition the BM S generators are not exact symmetries of M inkowski space, and we therefore do not expect them to annihilate the vacuum state of the dual theory, and for the same reason we also do not expect them to give rise to new W ard identities. At best they provide a set of spectrum generating operators, but m ore work is needed to verify whether this is indeed the case or not. A ctually, this applies to all asymptotic symmetries described in the beginning of this section, not just to the BM S operators.

## 7 Gravitational holographic description

#### 7.1 General rem arks

In section 4 of this paper we have seen how for a scalar eld in M inkowski space a dual description emerges by rst reducing the scalar eld to a set of massive elds on a the constant curvature (de Sitter or anti-de Sitter) slices of space-time. In this section we will attempt to make a rst step towards generalizing this duality to the gravitational

eld. The eld equations in this case are the usual non-linear E instein equations (with vanishing cosm ological constant)

$$R = 0;$$
 (7.1)

which de ne a Ricci- at space-time, which appears to be the appropriate generalization of at M inkowski space. Equation (1) should be solved subject to suitable boundary conditions for the metric. We choose so-called norm al coordinates in which the metric has the form

$$ds^{2} = dr^{2} + r^{2}g_{ij}(x;r)dx^{i}dx^{j} :$$
 (7.2)

Notice that for concreteness we consider the region analogous to the region D of M inkowski space, where the coordinate r plays the role of a radial coordinate and the m etric  $g_{ij}(x;r)$  is assumed to have an asymptotic expansion in powers of 1=r. The coordinates  $fx^{i}g$ ; i = 1;::;d+1 are the coordinates on the slices, in the previous section we had  $x^{i} = f$ ; g.

The bulk di eom orphisms preserving the form (72) are generated by a vector eld = (r; i) such that

$${}^{r} = (x); {}^{i} = {}^{i}(x;r)$$

$${}^{\theta_{r}}{}^{i} = \frac{1}{r^{2}}g^{ij}(x;r){}^{\theta_{j}}(x): \qquad (7.3)$$

The metric components change according to

$$L g_{ij}(x;r) = (x)r^{2}\theta_{r}(r^{2}g_{ij}) + r_{i}^{(g)} + r_{j}^{(g)} i :$$
(7.4)

The rst term  $q_j^{(0)}(x)$  in the expansion of  $g_{ij}(x;r)$  is the metric on the asymptotic space-like boundary of space-time. In analogy with the AdS/CFT correspondence one

could try to keep the metric  $g_{ij}^{(0)}(x)$  xed and one could try to solve the bulk E instein equations subject to this D irichlet condition. However, in the present case when the bulk metric is determined by equation (7.1) the metric  $g_{ij}^{(0)}(x)$  is not arbitrary but has to satisfy (see [30], [31], [32])

$$R_{ij}[g^{(0)}] = dg^{(0)}_{ij}$$
 : (7.5)

This means that the boundary at space-like in nity is described by an Einstein metric of constant positive curvature.

P rovided that a metric  $g_{ij}^{(0)}(x)$  satisfying (7.5) is xed one can try to develop the 1=r expansion of the metric (7.2) and determ ine the other terms in the expansion of  $g_{ij}(x;r)$ . To some extent this was analyzed in [20] and it was found that the relation between the coe cients in the expansion of  $g_{ij}(x;r)$  is dimensional. This is dimensional to determ what happens in asymptotically Anti-de Sitter space, where the relations are algebraic [27]. This makes it dimensional to determ ine the coe cients in the series provided the metations and to determ ine the coe cients in the series provided the metation  $g_{ij}^{(0)}(x)$  is given.

However, the holographic point of view we are trying to develop in this paper is not based on xing boundary data at spatial in nity. It is based on xing an in nite set of data near the boundaries of the light-cone, and the holographic reduction takes place along the anti-de Sitter slices, and not along the coordinate r. Thus, what we should really try to is to take the metric in the form (6.1), and to study the eld equations for thism etric in this form. Ideally, there should exist some separation of variables that allows us to separate the r-dependence from the dependence on the other coordinates, just as we did for the massless scalar eld. In view of the non-linearity of the E instein equations it is not clear exactly how we should separate the r-dependence, but assum ing we have achieved this the r-dependence will be gone and it will be replaced by a dependence on some spectral parameter . The boundary data will then involve quantities such as  $i (), q_j ()$  and  $A_i' ()$ . The holographic reconstruction of the metric now requires us to determ ine the

-dependence, and those equations are indeed algebraic. We have a more detailed study of these issues to future work and lim it ourselves here to the discussion of one example, namely the relatively simple case of (2 + 1)-dimensional M inkowski space.

#### 7.2 Example: (2+1)-dim ensional M inkow ski space-tim e

In three space-time dimensions the Riemann tensor is algebraically related to the Ricci tensor. This property was used in [33] to nd a general solution to the three-dimensional E instein equations with a negative cosm ological constant.

In the case at hand, the fact that the R icci tensor vanishes im plies that the R iem ann tensor vanishes as well,

$$R = 0;$$
 (7.6)

i.e. space-time is locally at which is of course a well-known fact in three dimensions. This is a great simplication in solving 7.1 and in fact allows us to indian exact solution in a way similar to the analysis given in [33].

By introducing the variable  $_{ij}(x;r) = r^2 g_{ij}(x;r)$  the equation (7.6) for the metric (7.2) reduces to the following set of equations

$$^{\infty} = \frac{1}{2} {}^{0} {}^{1} {}^{0} ; \qquad (7.7)$$

$$R_{likj}[] = \frac{1}{4} \begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 \\ ij & lk \end{array} + \frac{1}{4} \begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 \\ ik & lj \end{array} ;$$
(7.8)

$$r_{k}^{()} {}_{jj}^{0} r_{j}^{()} {}_{ik}^{0} = 0 ;$$
 (7.9)

where we denote  ${}^0 = 0_r$ . Dierentiating equation 7.7) once again with respect to rwend that

000 = 0 :

Hence is a quadratic function of r, i.e.

$$(x;r) = r^2 g_{(0)} + r g_{(1)} + g_{(2)}$$
 : (7.10)

Inserting this back into equation (7.7) we distinct that

$$g_{(2)} = \frac{1}{4} g_{(1)} g_{(0)}^{1} g_{(1)}$$
(7.11)

so that (7.10) can be written as a total square

$$(x;r) = r^2 1 + \frac{1}{2r}g_{(1)}g_{(0)}^1 g_{(0)} 1 + \frac{1}{2r}g_{(0)}^1g_{(1)}$$
:

Next, to solve equation (7.8) we use the following identity, valid in two dimensions, that relates the Riem ann tensor to the Ricci scalar,

$$R_{likj}[] = \frac{1}{2}R[](_{kl ij} _{jl ki})$$
:

Inserting (7.10) into eq.(7.8), expanding in powers of 1=r and using the above identity we nd in the leading order that

$$R[g_{(0)}] = 2$$
; (7.12)

i.e. the space at in nity is two-dimensional de Sitter space. This is a particular manifestation of the general behavior (7.5) of the asymptotically at metric. At the next order in 1=r equation (7.8) reduces to a single equation

$$r_{(0)}^{i}r_{(0)}^{j}g_{(1)ij}$$
  $r_{(0)}^{2}Trg_{(1)} = 0$  : (7.13)

On the other hand, equation (7.9) is trivially satis ed to the leading order and in the next order gives rise to the equation

$$r_{(0)}^{k}g_{(1)ki} = Q_{i}Trg_{(1)}$$
 : (7.14)

We see that (7.13) is a consequence of (7.14). The equations (7.12) and (7.14) are the only constraints to be imposed on the terms in the expansion (7.10).

Notice that equation (7.14) means that the tensor  $g_{(1)ij} = g_{(0)ij}Trg_{(1)}$ , like a stressenergy tensor, is covariantly conserved with respect to the metric  $g_{(0)ij}$ . In fact, according

Hereafter we use  $g_{(0)}$  to de ne the trace, e.g.  $\operatorname{Trg}_{(1)} = \operatorname{Tr}(g_{(0)}^{-1}g_{(1)})$ .

to the prescription of B rown and Y ork [34] the quasi-local stress-energy tensor of a spacetime is dened on the boundary (placed at a xed value of r which then should be taken to in nity) as a variation of the gravitational action with respect to the boundary metric and takes the form

$$T_{ij}^{\text{bound}}[] = \frac{1}{8 \text{ G}} (K_{ij} \quad K_{ij})$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{8 \text{ G}} 2rg_{ij} + r^2 (\theta_r g_{ij} \quad g_{ij} \text{Tr} (g^{-1} \theta_r g)) : (7.15)$ 

This expression is divergent when r is taken to in nity. This can be thought of as a UV divergence in the theory on de Sitter space. It can be regularized by adding a local counterterm

$$T_{ij}^{ct}[] = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{G} \frac{1}{r}_{ij} :$$
 (7.16)

The total stress tensor de ned as the sum of the two contributions,

$$T_{ij}[] = T_{ij}^{bound} + T_{ij}^{ct}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{8 \text{ G}} (g_{(1)ij} \quad g_{(0)ij} Trg_{(1)})$  (7.17)

then has a nite limit when r is taken to in nity and this limit reproduces the expression in the second line of (7.17). We see that up to a factor the stress tensor  $T_{ij}$  is exactly the tensor which enters (7.14) and thus should be covariantly conserved with respect to the metric  $g_{ij}^{(0)}$ ,

$$r_{(0)}^{j}T_{ij} = 0$$
 : (7.18)

In the remainder of the discussion we will use units in which 8 = 1.

A lthough empty (2 + 1)-space-time is locally at it becomes curved in the presence of matter. For instance, a point particle creates —like conical singularity distributed along the particle world line. The stress-energy tensor (7.17) then determines the energy and angular momentum of the matter as measured at space-like in nity. In terms of  $T_{ij}$  we have that

$$g_{ij}^{(1)} = T_{ij} + g_{ij}^{(0)} TrT$$
 : (7.19)

Taking into account (7.10) and (7.11) we see that the boundary stress tensor  $T_{ij}(x)$  and the boundary metric  $g_{ij}^{(0)}(x)$  subject to constraint (7.12) completely determ ine the bulk metric satisfying the Ricci- at equation 7.1) in three dimensions. This is similar to what we had in the case of negative cosm obgical constant in three dimensions [33]. The dimension the boundary metric arises the trace of the boundary stress tensor is accound no constraint on the boundary metric arises. The stress tensor on the de Sitter boundary of asymptotically M inkowski space-time should be covariantly conserved with respect to the metric  $g_{ij}^{(0)}$  but is otherwise arbitrary. In particular, there is no restriction on the trace of  $T_{ij}$ . This would be quite natural if we were doing a holographic reduction in the radial direction, since then  $T_{ij}$  should represent a stress tensor of a boundary theory which is holographically dual to a theory in the bulk. But we emphasize once more that this not the type of holographic reduction we are attempting to do in this paper.

To the leading order the r-dependent di eom orphism (7.3) is generated by the vector eld

$$r = (x)$$
;  $i = \frac{1}{r}g_{(0)}^{ij} \theta_j$  (x); (7.20)

where (x) is arbitrary function on the de Sitter boundary. The boundary metric  $g_{ij}^{(0)}$  remains unchanged under this transform ation while  $g_{ij}^{(1)}$  transforms as

$$L g_{ij}^{(1)} = (x)g_{ij}^{(0)} + r_i^{(0)}r_j^{(0)} :$$
 (7.21)

This transform ation acts as

$$T_{ij} = r_{i}^{(0)} r_{j}^{(0)} + g_{ij}^{(0)} r_{(0)}^{2} + g_{ij}^{(0)}$$
(7.22)

on the stress tensor  $T_{ij}$ . It is easy to check that the rhs. in (7.22) is covariantly conserved on a two-dimensional space-time of constant curvature (7.12). It can be obtained by varying the following \dilaton-gravity" boundary action

$$W = d^{2}x^{p} \overline{g_{(0)}} \quad (x) \ (R \ [g_{(0)}] \ 2) \tag{7.23}$$

with respect to the metric. Note also that the variation of (7.23) with respect to (x) gives rise to the equation (7.12).

Let us now show that on de Sitter space the stress tensor  $T_{ij}$  can be determined by solving (7.18) provided its trace is some known function

$$TrT = C(x)$$
 : (7.24)

W e choose the m etric

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} (dz^{2} + d^{2}) = \frac{4}{(x_{+} - x_{-})^{2}} dx_{+} dx \quad ; \qquad (7.25)$$

where  $x_{+} = + z$ , x = z, to describe the two-dimensional de Sitter space. This coordinate system covers half of de Sitter space, the boundary then being at z = 0. The trace equation (7.24) then determines the (+ ) component of the stress tensor

$$T_{+} = \frac{1}{(x_{+} - x_{-})^{2}} C (x_{+}; x_{-}) : \qquad (7.26)$$

From (7.18) we obtain a couple of di erential equations

$$Q T_{++} = \frac{1}{(x_{+} - x_{-})^{2}} Q_{+}C ; Q_{+}T = \frac{1}{(x_{+} - x_{-})^{2}} Q_{+}C : (7.27)$$

A solution can be easily found and it takes the form

$$T_{++}(x_{+};x_{-}) = f(x_{+}) \qquad \frac{Z_{-x}}{(x_{+}-v)^{2}} e_{+} C(x_{+};v)$$
$$T_{-}(x_{+};x_{-}) = h(x_{-}) \qquad \frac{Z_{-x_{+}}}{(x_{-}-v)^{2}} \frac{du}{(x_{-}-v)^{2}} e_{-} C(u;x_{-}); \qquad (7.28)$$

where f (x ) and h (x<sub>+</sub>) are arbitrary functions of x and x<sub>+</sub> respectively. The boundary stress tensor (7.26), (7.28) and eventually the bulk metric are determined by specifying the functions f (x<sub>+</sub>), h (x ) and C (x<sub>+</sub>; x ). Let us now return to the holographic interpretation of this solution. The central idea proposed in this paper is to reduce everything to holographic data on the boundary of the light-cone, which in the present case is a circle located at z = 0 and parametrized by the angle coordinate . Thus, from the point of view of the holographic reconstruction it is in portant to see what the minimal data is that we need to x on this circle in order to reconstruct the full (2 + 1)-dimensional spacetime. We see that the values of the functions f (x<sub>+</sub>) and h (x) at late times z are completely determined by their values at z = 0, i.e. f () and h (). On the other hand, the function C (x<sub>+</sub>; x) seem s to require an in nite set of functions to be specied at z = 0, namely all coe cients in the expansion

$$C(x_{+};x) = \int_{n=0}^{X^{2}} C_{n}(z) z^{n};$$
 (7.29)

where  $=\frac{1}{2}(x_{+} + x)$  and  $z = \frac{1}{2}(x_{+} - x)$ . However, most of this in nite set of functions can be gauged away by the coordinate transformation (7.20). As is seen from (7.22) this transformation parametrised by

$$(x_{+};x_{-}) = \int_{n=0}^{\frac{1}{2}} n(-)z^{n}$$

transforms the function C (x<sub>+</sub>;x). Speci cally, the coe cients in the expansion  $7\!\!\!/\,29)$  transform as follows

$$C_{n}() = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ n & 2 \end{bmatrix} (n - 2) (n + 1)_{n}() :$$
 (7.30)

We see that the parameter function  $(x_+;x)$  contains enough freedom to eliminate almost all functions  $C_n()$  with one exception: the same parameter  $_0()$  is used to transform both  $C_0()$  and  $C_2()$  so that one can not eliminate both. In fact the combination

$$C() = C_2() 2C_0^{(0)}()$$

is invariant under the transform ation, c() = 0. Thus, the functions c() together with f() and h() form the only independent and \gauge-invariant" set of data which should be speci ed at the boundary of the light-cone. These data are su cient to holographically reconstruct the whole three-dimensionalmetric. A ctually, this is a quite subtle statement. A symptotic die on orphisms do not in general extend to smooth die on orphisms of the entire space, and acting with themetypically generates singularities. The die on orphism s used to reduce the in nite set of functions  $C_n()$  to just one function of are presumably of this form. Therefore, if we are interested in smooth solutions, it is probably better to work with the in nite set  $C_n()$  instead. For this in nite set the existence of a globally smooth solution is obviously also not guaranteed, and more work is required to determ ine which sets of  $C_n()$  correspond to smooth solutions.

We nish this section with a comment on a RG interpretation of the (2+1)-dimensional metric. A swe have seen above, if we view ras the direction in which we do the holographic reduction the standard interpretation of the radial position r of the boundary is as a UV

cut-o in the boundary theory. The dependence of the metric on r can be viewed as some kind of RG - ow. In this respect it is interesting to see whether this ow is irreversible so that some kind of analog of the C-theorem could be found. Remarkably the ow, as it is dictated by the bulk E instein equation (7.1), is indeed irreversible. In order to see this, we consider the quasi-local stress tensor (7.15) and exam ine how its trace computed with respect to the induced metric  $_{ij}$  changes as a function of r. U sing equation (7.7) we methat

$$\theta_{r} TrT^{bound} = \frac{1}{2} Tr( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} < 0 :$$
(7.31)

However, it is not clear whether the bulk gravitational dynamics drives the ow to a xed point which would correspond to a conform al eld theory on de Sitter boundary of M inkowski space. In any case this is not the point of view we want to pursue further.

### 8 Conclusion

In this paper we have proposed a holographic reduction of M inkow ski space. The central idea was to perform a holographic reduction along the Euclidean anti-de Sitter and de Sitter slices in which M inkow ski space is naturally foliated. The end product is that we obtained an in nite set of conform al operators on the two spheres that form the conform al boundary of the light-cone. For a massless scalar eld in the bulk we gave a precise de nition of a M inkowski/CFT duality which also extends to interacting elds. We have mainly considered cases where we took all points either inside Euclidean anti-de Sitter space, or inside de Sitter space, but the results in this paper can be extended to the m ixed case as well.

It is perhaps worth pausing for a moment to see what we have learnt about de Sitter space holography from this approach. The set lesson seems to be that the natural conform alweights to emerge on de Sitter space are complex ones. Since a massless scalar

eld in M inkowski space describes a unitary theory, and carries a unitary representation of the Lorentz group, the corresponding theory on de Sitter space and its holographic dual should also be unitary. All this is evidence in favor of the picture of the dual of de Sitter space advocated in [17]. There, it was proposed that the dual CFT has non-standard herm iticity conditions, such that complex conform al weights do not violate unitarity, and SO (d + 1;1) is unitarily realized. In fact, there are two CFT's, one living on each boundary, and full correlation functions are given by evaluating them in the product theory in a suitable entangled state. This entangled state is the analogue of the S-m atrix, and the set of correlation functions on each of the spheres at in nity represents the in and out H ilbert spaces.

C learly, it should also be possible to study otherm anifolds that can be sliced in (anti)de Sitter slices, and to study holography in those cases. It would also be interesting to study e.g. anti-de Sitter space in the Poincare patch, to view this as a slicing with M inkow ski slices and to see if the known holography for anti-de Sitter space is consistent with our proposed M inkow ski space holography.

A further extension and a crucial test of our idea would be to study the Schwarzschild metric, and to see how the entropy of the Schwarzschild black hole is rejected in the dual CFT. For this, we should not further develop the extension of our method to the gravitational eld, for which only a few institutes were made in sections 6,7. Especially, it

is not yet clear exactly which data at in nity we should specify in order to reconstruct the bulk geometry, and whether this data allows for an interpretation in terms of an in nite set of stress-energy tensors.

One can imagine many other extensions. We have only brie y considered massive scalar elds, and it would be desirably to understand these better. Another thing that comes to mind is a supersymmetric generalization (or a generalization to string theory). Since supersymmetries close into translation generators and we already saw that translation symmetry does not take on a very simply form, we suspect that it will also be di cult to keep supersymmetry manifest in the formulation. The fact that translation invariance is implemented in such a cumbersome way is perhaps to be expected for a holographic dual description of M inkow ski space, but it would be nice to have a clearer understanding of this point.

Even though free massive particles will not approach the boundary of the light-cone, but rather go to timelike in nity, we still saw that it is possible to describe them in terms of data on the boundary of the light cone. The situation gets even more confusing for strongly interacting degrees of freedom, such as those that appear in con ning gauge theories, and for which the LSZ form alism does not seem appropriate. We nevertheless still expect that the physics of such degrees of freedom will be captured by suitable data on the two spheres that form the boundary of the light-cone.

O focurse, we are not living in M inkow ski space, and it is an even m ore urgent question to nd a holographic dual description of a realistic time-dependent cosm ological solution. N evertheless, M inkow ski space is still a good approxim ation form any physical questions, and understanding M inkow ski space is de nitely a step in the right direction. Incidentally, four-dimensional M inkow ski space reduces in this philosophy to a theory on two twospheres, which have an in nite dimensional conform al symmetry, and this may lead to an interesting role of the V irasoro algebra for four-dimensional physics.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS We would like to thank V.Balasubramanian, C.Hull, N.Lambert, D.Minic, H.Reall, K.Skenderis, M.Taylor-Robinson and E.Verlinde for discussions.S.S.would like to thank ITFA for hospitality during nal stage of this work. Research of S.S. is supported by the grant DFG-SPP 1096, Stringtheorie. Appendix

## A K ernels and delta-functions

The kemel

$$(\cosh y \sinh y \cos (; ^0))$$

produces a delta-function concentrated at  $= {}^{0}$  when y ! 1. In order to see this and get the precise factor we take  ${}' {}^{0}$  and approximate cos (;  ${}^{0}$ )  ${}' 1 {}^{2}=2$ . In the limit of large y the kernel takes the form

$$\frac{2^2 e^{y}}{(^2 + 4e^{-2y})} :$$

By introducing the small parameter  $= 2e^{y}$  this can be brought in the form

$$2^{d} e^{(d)y} \frac{2^{d}}{(2^{2}(; 0) + 2^{2})};$$
;

where the term in the parenthesis generates the delta-function  $^{(d)}$  (;  $^{0}$ ) on d-sphere up to some norm alization constant. In order to determ ine this constant we consider the integral

$$\lim_{\substack{1 \\ 0 \\ s_{d}}} \frac{2}{(2(i)^{0})^{2} + 2} = \frac{2^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{2}{1} \frac{dx x^{d}}{(x^{2} + 1)} ;$$

where the rst factor is the area of a (d-1)-sphere and in the integration over the azim uthal angle we changed the variable to x. The x-integration results in

$$\frac{Z_{1}}{0} \frac{dx x^{d-1}}{(x^{2}+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{d}{2}}{(x^{2}+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{d}{2}}{(x^{2}+1)} \frac{d}{2}$$

Putting things together we d that

$$\lim_{y \ge 1} (\cosh y \quad \sinh y \cos (; ^{0})) = (2)^{\frac{d}{2}} 2^{\frac{d}{2}} - \frac{(\frac{a}{2})}{()} e^{(d)}(; ^{0}) : (A.1)$$

A sim ilar analysis can be done for the kernels

$$(\sinh \cosh \cos (; ; )) + i)$$

in the limits ! +1 or ! 1. The integration over the azim uthal angle in this case is

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{dx x^{d-1}}{(x^{2} + i)} = \int_{0}^{2 + i1} \frac{dz z^{d-1}}{(z^{2} + i)} = i^{d-2} \int_{0}^{2 - i1} \frac{dy y^{d-1}}{(y^{2} + 1)}$$

where we rst deform ed the integration contour to the positive in aginary axis (the possible integral over the quarter-circle at in nity vanishes provided R e (2 d) is slightly positive) and then changed variables z ! iy that brings us back to the already known integral given above. Thus, we not that

$$\lim_{l \to 1} (\sinh \cosh \cos (i)^{0} + i)$$

$$= (2)^{d=2} 2^{\frac{d}{2}} i^{(d-2)} \frac{(\frac{d}{2})}{(i)} e^{(d-1)} (i)^{(d)} (i)^{0} : \quad (A.2)$$

;

W hen ! 1 the kernel diverges at  $(;^{\circ})$  = and hence delta-function that is obtained in this limit

$$\lim_{\substack{l = 1 \\ l = 1}} (\sinh \cos (i)^{0} i) = (2)^{d=2} 2^{\frac{d}{2}} (i)^{d} \frac{(\frac{d}{2})}{(i)} e^{(d)} (i)^{(d)} (i)^{(d)}$$

is concentrated at the anti-podal points on the d-sphere.

## B P lane wave norm alization

The plane waves

$$f_{k}(X_{0};X) = \frac{1}{[(2 )^{d+1}2k]^{l=2}} e^{i(kX_{0} kX)}$$
(B.1)

are delta-function normalized

$$(f_{k}; f_{p}) = {}^{(d+1)}(k; p) = k {}^{d}(k p) {}^{(d)}(k; p)$$
(B 2)

with respect to the standard K lein-G ordon scalar product which is de ned as

$$(\mathbf{f}_{k};\mathbf{f}_{p}) = \mathbf{i} \quad \mathbf{d} \quad (\mathbf{f}_{k} \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{n} \mathbf{f}_{p} \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{n} \mathbf{f}_{k} \mathbf{f}_{p}) \tag{B.3}$$

provided the hypersurface (with the norm alvectorn) is a surface of constant M inkowski time X  $_{\rm 0}$ .

However, for our purposes we would like to choose a hypersurface associated with the boundary of the light-cone. More speci cally we de ne <sub>out</sub> as a hypersurface consisting of two components: a surface = +1 in the region D and a surface y = +1 (t > 0) in the region A. The hypersurface <sub>out</sub> thus surrounds the boundary  $S_d^+$  of the light-cone. Similarly we de ne <sub>in</sub> = fD : = 1 g [ fA : y = +1 (t < 0)g near the boundary  $S_d^-$ .

Here we show that same norm alization (B 2) still holds if we choose  $_{out}$  (or  $_{in}$ ) in the scalar product (B 3). We demonstrate this explicitly for the surface  $_{out}$ . The non-vanishing components of the norm alvector n are  $n = r^{-1}$  (in region D) and  $n^{y} = t^{-1}$  (in region A). Hence each component gives

in the scalar product (B.3). Asy ! +1 the plane wave asymptotically behaves as

$$e^{i(kX_{0} kX)} = \frac{2^{d \frac{d}{2}}}{2 i} d (i) (\frac{d}{2}) k t e^{(d)} (;_{k});$$
 (B.5)

where we again assumed that  $Re(\frac{d}{2})$  is slightly positive which allows us to drop the term proportional to e<sup>y</sup>. Similarly in region D the plane wave asymptotically behaves for ! + 1 as

1 17

$$e^{i(kX_0 kX)} = \frac{2^{d \frac{a}{2}Z}}{2i} d (i)^{d} (\frac{d}{2}) k r e^{(d)} (;_k) : (B.6)$$

Inserting these into the de nition of the scalar product (B, 3)-(B.4) and taking into account that  $Z_1$ 

$$\int_{0}^{1} dr r^{d} = 2 i (+ 0)$$

we nd

$$(f_{k}; f_{p})_{out} = \frac{(2)^{1}}{P} p^{d} (d) (k; p)$$

$$\frac{1}{2i}^{Z} d \sin \frac{1}{2} (d 2) (d 2) (d 2) (\frac{1}{2}) (\frac{1}$$

for the scalar product over  $_{out}$ . Notice that the powers of icom ing from the contributions of each component nicely combine into  $i^{d-2}$   $i^{2}$   $i^{2}$   $d = 2i \sin \frac{1}{2} (d - 2)$ . Using that the G amma function satisfies

$$(\frac{d}{2}) (\frac{d}{2}) = \frac{2}{(d \ 2) \sin \frac{1}{2} (d \ 2)}$$

the -integration in (B.7) reduces to a simple integral

$$\frac{1}{2 i \frac{d}{2} i l} d \frac{k}{p} = k (k p) :$$

Thus, equation (B.7) is indeed identical to (B.2).

Note that this result could have been anticipated. Indeed, suppose that we add to the hypersurface  $_{out}$  the surface t = +1 in the region A. The resulting hypersurface can be thought of as a deform ation of the surface  $X_0 = +1$  for which the standard norm alization (B 2) holds. Now, the K lein-G ordon scalar product (B 3) should not change under any deform ation of the surface . On the other hand, the contribution of the surface t = +1 to the scalar product vanishes provided Re(2 - d) is smallbut positive. Hence, the whole contribution to the scalar product should come entirely from the hypersurface  $_{out}$ . We how ever found it useful to give an explicit calculation of the scalar product over  $_{out}$ . This serves both as an illustration of our techniques as well as a consistency check.

## C Dieomorphisms

Here we perform analysis of the di eom orphisms preserving the asymptotic structure of the metric (6.1). Suppose that the di eom orphism is generated by a vector  $eld = ({}^{t}; ; {}^{i})$ . By requiring that L G<sub>tt</sub> = 0 we obtain  $\mathcal{Q}_{t} {}^{t} = 0$  from which we nd that the t-component of the vector does not depend on t so that

$$t = (;);$$
 (C.1)

where (;) is arbitrary function of and . The condition I  $C_{-} = 0$  had to

The condition L  $G_t = 0$  leads to

$$\theta_{t} = \frac{4^{2}}{(1+\frac{1}{t})} t^{2} \theta t^{1} A_{i} \theta_{t}^{i} :$$
(C 2)

and from the condition L  $G_{ti} = 0$  we nd that

$$\theta_t^{i} = t^2 g^{ji} \theta_i \quad t^1 g^{ji} A_i \theta_t \quad : \quad (C.3)$$

Multiplying equation (C 3) by  $A_j$  and inserting the result into equation (C 2) we define the regulations for the t-derivatives of and <sup>i</sup>:

$$\theta_{t} = \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{t} - \frac{4^{3}}{t^{2}}A^{2})} \frac{4^{2}}{t^{2}} \quad \theta = -\frac{1}{t}A^{i}\theta_{i} \quad : \quad (C.4)$$

$$Q_{t}^{i} = \frac{1}{t^{2}}g^{ji}Q_{i} \qquad \frac{4^{3}}{t^{3}} \frac{g^{ji}A_{i}}{(1 + \frac{1}{t} - \frac{4^{3}}{t^{2}}A^{2})} \qquad (Q_{t} - \frac{1}{t}A^{i}Q_{i}); \qquad (C.5)$$

where we introduced  $A^2 = A_i g^{ij} A_j$  and all i-indexes are raised with help of the metric  $g_{ij}(t; j)$ .

Now, let us make a substitution

$$= (;) + \frac{1}{t} (t;;)$$

$$^{i} = ^{i}_{(0)} (;) + \frac{1}{t} ^{i}_{(1)} (;) + :: (C.6)$$

The  $t^2$  term in the G component of the metric is xed and should not be changed under the di comorphism. This implies (; ) = 0 which in turn leads to

$$(;) = ();$$
 (C.7)

where () is an arbitrary function. Similarly, the  $\hat{t}$  term in G $_i$  of the metric (6.1) vanishes. In order to preserve this condition the t-independent part of  $~^i$  should satisfy

$$Q_{(0)}^{j} = \frac{1}{4} g_{(0)}^{ji} Q_{j}$$
 (): (C.8)

The dieom orphism generated by the vector eld

is the only t-independent di com orphism that preserves the form 6.1).

As for the t-dependent parts of the functions, (;) plays the role of the parameters of the transform ation. Equations (C.4) and (C.5) determine (t;;) and  $_{(k>0)}^{i}$  (;) in terms of (;). For the rst terms in the t-expansion of these functions we nd that

and

$$i_{(1)} = g_{(0)}^{jj} Q_{j} (; ) :$$
 (C.10)

The subleading term s in G and  $G_i$  change under the di eom orphism s and will give us the transform ation law for the functions (t; ; ) and  $A_i$  (t; ; ) but we will not give

the explicit form here. A lso, by acting on the  $G_{ij}$  part of the metric the di eom orphism provides the transform ation law for  $g_{ij}$  (t; ; ), namely

L 
$$g_{ij} = (;)(Q + \frac{2}{t}g_{ij}) + (Q g_{ij} - \frac{1}{g_{ij}})$$
  
+  $\frac{1}{t}(A_iQ_j + A_jQ_i) + r_i^{(g)}_{ij} + r_j^{(g)}_{ji};$  (C.11)

where takes the form (C.6). The transform ations generated by the t-independent part of the di eom orphism s are given in (6.4), (6.6) and (6.5) in the main text.

It is interesting to note that the BMS transform ation are a particular case of the tdependent di eom orphisms. Speci cally, they are determined by the function (;) = f()<sup>1=2</sup> where f() is an arbitrary function of the angle coordinate on the boundary of the light-cone. The vector generating these di eom orphisms is

$$t = f()^{1=2};$$

$$= \frac{2}{t}f()^{3=2};$$

$$i = \frac{1}{t}^{3=2}g_{(0)}^{ij}\theta_{j}f():$$
(C.12)

The BM S transform ations are known to be the transform ations at null in nity of asym ptotically M inkowski spacetime (with a suitable de nition of the notion \asym ptotically M inkowski.") It should be stressed that our conditions for the asym ptotic metric (6.1) are more general than that of BM S and thus the asym ptotic di eom orphism s contain the BM S group as a subgroup.

#### References

- G. 't Hooft, \D in ensional reduction in Quantum Gravity", in Salam festschrift: A Collection of Talks, W orld Scienti c Series in 20th Century Physics, Vol. 4, ed. A. A li, J. Ellis and S. R and 'par-D aem i (W orld Scienti c, 1993), gr-qc/9310026.
- [2] L. Susskind, \The World as a Hologram", J. Math. Phys. 36 (1995) 6377, hep-th/9409089.
- [3] J.M aldacena, \The Large N Lim it of Superconform alField Theories and Supergravity", Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 2 (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200.
- [4] S.Gubser, I.K lebanov and A.Polyakov, \G auge Theory Correlators from Non-Critical String Theory", Phys. Lett. B 428 (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109.
- [5] E.W itten, \AntiDeSitterSpaceAndHolography", Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.2 (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.
- [6] E.W itten, \Quantum Gravity In De Sitter Space", hep-th/0106109.
- [7] A. Strom inger, \The dS/CFT Correspondence", hep-th/0106113.
- [8] R. Bousso, \A Covariant Entropy Conjecture," JHEP 9907, 004 (1999) [arX iv:hep-th/9905177].
- [9] J.Polchinski, \S-matrices from AdS spacetime," arX iv hep-th/9901076.
- [10] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, \Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: an example from three dimensional gravity", Commun. M ath. Phys. 104 (1986) 207.
- [11] H.Bondi, M.G.van der Burg and A.W. Metner, Waves From Axisymmetric Isolated Systems, "Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 269 (1962) 21.
- [12] P.Sommers, J.Math.Phys. 19 (1978), 549.
- [13] A.Ashtekar, \Asymptotic structure of the gravitational eld at spatial in nity", In: General Relativity and Gravitation, ed.A.Held (Plenum Press, NY, 1980).
- [14] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis, The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time (Cam bridge: Cambridge University Press).
- [15] C.Fe erm an and C.R.Graham: \Conform al Invariants". In: Elie Cartan et les M athem atiques d'aujord'hui, (A sterisque, 1985), 95.
- [16] I.M.Gel'fand and G.E.Shilov, Generalized functions, vol. I (A cadem ic Press, NY, 1964).
- [17] V.Balasubram anian, J.de Boer and D.M inic, \Exploring de Sitter space and holography," arX iv hep-th/0207245.

- [18] J.Bros, J.P. Gazeau and U.M oschella, \Quantum Field Theory In The De Sitter Universe," Phys.Rev.Lett.73 (1994) 1746; J.Bros and U.M oschella, Rev.M ath.Phys. 8, 327 (1996) [arX iv gr-qc/9511019]; J.Bros, H.Epstein and U.M oschella, Commun. M ath.Phys. 196, 535 (1998) [arX iv gr-qc/9801099]; M.Bertola, J.Bros, V.Gorini, U.M oschella and R.Schae er, Nucl.Phys.B 581, 575 (2000) [arX iv hep-th/0003098].
- [19] M.Bertola, V.Gorini, U.Moschella and R.Schae er, Phys. Lett. B 462, 249 (1999) [arX iv hep-th/9906035].
- [20] S. de Haro, K. Skenderis and S. N. Solodukhin, \Gravity in warped compactications and the holographic stress tensor," Class. Quant. Grav. 18, 3171 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/0011230].
- [21] I. S. G radshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, Tables of Integrals, Series and Products (New York: A cadem ic Press).
- [22] R. Bousso, A. Maloney and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D 65, 104039 (2002) [arX iv:hep-th/0112218].
- [23] M. Spradlin and A. Volovich, \Vacuum states and the S-m atrix in dS/CFT," Phys. Rev.D 65, 104037 (2002) [arX iv:hep-th/0112223].
- [24] V.Balasubram anian, S.B.Giddings and A.E.Law rence, JHEP 9903, 001 (1999) [arX iv hep-th/9902052].
- [25] S.B.G iddings, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2707 (1999) [arX iv:hep-th/9903048].
- [26] J.D.B jorken and S.D.D rell, Relativistic Quantum elds, vol I (M cG Raw Hill, Inc.).
- [27] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, \The holographic W eyl anom aly", JHEP 9807 (1998), 023, hep-th/9806087; \Holography and the W eyl anom aly", hep-th/9812023.
- [28] C. Im bim bo, A. Schwimmer, S. Theisen and S. Yankielowicz, \Di eomorphisms and Holographic Anomalies", Class. Quant. Grav. 17 (1999) 1129, hep-th/9910267.
- [29] F.Oberhettinger, Tables of Mellin Transforms, Springer Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [30] S. N. Solodukhin, \How to make the gravitational action on non-compact space nite", PhysRev.D 62 (2000), 044016, hep-th/9909197.
- [31] R. Beig and B.G. Schmidt, \Einstein's equations near Spatial In nity", Commun M ath Phys. 87 (1982) 65-80.
- [32] R.Beig, \Integration of Einstein's equations near spatial in nity", Proc.R.Soc.Lond. bfA 391 (1984) 295-304.
- [33] K. Skenderis and S. N. Solodukhin, \Quantum e ective action from the AdS/CFT correspondence", Phys. Lett. B 432 (2000) 316-322, hep-th/9910023.
- [34] JD.Brown and JW.York, \Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action", PhysRev.D 47 (1993), 1407–1419.